

# 3D characterization of walnut morphological traits using X-ray computed tomography

Anthony Bernard, Sherif Hamdy, Laurence Le Corre, Elisabeth Dirlewanger,

Fabrice Lheureux

# ► To cite this version:

Anthony Bernard, Sherif Hamdy, Laurence Le Corre, Elisabeth Dirlewanger, Fabrice Lheureux. 3D characterization of walnut morphological traits using X-ray computed tomography. Plant Methods, 2020, 16, pp.115. 10.1186/s13007-020-00657-7. hal-02929266

# HAL Id: hal-02929266 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02929266

Submitted on 3 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# 3D characterization of walnut morphological

Anthony Bernard<sup>1,2†</sup>, Sherif Hamdy<sup>3†</sup>, Laurence Le Corre<sup>3</sup>, Elisabeth Dirlewanger<sup>1\*</sup><sup>10</sup> and Fabrice Lheureux<sup>2</sup>

traits using X-ray computed tomography

# Abstract

**Background:** Walnuts are grown worldwide in temperate areas and producers are facing an increasing demand. In a climate change context, the industry also needs cultivars that provide fruits of quality. This quality includes satisfactory filling ratio, thicker shell, ease of cracking, smooth shell and round-shaped walnut, and larger nut size. These desirable traits have been analysed so far using calipers or micrometers, but it takes a lot of time and requires the destruction of the sample. A challenge to take up is to develop an accurate, fast and non-destructive method for quality-related and morphometric trait measurements of walnuts, that are used to characterize new cultivars or collections in any germplasm management process.

**Results:** In this study, we develop a method to measure different morphological traits on several walnuts simultaneously such as morphometric traits (nut length, nut face and profile diameters), traits that previously required opening the nut (shell thickness, kernel volume and filling kernel/nut ratio) and traits that previously were difficult to quantify (shell rugosity, nut sphericity, nut surface area and nut shape). These measurements were obtained from reconstructed 3D images acquired by X-ray computed tomography (CT). A workflow was created including several steps: noise elimination, walnut individualization, properties extraction and quantification of the different parts of the fruit. This method was applied to characterize 50 walnuts of a part of the INRAE walnut germplasm collection made of 161 unique accessions, obtained from the 2018 harvest. Our results indicate that 50 walnuts are sufficient to phenotype the fruit quality of one accession using X-ray CT and to find correlations between the morphometric traits. Our imaging workflow is suitable for any walnut size or shape and provides new and more accurate measurements.

**Conclusions:** The fast and accurate measurement of quantitative traits is of utmost importance to conduct quantitative genetic analyses or cultivar characterization. Our imaging workflow is well adapted for accurate phenotypic characterization of a various range of traits and could be easily applied to other important nut crops.

**Keywords:** Walnut, Germplasm collection, Morphological traits, X-ray computed tomography, 3D characterization, Image analysis

# Background

Persian walnut (*Juglans regia* L.), the walnut species cultivated for nut production, is one of the oldest food sources known and is grown worldwide [1]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United

\*Correspondence: elisabeth.dirlewanger@inrae.fr

<sup>†</sup>Anthony Bernard and Sherif Hamdy contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, INRAE, Biologie du Fruit et Pathologie, UMR 1332,

Nations (www.fao.org, 2017 data), worldwide in-shell walnut production exceeds 3.8 M tons. The three largest producers are China, USA and Iran. France is the 9th largest producer, the 2nd in Europe, with 40,000 tons. French walnut orchard area reached approximately 21,000 hectares in 2017 (https://agreste.agriculture.gouv. fr/), making it the most important French fruit crop other than apple. The production is mainly exported in-shell to Europe thanks to its high quality, especially due to the well-known Protected Designations of Origin 'Noix du



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

<sup>33140</sup> Villenave d'Ornon, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Périgord' and 'Noix de Grenoble', the two main walnut production areas. However, the number of cultivars is low and the French walnut industry needs new cultivars well adapted to French climatic conditions, with high nut and kernel quality. The quality traits include roundshaped walnut, smooth and shell easy to crack, larger nut size and high nut/kernel weight ratio [2].

Nowadays, agriculture is facing challenges for crop production and the plant research community needs to perform quantitative analyses of numerous plant traits in order to accelerate progress in breeding [3, 4]. This is why crop germplasm collections are of tremendous importance since no production area is fully self-sufficient in genetic diversity to cover all producer and consumer demand [5]. A germplasm collection is ordinarily evaluated with morphological descriptors which is usually the first step for describing accessions and selecting genitors for breeding programs [6, 7]. For obvious cost reasons, the International Plant Genetic Research Institute (IPGRI, now called Bioversity International) gives ontologies to manage germplasm collections based on morphological measurements, such as fruit length, diameter or thickness in millimeter without any measuring tools mentioned, and visual appreciation for traits that are difficult to quantify such as fruit shape or rugosity.

