

Impact of UV-C radiation applied during plant growth on pre- and post-harvest disease sensitivity and fruit quality of strawberry

Marine Forges, Marc Bardin, Laurent Urban, Jawad Aarrouf, Florence Charles

► To cite this version:

Marine Forges, Marc Bardin, Laurent Urban, Jawad Aarrouf, Florence Charles. Impact of UV-C radiation applied during plant growth on pre- and post-harvest disease sensitivity and fruit quality of strawberry. Plant Disease, 2020, 104, pp.3239-3247. 10.1094/PDIS-02-20-0306-RE . hal-02883862

HAL Id: hal-02883862 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02883862v1

Submitted on 9 Jun2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Page 1 of 42

1	Impact of UV-C radiation applied during plant growth on pre- and
2	post-harvest disease sensitivity and fruit quality of strawberry
3	
4	M. Forges ^{1*} , M. Bardin ² , L. Urban ¹ , J. Aarrouf ¹ , and F. Charles ¹
5	
6	¹ Unité Mixte de Recherche Qualisud, Laboratoire de Physiologie des fruits et Légumes,
7	Université d'Avignon, 301 Rue Baruch de Spinoza, BP2139 - 84916, Avignon, France
8	² INRAE, Pathologie Végétale, F - 84140, Montfavet, France
9	Corresponding author: M. Forges; Email: marine.forges@gmail.com
10	
11	Keywords: strawberry, UV-C, pre-harvest, post-harvest, phytopathology, quality
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21 Abstract

Forges, M., Bardin, M., Urban, L., Aarrouf, J., and F. Charles 2020. Impact of UV-C radiation
applied during plant growth on pre- and post-harvest disease sensitivity and fruit quality of
strawberry. Plant Dis.

25

26 UV-C radiation is efficient in reducing the development of diseases in many species, 27 including strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa). Several studies suggest that UV-C radiation is 28 effective not only because of its disinfecting effect but also because it may stimulate plant 29 defenses. In this study, the effect of pre-harvest UV-C radiation applied during strawberry 30 cultivation on plant growth, fruit quality and susceptibility to major fungal diseases, as gray 31 mold, powdery mildew and soft rot, was evaluated. UV-C treatments had an impact on 32 flowering initiation and fruit development. Flowering occurred earlier for UV-C-treated plants than for non-treated plants. At harvest, a larger amount of fruit was produced by treated 33 34 plants despite their slight decrease in leaf area. UV-C treatment did not improve strawberry shelf life but did not alter the physical integrity of strawberry fruit. Natural infection of leaves 35 to powdery mildew and of fruit to Rhizopus was strongly decrease in response to UV-C 36 37 treatment.

38

39 Keywords: strawberry, UV-C, pre-harvest, post-harvest, phytopathology, quality

40

41 Abbreviations

ANOVA Analysis of variance

	AUDPC	Area under disease progression curve
	AUFC	Area under fluorescence curve
	F ₀	Initial fluorescence
	F _M	Maximal fluorescence
	Ν	Newton
	PAL	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase
	PDA	Potato dextrose agar
	PSII	Photosystem II
	SOD	Superoxide dismutase
	UV-C	Ultraviolet-C
42		
43		
лл		
44		
45		
46		
47		
40		
48		
49		
50		
51		

52 Strawberry is a very popular fruit appreciated by consumers because of its organoleptic 53 characteristics (Li et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018). In addition, strawberries are one of the richest 54 sources of natural antioxidants and therefore have a highly beneficial effect on human health (Hannum, 2004; Li et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2019). However, many plant pathogenic 55 56 microorganisms, including fungi, are very damaging to both strawberry plants and fruit. Yields 57 can be strongly affected by these fungi, and strawberries are subjected to rapid degradation, 58 affecting fruit flavor (Perkins-Veazie, 1995). Restrictions on the use of fungicides make it 59 necessary to find alternative phytosanitary tools. Among these tools, physical methods can improve the resistance of plants to pathogens and can increase the synthesis of vitamins, 60 micronutrients and secondary metabolites in fruit (Aghdam et al., 2018; Li et al., 2014; 61 62 Poiroux-Gonord et al., 2010). Among these physical methods, the application of low doses of 63 ultraviolet-C (UV-C) radiation creates a moderate oxidative stress that can increase the 64 resistance of the plants to plant pathogens (Charles et al., 2008; Mercier et al., 2001; Ouhibi 65 et al., 2015; Vasquez et al., 2017) and improve the nutritional qualities of plant products 66 (Mohammadi et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2019b).

67 The lethal effect of UV-C light has been exploited successfully to control post-harvest 68 diseases, thus extending the shelf life of fruit and vegetables (Charles et al., 2008; Erkan et al., 69 2008; Liu et al., 1993; Maharaj et al., 1999; Mercier et al., 2001; Siddiqui et al., 2011; Urban et 70 al., 2016). UV-C irradiation has been extensively studied for its role in the reduction of post-71 harvest disease spoilage in harvested horticultural products by exerting a direct germicidal 72 effect and/or by eliciting critical defense responses (Duarte-Sierra et al., 2019). For instance, 73 it can induce partial resistance to the plant pathogenic fungus Botrytis cinerea on carrots, 74 lettuce, tomatoes and strawberries (Charles et al., 2008; Mercier et al., 1993a,b; Ouhibi et al., 75 2014; Pombo et al., 2011). In the case of strawberry, the enhancement of resistance to B. 76 cinerea was linked to an increase in the activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and 77 polyphenol oxidase and in the expression of pathogenesis-related protein genes (Pombo et 78 al., 2011). Moreover, UV-C radiation can induce defense mechanisms, increasing the content 79 of chitinase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) or PAL in various fruit, such as mangoes, peaches 80 and strawberries (El-Ghaouth et al., 2003; Erkan et al., 2008; Gonzalez-Aguilar et al., 2007; 81 Mohammadi et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). Several studies have also pointed out that UV-C 82 light can influence the physiology of harvested organs, delaying their senescence and 83 stimulating secondary metabolism (Xu et al., 2018), which is known to play a role in both the 84 health benefits of the harvested organs and the defense of plants against pathogens (Huang 85 et al., 2017; Poiroux-Gonord et al., 2010). UV-C radiation caused modifications in the 86 secondary metabolites of mangoes, grapes and peaches compared to those in non-treated 87 fruit (Freitas et al., 2015; Gonzalez-Aguilar et al., 2001, 2004). In strawberry, UV-C radiation 88 applied at the post-harvest stage induced beneficial effects on the preservation and 89 promotion of fruit quality, including a delay in fruit softening through reduced cell wall 90 degradation (Pombo et al., 2009) or a stimulation of the biosynthesis of bioactive molecules, 91 such as anthocyanins, ascorbic acid and esters (Severo et al., 2015). In addition to these 92 compounds, UV-C radiation applied to strawberry fruit at the post-harvest stage caused 93 variations in the activation of genes involved in fruit firmness, thus allowing an improvement 94 in the shelf life and in the production of volatile compounds, which results in strongly aromatic 95 fruit (Severo et al., 2015).

