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LOSS-OF-FUNCTION ALLELES OF MLO (Mildew Resistance Locus O) CONFER BROAD-SPECTRUM RESISTANCE TO FOLIAR INFECTIONS BY POWDERY MILDEW PATHOGENS. LIKE PATHOGENS, MICROBES THAT ESTABLISH MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH THEIR PLANT HOSTS, TRIGGER THE INDUCTION OF SOME DEFENSE RESPONSES. INITIALLY, BARLEY COLONIZATION BY THE ROOT ENDOPHYTE SERENDIPITA INDICA (SYN. PIRIFORMOSPORA INDICA) IS ASSOCIATED WITH ENHANCED DEFENSE GENE EXPRESSION AND THE FORMATION OF PAPILLAE AT SITES OF HYPHAL PENETRATION ATTEMPTS. THIS PHENOTYPE IS REMINISCENT OF mlo-CONDITIONED IMMUNITY IN BARLEY LEAF TISSUE AND RAISES THE QUESTION WHETHER MLO PLAYS A REGULATORY ROLE IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF BENEFICIAL INTERACTIONS. HERE WE SHOW THAT S. INDICA COLONIZATION WAS SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED IN PLANTS CARRYING mlo MUTATIONS COMPARED TO WILDTYPE CONTROLS. THE REDUCTION IN FUNGAL BIOMASS WAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ENHANCED FORMATION OF PAPILLAE. MOREOVER, EPIDERMAL CELLS OF S. INDICA-TREATED mlo PLANTS DISPLAYED AN EARLY ACCUMULATION OF IRON IN THE EPIDERMAL LAYER SUGGESTING INCREASED BASAL DEFENSE ACTIVATION IN THE BARLEY MUTANT BACKGROUND. CORRESPONDINGLY, THE INDUCTION OF HOST CELL DEATH DURING LATER COLONIZATION STAGES WAS IMPAIRED IN mlo COLONIZED PLANTS, HIGHLIGHTING THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EARLY BIOTROPHIC GROWTH PHASE FOR S. INDICA ROOT COLONIZATION. IN CONTRAST, THE ARBUSCULAR MYCORRIZAL FUNGUS FUNNELIFORMIS MOSSEAE DISPLAYED A SIMILAR COLONIZATION MORPHOLOGY ON MUTANT AND WILDTYPE PLANTS. HOWEVER, THE FREQUENCY OF MYCORRIZATION AND NUMBER OF ARBUSCLES WAS HIGHER IN mlo-5 MUTANTS. THESE FINDINGS SUGGEST THAT MLO DIFFERENTIALLY REGULATES ROOT COLONIZATION BY ENDOPHYTE AND AM FUNGI.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants establish diverse beneficial interactions with fungi from different taxa. Root endophytes belonging to the order Sebacinales establish long-lasting beneficial relationships with a broad range of plant species (Weiss et al., 2016). Root colonization by members of this order results in enhanced growth (Varma et al., 1999; Waller et al., 2005; Serfling et al., 2007; Ghimire et al., 2009; Franken,
2012), improved tolerance to abiotic stress (Waller et al., 2005; Baltruschat et al., 2008; Shera meti et al., 2008; Ghimire and Craven, 2011), as well as increased resistance to pathogens (Stein et al., 2008; Waller et al., 2008; Lahr mann et al., 2015; Sarkar et al., 2019). In some cases nutrient status has been reported to play a role in the interaction of the model sebacoid fungus *Serendipita indica* with some plant species (Shabollar i et al., 2005; Shera meti et al., 2005; Nautiyal et al., 2010; Yadav et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2012). However, *S. indica* colonization of *Nicotiana attenuata* and barley had no effect on host phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) content (Barazani et al., 2005; Achat z et al., 2010), suggesting that nutrient exchange is not central to the beneficial effects conferred by sebacoid fungi. *S. indica* colonizes the rhizodermis and outer root cortex (Deshmukh et al., 2006; Jacobs et al., 2011; Weiss et al., 2016). Following an initial biotrophic growth phase, during which the fungal hyphae remain surrounded by a plant-derived membrane, *S. indica* transitions to cell death-associated colonization that does not result in host disease (Deshmukh et al., 2006; Jacobs et al., 2011; Zuccaro et al., 2011; Lahrmann and Zuccaro, 2012; Qiang et al., 2012; Lahr mann et al., 2013).