In walnut, since mid-1970s numerous studies report correlations between various traits related to morphological properties of the nut [8–13]. For instance, to evaluate promising genotypes mainly originated from seed in Iran, shell thickness was measured with a micrometer and shell roughness was recorded by assigning values from 1 to 7 [14, 15] or from 1 to 9 [16, 17] based on visual appreciation recommended by IPGRI [18]. Other works in Iran, using a caliper, also focused on nut length and diameter [19–23]. In Turkey, similar studies were conducted still using a caliper or even a compass to measure walnut diameter, length and/or shell thickness [24–28]. Finally, walnut germplasm collections from Europe were also characterized using similar tools in Albania [29], Serbia [30], Bulgaria [31], Romania [32] and Italy [33].

Overall, the measurements using a caliper, a micrometer or even by simple visual observation are until now the classical methods in walnut assessment. However, this kind of evaluation is painstaking, time-consuming and can lead to inaccuracy and low resolution. Fortunately, imaging techniques applied for plant phenotyping and food quality determination have been developed over the past decades using a wide range of methodologies, mainly for field crops and for various traits such as growth dynamics, shoot structure and morphometric parameters [34]. We can quote the use of visible light [35] and fluorescence imaging [36] on barley; the thermal infrared [37], near-infrared [38] and hyperspectral imaging [39] on rice; or 3D imaging on soybean [40] and magnetic resonance imaging on bean [41]. With the genomics era allowing researchers to unravel the genetic architecture of complex traits, we clearly observe a shift to a need in high-throughput phenotyping for crop improvement [42].

X-ray computed tomography (CT) is a non-destructive imaging technique based on computer-processed X-rays to acquire tomographic slice images of the scanned sample and generate a 3D reconstruction [34]. Used at first for medical purposes, X-ray CT has been lately applied in various agricultural products in particular to evaluate internal quality [43], especially in fruits and vegetables. In apples, X-ray CT was used to evaluate the density and the water content under varying moisture conditions [44], while in pears this technology was used to study the core breakdown development [45]. This method was also used in nuts and few works are reported, such as the detection of pinhole insect damage in almonds [46], the segmentation and classification in hazelnuts [47] and the behaviour study of fourth-instar weevil in pecan nuts [48]. In grapevine, a recent study aimed to characterize inflorescence architecture using X-rays [49]. The authors found correlations between 24 morphological traits among 392 samples of 10 wild Vitis species. They were able then to perform a multivariate discriminant analysis to classify the different species.

However, there is not much work showing the application of such methods on walnut. A technical report is available on 3D reconstruction of a walnut using X-ray CT [50] and also a data collection providing an image reconstruction pipeline [51]. However, in this study, the authors aimed to develop a method adapted for machine learning and they used 42 walnuts as models because they have variability, hard shell, softer kernel and empty space which are characteristics similar to the human head. Here, we present the development of a robust method that extracts for the first time complete morphological measurements of walnut using X-ray CT within a worldwide germplasm collection. This method offers the possibility to quantify several traits such as rugosity, sphericity and shape indexes previously really difficult to quantify but essential for French walnut industry. It also allows to evaluate the filling ratio which is the volume occupied by the kernel over the total nut volume, until now impossible to know without cracking the walnut. Our results will be helpful for new breeding programs by selecting the best accessions as genitors in order to release tomorrow's varieties. Our method could be also used as a reference for walnut or other nut crop germplasm investigation in any breeding program and could pave the way for future application in industry, particularly for internal quality control.

## Methods

## Plant materials and sample preparation

A panel of 161 unique *J. regia* accessions from worldwide was analysed. All the accessions are maintained at the *Prunus* and *Juglans* Genetic Resources Center and located in the Fruit Experimental Unit of INRAE in Toulenne (latitude  $44^{\circ}34'37.442''N$  – longitude  $0^{\circ}16'51.48''W$ ), near Bordeaux, France (Additional file 1). The panel choice was made thanks to a previous work based on genetic diversity and phenotypic variation results [52].

In-shell walnut sampling was performed during harvest season in September 2018 and walnuts were dried following classical French industrial recommendations, for 2 days at 25 °C using a food dryer, and then stored until analyses in a cold room set to 2 °C. For each accession, a selection of 50 walnuts was performed based on their sanitary state and sent to the GEVES laboratory (Beaucouzé, France). All the samples were stored in an environmentally controlled room at 10 °C and 47.75% ( $\pm$  3.4) relative humidity until use. During the preparation, the walnuts were embedded in a floral foam sample holder (9 length  $\times$  8 width  $\times$  21 cm height) to keep the samples from any abrupt or slight movement during the scanning process in order to avoid producing distorted images. The floral foam was chosen based on preliminary trials on different low-density materials in order to observe the level of attenuation of the X-rays passing through these materials.

The walnuts were scanned in batches, knowing that, the sample size was not fixed due to the huge variation between the walnuts in size and the limited scanning scope of the detector. The sample size ranged from 5 up to 16 walnuts per scan.

## X-ray computed tomography imaging system specifications

X-ray CT scans were performed at the GEVES laboratory (Beaucouzé, France) using a 3D X-ray imaging system, the NSI X-50 model from North Star Imaging©, Inc. (Minnesota, USA), which has a focus tube with focal spot up to 1  $\mu$ , a voltage range of 10–130 kV, an electric current range of 50–300  $\mu$ A, a flat-panel detector with a resolution of 256 × 256 and an adjustable rotary stage.

