

Elevated CO 2 Did Not Stimulate Stem Growth in 11 Provenances of a Globally Important Hardwood Plantation Species

Anita Wesolowski, Chris Blackman, Renee A Smith, David Tissue, Sebastian

Pfautsch

▶ To cite this version:

Anita Wesolowski, Chris Blackman, Renee A Smith, David Tissue, Sebastian Pfautsch. Elevated CO 2 Did Not Stimulate Stem Growth in 11 Provenances of a Globally Important Hardwood Plantation Species. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 2020, 1, 10.3389/ffgc.2020.00066 . hal-02928540

HAL Id: hal-02928540 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02928540

Submitted on 2 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Elevated CO₂ Did Not Stimulate Stem Growth in 11 Provenances of a Globally Important Hardwood Plantation Species

Anita Wesolowski¹, Chris J. Blackman^{1,2}, Renee A. Smith¹, David T. Tissue¹ and Sebastian Pfautsch^{1,3*}

¹ Hawkesbury Institute for The Environment, Western Sydney University, Richmond, NSW, Australia, ² Université Clermont-Auvergne, INRA, PIAF, Clermont-Ferrand, France, ³ Urban Studies, School of Social Science, Western Sydney University, Parramatta, NSW, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Heather R. McCarthy, University of Oklahoma, United States

Reviewed by:

Cate Macinnis-Ng, The University of Auckland, New Zealand Cameron Ducayet McIntire, University of New Mexico, United States Ismael Aranda García, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Spain

*Correspondence:

Sebastian Pfautsch S.Pfautsch@westernsydney.edu.au

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Forest Ecophysiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Forests and Global Change

Received: 06 December 2019 Accepted: 05 May 2020 Published: 04 June 2020

Citation:

Wesolowski A, Blackman CJ, Smith RA, Tissue DT and Pfautsch S (2020) Elevated CO₂ Did Not Stimulate Stem Growth in 11 Provenances of a Globally Important Hardwood Plantation Species. Front. For. Glob. Change 3:66. doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2020.00066

Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide (eCO₂) often enhances rates of photosynthesis leading to increased productivity in trees. In their native habitats in Australia, eucalypts display considerable phenotypic plasticity in response to changes in environmental conditions. Little is known whether this plasticity can be harnessed effectively under future atmospheric eCO₂ conditions and be used to identify provenances with superior growth. Here, we report two experiments that assessed the physiological and growth responses of Eucalyptus grandis-one of the world's most important hardwood plantation species-to eCO₂. We used 11 provenances from contrasting climates. Our selection was based on site-specific information of long-term temperature and water availability. In Experiment 1, four provenances exhibited significant variation in light-saturated photosynthetic rates (A_{sat}), stomatal conductance (g_s), and concentrations of non-structural carbohydrates in leaves, stems and roots when grown under ambient CO₂ (aCO₂). Biomass of leaves, stems and roots varied significantly and were negatively correlated with mean annual temperature (MAT) at seed origin, indicating that provenances from cooler, wetter climates generally produced greater biomass. Yet, stem growth of these provenances was not stimulated by eCO₂. Given the vast environmental gradient covered by provenances of E. grandis, we expanded the selection from four to nine provenances in Experiment 2. This allowed us to validate results from Experiment 1 with its small selection and detailed measurements of various physiological parameters by focusing on growth responses to eCO₂ across a wider environmental gradient in Experiment 2. In Experiment 2, nine provenances also exhibited intraspecific differences in growth, but these were not related to climate of origin, and eCO₂ had little effect on growth traits. Growth responses under eCO₂ varied widely across provenances in both experiments, confirming phenotypic plasticity in E. grandis, though responses were not systematically correlated with climate of origin. These results indicate that selection of provenances for improved stem growth of E. grandis under future eCO₂ cannot be based solely on climate of origin, as is common practice for other planted tree species.

Keywords: forestry, tree growth, climate change, gas exchange, carbohydrates, phenotypic plasticity, interspecific variation

INTRODUCTION

Elevated atmospheric CO₂ (eCO₂) may affect tree growth by increasing photosynthesis (Way et al., 2015). Empirical and theoretical work for a range of eucalypt species has shown that eCO2 will increase biomass production if access to water and nutrients is not limited (e.g., Wong et al., 1992; Ghannoum et al., 2010; Battaglia and Bruce, 2017; Ellsworth et al., 2017). This response is commonly observed due to the expansion of leaf area (LA), improved photosynthesis (A) and water use efficiency (WUE) under eCO2 (McCarthy et al., 2007; Drake et al., 2011; Norby and Zak, 2011; Resco de Dios et al., 2016). However, it is also well-known that genotypes and provenances of a single tree species may differ in their response to eCO₂ (Aspinwall et al., 2015). High genetic variability across (and within) populations may result in intraspecific differences of phenotypic responses to environmental cues, commonly termed 'genotype-by-environment interaction," or $G \times E$ (Matheson and Raymond, 1986; Josephs, 2018). This intraspecific variation may be due to heritability of specific trait characteristics and due to phenotypic plasticity (Moran et al., 2016).

For decades tree breeding programs have been used to identify species and provenances that display fast stem growth (e.g., Melville, 1940; Lavigne, 1996; Pan et al., 2020) and increase productivity of commercial plantations (e.g., Cornelius, 1994; Erskine et al., 2006; Calderia et al., 2020). However, provenances selected for high rates of stem growth today may not necessarily display these favorable traits under future environmental conditions. Higher rates of growth under eCO₂ can lead to higher respiratory C loss (Dusenge et al., 2019) and metabolic activity due to higher rates of photosynthetic C assimilation and subsequent increases in non-structural carbohydrates in leaves (e.g., Moore et al., 1999; Poorter et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2017). Under such conditions, the carbon use efficiency (CUE, ratio of net primary production to gross primary production, sensu Gifford, 2003) may result in zero growth stimulation under eCO2. If CUE is improved under eCO₂, carbon allocation patterns may change such that increased rates of C assimilation stimulate growth of roots rather than stems (Finzi et al., 2007; De Kauwe et al., 2014; Zaehle et al., 2014), which would be undesirable for the timber growing industry, yet potentially improve resilience during dry conditions (Grote et al., 2016). However, identifying provenances that show net-positive stem growth under eCO₂ is critical to meeting a rising global demand for wood and wood products (Wang et al., 2010; Booth et al., 2015; Loik et al., 2017).

Nine *Eucalyptus* species dominate hardwood plantations around the world due to their fast growth under a wide range of environmental conditions (Harwood, 2011; Müller da Silva et al., 2019), providing considerable capacity as sinks for atmospheric C (e.g., Binkley et al., 2017; Viera and Rodríguez-Saolliero, 2019). One of the most important eucalypt species used in plantations is *E. grandis* Hill ex Maid (comm. Flooded Gum or Rose Gum). Across its natural habitat this tall, fast-growing species displays considerable plasticity in tolerating a range of climates, from temperate to tropical along the coast of eastern Australia (**Figure 1**). Mean annual temperature (MAT) can vary from 12 to 25° C and mean annual precipitation (MAP) from 750 to 3,500 mm across its distributional range (Aspinwall et al., 2017). At least 40 seed provenances are listed for *E. grandis* by the Australian Tree Seed Centre and progeny trials have been operated for some time to identify seed sources of *E. grandis* and other eucalypt species that produce trees with favorable timber properties (Bamber and Humphreys, 1963; Wang et al., 1984; Booth, 2013).

High levels of plasticity in E. grandis have been demonstrated in response to nutrient addition, and water deficit (Grassi et al., 2002; Battie-Laclau et al., 2013, 2014). Drake et al. (2015) demonstrated high growth plasticity in E. grandis in response to increasing temperatures. Another recent study showed that eCO₂ increased leaf dry mass per unit area, but not respiration, in 15 provenances of E. grandis (Aspinwall et al., 2017). Yet, these studies did not report how stem growth was affected by eCO₂. In absence of this information, valuable insight can be drawn from another study that used a widely distributed eucalypt species. Eucalyptus camaldulensis was exposed to eCO₂, which stimulated biomass production, although stem biomass increased the least and root biomass the most (Aspinwall et al., 2018). Moreover, the study found no clear trend indicating that the positive growth response to eCO₂ was related to climate of origin of the different provenances tested.

Here we report two experiments that assessed phenotypic plasticity in response to growth under eCO₂ of E. grandis provenances originating from different climates. Our selection was informed by results from Drake et al. (2015) who showed that productivity of E. grandis provenances generally increased from cool to warm temperate climates when grown under aCO₂. Based on this observation, we tested if superior growth performance in provenances from warmer climates would persist under eCO₂, using two individual experiments. In Experiment 1, we examined the response to eCO₂ for a range of physiological and growth traits in four provenances of E. grandis. The provenances originated from the southern distribution cluster of the species (Figure 1) and varied in climate of origin (precipitation and temperature). Given the vast environmental gradient covered by provenances of E. grandis, we expanded the geographical range of provenances in Experiment 2, where we used nine provenances to exclusively assess the effect of eCO₂ on growth traits. Two provenances of Experiment 1 were included in Experiment 2 to allow cross-validation of results in both experiments and their relevance across wider environmental gradients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study reports two experiments on *Eucalyptus grandis* seedlings, grown in pots in a glasshouse, originating from a variety of climates at seed origin. Experiment 1 included four provenances (four climates) with five individuals per provenance in order to examine the intraspecific response of leaf physiology traits, non-structural carbohydrates and growth traits to aCO_2 and eCO_2 . Following observation of intraspecific variation, we extended the selection to nine provenances in Experiment 2 to assess phenotypic plasticity in growth



enhancement and correlations with climate of origin using 104 seedlings. Experiment 2 allowed validation of results from Experiment 1 by focusing on growth responses to eCO_2 across wider environmental gradients compared to more detailed physiological measurements conducted in Experiment 1. For both experiments, seed from *E. grandis* mother trees were collected at the 11 locations by personnel of the Australian Tree Seed Centre (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Government of Australia).