96 UV-C treatment of growing plants has an effect on the resistance of vegetative organs 97 to pathogens (Obande *et al.*, 2011; Xu *et al.*, 2017b). Janisiewicz *et al.* (2016) demonstrated 98 that pre-harvest UV-C treatment could be an effective way to manage gray mold (caused by 99 *B. cinerea*) in the production of strawberry. On strawberries, UV-C radiation at 12.36 J/m² did

not impact photosynthesis or pollen tube germination (Janisiewicz *et al.*, 2016). In geranium
 (*Pelargonium x hortotum*), low doses of UV-C radiation (0.5 - 5.0 kJ/m²) applied during growth
 caused photomorphogenic changes such as an increase in biomass and in the number of
 lateral stems and inflorescences (Darras *et al.*, 2012a).

104 To our knowledge, only a few reports have evaluated the potential of low doses of UV-C applied during plant growth for use in fruit or vegetable and ornamentals quality 105 106 maintenance (Darras et al., 2012a; Janisiewicz et al., 2016; Obande et al., 2011; Severo et al., 107 2016; Xie et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019a). However, a recent comprehensive review by Urban 108 et al. (2016) discussed the physiological effects of UV-C radiation and evaluated its agronomic 109 potential at both the pre-harvest and post-harvest stages. It is important to verify that the 110 improvement in plant resistance resulting from UV-C treatments does not negatively impact 111 yield or fruit quality at harvest and during post-harvest storage.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of UV-C treatments applied to strawberry plants (i) on the resistance of leaves and fruit to the main fungal pathogens of strawberry as gray mold, powdery mildew and soft rot, (ii) on the vegetative growth of the plant and crop yield and (iii) on the quality of strawberry fruit after harvest.

116

117 Materials and Methods

118 Plant material

119 Trays of strawberry plants of the cultivar Candiss (single-harvest plants, Ciref, France) 120 supplied by the Martaillac nursery (Sainte-Marthe, France), were transplanted in a mixed 121 substrate (60 % compost of TS3 type (Code of Practice) with fine granulometry and 40 % pine bark) and placed in a greenhouse at the end of February. The plants were fertilized with a standard commercial nutrient solution (Soluveg Parme, NPK 16-6-27+3 MgO+OE, Angibaud Derome) with a drip irrigation system (one dripper per pot) at a frequency adapted to the climatic demand. Plants were completely randomized (n = 188) and were grown for 3 months from planting to harvesting of mature fruit. Plants were grown for two weeks after transplantation for adaptation to the greenhouse. One week later, flowers appeared.

128 The experiment was carried out twice: in 2017 and 2018. The fruit were harvested at 129 the beginning of May when they reached the stage of commercial maturity (completely 130 developed, intense red color and firm). Strawberry fruit were harvested only once.

131 UV-C treatments

The device used for UV-C treatments was a luminous ceiling with 9 UV-C lamps (DSP UV-C tube, OSRAM HNL, 24 W; Pascal *et al.*, 2018). The measurement of the spectrum (by a UV sensor, OSI UV-20 TO-8 photodiode) confirmed a major peak at 254 nm. Four plants were processed in the box at the same time at a distance of 40 cm from the UV-C lamps.

The dose applied to the plants was calculated based on light intensity and time of exposure. Light intensity measurements were performed with a radiometer positioned 40 cm below the ceiling lights. The UV-C dose selected was 1.70 kJ/m² (*i.e.,* a treatment time of 4 min 08 sec) according to a study carried out by Forges *et al.* (2018).

140 Strawberry plants were treated once a week after two weeks of adaptation in the greenhouse.

141 Several modes of application were tested:

142 - Before flowering, "BEFORE" (1 x 1.70 kJ/m² = 1.70 kJ/m²),

143 - After flowering, "AFTER" (5 x 1.70 kJ/m² = 8.50 kJ/m²),

144 - During growth "DURING" ($6 \times 1.70 \text{ kJ/m}^2 = 10.20 \text{ kJ/m}^2$),

145 - No treatment: "CONTROL".

146 There were 47 strawberry plants per treatment modality. Following each irradiation147 treatment, the treated plants were kept in the dark overnight.

148 Chlorophyll a fluorescence

To characterize the impact of the UV-C treatments on the photosystems, chlorophyll a fluorescence was measured, and the OJIP parameters were calculated (Sirbet and Govindge, 2011). The OJIP method correspond to different steps (O, K, J, I and P) in the fluorescence kinetic profile, plotted in a logarithmic time scale from the initial fluorescence F_0 to the maximal fluorescence F_M . The objective was to identify the frequency of application of UV-C that was not deleterious for the plants.

155 The chlorophyll a fluorescence was measured with a fluorimeter (Pocket-PEA). 156 Measurements were done once a week from the beginning of experiment (during plant 157 growth) and until harvest day (corresponding to 8 times of measurements). Three 158 measurements per plant were performed (n = 145) and were made in morning on non-159 senescent and fully developed leaves. Leaves were selected: leaf fully developed above the 160 plant cover. A highlight pulse (3000 µmol/m²/s) was applied to leaves after they underwent 161 dark adaptation for 30 min with clamps placed on the stems supporting the leaves. The period 162 of dark adaptation allowed the electron acceptor of photosystem II (PSII) to be reoxidized 163 gradually until all PSII reaction centers were able to resume photochemistry. This method 164 allows the quantification of the flow of electrons that takes place in the photosynthetic 165 machinery. All the parameters measured in this study were explained by Stirbet and Govindge (2011). The area under the fluorescence curve (AUFC) was calculated during plant growth. 166

Page 9 of 42

167 Morphological analysis during plant growth

To characterize strawberry plant growth, the number of leaves on each strawberry plant was counted (n = 47) before the first UV-C treatment (*i.e.*, 15 days after planting the tray plants) and at harvest (after 8 weeks of growth). The leaf area was also measured at harvest on one leaf of each strawberry plant (n = 47). This leaf was selected since the beginning of experiment marked by a ring corresponding to young leaf above the plant cover.

For the fruit production phase, counting of buds, flowers and green/turning/mature fruit was carried out each week (from flowering to the harvest day, which gives an enumeration of 6 consecutive weeks) on each strawberry plant (n = 47). These data provide information about the impact of the UV-C treatments on flowering and fruit production. At harvest, the strawberry fruit were weighed (n = 20).

178 Fungal material and pathological tests on leaves and fruit

179 Strain Bc1 of Botrytis cinerea was used in this study to artificially inoculate leaves and 180 fruit. This strain was chosen because it is very aggressive on strawberry as already observed 181 by Forges et al. (2018). Test was done on detached leaves fully developed and above the plant 182 cover. For pathological tests on leaves, the strain was grown for 3 days on PDA medium 183 (potato dextrose agar, 39 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich) at 21 °C (16 h of light and 8 h in dark). Mycelial 184 plugs of 0.5 cm diameter were used as inoculum and deposited on the central veins of leaves (n = 47). Lesions caused by the fungal pathogen were monitored for a whole week on a day-185 186 per-day basis by taking pictures and measuring the lesion areas with ImageJ software. The 187 area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was then computed to determine the level of 188 sensitivity of the strawberry plants to the pathogen.

