



HAL
open science

What are the drivers of beef sensory quality using metadata of intramuscular connective tissue, fatty acids and muscle fiber characteristics?

Anne Listrat, Mohammed Gagaoua, Donato Andueza, Dominique Gruffat, Jérôme Normand, Guillaume Mairesse, Brigitte Picard, Jean-François J.-F. Hocquette

► To cite this version:

Anne Listrat, Mohammed Gagaoua, Donato Andueza, Dominique Gruffat, Jérôme Normand, et al.. What are the drivers of beef sensory quality using metadata of intramuscular connective tissue, fatty acids and muscle fiber characteristics?. *Livestock Science*, 2020, 240, pp.104209. 10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104209 . hal-02926858

HAL Id: hal-02926858

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02926858>

Submitted on 23 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **What are the drivers of beef sensory quality using metadata of intramuscular connective**
2 **tissue, fatty acids and muscle fiber characteristics?**

3

4 Anne Listrat^{1*a}, Mohammed Gagaoua^{1,4***a}, Donato Andueza¹, Dominique Gruffat¹, Jérôme
5 Normand², Guillaume Mairesse³, Brigitte Picard¹, Jean-François Hocquette¹.

6

7 ¹ INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, Herbivores (UMRH) - UMR1213, F-
8 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France.

9 ² Institut de l'Élevage, Service Qualité des Viandes, 23 Rue Jean Baldassini, F-69007 Lyon,
10 France

11 ³ Valorex, La Messayais, F-35210 Combourtille, France

12 ⁴Food Quality and Sensory Science Department, Teagasc, Ashtown Food Research Centre,
13 Ashtown, Dublin, Ireland

14

15 ^a Both authors contributed equally to this work

16 Corresponding authors at

17 *Dr. Anne Listrat: anne.listrat@inrae.fr

18 ** Dr. Mohammed Gagaoua: gamber2001@yahoo.fr

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 The aim of this integrative study was to investigate the relationships between biochemical
24 traits (total, insoluble and soluble collagens (TCol, ICol, SCol), cross-links (CLs),
25 proteoglycans (TPGs), proportion of fiber types, total lipids (TLips), main fatty acids (FAs)
26 families, the n-6/n-3polyunsaturated FA (n-6/n-3PUFA) ratio and the sensory attributes scores
27 (tenderness, juiciness, flavor) of two muscles **from beef**: *Rectus abdominis* (RA) and
28 *Longissimus thoracis* (LT). For robust analysis, a database was prepared using samples **from**
29 three studies **from** animals raised under different production systems. The analyses were
30 performed either on each study separately or on pooled data per muscle after removing as
31 many study effects as possible. The CLs (across the muscles and studies) and, to a lower
32 extent, type IIA muscle fibers (mainly for RA muscles), saturated FAs (SFAs),
33 monounsaturated FAs (MUFAs) (for the LT muscles) were the components most frequently
34 associated with tenderness. The CLs, type IIA muscle fibers (mainly for the RA muscles),
35 TLips, SFAs, MUFAs, conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs) and n-6/n-3PUFA ratio (mainly for
36 the LT muscles) were the components most associated with juiciness. The TLips and CLAs
37 (across the muscles and studies), SFAs, MUFAs (mainly for the LT muscles), CLs (mainly
38 for the RA muscles) and TPGs (mainly for the LT muscles) were the components most
39 associated with flavor. The CLs, CLAs, TLips, SFAs, MUFAs, n-6/n-3PUFA ratio, type IIA
40 and I muscle fibers were the components most frequently associated with the 3 sensory scores
41 taken together. The SCol, TPGs and type IIX+B muscle fibers were little associated with the
42 sensory scores taken together. The TCol, ICol and PUFAs were components least associated
43 with sensory scores. The data of this trial, highlighted for the first time that the CLs were
44 negatively involved in the determination of the three sensory traits mainly in the RA muscles.
45 The muscle fibers in this integrative study had **a weak impact on** the variations in the beef
46 sensory traits. The type IIA and IIX+B muscle fibers were respectively negatively and

47 positively associated with the tenderness, negatively associate with the juiciness and flavor.
48 The type I muscle fibers were overall positively associated with the juiciness and flavor and
49 negatively or positively with the tenderness and were muscle and study-dependent. Overall,
50 the TLips and FAs were positively associated with the sensory scores and the n-6/n-3PUFA
51 ratio were negatively associated.

52

53 Keywords: Meat quality, Muscle properties, Data integration, Multivariate analyses, Cross-
54 links, Cattle.

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Consumers have a growing demand for meat of high consistent eating quality (hygienic,
58 nutritional, organoleptic). The organoleptic qualities (tenderness, juiciness, flavor) are
59 influenced by the main muscle components of the muscles (Gagaoua et al., 2018; Listrat et al.,
60 2016). The most studied muscular features with a relationship with organoleptic traits,
61 particularly tenderness, are the types of muscle fibers (I, IIA, IIX+B) and intramuscular
62 connective tissues (IMCT), in particular total and insoluble (or soluble) collagen (TCol, ICol,
63 SCol) contents (Gagaoua et al., 2018; Listrat et al., 2016). To a lesser extent, the cross-links
64 (CLs), including the pyridinoline, the main CL of muscle, and proteoglycans (PGs) have been
65 investigated (Dubost et al., 2013b; Mezgebo et al., 2019). The role of these muscle
66 components on the juiciness and flavor is, to our knowledge, much less known than on
67 tenderness. Intramuscular fat (IMF) content, i.e. its content in total lipids (TLips) is well
68 known to have an impact on muscle tenderness, juiciness and beef flavor (Frank et al., 2016;
69 Hocquette et al., 2010). To the best of our knowledge, few authors have considered combined
70 impact of the IMCT components, of muscle fiber type proportions, and TLip content on the

71 tenderness, juiciness and flavor. However, precise knowledge of the relationships between the
72 muscle components and organoleptic qualities is a prerequisite to understand and control the
73 biological basis of meat quality.

74 Knowledge on the beef fatty acids (FAs) composition is of growing importance for
75 consumers. Indeed, the FAs influence a range of diseases, including cardiovascular, metabolic
76 such as type 2 diabetes and inflammatory disorders (Calder, 2015). It is worthwhile to note
77 that the results about the relationships between the FAs and sensory attributes of beef meat are
78 contradictory (Cho et al., 2005; Garmyn et al., 2011; Hunt et al., 2016; Hwang and Joo, 2017,
79 2016).

80 In this context, the aim of this integrative study was to identify the most generic relationships
81 between the main components of IMCT (TCol, ICol, SCol, CLs, PGs), the proportion of fiber
82 types, the TLips, the main families of FAs and the major beef sensory attributes. To do so,
83 three independent studies were utilised to build a database composed of samples from two
84 muscles (*Rectus abdominis* and *Longissimus thoracis*) from animals raised under different
85 studyal conditions.

86

87 **2. Material and methods**

88 2.1. Beef production and muscle sampling

89 The studyal procedures and animal holding facilities respected the French animal protection
90 legislation, including licensing of experimenters. They were controlled and approved by the
91 French Veterinary Services (slaughterhouse and experimental facilities).

92 2.1.1. Experimental designs and samples

93 Study 1 (St1): All the experimental procedures performed in this study were approved by the
94 Animal Ethics Committee of INRA-CIRAD-IFREMER (APAFIS#1765-2015091516305 V3).
95 The study was performed on 32 young Charolais bulls housed in straw bedded pens. The
96 animals were assigned a 6 months basal diet (60% hay and 40% concentrate). They were
97 slaughtered at, on average, 18 months old with a final live weight of 736 ± 38.46 kg.

98 Study 2 (St2): This study was part of the European « ProSafeBeef » Integrated Project
99 (FOODCT-2006-36241). The study was performed on 40 young purebred bulls, Aberdeen
100 Angus (n = 12), Limousin (n = 14) and Blond d'Aquitaine (n = 14), housed in straw bedded
101 pens. Animals were assigned to a 100 days finishing period (75% concentrate and 25%
102 straw). They were slaughtered at, on average, 17 months old with a final live weight of
103 670 ± 47.32 kg. This experimental protocol was previously described by Dubost et al. (2013a).

104 The animals of the studies 1 and 2 were raised and slaughtered at the study facilities and
105 slaughterhouse of the INRA Research center (license numbers #63 345 01 and #63 345.17,
106 respectively).

107 Study 3 (St3): This study was performed on 52 animals. They came from 16 different, non-
108 experimental farms. The animals were of two breeds (dairy Holstein and beef Charolais and
109 Rouge des Prés) and of four genders: young bulls (n=15), heifers (n=9), steers (n=6) and cows
110 (n=22). The young bulls were slaughtered at about 19 (for beef animals) and 21 months (for
111 dairy animals) of age, steers at about 35 months, heifers at about 32 months and cows
112 between 6 and 8 years. Diets mainly consisted of grass or concentrate and forage (grass or
113 corn silage, straw). The animals were slaughtered in the same abattoir (Le Lion d'Angers,
114 France, license number #49 176 001), following the same conditions for slaughter and
115 carcasses management. This experimental protocol was previously published by Listrat et al.
116 (Listrat et al., 2020a).

117

118 2.1.2. Muscle sampling

119 For the three studies (n=124 animals), samples were taken between 24 and 48h post-mortem.
120 For the St1 (n=32) and 2 (n=40), samples of *Longissimus thoracis* (LT) muscles were
121 collected between the 5th rib and 9th rib. For the St1 (n=32) and 3 (n=52), samples of *Rectus*
122 *abdominis* (RA) muscles were removed from the middle part of the muscle. Samples for one
123 analysis were always taken at the same anatomical position from animal to animal and study
124 to study. Carcasses were chilled in a cold room (+2°C). For the sensorial evaluations of the
125 three studies, the meat samples were aged in vacuum-packs at +4 °C for 7 (St1 and 3) or 14
126 days (St2) according to the study then stored at –20 °C until analyses.

