

Caribbean nutrition transition: what can we learn from dietary patterns in the French West Indies?

Zoé Colombet, Benjamin Allès, Marlène Perignon, Edwige Landais, Yves Martin-Prével, Marie-Josephe Amiot-Carlin, Nicole Darmon, Caroline Mejean

► To cite this version:

Zoé Colombet, Benjamin Allès, Marlène Perignon, Edwige Landais, Yves Martin-Prével, et al.. Caribbean nutrition transition: what can we learn from dietary patterns in the French West Indies?. European Journal of Nutrition, 2021, 60 (2), pp.1111-1124. 10.1007/s00394-020-02317-x. hal-02892328

HAL Id: hal-02892328 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02892328

Submitted on 25 Aug 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Caribbean nutrition transition: what can we learn from dietary patterns in the French West Indies?

Zoé Colombet*, Benjamin Allès, Marlène Perignon, Edwige Landais, Yves Martin-Prevel, Marie-Josèphe Amiot, Nicole Darmon, Caroline Méjean

- MOISA, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CIHEAM-IAMM, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France (ZC, MP, MJA, ND, CM)
- 2. Université de Paris, CRESS, INSERM, INRA, Cnam, F-93017 Bobigny, France (BA)
- 3. Université Paris 13, CRESS, INSERM, INRA, Cnam, F-93017 Bobigny, France (BA)
- Nutripass, Université de Montpellier, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France (EL, YMP)

Corresponding author: Zoé Colombet

INRA, UMR 1110 MOISA, 2 place Pierre Viala, F-34000 Montpellier, France

Phone number: 00 33 4 99 61 30 03

E-mail: zoe.colombet@inra.fr

Running Title: Dietary patterns in the French West Indies

Sources of Support: This study was part of the NuTWInd project (Nutrition Transition in French West Indies), supported by the French National Research Agency (Agence Nationale de la Recherche, ANR) in the context of the 2016 "appel à projets générique" (ANR-16-CE21-0009).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the Martinique health observatory (OSM), the Guadeloupe health observatory (Orsag), the regional health agency of Martinique (ARS-Martinique), the regional health agency of Guadeloupe (ARS-Guadeloupe), the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health and Safe (Anses) and the French Public Health Agency (Santé publique France), as the main investigators, promoters and supporters of the Kannari study. The authors thank the Nutritional Surveillance and Epidemiology Team (ESEN), French Public Health Agency, and Paris-13 University, as the main investigator of the nutritional part of the study and for access to the Kannari database and support documentation. The authors specially thank Katia Castetbon for access to her previous work on Kannari database and her help in data comprehension.

Post-print version

Colombet, Z., Allès, B., Perignon, M. *et al.* Caribbean nutrition transition: what can we learn from dietary patterns in the French West Indies? *European Journal of Nutrition* (2020).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02317-x

Abbreviations

95% CI: 95% confidence interval

BMI: body mass index

BMR: basal metabolic rate

DQI-I: Diet Quality Index-International

FFQ: food frequency questionnaire

HDL: High-Density Lipoprotein

MetS: metabolic syndrome

NRF: Nutrient-Rich Foods Index

OR: Odds ratio

PCA: Principal component analysis

SE: Standard error

SEM: Standard error of mean

SES: Socioeconomic status

1 Abstract

Purpose: Despite the urgency regarding increasing rates of obesity and chronic diseases in
the Caribbean, few studies described the nutrition transition. We aimed to provide such
information by identifying dietary patterns in the French West Indies and their characteristics.

Methods: This cross-sectional analysis included 1,144 Guadeloupeans and Martinicans from
a multistage sampling survey conducted on a representative sample. Dietary patterns were
identified using principal component analysis followed by a clustering procedure, and
described using multivariable regression models.

Results: Four patterns were identified: (i) a "prudent" pattern characterized by high intakes of 9 10 fruits, vegetables, legumes, seafood and yogurts, low intakes of fatty and sweet products, and a high Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I); (ii) a "traditional" pattern characterized by 11 high intakes of fruits, vegetables, tubers and fish, low intakes of red and processed meat, 12 snacks, fast foods, and sweetened beverages, with a high DQI-I, mostly shaped by women and 13 older persons; (iii) a "convenient" pattern characterized by high intakes of sweetened 14 15 beverages, snacks, and fast foods, with the lowest DQI-I, principally shaped by young participants; (iv) a "transitioning" pattern characterized by high consumptions of bread, 16 processed meat, sauces, alcoholic and sweetened beverages, but also high intakes of tubers, 17 legumes, and fish, mainly shaped by men, middle aged, of whom 35% had metabolic 18 19 syndrome.

Conclusion: The co-existing dietary patterns in the French West Indies, marked by a
 generational contrast, seem to reflect different steps in dietary change as described in the
 literature, suggesting an ongoing nutrition transition.

4

- 23 Keywords: Nutrition transition; Dietary patterns; Profiles of consumers; Clusters; French
- 24 West Indies; Food consumption

25 Introduction

The nutrition transition sees the emergence of a "Western" dietary pattern characterized by 26 27 high intakes of saturated fats, sugars, and refined foods, and low intakes of fiber-rich foods, mainly owing to the high availability of cheap energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods [1]. This 28 29 dietary shift has accompanied the development of a sedentary life-style, resulting in an increasing prevalence of obesity and nutrition-related chronic diseases [2]. As most of the 30 Caribbean territories [3, 4], the French West Indies (Martinique and Guadeloupe) have a high 31 32 prevalence of chronic diseases: 23% of adults are obese (27% of women, 18% of men), 38% have hypertension (39% of women, 38% of men), and 8% are pharmacologically treated for 33 diabetes (9% of women, 7% of men) [5–7]. Despite the urgency regarding increasing rates of 34 35 obesity and chronic diseases in the Caribbean [8, 9], very few studies have characterized the 36 nutrition transition through dietary patterns in this area. In the Caribbean, energy availability has increased since the 1960s owing to a growing availability of animal-source foods, fats and 37 38 oils, and simple sugars, while the availability of food sources with complex carbohydrates has consistently declined [8, 10–12]. The rare studies that have explored individual dietary intakes 39 40 in the Caribbean report more frequent consumption of ultra-processed foods and red meat, and lower intakes of fruits, vegetables, traditional tubers, and fish among young adults 41 42 compared with their elders [13, 14]. In the French West Indies, the two surveys assessing 43 adults' dietary intakes conducted in Martinique and Guadeloupe [15, 16] showed similar results, notably lower intake of fruits and vegetables, dairy products, and seafood in persons 44 aged below 55 years, compared with older ones [16]. This suggests an ongoing nutrition 45 transition, with young adults' diets being less rooted in traditional dietary habits. 46 To develop appropriate public health policies, characterization of the nutrition transition step 47 is needed. In-depth description of the persistence of traditional diet and the place of 48

49 "globalized" diet in the Caribbean population could provide useful, accurate information to

- 50 identify populations at higher nutritional risk. The study reported here aims at providing such
- 51 information by identifying typical dietary patterns in the French West Indies and describing
- 52 them according to health status, food supply practices, and socioeconomic characteristics.

53 Subjects and methods

54 <u>Population</u>

Subjects were participants aged 16 and over from the cross-sectional "Kannari survey: Health, 55 56 Nutrition and Exposure to Chlordecone in French West Indies", conducted on Guadeloupean and Martinican adults and children by Santé publique France (the French public health 57 agency) in 2013–2014, and described elsewhere [15]. Briefly, the Kannari survey was based 58 on a multistage stratified random sample of the Guadeloupean and Martinican populations to 59 describe chlordecone food exposure and impregnation, health status, and food intakes in these 60 61 populations. Sample selection was based on a three-stage cluster design (geographic areas, household, and individuals in the household), stratified by chlordecone contamination areas 62 63 (coastline and inland).