## Image acquisition and reconstruction

Scans were obtained at constant electron acceleration energy of 120 kV, an electric current of 300  $\mu$ A and a rotation speed of 4.99 degrees/s resulting in a scan duration of 14 m34 s. A total of 2,164 images (or radiographs) in a.tif format were used for reconstructing each 3D image using North Star Imaging<sup>©</sup> reconstruction software EFX-CT (version 1.9.5.12) where the resulting 3D images were exported in a.nsihdr format with a resolution of  $992 \times 992 \times 2991$  voxels (voxel size of  $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$  mm).

After 3D reconstruction, a multi-stage workflow was applied to all CT images in order to eventually achieve a quantitative study. This workflow consists of three key steps as illustrated in Fig. 1d-f: preprocessing steps, walnuts individualization, and morphological traits extraction and quantification.

(*i*) The preprocessing: it begins with automatically loading each image in our image collection  $I_{(1,x,y,z)}, ..., I_{(m,x,y,z)}$ sequentially (where *m* is the number of images) and then denoising them in order to eliminate the noise and artifacts introduced by the X-ray system during image acquisition. We applied Gaussian filter, successive morphological operations such as opening and closing, and also, we removed the unwanted small spots in the image. Subsequently, all the voxels which represent the sample holder are eliminated and only the voxels which represent the walnuts are preserved by discarding all the voxels below a certain threshold  $\tau$  resulting in a binary mask  $M_{(x,y,z)}$  according to the Eq. (1).

$$M_{(x,y,z)} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } I_{(x,y,z)} \le \tau \\ 1 \text{ if } I_{(x,y,z)} > \tau \end{cases}$$
(1)

*(ii)* The individualization: the task of extracting the features of the walnuts and quantification is challenging especially if the walnuts are touching. To overcome this difficulty, all the walnuts in the images were separated and individualized (Fig. 2). The individualization step consists of multiple sub-steps such labelling, masking, convex hull estimation and exporting. Labelling is based on voxel connectivity in the whole 3D volume in order to determine the regions of interest which represent the walnuts by assigning identical values to all the voxels that belong to an individual walnut. Each walnut in the image was assigned a unique value starting from 1 to n consecutively where n represents the total number of walnuts in the image. Then, labelling was followed by generating a set of masks using the determined regions of interest then finally, given the original input image and the generated set of masks  $K_{(x,y,z)}$  as shown in the Eq. (2), each walnut was exported in a separate sub-image  $i_{(x,y,z)}$  in a.nsihdr format after estimating the convex hull of each walnut. Loading, preprocessing, labelling and convex hull calculation take 20 min for an average sized sample.

$$f(I_{(x,y,z)}, K_{(x,y,z)}) = \{i_{(1,x,y,z)}, i_{(2,x,y,z)} \dots i_{(n,x,y,z)}\}$$
(2)

(*iii*) The morphological traits extraction and quantification: at this step, the principal components of the



different part, with the shell in red, the kernel in green and the empty space in blue

walnuts whose morphological features were segmented using multi-level thresholding and watershed algorithm which is a transformation that treats the image like a topographic map [53]. As a consequence, in our case, each main part of each walnut was segmented and was given a unique label as shown in Fig. 1f, considering the kernel, the shell, and the empty space between the kernel and the shell. The optimum threshold  $\tau$  and greyscale ranges of the principal parts of the walnuts were estimated experimentally based on the analysis of the histogram, using K Nearest Neighbor clustering method, that corresponds to the distribution of the intensities of the images (Fig. 3). Cropping and exporting take 1m30s/walnut. An additional movie file shows this in more detail (Additional file 2).

A fully automated in-house image processing pipeline was developed using the Thermo Scientific Avizo© software V9.0.0 built-in functions, the MATLAB© version 7.7.0 R2008b image processing toolbox [54] from The MathWorks©, Inc. (Massachusetts, USA), the TCL scripting language and Spyder Python IDE. To use this pipeline, walnuts that have no damage on the shell are required.

Measurements of a total of 14 morphological and shape descriptors were obtained: the nut length, the nut face diameter, the nut profile diameter, the nut volume, the nut shape VA3D, the nut Feret shape 3D (defined by D/d where d is the minimum Feret diameter and D is the maximum Feret diameter in the orthogonal direction, so 90° from the minimum Feret diameter; the maximum Feret diameter is the maximum diameter of an object as if it were freely rotating in three dimensions using a caliper [55]), the nut surface area, the shell volume, shell thickness, the kernel volume, the kernel filling ratio, and the empty space volume (Table 1). Quantification takes 2 min/walnut.



In addition, 2 supplementary traits, the nut sphericity (close to roundness) (3) and the shell rugosity (or surface roughness) (4) indexes, were measured using a nonstandard calculation since they are not supported by the Avizo© software:

$$Nut Sphericity = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{3}} (6V_n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{A}$$
(3)

Shell Rugosity = 
$$\frac{A}{\sqrt[3]{36\pi V_n^2}}$$
 (4)

where  $V_n$  is the nut volume and A is its surface area. The sphericity of a sphere is 1 and any object which is not a sphere will have sphericity less than 1. The nut shape VA3D is defined by  $\frac{A^3}{36\pi V_n^2}$ .