127

128 2.2. Biochemical characteristics of Intramuscular Connective Tissue

129 For proteoglycan (PG), muscle samples (60–80 g) were taken 15 min after exsanguination, of
130 the livestock, close to the piece used for sensory analyses, cut up into small pieces, frozen and
131 powdered in liquid nitrogen, then stored at –80 °C until analysed. For collagen, CLs, lipid and
132 FA measurements, muscle samples (about 150 g) were taken at 24 h post-mortem. They were
133 cut into small pieces, frozen, ground in liquid nitrogen with a mixer grinder (Retch MM 301,
134 Hann Germany) to produce a fine homogeneous powder and then stored at –80 °C until
135 analysed. For collagen and CL measurements, samples, after grinding in liquid nitrogen, were
136 freeze-dried for 96 h in a freeze-drier (Cryotec, France), pulverized in a horizontal blade mill
137 and finally stored at +4 °C in stopper plastic flasks until analysed.

138

139 2.2.1. Total, insoluble (soluble) collagen and cross-link measurements

140 For the TCol and CL contents, about 250 mg of muscle powder were weighed in duplicate,
141 acid hydrolysed with 20 mL of 6 N HCl, overnight, at 110°C in a screw-capped glass tube.
142 The acid hydrolysate was diluted 5 times in 6 N HCl. For ICol, muscle powder (250 mg) was
143 weighed in duplicate and rehydrated for one hour with solubilization buffer (0.23 M NaCl, 25
144 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) and heated in a water bath at 75°C for one hour. The soluble fraction
145 was separated from the insoluble fraction by filtration (pleated filters in cotton cellulose,
146 VWR 512-0206) and discarded. Insoluble fraction was hydrolysed according to the same
147 method as for TCol content. Hydroxyproline content was measured in TCol and ICol
148 hydrolysates according to the procedure previously described by Dubost et al. (Annabelle
149 Dubost et al., 2013a). The data were expressed in mg of hydroxyproline per g of dry matter
150 (mg OH-pro g⁻¹ DM). OH-Prol was not measured in the soluble fraction, because of the low
151 concentrations that made the determination imprecise, but was determined as follows: Soluble
152 Collagen (SCol) = (TCol-ICol)/TCol)* 100. The CLs were determined by the enzyme-linked
153 immunoassay Metra Pyd EIA kit (Quidel Corporation, USA) according to the manufacturer
154 procedure adapted by Dubost et al. (2013a) for muscular tissues. The results were expressed
155 in nM of pyridinoline per g of DM (nM pyr g⁻¹ DM).

156

157 2.2.2. Total proteoglycan content

158 Total PGs (TPGs) content was measured according to procedure adapted by Dubost et al.
159 (2013a). Each sample was measured twice and data were expressed in µg of
160 glycoaminoglycans (GAGs) per g of DM (µg GAGs g⁻¹ DM).

161

162 2.3. Myosin heavy chains isoforms quantification by electrophoresis

163 Myosin heavy chain (MyHC) isoforms were separated with sodium dodecyl sulfate glycerol
164 gel electrophoresis according to Picard et al. (2011)'s method. After migration, the gels were
165 fixed in 30% (v/v) ethanol and 5% acetic acid (v/v) and then stained with colloidal Coomassie
166 Blue R250 for 24 h. After destaining, the gels were scanned and the proportions of the
167 different MyHC bands were quantified by densitometry with ImageQuant Software5500
168 (Amersham Biosciences/GE Healthcare). The quantification of the bands revealed the
169 existence of MyHC-IIB isoform was found in only 5 animals. Therefore, MyHC-IIB
170 percentage were added to those of MyHC-IIX as described by Gagaoua et al. (2015) creating
171 a new variable, muscle fiber type IIX+B.

172

173 2.4. Intramuscular fat content and fatty acid composition

174 Total lipid contents (TLip) contents were estimated by NIRS using the model reported by
175 Andueza et al. (2019) for lyophilised samples. A total of 88 samples were analysed by the
176 reference method (Folch et al., 1957) to validate the NIRS predictions. The model used was
177 characterised by the following statistics: $R^2V(\text{validation})=0.93$; standard error of prediction
178 (SEP)= 1.01g/100g fresh samples.

179 Fatty acid extraction and transmethylation into fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were
180 subsequently performed according to Scislowski et al. (2005). Fatty acid methyl ester
181 analysis was performed with GLC using a Peri 2100-chromatography system (Perichrom
182 Society, Saulx-les-Chartreux, France) fitted with a CP-Sil 88 glass capillary column (Varian,
183 Palo Alto, CA; length = 100 m; diam. = 0.25 mm). The carrier gas was H₂, and the oven and
184 flame ionization detector temperatures described by Scislowski et al. (2004) were used. Total
185 FA were quantified using C19:0 as an internal standard. The identification of each individual
186 FAME and the calculation of the response coefficients for each individual FAME were

187 performed using the quantitative mix C4-C24 Fame (Supelco, Bellafonte, PA). Data were
188 expressed in mg per 100g of fresh matter.

189

190 2.5. Sensory analysis

191 After thawing at 2 to 5 °C in vacuum packs for at least 24 h before cooking, muscles of St1
192 and 2 were cut into pieces of 1.5 cm in cross-section then grilled up to an internal temperature
193 of 55°C. Muscles of St3 were cut into pieces of 3 cm in cross-section and cooked in an oven
194 to 250°C. They were removed, as for studies 1 and 2, at an internal temperature of 55 °C. The
195 cooking method used (cooked in an oven for St2 as opposed to grilling for St1 and 3) was
196 without consequence on the results as shown by Lawrence et al. (2001) and tested in our
197 laboratories (result not shown). The samples of each study were presented in sequential
198 monadic sessions involving 12 panellists. At each sensory session, the 12 panellists evaluated
199 6 samples of the same muscle, randomly selected. The expert panellists were trained in
200 accordance with the ISO standards ISO/TC as described by Gagaoua et al. (2016). The
201 panellists rated global tenderness, juiciness and flavor of the meat on a continuous scale
202 scored from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough, dry, not tasty and 10 to extremely
203 tender, juicy, tasty. During sessions, panelists were randomly seated in individual booths, in a
204 sensory analysis room, equipped with individual booths under artificial red light to reduce the
205 influence of the appearance of the samples. The panelists were provided water and unsalted
206 crackers to clean their palate. Each tasting booth was equipped with computer terminal linked
207 to a fileserver running a sensory software (Fizz, version 2.20h; Biosystemes, Couternon,
208 France) that facilitated the direct entry of assessor ratings.

209

210 2.6. Statistical analysis

211 The data from the three studys were analyzed together using XLSTAT 2017.19.6 (AddinSoft,
212 Paris, France). Normal distribution and homogeneity of the dataset was tested by Shapiro–
213 Wilk test ($P > 0.05$). Data were standardized in the same way for all studies to remove the
214 effects of muscle (St. 1), of breed (St. 2) as suggested by Gagaoua et al. (2015) and of breed
215 and gender (St. 3) as in Listrat et al. (Listrat et al., 2020a), which are the major parameters
216 affecting eating quality of beef. The standardization of the data was based on Z-scores, which
217 represent the number of standard deviations for each observation relative to the mean of the
218 corresponding data group amongst the studied factors of each study. Therefore, after this
219 transformation, the data had a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. Subsequently, Partial
220 Least Squares (PLS) regression based on Z-scores for the whole variables of the database
221 were used to explain tenderness, juiciness, and flavor traits for each muscle using IMCT, IMF
222 and muscle fiber characteristics. The PLS method aimed to predict data by relating two data
223 matrices X and Y to each other, where in our case, the X consists in the explanatory variables
224 (X-matrix, 14 variables) and Y consists in sensory beef quality traits (Y-matrix, 3 variables).
225 The relationships for each sensory quality (or PLS models) were built first per muscle of each
226 study and second per muscle across studys (St1 + St2 = LT muscle or St1 + St3 = RA
227 muscle). The filter method with the variable importance in the projection (VIP) set at the level
228 of $VIP > 0.8$ was used for variable selection as described by Gagaoua et al. (2019). For the
229 selection of the variables, the jack-knife method was included in the PLS regression as a
230 selective parameter. Finally, for all the entered variables in the PLS, the standardized
231 regression coefficients (β) were further given.

232

233 3. Results

234 The variability of raw data for the LT muscles from St 1 (n=32) and 2 (n=40) was illustrated
235 in Table 1. Briefly, it was noted that the the LT muscles from the first two studies had

236 equivalent amounts of TCol, an equivalent n-6/n-3PUFA ratio and tenderness. The LT_St1
237 had less ICol, TPGs, was less glycolytic, more oxydo-glycolytic (less IIX+B and more IIA
238 muscle fibers), less juicy and more tasty than the LT_St2. On the contrary, the LT_St1 had
239 more SCol, more CLs, TLips, SFAs, MUFAs, PUFAs and CLAs. Overall, coefficients of
240 variations for IMCT components, TLips and FAs were higher for the LT_St2 than for the
241 LT_St1. The variability of raw data for the RA muscles from St 1 (n=32) and 3 (n=52) was
242 illustrated in Table 2. The RA muscles from the St1 and 3 had equivalent amounts of ICol,
243 CLs, TPGs, PUFAs, and of type IIA and IIX+B muscle fibers. On the contrary, the RA_St1
244 had, on average, more TCol, SCol, a higher n-6/n-3PUFA ratio and less of the other
245 components than the RA_St3. The RA_St3 was, on average, more tender, juicier, tastier.
246 Overall, the coefficients of variation of the RA_St3 were higher for TCol, CLs, PUFAs, the
247 proportions of muscle fiber types and the tenderness.