Experiment 1 Plant Material

The objective for Experiment 1 was to (a) identify if temperature or water availability at seed origin affected plant physiology and growth in aCO₂ and (b) if the observed trends remain under eCO2. Experiment 1 lasted from March to June in 2015. Four provenances of E. grandis were selected (Table 1, Figure 1). Detailed climate-of-origin data were obtained from eMAST (www.emast.org.au) to calculate MAT, MAP and evapotranspiration (ET) between 1971 and 2010 for each location (see Aspinwall et al., 2017). Final selection for Experiment 1 was based on forming three pairs of MAT and water availability regimes (ratio of ET and MAP). Pair 1: Mt Lindsay and Coffs Harbour had the same low ET/MAP (wet) but different MAT (cool/warm). Pair 2: Coffs Harbour and Kilcov Creek had similar MAT (warm) but different ET/MAP (wet/dry). Pair 3: Kilcoy Creek and Woondum had similar ET/MAP (dry) but different MAT (warm/hot). Seed was obtained from five mother trees (family) within each provenance of Experiment 1. Seeds were germinated under ambient atmospheric conditions and individuals grown at a plant nursery to enable collection

of vegetative cuttings. Randomly selected seedlings from each provenance were coppiced to produce hedges for rooted cutting propagation. After development of sufficiently strong roots, cuttings were transported into temperature and CO₂ controlled glasshouse rooms (12 March 2015) at the Hawkesbury campus of Western Sydney University (Richmond, NSW, Australia). There, seedlings were transplanted into pots made of PVC pipe (15 cm in diameter, 40 cm high; 7 L volume) and filled with a customized soil, which consisted of 90% coarse washed river sand, 10% diatomaceous earth (intermediate grade: 0.9-2 mm from Mt Sylvia, QLD) and mineral soil (Zeogreen, premium turf grade 0.5-1.6 mm from ZeoGreen, VIC, Australia). Pots were watered daily to saturation. Liquid fertilizer (500 mL Aquasol, at 1.6 g l^{-1} ; 23% N, 4% P, 18% K, 0.05% Zn, 0.06% Cu, 0.013% Mo, 0.15% Mn, 0.06% Fe, 0.011% B; Yates Australia, NSW, Australia) was added to pots every 14 days to minimize potential nutrient limitation.

Seedlings were grown for 14 weeks (12 March to 15 Jun 2015) under natural light in four adjacent temperature and CO_2 controlled glasshouse rooms. Temperature (T) in all glasshouse rooms was programmed to represent the 30 year average of November to May conditions in Richmond NSW and diel variation (0600–1,000 h: 22°C; 1,000–1,600 h: 26°C; 1,600–2,000 h: 24°C; 2,000–0600 h: 15°C). Ambient and elevated CO_2 concentrations were set at 400 and 640 µmol mol⁻¹ in two rooms per treatment, respectively, and controlled automatically (Lambda T, ADC BioScientific Ltd., Herts, UK). Environmental conditions inside the glasshouse bays were logged every 15 min. Ten plants of each provenance (40 seedlings total) were randomly assigned to the four glasshouse rooms and rotated between and within rooms every 14 days. Initially, 20 seedlings were grown in aCO₂ and 20 seedlings in eCO₂. At the end of the

Site name	Site coordinates	MAT	MAP	EL	ET	ET/MAP	¹ n	² n
^{1,2} Mt Lindsay (ML)	28.21° S 152.45° E	13.6	1,314	1,022	999	0.76	10	12
^{1,2} Kilcoy Creek (KC)	26.45° S 152.35° E	17.3	974	603	1,184	1.22	10	14
¹ Coffs Harbour (CH)	30.10° S 153.07° E	17.5	1,549	345	1,160	0.75	10	-
¹ Woondum (WD)	26.18° S 152.49° E	20.2	1,021	216	1,375	1.34	10	-
² Wedding Bells SF (WB)	30.10° S 153.07° E	17.5	1,549	100	1,160	0.75	-	12
² Richmond Range (RR)	28.41° S 152.39° E	17.6	872	520	1,269	1.45	-	7
² Borumba Range (BR)	26.35° S 152.36° E	18.0	929	500	1,232	1.33	-	12
² Bellthorpe (BT)	26.52° S 152.45° E	18.0	1,016	200	1,220	1.20	-	12
² Connondale (CD)	26.40° S 152.36° E	18.2	882	560	1,247	1.41	-	12
² Mt Mee (MM)	27.08° S 152.43° E	20.2	817	200	1,399	1.71	-	12
² Mt Tamborine (MT)	27.55° S 153.11° E	20.2	1,129	500	1,360	1.20	-	11

TABLE 1 | Location and climate variables characterizing the origin of *E. grandis* seed provenance for Experiment 1 (n = 40) and Experiment 2 (n = 104); see superscript numbers.

Mean annual air temperatures (MAT, °C), mean annual precipitation (MAP, mm), elevation (EL, m), evapotranspiration (ET, mm) and the ratio of evapotranspiration/MAP (ET/MAP), total number of replicates for Experiment 1 (1 n) and Experiment 2 (2 n) are shown. Note that higher ET/MAP represents greater water stress.

experiment, seedlings were destructively harvested. Leaf number was determined for each plant and leaf area (LA) of the canopy of each seedling was measured by placing all leaves into a leaf area meter (LI-3100C, Li-Cor Inc., NE, USA). Roots were carefully washed free of soil medium. Leaves, stems and roots were dried at 70° C to constant mass to determine dry weight.

Leaf Gas Exchange

Light-saturated photosynthetic rates of CO₂ assimilation (A_{sat}, μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) and stomatal conductance of water vapor (g_s , mol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$) of fully expanded leaves were measured during the day (0930-1,530 h, sunny days) using an infrared gas analyzer (LI-6400XT, Li-Cor Inc., NE, USA). Measurement conditions for A_{sat} were set as: saturating light = 1600 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; block T = 26° C; CO₂ concentration = 400 or 640 μ mol mol⁻¹; and flow rate = 500 μ mol s⁻¹. Leaf vapor pressure deficit (VPD) was regulated by manipulating relative humidity inside the cuvette to maintain VPD between 1.2-1.6 kPa. Leaves were allowed to equilibrate to environmental conditions inside the cuvette for at least 5 min prior to measurement. Gas analysers were always matched before logging data. A minimum of five measurements per seedling were logged at 5 s intervals and later averaged. Care was taken that leaves covered the full 2 \times 3 cm measurement chamber.

Leaf 813C

Relative abundance of ¹³C to ¹²C in leaf material can be used as an integrated measurement of water use efficiency (WUE) over a longer timespan (Farquhar et al., 1989). For this purpose, one fully developed leaf per seedling was collected on days of leaf gas exchange measurements during Experiment 1. Leaves were snapfrozen in liquid nitrogen, dried at 60°C for 3 days and ground to a fine powder. Only leaves that were used for gas exchange measurements were collected. Analyses of leaf bulk tissue ($\delta^{13}C_{leaf}$) were completed at the Australian National University using a continuous-flow stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Fison Isochrom CF-IRMS, former Fisons Instruments, UK). The photosynthetic discrimination rate ($\Delta^{13}C$), which relates to WUE for the hours of 0900–1600, was calculated from leaf material ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{leaf}}$) and known atmospheric values ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{air}}$), expressed in ‰ (Farquhar et al., 1982):

$$\Delta^{13} C = \frac{\delta^{13} C_{air} - \delta^{13} C_{leaf}}{1 + \frac{\delta^{13} C_{leaf}}{1000}}$$
(1)

Since glasshouse air was artificially enriched with ¹³C depleted CO₂, the δ^{13} C fraction of glasshouse room air (δ^{13} C_{air}) was also determined to calculate Δ^{13} C. This was achieved by collecting measurements of air of all four glasshouse rooms separately using a Tuneable Diode Laser (TGA100; Campbell Scientific, UT, USA), repeated for each room three times during a diel cycle to account for diurnal variation of CO₂. Mean Δ^{13} C was calculated for each glasshouse room. After determining Δ^{13} C, WUE was calculated as:

$$WUE = \frac{A}{g_s} = \frac{c_a}{1.6} \left(\frac{b' - \Delta^{13}C}{b' - a} \right)$$
(2)

where g_s is stomatal conductance of water vapor, *a* is the average fractionation constant (4.4‰, O'Leary, 1981) for the diffusion of gases through stomata and *b*' represents the carboxylation fractionation by Rubisco and PEP carboxylase (27‰, Farquhar and Richards, 1984). The factor 1.6 accounts for the greater diffusivity of water vapor vs. CO₂ in air and c_a represents the partial pressure of CO₂ in the outer atmosphere.

Mean response ratios (RR) for A_{sat} , g_s , and Δ^{13} C between eCO₂ and aCO₂ were calculated by dividing the mean value of the parameter in eCO₂ by the mean value in aCO₂. Variance of these RR was calculated according to Hedges et al. (1999).

Non-structural Carbohydrates

Plant tissues (leaves, stem, and roots) were sampled during morning hours of the final harvest of Experiment 1 to assess concentration of non-structural carbohydrates (NSC). Tissues were microwaved for 10 s to stop metabolic activity and then

dried at 70°C for 3 days. A detailed protocol for NSC extraction can be found in Tissue and Wright (1995). In short, subsamples of plant material were dried and ground to a fine powder before extracting NSC. Starch was separated from soluble sugars, lipids and amino acids using a methanol/chloroform/water solution. Water soluble sugars were partitioned from remaining cell components by phase separation. Starch was digested into ss with perchloric acid. Sugar content of both fractions was quantified colorimetrically in a spectrophotometer (DU800, Beckman Coulter Australia Pty Ltd, NSW, Australia) via a phenol-sulfuric acid reaction (Tissue and Wright, 1995).

Biomass

At harvest (15–18 June 2015, 14 weeks since repotting), leaf area (LA) of the whole canopy was measured by placing all leaves

into a leaf area meter (LI-3100C, Li-Cor Inc., NE, USA); leaf size was estimated by dividing LA by leaf number. Leaf mass per area (LMA) was calculated by dividing dry weight of its leaves (DW_{leaf}) by LA. Measurements of height (h, cm) and diameter (d, mm; measured 2 cm above soil surface) commenced at week 2 since repotting into the glasshouse and were subsequently conducted on a weekly basis. Stem volume (v_{stem} , cm³) was estimated using h and d, assuming the shape of a cone using the following formula:

$$v_{stem} = \frac{1}{3} \pi r^2 h \tag{3}$$

Total plant dry mass (DW_{plant}) was calculated by summing DW_{leaf} , DW_{stem} , and DW_{root} . Biomass fraction was calculated





by dividing DW of plant organs by DW_{plant} as leaf-mass-fraction (LMF), stem-mass-fraction (SMF) and root-mass-fraction (RMF).

Data Analyses

Data were analyzed using R-3.6.1 software (R Core Team, 2019). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test if the $[CO_2]$ treatment as well as the origin of the four provenances had a significant effect on leaf gas exchange, growth-related traits (DW, v_{stem}, LMF, SMF, RMF) leaf specific traits (leaf number, leaf size, LA, LMA) and NSC. Statistical models were tested with all interactions between factors ([CO₂] and provenance). Assumptions of data normality and equal variance were tested using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's test, as well as a quantilequantile plot for visual assessment. The "car" package (Fox and Weisberg, 2019) was used for Anova() and leveneTest() functions with Type III sums of squares and Levene's test for equal variance among test groups, respectively. Post-hoc tests were conducted when plant traits were significantly different across provenances. To establish whether A_{sat} or g_{s} was the driver for changes in Δ^{13} C correlations were analyzed with Pearson's correlation coefficient using the cor.test() function of the base package. All means are presented with one standard error (SE).