Experiments were run on a workstation equipped with an Intel<sup>®</sup> Xeon<sup>®</sup> dual-core processor running at 3 GHz using 64 MB of RAM and running Windows<sup>®</sup> version 10. The dataset was assessed using R software [56] with the package "tidyverse" [57]. Pearson correlation matrices were performed using the package "corrplot" [58] and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the package "FactoMineR" [59].

# Results

# Building a workflow for assessing phenotypic variation of the germplasm collection from CT images and 3D processing

The X-ray CT imaging workflow permitted to characterize the INRAE germplasm collection for 14 traits whose descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation,



Morphological trait	Symbol	Description	Unit
Nut			
Nut length	L	The largest length of the nut from the base to the end	
Nut face diameter	F	The largest longitudinal section of the nut through suture	mm
Nut profile diameter	Р	The largest longitudinal section of the nut perpendicular to suture	mm
Nut volume	V <sub>n</sub>	Total volume of the nut, $V_n = V_s + V_k + V_e$	mm <sup>3</sup>
Nut shape VA3D	S <sub>1</sub>	Shape factor of the nut	-
Nut feret shape 3D	S <sub>2</sub>	Feret shape factor of the nut	-
Nut surface area	А	Surface area of the nut	mm <sup>2</sup>
Nut sphericity	Ψ	Index of nut roundness	-
Shell			
Shell volume	Vs	Volume of the shell	
Shell thickness	Т	Thickness of the shell	mm
Shell rugosity	Ω	Index of shell surface roughness	-
Kernel			
Kernel volume	V <sub>k</sub>	Volume of the kernel	mm <sup>3</sup>
Kernel filling ratio	R	Ratio of the kernel volume $V_k$ to the total volume of the nut $V_n$	%
Empty Space			
Empty space volume	V <sub>e</sub>	Volume of the empty space	mm <sup>3</sup>

Table 1 Walnut morphological traits measured by the workflow

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of walnut morphologicaltraits

Morphological trait	Unit	$Mean \pm SD^a$	Range
Nut			
Nut length	mm	$38.39 \pm 2.18$	28.57-51.43
Nut face diameter	mm	$32.27 \pm 1.70$	25.99-40.75
Nut profile diam- eter	mm	$33.29 \pm 1.70$	27.06–42.84
Nut volume	mm <sup>3</sup>	$19,400.02 \pm 2669.03$	10,382.05-42,813.08
Nut shape VA3D	-	$1.47 \pm 0.08$	1.24-1.69
Nut feret shape 3D	-	$1.25\pm0.05$	1.12-1.48
Nut surface area	$\rm mm^2$	$4019.53 \pm 401.91$	2622.59–7093.53
Nut sphericity	-	$0.88 \pm 0.02$	0.84-0.93
Shell			
Shell volume	mm <sup>3</sup>	$4076.78 \pm 595.05$	2390.66-9051.88
Shell thickness	mm	$1.03 \pm 0.12$	0.73-1.49
Shell rugosity	-	$1.14 \pm 0.02$	1.07-1.19
Kernel			
Kernel volume	mm <sup>3</sup>	$5723.89 \pm 1039.03$	3408.85-9548.93
Kernel filling ratio	%	$30.02 \pm 3.55$	20.66-37.42
Empty space			
Empty space volume	mm <sup>3</sup>	9599.35±1719.56	4536.51–24,212.21

<sup>a</sup> SD is the abbreviation for standard deviation

minimum and maximum) are given in Table 2. The collection exhibits high phenotypic variation in morphology-related traits, particularly for Nut Volume which ranged from approx. 10,000 for 'UK 56–12' to more

than 42,000 mm<sup>3</sup> for 'Carmelo' (Additional file 3). We found between the minimum and the maximum a factor of 1.8 for Nut Length, 1.6 for Nut Face and Nut Profile Diameters, and 2.0 for Shell Thickness. Globally, the Kernel Filling Ratio is low, ranging from approx. 21 to 37%, and the Nut Sphericity has a low variation, from 0.84 to 0.93.

Using Pearson correlation coefficient, we found unsurprisingly significant high positive correlations (p value 0.001) between all the morphometric traits: Nut Face/ Profile Diameters, Nut Length, Nut Surface Area, Nut/ Shell/Kernel/Empty Space Volumes (Fig. 4). We can observe that Nut Length is positively correlated with Nut Face and Profile Diameters (0.67 and 0.64, respectively), meaning that the longer the walnut is, the larger the diameter is. Then, Nut Volume is unsurprisingly positively correlated with all three previous traits (Nut Length 0.80, Nut Face Diameter 0.91 and Nut Profile Diameter 0.94), since the variation of the volume of an object depends on those three dimensions. Nut Surface Area is also positively correlated with all volumes-related traits (from 0.85 to 0.99). We also observed significant moderate positive correlations (p value 0.001) between all those morphometric traits (except Kernel Volume), and Shell Rugosity and Nut Shape VA3D (from 0.30 to 0.50), indicating that the bigger the fruit is, the rougher the shell is. Those morphometric traits are significantly negatively correlated (p value 0.001) with Nut Sphericity and Kernel Filling Ratio. This means that a big fruit is less spherical and less filled by the kernel. Finally, Nut



Sphericity is perfectly negatively correlated (p value 0.001) with Shell Rugosity and Nut Shape VA3D showing that the closer the fruit gets to a spherical shape, the smoother the shell is.