Like sebacoid fungi, arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) establish beneficial interactions with many plant species (Bonfante and Genre, 2010). AM are obligate biotrophs that rely on their plant hosts as carbon sources in exchange for soil nutrients including P and N (Parniske, 2008). Additionally, AM colonization results in increased plant biomass and confers enhanced resistance to stress and pathogen infection (Khaosaad et al., 2007; Liu J et al., 2007; Pozo and Azcon-Aguilar, 2007). Following the mutual recognition between plant and microbe, AM form specialized hyphae, called hyphopodia, that adhere to the root epidermal surface where penetration hyphae emerge. In the inner root cortex, intracellular hyphae then establish so-called arbuscules, which represent the active interface for nutrient exchange (Genre et al., 2008).

The successful establishment of AM symbioses is genetically controlled by the ancestral common symbiosis pathway (CSP). In legumes, this pathway is required for the establishment of AM as well as root nodule symbiosis with rhizobacteria (Kistner et al., 2005; Gutjahr, 2014; Svistoonoff et al., 2014). In contrast, *S. indica* colonization and development is independent of Lotus japonicus and *Arabidopsis thaliana* CSP genes, suggesting that independent host pathways control AM symbiosis and endophytism (Banhara et al., 2015). However, in both cases transient and weak activation of defense responses have been reported during the early phases of colonization that are effectively suppressed by the fungi as the symbioses progress (Harrison, 2005; Schäfer et al., 2009; Camehl et al., 2011; Jacobs et al., 2011). These early defense responses include the formation of papillae at sites of hyphal penetration attempts of *S. indica* on barley (Lahrmann and Zuccaro, 2012). Papillae are dome-shaped cell wall appositions that play a vital role in resistance to plant pathogens (Hückelhoven, 2005; Hückelhoven, 2007; Albersheim et al., 2011; Hückelhoven, 2014). They generally consist of layers of callose, cellulose, arabinoxylan and phenolic compounds (Chowdhury et al., 2014; Hückelhoven, 2014). Additionally, papillae contain reactive oxygen species (hydrogen peroxide H₂O₂) and in barley their formation appears to be dependent on iron (Fe⁺⁺) accumulation in the apoplast (ThordalChristensen et al., 1997; Hückelhoven et al., 1999; Liu G et al., 2007). Depending on their size, composition and the degree of cross-linking of their constituent parts, papillae can be more or less efficient in halting penetration (Aist and Israel, 1977; Israel et al., 1980; von Röpenack et al., 1998; Asaad et al., 2004; Chowdhury et al., 2014; Hückelhoven, 2014).

In barley, natural as well as chemically induced mutant lines carrying recessive *mlo* (MILDEW RESISTANCE LOCUS O) alleles display broad-spectrum resistance to the obligate biotrophic pathogen *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* (*Bgh*), causal agent of the foliar powdery mildew disease (Büschges et al., 1997), and have been successfully employed in agriculture since the late 1970s (Jørgensen, 1992; Lyngkjær et al., 2000; Kusch and Panstruga, 2017). Especially barley spring varieties that are now largely grown in central Europe are resistant to *Bgh* following the introgression of *mlo* alleles (Jørgensen, 1992; McGrann et al., 2014; Kusch and Panstruga, 2017). Compared to wild type susceptible cultivars, these lines display faster formation of larger papillae upon pathogen attack (Jørgensen, 1992; Lyngkjær et al., 2000; Chowdhury et al., 2014). Similar mutations in orthologous genes of wheat, tomato, pea, *A. thaliana*, and many other plant species have since confirmed the importance of *mlo* for resistance to various species of powdery mildew (Elliott et al., 2002; Consonni et al., 2006; Bai et al., 2008; Humphry et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Kusch and Panstruga, 2017). In contrast, some hemibiotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens show enhanced infection on *mlo* mutant plants possibly profiting from the spontaneous induction of leaf cell death (Jarosch et al., 1999; Kumar et al., 2001; McGrann et al., 2014). The contribution of *MLO* to host resistance against root-colonizing microbes is less well understood. Recent evidence suggests that the *mlo* genetic background does not affect barley root infection by the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora palmivora* (Le Fevre et al., 2016). Similarly, *MLO* does not seem to play a role in the establishment of the beneficial relationships between pea and the rhizobacterium *Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae* or the AM fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis* (syn. *Glomus intraradices*) (Humphry et al., 2011). However, transcriptional analyses showed an upregulation of the *Lotus japonicus* *MLO1*-like (chr1.CM0150.1) gene in cortical cells containing arbuscules of *Gigaspora margarita* (Guether et al., 2009) suggesting that *MLO* may play a regulatory role in AM colonization.