248 3.1. Relationship between muscle components and tenderness

249 The relationships between the muscle components and tenderness are illustrated in Tables 3
250 and 4. The PLS models explained between 32% (RA_St3) and 66% (LT_St1) of the
251 tenderness variability. The following results were summarized in Table 5. The CLs were the
252 main drivers of tenderness (they were significantly and negatively retained 4 times in PLS
253 models of tenderness, once for each LT muscle and once for each RA muscle. This result is
254 indicated in the “Fr” column inside “tenderness” column). The CLs were followed by type
255 IIA muscle fibers (significantly retained 3 times) then by SFAs, MUFAs, n-6/n-3PUFA ratio,
256 CLAs, type I and IIX+B muscle fiber types (significantly retained twice). The parameters that
257 had the least impact were the TCol, ICol, SCol, TPGs, TLips, PUFAs (retained once). The
258 TCol, ICol, SCol and TPGs were also negatively associated with tenderness but were muscle
259 and study-dependent (TCol and SCol for LT_St1, ICol and TPGs for RA_St3). The
260 association between the muscle fiber types and the tenderness was also muscle and study-

261 dependent. The type IIA muscle fibers were negatively associated with the tenderness of the
262 LT_St1 and of the two RA muscles, while the type IIX+B were positively associated with the
263 tenderness of LT and RA_St1. The type I muscle fibers were negatively associated with the
264 tenderness of LT_St1 and positively with tenderness of the RA-St3.

265 The TLips or FAs were frequently associated with the tenderness of LT compared to RA, i.e.,
266 the SFAs and MUFAs were positively associated with tenderness of the two LT muscles,
267 TLips and PUFAs with the tenderness of the LT_St2, CLAs with tenderness of the LT_St2
268 and RA_St1 and n-6/n-3PUFA ratio positively with tenderness of the LT_exp2 and RA_St1.
269 The TLips, SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs were not associated with the tenderness of RA
270 muscles.

271

272 3.2. Relationship between muscle components and juiciness

273 The relationships between the muscle components and the juiciness were illustrated in Tables
274 6 and 7. The PLS models explained between 36% (LT_St2) and 57% (RA_St1) of the
275 juiciness variability. The following results were summarized in Table 5. The main drivers of
276 the juiciness were the CLs, type IIA muscle fibers, TLips, SFAs, MUFAs, n-6/n-3PUFA ratio,
277 CLAs (they were significantly retained 3 times in PLS models of juiciness for both LT and
278 RA muscles (column Fr inside column juiciness) followed by SCol and type I muscle fibers
279 (significantly retained twice). The measurements that had least impact were the ICol, TPGs,
280 IIX+B muscle fibers, PUFAs. The TCol was not retained. The CLs were negatively associated
281 with the juiciness of the RA muscles from St1 and 3 and of the LT_St2. The ICol was
282 positively associated with juiciness of the LT-St1, SCol negatively with juiciness of the
283 LT_St1 and RA_St3 and TPGs negatively associated with juiciness of the LT_St2. The type I
284 muscle fibers were positively associated with juiciness of the RA muscles from St1 and 3, but

285 not of LT muscles from St1 and 2. The type IIA fibers were negatively associated with the
286 juiciness of the RA muscles from St1 and 3 and of LT_St2. The type IIX+B fibers were not
287 associated with juiciness of the LT muscles but negatively associated with the juiciness of the
288 RA_St3. The TLips were positively associated with the juiciness of the LT muscles from St1
289 and 2 and of RA_St3. The SFAs, MUFAs and CLAs were also positively associated with the
290 juiciness of the LT muscles from St1 and 2 and of RA_St1. The PUFAs were only positively
291 associated with the juiciness of the RA_St1 and n-6/n-3PUFA ratio was negatively associated
292 with the juiciness of the LT muscles from St1 and 2 and of RA_St3.

293 3.3. Relationship between muscle components and flavor

294 The relationship between the muscle components and the flavor were illustrated in Tables 8
295 and 9. The PLS models explained between 36% (for LT_St2) and 63% (for LT_St1) of the
296 flavor variability. The following results were summarized in Table 5. The main drivers of
297 flavor, TLips, CLAs (they were significantly retained 4 times in PLS models of flavor for both
298 LT and RA muscles (column Fr inside column flavor) followed by CLs, TPGs, SFAs,
299 MUFAs (significantly retained 3 times), SCol, type I muscle fibers and n-6/n-3PUFA ratio
300 (significantly retained twice). The measurements the least associated were the ICol, IIA,
301 IIX+B muscle fibers and PUFAs, all retained once. The total collagen (TCol) was not
302 retained. The cross-links were negatively associated with the flavor of the two RA muscles
303 and of LT_St2 and the TPGs positively associated with the flavor of the LT muscles from St1
304 and 2 and negatively with the flavor of the RA St_3. The ICol were negatively associated with
305 the flavor of the LT_St1. The SCol were negatively associated with the flavor of the LT_St1
306 and of the RA_St3. The type I muscle fibers were positively associated with the flavor of the
307 LT_St2 and RA_St3 and the type IIA and IIX+B, negatively with the flavor of the RA_St3.
308 The TLips and CLAs were positively associated with the flavor whatever the muscles and the
309 studies. The SFAs and MUFAs were associated with the flavor of the two LT muscles but

310 only of RA_St1. The PUFAs were associated with the flavor of LT_St2 and the n-6/n-3
311 PUFA ratio negatively with the flavor of the LT and RA_St1.

312

313 4. Discussion

314 Many authors have identified variability in eating quality, especially the tenderness, as one of
315 the primary causes influencing consumers' desire to not re-purchase meat (Maltin et al.,
316 2007). Consequently, only some authors have taken into account the juiciness and flavor in
317 the studies on beef sensory qualities. However, recently, O'Quinn et al. (2018) and Liu et al.
318 (2020) indicated that flavor and to a lower extent juiciness also need to be taken into account
319 in evaluation of overall palatability. The sensory scores (tenderness, juiciness, flavor) are
320 influenced by the main components of muscle tissues *i.e.* the IMCT, IMF and muscle fibers
321 (Gagaoua et al., 2018; Listrat et al., 2016). The development of a beef quality guarantee
322 system may rely on muscle profiling research (Chriki et al., 2013; Seggern et al., 2005). It is
323 the reason why, in this study, we highlighted the role of different components of the IMCT
324 (mainly CLs, but also collagen, TPGs), the proportions of muscle fiber types and IMF (TLips
325 and main fatty acids families) on the beef sensory scores (tenderness, juiciness and flavor). To
326 demonstrate, among others, the important role of CLs, we used three very different data sets
327 from various groups of livestock. The first one was composed of Charolais young bulls, the
328 second one was composed of Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d'Aquitaine young bulls.
329 The main differences between these two groups was the duration of ageing of their meat (7
330 days for the first group vs 14 days for the second). Meat from LT muscle of animals of the
331 first and second group had an equivalent tenderness. Meat from LT muscle of animals of the
332 first therefore should have been harder than that of animals of the second group due to the
333 difference of ageing. The LT muscles of animals of the first group contained more soluble
334 collagen, less insoluble collagen (probably due to the high average daily weight gain of

335 animal: >2kg/day (result not shown)) (Archile-Contreras et al., 2010; Fishell et al., 1985) than
336 the animals of the second group. The LT muscles of the livestock of the first group contained
337 also more total lipids and fatty acids. As shown by Fishell et al. (1985) and Nishimura (2010)
338 these differences in amounts of soluble, insoluble collagen and of lipids probably resulted in
339 increased tenderness of meat from LT muscle of animals of the first group which
340 compensated their lower tenderness potential compared to the second group. The third group
341 was composed of an heterogeneous set of animals (young bulls, heifers, cows and steers of
342 three breeds) from several non experimental farms that had been mainly raised in pasture or
343 consigned and fed with different forage. Feeding (for study 3, pasture, grass or corn silage,
344 concentrate vs, for study 1, hay and concentrate), age and sexe differences, associated to their
345 high average DWG, could explained the fact that the RA muscles of study 1 contained more
346 collagen and were less oxydative (Monin, 1991). To get rid of main differences between
347 studies, all data were normalized per study but also per breed, sex and cut.

348

349 4.1. Impact of IMCT on sensory quality traits with a particular focus on a thermo-stable cross-
350 links, pyridinoline

351 Collagen is the main protein of IMCT. The collagen fibers are stabilized by inter- and intra-
352 molecular CLs. In adult muscle, there are different types of CLs, including the pyridinoline
353 which is the main thermo-stable CL (Kuypers and Kurth, 1995). The results in the literature
354 on the role of CLs on the tenderness are contradictory (Lepetit, 2007). We previously used
355 data of St2 and 3 to study the role of muscle components, including CLs, on tenderness
356 (Dubost et al., 2013b; Listrat et al., 2020b). The present results confirm the key role of CLs on
357 tenderness of LT and RA muscles. For the first time, the data of this trial provide the evidence
358 that CLs have an impact on the juiciness and flavor, more marked in the RA muscles than LT
359 muscles, perhaps because RA muscles had more CLs than the LT muscles. Dubost et al.

360 (2013b) had already attempted, by using samples of St2, to show if there was a relationship
361 between the CLs, the juiciness and flavor, but failed to find an association. This difference of
362 result is because Dubost et al. (2013b) had worked on raw data and not on normalized data.
363 To the best of our knowledge, there are no data in the literature to explain the role of CLs on
364 juiciness and flavor. However, the CLs could affect the juiciness and flavor via relationships
365 that they have with the PGs and lipids. The proteoglycans interact with water molecules
366 (Iozzo and Schaefer, 2015) to create a water compartment around collagen matrix and
367 participate to create (Reese et al., 2013), in association with the CLs (Depalle et al., 2015), a
368 specific force necessary for the adipocytes to differentiate (Cristancho and Lazar, 2011). The
369 proportions of CLs and PGs together with the lipids in muscles could influence the sensory
370 scores.