The Kannari survey was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines, and the survey protocol received approval from the ethical research committee for the South-West and Overseas II (Comité de protection des personnes Sud-Ouest et Outre-Mer II, CPP No. 2-13-10) and the French data protection authority (Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés No. 913236). All the participants gave their informed consent.

69 Data collection

Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, health status and food frequency data were
collected through face-to-face interviews at home using standardized questionnaires and
anthropometric data and blood pressure were measured. Trained dietitians conducted 24-h
dietary recalls over the phone. Blood sample was collected for adults aged 18 and over.

74 Assessment of demographic and socioeconomic characteristics

75 Demographic characteristics were sex, age, location (Guadeloupe or Martinique), single-

76 parent household, presence or not of at least one child in the household, and marital status.

Age categories, assessing the generational effect, were equally distributed before considering 77 78 weights in the statistical analysis, as it was broken down into tertiles: 16-45 years, 46-60 years, and over 60 years. To better understand the age structure of the patterns, a sensitivity 79 analysis was conducted using specific age cutpoints, broken down more finely categories: 16-80 30, 31-45, 46-60, 61-75, and above 75. Socioeconomic characteristics were education, 81 82 employment status, and being whether or not a recipient of social assistance benefits. As 83 income information was not available, social assistance benefit was used to identify the most deprived participants in our sample, in the form of a guaranteed minimum income. Education 84 was recoded into three categories according to the highest qualification attained: low (no or 85 86 primary school), middle (below high school), and high (equivalent to or higher than high school). Employment status was coded into three categories: unemployed and never-87 employed (disabled, homemakers and students), employed, and retired. Finally, occupation 88 89 was classified using the six categories used by the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE) [17]: never-employed, manual worker, employee, intermediate 90 profession (technician, skilled employee, teacher, nurse, etc.), managerial staff and self-91 employed (artisan, shopkeeper, company manager, farmer). If participants were retired or 92 unemployed, their last occupation was recorded. 93

94 Assessment of dietary intake

95 Dietary data were collected using two non-consecutive randomly assigned 24-h dietary 96 recalls. The distribution between weekdays and weekend days was balanced at the sample 97 level. Participants were asked to describe in detail their food intake and amount consumed 98 during the 24 hours preceding the interview. Portion sizes were estimated using standard 99 measurements (e.g., home containers, grams indicated on the package) or a validated 100 illustrated booklet [18], representing more than 250 foods specific to the French West Indies 101 (corresponding to 1000 generic foods) served in seven different portion sizes. In addition to 102 24-h dietary recalls, participants completed a qualitative 119-item food frequency

103 questionnaire (FFQ), covering the last 12 months. Values for energy, macronutrients, and micronutrients such as calcium, iron, sodium, vitamins B12, C, and D were estimated using 104 105 published nutrient databases [19] extended for French West Indian market foods and recipes. Beverage and food items were classified according to the information provided in the French 106 107 Nutrition and Health Program guides yielding 64 food groups, aggregated into 39 food groups 108 for this study. The Multiple Source Method (MSM) was used to estimate usual dietary intake [20]. With the MSM, usual dietary intakes were estimated using the amounts of consumption 109 from 24-h dietary recalls combined with consumption frequencies declared in the FFQ, taking 110 into account inter- and intra-individual variations, according to sex and age. This method let 111 112 us keep in our analysis 30 subjects who completed only one 24-h dietary recall.

113 Erroneous quantities due to data entry errors were identified using day- and food-specific established thresholds. According to the percentage of erroneous data in declared quantities in 114 the recall and the declaration of the subject the representativeness of the recall compared to 115 his/her usual diet, the recall was corrected. Misreporters of energy intake (over- and under-116 reporters) were identified by the method proposed by Black [21]. Briefly, basal metabolic rate 117 (BMR) was estimated using Mifflin equations [22] since a high prevalence of overweight and 118 obesity was observed in our study sample. BMR was compared to energy intake by using a 119 120 physical activity level of 1.55 x BMR as the cut-off to identify misreporters [21]. Subjects who reported specific conditions that could objectively explain low energy intake, such as a 121 low-energy diet to lose weight or acute disease, were not recorded as under-reporters. No 122 123 subject was identified as over-reporter in our sample. Under-reporters were excluded from the analyses. 124

125 Snacking occasion and characteristics

126 The eating occasions were categorized according to their nutritional content and self-reported time, following the methodology of Si Hassen et al. [23]. In the present study, we focused on 127 overall snacking, defined as having at least one eating occasion apart from main meals during 128 the last 24 hours, only on weekdays, because of variable and unusual eating behavior on 129 weekends. Overall snacking was characterized by the occurrence, energy intake, energy 130 density, and nutrient density. The energy density of snacks was calculated as the ratio of 131 energy intake by the quantity of food declared, multiplied by 100, excluding the 10% lowest-132 calorie beverages. The nutrient density of snacks was assessed by the Nutrient-Rich Foods 133 134 Index (NRF9.3) developed by Fulgoni et al. [24], calculated as the sum of the amount per 100 kcal divided by the daily values of nine nutrients and minerals to be encouraged (protein, 135 fiber, vitamins A, C and E, calcium, iron, magnesium, and potassium) and subtracting the 136 amount per 100 kcal divided by the daily values of three nutrients to be limited (saturated fat, 137 added sugars, and sodium). The daily values were those used by Fulgoni, defined by the Food 138 and Drug Administration [24]. 139

140 *Diet quality*

The overall quality of the diet was evaluated using the Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-141 I) developed by Kim *et al.*, as it assesses several aspects of diet quality and allows 142 international comparisons [25]. The DQI-I (range 0-100), including both nutrient- and food-143 group items, consists of 17 components grouped into four main categories: variety (overall 144 food group variety and within-group variety for protein source), adequacy (vegetables, fruits, 145 cereals, fiber, protein, iron, calcium, vitamin C), moderation (total fat, saturated fat, 146 cholesterol, sodium, empty-energy foods) and overall balance (macronutrient ratio and fatty 147 acid ratio). 148

149 Degree of food processing

150 Every food and beverage recalled by participants were classified in one of the four groups of the NOVA classification according to the extent and purpose of the industrial processing used 151 152 in their production [26]: group 1, unprocessed or minimally processed foods; group 2, processed culinary ingredients; group 3, processed foods; group 4, ultra-processed foods. 153 Ultra-processed foods are formulations made mostly or entirely from substances derived from 154 155 foods and additives, with little if any intact group 1 food, such as soft drinks, sweet or savory packaged snacks, and pre-prepared frozen dishes. For the present study, the percentage of 156 energy intake provided by the ultra-processed food group was estimated. 157

158 *Food supply practices*

Food supply practices were evaluated with a questionnaire for five food groups ("fruits,
vegetables, roots and tubers", "fish and seafood", "red meat", "poultry", and "eggs"),
categorized as "no purchase or no preference", "only or mainly in supermarkets", "only or
mainly elsewhere than supermarkets". Also, overall home production (foods from their own
production) and donation from someone outside the household were evaluated.

164 *Health status*

165 Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and categorized according to the World Health

166 Organization (WHO) classification [27] and recorded into three categories: underweight or

167 normal weight, overweight, and obese. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) was

determined according to the Joint Interim Statement [28] as meeting at least three of the

- following five criteria: (i) elevated waist circumference (\geq 94 cm for men and \geq 80 cm for
- 170 women), (ii) elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides),
- 171 (iii) low HDL-cholesterolemia (<40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women or
- dyslipidemia treatment), (iv) elevated blood pressure (systolic blood pressure \geq 130 mm Hg

and/or diastolic ≥85 mm Hg or antihypertensive drug treatment), and (v) elevated fasting
glucose (≥100 mg/dL or antidiabetic medication). Waist circumference and blood pressure
were measured for all participants, but biological data were available only for a subsample.
For participants who agreed to blood sampling, fasting glucose, triglycerides, and HDLcholesterol concentrations were measured. All the subjects were asked about medication for
dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes.