In this study, we used the endophyte *S. indica* and the AM fungus *F. mosseae*, both of which have intracellular lifestyles, to investigate the role of *MLO* in barley root symbioses. Comparative colonization analyses showed differential regulation by *MLO* during endophytism and mycorrhization. The decreased colonization by *S. indica* coincided with enhanced defense responses and papillae formation, highlighting the importance of the biotrophic growth phase for the establishment of the long-term beneficial relationship between *S. indica* and its plant hosts.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and S. indica Growth Conditions

Seeds of mlo-3, -4, and -5 mutant lines backcrossed to barley cv. Ingrid (Peterhansel et al., 1997; Jarosch et al., 2003) were kindly provided by Ralph Panstruga. Wild type (WT) barley (Hordeum vulgare L. cv. Ingrid) and mutant seeds were surface-sterilized by washing in 70% ethanol for 1–5 min, rinsing in sterile distilled water and soaking in 4%–12% sodium hypochlorite for 1–1.5 h. Seeds were then thoroughly washed in sterile distilled water, placed onto sterile wet filter paper and kept in the dark at room temperature for 3–5 days to allow germination. S. indica Sav. Verma, Aj, Varma, Rexer, G. Kost & P. Franken (DSM11827, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, Braunschweig, Germany) was grown in liquid complex medium (CM) (Pham et al., 2004) at 130 rpm or on CM medium supplemented with 1.5% agar at 28°C.

Barley Inoculation With S. indica

Three-day-old seedlings were placed into sterile jars containing 1/10 plant nutrition medium (PNM) (Basiewicz et al., 2012). For inoculation, S. indica chlamydomospores were collected from CM agar plates in 0.1% Tween20-water, filtered through Miracloth and pelleted by centrifugation at 3,500 g for 5 min. Spores were washed two more times in 0.1% Tween20-water and then suspended to a final concentration of 5×10^5 spores/mL. Mock treatment consisted of 0.1% Tween20-water only. Three milliliters of spore suspension was added onto roots of barley seedlings. Jars were transferred to a growth chamber with a 16 h/8 h day/night (light intensity of 108 µmol/m²/s) cycle at 22°C/18°C C and 60% humidity. All experiments were prepared with three to four biological replicates consisting of pooled material from four plants/jar, and two to three independent replicate experiments.

Quantification of S. indica Colonization

Mock-treated and S. indica-colonized roots were harvested at 3, 5, 7, and 10 days post inoculation. Roots were washed in water and sections of the first 3 cm below the seed were cut and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA from 200 mg of freshly ground material was isolated according to (Doley and Doyle, 1987). To remove contaminating RNA, samples were treated with 1 µL 10 mg/mL RNaseA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Quantitative PCR was performed with 10 ng gDNA template and primers targeting the S. indica TEF (SiTEF) the barley ubiquitin (HvUBI) genes (see Supplementary Table 1) in 10 µL SYBR green Supermix (BioRad, Munich, Germany) using the following amplification protocol: initial denaturation for 16 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 20 s at 59°C, and 30s at 72°C, and a melt curve analysis. The relative amount of fungal vs. plant gDNA was calculated according to the 2^(-DCt) method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008).

