

Efficient expression vectors and host strain for the production of recombinant proteins by Yarrowia lipolytica in process conditions

Young-Kyoung Park, Marie Vandermies, Paul Soudier, Samuel Telek, Stéphane Thomas, Jean-Marc Nicaud, Patrick Fickers

▶ To cite this version:

Young-Kyoung Park, Marie Vandermies, Paul Soudier, Samuel Telek, Stéphane Thomas, et al.. Efficient expression vectors and host strain for the production of recombinant proteins by Yarrowia lipolytica in process conditions. Microbial Cell Factories, 2019, 18 (1), 10.1186/s12934-019-1218-6. hal-02942667

HAL Id: hal-02942667 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02942667v1

Submitted on 19 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Efficient expression vectors and host strain for the production of recombinant proteins by *Yarrowia lipolytica* in process conditions

Young-Kyoung Park^{1†}, Marie Vandermies^{2†}, Paul Soudier¹, Samuel Telek², Stéphane Thomas¹, Jean-Marc Nicaud^{1,3*} and Patrick Fickers²

Abstract

Background: The oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is increasingly used as an alternative cell factory for the production of recombinant proteins. Recently, regulated promoters from genes *EYK1* and *EYD1*, encoding an erythrulose kinase and an erythritol dehydrogenase, respectively, have been identified and characterized in this yeast. Hybrid promoters up-regulated by polyols such as erythritol and erythrulose have been developed based on tandem copies of upstream activating sequences from *EYK1* (UAS1_{EYK1}) and *XPR2* (encoding extracellular protease, UAS1_{XPR2}) promoters.

Results: The strength of native (*pEYD1*) and engineered promoters (*pEYK1-3AB* and *pHU8EYK*) was compared using the extracellular lipase CalB from *Candida antarctica* as a model protein and a novel dedicated host strain. This latter is engineered in polyol metabolism and allows targeted chromosomal integration. In process conditions, engineered promoters *pEYK1-3AB* and *pHU8EYK* yielded 2.8 and 2.5-fold higher protein productivity, respectively, as compared to the reference *pTEF* promoter. We also demonstrated the possibility of multicopy integration in the newly developed host strain. In batch bioreactor, the CalB multi-copy strain RIY406 led to a 1.6 fold increased lipase productivity (45,125 U mL⁻¹) within 24 h as compared to the mono-copy strain.

Conclusions: The expression system described herein appears promising for recombinant extracellular protein production in *Y. lipolytica*.

Keywords: Promoter, Regulation, Induction, Synthetic promoter, Erythritol, Protein secretion, Upstream activating sequence, *Yarrowia lipolytica*, CalB

Background

The oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is increasingly used as an alternative to model yeasts such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* or *Komagataella phaffii* (*Pichia pastoris*). for the production of recombinant proteins [1, 2]. More than one hundred heterologous proteins have already been produced at high yield, highlighting its potential utilization as a cell factory. *Y. lipolytica* has





© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: jean-marc.nicaud@inra.fr

[†]Young-Kyoung Park and Marie Vandermies contributed equally to this work

³ Micalis Institute, UMR1319, Team BIMLip: Integrative Metabolism of Microbial Lipids, INRA-AgroParisTech, Domaine de Vilvert,

⁷⁸³⁵² Jouy-en-Josas, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

hybrid promoters [13]. These are based on $UAS1_{XPR2}$ repeats fused upstream of the minimal *LEU2* promoter (*mLEU2*) [14, 15]. The number of $UAS1_{XPR2}$ repeats was found to modulate the strength of the promoter, allowing thus a fine-tuning of gene expression. A similar strategy was also applied to design constitutive promoters derived from the *TEF1* gene encoding the translation elongation factor 1 α [16]. Regulated promoters derived from the *LIP2* and *POX2* genes encoding an extracellular lipase and an acyl-CoA oxidase, respectively, have been also developed for recombinant gene expression [17]. Although these regulated promoters delivered strong gene expression, their utilization at industrial scale is impeded by the hydrophobic nature of their inducers (i.e. triglycerides and fatty acids).