Fruit (n = 18 per treatment modality) were inoculated with a spore suspension of Bc1 dosed at 10^6 spores/mL thanks to Malassez counting cell. Each fruit was wounded on the epidermal surface with a sterile needle, and 10 µL of spore suspension was applied. The inoculated fruit were stored in plastic boxes at 21 °C in the dark. The disease development was estimated by counting the number of diseased fruit and by taking pictures for 5 days after inoculation and calculating the fruit lesion area by using ImageJ software.

195 Natural infections by pathogens were also observed during the two years of 196 experiments (2017 and 2018) and were evaluated. A natural epidemic of powdery mildew 197 caused by Podosphaera aphanis occurred in 2017. During fruit production, the number of 198 leaves with visible typical symptoms of powdery mildew (as exemplified in Bardin and Gullino, 199 2020) was counted, and the percentage of infected leaves (with more than 3 spots on leaves) 200 per plant was computed (n = 47 plants per treatment modality). Natural infection of fruit by 201 B. cinerea (see Bardin and Gullino, 2020) was also observed in 2018 and infected fruit were 202 counted on each plant before harvesting. The percentage of strawberry plants with at least 203 one infected fruit was computed (n = 47 plants per treatment modality). During storage, fruits 204 naturally infected by *Rhizopus* sp. were counted during the two years of the experiment, and 205 the percentage of infected fruit was computed (n = 18 fruit per treatment modality).

206 Quality analysis of fruit during post-harvest storage

The firmness and color of fruit (n = 18 fruit per treatment modality) were estimated after harvest (D0) and after 2 (D2) and 4 days (D4) of storage at 21 °C.

209 Fruit firmness was carried out on the domed part of the strawberry with a penetration
210 probe (5 mm diameter). The force required for the probe to penetrate the fruit was measured

by a Penefel texture analyser (Setop Giraud-Technologie, France). The firmness was reportedas force in Newtons (N).

Fruit color was measured with a chromameter (CR-400, Minolta). The apparatus was calibrated with a white reference plate, and the parameters L*, a* and b* were measured. Hue angle (H°, where 0° = red-purple, 90° = yellow, 180° = bluish-green, and 270° = blue) and chroma (C*, which represents the intensity of color) were estimated according to McGuire (1992).

To evaluate consumer preferences, taste tests were carried out on harvested strawberry fruit. A panel of 30 consumers, with a combination of men and women, tasted strawberry fruit from plants undergoing the various UV-C treatments. Consumers tasted blind and had to indicate their preferences and taste criteria (such as acidity, sweetness or texture).

222 Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the software Statistica. First, analysis of data normality was performed using the Shapiro test. If the data were normal, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. In the case of a significant effect of the test factor, a comparison of means was made with Duncan's test or Newman-Keuls test. In contrast, if the data were not Gaussian, nonparametric tests were used, such as the Kruskal-Wallis test. For each test, a threshold of p-value < 0.05 was used. All statistical analyses were performed on all results obtained in both 2017 and 2018 years.

230

231 Results

232 Impact of UV-C treatments on strawberry plant development

To evaluate the effect of UV-C treatment on the vegetative growth of the strawberry plants, the number of leaves was counted before the first UV-C treatment and at harvest, 8 weeks after planting (Figure 1-A). Plant growth was homogeneous before the first UV-C treatment. At harvest, no significant differences in the number of leaves was observed between treated groups and non-treated group. However, leaf area was significantly smaller in the plants treated after flowering ("AFTER" and "DURING") compared to the non-treated plants ("CONTROL") and the plants treated once before flowering ("BEFORE") (Figure 1-B).

240 The parameters associated with the fluorescence of chlorophyll a were measured to 241 evaluate the impact of the UV-C treatments on the plant photosystem (Figure 2). Successive applications of UV-C did not cause any major damage to the photosynthetic apparatus of 242 243 strawberry plants. In fact, the different percentages observed between each group treated 244 with UV-C and the control group did not exceed 25 %. We observed that the measured 245 parameters associated with the fluorescence of chlorophyll a increased for plants treated 246 before flowering ("BEFORE") and decreased for plants treated after flowering ("AFTER") or 247 during cultivation ("DURING") compared to the non-treated group ("CONTROL").

248 Impact of UV-C treatments on strawberry fruit development

The number of buds was significantly lower in the UV-C-treated plants than in the nontreated plants (Figure 3-A). Conversely, at the same time, the number of flowers was significantly higher for strawberry plants that received UV-C treatment (1.5 to 2 flowers per plant depending on the treatment) than in the plants that were not treated (0.25 flowers per plant for the "CONTROL") (Figure 3-B).

254 Subsequently, green fruit were counted each week until harvest (Figure 4). The 255 number of green fruit per plant was higher in treated plants, *i.e.*, those of the "BEFORE" and 256 "DURING" modalities, than in non-treated plants ("CONTROL"). After 4 weeks, all treated 257 samples, including "BEFORE", "DURING" and "AFTER" plants, had more green fruit than the 258 control. Then, after 5 weeks, the number of green fruit produced per plant was similar across 259 all modalities.

260 The number of ripe fruit per plant was counted on the day of harvest (Figure 5-A). The 261 amount of ripe fruit was significantly higher for the plants treated with UV-C before flowering 262 ("BEFORE" with a mean of 6.6 ripe fruit per plant) or during the entire cultivation process 263 ("DURING" with a mean of 5.5 ripe fruit per plant) than for the non-treated plants ("CONTROL" 264 with a mean of 3.8 ripe fruit per plant) and those treated after flowering ("AFTER" with a mean 265 of 4.5 ripe fruit per plant). An approximately two-fold increase in the amount of ripe fruit was 266 observed when the plants were UV-C treated. Moreover, UV-C treatment applied before 267 flowering ("BEFORE") significantly increased the weight of the fruit at harvest (Figure 5-B).

268 Impact of UV-C treatments on strawberry sensitivity (leaves and fruit) to B. cinerea

Pathogenicity tests using *B. cinerea* on detached leaves fully developed and above the cover of strawberry plant collected at harvest revealed a significant reduction in the susceptibility to the plant pathogen (19 %) for the plants that were treated with UV-C after flowering ("AFTER") (Figure 6-A). For plants treated before flowering, the sensitivity to *B. cinerea* significantly increased up to 25 % compared to the non-treated plants ("CONTROL").

No difference in the sensitivity to *B. cinerea* between fruit collected from UV-C-treated
plants or untreated plants was observed (Figure 6-B). However, a tendency (not significant)
for an increase in the sensitivity of strawberry fruit after UV-C treatment was observed.