179 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

180 To identify different dietary patterns, we used a two-step procedure. First, a weighted principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to food group intakes (in g/d) adjusted for 181 daily energy intake according to sex, using the residual method. Food groups with a factor 182 loading coefficient under 0.25 being excluded, PCA was applied to the 25 food group intakes 183 (among 39 available) detailed in Table 1. PCA generates independent linear combinations of 184 185 the initial food group variables, maximizing the explained variance. Factors were rotated by an orthogonal transformation. According to eigenvalues above 1.5, Scree test (Cattell test) 186 and interpretability of factors [29], three dimensions were retained (Factor Loading available 187 188 in **Supplementary Table 1**). Using these three dimensions, a clustering procedure was then performed by applying Ward's hierarchical classification of the individuals, maximizing the 189 inter-class inertia. The graphical observation of the dendrogram, illustrating stages of 190 classification, pseudo F, pseudo t^2 and the cubic clustering criterion (CCC), were used to 191 estimate the appropriate number of clusters [30]. Stabilization of the clusters was carried out 192 to distribute the individuals better by clusters. Finally, to test the robustness of the clusters, 193 kappa coefficients, markers of agreement between each simulated sample and the whole 194 sample, were calculated for 50 randomly selected samples composed of three quarters of the 195 whole sample, using an equal probability sampling method. Cluster analysis yielded groups, 196 interpreted as dietary patterns, labeled according to their main food intakes. Clusters were 197

described according to their main nutritional characteristics (food group and nutrient intakes 198 199 adjusted for daily energy intake without alcohol, DQI-I, percentage of energy intake provided by the ultra-processed food group, occurrence of overall snacking and, energy intake, energy 200 201 density and nutrient density of overall snacking). Multivariable logistic regressions were performed by calculating adjusted percentages and Odds Ratios (ORs), 95% confidence 202 intervals (95% CI) to determine the strength of the association between each pattern 203 204 membership (belonging to on this pattern or not) and demographic and socioeconomic 205 characteristics, health status and food supply practices. Models were adjusted for sex, location (Guadeloupe or Martinique), age, employment status, education, social assistance benefits, 206 207 presence of at least one child in the household, single-parent household, marital status and body mass index (BMI). 208

Sensitivity analyses were also conducted. First, we described our patterns stratified by age
("under 45" and "aged 45 or above"). To assess whether identified dietary patterns were
different between Guadeloupe and Martinique, we also conducted stratified PCA on location.
Finally, we performed PCA excluding alcoholic beverages.

To take into account the complex survey design, weighting was calculated for each sex on age, education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area with chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland) and urban size, using the iterative proportional fitting procedure according to the French national census reports [31]. In all the analyses, we used specific survey procedures to take into account weighting and stratification.

For all analyses, a *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data management
and statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC,
USA.).

222 **Results**

Among the 1,799 subjects who participated in the Kannari study, 458 did not complete a 24-h dietary recall and 197 were energy under-reporters leaving 1,144 subjects (\geq 16 y) included in the analyses (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

The great majority were born in either Guadeloupe or Martinique. Our sample was equitably

distributed between Guadeloupe and Martinique and 57% of respondents were women

228 (Supplementary Table 2). Approximately 44% of the participants were aged under 46 years,

and 27% were aged above 60. Almost 40% of the participants were living with at least one

child in their household, and 6% were single parents. Regarding socioeconomic

characteristics, 32% of the participants were unemployed or never-employed. Half of the

sample were employees, and only 7% were managerial staff. The low-educated formed 44%,

the high-educated 37%, and 19% received social assistance benefits. Regarding health status,

234 21% of the sample were obese, 40% had hypertension, 11% had diabetes, and MetS was

identified in 23%.

Cluster analysis yielded four groups. These clusters were interpreted as four dietary patterns 236 and labeled according to their main food intakes as "prudent", "traditional", "convenient" and 237 "transitioning" patterns, representing respectively, 25%, 24%, 31%, and 20% of the sample. 238 Table 1 presents the daily intakes adjusted for energy intake of the 25 food groups included in 239 the PCA across dietary patterns. Table 2 and Figure 1 describe the main nutritional 240 characteristics of each dietary pattern, and Tables 3, 4, and 5 describe demographic and 241 socioeconomic factors, health status and supply practices, respectively. Odds Ratios and 95% 242 243 CI to assess the associations between pattern membership and demographic and socioeconomic factors, health status and supply practices are presented in **Supplementary** 244 Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively. 245

246 **The "prudent" pattern**

247 The participants belonging to the cluster displaying a "prudent" pattern had the highest intakes of rice, whole-grain products, seafood and yogurts, and the lowest intake of fatty and 248 249 sweet products. They also had high intakes of fruits, vegetables and legumes, and low intake of tubers, sweetened beverages, biscuits, cakes, and pastries. Those displaying this "prudent" 250 251 pattern had the highest intake of calcium, the lowest intake of free sugars, and a high DQI-I. 252 Complex carbohydrates and proteins made up, respectively, 29% and 19% of their daily 253 energy intake. "Prudent pattern" subjects had the lowest percentage of energy provided by ultra-processed food and their snacks had the highest nutritional density (p=0.02, data not 254 255 shown). A high percentage (45%) bought their fruits, vegetables, roots, and tubers mainly in supermarkets, and 77% had food donated by someone outside the household, higher than 256 257 other patterns. Overall, "Prudent pattern" participants had similar sociodemographic and 258 economic characteristics to those of the overall sample, except for the higher percentages of Guadeloupeans (62%) and individuals living in couples. A high percentage of high-educated 259 260 individuals was observed, not significantly higher than in other patterns. Regarding health status, a high percentage of obesity was observed, but not significantly higher compared with 261 other patterns. 262

263 The "traditional" pattern

Participants belonging to this cluster displaying a "traditional" pattern had the highest
consumption of fruits, vegetables, tubers, fish, fatty and sweet products, and traditional
French West Indian dishes, and the lowest consumption of starches, red and processed meat,
poultry, sweetened beverages, alcoholic beverages, and snacks and fast foods. In addition,
they had the lowest energy intake, and total and simple carbohydrates made up respectively
48% and 22% of the total energy intake. Compared with other subjects, "traditional" pattern
subjects had the highest DQI-I, with a high score for moderation and overall balance

components. In this pattern, only 10% of the participants ate no breakfast, and the energy
provided by snacking was lower than for the other patterns (p=0.04, data not shown). A high
percentage of subjects bought their supplies mainly in supermarkets, except for fish and
seafood, mainly bought elsewhere. This pattern was shaped mostly by women and older
subjects.

276 The "convenient" pattern

The participants belonging to the cluster displaying a "convenient" pattern had the lowest 277 278 intakes of fruit, vegetable, tubers, whole-grain foods, fish and seafood, yogurts and traditional 279 French West Indian dishes, and the highest intakes of potatoes, pasta, poultry, biscuits, cakes and pastries, snacks and fast foods and sweetened beverages. The contribution of lipids to the 280 281 daily energy intake was higher than in the other patterns, especially saturated fatty acids, and 282 the protein contribution was lower. "Convenient" pattern subjects also had the lowest intakes of fiber, calcium, vitamins D and B12, and the highest intake of free sugars compared with 283 284 the other patterns. This resulted in the lowest DQI-I found in the sample, with a low variety score. The percent energy intake provided by ultra-processed foods was the highest. Almost 285 30% of the subjects in this pattern ate no breakfast, and 59% had a snack at least once in the 286 day. Snacking made up 24% of the daily energy intake, but its nutritional density was low. In 287 this pattern, subjects bought their fruits, vegetables, roots, and tubers mainly in supermarkets 288 (p=0.01), and had a low percentage of home-produced foods. This "convenient" pattern was 289 mainly composed of young participants (<46 years). 290