Recently, we have characterized two genes, namely EYD1 and EYK1, involved in erythritol catabolic pathway [6, 18]. Gene EYD1 was found to encode an erythritol dehydrogenase able to convert erythritol to erythrulose, while gene EYK1 was suggested to encode an erythrulose kinase. Induction of EYK1 native promoter (pEYK300) is significantly increased, in a dose-dependent manner, in the presence of erythritol or erythrulose, and drastically reduced in the presence of glycerol and glucose. Moreover, we demonstrated that erythrulose is a better inducer than erythritol [19]. The dissection of the EYK1 promoter highlighted the existence of two UAS, namely UAS1_{EYK1} and UAS2_{EYK1}. Using a reporter system based on yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and mutated promoter, UAS1_{EYK1} was identified as essential for promoter induction by both erythritol and erythrulose. By contrast, UAS2_{EYK1} was found involved in repression by glucose. Synthetic promoters were constructed by addition of multiple copies of UAS1_{EYK1} or UAS1_{XPR2} upstream of the native *pEYK300* promoter. These promoters yielded, respectively, 3.2 and 15.6-fold higher expression levels of YFP-encoding gene than those obtained with wild-type *pEYK300* promoter [19]. Carly et al. [6, 18] found that the disruption of the EYK1 gene impairs the ability of yeast cells to fully metabolize erythritol, since an $eyk1\Delta$ mutant could only convert erythritol into erythrulose. Therefore, with such a mutant, erythritol and/or erythrulose could be used as a free inducer as demonstrated previously [19]. Promoter engineering efforts were pursued with a reporter system based on RedStar fluorescent protein [20]. It concerned the influence of $UAS1_{EYK1}$ repeats and core element (EYK1, TEF) on promoter strength in wild type and $eyk1\Delta$ mutant.

Based on previous results, three promoters, namely pEYK1-3AB which comprises three repeats of UAS1_{EYK1}, pHU8EYK which comprises eight copies UAS1_{XPR2} from *XPR2* gene and the native promoter of gene *EYD1* seemed to be promising to drive the production of

recombinant proteins [19, 20]. Herein, these promoters were challenged for the production in process conditions of a protein of industrial interest, the lipase CalB from *Candida antarctica*. For that purpose, the pro-CalB nucleic acid sequence was codon-optimized for *Y. lipolytica*, fused with the secretion signal from the *LIP2* gene, and cloned under the control of promoters *pEYD1*, *pEYK1-3AB*, *pHU8EYK*, and of strong constitutive promoter *pTEF* used for comparison. The different CalB expression cassettes were then introduced in a novel host strain specifically developed for erythritol/erythrulose-inducible expression systems. For the different constructed strains, CalB gene expression and extracellular activity were monitored in process conditions, during cultures in bioreactor.

Methods

Media and culture conditions

Escherichia coli strains were grown at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with kanamycin sulfate (50 μ g mL⁻¹). *Y. lipolytica* strains were grown at 28 °C in YPD medium or in YNB medium (1.7 g L^{-1} yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, YNBww (BD Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.8 supplemented with carbon and nitrogen sources, as described in Barth and Gaillardin [21]. For YNBD medium, glucose 10 g L^{-1} and NH₄Cl 5 g L^{-1} were added to YNB medium. For YNBE medium, erythritol 10 g L^{-1} and $NH_4Cl 5$ g L^{-1} were added to YNB medium. For YNBGE medium, glycerol 10 g L^{-1} , erythritol 10 g L^{-1} , yeast extract 5 g L^{-1} (Yeast extract UF, BD Difco), soytone 5 g L^{-1} (Select soytone, BD Difco) were added to YNB medium. YNBG₂E medium is the same as YNBGE except it contained glycerol 20 g L^{-1} . Medium contained lysine (0.08%, w/v) and/or uracil (0.01%, w/v) to meet auxotrophic requirement. Hygromycin (200 μ g mL⁻¹) was added for transformant selection. Solid media contained agar 1.5 % (w/v). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) contained NaCl 8 g L^{-1} , KCl 0.2 g L^{-1} , Na_2HPO_4 1.44 g L⁻¹ and KH₂PO4 0.24 g L⁻¹.