# The X-ray CT method for the selection of superior genotypes

In French walnut industry, both producers and consumers have particular expectations. For example, they would prefer large round-shaped walnuts, easy to crack and having a high kernel filling ratio. A Principal Component Analysis using the dataset obtained permitted us to select interesting genotypes for the previous important traits (Fig. 5).

The first two dimensions of the PCA explain 75.5% of the total variance (Fig. 5b). The dimension 1 corresponds to the morphometric traits (Nut Length, Nut Face Diameter, Nut Profile Diameter), the volumes (Nut Volume, Shell Volume, Kernel Volume, Empty Space

Volume) and the Nut Surface Area (Fig. 5a and d). The dimension 2 is linked to the Nut Shape VA3D, the Nut Sphericity and the Shell Rugosity (Fig. 5a, b). Unfortunately, the Kernel Filling Ratio and the Shell Thickness are both traits badly represented by the PCA (Fig. 5). By visualizing the scatterplot of the individuals, it is now easy to select superior genotypes for most of the traits (Fig. 5c). For example, 'Carmelo' (131) and 'Germisara' (135) are the two accessions giving the biggest walnuts, and 'Milotaï n°10' (113) and 'Lozeronne n°1' (7) are the two accessions giving the more round-shaped walnuts.

Since the Shell Thickness and the Kernel Filling Ratio are not well represented by the PCA, we also looked at the ten superior genotypes for both traits (Table 3).

'Lozeronne n°1', previously identified as highly round-shaped, gives walnuts with a thin shell, more easily cracked, but, this accession is also one of those that gives the smallest walnuts. The two Iranian accessions 'IR 13-1' and 'IR 100-2' are among those having



the highest kernel/nut ratio. In our panel, although we have a large diversity, we do not find the optimal accession for all the traits, but we can identify the best for each trait with this technique.

# Discussion

Primarily developed for medical purposes, X-ray CT is now widely applied in food science, for instance to track the microstructural evolution of dairy products or to quantify salt concentrations in pork meat [60]. An overview of applications related to various food products showed the use of X-ray CT in chestnut for post-harvest assessment of internal decay and in pecan nuts

for components screening [61–63]. We showed for the first time that X-ray CT is a method of choice also for walnut germplasm analysis of morphological traits. We obtained quantitative data of high accuracy on 14 traits including volumes and shell thickness which are classically obtained by cracking the nuts. The obtained dataset includes information that is crucial for the INRAE walnut germplasm collection, allowing a precise and relevant characterization of the nuts of each accession. It will help to select superior genitors for a breeding program, so that we can hope to combine many favourable traits in a new variety.

Table 3 List of superior genotypes considering the shell thickness and the kernel filling ratio

Superior genotype	$Mean \pm SD^a$	
Shell thickness (mm)		
Lozeronne n°1	0.7316±0.1987	
Izvor 10	$0.8113 \pm 0.1956$	
H 110–34	$0.8293 \pm 0.1845$	
Marchetti	$0.8437 \pm 0.1855$	
H 113–21	$0.8527 \pm 0.0995$	
Pourpre Hollande	$0.8555 \pm 0.1752$	
Sexton	$0.8705 \pm 0.0270$	
AS 1	$0.8833 \pm 0.1520$	
S 34 B Pyrrus	$0.8859 \pm 0.1448$	
H 131–08	$0.8865 \pm 0.1010$	
Kernel filling ratio (%)		
IR 13–1	$37.42 \pm 3.10$	
H 102–15	$35.80 \pm 2.77$	
IR 100–2	$35.61 \pm 3.20$	
UK 224–6	$35.29 \pm 5.53$	
S 4 B Thétis	$35.09 \pm 3.59$	
Lozeronne n°1	$35.07 \pm 3.03$	
Wepster W2	$34.92 \pm 3.19$	
Ferjean	$34.69 \pm 3.04$	
Grappes Suisse	$34.51 \pm 2.55$	
Cheinovo	$34.37 \pm 4.76$	

<sup>a</sup> SD is the abbreviation for standard deviation

This method is suitable for all types of walnuts, regardless of size and shape. However, the walnuts analysed should not have shell damaged, as, in this case, it is difficult to extract the walnut features with the algorithm developed in our study. The observations show that the shell and the empty space inside those walnuts were incorrectly segmented. The measurements of such walnuts were totally excluded from the results. For future work, it is recommended to discard the damaged walnuts during the sample preparation step, before performing CT scanning in order to avoid any inaccurate calculations. One beneficial direction could be increasing the robustness of the pipeline by designing an artificial intelligence-based task for automatic detection of the walnuts with notably broken and/or damaged shells, and eliminating them before morphological traits extraction and quantification step.