291 The "transitioning" pattern

The participants belonging to the cluster displaying a "transitioning" pattern had the highest consumption of bread, red and processed meat, sauces and alcoholic beverages, and the lowest intakes of whole grain products, but also of biscuits, cakes, and pastries. Subjects also had high intake of sweetened beverages, but conversely high intakes of tubers, legumes, fish,

17

and offal. In addition, 45% of their dishes were traditional French West Indian dishes. They 296 297 had the highest intakes of fiber, sodium and iron, and the highest energy intake, of which 31% was provided by complex carbohydrates. This resulted in an intermediate DQI-I of 61 points. 298 299 A low percentage of snacking was found in subjects with this pattern (50%) and their snacks contributed only slightly to the daily energy intake. This "transitioning" pattern was mainly 300 shaped by men and middle-aged individuals. In this "transitioning" pattern, the prevalence of 301 302 overweight was high (41%), as was the prevalence of MetS (35%), significantly higher compared with other patterns. 303

To understand the age structure, the contribution of each pattern to each age category was assessed (**Figure 2**). The "convenient" pattern was found in almost 70% of the subjects aged under 30 and in 45% of those aged between 31 and 45. The "prudent" pattern also formed a high percentage of the subjects aged under 46, and was present in those aged between 46 and 60. The "transitioning" pattern was strong at ages above 45 and especially above 60. Finally, the "traditional" pattern comprised almost the majority of subjects aged 61 to 75 and most of those over 75.

In sensitivity analysis, the same four patterns were found in the PCA stratified for location 311 (Guadeloupe and Martinique) (data not shown). Also, the same patterns occurred when 312 313 alcoholic beverages were excluded from the PCA (data not shown). Finally, sensitivity analysis stratified by age (Supplementary Table 6) led to different profiles inside patterns 314 according to age (under 45 years or aged 45 and above). In all four patterns, education level 315 316 profiles were different according to age group, the younger persons being more educated than the older ones, i.e., above age 45 years. Also, compared with other patterns, the "convenient" 317 pattern had the highest percentage of unemployed or never-employed persons among the 318 319 above 45 years group. Finally, a high percentage of obesity in the "traditional" pattern was found, mainly in younger individuals (under 45 years). 320

321 Discussion

In this study conducted in the French West Indies, we identified the following four dietary patterns: "prudent", "traditional", "convenient" and "transitioning". Each pattern exhibited specific nutritional, demographic, and socioeconomic characteristics.

325 Four co-existing dietary patterns

326 Two of our identified dietary patterns, which we labeled "prudent" and "convenient", have been found worldwide and are largely described [32–34]. Consistent with the literature, our 327 "prudent" pattern had high intakes of fruits, vegetables, legumes, rice, whole grains, fish, and 328 329 seafood. However, we detected some differences regarding the intake of red meat. The association between consumption of meat and socioeconomic position seems to change 330 331 during nutrition transition: higher socioeconomic status (SES) is first associated with higher 332 meat intakes, and then with lower meat intakes at an advanced step in the nutrition transition, which may be partly due to changes in meat representation in society [35]. Meat is an 333 essential food in typical French West Indian meals, associated with pleasure and health by its 334 335 contribution to protein intake [36]. This may explain the occurrence of red meat intakes in our "prudent" pattern. The "convenient" or "Western" pattern is generally characterized by high 336 intakes of red and processed meat, refined grains, sweets, and soft drinks, and low 337 micronutrient intakes, leading to low diet quality [32-34]. Although consistent, our 338 "convenient" pattern included some specific features: subjects did not have high intakes of 339 red meat, whereas their intakes of poultry were high. This may be due to the high availability 340 and low prices of imported frozen poultry [37]. To our knowledge, only one study, a case-341 control study on 516 Jamaican men, has identified dietary patterns in the Caribbean [38]. In 342 concordance with our results, they identified four patterns including a "vegetable and legume" 343 344 pattern similar to our "prudent" pattern and a "meat" pattern similar to our "convenient" pattern, with high loadings for processed meat and poultry (0.57 and 0.39, respectively) but 345

not for red meat (0.25) [38]. The identification of such "prudent" and "convenient" patterns in 346 347 French West Indies is further evidence of a worldwide homogenization of dietary patterns due to international trade agreements and the globalization of food production and distribution 348 increasing dependence on imported processed foods [2, 39]. However, a "traditional" pattern 349 remained in the French West Indies, reflecting specific cultural habits such as high intakes of 350 fruits, vegetables, tubers and fish, and low intakes of starches, processed meat, sweetened 351 352 beverages, snacks and fast foods, consistent with the composition of typical meals [36] and the results of the work conducted by the Health Agency of Guadeloupe in 2010, which 353 identified a similar traditional profile [40]. To our knowledge, no other study in the Caribbean 354 355 has identified a "traditional" pattern, yet brief descriptions of the Caribbean diet are consistent with our findings, mentioning tuber, white bread, rice, plantains, fish, and bean dishes as 356 traditional foods [14, 41]. In agreement with results obtained in 2010 in Guadeloupe [40], the 357 358 diet quality of subjects in our "traditional" pattern was high, just as high as for the "prudent" one, which could be due to the good balance of typical meals [36]. Previous studies have 359 identified traditional diets with high diet quality such as Mediterranean-type diets, associated 360 with reduced health risks [42]. Further studies assessing associations between French West 361 Indies' traditional diet and chronic diseases are needed to evaluate whether this diet, 362 363 affordable and culturally and socially acceptable, may be promoted to fight against chronic diseases and obesity. Finally, concordant with studies that identified a "transitioning" pattern, 364 mixing traditional and "modern" foods [43, 44], the present study identified a pattern 365 characterized by high intakes of traditional French West Indies foods (tubers, legumes, fish, 366 and offal), coexisting with high intakes of "Western" foods (sweetened beverages, butter, 367 processed meat, bread, pasta, and sauces). Our finding is consistent with a recent study 368 conducted among 100 Puerto Rican women, where foods contributing to macronutrient intake 369 reflected both traditional Puerto Rican diets and "Western" diets [41]. This "transitioning" 370

pattern suggests an ongoing nutrition transition in the French West Indies, with populationsmoving from a traditional to a convenient diet.

373 Individuals characteristics of the dietary patterns

374 First, a difference according to sex was found for the "traditional" pattern, mainly shaped by women, and the "transitioning" pattern, by men. Our result was consistent with published 375 376 studies showing healthier dietary behavior in women [32, 45, 46]. Also consistent with the literature [1, 32, 33] and with the only study assessing associations between 377 sociodemographic factors and frequency of consumption of some foods in a Caribbean 378 context [14], we observed a generational effect, younger subjects adopting new dietary 379 patterns, while the traditional dietary pattern persisted in older participants, and the 380 381 transitioning pattern in middle-aged ones. We can, therefore, hypothesize that nutrition 382 transition in French West Indies started in younger individuals (<45 years, as shown in the sensitivity analysis) who changed their diet to a "modern" one, may be due to readier 383 adoption of "Westernized" lifestyles, related to different responses to social and economic 384 changes according to the generation [1], and to different responses to advertising and 385 marketing, the youngest being the most receptive [47]. Our "prudent" pattern largely 386 comprised high-educated persons, concordant with the literature [32, 33]. Education is 387 associated with a better understanding of the importance of nutritional information messages 388 and the ability to appropriate them, leading to healthier dietary patterns in high-educated 389 individuals [48, 49]. The high percentage of snacking and their high nutritional density in our 390 391 "prudent" pattern is consistent with findings of a French mainland study showing positive associations between education and prevalence and nutritional density of snacks [23]. Finally, 392 few associations with food supply practices were significant while we may have expected an 393 394 association with the 'convenient' pattern as literature shown changes in food supply as one of the characteristics of nutrition transition, with supermarket becoming the major source of 395

supply for food instead of market [2]. A recent study conducted by our team showed that retail expansion impacted the nutritional quality of food imports in the French West Indies: the spread of super and hypermarkets was associated with not only larger imports of animal protein, saturated fat, and sugar, but also a larger per-capita fiber supply [50]. The lack of significant association in our study may be due to the food supply practice questionnaire, which included only five food groups, contributing to chlordecone exposure.