Vacuolar Processing Enzyme (VPE) Activity Assay

Mock-treated and S. indica-colonized roots of WT and mutant plants were harvested at 10 dpi. Vacuolar processing enzyme (VPE) activity was measured as described previously (Lahrmann et al., 2013). Briefly, roots were washed in water and sections of the first 4 cm below the seed were cut and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Extracts were prepared from 100 mg freshly ground root material ground in liquid nitrogen with 1 ml extraction buffer [10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. Plant debris was pelleted by centrifugation at max speed and 4°C for 10 min. To measure VPE activity, 100 µM of the fluorescent VPE-specific substrate Ac-ESEN-MCA (Peptide Institute Inc., Osaka, Japan) was added to 100 µl of root extract supernatants aliquoted into a 96-well plate. Fluorescence intensities were measured in a TECAN Infinite microplate reader (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland) with 360 and 465 nm excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively, at 10 min intervals for 1 h. Buffer with and without substrate was used as control.

Staining and Microscopy of S. indica-Inoculated Barley Root Sections

Confocal pictures were taken using a TCS-SP5 confocal microscope (Leica, Bensheim, Germany). Colonized root tissue of WT and mutant plants was collected at indicated time points, boiled for 2 min in 10% potassium hydroxide, washed three times in deionized water, and three additional times in 1x PBS (pH 7.4) for 30 min. Roots were stained by infiltrating colorants four times for 4 min at 260 mbar with 1 min atmospheric pressure breaks. To visualize fungal structures, roots were infiltrated with 10 µg/mL fluorescent Wheat Germ Agglutinin (WGA) AF488 (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) in 1x PBS. Papillae were visualized following infiltration of 10 µg/mL fluorescent concanavalin A (ConA) AF633 (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) in 1x PBS. For iron staining, samples were fixed, embedded in resin, sectioned and then stained with the Perls/DAB procedure, as described previously (Roschzttardtz et al., 2009). This method is a sensitive histological test for iron accumulation in plants (Roschzttardtz et al., 2013).

Barley Inoculation With the Mycorrhizal Fungus F. mosseae

Following germination on wet filter paper, seedlings were kept 3 days in continuous light (light intensity of 80 µmol/m²/s). For each experiment, four seedlings of barley WT and mlo-5 were placed into separate pots filled with a 7:3 mix of sterile quartz sand/granular F. mosseae inoculum (v/v). The F. mosseae inoculum was composed of newly formed spores and Sorghum root pieces already colonized by F. mosseae. The inoculum was purchased from MyAgro Lab (Dijon, France) and contained a minimum of 10 active propagules/g. Plants were transferred to a growth chamber with a 14 h/10 h day/night cycle at 23°C/21°C. After 2 months of cultivation, one half of the root apparatus was sampled from each plant and stained with Cotton Blue (0.1% in lactic acid) to evaluate the intraradical colonization. The other half was treated with WGA conjugated with the fluorescent probe fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) to analyze fungal structures.
penetration in the root epidermal cells by confocal microscopy. The experiment was performed twice.

Quantification of the AM Colonization
For each plant at least 1 m of root tissue was observed under an optical microscope to evaluate the degree of mycorrhizal colonization (Trouvelot et al., 1986). Four parameters were considered: %f (frequency of mycorrhization) reporting the percentage of segments showing internal colonization, M% (intensity of mycorrhization in the root cortex) indicating the average percentage of colonized root segments, a% (percentage of arbuscules in the infected areas) quantifying the average presence of arbuscules within the infected areas, A% (percentage of arbuscules in the entire root apparatus) quantifying the presence of arbuscules in the whole root system.

Details of the AM Penetration
To analyze the fungal penetration through the root epidermis, fifty 0.5 cm root segments were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.05 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2, for 4 h at room temperature. Fixed segments were embedded in 8% agarose (type II-A, Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) and cut into 50 µm sections with a vibratome. The sections were incubated for 5 min in 1:30 commercial bleach/phosphate buffer (v/v), carefully rinsed with buffer and incubated for 2 h at room temperature in 1 mg/ml WGA-FITC. Sections were then observed with a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope equipped with an Ar/HeNe laser with 543 nm excitation and 580–650 nm emission wavelengths.