We demonstrate that this method presents numerous advantages compared to classical morphological evaluation, mainly the accuracy of measurement and access to several measures without cracking. For all these reasons, the development of this technology for research scale but also for the industry could be very useful in the future. However, the cost of this technology can constitute a limitation of its use but this method will save time for any lab or industry operator willing to use X-ray CT on walnuts and can be easily transferable to other nuts species. For instance, with the addition of deep learning methods, we can imagine such an application for food security and commercial frauds purposes, in place of molecular biology authentication.

For nuts, it is economically important to know from which cultivar they come from. For French walnut industry, the fruits of the most produced cultivar 'Franquette', old cultivar representing 70% of the orchard surfaces, can be distinguished from those of 'Fernor', a cultivar released in 1995 and sold at a higher price. Based on our results from 50 walnuts, the value of the nut Feret Shape for 'Franquette' is between 1.380 and 1.500 whereas the value for 'Fernor' is between 1.210 and 1.304, considering the standard deviation. The Feret shape is clearly a powerful descriptor to discriminate two cultivars and establish genetic origin, since DNA isolation is often a difficult task on nut materials due to the high lipid content of kernels [64].

# Conclusions

We presented a method for better resolution phenotyping of walnuts based on X-ray CT compared to classical measurement methods. The data will be used for INRAE walnut germplasm management, but also for GWAS purposes and for selecting superior genotypes in a future breeding program. This method could be easily adapted for any nut species and potentially moved towards the identification of the first steps of infection by pathogens.

## **Supplementary information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13007-020-00657-7.

Additional file 1. List of the 161 accessions studied.

Additional file 2. Video of 3D reconstruction and individualization steps of a walnut.

Additional file 3. Complete dataset.

#### Abbreviations

3D: Three-dimensional space; ANR: Agence Nationale de la Recherche; CT: Computed tomography; GEVES: Groupe d'étude et de contrôle des variétés et des semences; GWAS: Genome-wide association study; INRAE: Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement; IPGRI: International Plant Genetic Research Institute; kV: Kilovolt; PCA: Principal Component Analysis; µA: Microamper.

#### Acknowledgements

First, we would like to thank the late Eric Germain, former head of the breeding program at the INRAE of Bordeaux from 1977 to 2007. His remarkable work, then continued by Francis Delort, has given us the opportunity to study a rich set of plant material. We thank the Fruit Tree Experimental Unit of the INRAE in Toulenne and the *Prunus/Juglans* Genetic Resources Center for the maintenance of the collection and for helping us to collect the samples. We acknowledge the GEVES for X-ray CT analyses. The measurements were performed on a phenotyping platform, PHENOTIC, dedicated to instrumentation and imagery for seeds, seedlings and plants in Angers, France (https:// www6.inrae.fr/phenotic/; https://doi.org/10.15454/U2BWFJ). Then, we thank Aurélie Charrier from GEVES for her comprehensive reading of the manuscript. Finally the CTIFL, holder of the project "INNOV'noyer", in partnership with the INRAE of Bordeaux, want to thank the "Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine", and "Cifre" convention of "ANRT" (Agence Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie).

#### Authors' contributions

LL performed the X-ray CT analyses. SH developed the workflow. AB performed the phenotypic statistical analyses. ED, FL and AB conceived and coordinated the study. AB and SH wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work has been mainly funded by the CTIFL (Centre Technique Interprofessionnel des Fruits et Légumes). It has been also funded by the "Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine" in the project "INNOV'noyer", coordinated by the CTIFL, and in partnership with the INRAE of Bordeaux, and funded by the "Cifre" convention number 2016/1558 of ANRT (Agence Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie).

## Availability of data and materials

The dataset generated during the current study is available in the Additional file 3.

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

not applicable.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, INRAE, Biologie du Fruit et Pathologie, UMR 1332, 33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France. <sup>2</sup> CTIFL, centre opérationnel de Lanxade, 24130 Prigonrieux, France. <sup>3</sup> GEVES, 49070 Beaucouzé, France.

# Received: 21 April 2020 Accepted: 17 August 2020 Published online: 26 August 2020

#### References

- Aradhya MK, Potter D, Simon CJ. Cladistic biogeography of Juglans (Juglandaceae) based on chloroplast DNA intergenic spacer sequences. In: Motley TJ, Zerega N, Cross H, editors. Darwins Harvest New Approaches Origins Evolution Conservation Crops. New York: Columbia University Press; 2006. p. 143–170.
- Bernard A, Lheureux F, Dirlewanger E. Walnut: past and future of genetic improvement. Tree Genet Genomes. 2018;14:1.
- Rahaman MM, Chen D, Gillani Z, Klukas C, Chen M. Advanced phenotyping and phenotype data analysis for the study of plant growth and development. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:619.
- Fiorani F, Schurr U. Future scenarios for plant phenotyping. Ann Rev Plant Biol. 2013;64:267–91.
- Ghimiray M, Vernooy R. The importance and challenges of crop germplasm interdependence: the case of Bhutan. Food Sec. 2017;9:301–10.
- Smith JSC, Smith OS. The description and assessment of distance between inbred lines of maize. 2: the utility of morphological—biochemical—and genetic descriptors and a scheme for the testing of distinctiveness between inbred lines. Maydica. 1989;34:151–61.
- Solar A, Stampar F. Characterisation of selected hazelnut cultivars: phenology, growing and yielding capacity, market quality and nutraceutical value. J Sci Food Agric. 2011;91:1205–12.
- Hansche PE, Beres V, Forde HI. Estimates of quantitative genetic properties of walnut and their implications for cultivar improvement. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1972;97:279–85.