371 Bovine muscles contain between 1-10% of collagen (in % of dry matter). Elastic modulus of
372 raw collagen fibers is comprised between 0.5–1 GPa, which gives them a high stiffness
373 (Lepetit, 2008). This has contributed to several authors over several decades to investigate the
374 possible negative impact of TCol on meat tenderness. This study allowed to highlight the
375 consistencies and divergences among studies as described by Lepetit (2007) and to identify
376 the main robust variables to explain beef sensory quality traits. For example, the results of the
377 present paper show that the TCol, ICol and SCol have a negative impact on meat tenderness
378 as in Jeremiah et al. (2003) and Chriki et al. (2012)'s studies, but that their role was muscle-
379 and experimental design-dependent. These results are a confirmation of Holman et al. (2020)
380 statements that muscle collagen content is not a suitable predictor of the tenderness. These
381 authors explained this result by the fact that, as reported by several authors (Jeremiah and
382 Martin, 1981; Starkey et al., 2016) and in this paper, the role of collagen (total, insoluble,
383 soluble) on tenderness is very dependent on the cattle population and on the muscle. In
384 addition, Holman et al. (2020) indicated that cooking temperature could negate the

385 contribution of collagen to tenderness. In the present study, the negative effect of TCol, ICol
386 and SCol can indeed be explained by the cooking temperature used (55°C). As a matter of
387 fact, complete denaturation of collagen and its gelatinization occurs between 60 and 70°C
388 (Tornberg, 2005). Below 55°C, the beneficial effect of gelatin on tenderness (Chang et al.,
389 2011) does not occur.

390 In IMCT, collagen makes up a network of fibers embedded in a matrix of PGs. The present
391 study showed that TPGs have a negative effect on tenderness and juiciness, which are muscle
392 and livestock dependent. TPGs had also, according to muscle and study, a positive or negative
393 effect on flavor. This result could be linked to the property of PGs to retain water and to their
394 possible relationships with the TLips and CLs (Cristancho and Lazar, 2011; Depalle et al.,
395 2015; Reese et al., 2013), components that also affect sensory scores. We hypothesise that
396 cooking temperature could act on PGs properties and modify their capacity to hold water by
397 decreasing the amount of water retained in meat and thus juiciness perception.

398

399 4.2. Role of muscle fiber types on sensory traits

400 The results of the present study confirmed the complex relationships between tenderness and
401 muscle fibers observed in various studies (Chriki et al., 2012; Listrat et al., 2020b; Listrat et
402 al., 2016). For the RA muscle, among the muscle fibers, a robust negative relationship (for the
403 two considered studies) was observed between IIA fibers and tenderness. This result was in
404 accordance with several authors who have shown negative associations between IIA fibers
405 and tenderness in different muscles (Chriki et al., 2012; Jurie et al., 2007). The results for the
406 relationship between tenderness and type IIX+B, in this study, were opposite to those of
407 Picard et al. (2014) who showed for the *Longissimus thoracis* muscle (fast oxido-glycolytic
408 muscle) that higher degrees of fast glycolytic properties are associated with lower tenderness,

409 this relationship being more or less **related** to the breed. The contradiction between Picard et
410 al. (2014) results and those of this study are due by the fact that the results of this study were
411 analysed irrespective of breed while those of Picard et al. (2014) were analyzed across breeds.
412 Overall, type IIA and IIX+B muscle fibers were negatively associated with juiciness mainly
413 in RA muscles, whereas type I fibers were, rather, positively associated with juiciness and
414 flavor. This relationship between juiciness and the slow oxidative fibers (type I) have already
415 been described by Waritthitham et al. (2010). The relationship between type I muscle fibers
416 and flavor can be probably explained by the high phospholipid content of type I fibers, **since**
417 phospholipids **are** a major determinant of the flavor of cooked meat (Gandemer, 2002).
418 Another explanation **could** be that high **levels** of type I muscle fibers would induce high free
419 amino acid contents in muscles that would contribute to intense flavor possibly because of a
420 greater oxidative metabolism (Mashima et al., 2019).

421

422 4.3. Role of total lipids and fatty acid composition on sensory traits

423 The results of our study confirmed that TLips content played a positive role on meat
424 tenderness (muscle and study dependent), juiciness (muscle and study dependent but more
425 marked for LT muscle) and flavor (across muscles and studys). TLips (their adipocytes)
426 would affect indirectly tenderness (Hocquette et al., 2010). **Adipocytes, which develop in the**
427 **perimysium (between muscle fiber bundles), would cause the remodelling of ECM and reduce**
428 **the mechanical strength of IMCT, contributing to the tenderization of beef (Nishimura, 2010;**
429 **Roy et al., 2018)**. We hypothesise that the LT muscles have a thin and slightly branched
430 endomysium and perimysium compared to other muscles (Dubost et al., 2013a), their
431 endomysium and perimysium would be more fragile and then probably easier to break when
432 the amounts of TLips increase, modifying the feeling of tenderness. On the contrary, the

433 TLips might directly affect juiciness and flavor. When the amount of TLips increases, the
434 water-holding capacity of meat, with which lipids are positively correlated, would also
435 increase (Joo et al., 2002), which could lubricate the muscle fibers during cooking and thus
436 increase the apparent sensation of juiciness. It could also stimulate salivary flow during
437 mastication (Smith and Carpenter, 1974). The mechanism by which the TLips contribute to
438 flavor is well known. Cooked meat characteristic aroma are derived from volatile components
439 thermally induced during Maillard reactions, lipid oxidation and vitamin degradation (Van Ba
440 et al., 2012). The results of this integrative study highlighted a positive role of SFAs and
441 MUFAs on the tenderness, juiciness and flavor of the LT muscles and on the juiciness and
442 flavor of RA muscles in some some experiments and, overall, a negative of n-6/n-3PUFA
443 ratio on sensory qualities. Other authors have studied the relationships between the different
444 FA families and sensory scores and have obtained contradictory results, equivalent or
445 opposite to those of this study. Over five studies (Cho et al., 2005; Garmyn et al., 2011; Hunt
446 et al., 2016; Hwang and Joo, 2017, 2016), three authors showed, as in the present study, a
447 positive relationship between MUFAs (Garmyn et al., 2011; Hunt et al., 2016; Hwang and
448 Joo, 2017), SFAs (Cho et al., 2005; Hunt et al., 2016; Hwang and Joo, 2016) and sensory
449 parameters while four authors showed an opposite relationship between PUFAs and sensory
450 parameters (Cho et al., 2005; Garmyn et al., 2011; Hwang and Joo, 2017, 2016). This was
451 probably due to differences in the muscles, animal types, temperature and cooking modes
452 used or still consumer habits and preferences. The FAs are involved in nutritional quality,
453 some are not beneficial for its improvement, such as the SFAs, others, such as the MUFAs,
454 CLAs or a low n-6/n-3PUFA are beneficial. For SFAs, nutritional recommendations around
455 the world suggest that their intake has to be kept low, while, on the contrary, an increase of
456 unsaturated fatty acids and CLAs and a decrease of n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio in diets **should be**
457 beneficial for health (Vahmani et al., 2015). Then, it should be possible to improve both

458 sensory and nutritional quality of meat by **changing** the composition in FAs by **utilising**
459 **specific** breeding **protocols**.

460

461 **5. Conclusion**

462 The original statistical approach applied **of** this integrative study highlighted a preponderant
463 role of CLs in **determining** tenderness whatever muscle and **livestock** and in juiciness and
464 flavor mainly for RA muscle. On the contrary, TCol had not a preponderant role in tenderness
465 score since it was associated with tenderness for only one of the LT muscles **in** this study.
466 TCol had no role in juiciness and flavor. **In contrast**, ICol and SCol that were associated with
467 tenderness, juiciness and flavor **depending on the** muscle and study. The three types of muscle
468 fibers were associated with tenderness, juiciness and flavor, but less frequently than the CLs
469 or TLips, SFAs, MUFAs, CLAs. Type IIA muscle fibers were the type of fibers **that were the**
470 **most** associated with the three sensory scores. SFAs, MUFAs CLAs and to a lesser extent n-
471 6/n-3PUFA ratio had a preponderant **relationship with** tenderness, juiciness and flavor mainly
472 in LT muscles.

473

474 Funding

475 St 1 was funded by ADEME (Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie,
476 France). St 2 was partly funded by the European « ProSafeBeef » Integrated Project
477 (FOODCT-2006-36241). St 3 was funded by a financial support of “régions Bretagne and
478 Pays de la Loire”.

479

480 Declaration of competing interest

481 There are no conflicts of interest to declare

482

483 Author Contributions: A. Listrat conceived and designed this study, analysed the data,
484 prepared the tables and figures, wrote the paper, and approved the final draft. M. Gagaoua
485 participated in the analyses of the data, reviewed the drafts of the paper and approved the final
486 draft. D. Andueza performed the SPIR measurements on meat samples, participated in the
487 data analyses and reviewed the drafts of the paper, D. Gruffat conceived study 1 and with B.
488 Picard supervised measurements on meat samples and reviewed drafts of the paper, J.
489 Normand and G. Mairesse co-conceived study 3 and J.F. Hocquette was responsible of the
490 study 2 and reviewed the final draft of the paper.