Statistical Analyses
Statistically significant effects of plant genotypes on VPE activity in colonized and mock-treated root tissue were determined with ANOVA using R (v3.3.2). Significant differences between treatments were determined with Tukey’s post hoc test from the Stats package (Miller, 1981; Yandell, 1997). Letters displaying similarities and differences were extracted using the multcompView package (v0.1-7) (Donoghue, 2004; Piepho, 2004). Statistically significant effects of plant genotypes on fungal colonization and activation of defense responses (papillae size and number) were determined using the Welch Two Sample t test from the Stats package in R. Asterisks indicate these differences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MLO Loss-Of-Function Mutations Result in Reduced Barley Root Colonization by S. indica
Removal of host genes that are required for invading pathogens for plant colonization, termed susceptibility genes, has been shown to provide disease resistance (van Schie and Takken, 2014). Several natural and mutagen-induced changes in the barley MLO locus confer broad-spectrum resistance to the powdery mildew pathogen Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei (Jørgensen, 1992; Büschges et al., 1997; Lyngkjaer et al., 2000; Kusch and Panstruga, 2017). Similarly, TALEN- and CRISPR-Cas9-introduced targeted mutations in three MLO homeoalleles of bread wheat showed their requirement for resistance to wheat powdery mildew (Wang et al., 2014). However, the recessive loss-of-function mlo alleles in barley resulted in increased susceptibility to some other fungal pathogens (Jarosch et al., 1999; McGrann et al., 2014). To investigate the role of MLO in the establishment of the long-term beneficial relationship between barley and the root endophyte Serendipita indica, we used the mlo-5 allele backcrossed (BC) into barley cv. Ingrid (hereafter referred to as mlo-5), which carries a point mutation in the start codon and is a predicted null allele (Büschges et al., 1997). Mutant and WT plants were inoculated with S. indica spores suspended in Tween20-water or Tween20-water alone as mock treatment. To assess the effect of the mlo-5 mutation on S. indica colonization, we quantified the relative abundance of S. indica gDNA as a proxy for fungal biomass in roots of WT barley cv. Ingrid and mlo-5 plants by quantitative PCR at 3, 5, and 7 days post inoculation (dpi), representing early and late biotrophic interaction stages and the initial cell death-associated phase, respectively. We observed a significant reduction in fungal biomass in mutant compared to control plants from early through late colonization stages (Figure 1A). To confirm this phenotype, we also quantified S. indica biomass in roots of colonized mlo-3 and mlo-4 mutant lines, which display frame shift mutations in exon 11 and 4, respectively, and likewise are predicted null alleles. Similar to mlo-5, mlo-3 and -4 were less colonized by S. indica compared to WT control plants (Supplementary Figure 1), suggesting that MLO is required for barley root colonization by S. indica. This is in contrast to earlier findings where mlo triple mutants of A. thaliana displayed similar levels of S. indica colonization as wild type plants (Acevedo-Garcia et al., 2017). In A. thaliana there are 15 members of the MLO family. It could be that in A. thaliana roots other MLO members play a role in fungal accommodation than the three mutated genes. Alternatively, it has previously been shown that S. indica displays different colonization strategies on barley and A. thaliana host plants (Lahrmann et al., 2013). It is, therefore, conceivable that MLO-controlled defense does not play an important role in S. indica accommodation in A. thaliana roots.

S. indica-Colonized mlo-5 Mutant Plants Display Enhanced Papilla Formation During Biotrophic Growth
Enhanced barley resistance to powdery mildew in mlo mutants has been associated with enhanced cell wall apposition, or papillae, formation (Skou et al., 1984; Bayles et al., 1990; Wolter et al., 1993). Due to the reduction in S. indica colonization in mlo plants, we compared papillae formation in inoculated mlo-5 mutant and WT roots. To visualize fungal structures and papillae, colonized root tissue was stained with the chitin-specific wheat germ agglutinin (WGA-AF488) and ⍺-mannopyranosyl-/β-glucopyranosyl- specific concanavalin A (ConA-AF633) for confocal microscopy, respectively. While both barley genotypes responded with papillae formation to S. indica hyphal penetration attempts, these cell wall appositions were significantly bigger in mlo-5 plants compared to the controls (Figures 1B, C). The number of papillae increased...
over time both in WT and mlo-5 plants but was significantly higher at 5 dpi in mutant plants (Figure 1D). These phenotypes are reminiscent of mlo-mediated resistance to Bgh in leaves, where the fungus is arrested at the prehaustorial stage when papillae formation occurs in epidermal cells (Skou et al., 1984), highlighting an interesting parallel between mlo-dependent leaf and root responses to fungal colonization.