- Sholokhov LV. Quality characters of fruit in walnut and correlation between them. Tr Novocherkas Inzha Melior Inta. 1974;13:106–12.
- Komanich IG. Correlations between walnut fruit characters in a seed population. Bull Acad Sci RSS Mold. 1980;2:27–30.
- Sen SM. Correlations between shell thickness, shell cracking resistance, shell seal and shell upright cracking resistance and some other fruit quality characters in walnut (*Juglans regia* L.). Doga Bilim Derg D2 Tarm Ve Orman. 1985;9:10–24.
- Sharma OC. Variability in seedlings trees of Persian walnut in Solan area of Himachal Pardesh. PhD. India: Solan University of Horticulture and Forestry; 1996.
- 13. Akça Y, Sen SM. The relationship between dichogamy and yield-nut characteristics in *Juglans regia* L. Acta Hortic. 1997;442:215–6.
- Eskandari S, Hassani D, Abdi A. Investigation on genetic diversity of Persian walnut and evaluation of promising genotypes. Acta Hortic. 2005;705:159–66.
- Khadivi-Khub A, Ebrahimi A, Sheibani F, Esmaeili A. Phenological and pomological characterization of Persian walnut to select promising trees. Euphytica. 2015;205:557–67.
- Amiri R, Vahdati K, Mohsenipoor S, Mozaffari MR, Leslie C. Correlations between some horticultural traits in walnut. HortScience. 2010;45:1690–4.
- Abedi B, Parvaneh T. Study of correlations between horticultural traits and variables affecting kernel percentage of walnut (*Juglans regia* L.). J Nuts. 2016;7:35–44.
- IPGRI. Descriptors for Walnut (Juglans spp.). Rome: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute; 1994.
- Ghasemi M, Arzani K, Hassani D. Evaluation and identification of walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) genotypes in Markazi province of Iran. Crop Breed J. 2012;2:119–24.
- Ebrahimi A, Khadivi-Khub A, Nosrati Z, Karimi R. Identification of superior walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) genotypes with late leafing and high kernel quality in Iran. Sci Hortic. 2015;193:195–201.
- Arzani K, Mansouri-Ardakan H, Vezvaei A, Roozban MR. Morphological variation among Persian walnut (*Juglans regia*) genotypes from central Iran. N Z J Crop Hortic Sci. 2008;36:159–68.
- 22. Ahandani EA, Ramandi HD, Sarmad J, et al. Evaluation of morphological diversity among some Persian walnut accessions (*Juglans regia* L) in Guilan, northern Iran. Int J Plant Biol Res. 2014;2:1015–22.
- Mahmoodi R, Dadpour MR, Hassani D, et al. Development of a core collection in Iranian walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) germplasm using the phenotypic diversity. Sci Hortic. 2019;249:439–48.
- Bayazit S. Determination of relationships among kernel percentage and yield characteristics in some Turkish walnut genotypes by correlation and path analysis. J Anim Plant Sci. 2012;22:513–7.
- Aslantaş R. Identification of superior walnut (*Juglans regia*) genotypes in north-eastern Anatolia. Turkey N Z J CropHortic Sci. 2006;34:231–7.
- Asma BM. Pomological and phenological characterization of promising walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) genotypes from Malatya, Turkey. Acta Sci Pol Horturum Cultus. 2012;11:169–78.
- 27. Fikret Balta M, Dogan A, Kazankaya A, et al. Pomological definition of native walnuts (*Juglans regia* L.) grown in Central Bitlis. J Biol Sci. 2007;7:442–4.
- Karadag H, Akça Y. Phenological and pomological properties of promising walnut (*Juglans regia* L) genotypes from selected native population in Amasya Province. Afr J Biotechnol. 2011;10:16763–8.
- Zeneli G, Kola H, Dida M. Phenotypic variation in native walnut populations of Northern Albania. Sci Hortic. 2005;105:91–100.
- Miletic R, Zikic M, Mitic N, Nikolic R. Biological and pomological characteristics of superior walnut selections. Genetika. 2003;35:123–30.
- Gandev S, Dzhuvinov V. Evaluation of some walnut cultivars under the climatic conditions of South Bulgaria. Agroznanje. 2015;15:5–16.
- Cosmulescu S, Botu M. Walnut biodiversity in South-Western Romaniaresource for perspective cultivars. Pak J Bot. 2012;44:307–11.
- Poggetti L, Ermacora P, Cipriani G, et al. Morphological and carpological variability of walnut germplasm (*Juglans regia* L.) collected in North-Eastern Italy and selection of superior genotypes. Sci Hortic. 2017;225:615–9.
- Li L, Zhang Q, Huang D. A review of imaging techniques for plant phenotyping. Sensors. 2014;14:20078–11111.