277 Impact of UV-C treatments on strawberry sensitivity (leaves and fruit) to spontaneous278 infections

Natural and spontaneous epidemics of powdery mildew (caused by *Podosphaera aphanis*) occurred a few days before harvest. We evaluated the level of strawberry resistance against this biotroph by counting the infected leaves (Figure 7). UV-C treatment, regardless of the period of application, significantly decreased the susceptibility of strawberry plants to the pathogen by 51 %, 59 % and 75 % in the "BEFORE", "AFTER" and "DURING" treatments, respectively.

At harvest, some strawberry fruit were infected naturally by *B. cinerea* (Figure 8). The most repeated UV-C treatment, "DURING", for which UV-C treatment was applied each week during all growth periods, was the most efficient at reducing natural contamination (a reduction of 40%). The decrease observed for the other UV-C-treated samples was not significant compared to the control.

290 Moreover, during storage at 21 °C, strawberry fruit were also naturally infected by 291 *Rhizopus* sp. (Figure 9). After 4 days of storage, contamination with *Rhizopus sp*. was high in 292 the control samples (55 %), and there was no difference with the treatment "AFTER". The 293 "BEFORE" and "DURING" UV-C treatments significantly reduced the contamination after 4 294 days by 20 % and 12 %, respectively.

295 Impact of UV-C treatments on fruit quality

The color and firmness of strawberry fruit were analyzed (Table 1). During storage, the hue angle and chroma of groups treated with UV-C were lower than those of the control group. The groups "AFTER" and "DURING" had lower hue angles and chroma values than the control group beginning at two days of storage. After three days of storage, the groups "AFTER", "DURING" and "BEFORE" had a lower hue angle and chroma than the control group. The firmness slightly decreased during storage for all the samples.

Page 15 of 42

Sensory evaluation on strawberry fruit the day before was carried out by blind tests with 30 consumers (Data not shown). UV-C treated fruit from both the "BEFORE" group and the "DURING" group were appreciated at the same level (21 % for each group). The UV-C treated fruit from the "AFTER" group were appreciated by 11 % of consumers. And 47 % of consumers prefer fruit no treated with UV-C radiation.

307

308 Discussion

This study investigated the impact of pre-harvest application of UV-C radiation on plant growth, the sensitivity of leaves and fruit to major plant pathogens and fruit quality after harvest.

312 One striking result concerns the rate of flowering of strawberry plants between nontreated and UV-C treated plants. Flowering occurred earlier when strawberry plants received 313 314 UV-C treatments. This result is consistent with two studies conducted by Darras et al. (2012a 315 and 2015), who demonstrated that the application of short UV-C radiation improved flowering 316 and even increased the biomass of geranium plants. Early flowering was also observed in 317 strawberry plants treated with a low dose of UV-C at pre-harvest, which could be related to 318 changes in the phytohormone profile (Xu et al., 2017a). If flowering occurs earlier, the 319 production cycle can be completed faster, as shown in our study. Thus, larger amounts of ripe 320 fruit at harvest, especially for the strawberry plants treated before flowering, were counted. 321 It suggests that UV-C treatments were beneficial for flower initiation, allowing greater fruit 322 production. Floral induction results in the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive 323 state of meristem, under the action of a reduction in the gibberellin production. UV-C 324 treatments may have an impact on gibberellin production and thus promote flower induction.

325 Fina et al. (2017) demonstrated that UV-B treatments on corn decreased leaf growth and this 326 reduction was correlated with a decrease in the concentration of gibberellins. The floral 327 induction has given way to the floral initiation during which a certain number of stems was 328 initiated, thus determining a production potential. Floral differentiation was the last step. It is 329 this phase that determined flower number per stem and therefore fruit number (CTIFL, 2004). 330 As the flowering process was rapid, UV-C treatments could be beneficial for all stages of 331 flowering, from flower induction to flower differentiation and flower initiation. These results 332 confirm that UV-C radiation can induce a high irradiance response that enhances plant growth 333 and development of fruit (Taiz and Zeiger, 1998).

334 Crop quality is correlated with the accumulation of direct or indirect solar radiation, 335 which is absorbed by the leaves and is dependent on the total area and number of leaves 336 (Marcelis et al., 1998). In this study, we did not find significant differences in the amount of 337 leaves per plant between non-treated and UV-C treated plants. A slight decrease in leaf area 338 was observed for plants treated with UV-C. This result was in line with Darras et al. (2012a) 339 who suggest that the UV-C radiation was powerful enough to give maximum benefits so that 340 plants did not need to expand their leaf area to capture more light radiation. Moreover, this 341 slight decrease in leaf area could be related to the flower induction with a decrease in 342 gibberellin content (Fina et al., 2017). In addition, analysis of parameters linked to chlorophyll 343 a fluorescence makes it possible to identify the potential damage caused by repeated and 344 successive UV-C treatments. In this study, we demonstrated that successive UV-C doses of 345 1.70 kJ/m² did not damage the photosynthesis pathway. But the repetition of UV-C treatments 346 may improve the desired effect of UV-C radiation, that is, the stimulation of plant defenses. 347 Plants treated throughout cultivation (6 successive UV-C treatments) were less sensitive to P. 348 aphanis, B. cinerea and Rhizopus at the leaf and fruit level than plants treated once before

flowering. An application threshold should therefore be found to improve plant resistance without irreversibly damaging the photosynthetic system of plants. With a hormetic dose applied to plants, the photosynthetic apparatus can be impacted, but reparation of this pathway can occur after a few hours due to the photoreactivation of white light (Kunz *et al.*, 2006).

354 Only a few reports have evaluated the impact of UV-C radiation when it was applied 355 during plant growth (Darras et al., 2012a; Janisiewicz et al., 2016; Obande et al., 2011; Oliveira 356 et al., 2016; Severo et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019a). These studies focused on the evolution of secondary metabolites in fruits and vegetables which is closely related to 357 358 defense mechanism. But limited knowledge is available regarding fruit responses to 359 pathogens when UV-C radiation are applied before harvesting the fruit. This study investigated 360 the impact of pre-harvest UV-C treatment on the susceptibility of strawberry plants and fruit. 361 UV-C treatment applied after flowering significantly decreased the susceptibility of the 362 strawberry leaves to B. cinerea and to P. aphanis. For powdery mildew, the occurrence of a 363 natural epidemic does not make it possible to differentiate the part of the direct effect or the 364 induced resistance effect of UV-C in the high level of protection observed. UV-C radiation has 365 a well-known and studied direct antimicrobial effect. For example, Darras et al. (2012b) have 366 shown a strong impact of UV-C radiation on the conidial germination of B. cinerea and a 367 significant delay of mycelium growth. However, the decrease in the sensitivity of strawberry 368 plants which received a single UV-C radiation ("BEFORE"), long before the appearance of the 369 first symptoms of powdery mildew on untreated control plants, may indicate that induced 370 resistance occurred.