491

492 Acknowledgments

493 For St 1 and 2: The authors express their thanks to the staff of the Studyal Unit, Herbipôle
494 (UERT) of INRA (Theix) for the animal management, slaughtering and the staff of
495 Biomarkers team for their skilled technical assistance, particularly Arnaud Delavaud,
496 David Chadeyron, Blandine Fréchet for the collagen, cross-link and proteoglycans content
497 measurements and Agnès Thomas, Sylvie Bardou-Valette and Mélissa Vauris for the muscle
498 lipid and FAs determination. The authors also express their thanks to the staff and the
499 panellists of the INRA Unit (Le Magneraud) for the sensory quality evaluations. For St1, we
500 also thank Emmanuel Bedier and Mathieu Pondet from Idena and Bérengère Hoesz from
501 Thivat Nutrition Animale, who allowed this project happen.

502 For St 3: The authors acknowledge the help of the staffs of “Biomarkers” and “Dinamic”
503 teams, especially David Chadeyron, Blandine Fréchet, Arnaud Delavaud for biochemical
504 measurements and Fabienne Picard, Juliane Pourrat for their technical assistance for NIRS

505 measurements and analyses. The authors are further thankful to the French Livestock Institute
506 Meat Quality Team, namely Laurent Allais, Catherine Malayrat, Chloé Flattard and Valérie
507 Hardit for muscle sampling and sensorial analyses. We also thank Terrena and Elivia who
508 allowed this project happened and sampled the muscles.

509

510 **References**

511 Andueza, D., Listrat, A., Durand, D., Normand, J., Mourot, B.P., Gruffat, D., 2019. Prediction
512 of beef meat fatty acid composition by visible-near-infrared spectroscopy was improved
513 by preliminary freeze-drying. *Meat Sci.* 158, 1–6.

514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2019.107910>

515 Archile-Contreras, A.C., Mandell, I.B., Purslow, P.P., 2010. Disparity of dietary effects on
516 collagen characteristics and toughness between two beef muscles. *Meat Sci.* 86, 491–
517 497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.05.041>

518 Calder, P.C., 2015. Functional Roles of Fatty Acids and Their Effects on Human Health.

519 *JPEN J Parenter Enter. Nutr* 39, 18S-32S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607115595980>

520 Chang, H., Wang, Q., Xu, X., Li, C., Huang, M., Zhou, G., Dai, Y., 2011. Effect of heat-
521 induced changes of connective tissue and collagen on meat texture properties of beef
522 Semitendinosus muscle. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 14, 381–396.

523 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910903207728>

524 Cho, S.H., Park, B.Y., Kim, J.H., Hwang, I.H., Kim, J.H., Lee, J.M., 2005. Fatty Acid Profiles
525 and Sensory Properties of Longissimus dorsi, Triceps brachii, and Semimembranosus
526 Muscles from Korean Hanwoo and Australian Angus Beef. *Asian Australas. J. Anim.*
527 *Sci.* 18, 1786–1793. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2005.1786>

528 Chriki, S., Picard, B., Jurie, C., Reichstadt, M., Micol, D., Brun, J.-P., Journaux, L.,

529 Hocquette, J.-F., 2012. Meta-analysis of the comparison of the metabolic and contractile

530 characteristics of two bovine muscles: Longissimus thoracis and Semitendinosus. *Meat*
531 *Sci.* 91, 423–429.

532 Chriki, S., Renand, G., Picard, B., Micol, D., Journaux, L., Hocquette, J.F., 2013. Meta-
533 analysis of the relationships between beef tenderness and muscle characteristics. *Livest.*
534 *Sci.* 155, 424–434. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.04.009>

535 Cristancho, A.G., Lazar, M.A., 2011. Forming functional fat: a growing understanding of
536 adipocyte differentiation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 12, 722–734.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3198>

538 Depalle, B., Qin, Z., Shefelbine, S.J., Buehler, M.J., 2015. Influence of cross-link structure,
539 density and mechanical properties in the mesoscale deformation mechanisms of collagen
540 fibrils. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 52, 1–13.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2014.07.008>

542 Dubost, Annabelle, Micol, D., Meunier, B., Lethias, C., Listrat, A., 2013a. Relationships
543 between structural characteristics of bovine intramuscular connective tissue assessed by
544 image analysis and collagen and proteoglycan content. *Meat Sci.* 93, 378–386.
545 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.09.020>

546 Dubost, Annabelle, Micol, D., Picard, B., Lethias, C., Andueza, D., Bauchart, D., Listrat, A.,
547 2013b. Structural and biochemical characteristics of bovine intramuscular connective
548 tissue and beef quality. *Meat Sci.* 95, 555–561.
549 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.05.040>

550 Dubost, A, Micol, D., Picard, B., Lethias, C., Andueza, D., Bauchart, D., Listrat, A., 2013.
551 Structural and biochemical characteristics of bovine intramuscular connective tissue and
552 beef quality. *Meat Sci.* 95, 555–561.

553 Fishell, V.K., Aberle, E.D., Judge, M.D., Perry, T.W., 1985. Palatability and Muscle
554 Properties of Beef as Influenced by Preslaughter Growth Rate. *J. Anim. Sci.* 61, 151–

555 157. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1985.611151x>

556 Folch, J., Lees, M., Sloane Stanley, G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and
557 purification of total lipides from animal tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* 226, 497–509.

558 Frank, D., Joo, S.-T., Warner, R., 2016. Consumer Acceptability of Intramuscular Fat. *Korean*
559 *J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour.* 36, 699–708. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2016.36.6.699>

560 Gagaoua, Mohammed, Bonnet, M., Ellies-Oury, M.-P., De Koning, L., Picard, B., 2018.
561 Reverse phase protein arrays for the identification/validation of biomarkers of beef
562 texture and their use for early classification of carcasses. *Food Chem.* 250, 245–252.
563 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.070>

564 Gagaoua, Mohammed, Micol, D., Picard, B., Terlouw, C.E.M., Moloney, A.P., Juin, H.,
565 Meteau, K., Scollan, N., Richardson, I., Hocquette, J.-F., 2016. Inter-laboratory
566 assessment by trained panelists from France and the United Kingdom of beef cooked at
567 two different end-point temperatures. *Meat Sci.* 122, 90–96.
568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.07.026>

569 Gagaoua, M., Monteils, V., Couvreur, S., Picard, B., 2019. Beef Tenderness Prediction by a
570 Combination of Statistical Methods: Chemometrics and Supervised Learning to Manage
571 Integrative Farm-To-Meat Continuum Data. *Foods* 8, 274–288.
572 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8070274>

573 Gagaoua, M, Picard, B., Soulat, J., Monteils, V., 2018. Clustering of sensory eating qualities
574 of beef: Consistencies and differences within carcass, muscle, animal characteristics and
575 rearing factors. *Livest. Sci.* 214, 245–258.
576 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2018.06.011>

577 Gagaoua, M., Terlouw, C.E.M., Boudjellal, A., Picard, B., 2015. Coherent correlation
578 networks among protein biomarkers of beef tenderness: What they reveal. *J. Proteomics*
579 128, 365–374. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.08.022>

580 Gagaoua, M, Terlouw, E.M.C., Micol, D., Hocquette, J.-F., Moloney, A.P., Nuernberg, K.,
581 Bauchart, D., Boudjellal, A., Scollan, N.D., Richardson, R.I., Picard, B., 2016. Sensory
582 quality of meat from eight different types of cattle in relation with their biochemical
583 characteristics. *J. Integr. Agric.* 15, 1550–1563. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61340-0)
584 [3119\(16\)61340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61340-0)

585 Gandemer, G., 2002. Lipids in muscles and adipose tissues, changes during processing and
586 sensory properties of meat products. *Meat Sci.* 62, 309–321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00128-6)
587 [1740\(02\)00128-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00128-6)

588 Garmyn, A.J., Hilton, G.G., Mateescu, R.G., Morgan, J.B., Reecy, J.M., Tait, R.G., Beitz,
589 D.C., Duan, Q., Schoonmaker, J.P., Mayes, M.S., Drewnoski, M.E., Liu, Q.,
590 VanOverbeke, D.L., 2011. Estimation of relationships between mineral concentration
591 and fatty acid composition of longissimus muscle and beef palatability traits1. *J. Anim.*
592 *Sci.* 89, 2849–2858. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2010-3497>

593 Hocquette, J.F., Gondret, F., Baeza, E., Medale, F., Jurie, C., Pethick, D.W., 2010.
594 Intramuscular fat content in meat-producing animals: development, genetic and
595 nutritional control, and identification of putative markers. *Animal* 4, 303–319.
596 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731109991091>

597 Holman, B.W.B., Collins, D., Kilgannon, A.K., Hopkins, D.L., 2020. Using shear force,
598 sarcomere length, particle size, collagen content, and protein solubility metrics to predict
599 consumer acceptance of aged beef tenderness. *J. Texture Stud.* 1–8.
600 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12523>

601 Hunt, M.R., Legako, J.F., Dinh, T.T.N., Garmyn, A.J., O’Quinn, T.G., Corbin, C.H.,
602 Rathmann, R.J., Brooks, J.C., Miller, M.F., 2016. Assessment of volatile compounds,
603 neutral and polar lipid fatty acids of four beef muscles from USDA Choice and Select
604 graded carcasses and their relationships with consumer palatability scores and

605 intramuscular fat content. *Meat Sci.* 116, 91–101.
606 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.02.010>

607 Hwang, Y.-H., Joo, S.-T., 2017. Fatty Acid Profiles, Meat Quality, and Sensory Palatability of
608 Grain-fed and Grass-fed Beef from Hanwoo, American, and Australian Crossbred Cattle.
609 *Korean J. food Sci. Anim. Resour.* 37, 153–161.
610 <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2017.37.2.153>

611 Hwang, Y.-H., Joo, S.-T., 2016. Fatty Acid Profiles of Ten Muscles from High and Low
612 Marbled (Quality Grade 1(++) and 2) Hanwoo Steers. *Korean J. food Sci. Anim. Resour.*
613 36, 679–688. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2016.36.5.679>

614 Iozzo, R. V, Schaefer, L., 2015. Proteoglycan form and function : A comprehensive
615 nomenclature of proteoglycans. *Matrix Biol.* 42, 11–55.
616 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2015.02.003>

617 Jeremiah, L.E., Dugan, M.E.R., Aalhus, J.L., Gibson, L.L., 2003. Assessment of the chemical
618 and cooking properties of the major beef muscles and muscle groups. *Meat Sci.* 65, 985–
619 992. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(02\)00308-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00308-X)

620 Jeremiah, L.E., Martin, A.H., 1981. Intramuscular collagen content and solubility: their
621 relationship to tenderness and alteration by postmortem aging. *Can J Anim Sci* 61, 53–
622 61.