Experiment 2 Plant Material

The objective of Experiment 2 was to validate results of Experiment 1 recorded for a narrow climate of seed origin gradient and be able to identify a generalized growth response strategy of *E. grandis* provenances. Experiment 2 lasted from February to May 2017. The range of climate at seed origin was extended to nine provenances in Experiment 2 including two provenances from Experiment 1 (**Table 1**, **Figure 1**). Detailed selection criteria for the provenances used in Experiment 2 can be found in Aspinwall et al. (2017). Seed was obtained from 2 to 5 mother trees within each provenance. Seeds were germinated as described for Experiment 1 and were transported into temperature and CO₂ controlled glasshouse rooms on 27 February 2017 using similar pots and soil as in Experiment 1.

Seedlings of Experiment 2 were grown at the same location as Experiment 1 for 10 weeks (27 February to 11 May 2017). Glasshouse environmental conditions were comparable to Experiment 1. Experiment 2 included 3–7 plants per provenance, of which 52 were randomly assigned and grown in aCO₂ and 52 plants in eCO₂. Seedlings were destructively harvested in the same way as Experiment 1.

Biomass

Harvest was conducted on 10 and 11 May 2017, 10 weeks since repotting. The same measurements were taken as in Experiment 1. Measurements of h and d commenced during the first week following replanting into the glasshouse.

Data Analyses

Data were analyzed using R-3.6.1 software (R Core Team, 2019). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test if the $[CO_2]$ treatment as well as climate origin of the nine provenances had a significant effect on growth-related traits (DW, v_{stem}) and leaf



FIGURE 3 [Response ratios of leaf physiological traits calculated for Experiment 1. Response ratios represent differences in four different provenances of *E. grandis* grown under eCO₂ compared to aCO₂ (n = 5 per provenance and [CO₂] treatment). Traits: light-saturated photosynthetic carbon assimilation (A_{sat} ; **A**), stomatal conductance (g_s ; **B**), carbon isotope discrimination (Δ^{13} C; **C**). Error bars represent 1SE.

specific traits (LA, LMA). See Experiment 1 for further details. Correlations between DW_{shoot} and DW_{stem}, respectively, and climate variables (MAT, MAP, ET/MAP) were analyzed with Pearson's correlation coefficient using the cor.test() function of the base package. The same approach was used for determining the correlation between DW_{stem} and LMA. All figures were drawn using Python (Phyton Software Foundation)¹.

All figures were plotted using Python (Phyton Software Foundation).

¹Python Software Foundation. *Python Language Reference*, version 3.6. Available online at http://www.python.org.

RESULTS

Experiment 1 Environmental Conditions

Throughout Experiment 1, relative humidity ranged from 57–85%, T averaged $26.9 \pm 0.1(\pm 1\text{SE})$ °C during the photoperiod, and excellent [CO₂] control was achieved (aCO₂: 401 ± 0.2 µmol mol⁻¹; eCO₂: 645 ± 0.1 µmol mol⁻¹). From March to June 2015, mean photon flux density during mid-day was 950 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, ranging from 115 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on a cloudy day to 1,900 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ on a sunny day.

Physiological Responses to eCO₂

 A_{sat} differed significantly by provenance and [CO₂] treatment. However, there were no provenance by [CO₂] interactions statistically confirming these observed trends. In aCO₂, A_{sat} of seedlings originating from the warmest climate (provenance Woondum) was significantly lower (18.2 \pm 1.4 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, p = 0.002; **Figure 2**) than A_{sat} of seedlings in the other three provenances, which were similar to each other (22.1 \pm 0.6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Across all provenances, A_{sat} was on average 23% greater in eCO₂ (23.3 \pm 0.9 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) compared to those grown in aCO₂ (19.0 \pm 0.6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, p = 0.003). In eCO₂, A_{sat} of seedlings from Woondum was 26% lower than seedlings originating from cooler climates (**Figure 2**). Notably, seedlings from the coolest climate (Mt Lindsay) showed on average the largest response to eCO₂, increasing A_{sat} by 40% in eCO₂ compared to aCO₂, yet also displayed high variability within this provenance (**Figure 3**).

[CO₂] treatment did not affect g_s , but values differed across provenances. In May 2015, seedlings growing in aCO₂ and originating from provenance Woondum had 49% lower g_s (0.45 ± 0.11 mol m⁻² s⁻¹) than seedlings from provenance Coffs Harbour, averaging 0.88 ± 0.07 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (p = 0.001;





Figures 2, **3**). Seedlings from provenances Mt Lindsay and Kilcoy Creek had intermediate mean values of 0.69 ± 0.05 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 0.75 ± 0.09 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. In eCO₂, seedlings from provenance Woondum had similar low g_s averaging 0.44 ± 0.12 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ while seedlings from provenance Mt Lindsay had the highest values with 0.93 \pm 0.06 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (35% increase from aCO₂ to eCO₂).

Provenances did not differ in Δ^{13} C when grown in aCO₂. Seedlings grown in eCO₂ had an improved WUE, indicated by Δ^{13} C being significantly lower compared to seedlings grown in aCO₂ (22.5 ± 0.3% vs. 25.2 ± 0.3%, p < 0.001; **Figures 2, 3**). A_{sat} was negatively correlated with Δ^{13} C (Pearson's r = -0.59, p > 0.001) whereas g_s was not (p = 0.99).

Non-structural Carbohydrates

eCO₂ did not change concentrations of soluble sugars or starch in any provenance or tissue. For instance, soluble sugars in leaves averaged 55.0 \pm 2.1 mg g⁻¹ dry weight in aCO₂ and 53.8 \pm 1.6 mg g⁻¹ dry weight in eCO₂. Leaf starch averaged 68.0 \pm 1.7 mg g⁻¹ dry weight in aCO₂ and 64.5 \pm 1.4 mg g⁻¹ dry weight in eCO₂. Data were pooled for [CO₂] treatments to assess variation of NSC concentration in plant organs among provenances. Concentrations of soluble sugars at harvest differed significantly in leaves, stem and roots among

provenances. Seedlings originating from Woondum had the greatest concentrations of soluble sugars in leaves (60.1 \pm 2.5 mg g⁻¹ dry weight, p = 0.02) and stem tissue (11.4 \pm 1.0 mg g⁻¹ dry weight, p < 0.001), respectively. Concentrations in leaves were 21% greater than soluble sugars in seedlings from Coffs Harbour and 64% greater than soluble sugars in stem tissue of Kilcoy Creek. In roots, concentration of soluble sugars differed significantly among provenances (p = 0.02), where seedlings originating from Coffs Harbour contained the greatest amount of soluble sugars (18.3 \pm 0.9 mg g⁻¹ dry weight) followed by those from Woondum (16.7 \pm 1.8 mg g⁻¹ dry weight). Concentrations of starch at harvest only differed among provenances in leaves (p = 0.05; Figure 4). Seedlings from Kilcov Creek had the greatest starch concentrations in leaves (69.7 \pm 2.0 mg g⁻¹ dry weight), whereas those from Woondum had the lowest (61.3 \pm 2.0 mg g⁻¹ dry weight; Figure 4).

Growth

At harvest, seedlings were 62–118 cm tall. Throughout the experiment, stem volume (v_{stem}) was not significant across [CO₂] treatments (p = 0.15) nor [CO₂] x provenance interaction (p = 0.91) but varied across provenances (p < 0.001; **Figures 5A,B**). Specifically, seedlings originating from the warmest climate



FIGURE 5 | Stem volume of *E. grandis* during Experiment 1 and 2. Seedlings (n = 3-7 per provenance) were grown in ambient (aCO₂; left) and ambient [CO₂] (eCO₂; right). Colors in (**A**,**B**) represent the four selected provenances of Experiment 1. The nine selected provenances of Experiment 2 are shown in (**C**,**D**). Shaded bands represent 1SE.

(Woondum) had continuously lower stem volume than seedlings from the coolest climate (Mt Lindsay). Trends were detected for relative responses of v_{stem} from aCO₂ to eCO₂ with seedlings from Coffs Harbour indicated the greatest increase (+33%). At harvest, DW_{plant} of individual seedlings varied from 7.3 to 59.5 g. Leaf, stem and root biomass was only significant across provenances, but not $[CO_2]$ nor their interactions (**Table 7**). Under aCO₂, seedlings from Woondum had the lowest DW_{leaf} (p = 0.004), DW_{stem} (p < 0.001; **Figure 6A**), and DW_{root} (p < 0.001) followed by Coffs Harbour. Seedlings from Mt Lindsay had



FIGURE 6 | Mean stem dry weight (g) of *E. grandis* at the end of Experiment 1 and 2. Seedlings (n = 3-7 per provenance) were grown in ambient (aCO₂; **left**) and elevated CO₂ (eCO₂; **right**). Colors in **(A,B)** represent the four selected provenances of Experiment 1. The nine selected provenances of Experiment 2 are shown in **(C,D)**. Error bars represent 1SE.





the greatest DW_{plant} (39.8 \pm 3 g; **Table 3**; **Figure 6A**). DW_{root} was essentially statistically significant (p = 0.07) increasing from 4.9 \pm 0.6 g in aCO₂ to 6.3 \pm 0.8 g in eCO₂ (**Table 3**). Responses of DW_{plant} from aCO₂ to eCO₂ widely differed across provenance for biomass of all plant tissues (DWstem as example shown in Figures 6B, 7A). As a trend, seedlings from Coffs Harbour increased mass in all tissues resulting in a mean DW_{plant} of 34.7 \pm 4.4 g (+44%). DW_{stem} was correlated to climate parameters MAT, MAP, ET/MAP, mean annual minimum and maximum temperature. The best fit was found for MAT ($r^2 = 0.38$, p = 0.004; Figures 8A,B) where biomass decreased with greater MAT. In aCO₂, this linear fit had a negative slope of -1.54, whereas the slope in eCO_2 was slightly steeper with -1.74. Biomass partitioning calculated as LMF, SMF and RMF did not differ among provenances, but LMF was statistically significant and RMF essentially significant, respectively between [CO₂] treatments (Table 7). In aCO₂, LMF averaged 0.40 \pm 0.008 compared to 0.37 ± 0.009 in eCO₂ (*p* = 0.01; **Table 3**). RMF showed an opposite trend increasing from 0.17 ± 0.006 in aCO₂ to 0.19 ± 0.01 in eCO₂ (p = 0.09; Table 3).