402 Unlike previous works [32], no association between the "convenient" pattern and health status
403 was found in our study, which may result from the individuals' young age in this pattern, and
404 the cross-sectional design of our study.

405 *Limitations*

The interpretation of our results must take into account several limitations. First, an inherent 406 limitation of a cross-sectional design is the impossibility of inferring causal relationships, and 407 408 potential reverse causality. The rather small size of our sample may question about the generalizability of our findings, yet the Kannari survey was carefully designed to be 409 representative and analyses were weighted according to national census data, our final sample 410 411 fitting the general population distribution, which allows to limit the bias. Dietary recalls conducted over the phone may have caused bias of reporting food consumption among low-412 educated participants, some of whom being probably innumerate and illiterate. However, the 413 414 use of the illustrated booklet with more than 250 photos, corresponding to 1000 generic foods, served in seven different portion sizes, and the fact that recalls were conducted by trained 415 dietitians have limited the bias. Also, 15% of the subjects were identified as energy under-416 417 reporters and excluded from the analysis sample: compared with included subjects, the excluded participants were younger, with a higher percentage of unemployed or never-418 employed individuals [51]. Finally, some other drivers of the nutrition transition, such as 419 420 characteristics of the foodscape (neighborhood densities of fast-food outlets or supermarkets)

and the food availability, could not be considered in our models. However, these
characteristics may be associated with the dietary patterns, especially the 'convenient' one, as
retail expansion is associated with animal protein, saturated fat, and sugar imports in the
French West Indies [50].

425

426 Conclusion

427 The diversified dietary patterns identified in the French West Indies seem to reflect different 428 steps of dietary change as previously described in the literature, suggesting an ongoing nutrition 429 transition. These patterns co-exist with a generational contrast, providing useful information for 430 public health actions targeting population groups at higher nutritional risk.

431

432 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

433 Author contributions: The authors' responsibilities were as follows: Z.C. designed the

434 study, performed statistical analysis, interpreted data, and drafted the manuscript; B.A., M.P.,

435 E.L., Y.M.P., M.J.A., and N.D. were involved in the interpretation of data, and helped to draft

the manuscript; C.M. was involved in the conception and design of the study, supervision of

437 statistical analysis and interpretation of data, and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors

438	read and	approved	the	final	manuscri	pt
-----	----------	----------	-----	-------	----------	----

References

- 1. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW (2012) Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. Nutr Rev 70:3–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2011.00456.x
- Popkin BM (2006) Global nutrition dynamics: the world is shifting rapidly toward a diet linked with noncommunicable diseases. Am J Clin Nutr 84:289–298. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/84.1.289
- 3. World Health Organization (WHO) WH STEPS Country Reports. http://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/reports/en/. Accessed 8 Apr 2019
- 4. International Diabetes Federation (2017) IDF Diabetes Atlas, 8th ed
- Daigre J-L, Atallah A, Boissin J-L, et al (2012) The prevalence of overweight and obesity, and distribution of waist circumference, in adults and children in the French Overseas Territories: the PODIUM survey. Diabetes Metab 38:404–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2012.03.008
- 6. Merle S, Yacou C, Neller N, et al (2015) Facteurs de risque cardio-vasculaires en population générale aux Antilles : premiers résultats santé de l'enquête Kannari. Bull Veille Sanit 9
- 7. Fagot-Campagna A, Romon I, Fosse S, Roudier C (2010) Prévalence et incidence du diabète, et mortalité liée au diabète en France. Synthèse épidémiologique. Inst Veille Sanit 12
- Sinha DP, McIntosh CE (1992) Changing Nutritional Patterns in the Caribbean and Their Implications for Health. Food Nutr Bull 14:88–96. https://doi.org/10.1177/156482659201400213
- 9. Maire B, Lioret S, Gartner A, Delpeuch F (2002) Transition nutritionnelle et maladies chroniques non transmissibles liées à l'alimentation dans les pays en développement. 12:45–55
- 10. Sinha DP (1995) Changing patterns of food, nutrition and health in the Caribbean. Nutr Res 15:899–938. https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5317(95)00055-N
- 11. Sheehy T, Sharma S (2010) The nutrition transition in Barbados: trends in macronutrient supply from 1961 to 2003. Br J Nutr 104:1222–1229. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510002011
- 12. Sheehy T, Sharma S (2013) Trends in energy and nutrient supply in Trinidad and Tobago from 1961 to 2007 using FAO food balance sheets. Public Health Nutr 16:1693–1702. https://doi.org/10.1017/S136898001200537X
- Block RC, Dozier AM, Hazel-Fernandez L, et al (2012) An epidemiologic transition of cardiovascular disease risk in Carriacou and Petite Martinique, Grenada: the Grenada Heart Project, 2005-2007. Prev Chronic Dis 9:E90. http://dx.doi.org/10.5888/pcd9.110167
- Colón-Ramos U, Pérez-Cardona CM, Monge-Rojas R (2013) Socio-demographic, behavioral, and health correlates of nutrition transition dietary indicators in San Juan, Puerto Rico. Rev Panam Salud Publica Pan Am J Public Health 34:330–335

- Castetbon K, Ramalli L, Vaidie A, et al (2016) Consommations alimentaires et biomarqueurs nutritionnels chez les adultes de 16 ans et plus en Guadeloupe et Martinique. Enquête Kannari 2013-2014. Bull Épidémiologique Hebd 4:52–62
- 16. Merle B, Deschamps V, Merle S, et al (2008) Enquête sur la santé et les comportements alimentaires en Martinique (Escal 2003-2004). Résultats du volet "consommations alimentaires et apports nutritionnels." Institut de veille sanitaire, université de Paris 13, Conservatoire national des arts et métiers, Observatoire de la santé de Martinique
- Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques (Insee) Definition of Occupational Categories. http://www.insee.fr/fr/methodes/default.asp?page=nomenclatures/pcs2003/liste_n1.htm. Accessed 9 Jul 2019
- 18. Le Moullec N, Deheeger M, Preziosi P, et al (1996) Validation du manuel-photos utilisé pour l'enquête alimentaire de l'étude SU.VI.MAX. Cah Nutr Diététique 31:158–164
- 19. Arnault N, Caillot L, Castetbon K, et al (2013) Table de Composition des aliments NutriNet-Santé. Edition Économica, Paris, France
- 20. Haubrock J, Nöthlings U, Volatier J-L, et al (2011) Estimating usual food intake distributions by using the multiple source method in the EPIC-Potsdam Calibration Study. J Nutr 141:914–920. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.120394
- 21. Black AE (2000) Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord J Int Assoc Study Obes 24:1119–1130
- 22. Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, et al (1990) A new predictive equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. Am J Clin Nutr 51:241–247
- 23. Si Hassen W, Castetbon K, Cardon P, et al (2016) Socioeconomic Indicators Are Independently Associated with Nutrient Intake in French Adults: A DEDIPAC Study. Nutrients 8:. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8030158
- 24. Fulgoni VL, Keast DR, Drewnowski A (2009) Development and validation of the nutrient-rich foods index: a tool to measure nutritional quality of foods. J Nutr 139:1549–1554. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.108.101360
- 25. Kim S, Haines PS, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM (2003) The Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) provides an effective tool for cross-national comparison of diet quality as illustrated by China and the United States. J Nutr 133:3476–3484. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.11.3476
- 26. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Moubarac J-C, et al (2018) The UN Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing. Public Health Nutr 21:5–17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017000234
- 27. World Health Organization (WHO) Expert Committee (1995) Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 854:1–452
- 28. Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, et al (2009) Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and

Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 120:1640–1645. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644