623 Joo, S.T., Lee, J.I., Ha, Y.L., Park, G.B., 2002. Effects of dietary conjugated linoleic acid on
624 fatty acid composition, lipid oxidation, color, and water-holding capacity of pork loin1.
625 *J. Anim. Sci.* 80, 108–112. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.801108x>

626 Jurie, C., Cassar-Malek, I., Bonnet, M., Leroux, C., Bauchart, D., Boulesteix, P., Pethick,
627 D.W., Hocquette, J.F., 2007. Adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein and mitochondrial
628 enzyme activities in muscles as relevant indicators of marbling in cattle1. *J. Anim. Sci.*
629 85, 2660–2669. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-837>

630 Kuypers, R., Kurth, L.B., 1995. Collagen's contribution to meat texture. CSIRO Meat Ind.
631 Res. Conf.

632 Lawrence, T.E., King, D.A., Obuz, E., Yancey, E.J., Dikeman, M.E., 2001. Evaluation of
633 electric belt grill, forced-air convection oven, and electric broiler cookery methods for
634 beef tenderness research. *Meat Sci.* 58, 239–246.
635 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(00\)00159-5](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(00)00159-5)

636 Lepetit, J., 2008. Collagen contribution to meat toughness : Theoretical aspects 80, 960–967.
637 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.06.016>

638 Lepetit, J., 2007. A theoretical approach of the relationships between collagen content,
639 collagen cross-links and meat tenderness. *Meat Sci.* 76, 147–159.
640 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.10.027>

641 Listrat, A., Gagaoua, M., Normand, J., Andueza, D.J., Gruffat, D., Mairesse, G., Chesneau,
642 G., Mourot, B.-P., Gobert, C., Picard, B., 2020. Are there consistent relationships
643 between major connective tissue components, intramuscular fat content and muscle fibre
644 types in cattle muscle? *Animal* 14, 1204–1212.
645 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119003422>

646 Listrat, A., Gagaoua, M., Normand, J., Gruffat, D., Andueza, D., Mairesse, G., Mourot, B.,
647 Chesneau, G., Gobert, C., Picard, B., 2020. Contribution of connective tissue
648 components, muscle fibres and marbling to beef tenderness variability in longissimus
649 thoracis, rectus abdominis, semimembranosus and semitendinosus muscles. *J. Sci. Food*
650 *Agric.* 100, 2502–2511. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10275>

651 Listrat, A., Le Bret, B., Louveau, I., Astruc, T., Bonnet, M., Lefaucheur, L., Picard, B.,
652 Bugeon, J., 2016. How Muscle Structure and Composition Influence Meat and Flesh
653 Quality. *Sci. World J.* 2016, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3182746>

654 Liu, J., Ellies-Oury, M.-P., Chriki, S., Legrand, I., Pogorzelski, G., Wierzbicki, J., Farmer, L.,

655 Troy, D., Polkinghorne, R., Hocquette, J.-F., 2020. Contributions of tenderness, juiciness
656 and flavor liking to overall liking of beef in Europe. *Meat Sci.* 168, 108190.
657 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108190>

658 Maltin, C., Balcerzak, D., Tilley, R., Delday, M., 2007. Determinants of meat quality:
659 tenderness. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 62, 337–347. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PNS2003248>

660 Mashima, D., Oka, Y., Gotoh, T., Tomonaga, S., Sawano, S., Nakamura, M., Tatsumi, R.,
661 Mizunoya, W., 2019. Correlation between skeletal muscle fiber type and free amino acid
662 levels in Japanese Black steers. *Anim. Sci. J.* 90, 604–609.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13185>

664 Mezgebo, Monahan, McGee, O’Riordan, Marren, Listrat, Picard, Richardson, Moloney, 2019.
665 Extending the Grazing Period for Bulls, Prior to Finishing on a Concentrate Ration:
666 Composition, Collagen Structure and Organoleptic Characteristics of Beef. *Foods* 8, 278.
667 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8070278>

668 Monin, G., 1991. Facteurs biologiques des qualités de la viande bovine. *Prod. Anim.* 2 (4),
669 151-160.

670 Nishimura, T., 2010. The role of intramuscular connective tissue in meat texture. *Anim Sci J*
671 81, 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-0929.2009.00696.x>

672 O’Quinn, T.G., Legako, J.F., Brooks, J.C., Miller, M.F., 2018. Evaluation of the contribution
673 of tenderness, juiciness, and flavor to the overall consumer beef eating experience.
674 *Transl. Anim. Sci.* 2, 26–36. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txx008>

675 Picard, B., Barboiron, C., Chadeyron, D., Jurie, C., 2011. Protocol for high-resolution
676 electrophoresis separation of myosin heavy chain isoforms in bovine skeletal muscle.
677 *Electrophoresis* 32, 1804–1806. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201100118>

678 Picard, B., Gagaoua, M., Micol, D., Cassar-Malek, I., Hocquette, J.F., Terlouw, C.E., 2014.
679 Inverse relationships between biomarkers and beef tenderness according to contractile

680 and metabolic properties of the muscle. *J Agric Food Chem* 62, 9808–9818.
681 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf501528s>

682 Reese, S.P., Underwood, C.J., Weiss, J.A., 2013. Effects of Decorin Proteoglycan on
683 Fibrillogenesis, Ultrastructure, and Mechanics of Type I Collagen Gels. *Matrix Biol.* 32,
684 10.1016/j.matbio.2013.04.004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2013.04.004>

685 Roy, B.C., Walker, B., Rahman, M.M., Bruce, H.L., McMullen, L., 2018. Role of myofibers,
686 perimysium and adipocytes in horse meat toughness. *Meat Sci.* 146, 109–121.
687 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.08.005>

688 Scislowski, V., Bauchart, D., Gruffat, D., Laplaud, P.M., Durand, D., 2005. Effects of dietary
689 n-6 or n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids protected or not against ruminal hydrogenation on
690 plasma lipids and their susceptibility to peroxidation in fattening steers¹. *J. Anim. Sci.*
691 83, 2162–2174. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2005.8392162x>

692 Seggern, D.D. Von, Calkins, C.R., Johnson, D.D., Brickler, J.E., Gwartney, B.L., 2005.
693 Muscle profiling: Characterizing the muscles of the beef chuck and round. *Meat Sci.* 71,
694 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2005.04.010>

695 Smith, G.C., Carpenter, Z.L., 1974. Eating Quality of Meat Animal Products and Their Fat
696 Content, in: National Research Council. National Academies Press, Washington, pp.
697 147–182.

698 Starkey, C.P., Geesink, G.H., Collins, D., Hutton Oddy, V., Hopkins, D.L., 2016. Do
699 sarcomere length, collagen content, pH, intramuscular fat and desmin degradation
700 explain variation in the tenderness of three ovine muscles? *Meat Sci.* 113, 51–58.
701 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.11.013>

702 Tornberg, E., 2005. Effects of heat on meat proteins - Implications on structure and quality of
703 meat products. *Meat Sci.* 70, 493–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2004.11.021>

704 Vahmani, P., Mapiye, C., Prieto, N., Rolland, D.C., McAllister, T.A., Aalhus, J.L., Dugan,

705 M.E.R., 2015. The scope for manipulating the polyunsaturated fatty acid content of beef:
706 a review. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 6, 29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-015-0026-z>
707 Van Ba, H., Hwang, I., Jeong, D., Touseef, A., 2012. Principle of meat aroma flavors and
708 future prospect. *Latest Res. into Qual. Control* 2, 145–176.
709 Waritthitham, A., Lambertz, C., Langholz, H.-J., Wicke, M., Gauly, M., 2010. Muscle fiber
710 characteristics and their relationship to water holding capacity of Longissimus dorsi
711 muscle in Brahman and Charolais crossbred bulls. *Asian-Australasian J. Anim. Sci.* 23,
712 665–671.
713

Table 1: Least squares means, coefficient of variation (CV) and range (min–max) of the bovine *Longissimus thoracis* muscle characteristics of studies 1 (St1) (Charolais young bulls) and 2 (St2) (Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d’Aquitaine young bulls). The analyses have been realized on n=72 animals (n=32 and n=40 for St1 and 2).