Cultures in bioreactor were inoculated at an initial optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5 with PBS washed cells from a 16-h preculture in YPD medium. Cultures in 2Mag bioREACTOR (Munich, Germany) were performed in triplicate for 48 h in 10 mL of YNBGE medium with agitation set at 800 rpm. Cultures in DASGIP bioreactor (DASbox Mini Bioreactors SR0250ODLS, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) were performed in duplicate for 48 h in 150 mL of YNBG₂E medium supplemented with 500 µL L⁻¹ antifoam (Tego KS911, Evonik, Essen, Germany). Airflow was set at 1 vvm, agitation was ranged from 800 to 950 rpm to ensure a dissolved oxygen level above 20% and pH was automatically adjusted to 6.8

Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain (plasmid)	Genotype	References
E. coli		
JME547 (JMP547)	pUB4-Cre-Hyg	[23]
JME1046 (JMP1046)	JMP62-URA3ex-pTEF	[34]
JME3267 (JMP3267)	PUT lys5::URA3ex	This work
JME3739 (JMP3739)	JMP62- <i>URA3ex-pTEF</i> -CalB	This work
RIE124 (RIP124)	PUT eyk1::URA3ex	[33]
JME4001 (JMP4001)	JMP62-URA3ex-pHU8EYK-YFP	Unpublished
JME4123 (JMP4123)	pUC57 <i>-pEYK1-3AB</i>	[19]
JME4230 (JMP4230)	JMP62-URA3ex-pHU8EYK	This work
JME4243 (JMP4243)	JMP62-URA3ex-pHU8EYK CalB	This work
RIE132 (RIP132)	pGEMT-easy-Cre- <i>EYK1</i>	[33]
JME4266 (JMP4266)	JMP62-URA3ex-pEYK1-3AB	This work
JME4365 (JMP4365)	JMP62-URA3ex-pEYK1-3AB-CalB	This work
JME4579 (JMP4579)	JMP62-LYS5ex-pEYK1-3AB-CalB	This work
JME4590 (JMP4590)	JMP62-URA3ex-pEYD1-CalB	This work
RIE279 (RIP279)	JMP62-LYS5ex	This work
Y. lipolytica		
JMY1212	МАТА ura3-302 leu2-270-LEU2-Zeta, xpr2-322, lip2Δ, lip7Δ, lip8Δ	[30]
JMY5207	МАТА ura3-302 leu2-270-LEU2-Zeta, xpr2-322, lip2Δ, lip7Δ, lip8Δ, lys5::URA3ex	This work
JMY7121	МАТА ura3-302 leu2-270-LEU2-Zeta, xpr2-322, lip2Δ, lip7Δ, lip8Δ, lys5Δ	This work
JMY7123	МАТА ura3-302 leu2-270-LEU2-Zeta, xpr2-322, lip2Δ, lip7Δ, lip8Δ, lys5Δ, eyk1::URA3ex	This work
JMY7126	МАТА ura3-302 leu2-270-LEU2-Zeta, xpr2-322, lip2Δ, lip7Δ, lip8Δ, lys5Δ, eyk1Δ	This work
JMY7536	JMY7126 + <i>pTEF</i> -CalB- <i>URA3ex</i>	This work
JMY7539	JMY7126 + <i>pEYK1-3AB-</i> CalB- <i>URA3ex</i>	This work
JMY7544	JMY7126+ <i>pHU8EYK</i> -CalB- <i>URA3ex</i>	This work
JMY7548	JMY7126 + <i>pEYD1</i> -CalB-URA3ex	This work
RIY368	JMY7539 + LYS5ex	This work
RIY394	JMY7536 + LYS5ex	This work
RIY406	JMY7126 + <i>pEYK1-3AB-</i> CalB- <i>URA3ex</i> + <i>pEYK1-3AB-</i> CalB- <i>LYS5ex</i>	This work