At final harvest, leaf number and LA differed significantly among the four provenances, while leaf size and LMA did not. Leaf traits did not significantly respond to $[CO_2]$ nor $[CO_2]$ x provenance interaction. Under aCO₂, seedlings from Mt Lindsay had developed the most leaves $(236 \pm 24 \text{ plant}^{-1}, p = 0.01)$ and had also the largest leaf area $(4249 \pm 143 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}, p = 0.003;$ **Table 2**). Seedlings from provenance Woondum had developed about half of the number and area of leaves compared to those from Mt Lindsay. Seedlings from Kilcoy Creek had the largest leaf size $(19.0 \pm 3.0 \text{ cm}^2)$ and those from Coffs Harbour the smallest $(15.1 \pm 1.9 \text{ cm}^2;$ **Table 2**). LMA under aCO₂ was similar among provenances, averaging 36.5 to 40.0 g m⁻². Although a trend toward increased leaf size and area was apparent in all seedlings when grown in eCO₂, large intra-specific variation resulted in non-significant changes compared to seedlings grown in aCO₂.

Experiment 2

Environmental Conditions

Relative humidity during Experiment 2 ranged from 62 to 88% between the hours of 10:00 and 16:00. T averaged 27.6 \pm 0.2°C and mean [CO₂] were for aCO₂ 396 \pm 0.2 μ mol mol $^{-1}$ and for eCO₂ 643 \pm 0.2 μ mol mol $^{-1}$.

Growth

At harvest, seedlings were 58–109 cm tall. Throughout Experiment 2, v_{stem} was not significant across [CO₂] treatments nor [CO₂] x provenance interaction yet varied across provenances (p < 0.001; Figures 5C,D). Seedlings





TABLE 2 Leaf characteristics of <i>E. grandis</i> seedlings of Experiment 1 grown in
ambient [aCO ₂ ; (a)] and elevated [CO ₂] [eCO ₂ ; (b)].

		Prove	nance		
CO ₂ treatment	Mt Lindsay	Kilcoy Creek	Coffs Harbour	Woondum	
(a) aCO ₂					
Leaf number	236 (24)	216 (40)	181 (48)	123 (21)	
Leaf size	18.7 (1.8)	19.0 (3.0)	15.1 (1.9)	16.3 (3.3)	
LA	4249 (143)	3907 (644)	2605 (646)	1975 (582)	
LMA	35.7 (1.3)	36.5 (1.2)	37.3 (1.9)	38.3 (4.7)	
(b) eCO ₂					
Leaf number	238 (44)	231 (20)	256 (37)	134 (19)	
Leaf size	16.2 (1.7)	16.4 (2.3)	14.4 (0.9)	13.6 (3.0)	
LA	3939 (854)	3636 (290)	3678 (532)	1902 (459)	
LMA	37.8 (1.7)	37.0 (1.6)	37.0 (1.8)	40.0 (2.1)	
(c) ∆ (eCO ₂ -aC	O ₂)				
Leaf number	2.0 (0.8%)	15.0 (6.9%)	75.0 (41.4%)	11.0 (8.9%)	
Leaf size	-2.5 (-13.3%)	-2.6 (-13.7%)	-0.7 (-4.6%)	-2.7 (-16.5%	
LA	-310 (-7.3%)	-271 (-6.9%)	1073 (41.2%)	-73 (-3.7%	
LMA	2.1 (5.9%)	0.5 (1.3%)	-0.3 (-0.9%)	1.7 (4.5%)	

Leaf number and size (cm²), leaf area (LA, cm²), and leaf mass area (LMA, g m⁻²) were averaged per provenance and [CO₂] treatment (n = 5). Standard error of mean is shown in parenthesis. Relative changes in leaf characteristics when grown under aCO₂ and eCO₂ are shown in parenthesis of (c).

originating from provenance Bellthorpe had continuously greater stem volume than seedlings from provenance Mt Mee (Figure 5). Leaf and stem biomass were not significant across $[CO_2]$ treatments (p =) nor $[CO_2]$ x provenance interaction but differed among the nine provenances (Table 5). Under aCO₂, seedlings from provenance Mt Mee of the warmest and wettest climate had the lowest DW_{leaf} (p = 0.008) and DW_{stem} (p = 0.01; Figure 6C). Seedlings from provenance Connondale had the greatest DW_{shoot} (67.3 \pm 6.9 g; Table 5), 91% greater than seedlings from Mt Mee. Similar to Experiment 1, trends for intraspecific variability in growth response to eCO₂ were noticeable (Table 5; Figures 6D, 7B). Seedlings from provenance Wedding Bells SF, similar to seedlings from Coffs Harbour in Experiment 1, had the greatest increase of DW_{shoot} resulting in a mean of 49.1 \pm 7.1 g (+31% from aCO_2 to eCO_2). Yet, dry weight of shoot and stem was, contrary to Experiment 1, not correlated to any climate parameters of seed origin in aCO2 or eCO₂ (Table 6; Figures 8C,D).

LA and LMA of the nine selected provenances only differed significantly among provenances at the end of Experiment 2 (**Table 4**). Under aCO₂, seedlings from Connondale originating from warmer and wetter climate had the largest LA (8212 \pm 779 cm², p = 0.002; **Table 4**). Seedlings from provenance Mt Mee, originating from the wettest climate, had only half the LA compared to Connondale. LMA under aCO₂ differed among the nine provenances. Seedlings from provenance Richmond Range with relatively wet climate had the greatest LMA averaging 55.9 \pm 3.0 g m⁻². Similar to Experiment 1, seedlings from provenance Mt Lindsay had the lowest LMA (41.9 \pm 1.2 g m⁻²). Trends for intraspecific variation in the

TABLE 3 | Dry mass (g) and biomass fractions of *E. grandis* at the end of Experiment 1.

		Prover	nance	
CO ₂ treatmen	Mt Lindsay nt	Kilcoy Creek	Coffs Harbour	Woondum
(a) aCO ₂				
DWleaf	15.3 (1.0)	14.1 (2.8)	9.7 (2.4)	7.3 (2.3)
DWstem	17.6 (1.5)	15.7 (2.3)	10.8 (2.7)	7.3 (1.8)
DW _{root}	7.0 (1.0)	6.1 (1.1)	3.7 (0.9)	2.8 (0.6)
DW _{plant}	39.8 (3)	35.9 (5.6)	24.1 (6)	17.4 (4.6)
LMF	0.39 (0.008)	0.39 (0.013)	0.41 (0.012)	0.40 (0.025)
SMF	0.44 (0.016)	0.44 (0.012)	0.44 (0.016)	0.43 (0.013)
RMF	0.17 (0.018)	0.17 (0.007)	0.15 (0.005)	0.17 (0.013)
(b) eCO ₂				
DWleaf	14.6 (2.9)	13.5 (1.3)	13.3 (1.7)	7.7 (1.7)
DWstem	19.6 (3.6)	17.2 (1.8)	16.1 (2.2)	7.5 (1.6)
DW _{root}	8.0 (1.5)	8.6 (2.1)	5.3 (0.6)	3.3 (0.6)
DW _{plant}	42.2 (7.9)	39.4 (4.7)	34.7 (4.4)	18.2 (3.5)
LMF	0.34 (0.005)	0.35 (0.021)	0.38 (0.007)	0.39 (0.027)
SMF	0.47 (0.01)	0.44 (0.008)	0.46 (0.009)	0.42 (0.027)
RMF	0.19 (0.013)	0.21 (0.026)	0.15 (0.008)	0.19 (0.027)
(c) ∆ (eC	O ₂ -aCO ₂)			
DWleaf	-0.7 (-4.6%)	-0.6 (-4.3%)	3.6 (37.1%)	0.1 (1.4%)
DW _{stem}	2.0 (11.4%)	1.5 (9.6%)	5.3 (49.1%)	0.2 (2.7%)
DW _{root}	1.0 (14.3%)	2.5 (41.0%)	1.6 (43.2%)	0.8 (17.9%)
DW _{plant}	2.3 (5.8%)	4.4 (12.6%)	10.5 (43.4%)	0.8 (4.6%)
LMF	-0.043 (-11.2%)	-0.046 (-11.6%) -0.022 (-5.5%)	-0.012 (-3.1%
SMF	0.025 (5.7%)	0.002 (0.4%)	0.021 (4.9%)	-0.010 (-2.2%
RMF	0.018 (10.4%)	0.044 (26.4%)	0.001 (0.5%)	0.022 (12.9%)

Seedlings were grown in ambient [aCO₂; (a)] and elevated [CO₂] [eCO₂; (b)] atmospheres. Values are separated into dry weight of leaf (DW_{leaf}), stem (DW_{stem}), and root (DW_{root}) tissue, total DW (DW_{plant}), leaf-mass-fraction (LMF), stem-mass-fraction (SMF), and root-mass-fraction (RMF) and averaged per treatment group and provenance (n = 5). Standard error of mean for each plant organ is shown in parenthesis. Relative weight difference between plant organs grown under aCO₂ and eCO₂ is shown in (c).

response of leaf traits to eCO_2 were noticeable. Six of the nine provenances had increased LA under eCO_2 with the largest increase in seedlings from provenance Wedding Bells SF (+28%; **Table 4**), resembling similar climate to seedlings from provenance Coffs Harbour in Experiment 1. In aCO_2 , LA was negatively correlated to MAT (Pearson's r = -0.27, p = 0.05) and ET of seed origin (Pearson's r = -0.27, p = 0.05; **Table 6**). LMA was positively correlated to DW_{stem} (Pearson's r = 0.29, p = 0.04 in aCO_2 and Pearson's r = 0.44, p = 0.001 in eCO_2 , respectively).

DISCUSSION

Two experiments on *E. grandis* were used to investigate phenotypic plasticity in this important hardwood plantation species. These experiments revealed significant variability regarding leaf physiology traits, concentrations of non-structural carbohydrates and growth parameters among provenances TABLE 4 | Leaf characteristics of E. grandis seedlings of Experiment 2 grown in ambient [aCO2; (a)] and elevated [CO2] [eCO2; (b)].

	Provenance									
CO ₂ treatment	Mt Lindsay	Kilcoy Creek	Wedding Bells SF	Richmond Range	Borumba Range	Bellthorpe	Connondale	Mt Mee	Mt Tamborine	
(a) aCO ₂										
LA	6786 (461)	5972 (687)	4833 (558)	5186 (752)	5377 (556)	6796 (644)	8212 (779)	4336 (522)	4999 (968)	
LMA	41.9 (1.2)	44.1 (2.1)	44.5 (1.6)	55.9 (3.0)	47.5 (2.4)	45.8 (1.6)	48.8 (1.9)	44.9 (2.4)	50.3 (1.5)	
(b) eCO ₂										
LA	6466 (813)	6356 (639)	6173 (821)	4808 (282)	5886 (631)	7351 (973)	7216 (997)	5290 (598)	5872 (574)	
LMA	46.5 (1.7)	44.9 (1.5)	46.0 (1.6)	48.4 (1.4)	50.3 (2.9)	45.5 (2.0)	49.8 (1.6)	44.3 (2.6)	53.1 (3.8)	
(c) Δ (eCO ₂ -aC	O ₂)									
LA	-320 (-4.7%)	384 (6.4%)	1340 (27.7%)	-378 (-7.3%)	509 (9.5%)	555 (8.2%)	-996 (-12.1%)	954 (22%)	873 (17.5%)	
LMA	4.5 (11%)	0.8 (1.8%)	1.5 (3.4%)	-7.5 (-13.4%)	2.9 (5.9%)	-0.3 (-0.7%)	1.0 (2.1%)	-0.6 (-1.3%)	2.8 (5.6%)	

Leaf area (LA, cm^2) and leaf mass area (LMA, gm^{-2}) were averaged per provenance and [CO₂] treatment (n = 3-7). Standard error of mean is shown in parenthesis. Relative changes in leaf characteristics when grown under aCO₂ and eCO₂ are shown in parenthesis of (c).