371 Plants treated with UV-C had significantly fewer fruit naturally infected with *Rhizopus* 372 sp. and B. cinerea, demonstrating a potential link between pre-harvest treatments and post-373 harvest conservation. However, after artificial inoculation with B. cinerea, we didn't observe 374 any effect of UV-C treatments. The inoculation method of the fruit was probably too invasive, 375 causing B. cinerea to grow too quickly on the fruit, and not allowing identification of 376 differences in susceptibility. Our inoculation method and that of Jin et al. (2017) were similar 377 in the concentration of *B. cinerea* suspension (10⁶ spores/mL by wounding), but they observed 378 that the strawberry fruit treated with UV-C had smaller lesion diameters. At least two 379 hypothesis may explain the differences observed between our study and that of Jin et al: the 380 strains of B. cinerea that may have different aggressiveness on strawberry and the 381 temperature of incubation (5 °C vs. 21 °C in our study). At the temperature of 5 °C used by Jin 382 et al. (2017), the development of B. cinerea is slowed down (compared to the temperature of 383 21 °C used during our experiment), and could explain the differences observed.

384 The sensory and physical qualities of strawberry fruit were evaluated after harvest and 385 during 4 days of storage at 21 °C. Color and firmness are widely used to monitor post-harvest 386 fruit quality and are very well accepted as indicators of complex maturation processes and, 387 therefore, of many physiological mechanisms (Gunness et al., 2009). The UV-C treatments did 388 not alter the physical integrity of the strawberry fruit. In this study, there was no difference in 389 firmness between fruit from control and UV-C-treated plants (from 1.7 to 10.20 kJ/m²). This 390 result is in contradiction with a previous study (Xie *et al.*, 2016) that showed that pre-harvest 391 UV-C treatments (3.6 kJ/m²) improved the firmness of post-harvest strawberry fruit. 392 According to these authors, this result depends on cultivar and season of harvesting that 393 played a more important role in influencing fruit quality than the pre-harvest UV-C treatment. 394 However, strawberry fruit showed a lower hue angle, which indicates that UV-C-treated fruit 395 were redder (lower hue angle) in color than the control group. These results were in 396 agreement with those of Xu et al. (2017a), who demonstrated that the higher ABA level in 397 strawberry fruit treated with UV-C of low cumulative dose of 9.6 kJ/m² and mid-level 398 cumulative dose of 15 kJ/m², with more red color, suggests that UV-C dose stimulates the 399 accumulation of pigments such as anthocyanin. Other studies have shown that UV-C radiation 400 applied to plants during cultivation had an impact on the color of post-harvest strawberry 401 fruit. Xie et al. (2016) observed a significantly higher value for a*, which indicates redness. In 402 the case of strawberry fruit, these parameters generally indicate an increase in the 403 anthocyanin content and are therefore a marker of the progress of fruit ripening. However, 404 Xie et al. (2015) did not observe any effect of pre-harvest UV-C on the anthocyanin content in 405 strawberry fruit.

We also conducted blind tests with a panel of 30 consumers to estimate the sensorial qualities of fruit. This study highlighted that UV-C treatment during pre-harvest did not change the taste of fruit compared to the control if we took into account both UV-C treatments ("CONTROL" vs "BEFORE"/"AFTER"/"DURING"). For this test, consumers did not prefer a group, that is to say that strawberry were not sweeter for example as the sweetness is one of the first quality parameter preferred by consumers.

412

413 **Conclusion**

In conclusion, pre-harvest UV-C treatments had some significant effect on plant and reduced the natural occurrence of diseases, such as powdery mildew on leaves. Concerning fruit, pre-harvest UV-C treatments had some significant effect on color and there was a strong significant reduction in natural infection with pathogens, such as *Rhizopus* sp. Additional

418 experiments will be done to confirm this encouraging result with artificial inoculum of
419 *Rhizopus* and *P. aphanis*.

It seems difficult to find only one UV-C treatment that would be optimal for all parameters measured, such as disease resistance of plants, of fruit or fruit quality. In our study, we showed that it is preferable to apply UV-C treatments before flowering to increase flowering and plant yield but it is preferable to apply UV-C after flowering to reduce the susceptibility of leaves to infection by *B. cinerea*.

In addition, UV-C treatments applied pre-harvest seem to be promising in terms of crop
quality, but further evaluation is needed to find optimal UV-C treatments that can also have
an impact on strawberry fruit.

428

429 Acknowledgements

430 I would like to thank Tersys foundation for allowing me to carry out this research during
431 the thesis. I also thank Avignon University and INRA PACA for their contributions in tools and
432 knowledge.

433

434 Literature Cited

Aghdam, M.S., Jannatizadeh, A., Luo, Z., and Paliyath, G. 2018. Ensuring sufficient intracellular
ATP supplying and friendly extracellular ATP signaling attenuates stresses, delays senescence
and maintains quality in horticultural crops during postharvest life. Trends in Food Science and
Technology. 76:67-81.

4	3	9
4	J	3

440	Bardin, M. and Gullino, M.L. 2020. Chapter 3: Fungal Diseases. In: Integrated Pest and Disease
441	Management in Greenhouse Crops, Plant Pathology in the 21st Century 9, Springer Nature
442	Switzerland AG., p.55-100.
443	
444	Charles, M.T., Benhamou, N., and Arul, J. 2008. Physiological basis of UV-C induced resistance
445	to Botrytis cinerea in tomato fruit. III. Ultastructural modifications and their impact on fungal
446	colonization. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 47:41-53.
447	
448	CTIFL 2004. Chapitre 2 : Quelques rappels de physiologie, préparation et expression du
449	potentiel de production. In: La culture du fraisier sur substrat, p.22.
450	
451	Darras, A.I., Demopoulos, V., Bali, I., and Tiniakou, C. 2012a. Photomorphogenic reactions in
452	geranium (Pelargonium × hortotum) plants stimulated by brief exposures of ultraviolet-C
453	irradiation. Plant Growth Regulation. 68:343-350.
454	
455	Darras, A.I., Demopoulos, V., and Tiniakou, C. 2012b. UV-C irradiation induces defence
456	responses and improves vase-life of cut gerbera flowers. Postharvest Biology and Technology.
457	64(1):168-174.
458	

459	Darras, A.I., Bali, I., and Argyropoulou, E. 2015. Disease resistance and growth in <i>Pelargonium</i>
460	x hortorum plants to brief pulses of UV-C irradiation. Scientia Horticulturae. 181:95-101.

461

462 Duarte-Sierra, A., Charles, M.T., and Arul, J. 2019. UV-C Hormesis – A Means Of Controlling
463 Diseases and Delaying Senescence in Fresh Fruits and Vegetables during Storage. In:
464 Postharvest Pathology of Fresh Horticultural Produce, 17, 56 pages.

465

El-Ghaouth, A., Wilson, C.L., and Callahan, A.M. 2003. Induction of chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase
and phenylalanine ammonia lyase in peach fruit by UV-C treatment. Phytopathology. 93:349355.