by addition of H_3PO_4 8 M or NaOH 12.5 M. Culture in Duetz deepwell plate (24-well plate with pyramidal bottom, Kühner AG, Birsfelden, Switzerland) were performed in 2 mL of YNBG₂E medium for 48 h; they were inoculated with of 200 µL of a 24 h precultures carried out in 400 µL YPD in 48-well microplates (multiwell cell culture plates, flat bottom, TC-treated, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) for 24 h.

Strains and plasmids construction

Standard molecular genetic techniques were used in this study [22]. Restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs (MA, USA). PCR amplifications were performed in an Applied Biosystems 2720 thermal cycler with GoTaq DNA polymerases (Promega, WI, USA) or Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). PCR fragments were purified with a QIAgen Purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and plasmids DNA were isolated with a QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen). All strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.

For the construction of JMY7126 (Fig. 1), LYS5 and EYK1 were disrupted using PUT cassettes according to Fickers et al. [23]. For *LYS5* disruption, the promoter (P) and terminator (T) regions of the gene were amplified by PCR with primer pairs LYS5-P1/LYS5-P2 and LYS5-T1/ LYS5-T2, respectively. Primers LYS5-P1 and LYS5-T2, contained sequence of NotI restriction site while primers LYS5-P2 and LYS5-T1 contained the sequence of the I-Scel restriction (Table 2). The corresponding amplicons were purified and used as a template for the second PCR step resulting PT fragment, that was subsequently cloned to pCR4Blunt-TOPO plasmid after purification (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Finally, URA3ex marker from JMP1046 was introduced at the I-SceI site of this plasmid, yielding plasmid JMP3267. The LYS5 PUT cassette obtained by NotI digestion of JMP3267 was used to transform Y. *lipolytica* strain JMY1212 to yield JMY5207 (Fig. 1). The



disruption of *LYS5* was verified by auxotrophy check on YNBD and YNBD-lysine. In that strain, *URA3ex* marker was rescued by transient expression of Cre recombinase using the replicative plasmid JMP547 as described previously [23]. In the resulting strain JMY7121, *EYK1* was disrupted using a PUT cassette obtained from plasmid RIE124 by *Not*I digestion. The disruption of *EYK1* was verified by colony PCR with primers pair preTEYK Fw/ postPEYK Rv and growth on YNBD-lysine and YNBElysine. This yielded to strain JMY7123 that was further transformed with plasmid RIE132 in order to excise the *URA3ex* marker. This yielded to the final strain JMY7126 (Table 1, Fig. 1). The loss of the replicative plasmid was checked by replica plating on YPD supplemented or not with hygromycin for JME547, or on YNBD and YNBE for RIE132. To restore *LYS5* prototrophy, strains were transformed with the expression cassette of plasmid RIE279 obtained by *Not*I digestion, and gene integration was verified by colony PCR using primer LPR-R and LYS5PR.

The erythritol-inducible plasmids were constructed from JMP1046 by replacing the *pTEF* by inducible promoters (namely *pEYK1-3AB*, *pHU8EYK1*, plasmid JMP4123 and JMP4001, respectively) by digestion with *ClaI* and *Bam*HI and subsequent ligation. Here, *pEYK300A3B* described in Trassaert et al. [19] was renamed *pEYK1-3AB* according to Park et al. [20]. The *Y. lipolytica LIP2 pre-CalB pro-CalB* gene was codon-optimized by Biocatalysts LTD,