TABLE 5 | Dry mass (g) of *E. grandis* at the end of Experiment 2.

	Provenance									
CO ₂ treatment	Mt Lindsay	Kilcoy Creek	Wedding Bells SF	Richmond Range	Borumba Range	Bellthorpe	Connondale	Mt Mee	Mt Tamborine	
(a) aCO ₂										
DWleaf	28.6 (2.4)	26.6 (3.6)	21.5 (2.6)	29.2 (5.4)	26.1 (3.5)	30.9 (2.6)	39.9 (3.8)	19.6 (2.8)	25.5 (5.2)	
DW _{stem}	23.8 (2.8)	19.3 (2.5)	16 (2.1)	19.4 (2.3)	18.9 (2.1)	24.3 (2.5)	27.4 (3.1)	15.6 (2)	18.9 (3.6)	
DW _{shoot}	52.3 (5.1)	45.9 (6.1)	37.5 (4.7)	48.6 (7.7)	45 (5.5)	56 (5.4)	67.3 (6.9)	35.2 (4.7)	44.3 (8.7)	
(b) eCO ₂										
DWleaf	30.5 (4.9)	29 (3.8)	28.4 (3.9)	23.2 (1)	30.3 (4.7)	33 (4.1)	35.7 (4.7)	24.1 (4)	30.1 (3.1)	
DW _{stem}	23.4 (3.3)	21 (3.1)	20.7 (3.2)	16.5 (1.2)	20.2 (2.6)	24.7 (2.9)	27.6 (3.2)	19.3 (3.8)	24.7 (3.5)	
DW _{shoot}	53.9 (8.1)	50 (6.8)	49.1 (7.1)	39.7 (2.1)	50.5 (7.3)	57.7 (6.9)	63.3 (7.8)	43.5 (7.8)	54.7 (6.4)	
(c) Δ (eCO ₂ -aC	O ₂)									
DWleaf	1.9 (6.6%)	2.4 (9%)	6.9 (9%)	-6 (-20.6%)	4.2 (16.1%)	2.1 (6.8%)	-4.2 (-10.5%)	4.5 (23%)	4.6 (18%)	
DW _{stem}	-0.4 (-1.7%)	1.7 (8.8%)	4.7 (29.4%)	-2.9 (-15%)	1.3 (6.9%)	0.4 (1.6%)	0.2 (0.7%)	3.7 (23.7%)	5.8 (30.7%)	
DW _{shoot}	1.6 (3.1%)	4.1 (8.3%)	11.6 (30.9%)	-8.9 (-18.3%)	5.5 (12.2%)	1.7 (3%)	-3.7 (-5.9%)	8.3 (23.6%)	10.4 (23.5%)	

Seedlings were grown in ambient [aCO_2 ; (a)] and elevated [CO_2] [eCO_2 ; (b)] atmospheres. Values are separated into biomass of leaf (DW_{leaf}) and stem tissue (DW_{stem}) as well as cumulated for shoot DW (DW_{shoot}) and averaged per treatment group and provenance (n = 3-7). Standard error of mean for each plant organ is shown in parenthesis. Relative weight difference between plant organs grown under aCO_2 and eCO_2 is shown in (c).

TABLE 6 | Pearson's correlation coefficient (*Pearson's r*) between leaf area (LA), shoot dry weight (DW_{shoot}) and stem dry weight (DW_{stem}), and climate variables of seed origin of the nine provenances selected for Experiment 2: mean annual temperature (MAT, °C), difference between mean annual maximum and minimum temperature (Δ T, °C), mean annual precipitation (MAP, mm), mean annual evapotranspiration (ET, mm), and evapotranspiration/MAP (ET/MAP).

Trait		M	AT	Δ	т	MA	MAP		т	ET/MAP	
	CO ₂	r	p	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р
LA	aCO ₂	-0.27	0.05	-0.06	0.69	-0.08	0.57	-0.27	0.05	-0.08	0.59
	eCO ₂	-0.11	0.42	-0.14	0.32	0.06	0.66	-0.16	0.25	-0.14	0.34
DWshoot	aCO ₂	-0.17	0.22	0.02	0.89	-0.14	0.34	-0.16	0.26	0.002	0.99
	eCO ₂	-0.05	0.71	-0.12	0.41	0.03	0.84	-0.09	0.52	-0.09	0.55
DWstem	aCO ₂	-0.22	0.12	-0.04	0.80	-0.09	0.53	-0.21	0.15	-0.05	0.75
	eCO ₂	-0.02	0.87	-0.12	0.40	0.03	0.84	-0.06	0.69	-0.07	0.62

Tests were conducted separately for seedlings grown in ambient (aCO₂) and elevated (eCO₂) [CO₂] conditions. Results for p-values below the significance level of 0.05 are highlighted in bold.

grown in aCO_2 . Yet, contrary to our expectation, variation in biomass was not systematically related to climate at seed origin in *E. grandis* seedlings.

Although eCO_2 increased A_{sat} and WUE (calculated from leaf carbon isotope discrimination and reflecting the lifespan of the leaf), this did not result in a systematic stimulation of growth and

	Treatment										
Plant trait	Р				с		P × C				
	df	f	р	df	f	p	df	f	р		
(a)											
A _{sat}	3.32	5.9	0.002	1.32	10.6	0.003	3.32	1.2	0.31		
gs	3.32	6.8	0.001	1.32	0.2	0.64	3.32	1.5	0.23		
$\Delta^{13}C$	3.32	0.05	0.99	1.32	32.0	<0.001	3.32	0.5	0.71		
SSleaf	3.31	3.6	0.02	1.31	0.4	0.54	3.31	0.8	0.51		
st _{leaf}	3.31	2.9	0.05	1.31	3.0	0.09	3.31	0.6	0.61		
SS _{stem}	3.32	9.6	<0.001	1.32	1.6	0.21	3.32	1.9	0.15		
st _{stem}	3.32	0.7	0.59	1.32	1.9	0.17	3.32	0.9	0.44		
SSroots	3.32	3.9	0.02	1.32	0.1	0.71	3.32	0.1	0.98		
st _{roots}	3.32	0.8	0.49	1.32	0.1	0.79	3.32	0.5	0.67		
LA	3.32	5.8	0.003	1.32	0.1	0.79	3.32	0.7	0.57		
LMA	3.32	0.5	0.67	1.32	0.4	0.55	3.32	0.1	0.95		
Leaf size	3.32	0.9	0.45	1.32	1.6	0.21	3.32	0.1	0.97		
Leaf number	3.32	4.3	0.01	1.32	1.2	0.28	3.32	0.5	0.69		
LMF	3.32	1.8	0.18	1.32	6.8	0.01	3.32	0.5	0.71		
SMF	3.32	1.5	0.23	1.32	0.8	0.37	3.32	0.6	0.62		
RMF	3.32	1.9	0.16	1.32	3.2	0.09	3.32	0.5	0.68		
DW _{leaf}	3.32	5.6	0.004	1.32	0.2	0.68	3.32	0.5	0.68		
DW _{stem}	3.32	9.1	<0.001	1.32	2.0	0.16	3.32	0.5	0.72		
DW _{root}	3.32	8.9	<0.001	1.32	3.5	0.07	3.32	0.3	0.81		
DW _{plant}	3.32	7.8	<0.001	1.32	1.4	0.25	3.32	0.4	0.79		
(b)											
LA	8.85	3.5	0.002	1.85	0.9	0.36	8.85	0.5	0.84		
LMA	8.85	4.2	<0.001	1.85	0.3	0.56	8.85	1.0	0.44		
DWleaf	8.86	2.8	0.009	1.86	1.0	0.33	8.86	0.5	0.84		
DW _{stem}	8.85	2.7	0.01	1.85	1.3	0.26	8.85	0.4	0.94		
DW _{shoot}	8.85	2.7	0.01	1.85	1.1	0.30	8.85	0.4	0.91		

Plant traits were analyzed for significant effects of $[CO_2]$ levels (C) and provenance (P). Results of Experiment 1 are shown in (a), results for Experiment 2 in (b). Traits were: light-saturated photosynthesis rate (A_{sat}), stomatal conductance (g_s), water use efficiency (Δ^{13} C), concentration of soluble sugars in leaf (s_{sleaf}), stem (s_{stern}) and roots (s_{sroots}) as well as starch concentrations (st), leaf area (LA), leaf mass area (LMA), leaf-mass-fraction (LMF), stem-mass-fraction (SMF), root-mass-fraction (RMF), dry weight (DW) of leaves, stem, roots, shoots ($DW_{leaf} + DW_{stern}$), or total plant, respectively. Results for p-values below the significance level of 0.05 are highlighted in bold.

increased biomass among provenances. Systematic stimulation of growth by eCO_2 was not apparent, possibly due to the limited number of selected provenances and high intraspecific plant-toplant variation. However, even by increasing the number of tested provenances to improve statistical power in Experiment 2, we did not observe a uniform growth stimulation and biomass (stem, leaves, roots) increase in eCO_2 nor a significant provenance by CO_2 effect. However, some provenances did show greater responses of physiology (A_{sat} and g_s) or growth in eCO_2 than others, potentially related to environmental conditions of seed origin—as seen with provenances Coffs Harbour and Wedding Bells SF with strong positive biomass increases under eCO_2 . Yet, unidirectional phenotypic plasticity among provenances as result of eCO_2 was not observed due to great variability within groups.