Variables	Mean LT_St1	CV	Range	Mean LT_St2	CV	Range
IMCT						
TCol	3.87 ^a	0.25	2.66-7.12	4.15 ^a	0.23	2.94-7.15
ICol	1.55 ^b	0.15	1.19-2.05	3.03 ^a	0.27	2.01-5.34
SCol	58.68 ^a	0.12	43.69-71.29	28.59 ^b	0.42	8.46-65.97
CLs	23.54 ^a	0.11	18.92-29.67	18.97 ^b	0.18	13.93-26.88
TPGs	185.12 ^b	0.31	110.33-308.06	629.34 ^a	0.25	340.34-903.24
Muscle fibers						
I	24.90 ^a	0.22	11.00-36.22	23.57 ^a	0.19	12.26-37.20
IIA	62.02 ^a	0.13	43.72-78.28	35.05 ^b	0.45	16.47-63.87
IIX+B	13.51 ^b	0.64	1.48-36.16	41.38 ^a	0.42	2.52-63.84
Tlips and FAs						
TLips	3.00 ^a	0.43	0.51-6.28	2.01 ^b	0.68	0.78-6.06
SFAs	1285.73 ^a	0.49	541.41-3158.20	585.67 ^b	0.91	106.53-2121.07
MUFAs	1034.68 ^a	0.48	435.39-2459-36	550.57 ^b	0.96	96.20-1975.96
PUFAs	293.66 ^a	0.18	161.50-420.83	195.47 ^b	0.24	147.05-358.24
n-6/n-3PUFAs	4.93 ^a	0.12	3.59-5.97	4.73 ^a	0.32	2.46-8.57
CLAs	12.73 ^b	0.50	5.20-33.73	5.55 ^a	0.94	0.61-19.23
Sensory parameters						
Tenderness	4.69 ^a	0.10	3.72-5.85	4.68 ^a	0.15	3.17-6.11
Juiciness	3.29 ^b	0.09	2.27-3.86	4.68 ^a	0.08	3.47-5.30
Flavor	4.54 ^a	0.07	3.83-5.16	3.89 ^b	0.12	2.88-4.92

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter);

ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (µg chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids;

TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids;

PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated

Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of tenderness, juiciness and flavor of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough, dry, not tasty and 10 to extremely tender, juicy, tasty.

Least squares means in the same row for muscle of each experiment not followed by a common letter differ significantly, P<0.05.

Table 2: Least squares means, coefficient of variation (CV) and range (min–max) of the bovine *Rectus abdominis* muscle characteristics of studies 1 (St1) (Charolais young bulls) and 3 (St3) (Holstein, Charolais and Rouge des Prés young bulls, heifers, steers and cows). The analyses have been realized on n=84 animals (n=32 and n=52 for St1 and 3).

Variables	Mean RA_St1	CV	Range	Mean RA_St3	CV	Range
IMCT						
TCol	6.19 ^a	0.18	3.89-8.12	4.57 ^b	0.24	2.68-7.27
ICol	2.52 ^a	0.24	1.30-4.06	2.55 ^a	0.26	1.63-4.51
SCol	59.43 ^a	0.11	44.47-69.89	35.87 ^b	0.25	15.03-52.55
CLs	31.52 ^a	0.16	23.92-46.53	30.13 ^a	0.26	19.70-57.62
TPGs	154.47 ^a	0.34	49.62-290.17	178.95 ^a	0.26	83.69-266-36
Muscle fibers						
I	30.37 ^b	0.23	16.50-46.92	36.08 ^a	0.27	13.40-63.09
IIA	45.68 ^a	0.16	31.62-64.96	41.97 ^a	0.27	18.02-74.52
IIX+B	24.74 ^a	0.42	0.68-44.40	21.94 ^a	0.50	0.00-46.63
TLips and FAs						
TLips	2.25 ^b	0.61	0.11-5.95	5.28 ^a	0.37	3.38-13.37
SFAs	1326.35 ^b	0.45	483.46-2756.72	2064.20 ^a	0.41	1173.41-5550.02
MUFAs	1173.02 ^b	0.46	372.64-2205.34	2043.30 ^a	0.46	1002.69-5834.08
PUFAs	388.20 ^a	0.23	244.68-648.29	380.42 ^a	0.30	221.25-719.47
n-6/n-3PUFAs	4.95 ^a	0.13	3.69-6.65	3.87 ^b	0.32	1.74-6.88
CLAs	15.91 ^b	0.44	5.69-31.92	22.49 ^a	0.36	11.56-49.58
Sensory parameters						
Tenderness	4.85 ^b	0.11	4.01-6.14	5.49 ^a	0.21	3.47-8.42
Juiciness	3.83 ^b	0.11	2.90-4.77	5.72 ^a	0.07	4.93-6.43
Flavor	4.92 ^b	0.06	4.11-5.53	5.91 ^a	0.07	4.80-6.87

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter);

ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (µg chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids;

TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids;

PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of tenderness, juiciness and flavor of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough, dry, not tasty and 10 to extremely tender, juicy, tasty.

Least squares means in the same row for muscle of each experiment not followed by a common letter differ significantly, P<0.05.

Table 3: Ranking of the retained variables in tenderness PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Longissimus thoracis* (LT) muscle of studies 1 (St1) (Charolais young bulls) and 2 (St2) (Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d'Aquitaine young bulls). Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant. The analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicates if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. The analyses have been realized on n=72 animals (n=32 and n=40 for St1 and 2).

Variable	Tenderness								
	LT_St1			LT_St 2			LT_St 1/ St 2		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
		R ² =0.66			R ² =0.49			R ² =0.53	
IMCT									
TCol	2	1.38	-0.15	8	0.60	-0.04	5	1.11	-0.09
ICol	9	0.72	-0.08	12	0.10	0.01	13	0.33	-0.03
SCol	4	1.22	-0.13	9	0.45	-0.03	7	0.93	0.08
CLs	6	1.10	-0.12	5	1.32	-0.09	3	1.39	-0.12
TPGs	13	0.24	0.02	10	0.34	0.02	14	0.32	0.03
Muscle fibers									
I	8	0.88	-0.09	14	0.01	0.00	12	0.48	-0.04
IIA	3	1.30	-0.14	11	0.15	0.01	11	0.62	-0.05
IIX+B	1	1.72	0.18	13	0.04	0.01	8	0.91	0.07
TLips and FAs									
TLips	12	0.24	0.02	6	1.30	0.09	9	0.90	0.08
SFAs	7	1.10	0.11	3	1.42	0.10	2	1.44	0.12
MUFAs	5	1.18	0.13	4	1.41	0.10	1	1.49	0.13
PUFAs	11	0.53	0.06	2	1.52	0.10	4	1.19	0.10
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	14	0.07	-0.01	1	1.57	0.11	10	0.89	0.07
CLAs	10	0.66	0.07	7	1.05	0.07	6	0.98	0.08

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids; TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids; PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of tenderness of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough and 10 to extremely tender.

Table 4: Ranking of the retained variables in tenderness PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Rectus abdominis* (RA) muscle of studies 1 (St1) (Charolais young bulls) and 3 (St3) (Holstein, Charolais and Rouge des Prés young bulls, heifers, steers and cows). Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant. The analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicate if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. The analyses have been realized on n=84 animals (n=32 and n=52 animals for St1 and 3).

Variable	Tenderness								
	RA_St1 (n=32)			RA_St 3 (n=52)			RA_St 1/ St 3 (n=84)		
	R ² =0.51			R ² =0.32			R ² =0.38		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
IMCT									
TCol	12	0.19	-0.02	8	0.61	-0.03	11	0.46	-0.05
ICol	10	0.34	-0.03	2	1.63	-0.09	5	1.13	-0.08
SCol	14	0.01	-0.01	14	0.18	0.01	13	0.11	0.01
CLs	1	2.17	-0.20	4	1.58	-0.09	1	2.09	-0.12
TPGs	11	0.27	-0.02	5	0.92	-0.05	7	0.68	-0.03
Muscle fibers									
I	8	0.61	-0.06	1	1.70	0.09	8	0.66	0.02
IIA	4	1.44	-0.14	3	1.61	-0.08	2	1.72	-0.10
IIX+B	5	0.86	0.08	13	0.24	0.01	10	0.60	0.07
TLips and FAs									
TLips	13	0.01	0.01	12	0.35	0.02	12	0.21	0.06
SFAs	9	0.43	0.04	7	0.73	0.04	9	0.65	0.06
MUFAs	6	0.68	0.06	9	0.57	0.03	6	0.70	0.07
PUFAs	7	0.64	0.06	11	0.46	-0.02	14	0.07	-0.01
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	3	1.45	-0.15	10	0.52	-0.02	4	1.19	-0.09
CLAs	2	1.65	0.13	6	0.75	0.04	3	1.22	0.06

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter);

ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids;

TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids;

PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of tenderness of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough and 10 to extremely tender.

Table 5: Summary of the muscle components positively (in green) or negatively (in red) retained in Partial Least Square (PLS) models of tenderness, juiciness and flavor from normalized data (Z-score). Number 1 or 2 (in bold) in red or green cases indicates that the muscle components are retained in 1 or 2 predicting models either for *Longissimus thoracis* (LT) of studies (St) 1 or 2 (in brackets, in red or green cases) or for *Rectus abdominis* (RA) of studies 1 or 3 (in brackets in red or green cases). For each sensory parameter, “Frequency (Fr)” column indicates the number of time where the muscle components were retained in models both for LT and RA muscles. Total Fr indicates total amount of times where muscle components were retained in prediction models, per muscle component, for the three sensory parameters.