Table 2 Primers used in this study

Primers	Sequence (5' to 3')	Restriction site/utilisation
LYS5-P1	ATAAGAAT GCGGCCGC CGACTAAATTTCGACCCAC	Notl, LYS5 disruption
LYS5-P2	CGATTACCCTGTTATCCCTAGCGTAACTCGCTACTAGGCCGCCACC	I-Scel, LYS5 disruption
LYS5-T1	CG TAGGGATAACAGGGTAAT ATAGCGTAACTATAACGGTCCTAAGGTAGCGAA GGCGTTGGTGCTCTCTCGGAAGTAG	I-Scel, LYS5 disruption
LYS5-T2	ATAGTTTA GCGGCCGC AAAAATGTCCGCCATTGAGTGTTG	Notl, LYS5 disruption
LPR-R	GCTAGATAGAGTCGAGAATTACCCTG	LYS5 prototrophy
LYS5PR	TCGGTGCGTGTGAAAGACAC	LYS5 prototrophy
preTEYK Fw	GTGTTTGACATTTTGTTTTGTGTGAGT	Verification of EYK1 disruption
postPEYK Rv	TACACACTCACCAGAACATC	Verification of EYK1 disruption
Clal-pEYD1-Fw	CCCATCGATGGAAACCTTAATAGGAGACTACTTCC	<i>pEYD1</i> cloning
no Avrll-pEYD1-Fw	CCTCGTGTCCGGGCTAGGGCAGAAACAGCTC	pEYD1 cloning, pEYD1 verification
no Avrll-pEYD1-Rev	GAGCTGTTTCTGCCCTAGCCCGGACACGAGG	<i>pEYD1</i> cloning
BamHI-pEYD1-Rev	TGTGTATGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTTTG	<i>pEYD1</i> cloning
pTEF-internal-Fw	TCTGGAATCTACGCTTGTTCA	pTEF verification
EYK300-Fw	GCATCTACTTTTCTCTATACTGTACGTTTCAATCTGGG	pEYK1-3AB, pHU8EYK verification
CalB-prepro-Fw	ATGAAGCTGCTGTCTCTGACC	CalB verification
CalB-internal-Rev1	CCACCTTAGATCGAATAGAAGGG	CalB verification
CalB-Rev	TTAAGGGGTGACAATACCAGAAC	CalB verification
ACT-F	TCCAGGCCGTCCTCCCC	qPCR
ACT-R	GGCCAGCCATATCGAGTCGCA	qPCR
CalB-internal-Fw	TCTCTGCTCCTTCTGTGTGG	qPCR
CalB-internal-Rev2	GTCGAACAGAGGTCCACAGA	qPCR

(Cardiff, UK) and synthesized by Geneart (Regensburg, Germany). The sequence of the optimized synthetic gene (15ACCYPP_1762989_LIP2-CalB-Yl-Opt) is displayed in Additional file 1: Table S1. LIP2pre-CalBpro-CalB gene (CalB) was cloned into the vectors JME1046 (pTEF), JME4266 (pEYK1-3AB) and JME4230 (pHU8EYK) at BamHI/AvrII restriction sites (Fig. 2 and Table 1). To obtain a pEYD1-CalB construct, promoter pEYK1-3AB from plasmid JMP4365 was exchanged by pEYD1 obtained by PCR on genomic DNA of Y. lipolytica using primer ClaI-pEYD1-Fw and BamHI-pEYD1-Rev and subsequent enzyme digestion with ClaI and BamHI. For the construction of plasmid JME4579, the URA3ex marker was exchanged with the LYS5ex marker by I-SceI digestion and ligation. Gene expression cassettes were obtained by NotI digestion of the corresponding plasmid and used to transform Y. lipolytica strains JMY7126 by the lithium acetate method as described previously [24].

Analytical methods

Cell growth monitoring

Cell growth was monitored by optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) . 1 unit of OD_{600} corresponds to 0.29 g of dry cell weight (DCW).