At early stages of WT barley root colonization by S. indica, transient papillae development has previously been observed at penetration sites and coincides with the activation of weak barley defense responses at the transcriptional level during the fungus’ biotrophic growth phase (Schäfer et al., 2009; Zuccaro et al., 2011; Lahrmann and Zuccaro, 2012). However, most of the papillae formed during the biotrophic colonization of the rhizodermis do not prevent S. indica hyphal penetration and disappear once the fungus reaches the root cortex (Zuccaro et al., 2011; Lahrmann and Zuccaro, 2012). The role of papillae in resistance against host cell penetration has been discussed for decades (Zeyen et al., 2002). Recent evidence suggests that so-called effective papillae [cell wall appositions that are formed in response to a local colonization attempt and that cannot be penetrated; (Hückelhoven, 2014)] contain higher quantities of callose, arabinoxylan and cellulose than ineffective papillae in barley (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Noncovalent bonds between arabinoxylan and cellulose have been proposed to maintain the barley (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Noncovalent bonds between arabinoxylan and cellulose than ineffective papillae in resistance against host cell penetration has been discussed for penetrates; (Hückelhoven, 2014) contain higher quantities of callose, arabinoxylan and cellulose than ineffective papillae in barley (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Noncovalent bonds between arabinoxylan and cellulose have been proposed to maintain the barley cell wall, potentially forming a network of highly cross-linked polysaccharides (McNeil et al., 1975; Chowdhury et al., 2014). Phenolic compounds present in papillae could further enhance the degree of cross-linking giving rise to a tight structure resistant to mechanical penetration. The resistance to mechanical force, however, is unlikely to play a role in the interaction between S. indica and barley, since S. indica does not form appressorium-like structures. The genome of S. indica, like the genome of its orchid mycorrhizal relative S. vermifera, harbors a large number of genes encoding hydrolytic enzymes comparable to genomes of hemibiotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens, as well as several white rot saprotrophs (Lahrmann et al., 2013; Lahrmann et al., 2015). The up-regulation of genes encoding putative cell wall degrading enzymes during the pre-penetration stage (Zuccaro et al., 2011) suggests that S. indica uses hydrolytic enzymes for host cell penetration. The phenotypic alteration of papillae formed in mlo-5 plant roots suggests a change in composition similar to that proposed for papillae in leaves of resistant mlo barley plants. Considering that S. indica colonization is efficiently arrested in mlo-5 mutant plants, we hypothesize that the arsenal of hydrolytic enzymes and effector proteins produced by S. indica is not sufficient to overcome altered defenses in mlo-5 plants. Additionally, accumulation of toxic compounds at the penetration site in the mlo-5 background could decrease the capability of S. indica to penetrate the host cell.

The early arrest of S. indica colonization in plants carrying the mlo-5 allele indicates that the biotrophic phase plays an important role for the establishment of the beneficial barley-endophyte interaction. To test whether a reduction in biotrophic colonization would have an effect on fungal development, we assessed the induction of host root cell death, which is a hallmark of the S. indica-plant interaction during later colonization stages, using a well-established vacuolar processing enzyme (VPE) activity assay (Deshmukh et al., 2006; Qiang et al., 2012; Lahrmann et al., 2013). At 10 dpi, root sections of colonized WT and mlo-5 plants were collected for total protein extraction. Protein extracts were then incubated with the fluorescent VPE-specific substrate Ac-ESEN-MCA to measure VPE-mediated proteolytic cleavage of MCA. We observed a significant reduction in VPE activity in S. indica-treated mlo-5 plants compared to WT controls (Figure 1E) suggesting a decrease in host cell death. This finding is in agreement with lower colonization levels in mlo mutant plants (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1), Thus, the recessive mlo allele effect on the early biotrophic fungal growth also affects the cell death phase.