	Tenderness			Juiciness			Flavor			Total Fr
	LT St_1/_2	RA St_1/_3	Fr	LT St_1/_2	RA St_1/_3	Fr	LT St_1/_2	RA St_1/_3	Fr	
IMCT										
TCol	1 (1)		1			0			0	1
ICol		1 (3)	1	1 (1)		1	1 (1)		1	3
SCol	1 (1)		1	1 (1)	1 (3)	2	1 (1)	1 (3)	2	5
CLs	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	4	1 (2)	2 (1, 3)	3	1 (2)	2 (1, 3)	3	10
TPGs		1 (3)	1	1 (2)		1	2 (1, 2)	1 (3)	3	5
Muscle fibers										
I	1 (1)	1 (3)	2		2 (1, 3)	2	1 (2)	1 (3)	2	6
IIA	1 (1)	2 (1, 3)	3	1 (2)	2 (1, 3)	3		1 (3)	1	7
IIX+B	1 (1)	1 (1)	2		1 (3)	1		1 (3)	1	4
TLips and FAs										
TLips	1 (2)		1	2 (1, 2)	1 (3)	3	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	4	8
SFAs	2 (1, 2)		2	2 (1, 2)	1 (1)	3	2 (1, 2)	1 (1)	3	8
MUFAs	2 (1, 2)		2	2 (1, 2)	1 (1)	3	2 (1, 2)	1 (1)	3	8
PUFAs	1 (2)		1		1 (1)	1	1 (2)		1	3
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	1 (2)	1 (1)	2	2 (1, 2)	1 (3)	3	1 (1)	1 (1)	2	7
CLAs	1 (2)	1 (1)	2	2 (1, 2)	1 (1)	3	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	4	9

Abbreviation: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids; TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter); SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids; PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of tenderness, juiciness and flavor of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely tough, dry, not tasty and 10 to extremely tender, juicy, tasty.

Table 6: Ranking of the retained variables in **juiciness** PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Longissimus thoracis* (LT) muscles of **studies** 1 (**St1**) (**Charolais young bulls**) and 2 (**St 2**) (**Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d'Aquitaine young bulls**). **Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant**. Analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicate if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. **The analyses have been realized on n=72 animals (n=32 and n=40 for St1 and 2).**

Variable	Juiciness								
	LT_St1 (n=32) R ² =0.47			LT_St2 (n=40) R ² =0.36			LT_St1/St2 (n=72) R ² =0.35		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
IMCT									
TCol	10	0.70	-0.04	12	0.57	-0.06	7	0.76	-0.04
ICol	6	0.92	0.06	9	0.78	0.04	14	0.02	-0.01
SCol	1	1.68	-0.10	13	0.21	-0.10	5	1.07	-0.05
CLs	14	0.08	-0.01	6	1.02	-0.01	8	0.72	-0.03
TPGs	8	0.75	0.05	5	1.05	-0.02	12	0.27	-0.01
Muscle fibers									
I	13	0.09	0.01	11	0.59	0.01	9	0.44	0.02
IIA	12	0.44	0.02	8	0.87	-0.01	10	0.33	-0.01
IIX+B	9	0.70	-0.04	14	0.11	0.01	11	0.32	-0.01
TLips and FAs									
TLips	7	0.84	0.05	3	1.29	0.03	3	1.31	0.06
SFAs	3	1.49	0.06	2	1.64	0.09	2	1.91	0.09
MUFAs	2	1.52	0.09	1	1.66	0.10	1	1.94	0.09
PUFAs	11	0.58	0.03	10	0.71	0.05	6	0.80	0.04
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	4	1.42	-0.09	4	1.06	-0.12	13	0.09	-0.01
CLAs	5	0.98	0.06	7	0.98	0.09	4	1.20	0.06

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids; TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids; PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were Stressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of juiciness of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely dry, and 10 to extremely juicy.

Table 7: Ranking of the retained variables in juiciness PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Rectus abdominis* (RA) muscles of studies 1 (St_1) (Charolais young bulls) and 3 (St_3) (Holstein, Charolais and Rouge des Prés young bulls, heifers, steers and cows). Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant. Analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicate if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. The analyses have been realized on n=84 animals (n=32 and n=52 animals for St_1 and_2).

Variable	Juiciness								
	RA_St1 (n=32)			RA_St3 (n=52)			RA_St1/St3 (n=84)		
	R ² =0.57			R ² =0.38			R ² =0.32		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
IMCT									
TCol	12	0.25	-0.03	13	0.26	0.02	14	0.02	-0.03
ICol	11	0.37	-0.03	11	0.30	0.02	13	0.03	-0.03
SCol	13	0.10	0.01	3	0.94	-0.08	9	0.63	-0.01
CLs	1	1.90	-0.17	6	0.91	-0.08	3	1.62	-0.11
TPGs	9	0.73	-0.06	14	0.02	0.02	11	0.39	-0.04
Muscle fibers									
I	6	0.99	0.07	2	2.00	0.17	1	1.78	0.06
IIA	7	0.90	-0.11	3	0.96	-0.08	12	0.08	-0.01
IIX+B	8	0.75	-0.05	1	2.15	-0.18	2	1.74	-0.05
TLips and FAs									
TLips	14	0.05	-0.01	7	0.82	0.07	10	0.48	0.02
SFAs	5	1.07	0.08	9	0.59	0.05	6	0.96	0.07
MUFAs	4	1.10	0.08	8	0.63	0.05	3	1.01	0.06
PUFAs	3	1.47	0.11	12	0.29	-0.03	8	0.64	0.05
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	10	0.62	-0.05	4	0.96	-0.08	7	0.93	-0.05
CLAs	2	1.53	0.12	10	0.33	0.03	4	1.06	0.07

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids; TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids; PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of juiciness of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to extremely dry, and 10 to extremely juicy.

Table 8: Ranking of the retained variables in flavor PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Longissimus thoracis* (LT) muscles of studies 1 (St1) (Charolais young bulls) and 2 (St 2) (Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d'Aquitaine young bulls). Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant. The analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicate if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. The analyses have been realized on n=72 animals (n=32 and n=40 for St1 and 2).

Variables	Flavor								
	LT_St1 (n=32)			LT_St 2 (n=40)			LT_St 1/ St 2 (n=72)		
	R ² =0.63			R ² =0.36			R ² =0.32		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
IMCT									
TCol	14	0.26	-0.03	14	0.01	0.00	12	0.33	-0.01
ICol	8	0.87	-0.16	13	0.10	-0.01	10	0.48	0.03
SCol	5	1.18	-0.07	12	0.13	0.01	3	1.39	-0.04
CLs	12	0.37	-0.05	3	1.25	-0.06	2	1.55	-0.05
TPGs	6	1.00	0.06	8	0.80	0.04	5	1.13	0.06
Muscle fibers									
I	13	0.32	0.03	7	0.81	0.04	1	1.60	0.03
IIA	11	0.38	0.03	10	0.30	0.02	9	0.89	0.02
IIX+B	10	0.56	-0.04	9	0.71	-0.04	6	1.03	-0.04
TLips and FAs									
TLips	1	1.84	0.13	6	1.13	0.06	4	1.24	0.10
SFAs	3	1.36	0.08	5	1.13	0.06	8	0.93	0.08
MUFAs	2	1.38	0.09	4	1.24	0.06	7	0.98	0.09
PUFAs	9	0.72	0.05	2	1.68	0.09	14	0.03	0.08
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	4	1.19	-0.28	11	0.14	0.01	11	0.40	-0.04
CLAs	7	0.97	0.07	1	1.89	0.10	13	0.18	0.09

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids; TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids; PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated Linoleic Acids.

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of flavor of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to not tasty and 10 to extremely tasty.

Table 9: Ranking of the retained variables in flavor PLS models, according to their variable importance in projection (VIP) for the *Rectus abdominis* (RA) muscles of St1 (**Charolais young bulls**) and 3 (**St3**) (**Holstein, Charolais and Rouge des Prés, young bulls, heifers, steers and cows**). **Only the VIP > 0.8 (in bold) were considered as significant**. The analyses were carried out using Z-scores. Coefficient (β) indicate if the variables are involved positively or negatively in the models. **The analyses have been realized on n=84 animals (n=32 and n=52 for St1 and 3).**

Variables	Flavor								
	RA_ St1 (n=32) R ² =0.41			RA_ St3 (n=52) R ² =0.38			RA_ St 1/ St3 (n=84) R ² =0.44		
	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β	Rank	VIP	β
IMCT									
TCol	10	0.50	-0.04	13	0.11	-0.02	7	0.92	-0.05
ICol	14	0.03	-0.01	9	0.61	-0.04	8	0.91	-0.05
SCol	7	0.75	-0.04	3	1.35	-0.09	11	0.48	-0.02
CLs	1	1.74	-0.12	5	0.91	-0.07	1	1.87	-0.10
TPGs	8	0.69	-0.04	4	1.04	-0.07	6	1.01	-0.05
Muscle fibers									
I	11	0.25	-0.01	1	2.73	0.17	5	1.06	0.06
IIA	9	0.57	-0.05	8	0.81	-0.06	10	0.60	-0.03
IIX+B	13	0.07	0.01	2	1.40	-0.10	12	0.35	-0.02
TLips and FAs									
TLips	5	1.19	0.07	6	0.87	0.06	3	1.29	0.07
SFAs	4	1.30	0.08	12	0.38	0.03	4	1.25	0.07
MUFAs	6	1.13	0.07	10	0.55	0.04	2	1.35	0.07
PUFAs	12	0.18	0.01	14	0.07	-0.01	14	0.17	-0.01
n-6 /n-3PUFAs	3	1.42	-0.08	11	0.40	0.03	9	0.85	-0.04
CLAs	2	1.67	0.09	7	0.84	-0.06	13	0.34	0.02

Abbreviations: IMCT: Intra Muscular Connective tissue; TCol: Total Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter);

ICol: Insoluble Collagen (mg OH-proline/g dry matter); SCol: Soluble Collagen (%); CLs: Cross-Links (nM

pyridinoline/g dry matter); TPGs: Total Proteoglycans (μ g chondroitin 4-sulfate/g dry matter); FAs: Fatty Acids;

TLips: Total Lipids (mg/100g fresh matter), SFAs: Saturated Fatty Acids; MUFAs: Monounsaturated Fatty Acids;

PUFAs: Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; n-6/n-3PUFAs: n-6/n-3Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids; CLAs: Conjugated

Linoleic Acids ;

All fatty acids were expressed in mg/100g fresh matter.

Intensities of flavor of the meat were scored on a continuous scale from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to not tasty and 10 to extremely tasty.