Quantification of CalB gene expression

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed as described previously [17] on 2.2×10^7 cells of strains JMY7536, JMY7539, JMY7544, and JMY7548 after 24 h of culture in 2Mag bioREACTOR. qPCR was performed with primer pairs CalB-internal-Fw/CalB-internal-R2 and ACT-F/ACT-R (Table 2) for CalB and actin genes, respectively. CalB gene expression levels were standardized relative to the expression level of the actin gene [17]. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

Lipase activity

Lipase activity in culture supernatants was determined by monitoring the hydrolysis of para-nitrophenyl butyrate (p-NPB), according to Fickers et al. [25]. p-NPB dissolved in acetonitrile (20% v/v) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM into 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, containing 100 mM NaCl. The resulting solution was sonicated for 2 min on ice. The reaction was initiated by addition of 20 μ L of culture supernatant to 1 mL of p-NPB solution. The release of para-nitrophenol (P-NP) was monitored for 3 min at 405 nm (A₄₀₅), considering the molar extinction coefficient of P-NP (ϵ PNP) equal to 0.0148 μ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. When necessary, supernatant samples were diluted to obtain initial velocities below OD₄₀₅ of 0.3 U min⁻¹. All lipase activity assays





were performed at least in duplicate from two independent cultures. One unit of lipase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme releasing 1 μ mol p-NPB per minute at 25 °C and pH 7.2 (U mL⁻¹). Specific lipase activity was defined as lipase activity per gram of dry cell weight (U gDCW⁻¹). Lipase volumetric production rate was defined as lipase activity per hour of culture (U mL⁻¹ h⁻¹), while lipase specific production rate was defined as unit of lipase activity per gram of DCW and per hour (U gDCW⁻¹ h⁻¹).

Protein electrophoresis

Proteins were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) on a NovexTM 12% Tris–Glycine Mini Gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to Laemmli [26]. Four milliliter of prestained Protein Marker IV (AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) were used as molecular weight standards, and a supernatant sample contained 40 U of lipase in a volume 5μ L.

Carbon source concentration

Polyol concentrations in culture supernatants were determined by HPLC (Agilent 1200 series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Compounds were eluted from an Aminex HPX-87H column (300×7.8 mm, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 65 °C, using a mobile phase consisting of 5 mM H₂SO₄ solution at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Glycerol and erythritol were detected using refractive index and erythrulose was detected using UV absorption at 210 nm. Specific glycerol uptake rate was defined as gram of glycerol per gram of DCW and hour (g gDCW⁻¹ h⁻¹).

Results and discussion

Construction of the host strain JMY7126

for erythritol-inducible based expression system

Yarrowia lipolytica strain JMY1212 was previously developed to target integration of an expression cassette at a zeta docking platform located at the *LEU2* locus [27]. With such a strain, any variability of recombinant gene

expression related to the integration locus could be avoided, which is a prerequisite for this study. Moreover, such a feature leads to precisely genetically characterized recombinant strains, which is of importance when developing an industrial chassis. Strain JMY1212 is also disrupted for gene XPR2 encoding AEP alkaline protease and for LIP2, LIP7 and LIP8 encoding the main extracellular lipases in *Y. lipolytica* [10, 28]. Despite strain JMY1212 has been used successfully for heterologous gene expression [27, 29-31], it suffers from several drawbacks: (i) it possesses only a single auxotrophy based on uracil metabolism (ura3), impairing thus multiple genome editions; (ii) it is able to metabolize erythritol and erythrulose, preventing thus their utilization as free inducer. To adapt the potentialities of JMY1212 to the requirements of a versatile, erythritol/erythrulose-inducible expression system, the strain was further genetically engineered. Firstly, gene LYS5 encoding saccharopine dehydrogenase [32] was disrupted with the URA3ex cassette. The resulting lysine auxotroph strain was named JMY5207 (Fig. 1).