Reduced S. indica Colonization Correlates With an Early Accumulation of Iron At the Epidermal Layer of mlo-5 Mutant Plants

The production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) represents a key factor in pathogen resistance, and has been reported to play a role in a number of beneficial associations (Enkerli et al., 1997; Hückelhoven et al., 1999; Fenster and Hause, 2005; Zuccaro et al., 2011; Lahrmann and Zuccaro, 2012). In addition to their direct antimicrobial effects, ROS have been implicated in the fortification of papillae and are emerging as signaling components during plant immunity (Thordal-Christensen et al., 1997; Torres et al., 2006; Baxter et al., 2014).

In cereals, the extracellular production of H2O2 and the resulting oxidative burst in response to biotic stress are dependent on the accumulation of iron (Liu G et al., 2007). To visualize iron accumulation, root tissue of infected and mock-treated plants was stained with Perls/DAB. Consistent with our observations on papillae formation in mlo-5 mutant plants, iron accumulation was apparent at 5 dpi in S. indica-colonized mutant plants (Figure 2A), whereas iron depositions started to appear at 6 dpi in WT plants (Figure 2B). Iron accumulated at the cell periphery throughout the rhizodermal cell layer, suggesting a systemic reaction of this layer. In leaves it was shown that accumulation of Fe3+ occurs specifically around the cell wall appositions just below the site of Bgh penetration attempts, where it facilitates H2O2 production (Greenshields et al., 2007; Liu G et al., 2007), suggesting that the mechanisms underlying iron accumulation and its role in ROS generation may be different in the rhizodermal cell layer. Together, these findings indicate that defense responses are enhanced and occur earlier in the mlo-5 mutant resulting in reduced S. indica colonization.

Mlo Loss-Of-Function Mutations Result in Enhanced Mycorrhization

Like S. indica, F. mosseae has an intracellular lifestyle. Transcriptome studies comparing mycorrhizal to non-mycorrhizal conditions in Lotus japonicus suggested that MLO might play a regulatory role in AM colonization (Guether et al.,
To test this hypothesis, WT and *mlo*-5 barley plants were inoculated with *F. mosseae* and the rate of AM colonization was determined after 2 months of co-cultivation. Microscopically, we did not observe evident differences in the mycorrhizal phenotype. The extraradical mycelia developed in both plant genotypes (Figure 3A, upper panel) and the hyphopodia (Figure 3A, middle panel) consisted of similarly swollen hyphae at places where hyphae were in direct contact with the plant epidermal cell. Moreover, arbuscules were produced in cortical cells with a typical branched appearance in colonized WT and *mlo*-5 mutant plants (Figure 3A, lower panel). These findings indicate that *MLO* is not essential for the establishment of AM symbiosis and cannot be listed as one of the genes of the AM symbiosis signaling module that are required for the perception of AM-derived signaling molecules (Vigneron et al., 2018). To analyze the first step of the colonization (i.e. the penetration of the epidermal cells) in greater detail, we stained vibratome sections of colonized *mlo*-5 root tissue with WGA-FITC. Confocal microscopy of stained sections revealed that *F. mosseae* follows an intracellular penetration mechanism in the mutant background (Supplementary Figure 2), as has been described for *G. margarita* on *Medicago truncatula* roots (Genre et al., 2005; Genre et al., 2008). Similar to *G. margarita*, *F. mosseae* hyphae proliferating from the hyphopodium directly cross the wall of the epidermal cells to which the hyphopodium is adhering (Supplementary Figure 2).