469

Erkan, M., Wang, S.Y., and Wang, C.Y. 2008. Effect of UV treatment on antioxidant capacity,
antioxidant enzyme activity and decay in strawberry fruit. Postharvest Biology and
Technology. 48:163-171.

473

Fina, J., Casadevall R., AbdElgawad, H., Prinsen, E., Markakis, M.N., Beemster, G.T.S., and
Casati, P. 2017. UV-B Inhibits Leaf Growth through Changes in Growth Regulating Factors and
Gibberellin Levels. Plant Physiology. 174:1110-1126.

477

478	Forges, M., Vasquez, H., Charles, F., Chabane Sari, D., Urban, L., Lizzi, Y., Bardin, M., and
479	Aarrouf, J. 2018. Impact of UV-C radiation on the sensitivity of three strawberry plant cultivars
480	(Fragaria x ananassa) against Botrytis cinerea. Scientia Horticulturae. 240:603-613.
481	
482	Freitas, P., Lopez-Galvez, F., Tudela, J., Gil, M., and Allende, A. 2015. Postharvest treatment of
483	table grapes with ultraviolet-C and chitosan coating preserves quality and increases stilbene
484	content. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 105:51-57.
485	
486	Gonzalez-Aguilar, G., Wang, C.Y., Buta, J.G., and Krizek, D.T. 2001. Use of UV-C irradiation to
487	prevent decay and maintain postharvest quality of ripe "Tommy Atkins" mangoes. Journal of
488	Food Science and Technology. 36:767-773.
489	
490	Gonzalez-Aguilar, G., Wang, C., and Buta, G. 2004. UV-C irradiation reduces breakdown and
491	chilling injury of peaches during cold storage. International Journal of Food Science and
492	Agriculture. 84:415-422.
402	

494 Gonzalez-Aguilar, G., Zavaleta-Gatica, R., and Tiznado-Hernandez, M.E. 2007. Improving
495 postharvest quality of mango "Haden" by UV-C treatment. Postharvest Biology and
496 Technology. 45:108-116.

498	Gunness, P., Kravchuk, O., Nottingham, S.M., D'Arcy, B.R., and Gidley, M.J. 2009. Sensory
499	analysis of individual strawberry fruit and comparison with instrumental analysis. Postharvest
500	Biology and Technology. 52:164-172
501	

502 Hannum, S.M. (2004). Potential impact of strawberries on human health: a review of the 503 science. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 44:1-17.

504

```
Huang, H., Ge, Z., Limwachiranon, J., Li, L., Li, W., and Luo, Z. 2017. UV-C treatment affects
browning and starch metabolism of minimally processed lily bulb. Postharvest Biology and
Technology. 128:105-111.
```

508

509	Janisiewicz, W.J., Takeda, F., Nichols, B., Glenn, D.M., Jurick II, W.M., and Camp, M.J. 2016.
510	Use of low-dose UV-C irradiation to control powdery mildew caused by Podosphaera aphanis
511	on strawberry plants. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology. 38(4):430-439.

512

Jin, P., Wang, H., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Wang, L., and Zheng, Y. 2017. UV-C enhances resistance
against gray mold decay caused by *Botrytis cinerea* in strawberry fruit. Scientia Horticulturae.
225:106-111.

516

Kunz, B.A., Cahill, D.M., Mohr, P.G., Osmond, M.J., and Vonarx, E.J. 2006. Plant Responses to
UV Radiation and Links to Pathogen Resistance. International Review of Cytology. 255, p.41.

519

520	Li, D., Luo, Z., Mou, W., Wang, Y., Ying, T., and Mao, L. 2014. ABA and UV-C effects on quality,
521	antioxidant capacity and anthocyanins content of strawberry fruit (Fragaria x ananassa
522	Duch.). Postharvest Biology and Technology. 90:56-62.
523	
524	Li, D., Ye, Q., Jiang, L., and Luo, Z. 2017. Effects of nano-TiO2 packaging on postharvest quality
525	and antioxidant activity of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) stored at low temperature.
526	Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 97:1116-1123.
527	
528	Li, D., Zhang, X., Li, L., Aghdam, M.S., Wei, X., Liu, J., Xu, Y., and Luo, Z. 2019. Elevated CO_2
529	delayed the chlorophyll degradation and anthocyanin accumulation in postharvest strawberry
530	fruit. Food Chemistry. 285:163-170.
531	
532	Liu, J., Stevens, C., Khan, V.A., Lu, J.Y., Wilson, C.L., Adeyeye, O., Kabwe, M.K., Pusey, P.L.,
533	Chalutz, E., Sultana, T., and Droby, S. 1993. The effect of ultraviolet-C light on storage rots and
534	ripening of tomatoes. Journal of Food Protection. 56:868-972.
535	
536	Lu, H., Wang, K., Wang, L., Li, D., Yan, J., Ban, Z., Luo, Z., Li, L., and Yang, D. 2018. Effect of
537	superatmospheric oxygen exposure on strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Fuch.) volatiles,
538	sensory and chemical attributes. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 142:60-71.
539	

540	Maharaj, R., Arul, J., and Nadeau, P. 1999. The Effect of photochemical treatment in the
541	preservation of fresh tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum cv. Capello) by delaying senescence.
542	Postharvest Biology and Technology. 15(1):13-23.
543	
544	Marcelis, L.F.M., Heuveling, E., and Goudriaan, J. (1998). Modeling biomass production and
545	yield of horticultural crops: a review. Scientia Horticulturae. 74:83-111.
546	
547	McGuire, R.G. 1992. Reporting objective color measurements. HortScience. 27(12):1254-
548	1255.
549	
550	Mercier, J., Arul, J., Ponnampalam, R., and Boulet, M. 1993a. Induction of 6-methoxymellein
551	and resistance to storage pathogens in carrot slices by UV-C. Journal of Phytopathology.
552	137:44-54.
553	
554	Mercier, J., Arul, J., and Julien, C. 1993b. Effect of UV-C on phytoalexin accumulation and
555	resistance to Botrytis cinerea in stored carrots. Journal of Phytopathology. 139:17-25.
556	
557	Mercier, J., Baka, M., Reddy, B., Corcuff, R., and Arul, J. 2001. Shortwave Ultraviolet Irradiation
558	for Control of Decay Caused by Botrytis cinerea in Bell Pepper: Induced Resistance and
559	Germicidal Effects. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 126:128-133.
560	

561	Mohammadi, N., Mahammadi, S., Abdossi, V., Akbar, B., and Masoud, M. 2012. Effect of UV-
562	C radiation on antioxidant enzymes in strawberry fruit (Fragaria x ananassa cv. Camarosa).
563	Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science. 7:860.
564	
565	Obande, M.A., Tucker, G.A., and Shama, G. 2011. Effect of preharvest UV-C treatment of
566	tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicon Mill.) on ripening and pathogen resistance. Postharvest
567	Biology and Technology. 62:188-192.
568	
569	Oliveira, I.R., Crizel, G.R., Severo, J., Renard, C.M.G.C., Chaves, F.C., and Rombaldi, C.V. 2016.
570	Preharvest UV-C radiation influences physiological, biochemical, and transcriptional changes
571	in strawberry cv. Camarosa. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 108:391-399.
572	

Ouhibi, C., Attia, H., Rebah, F., Msilini, N., Chebbi, M., Aarrouf, J., Urban, L., and Lachaal, M.
2014. Salt stress mitigation by seed priming with UV-C in lettuce plants: growth, antioxidant
activity and phenolic compounds. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 83:126-133.