In order to use erythritol as a free inducer, it is requested to dispose of a host strain unable to metabolize this polyol. We demonstrated in a previous study that erythrulose, the first intermediate of the erythritol catabolic pathway [18] is a better inducer than erythritol [19]. Therefore, the second step of JMY1212 strain improvement was to disrupt gene EYK1. Practically, the gene was disrupted in strain JMY7121, an auxothroph derivative of JMY5207 (Fig. 1) using a PUT cassette obtained from RIP124 by NotI digestion. This yielded finally to strain JMY7126, after URA3ex marker excision in strain JMY7123. With such a strain, we obtained a useful system to generate autonomously erythrulose from low-cost erythritol, and allowing the use of these polyols as free inducers. Moreover, in that strain, a Cre-EYK1 replicative vector can be used for transient expression of Cre recombinase [33], which faster delivers transformants without the drawbacks of using Cre-Hyg (hygromycin resistance gene) vector [23]. In summary, JMY7126 contains three auxothrophies (Ura-, Lys-, Eyk-), is compatible with Cre-EYK1 (RIE132) marker rescue, and with the set of erythritol-inducible promoters deriving from pEYK1 and *pEYD1* (Trassaert and Vandermies [19, 20], unpublished observations).

Construction of expression vectors and CalB production strains

In most of recombinant protein production processes, protein synthesis occurs in a growth phase decoupled manner. For that purpose, promoters based on *LIP2* and *POX2* genes, strongly induced by oleic acid, have been developed (*pLIP2* and *pPOX2*) [17, 34]. The main

drawback of these systems is the utilization of waterinsoluble inducers, which is not convenient at large scale due to the lower mixing efficiency of industrial bioreactors. To overcome this problem, we recently developed a set of strong and tightly regulated promoters derived from *EYK1* and *EYD1* genes and induced by hydrophilic substances such as erythritol and erythrulose (Trassaert and Vandermies [19, 20], unpublished observations). Some of these promoters, namely *pEYK1-3AB*, *pHU8EYK*, and *pEYD1*, were selected for this study based on previous experiments (Trassaert and Vandermies [19, 20], unpublished observations) (Fig. 2).

The industrially relevant lipase CalB from C. antarctica was used as a model protein to assess the ability of these promoters to drive protein production in process conditions (i.e. in bioreactor). For that purpose, the CalB gene sequence, together with its pro-region was codon-optimized and fused with the signal peptide of *LIP2* (pre-region). With the resulting construct (pre*LIP2*proCalB-CalB, here after CalB, Additional file 1) different expression vectors were obtained, namely JME3739 (pTEF-CalB), JME4365 (pEYK1-3AB-CalB), JME4243 (pHU8EYK-CalB) and JME4590 (pEYD1-CalB) and JME3739 (pTEF-CalB) used for comparison. They were used to transform strain JMY7126, after NotI digestion and purification of the expression cassette. The resulting mono-copy strains, respectively JMY7536, JMY7539, JMY7544, and JMY7548, harbor a single copy of CalB expression cassette integrated at their zeta-docking platform. As stated above, the docking system prevents variability caused by random genomic integration, allowing here to compare the three selected erythritol/erythrulose-inducible promoters with the constitutive promoter *pTEF* used as a reference.

Comparison of erythritol-inducible promoters CalB gene expression and protein production in function of the erythritol-inducible promoters used

As a first characterization, CalB expression were determined after 24 h (i.e. at the end of the exponential growth phase) for strains JMY7536, JMY7539, JMY7544 and JMY7548 grown in YNBGE medium in 2Mag bioREAC-TOR. The culture medium employed here appears more industrially relevant, as compared to defined media previously used for CalB production [30] and more generally for recombinant protein production [35]. Rather than glucose, glycerol was selected as a main carbon source since it is a cheap by-product of the biodiesel industry that has been demonstrated suitable for recombinant protein production [36–43]. Yeast extract and soytone were added at a low concentration to the culture medium to enhance cell growth and protein production. Soytone, a peptone from soy origin, efficiently replaces casein



tryptone in bioprocesses mandatorily devoid of components of animal origin [44–47]. Moreover, erythritol is a perfectly affordable inducer, especially when it could be obtained in a bioprocess from glycerol with a *Y. lipolytica* metabolically engineered strain [5] and used in combination with a *eyk1* Δ derivatives that are no longer able to consume it [18, 19].