- Golzarian MR, Frick RA, Rajendran K, et al. Accurate inference of shoot biomass from high-throughput images of cereal plants. Plant Methods. 2011;7:1–11.
- Munns R, James RA, Sirault XR, Furbank RT, Jones HG. New phenotyping methods for screening wheat and barley for beneficial responses to water deficit. J Exp Bot. 2010;61:3499–507.
- Jones HG, Serraj R, Loveys BR, et al. Thermal infrared imaging of crop canopies for the remote diagnosis and quantification of plant responses to water stress in the field. Funct Plant Biol. 2009;36:978–89.
- Shibayama M, Sakamoto T, Takada E, et al. Estimating paddy rice leaf area index with fixed point continuous observation of near infrared reflectance using a calibrated digital camera. Plant Product Sci. 2011;14:30–46.
- Huang J, Liao H, Zhu Y, et al. Hyperspectral detection of rice damaged by rice leaf folder (*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*). Comput Electr Agric. 2012;82:100–7.
- Biskup B, Scharr H, Schurr U, Rascher U. A stereo imaging system for measuring structural parameters of plant canopies. Plant Cell Environ. 2007;30:1299–308.
- Rascher U, Blossfeld S, Fiorani F, et al. Non-Invasive approaches for phenotyping of enhanced performance traits in bean. Funct Plant Biol. 2011;38:968–83.
- Mir AA, Reynolds M, Pinto F, Khan MA, Bhat MA. High-throughput phenotyping for crop improvement in the genomics era. Plant Sci. 2019;282:60–72.
- Kotwaliwale N, Singh K, Kalne A, et al. X-ray imaging methods for internal quality evaluation of agricultural produce. J Food Sci Technol. 2014;51:1–15.
- Tollner EW, Hung YC, Upchurch BL, Prussia SE. Relating X-ray absorption to density and water content in apples. Trans ASAE. 1992;35:1921–8.
- Lammertyn J, Jancsok P, Dresselaers T, et al. Analysis of the time course of core breakdown in 'Conference' pears by means of MRI and X-ray CT. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2003;29:19–28.
- Kim S, Schatzki T. Detection of pinholes in almonds through X-ray imaging. Trans ASAE. 2001;44:997–1003.
- Khosa I, Pasero E. Feature extraction in X-ray images for hazelnuts classification. 2014 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), Beijing, 2014, pp. 2354–2360. https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2014.68896 61.
- Harrison RD, Gardner WA, Tollner WE, Kinard DJ. X-ray computed tomography studies of the burrowing behaviour of fourth-instar pecan weevil (*Coleoptera: Curculionidae*). J Econ Entomol. 1993;86:1714–9.
- Li M, Klein LL, Duncan KE, et al. Characterizing 3D inflorescence architecture in grapevine using X-ray imaging and advanced morphometrics: implications for understanding cluster density. J Exp Bot. 2019;70:6261–76.

- Austin L, Kumar R, Kousar B, Lampadaris CH, Lucas MM. Tomography of a walnut. Tech Rep. 2018. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25130.52161.
- Der Sarkissian H, Lucka F, van Eijnatten M, et al. A cone-beam X-ray computed tomography data collection designed for machine learning. Sci Data. 2019;6:215.
- Bernard A, Barreneche T, Lheureux F, Dirlewanger E. Analysis of genetic diversity and structure in a worldwide walnut (Juglans regia L) germplasm using SSR markers. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(11):e0208021.
- Beucher S, Meyer F. The morphological approach to segmentation: the watershed transformation. In: Dougherty ER, editor. Mathematical morphology in image processing. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1993. p. 433–482.
- Mathworks T. MATLAB [Internet]. 2013. https://www.mathworks.com/ products/matlab.html. Accessed 20 Jan 2020.
- Russ JC, Neal FB. The Image Processing Handbook. Raleigh: Seventh Edition. CRC Press; 2017.
- R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2008. https:// www.R-project.org/. Accessed 10 Apr 2020.
- Wickham H. Tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidyverse'. R package version 1.2.1. 2017. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyverse. Accessed 10 Apr 2020.
- Wei T, Simko VR. Package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version 0.84). 2017. https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot. Accessed 10 Apr 2020.
- 59. Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. J Stat Softw. 2008;25:1–18.
- Vidhya M, Varadharaju N, John Kennedy Z, Amirtham D, Manohar JD. Applications of X-ray computed tomography in food processing. J Food Process Technol. 2017;8:673.
- Schoeman L, Williams P, du Plessis A, Manley M. X-ray micro-computed tomography (μCT) for non-destructive characterisation of food. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2016;47:10–24.
- Donis-González IR, Guyer DE, Fulbright DW, Pease A. Postharvest noninvasive assessment of fresh chestnut (*Castanea* spp.) internal decay using computer tomography images. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2014;94:14–25.
- Kotwaliwale N, Weckler PR, Brusewitz GH. X-ray attenuation coefficients using polychromatic X-ray imaging of pecan components. Biosyst Eng. 2006;94:199–206.
- Dang PM, Chen CY. Modified method for combined DNA and RNA isolation from peanut and other oil seeds. Mol Biol Rep. 2013;40:1563–8.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