Physiological Differences Among Provenances

Greater carbon assimilation, due to greater A_{sat} and a larger canopy, enabled seedlings from regions with low ET/MAP to

also produce more stem biomass (i.e., provenance Coffs Harbour) relative to seedlings from drier climates (i.e., provenance Woondum and Mt Mee). Variability in LMA among provenances can also influence plant growth (Poorter et al., 2009) as shown with our LMA data correlating with stem biomass. As LMA has a strong positive relationship with leaf density, our results suggest that provenances MT and RR have greater leaf density than provenances KC and ML. Higher leaf density may be caused by a greater proportion of mesophyll tissue or lignified tissue resulting in greater leaf toughness and consequently plant survival (Poorter et al., 2009). Despite these observed trends, stem biomass of E. grandis was not correlated to climate of origin in Experiment 2. We thus had to reject the notion that results from Experiment 1 could be used to extrapolate growth responses to eCO₂ across wider environmental gradients. However, intraspecific differences across provenances or families are frequently reported for trees in response to their local climate conditions. European pine and beech species (Correia et al., 2008; Rose et al., 2009; Bachofen et al., 2018) as well as North American poplars (Kaluthota et al., 2015) as well as eucalypts (Blackman et al., 2016; Aspinwall et al., 2018) have been shown to display considerable intraspecific variation in physiological and growth-related traits when grown as potted plants or in common gardens. These variations were correlated to temperature, water availability and the length of the growing season at seed origin. Seedlings from provenances originating either from areas with wet and cool or wet and warm climate produced the greatest biomass when grown at aCO₂. In support of this trend of adaptation to local climate conditions, biomass production in *E. grandis* was greater in trees from cooler and wetter climates (Drake et al., 2015). Thus, *E. grandis* does show signs of a systematic adaption to environmental conditions at seed origin, yet climate conditions alone are insufficient to explain observed responses for every provenance.

Physiological Responses of Provenances to eCO₂

Intraspecific variation across provenances was more prominent than responses to eCO2. Hence, ranking among provenances for physiology and growth traits persisted, supporting Hypothesis 2. A_{sat} increased by 24% on average, similar to the stimulation of Asat under eCO2 in other trees (e.g., Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007; Ghannoum et al., 2010; Leakey et al., 2012; Resco de Dios et al., 2016), which predominately results from increased rates of carboxylation by Rubisco and reduced rates of photorespiration (Drake et al., 1997; Long et al., 2004). Additionally, eCO₂ increased WUE in all provenances (i.e., Δ^{13} C declined), an effect that has been attributed to decreases in g_s (Saxe et al., 1998; Medlyn et al., 2001) and subsequent decline in conductance of water vapor by leaves (e.g., Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007; Adair et al., 2011; Keenan et al., 2013; Reich et al., 2014; Frank et al., 2015; Dekker et al., 2016). Yet, in E. grandis, improved WUE was due to increasing carbon uptake (increases in A_{sat}) under non-limiting water supply rather than decreases in g_s , a strategy also confirmed for Fagus sylvatica (Aranda et al., 2017). Under eCO_2 , g_s is commonly reported to decline (e.g., Ainsworth and Long, 2005). However, a lack of downregulation of g_s under eCO₂ was also found in *Larix* and *Pinus* trees (Streit et al., 2014). Furthermore, the strong influence of leaf tissue structure on gas exchange in E. camaldulensis (Blackman et al., 2016) may explain why g_s response to eCO_2 was not significant given that LMA was also not affected in E. grandis. Regrettably, we did not investigate stomatal traits, which might have helped differentiate anatomical from biochemical constraints on WUE (Blackman et al., 2016). Limited information suggests changes in stomatal density of broadleaved trees may occur as an interactive response to [CO₂], water availability and origin of provenance (Pyakurel and Wang, 2014). In agreement with the current study, most leaf physiological traits in 14 genotypes within six provenances of E. camaldulensis showed extensive intraspecific variation, but a limited growth response to eCO₂ (Blackman et al., 2016).

When trees are grown under eCO_2 , they often exhibit increased carbon assimilation rates. However, these increases sometimes are not reflected in simultaneous increases in stem biomass, indicating that additional carbon gained is not necessarily allocated to stem growth (Resco de Dios et al., 2016). For example, net carbon assimilation of *E. camaldulensis* was greatly stimulated by eCO_2 , yet no increase in stem biomass or LMA (leaf structure) was detected (Blackman et al., 2016). In the present study, concentration of starch in leaves of *E. grandis* was greater in eCO_2 compared to aCO_2 , suggesting that leaves may serve as temporary storage organs under eCO_2 rather than building new leaf structure (e.g., larger or thicker leaves).

Another possible fate of additional carbon gained in eCO_2 can be its loss through elevated rates of cell respiration and/or root exudation. We did not examine either of the two processes, but studies have shown that large variability exists in the effects of eCO_2 on plant mitochondrial respiration rates (see Dusenge et al., 2019 for review). Studies have found respiration to remain stable, increase or decline when plants are exposed to eCO_2 (Curtis, 1996; Wang et al., 2001; Tissue et al., 2002; Ayub et al., 2011; Crous et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013; Gauthier et al., 2014). Increased rates of respiration would explain the absence of greater allocation of assimilated carbon into aboveground biomass in *E. grandis*. However, higher respiration rates have also been linked to greater carbohydrate accumulation in pine (Tjoelker et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013), but it was not observed here in *E. grandis*.

Growth is often enhanced in other species of Eucalyptus grown under eCO₂ (Ghannoum et al., 2010; Ayub et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2013; Aspinwall et al., 2018), but was not statistically significant here for stem and leaf biomass of E. grandis. However, the lack of significant aboveground growth stimulation in eCO₂ has been observed in other species of Eucalpytus (Duff et al., 1994) and Populus (Liberloo et al., 2005) despite increased carbon assimilation (Cantin et al., 1997; Atwell et al., 2007; Lawson et al., 2017; Bachofen et al., 2018; Killi et al., 2018). It has also been observed that carbon may be allocated belowground to facilitate increased nutrient uptake when photosynthesis is increased in eCO₂ (Hättenschwiler and Körner, 1997; Iverson et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2008; Souza et al., 2016; Aspinwall et al., 2018). Here, 13% more biomass was on average allocated to roots rather than leaves (-8%) in Experiment 1. Thus, biomass responses of E. grandis to eCO2 may be due to carbon allocation to roots rather than aboveground biomass allocation.

Environmental Drivers

Cooler climate and low ET/MAP at seed origin of trees from Mt Linsday may represent contributing factors to the pronounced increase of A_{sat} in the different provenances when grown in eCO₂. A common garden study on European *Picea abies* found that populations from cooler climates at high-altitudes had higher leaf nitrogen concentrations, greater A_{max} and higher concentrations of chlorophyll than those from lower elevations (Oleksyn et al., 1998). In *E. camaldulensis*, genotypes with the largest growth response to eCO₂ had large increases in root mass fraction and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency (Aspinwall et al., 2018). The Coffs Harbour (Experiment 1) and Wedding Bells (Experiment 2) provenances had the largest relative increase of leaf area and biomass when grown in eCO₂. Both locations are in very close proximity to each other and experience a similarly low ET/MAP compared to Mt Lindsey. Shifting such species from cooler to warmer growth conditions could influence temperature optima for photosynthesis. This physiological optimization due to warming while maintaining optimal access to water is in agreement with previous temperature-shift experiments using *E. grandis* (Drake et al., 2015).

In addition to climate, soil characteristics are known to influence productivity of eucalypt trees (Cavalli et al., 2020). *Eucalyptus grandis*, however, tolerates a wide range of soil conditions, reflected in high growth rates of provenances in their native habitats in Australia as well as plantations around the world. Here we controlled the influence of soil on growth by providing all provenances with the same customized, non-nutrient limited soil. The impact of eCO_2 on stem growth of individual provenances, when grown in soil from their native habitat, should be investigated in future glasshouse experiments.

CONCLUSIONS

It now seems well-established that tree genotypes and provenances respond differentially to eCO_2 (Ceulemans et al., 1996; Dickson et al., 1998; Isebrands et al., 2001; Mohan et al., 2004). While individual species may show increased stem growth and biomass accumulation under eCO_2 , this is not a widely observed trend (Resco de Dios et al., 2016). Here we added one of the most important hardwood plantation species to the growing list of tree species that seem to accelerate photosynthetic carbon assimilation under eCO_2 yet do not use surplus photosynthates to increase stem growth. Selection of high performing tree provenances for the next generation of

REFERENCES

- Adair, E. C., Reich, P. B., Trost, J., and Hobbie, S. E. (2011). Elevated CO₂ stimulates grassland soil respiration by increasing carbon inputs rather than by enhancing soil moisture. *Glob. Change Biol.* 17, 3546–3563. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02484.x
- Ainsworth, E. A., and Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. New Phytol. 165, 351–372. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x
- Ainsworth, E. A., and Rogers, A. (2007). The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30, 258–270. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01641.x
- Aranda, I., Bahamonde, H. A., and Sánchez-Gómez, D. (2017). Intrapopulation variability in the drought response of a beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) population in the southwest of Europe. *Tree Physiol.* 37, 938–949. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpx058
- Aspinwall, M. J., Blackman, C. J., de Dios, V. R., Busch, F. A., Rymer, P. D., Loik, M. E., et al. (2018). Photosynthesis and carbon allocation are both important predictors of genotype productivity responses to elevated CO₂ in Eucalyptus camaldulensis. *Tree Physiol.* 38, 1286–1301. doi: 10.1093/treephys/ tpy045
- Aspinwall, M. J., Jacob, V. K., Blackman, C. J., Smith, R. A., Tjoelker, M. G., and Tissue, D. T. (2017). The temperature response of leaf dark respiration in 15 provenances of Eucalyptus grandis grown in ambient and elevated CO₂. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 44, 1075–1086. doi: 10.1071/FP17110

hardwood plantations in a higher CO₂ world must account for this effect to remain economically profitable.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AW, SP, and DT designed Experiment. AW, CB, DT, and SP designed Experiment. RS managed the controlled environment facilities, including CO_2 treatments. AW analyzed the data and wrote the complete first draft. All authors collected plant physiological measurements, harvested data, and assisted in developing the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Science and Industry Endowment Fund (SIEF grant RP04-122) and the Higher Degree Research Scholarship from the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Carrie Drake, Wen Shi, and Craig Barton for technical support and helpful advice for development of this manuscript by Mark Tjoelker and Victor Resco de Dios is acknowledged.