- 29. Jackson DA (1993) Stopping Rules in Principal Components Analysis: A Comparison of Heuristical and Statistical Approaches. Ecology 74:2204–2214. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939574
- 30. Milligan GW, Cooper MC (1985) An examination of procedures for determining the number of clusters in a data set. Psychometrika 50:159–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02294245
- 31. Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques (Insee) La macro SAS CALMAR. http://www.insee.fr/fr/methodes/default.asp?page=outils/calmar/accueil_calmar.htm
- 32. Newby PK, Tucker KL (2004) Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. Nutr Rev 62:177–203. https://doi.org/10.1301/nr.2004.may.177-203
- 33. Kesse-Guyot E, Bertrais S, Péneau S, et al (2009) Dietary patterns and their sociodemographic and behavioural correlates in French middle-aged adults from the SU.VI.MAX cohort. Eur J Clin Nutr 63:521–528. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602978
- 34. Krieger J-P, Pestoni G, Cabaset S, et al (2018) Dietary Patterns and Their Sociodemographic and Lifestyle Determinants in Switzerland: Results from the National Nutrition Survey menuCH. Nutrients 11:. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11010062
- 35. Clonan A, Roberts KE, Holdsworth M (2016) Socioeconomic and demographic drivers of red and processed meat consumption: implications for health and environmental sustainability. Proc Nutr Soc 75:367–373. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116000100
- 36. Méjean C, et al (2020) Food and nutrition in the French overseas regions. IRD Éditions, coll. Expertise collective, Marseille
- COMBET T, GENRE-GRANDPIERRE G, JEAN R-M, et al (2017) Institut d'Emission des Départements d'Outre-Mer - Guadeloupe - Rapport annuel 2016. https://www.iedom.fr/IMG/pdf/ra2016_guadeloupe.pdf
- Jackson M, Tulloch-Reid M, Walker S, et al (2013) Dietary patterns as predictors of prostate cancer in Jamaican men. Nutr Cancer 65:367–374. https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2013.757631
- 39. Hawkes C (2006) Uneven dietary development: linking the policies and processes of globalization with the nutrition transition, obesity and diet-related chronic diseases. Glob Health 2:4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-2-4
- 40. Guilloue C, Pitot S, Cornély V (2012) Typologie des comportements alimentaires en Guadeloupe - ORSAG - 2010
- 41. Truesdell E, Schelske-Santos M, Nazario CM, et al (2018) Foods Contributing to Macronutrient Intake of Women Living in Puerto Rico Reflect Both Traditional Puerto Rican and Western-Type Diets. Nutrients 10:1242. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10091242

- 42. Dinu M, Pagliai G, Casini A, Sofi F (2018) Mediterranean diet and multiple health outcomes: an umbrella review of meta-analyses of observational studies and randomised trials. Eur J Clin Nutr 72:30–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2017.58
- 43. Auma CI, Pradeilles R, Blake MK, Holdsworth M (2019) What Can Dietary Patterns Tell Us about the Nutrition Transition and Environmental Sustainability of Diets in Uganda? Nutrients 11:. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11020342
- 44. Zeba AN, Delisle HF, Renier G (2014) Dietary patterns and physical inactivity, two contributing factors to the double burden of malnutrition among adults in Burkina Faso, West Africa. J Nutr Sci 3:e50. https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2014.11
- 45. O'Doherty Jensen K, Holm L (1999) Preferences, quantities and concerns: socio-cultural perspectives on the gendered consumption of foods. Eur J Clin Nutr 53:351–359
- 46. Wardle J, Haase AM, Steptoe A, et al (2004) Gender differences in food choice: the contribution of health beliefs and dieting. Ann Behav Med Publ Soc Behav Med 27:107–116. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324796abm2702_5
- 47. Larson N, Story M (2009) A review of environmental influences on food choices. Ann Behav Med Publ Soc Behav Med 38 Suppl 1:S56-73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-009-9120-9
- Darmon N, Drewnowski A (2008) Does social class predict diet quality? Am J Clin Nutr 87:1107– 1117
- 49. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, et al (2006) Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 1). J Epidemiol Community Health 60:7–12. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.023531
- 50. Lamani V, Drogue S, Colombet Z, Méjean C (Forthcoming) Trade and food quality: Evidence from the French West Indies.
- Colombet Z, Perignon M, Salanave B, et al (2019) Socioeconomic inequalities in metabolic syndrome in the French West Indies. BMC Public Health 19:1620. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7970-z

	All	Prudent	Traditional	Convenient	Transitioning
n (%)		253 (25.0)	365 (24.2)	291 (31.2)	235 (19.6)
Intakes of food groups, adjusted for energy intake (g/day) ²	Mean ± SEM	Mean ± SEM	Mean ± SEM	Mean ± SEM	Mean ± SEM
Fruit	122.4 ± 4.7	154.0 ± 9.9	182.3 ± 10.9	58.0 ± 4.5	110.3 ± 7.2
Vegetable	142.5 ± 4.0	177.2 ± 9.0	185.6 ± 7.7	92.0 ± 4.7	125.2 ± 6.0
Bread and rusk	58.8 ± 1.6	48.3 ± 2.6	52.2 ± 2.0	50.1 ± 2.6	94.0 ± 3.
Potato	19.0 ± 0.5	18.0 ± 0.9	12.9 ± 0.6	24.1 ± 1.1	20.0 ± 0.1
Tuber (other than potato)	66.4 ± 2.4	45.2 ± 2.9	102.1 ± 4.8	35.2 ± 2.8	$98.7\pm6.$
Pasta	35.9 ± 1.8	21.8 ± 2.2	20.9 ± 1.9	59.9 ± 3.9	$34.4 \pm 3.$
Rice	73.2 ± 2.7	112.3 ± 5.8	43.5 ± 2.4	69.7 ± 4.5	$65.8 \pm 5.$
Semolina and other cereals	17.5 ± 1.3	14.8 ± 2.8	14.5 ± 1.5	11.4 ± 1.4	$34.2 \pm 4.$
Legume	34.1 ± 1.5	46.4 ± 3.5	21.3 ± 1.2	25.6 ± 1.8	$47.6 \pm 4.$
Whole-grain product	8.0 ± 0.8	16.3 ± 2.3	10.7 ± 1.8	3.3 ± 1.2	$1.7 \pm 0.$
Fish	43.5 ± 1.3	41.8 ± 2.4	56.1 ± 2.1	27.2 ± 1.6	56.1 ± 3.
Seafood	7.3 ± 0.7	16.3 ± 2.2	4.1 ± 0.7	3.5 ± 0.5	6.1 ± 1.
Red meat	43.1 ± 1.0	46.6 ± 2.5	31.8 ± 1.1	42.5 ± 1.5	53.4 ± 2.
Poultry	56.4 ± 1.7	56.4 ± 3.1	38.9 ± 2.2	69.2 ± 3.4	57.4 ± 3.
Processed meat	18.1 ± 0.6	14.1 ± 0.9	13.6 ± 0.8	20.6 ± 1.2	$24.8 \pm 1.$
Offal	9.1 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 0.6	9.9 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 0.5	$14.5 \pm 1.$
Yogurts	23.6 ± 1.6	42.1 ± 4.1	26.4 ± 2.6	12.9 ± 2.6	13.6 ± 2.
Salad dressing and sauce	21.9 ± 0.5	21.3 ± 0.9	20.6 ± 0.7	17.9 ± 0.8	30.9 ± 1.1
Butter	2.6 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.4
Snacks and fast food	26.5 ± 1.3	22.1 ± 2.2	15.8 ± 1.0	43.1 ± 3.0	18.9 ± 2.
Biscuits, cakes and pastries	33.4 ± 1.4	29.2 ± 2.2	32.4 ± 2.2	47.0 ± 3.2	18.2 ± 2.2

Table 1. Daily intakes adjusted for energy intake of the 25 food groups included in the principal component analysis (PCA) across clusters and in the overall sample of Guadeloupe and Martinique subjects ($\geq 16y$) from the Kannari study (n = 1,144)¹

Fatty and sweet products (chocolate, ice cream, etc.)	11.9 ± 0.7	6.9 ± 0.8	16.5 ± 1.5	13.8 ± 1.5	9.6 ± 1.4
Non-alcoholic and non-sweetened beverage (water, coffee, tea)	1398.0 ± 21.8	1554.4 ± 43.6	1310.8 ± 27.7	1223.2 ± 26.4	1584.5 ± 61.4
Sweetened beverage and juice	188.3 ± 6.2	132.2 ± 7.0	123.9 ± 5.5	279.5 ± 12.7	194.7 ± 12.4
Alcoholic beverage	40.3 ± 4.1	41.0 ± 7.1	15.6 ± 2.2	26.8 ± 6.5	91.1 ± 14.3

Values are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM).

