



LONG DNA TECHNOLOGIES EVALUATION FOR PLANT STRUCTURAL VARIATIONS DETECTION: NANOPORE ONT SEQUENCING vs BIONANO GENOMICS OPTICAL MAPPING

Aurélie Canaguier, Erwan Denis, Romane Guilbaud, Ghislaine Magdelenat,
Caroline Belser, Benjamin Istace, Cruaud Corinne, Arnaud Lemainque,
Patrick Wincker, Marie-Christine Le Paslier, et al.

► To cite this version:

Aurélie Canaguier, Erwan Denis, Romane Guilbaud, Ghislaine Magdelenat, Caroline Belser, et al..
LONG DNA TECHNOLOGIES EVALUATION FOR PLANT STRUCTURAL VARIATIONS DE-
TECTION: NANOPORE ONT SEQUENCING vs BIONANO GENOMICS OPTICAL MAPPING.
Plant and Animal Genome XXVIII Conference, Jan 2020, San Diego, United States. . hal-02925940

HAL Id: hal-02925940

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02925940>

Submitted on 31 Aug 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LONG DNA TECHNOLOGIES EVALUATION FOR PLANT STRUCTURAL VARIATIONS DETECTION : NANOPORE ONT SEQUENCING vs BIONANO GENOMICS OPTICAL MAPPING

Aurélien Canaguier¹, Erwan Denis², Romane Guilbaud¹, Ghislaine Magdelenat³, Caroline Belser³, Benjamin Istace³,
Corinne Cruaud³, Arnaud Lemainque³, Patrick Wincker³, Marie-Christine Le Paslier¹, Patricia Faivre-Rampant¹ and Valérie Barbe²

¹ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, Etude du Polymorphisme des Génomés Végétaux (EPGV), 91000, Evry, France, ¹ IR INRAE Génétique,
² Génétique Métabolique, Genoscope, Institut François Jacob, CEA, CNRS, Univ Evry, Université Paris-Saclay, 91057 Evry, France, ³ Genoscope, Institut François Jacob, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, 91057 Evry, France.

INTRODUCTION

Structural Variations (SVs) identification remains in infancy in plants mainly due to the limited useful genomic resources. Long-read sequencing obtained with the MinION instrument (Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT)) and DLE optical maps produced by the Saphyr device (Bionano Genomics), were evaluated on their ability to identify SVs, taking as study model two *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes, Columbia-0 (Col-0) and Landsberg erecta-1 (Ler-1).

RESULTS

1- Assembly & Alignment : metrics and visualization

Technology	ONT		Bionano	
Ecotype	Col-0	Ler-1	Col-0	Ler-1
Cumulative size (Mb) sequences or molecules	13 036	8 174	577 460	610 944
N50 size (Kb)	12	14	153	135
Depth haploide genome of 130Mb	X100	X63	X4 440	X4 700
Cumulated size (Mb) Trimmed seq / sampled molecules	9 817	6 141	90 000	75 000
N50 size (Kb)	12.7	16.5	259	300
Depth haploide genome of 130Mb	X75	X47	X700	X580
Number of contigs or cmaps	79	101	18	14
N50 size in Mb (number)	12.5 (5)	10.7 (5)	15.5	15.4
Assembly size (Mb)	117	117	133	131

Table 1. ONT and Bionano metrics




Figure 2. Alignments of Ler-1 assembly and cmaps against the Chr4 Col-0 reference

2- SV description

Technology	Ecotype	Ref.	# SV	Cumulated Size (Mb)	Median Size (bp)	# INS	# DEL	# INV	# Others
ONT	Ler-1	Col-0	1 187	12.3	3 455	591	579	12	5
	Col-0	Ler-1	1 047	5.4	3 180	537	496	10	4
Bionano	Ler-1	Col-0	589	6.7	4 332	288	293	6	2
	Col-0	Ler-1	520	3	3 741	280	236	4	0

No duplication was reported in this study.

Table 2. Filtered SV and other rearrangements (jump & translocation) description




Figure 3. Distribution of filtered Ler-1 SV along the Col-0 Chr4 (centromer, 100-Kb windows)

CONCLUSION

99% of the SV detected were INS and DEL. Absence of duplication could be explained by absence or by elimination of alignments shorter than 10kb in ONT analysis. In Bionano analysis it may be due to the incapacity to catch such SV precision or too high divergence between the duplicated sequences.

ONT data analysis showed around two fold SV than Bionano, more over the spectra of size is larger. As expected, **Bionano SV are bigger and fewer.** In our study, **97% of SV detected with Bionano data are detected with ONT, more than 83 % of them are concordant.** **ONT and Bionano SV are respectively at 86% and 100% located around genes and/or TE.** Whereas Bionano did no SV detection in complex and too divergent regions, MUMmer can detect some. **ONT SV are more fragmented and this explains 10 to 14% of conflicts by number between both technologies.** Description and relevance have to be carefully check.


With our draft ONT assembly we recovered 80% of SV published in Zapata et al (2016). When we tested the detection with several sampling of corrected and trimmed sequences, we demonstrated that **the assembly obtained with X20 sequences depth, allowed catching more than 90% of the ONT SV.**

Where Bionano Access is an integrative way from molecules filtering to SV detection, applying quality control based on the coverage and the label density, the ONT SV detection is the combination result of alignment, filtering parameters and detector tool for the description. According to these results, taking into account cost and difficulties to develop standard protocol of HMW plant DNA extraction, ONT technology seems to be more affordable to detect SV to date.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 (accession 186AV) and Ler-1 (accession 213AV) seeds were obtained from the Versailles Arabidopsis Stock Center, INRA (France).

SV were obtained using Ler-1 and Col-0 assembly and cmap, respectively versus the Col-0 reference (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000) and the Ler-1 reference (Zapata et al 2016).



SV Comparison
bedtools intersect -wa -wb -a file1.bed/file2.bed -b file2.bed/file1.bed -loj
Two SV are considered as equivalent as soon their absolute positions overlap by one base.

* filters : not in reference gap, not in organelles, types insertion (INS) deletion (DEL) inversion (INV), SV size > 1kb.

Figure 1. Analysis pipeline from ONT and Bionano data to SV comparison

3- SV ONT vs SV Bionano

Ecotype	Ref.	ONT	ONT – Bionano		Bionano	Bionano – ONT	
		specific	conflict by number/by type	concord.	specific	conflict by number/by type	concord.
Ler-1	Col-0	25.0 %	27.0 % / 0.4 %	47.6 %	1.0 %	14.5 / 1.2 %	83.3 %
Col-0	Ler-1	38.0 %	14.3 % / 0.4 %	47.3%	2.3 %	11.0 / 1.0 %	85.7 %

Table 3. Percent of filtered SV, discordant (specific, conflictual by number or by type) and concordant (concord.) between ONT and Bionano data analysis




Figure 4. Filtered SV discordance and concordance between ONT and Bionano detection