- Aspinwall, M. J., Loik, M. E., Resco de Dios, V., Tjoelker, M. G., Payton, P. R., and Tissue, D. T. (2015). Utilizing intraspecific variation in phenotypic plasticity to bolster agricultural and forest productivity under climate change. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38, 1752–1764. doi: 10.1111/pce.12424
- Atlass of Living Australia (2020). *Eucalyptus grandis*. doi: 10.26197/5ec861be1e175
- Atwell, B. J., Henery, M. L., Rogers, G. S., Seneweera, S. P., Treadwell, M., and Conroy, J. P. (2007). Canopy development and hydraulic function in eucalyptus tereticornis grown in drought in CO₂-enriched atmospheres. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 34, 1137–1149. doi: 10.1071/FP06338
- Ayub, G., Smith, R. A., Tissue, D. T., and Atkin, O. K. (2011). Impacts of drought on leaf respiration in darkness and light in eucalyptus saligna exposed to industrial-age atmospheric CO₂ and growth temperature. *New Phytol.* 190, 1003–1018. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03673.x
- Bachofen, C., Moser, B., Hoch, G., Ghazoul, J., and Wohlgemuth, T. (2018). No carbon "bet hedging" in pine seedlings under prolonged summer drought and elevated CO₂. *J. Ecol.* 106, 31–46. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12822
- Bamber, R. K., and Humphreys, F. R. (1963). A preliminary study of some wood properties of *Eucalyptus grandis* (Hill) Maiden. J. Inst. Wood Sci. 11, 63–70.
- Battaglia, M., and Bruce, J. (2017). Direct climate change impacts on growth and drought risk in blue gum (*Eucalyptus globulus*) plantations in Australia. *Aust. For.* 80, 216–227. doi: 10.1080/00049158.2017.1365403
- Battie-Laclau, P., Laclau, J.-P., Beri, C., Mietton, L., Almeida Muniz, M. R., Arenque, B. C., et al. (2013). Photosynthetic and anatomical responses of *Eucalyptus grandis* leaves to potassium and sodium supply in a field experiment. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 70–81. doi: 10.1111/pce.12131
- Battie-Laclau, P., Laclau, J.-P., Domec, J.-C., Christina, M., Bouillet, J.-P., de Cassia Piccolo, M., et al. (2014). Effects of potassium and sodium supply on

drought-adaptive mechanisms in *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations. *New Phytol.* 203, 401–413. doi: 10.1111/nph.12810

- Binkley, D., Campoe, O. C., Alvares, C., Carniero, R. L., Cegatta, I., and Stape, J. L. (2017). The interactions of climate, spacing and genetics on clonal eucalyptus plantations across Brazil and Uruguay. *For. Ecol. Managem.* 405, 271–283. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.09.050
- Blackman, C. J., Aspinwall, M. J., Resco de Dios, V., Smith, R. A., and Tissue, D. T. (2016). Leaf photosynthetic, economic and hydraulic traits are decoupled among genotypes of a widespread species of eucalypt grown under ambient and elevated CO₂. *Funct. Ecol.* 30, 1491–1500. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435. 12661
- Booth, T. H. (2013). Eucalypt plantations and climate change. For. Ecol. Manage. 301, 28–34. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.04.004
- Booth, T. H., Broadhurst, L. M., Pinkard, E., Prober, S. M., Dillon, S. K., Bush, D., et al. (2015). Native forests and climate change: Lessons from eucalypts. *For. Ecol. Manage*. 347, 18–29. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.002
- Calderia, D. R. M., Alvares, C. A., Campoe, O. C., Hakamada, R. E., Guerrini, I. A., Cegatta, I. R., et al. (2020). Multisite evaluation of the 3-PG model for the highest phenotypic plasticity Eucalyptus clone in Brazil. *For. Ecol. Manage*. 462:117989. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117989
- Cantin, D., Tremblay, M. F., Lechowicz, M. J., and Potvin, C. (1997). Effects of CO₂ enrichment, elevated temperature, and nitrogen availability on the growth and gas exchange of different families of jack pine seedlings. *Can. J. For. Res.* 27, 510–520. doi: 10.1139/x96-221
- Cavalli, J. P., Reichert, J. M., Rodrigues, M. F., and de Araújo, E. F. (2020). Composition and functional soil properties of arenosols and acrisols: effects on eucalyptus growth and productivity. *Soil Till. Res.* 196:104439. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2019.104439
- Ceulemans, R., Shao, B. Y., Jiang, X. N., and Kalina, J. (1996). Firstand second-year aboveground growth and productivity of two Populus hybrids grown at ambient and elevated CO₂. *Tree Physiol.* 16, 61–68. doi: 10.1093/treephys/16.1-2.61
- Cornelius, J. (1994). The effectiveness of plus-tree selection for yield. For. Ecol. Manage. 67, 23-34. doi: 10.1016/0378-1127(94)90004-3
- Correia, I., Almeida, M. H., Aguiar, A., Alía, R., David, T. S., and Pereira, J. S. (2008). Variations in growth, survival and carbon isotope composition (\u03b313C) among Pinus pinaster populations of different geographic origins. *Tree Physiol.* 28, 1545–1552. doi: 10.1093/treephys/28.10.1545
- Crous, K. Y., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Ellsworth, D. S., Duursma, R. A., Loew, M., Tissue, D. T., et al. (2012). Light inhibition of leaf respiration in field-grown eucalyptus saligna in whole-tree chambers under elevated atmospheric CO2 and summer drought. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 966–981. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02465.x
- Curtis, P. S. (1996). A meta-analysis of leaf gas exchange and nitrogen in trees grown under elevated carbon dioxide. *Plant Cell Environ*. 19, 127–137. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1996.tb00234.x
- De Kauwe, M. G., Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., Walker, A. P., Dietze, C. D., Wang, Y.-P., et al. (2014). Where does all the carbon go? A model-data intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest free-air CO₂ enrichment sites. *New Phytol.* 203, 883–899. doi: 10.1111/nph.12847
- Dekker, S. C., Groenendijk, M., Booth, B. B., Huntingford, C., and Cox, P. M. (2016). Spatial and temporal variations in plant water use efficiency inferred from tree-ring, eddy covariance and atmospheric observations. *Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss.*7, 525–533. doi: 10.5194/esd-7-525-2016
- Dickson, R. E., Coleman, M., Riemenschneider, D. E., Isebrands, J. G., Hogan, G., and Karnosky, D. F. (1998). Growth of five hybrid poplar genotypes exposed to interacting elevated CO2 and O3. *Can. J. For. Res.* 28, 1706–1716. doi: 10.1139/x98-150
- Drake, B. G., Gonzàlez-Meler, M. A., and Long, S. P. (1997). More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO2? *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 48, 609–639. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.48.1.609
- Drake, J. E., Aspinwall, M. J., Pfautsch, S., Rymer, P. D., Reich, P. B., Smith, R. A., et al. (2015). The capacity to cope with climate warming declines with from temperate to tropical latitudes in two widely distributed Eucalyptus species. *Glob. Change Biol.* 21, 459–472. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12729
- Drake, J. E., Gallet-Budynek, A., Hofmockel, K. S., Bernhardt, E. S., Billings, S. A., Jackson, R. B., et al. (2011). Increases in the flux of carbon belowground

stimulate nitrogen uptake and sustain the long-term enhancement of forest productivity under elevated CO₂. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 349–357. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01593.x

- Duan, H., Amthor, J. S., Duursma, R. A., O'Grady, A. P., Choat, B., and Tissue, D. T. (2013). Carbon dynamics of eucalypt seedlings exposed to progressive drought in elevated [CO₂] and elevated temperature. *Tree Physiol*. 33, 779–792. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpt061
- Duff, G. A., Berryman, C. A., and Eamus, D. (1994). Growth, biomass allocation and foliar nutrient contents of two *Eucalyptus* species of the wet-dry tropics of Australia grown under CO₂ enrichment. *Funct. Ecol.* 8, 502–508. doi: 10.2307/2390075
- Dusenge, M. E., Duarte, A. G., and Way, D. A. (2019). Plant carbon metabolism and climate change: elevated CO₂ and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration. *New Phytol.* 221, 32–49. doi: 10.1111/nph.15283
- Ellsworth, D. S., Anderson, I. C., Crous, K. Y., Cooke, J., Drake, J. E., Gherlenda, A. N., et al. (2017). Elevated CO₂ does not increase eucalypt forest productivity on a low-phosphorus soil. *Nat. Clim. Change* 7, 279–282. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3235
- Erskine, P. D., Lamb, D., and Bristow, M. (2006). Tree species diversity and ecosystem function: can tropical multi-diversity plantations generate greater productivity. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 233, 2005–2210. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.05.013
- Farquhar, G. D., Ehleringer, J. R., and Hubick, K. T. (1989). Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant. Mol. Biol. 40, 503–537. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.0 02443
- Farquhar, G. D., O'Leary, M. H., and Berry, J. A. (1982). On the relationship between carbon isotope discrimination and the intercellular carbon dioxide concentration in leaves. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 9, 121–137. doi: 10.1071/PP98 20121
- Farquhar, G. D., and Richards, R. A. (1984). Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 11, 539–552. doi: 10.1071/PP9840539
- Finzi, A. C., Norby, R. J., Calfapietra, C., Gallet-Budynek, A., Gielen, B., Holmes, W. E., et al. (2007). Increases in nitrogen uptake rather than nitrogen-use efficiency support higher rates of temperate forest productivity under elevated CO2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 14014–14019. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706518104
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S. (2019). An R Companion to Applied Regression, 3rd edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Frank, D. C., Poulter, B., Saurer, M., Esper, J., Huntingford, C., Helle, G., et al. (2015). Water-use efficiency and transpiration across European forests during the Anthropocene. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 579–583. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2614
- Gauthier, P. P. G., Crous, K. Y., Ayub, G., Duan, H., Weerasinghe, L. K., Ellsworth, D. S., et al. (2014). Drought increases heat tolerance of leaf respiration in Eucalyptus globulus saplings grown under both ambient and elevated atmospheric [CO₂] and temperature. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 6471–6485. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru367
- Ghannoum, O., Phillips, N. G., Conroy, J. P., Smith, R. A., Attard, R. D., Woodfield, R., et al. (2010). Exposure to preindustrial, current and future atmospheric CO2 and temperature differentially affects growth and photosynthesis in Eucalyptus. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16, 303–319. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009. 02003.x
- Gifford, R. M. (2003). Plant respiration in productivity models: conceptualization, representation and issues for global terrestrial carbon-cycle research. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 30, 171–186. doi: 10.1071/FP02083
- Grassi, G., Meir, P., Cromer, R., Tompkins, D., and Jarvis, P. G. (2002). Photosynthetic parameters in seedlings of eucalyptus grandis as affected by rate of nitrogen supply. *Plant Cell Environ*. 25, 1677–1688. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2002.00946.x
- Grote, R., Gessler, A., Hommel, R., Poschenrieder, W., and Priesack, E. (2016). Importance of tree height and social position for drought-related stress on tree growth and mortality. *Trees* 30, 1467–1482. doi: 10.1007/s00468-016-1446-x
- Harwood, C. (2011). "New introductions-doing it right," in *Developing a Eucalypt Resource: Learning From Australia and Elsewhere: University of Canterbury*, ed J. Walker (Christchurch: Wood Technology Research Centre), 43–54.