¹ Sex-specific data weighted for education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area of chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland), and urban size, using 2012 national census.

² 25 food groups used in the weighted principal component analysis (PCA). All *p*-trends < 0.01.

Table 2. Selected nutritional characteristics across clusters and in the overall sample of Guadeloupe and Martinique subjects (≥ 16 y) from the Kannari study (n = 1,144)^{1,2}

	All	Prudent	Traditional	Convenient	Transitioning
	$Mean \pm SEM$				
	or $\% \pm SE$	or $\% \pm SE$	or % \pm SE	or $\% \pm SE$	or $\% \pm SE$
Energy intake (kcal/day)	1584.2 ± 18.1	1560.5 ± 29.0	1414.6 ± 21.9	1603.8 ± 35.9	1792.9 ± 44.7
Energy intake without alcohol (kcal/day)	1554.9 ± 17.2	1531.5 ± 28.7	1402.4 ± 21.1	1584.2 ± 35.1	1726.9 ± 41.3
Free sugars (g/day) ³	40.8 ± 1.1	30.5 ± 1.4	35.7 ± 1.1	54.6 ± 2.2	38.2 ± 2.0
Fiber $(g/day)^3$	16.0 ± 0.2	17.2 ± 0.4	16.7 ± 0.3	13.0 ± 0.2	18.5 ± 0.4
Calcium (mg/day) ³	654.6 ± 7.6	719.4 ± 17.0	669.7 ± 11.1	590.6 ± 12.2	655.3 ± 15.7
Sodium (mg/day) ³	2228.0 ± 20.5	2322.8 ± 49.0	2017.7 ± 24.7	2148.8 ± 34.0	2493.2 ± 36.6
Iron (mg/day) ³	10.5 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.2	9.6 ± 0.1	9.7 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.2
Vitamin C (mg/day) ³	116.4 ± 4.1	113.0 ± 7.4	116.1 ± 3.6	118.8 ± 10.7	117.2 ± 6.6
Alcohol (g/day) ³	4.0 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.6	8.6 ± 1.2
Vitamin D (μ g/day) ³	3.2 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.2
Vitamin B12 (µg/day) ³	4.4 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.2
Diet Quality Index - International (0-100 points)	60.8 ± 0.4	64.0 ± 0.7	65.4 ± 0.6	54.6 ± 0.5	60.8 ± 0.8
Moderation (0–30 points)	17.1 ± 0.2	17.6 ± 0.4	18.3 ± 0.3	16.4 ± 0.2	16.3 ± 0.5
Variety (0–20 points)	16.5 ± 0.1	17.4 ± 0.3	17.6 ± 0.2	14.9 ± 0.2	16.3 ± 0.3
Adequacy (0-40 points)	26.1 ± 0.2	28.1 ± 0.5	28.1 ± 0.4	22.4 ± 0.3	27.2 ± 0.3
Overall balance (0–10 points)	0.3 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1
French West Indian dishes (% of all the dishes consumed)	39.2 ± 2.0	35.1 ± 4.0	52.3 ± 3.7	27.8 ± 3.9	45.2 ± 4.3
% of energy intake provided by ultra-processed foods (% of energy/day)	24.2 ± 0.5	20.6 ± 0.9	21.2 ± 0.7	31.4 ± 1.1	21.2 ± 1.0

No breakfast (%)	18.9 ± 1.9	18.9 ± 4.1	9.6 ± 2.5	29.8 ± 4.1	13.1 ± 3.3
% have a snack at least once in the day	56.2 ± 2.3	61.8 ± 4.7	52.3 ± 4.0	58.6 ± 4.5	50.3 ± 5.1
% of energy provided by snacking occasion (% of energy/day)	20.5 ± 1.3	21.0 ± 2.2	17.6 ± 1.2	23.7 ± 3.0	17.7 ± 2.6
Energy density of snacking occasion, without low-calorie beverages (10% lowest caloric beverages) (kcal/100 g)	291.6 ± 12.0	301.5 ± 27.6	294.5 ± 21.1	281.3 ± 23.5	291.47 ± 35.8
Nutritional density of snacking occasion (Nutrient-Rich Foods Index NRF9.3)	37.1 ± 4.1	52.7 ± 11.1	37.7 ± 6.0	29.6 ± 6.9	25.7 ± 6.2

Values are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) or percentage (%) \pm standard error (SE), as appropriated.

¹ Sex-specific data weighted for education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area of chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland), and urban size, using 2012 national census.

² All *p*-trends < 0.01, except for vitamin C, overall balance, having a snack, energy provided by snacking occasion, energy density of snacking occasion, and nutritional density of snacking occasion (p-trend > 0.05).

³ Adjusted for daily energy intake without alcohol

All Traditional Transitioning Prudent Convenient % (SE) ² *p*-value³ % (SE) ² *p*-value³ % (SE) ² *p*-value³ % (SE) ² *p*-value³ % (SE) ² 57.4 (2.0) 57.9 (4.5) 0.67 90.0 (2.5) < 0.01 53.3 (4.4) 0.98 17.1 (3.3) Women < 0.01 Location < 0.01 0.49 0.06 0.20 40.6 (5.5) Guadeloupe 48.5 (2.1) 61.5 (4.4) 49.2 (4.5) 41.2 (4.9) 51.5 (2.1) 38.5 (4.4) 58.8 (4.9) 59.4 (5.5) Martinique 50.8 (4.5) Age class 0.08 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 16–45 years 37.1 (1.5) 34.3 (3.3) 26.3 (3.3) 59.4 (3.4) 24.8 (4.4) 46-60 years 29.8 (1.6) 35.0 (3.5) 35.9 (3.5) 12.1 (3.1) 39.4 (4.5) 33.1 (0.8) 30.7 (1.1) >60 years 37.8 (2.6) 28.5 (1.2) 35.8 (2.6) Education 0.37 0.72 0.55 0.72 Low 49.3 (2.0) 46.9 (3.8) 47.8 (4.5) 51.6 (4.4) 51.4 (4.9) 17.2 (1.4) 14.9 (3.1) 21.3 (3.8) 18.5 (3.6) 12.4 (4.1) Middle High 33.5 (1.9) 38.2 (3.8) 30.9 (4.1) 29.9 (4.2) 36.2 (5.1) **Employment status** 0.26 0.77 0.06 0.21 Unemployed, disabled, homemakers or students 27.6 (1.6) 26.8 (3.5) 22.5 (3.5) 34.6 (4.2) 27.2 (4.1) 41.4 (1.7) 40.4 (3.6) 35.7 (4.3) 45.3 (4.6) Active 44.6 (3.8) Retired 31.0 (0.9) 32.8 (1.1) 32.9 (2.3) 29.7 (1.1) 27.5 (2.6) 0.63 **Occupational categories** 0.41 0.62 0.30 Self-employed 14.3 (1.4) 14.1 (3.2) 11.8 (3.2) 11.3 (3.2) 22.8 (4.2) Managerial staff 7.0 (1.0) 6.0 (2.2) 7.4 (2.1) 6.6 (2.7) 7.4 (2.3)

Table 3. Adjusted demographic and socioeconomic characteristics across clusters and in the overall sample of Guadeloupe and Martinique subjects