576

Ouhibi, C., Attia, H., Nicot, P., Lecompte, F., Vidal, V., Lachaâl, M., Urban, L., and Aarrouf, J.
2015. Effects of nitrogen supply and of UV-C irradiation on the susceptibility of *Lactuca sativa*L. to *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia minor*. Plant and Soil. 393:35-46.

580

581	Pascal, F., Forges, M., Bastien, J.M., Charles, F., Aarrouf, J., and Bardin, M. 2018. Fabrication
582	d'une enceinte UV-C pour le traitement des plantes. Cahier des techniques de l'INRA. 93.
583	
584	Perkins-Veazie, P. 1995. Growth and ripening of strawberry fruit. Horticultural Reviews.
585	17:265-297.

586

Poiroux-Gonord, F., Bidel, L.P.R., Fanciullino, A.L., Gautier, H., Lauri-Lopez, F., and Urban, L.
2010. Health Benefits of Vitamins and Secondary Metabolites of Fruit and Vegetables and
Prospects To Increase Their Concentrations by Agronomic Approaches. Journal of Agricultural
Food and Chemistry. 58:12065-12082.

591

Pombo, M.A., Dotto, M.C., Martínez, G.A., and Civello, P.M. 2009. UV-C irradiation delays
strawberry fruit softening and modifies the expression of genes involved in cell wall
degradation. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 51:141-148.

595

Pombo, A., Rosli, G., Martinez, A., and Civello, M. 2011. UV-C treatment affects the expression
and activity of defense genes in strawberry fruit (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.). Postharvest
Biology and Technology. 59:94-102.

599

600	Severo, J., de Oliveira, I.R., Tiecher, A., Chaves, F.C., and Rombaldi, C.V. 2015. Postharvest UV-C
601	treatment increases bioactive, ester volatile compounds and a putative allergenic protein in
602	strawberry. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 64:685-692.
603	
604	Severo, J., Oliveira, I.R., Bott, R., Le Bourvellec, C., Renard, C.M.G., Page, D., Chaves, F.C., and
605	Rombaldi, C.V. 2016. Preharvest UV-C radiation impacts strawberry metabolite content and
606	volatile organic compound production. Food Science and Technology. 1-4.
607	
608	Siddiqui, A., Dawar, S., Zaki, M.J., and Hamid, N. 2011. Role of ultra violet (UV-C) radiation in
609	the control of root infecting fungi on groundnut and mung bean. Pakistan Journal of Botany.
610	43:2221-2224.
611	
612	Stevens, C., Khan, V.A., Tang, A.Y., and Lu, J.Y. 1990. The effect of ultraviolet radiation on mold
613	rots and nutrients of stored sweet potatoes. Journal of Food Protection. 53:223-226.
614	
615	Stirbet, A., and Govindjee, 2011. On the relation between the Kautsky effect (chlorophyll a
616	fluorescence induction) and Photosystem II: basics and applications of the OJIP fluorescence
617	transient. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B. 104:236-257.
618	
619	Taiz, L., and Zeiger, E. (1998). Plant Physiology. Second ed. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers,

620 Massachusetts, USA.

622	Terry, L.A., and Joyce, D.C. 2004. Elicitors of induced disease resistance in postharvest
623	horticultural crops: a brief review. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 32:1-13.
624	
625	Urban, L., Charles, F., de Miranda, M.R.A., and Aarrouf, J. 2016. Understanding the
626	physiological effects of UV-C light and exploiting its agronomic potential before and after
627	harvest. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 105:1-11.
628	
629	Vasquez, H., Ouhibi, C., Forges, M., Azzouz, N., Bardin, M., Lizzi, Y., Nicot, P., Urban, L., and
630	Aarrouf, J. 2017. Pre-harvest hormetic doses of UV-C radiation can decrease susceptibility of
631	lettuce leaves (Lactuca sativa L.) to Botrytis cinerea. Scientia Horticulturae. 222:32-39.
632	
632 633	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D.,
632 633 634	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit
632 633 634 635	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>
632 633 634 635 636	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.) cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 95:2996-3002.
632 633 634 635 636 637	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.) cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 95:2996-3002.
 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 	Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.) cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 95:2996-3002. Xie, Z., Fan, J., Charles, M.T., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., and Zhang,
 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 	 Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.) cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 95:2996-3002. Xie, Z., Fan, J., Charles, M.T., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., and Zhang, Z. 2016. Preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation: influence on physicochemical parameters
 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 	 Xie, Z., Charles, M.T., Fan, J., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., Deschenes, M., and Dubé, C. 2015. Effects of preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation on fruit phytochemical profiles and antioxidant capacity in three strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i> Duch.) cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 95:2996-3002. Xie, Z., Fan, J., Charles, M.T., Charlebois, D., Khanizadeh, S., Rolland, D., Roussel, D., and Zhang, Z. 2016. Preharvest ultraviolet-C irradiation: influence on physicochemical parameters associated with strawberry fruit quality. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 108:337-343.

642	Xu, Y., Charles, M.T., Luo, Z., Roussel, D., and Rolland, D. 2017a. Potential link between fruit
643	yield, quality parameters and phytohormonal changes in preharvest UV-C treated strawberry.
644	Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 116:80-90.
645	
646	Xu, Y., Luo, Z., Charles, M.T., Rolland, D., and Roussel, D. 2017b. Pre-harvest UV-C irradiation
647	triggers VOCs accumulation with alteration of antioxidant enzymes and phytohormones in
648	strawberry leaves. Journal of Plant Physiology. 218:265-274.
649	
650	Xu, Y., Charles, M.T., Luo, Z., Mimee, B., Tong, Z., Véronneau, P.Y., Rolland, D., and Roussel, D.
651	2018. Preharvest ultraviolet C treatment affected senescence of stored strawberry fruit with

652 a potential role of microRNAs in the activation of the antioxidant system. Journal of 653 Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 66:12188-12197.

654

Xu, Y., Charles, M.T., Luo, Z., Mimee, B., Tong, Z., Roussel, D., Rolland, D., and Véronneau, P.Y.
2019a. Preharvest UV-C treatment affected postharvest senescence and phytochemicals
alternation of strawberry fruit with the possible involvement of abscisic acid regulation. Food
Chemistry. 299:125-138.