- Hättenschwiler, S., and Körner, C. (1997). Biomass allocation and canopy development in spruce model ecosystems under elevated CO₂ and increased N deposition. *Oecologia* 113, 104–114. doi: 10.1007/s004420050358
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., and Curtis, P. S. (1999). The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. *Ecology* 80, 1150–1156. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2
- Isebrands, J. G., McDonald, E. P., Kruger, E., Hendrey, G., Percy, K., Pregitzer, K., et al. (2001). Growth responses of Populus tremuloides clones to interacting elevated carbon dioxide and tropospheric ozone. *Environ. Pollut.* 115, 359–371. doi: 10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00227-5
- Iverson, L., Prasad, A., and Matthews, S. (2008). Modeling potential climate change impacts on the trees of the northeastern United States. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change* 13, 487–516. doi: 10.1007/s11027-007-9129-y
- Josephs, E. B. (2018). Determining the evolutionary forces shaping G×E. New Phytol. 219, 31–36. doi: 10.1111/nph.15103
- Kaluthota, S., Pearce, D. W., Evans, L. M., Letts, M. G., Whitham, T. G., and Rood, S. B. (2015). Higher photosynthetic capacity from higher latitude: foliar characteristics and gas exchange of southern, central and northern populations of *Populus angustifolia*. *Tree Physiol*. 35, 936–948. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpv069
- Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., et al. (2013). Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise. *Nature* 499, 324–327. doi: 10.1038/nature12291
- Killi, D., Bussotti, F., Gottardini, E., Pollastrini, M., Mori, J., Tani, C., et al. (2018). Photosynthetic and morphological responses of oak species to temperature and [CO₂] increased to levels predicted for 2050. *Urb. Forest. Urb. Green.* 31, 26–37. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2018.01.012
- Lavigne, M. B. (1996). Comparing stem respiration and growth of jack pine provenances from northern and southern locations. *Tree Physiol.* 16, 847–852.
- Lawson, J. R., Fryirs, K. A., and Leishman, M. R. (2017). Interactive effects of waterlogging and atmospheric CO₂ concentration on gas exchange, growth and functional traits of Australian riparian tree seedlings. *Ecohydrology* 10:e1803. doi: 10.1002/eco.1803
- Leakey, A. D., Bishop, K. A., and Ainsworth, E. A. (2012). A multi-biome gap in understanding of crop and ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* 15, 228–236. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.01.009
- Li, X., Zhang, G., Sun, B., Zhang, S., Zhang, Y., Liao, Y., et al. (2013). Stimulated leaf dark respiration in tomato in an elevated carbon dioxide atmosphere. *Sci. Rep.* 3:3433. doi: 10.1038/srep03433
- Liberloo, M., Dillen, S. Y., Calfapietra, C., Marinari, S., Luo, Z. B., De Angelis, P., et al. (2005). Elevated CO₂ concentration, fertilization and their interaction: growth stimulation in a short-rotation poplar coppice (EUROFACE). *Tree Physiol.* 25, 179–189. doi: 10.1093/treephys/25.2.179
- Loik, M. E., Dios, V. R., de, Smith, R., and Tissue, D. T. (2017). Relationships between climate of origin and photosynthetic responses to an episodic heatwave depend on growth CO2 concentration for Eucalyptus camaldulensis var. *camaldulensis. Funct. Plant Biol.* 44, 1053–1062. doi: 10.1071/ FP17077
- Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A., and Ort, D. R. (2004). Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants face the future. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 55, 591–628. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141610
- Matheson, A. C., and Raymond, C. A. (1986). A review of provenance × environment interaction: its practical importance and use with particular reference to the tropics. *Commonwealth For. Rev.* 65, 283–302.
- McCarthy, H. R., Oren, R., Finzi, A. C., Ellsworth, D. S., Kim, H.-S., Johnsen, K. H., et al. (2007). Temporal dynamics and spatial variability in the enhancement of canopy leaf area under elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Glob. Change Biol.* 13, 2479–2497. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01455.x
- Medlyn, B. E., Barton, C. V. M., Broadmeadow, M. S. J., Ceulmans, R., De Angelis, P., Forstreuter, M., et al. (2001). Stomatal conductance of forest species after long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration: a synthesis. *New Phytol.* 149, 247–264. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00028.x
- Melville, R. (1940). Intergrading among plants in relation to the provenance of forest trees. *Nature* 145, 130–132. doi: 10.1038/145130a0
- Mohan, J. E., Clark, J. S., and Schlesinger, W. H. (2004). Genetic variation in germination, growth, and survivorship of red maple in response to subambient through elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Glob. Change Biol.* 10, 233–247. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2004.00726.x

- Moore, B. D., Cheng, S.-H., Sims, D., and Seemann, J. R. (1999). The biochemical and molecular basis for photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂. *Plant Cell Environ.* 22, 567–582. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00432.x
- Moran, E. V., Hartig, F., and Bell, D. M. (2016). Intraspecific trait variation across scales: implications for understanding global change responses. *Glob. Change Biol.* 22, 137–150. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13000
- Müller da Silva, P. H., Brune, A., Alvares, C. A., do Amaral, W., de Moares, M. L. T., Grattapaglia, D., et al. (2019). Selecting for stable and productive families of *Eucalyptus urophylla* across a country wide range of climates in Brazil. *Can. J. For. Res.* 49, 87–95. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-2018-0052
- Norby, R. J., and Zak, D. R. (2011). Ecological lessons from free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 42, 181–203. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144647
- O'Leary, M. H. (1981). Carbon isotope fractionation in plants. *Phytochem*. 20, 553–567. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(81)85134-5
- Oleksyn, J., Modrzýnski, J., Tjoelker, M. G., Zytkowiak, R., Reich, P. B., and Karolewski, P. (1998). Growth and physiology of *Picea abies* populations from elevational transects: common garden evidence for altitudinal ecotypes and cold adaptation. *Funct. Ecol.* 12, 573–590. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00236.x
- Pan, Y., Jiang, L., Xu, G., Li, J., Wang, B., Li, Y., et al. (2020). Evaluation and selection analyses of 60 *Larix kaempferi* clones in four provenances based on growth traits and wood properties. *Tree Genet. Genom.* 16:27. doi: 10.1007/s11295-020-1420-z
- Poorter, H., Niinemets, Ü., Poorter, L., Wright, I. J., and Villar, R. (2009). Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. *New Phytol.* 182, 565–588. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02830.x
- Pritchard, S. G., Strand, A. E., McCormack, M. L., Davis, M. A., Finzi, A. C., Jackson, R. B., et al. (2008). Fine root dynamics in a loblolly pine forest are influenced by free-air-CO2-enrichment: a six-year-minirhizotron study. *Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 588–602. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01523.x
- Pyakurel, A., and Wang, J. R. (2014). Interactive effects of elevated [CO₂] and soil water stress on leaf morphological and anatomical characteristics of paper birch populations. Am. J. Plant Sci. 5, 691–703. doi: 10.4236/ajps,2014.55084
- R Core Team (2019). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., and Lee, T. D. (2014). Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO2 eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. *Nature Geosci.* 7, 920–924. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2284
- Resco de Dios, V., Mereed, T. E., Ferrio, J. P., Tissue, D. T., and Voltas, J. (2016). Intraspecific variation in juvenile tree growth under elevated CO₂ alone and with O₃: a meta-analysis. *Tree Physiol.* 36, 682–693. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpw026
- Rose, L., Leuschner, C., Köckemann, B., and Buschmann, H. (2009). Are marginal beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) provenances a source for drought tolerant ecotypes? *Eur. J. For. Res.* 128, 335–343. doi: 10.1007/s10342-009-0268-4
- Saxe, H., Ellsworth, D. S., and Heath, J. (1998). Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO2 atmosphere. New Phytol. 139, 395–436. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00221.x
- Schmidt, S., Palacio, S., and Hoch, G. (2017). Growth reduction after defoliation is independent of CO₂ supply in deciduous and evergreen young oaks. *New Phytol.* 214, 1479–1490. doi: 10.1111/nph.14484
- Smith, R. A., Lewis, J. D., Ghannoum, O., and Tissue, D. T. (2012). Leaf structural responses to pre-industrial, current and elevated atmospheric [CO2] and temperature affect leaf function in *Eucalyptus sideroxylon. Funct. Plant Biol.* 39, 285–296. doi: 10.1071/FP11238
- Souza, J. P., Melo, N. M., Pereira, E. G., Halfeld, A. D., Gomes, I. N., and Prado, C. H. B. (2016). Responses of woody *Cerrado* species to rising atmospheric CO2 concentration and water stress: gains and losses. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 43, 1183–1193. doi: 10.1071/FP16138
- Streit, K., Siegwolf, R. T. W., Hagedorn, F., Schaub, M., and Buchmann, N. (2014). Lack of photosynthetic or stomatal regulation after nine years of elevated [CO₂] and four years of soil warming in two conifer species at the alpine treeline. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 315–326. doi: 10.1111/pce.12197
- Tissue, D. T., Lewis, J. D., Wullschleger, S. D., Amthor, J. S., Griffin, K. L., and Anderson, O. R. (2002). Leaf respiration at different canopy positions in sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) grown in ambient and elevated

concentrations of carbon dioxide in the field. *Tree Physiol.* 22, 1157–1166. doi: 10.1093/treephys/22.15-16.1157

- Tissue, D. T., and Wright, S. J. (1995). Effect of seasonal water availability on phenology and the annual shoot carbohydrate cycle of tropical forest shrubs. *Funct. Ecol.* 9, 518–527. doi: 10.2307/2390018
- Tjoelker, M. G., Oleksyn, J., Lorenc-Plucinska, G., and Reich, P. B. (2009). Acclimation of respiratory temperature responses in northern and southern populations of Pinus banksiana. *New Phytol.* 181, 218–229. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02624.x
- Viera, M., and Rodríguez-Saolliero, R. (2019). A complete assessment of carbon stocks in above and belowground biomass components of a hybrid eucalyptus plantation in Southern Brazil. *Forests* 10:536. doi: 10.3390/f10070536
- Wang, S., Littell, R. C., and Rockwood, D. L. (1984). Variation in density and moisture content of wood and bark among twenty *Eucalyptus grandis* progenies. *Wood Sci. Technol.* 18, 97–102.
- Wang, T., O'Neill, G. A., and Aitken, S. N. (2010). Integrating environmental and genetic effects to predict responses of tree populations to climate. *Ecol. Appl.* 20, 153–163. doi: 10.1890/08-2257.1
- Wang, X., Lewis, J. D., Tissue, D. T., Seemann, J. R., and Griffin, K. L. (2001). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration on leaf dark respiration of *Xanthium strumarium* in light and in darkness. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 2479–2484. doi: 10.1073/pnas.051622998

- Way, D. A., Oren, R., and Kroner, Y. (2015). The space-time continuum: the effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on trees and the importance of scaling. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38, 991–1007. doi: 10.1111/pce.12527
- Wong, S. C., Kriedemann, P. E., and Farquhar, G. D. (1992). CO₂ ×nitrogen interaction on seedling growth of four species of eucalypt. Aust. J. Bot. 40, 457–472. doi: 10.1071/BT9920457
- Zaehle, S., Medlyn, B. E., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hickler, D., et al. (2014). Evaluation of 11 terrestrial carbon-nitrogen cycle models against observations from two temperate Free-Air CO₂ enrichment studies. *New Phytol.* 202, 803–822. doi: 10.1111/nph.12697

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Wesolowski, Blackman, Smith, Tissue and Pfautsch. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.