 $(\geq 16 \text{ y})$ from the Kannari study $(n = 1, 144)^{1}$

Intermediate profession	8.6 (1.1) 11.7 (2.5)	5.9 (2.2)	10.6 (2.5)	6.2 (2.6)	
Employee	53.1 (2.0) 48.6 (4.4)	60.7 (4.1)	54.7 (4.9)	44.9 (5.5)	
Manual worker	9.0 (1.0) 11.1 (2.7)	7.1 (1.7)	6.4 (2.2)	12.5 (3.4)	
Never-employed	8.0 (1.0) 8.5 (2.5)	7.1 (2.3)	9.6 (2.8)	7.0 (2.5)	
					0.50
Receive social assistance benefits	16.5 (1.4) 16.6 (3.2)	0.94 18.1 (3.4)	0.42 12.6 (3.6)	0.29 19.0 (4.4)	0.58
At least one child in the household	33.8 (1.8) 34.6 (3.6)	0.93 27.8 (3.7)	0.11 37.4 (4.4)	0.43 37.0 (4.8)	0.64
Single-parent household	4.9 (0.7) 5.6 (1.7)	0.63 2.4 (1.6)	0.59 6.6 (2.2)	0.69 5.8 (1.6)	0.58
Marital status		< 0.01	0.19	0.02	0.96
Single	51.2 (2.0) 38.7 (4.1)	58.6 (4.4)	58.9 (4.5)	46.2 (4.7)	
Living in couple	48.8 (2.0) 61.3 (4.1)	41.4 (4.4)	41.1 (4.5)	53.8 (4.7)	

Values are presented as percentage (standard error, SE)

¹ Sex-specific data weighted for education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area of chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland), and urban size, using 2012 national census.

 2 Adjusted for sex, location (Guadeloupe or Martinique), age, employment status, education, social assistance benefits, presence of at least one child in the household, single-parent household, marital status, and body mass index (BMI) (except for the studied characteristic)

³ Multivariable logistic regression assessing the association between the characteristic and each pattern membership (belonging to this pattern or not)

Table 4. Adjusted health status across clusters and in the overall sample of Guadeloupe and Martinique subjects (≥ 16 y) from the Kannari study	
$(n = 1, 144)^{-1}$	

	All	Prudent		Traditional		Convenie	nt	Transitioning		
	% (SE) ²	% (SE) ²	p-value ³							
Body mass index class			0.50		0.79		0.37		0.51	
Underweight or normal weight	42.7 (2.0)	40.2 (4.5)		42.0 (4.2)		48.1 (4.8)		40.1 (5.2)		
Overweight	34.9 (2.0)	34.4 (4.6)		32.4 (4.0)		33.4 (4.4)		41.3 (5.2)		
Obese	22.4 (1.6)	25.4 (3.3)		25.6 (4.1)		18.5 (3.8)		18.6 (3.7)		
Hypertension (140/90 mm Hg)	43.6 (1.9)	45.6 (4.0)	0.60	44.3 (4.1)	0.84	39.8 (4.3)	0.33	44.7 (5.4)	0.80	
Diabetes	12.7 (1.3)	11.8 (2.5)	0.61	13.9 (3.1)	0.92	12.5 (2.5)	0.98	12.7 (2.6)	0.59	
Metabolic syndrome	26.0 (1.7)	25.7 (3.7)	0.79	23.1 (3.2)	0.35	23.4 (3.2)	0.25	34.9 (4.5)	0.02	

Values are presented as percentage (standard error, SE)

¹ Sex-specific data weighted for education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area of chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland) and urban size, using 2012 national census.

² Adjusted for sex, location (Guadeloupe or Martinique), age, employment status, education, social assistance benefits, presence of at least one child in the household, single-parent household, marital status, and body mass index (BMI) (except for the studied characteristic)

³ Multivariable logistic regression assessing the association between the characteristic and each pattern membership (belonging to this pattern or

not)

Table 5. Adjusted food supply practices across clusters and in the overall sample of Guadeloupe and Martinique subjects (≥ 16 y) from the Kannari

study $(n = 1, 144)^{1}$

	All	All Prudent		Traditional		Convenient		Transitioning	
	% (SE) ²	% (SE) ²	<i>p</i> -value ³	% (SE) ²	v-value ³	% (SE) ²	<i>p</i> -value ³	% (SE) ²	<i>p</i> -value ³
Home-produced foods	49.1 (2.0)	50.4 (4.4)	0.69	52.1 (4.1)	0.51	44.6 (4.7)	0.34	48.4 (5.1)	0.94
Donation from someone outside the household	69.0 (1.9)	77.1 (3.6)	0.02	67.3 (4.1)	0.43	72.4 (4.1)	0.84	56.7 (5.3)	0.01
Purchase									
Fruits, vegetables, roots, and tubers			0.88		0.13		0.04		0.36
No purchase or no preference	30.5 (1.9)	29.7 (4.2)		36.2 (3.9)		21.2 (4.0)		34.9 (4.8)	
Only or mainly in supermarkets	42.4 (2.0)	44.7 (4.4)		37.3 (4.3)		50.6 (4.3)		36.7 (4.8)	
Only or mainly elsewhere than supermarkets	27.1 (1.9)	25.6 (3.9)		26.5 (3.9)		28.2 (4.2)		28.4 (5.0)	
Fish and seafood			0.63		0.10		0.31		0.56
No purchase or no preference	25.0 (1.8)	22.6 (3.9)		26.1 (3.9)		23.2 (4.2)		29.2 (4.5)	
Only or mainly in supermarkets	40.7 (2.0)	44.3 (4.4)		32.8 (4.0)		47.9 (4.8)		38.5 (5.3)	
Only or mainly elsewhere than supermarkets	34.3 (1.9)	33.1 (4.1)		41.1 (4.2)		29.0 (4.1)		32.3 (5.2)	
Red meat			0.66		0.06		0.53		0.81
No purchase or no preference	24.7 (1.8)	21.7 (3.9)		31.3 (4.1)		19.8 (4.4)		24.9 (4.8)	
Only or mainly in supermarkets	46.8 (2.0)	51.1 (4.5)		40.2 (4.2)		48.6 (4.8)		49.3 (5.4)	
Only or mainly elsewhere than supermarkets	28.5 (1.8)	27.2 (3.8)		28.5 (4.0)		31.6 (4.1)		25.8 (5.0)	
Poultry			0.54		0.43		0.88		0.89
No purchase or no preference	21.2 (1.7)	17.4 (3.5)		24.1 (4.0)		22.3 (4.0)		20.4 (4.5)	
Only or mainly in supermarkets	68.4 (1.9)	71.4 (4.0)		67.0 (4.3)		66.8 (4.4)		68.9 (5.6)	
Only or mainly elsewhere than supermarkets	10.3 (1.3)	11.2 (2.8)		8.9 (2.3)		10.9 (2.6)		10.7 (4.4)	

Eggs			0.59	0.66	0.60	0.14
No purchase or no preference	26.1 (1.9)	23.2 (3.9)	22.7 (3.2)	25.7 (4.4)	35.7 (5.2)	
Only or mainly in supermarkets	59.8 (2.0)	60.6 (4.5)	63.6 (4.1)	62.3 (4.7)	49.5 (5.5)	
Only or mainly elsewhere than supermarkets	14.1 (1.5)	16.2 (3.5)	13.7 (3.4)	12.0 (3.1)	14.8 (3.9)	

Values are presented as percentage (standard error, SE)

¹ Sex-specific data weighted for education, marital status, birthplace, presence of at least one child in the household, living in an area of chlordecone contamination (coastline and inland), and urban size, using 2012 national census.

² Adjusted for sex, location (Guadeloupe or Martinique), age, employment status, education, social assistance benefits, presence of at least one child in the household, single-parent household, marital status, and body mass index (BMI).

³ Multivariable logistic regression assessing the association between the characteristic and each pattern membership (belonging to this pattern or not)