659

Xu, Y., Charles, M.T., Luo, Z., Mimee, B., Chao, T., Veronneau, P.Y., Rolland, D., and Roussel, D.
2019b. Ultraviolet-C priming of strawberry leaves against subsequent *Mycosphaerella fragariae* infection involves the action of reactive oxygen species, plant hormones, and
terpenes. Plant Cell and Environment. 42:815-831.

664

```
Yan, J., Luo, Z., Ban, Z., Lu, H., Li, D., Yang, D., Aghdam, M.S., and Li, L. 2019. The effect of the
layer-by-layer (LBL) edible coating on strawberry quality and metabolites during storage.
Postharvest Biology and Technology. 147:29-38.
```

668

Yang, Z., Cao, S., Su, X., and Yueming, J. 2014. Respiratory activity and mitochondrial
membrane associated with fruit senescence in postharvest peaches in response to UV-C
treatment. Food Chemistry. 161:16-21.



<u>Figure 1:</u> Effect of UV-C treatment on (A) the number of leaves per plant and (B) the leaf surface at harvest. "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². (A) Count of leaves was done before the first UV-C treatments, after 15 days of plant growth (S0) and at harvest (S8), after the successive UV-C treatments (n = 47). (B) Leaf surface was measured at harvest day (n = 47). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at p < 0.05.

AUFC	BEFORE	AFTER	DURING
Area	21.63	-16.86	-11.90
FO	0.95	<u>-11.64</u>	<u>-10.57</u>
Fm	-0.18	-13.01	<u>-15.81</u>
Fv	-0.40	-13.28	-16.83
F0/Fm	1.56	1.71	6.38
Fv / Fm	-0.30	-0.33	<u>-1.24</u>
Fv / F0	-1.35	-1.83	-7.15
Sm	14.85	-4.82	5.53
N	10.91	-10.79	-0.22
ABS / RC	-5.15	-6.08	-4.09
Di0/RC	-3.69	-4.06	1.77
TR0 / RC	-5.43	-6.48	-5.24
Et0 / RC	-7.58	-7.09	-4.89
Re0 / RC	-4.16	-10.54	-4.83
Pi total	7.08	-1.60	-1.52
(1-Vi) / (1-Vj)	3.23	-3.48	0.20
1 - (F4/Fm)	-2.97	-0.78	-0.90
Vk / Vj	-9.66	-7.60	-4.29



<u>Figure 2:</u> **Relative fluorescence of chlorophyll a of strawberry plant at the harvest day.** "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². Values represented AUFC (Area Under Fluorescence Curve) and represent difference percentages between each group treated with UV-C compared to the control group (n = 145). A color scale is provided, ranging from red (negative effect of UV-C radiation) to green (positive effect of UV-C radiation). Values in bold and underlined mean significant difference according to the Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05).



<u>Figure 3:</u> Effect of UV-C treatment on the number of (A) buds and (B) flowers per plant (counted one week after the first UV-C treatment). This count was done before flower apparition (n = 47). "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. Groups "BEFORE" and "DURING" corresponded to the same modality at this level because the count was done before flowering (group "AFTER" didn't take into account because because it was after UV-C treatment on modalities "BEFORE" and "DURING"). The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². Different letters indicate significant differences according to the Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05.



<u>Figure 4:</u> Mean number of green fruit produced per strawberry plant during the cultivation. "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². Count was made during 6 weeks just after flowering (post-flowering weeks = F) on each strawberry plant (n = 47). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05.



<u>Figure 5:</u> (A) Number of ripped fruit per strawberry plant at harvest (one week after the last UV-C treatment). (B) Effect of UV-C treatment on the weight of strawberry fruit at harvest (one week after the last UV-C treatment). "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². (A) The count was done on each strawberry plant (n = 47) and (B) The weight of strawberry fruit was done on 20 fruit per modality. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05.



<u>Figure 6:</u> **Susceptibility of strawberry leaves (A) and strawberry fruit (B) to** *B. cinerea.* "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². Inoculation of leaves were made after UV-C treatments by deposing a mycelial plug of *B. cinerea* on the main vein of detached leaves. Surface of necrosis was measured daily for 6 days in order to calculate the AUDPC (Area Under Disease Progression Curve, n = 47). Inoculations of fruit were carried out by depositing a drop of fungus suspension at 10^6 spore/mL. Measurement of necrosis width was done daily for 4 days in order to calculate AUDPC (n = 18). Percentages correspond to the protection or sensibility level compared to the control group: in red depict acceleration of the disease progression curve and percentages indicated in green its slowing down (protection). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at (A) p-value < 0.01 and (B) p-value = 0.05.



<u>Figure 7:</u> **Susceptibility of strawberry leaves to powdery mildew.** "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². Amount of leaves with symptoms was estimated by appearance of powdery and whitish leaf spots (n = 47). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.0001.



<u>Figure 8:</u> **Plants with fruit naturally infected by** *B. cinerea* (%). "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m^2 . The presence or absence of *B. cinerea* on strawberry fruit was evaluated and the percentage of strawberry plants with at least one infected fruit was computed (n = 47). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Khi² test at p-value < 0.05.



<u>Figure 9:</u> **Susceptibility of strawberry fruit to** *Rhizopus* **sp. during fruit storage.** "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized after flowering and "DURING" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m². A follow-up of fruit infected with *Rhizopus* sp. was carried out every day and the percentage of infected fruit was calculated (n = 18). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05.

<u>Table 1:</u> **Evolution of color (Hue and Chroma) and firmness of strawberry.** "CONTROL" corresponds to plants without treatment, "BEFORE" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized before flowering, "AFTER" corresponds to UV-C treatment realized throughout the crop. The selected UV-C dose is 1.70 kJ/m^2 . The firmness and color were done on 18 fruit per modality. Color were estimated with Hue angle (H°, where 0° = red-purple, 90° = yellow, 180° = bluish-green, and 270° = blue) and Chroma (C*, which represents the intensity of color) thanks to L*a*b* parameters (McGuire, 1992). The (*) shows statistical differences between modalities according to Newman-Keuls test at p-value < 0.05.

	COLOR				FIRMNESS				
		Hue H°		Chroma C*					
	0	2	4	0	2	4	0	2	4
CONTROL	29.2 ±0.8	33.3 ±1.0	34.0 ±1.1	43.1 ±1.0	41.1 ±1.8	46.5 ±1.0	4.2 ±0.6	3.9 ±0.3	3.4 ±0.3
BEFORE	28.3 ±1.3	31.5 ±0.7	30.3* ±0.9	42.3 ±1.0	42.0 ±1.2	43.1 ±0.9	4.4 ±0.7	4.2 ±0.3	3.2 ±0.1
AFTER	26.7 ±1.9	26.0* ±0.5	27.6* ±0.5	38.6 ±1.4	33.5 ±0.9	39.4 ±0.7	4.1 ±0.6	4.1 ±0.3	3.3 ±0.2
DURING	25.8 ±1.2	26.1* ±0.7	25.7* ±1.2	37.7 ±1.3	35.1 ±1.3	40.5 ±1.3	4.2 ±0.4	4.3 ±0.4	3.2 ±0.2