We then assessed the success of *F. mosseae* colonization by quantifying the frequency of mycorrhization (F%), the intensity
of mycorrhization in the root cortex (M%), the percentage of arbuscules in infected areas (a%), and the presence of arbuscules in the entire root system (A%). In our experiments, F%, a%, and A% were higher in mlo-5 mutants compared to WT control plants, while the intensity of mycorrhization did not seem to be affected by the plant phenotype (Figure 3B). This is in contrast to the results presented by Ruiz-Lozano and colleagues (1999) who described a negative effect of the mlo-5 mutation on F. mosseae colonization at 6 weeks. This discrepancy is likely due to differences in inoculum efficiency and the large difference in root material analyzed here (1 m/plant) compared to the earlier study (30 cm/3 plants), or in the time points analyzed. We cannot exclude that colonization of the mlo-5 mutant plants would be negatively affected at earlier stages, but our data indicate that the

FIGURE 2 | Early accumulation of iron in the epidermal cells of the Ingrid mlo-5 mutant upon S. indica colonization. Light microscopic pictures show root sections stained with Perls/DAB at 5 (A) and 6 dpi (B). Black precipitates, indicative of iron accumulation, appear around root epidermal cells in mlo-5 at 5 dpi, whereas iron accumulation in WT plants is only visible after 6 days of S. indica colonization (black arrowheads). Size bar = 100 µm unless otherwise indicated. R, rhizodermis; C, cortex; E, endodermis; V, vasculature.
absence of this gene is not negatively affecting the symbiosis in the long run. Our observations suggest that MLO may be required for optimal AM colonization levels and could be grouped with other so-called downstream genes, which are involved in the re-organization of the plant host cell and facilitate nutrient exchange (Vigneron et al., 2018). While mutations in many of these downstream genes lead to a decrease in colonization success (Wang et al., 2019), the mlo-5 allele enhances host susceptibility. MLO genes encode plant-specific proteins with seven membrane-spanning domains (Büschges et al., 1997; Devoto et al., 1999; Elliott et al., 2005; Kusch et al., 2016). Despite considerable effort, our knowledge on the biochemical functions of this group of proteins remains limited. However, it has been demonstrated that mlo-mediated resistance against Bgh relies on actin cytoskeleton function in epidermal cells (Miklis et al., 2007). Similarly, the cytoskeleton is likely involved in the biogenesis of the perifungal membrane, which is an extension of the host plasmalemma surrounding the growing AM intracellular hyphae (Genre and Bonfante, 2002; Genre et al., 2012). Thus, MLO may exert control over the number of produced arbuscules through the regulation of cytoskeletal assembly.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we show that MLO differentially regulates the establishment of beneficial symbioses with the endophyte S. indica and the AM fungus F. mosseae in barley. During WT colonization by S. indica and F. mosseae, non-specific defense responses are largely repressed. In contrast, inoculation of mlo-5 mutant plants with S. indica results in an early activation of strong defense responses, such as accumulation of iron and papillae formation, limiting S. indica colonization at the epidermal layer during biotrophic growth and at the onset of the cell death-associated phase, while F. mosseae mycorrhization is enhanced in cortex cells at a late colonization stage. Based on these findings, we conclude that the regulatory role of MLO may be cell type specific. This hypothesis is in accordance with the recent finding that the mlo-5 mutation diminishes P. palmivora infection only in young leaf tissue (Le Fevre et al., 2016). Moreover, there seems to be a difference in mlo contribution to resistance between monocot and dicot plant species since mlo barley and A. thaliana mutants display contrasting phenotypes during the interaction with S. indica. One explanation could be the prominent role of iron (Fe(3+))-mediated oxidative (H2O2) cross-linking of cell wall appositions in barley and other cereals, which does not occur during the A. thaliana-S. indica interaction (Greenshields et al., 2007; Liu G et al., 2007; Lahrmann et al., 2013). Additionally, the results presented here corroborate the pleiotropic effects of mlo in various plant-microbe interactions. Other genes have been shown to display variable regulatory roles depending on the colonization strategy of the microbe. For example, in M. truncatula the gene Nod Factor Perception (MtNFP) is essential for the establishment of N-fixing symbiosis, and, as a consequence MtNFP mutants cannot establish the beneficial interaction (Rey et al., 2013). However, MtNfp mutant lines are more susceptible to pathogens including Aphanomyces euteiches and Colletotrichum trifolii, indicating that the regulation of plant-microbe symbioses is often dependent on the interacting microbe.
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