As shown in Fig. 3a, the highest expression were obtained for *pEYK1-3AB* and *pHU8EYK*. Although, the CalB expression level obtained with the two promoters were not significantly different, they were, respectively, 2.5 and 2.7-fold higher than the one obtained with *pTEF* considered as a strong constitutive promoter. By contrast, *pEYD1* led to a similar expression level than the one obtained with *pTEF*.

As a further characterization, biomass and extracellular lipase activity were determined in the same experimental conditions after 48 h of growth, and the specific activities were calculated. As shown in Fig. 3b, strain JMY7539 (pEYK1-3AB) and JMY7544 (pHU8EYK) yielded to the highest lipase specific activities (2041 ± 78 and 1852 ± 487 U mgDCW⁻¹, respectively). For those two strains, the specific enzymatic activities were, respectively, 2.8-fold and 2.5-fold higher than that obtained with strain JMY7536 (pTEF; 733 ± 88 U mgDCW⁻¹). By contrast, specific lipase activity of strain JMY7548 (*pEYD1*) was 1.3-fold lower than that of strain JMY7536. The enzymatic productivities obtained here with strain JMY7539 (*pEYK1-3AB*) and JMY7544 (*pHU8EYK*) were 1.7 and 1.6-fold higher than the lipase productivity obtained with strain JMY1105 (pLIP2-LIP2) in 20-L batch fermentation [48]. The results obtained with strains JMY7539 (pEYK1-3AB) and JMY7544 (pHU8EYK) were, however, somewhat unexpected. Indeed, in previous experiments performed with fluorescent reporter system, pHU4EYK [19] and pHU8EYK (Trassaert and Vandermies, unpublished observations), bearing respectively four and eight copies of $UAS1_{XPR2}$, significantly higher fluorescence level were obtained (4.9 and 9.8 fold, respectively) as compared to that obtained for *pEYK1-3AB*. However, these experiments were performed in microplate cultures that are known to be not representative of process conditions, notably in terms of oxygen transfer. Based on the results obtained for CalB gene expression and lipase specific activity, strain JMY7539 (pEYK1-3AB-CalB) was selected for further characterizations in batch bioreactor.

Culture of strain RIY368 in DASGIP bioreactor

To challenge the *pEYK1-3AB*-based expression system to process conditions, the strain RIY368, a prototroph derivative of strain JMY7539 was grown for 48 h in YNBG₂E medium in DASGIP bioreactor, with pH and pO₂ regulation. Samples were collected over time, and biomass together with lipase activity were determined. The exponential growth phase lasted for 12 h with specific growth rate of 0.29 ± 0.00 h⁻¹ and final biomass of 6.96 ± 0.04 gDCW L⁻¹ (Fig. 4, Table 3). Within the first 24 h, glycerol, the main carbon source, had been entirely consumed, and inducer (i.e. erythritol) assimilated by the cells (Additional file 2: Fig. S1). Lipase activity reached its highest titer (28,024 ± 743 U mL⁻¹) after 24 h of culture



Table 3 Dynamics of CalB production for DASGIPbioreactor cultures of strains RIY368 (mono-copy)and RIY406 (multi-copy)

Parameters	Strains		
	RIY368	RIY406	
Specific cell growth rate (h^{-1})	0.29 ± 0.00	0.27±0.01	
Specific glycerol uptake rate (g gDCW ^{-1} h ^{-1})	0.37 ± 0.06	0.44 ± 0.00	
Maximum lipase activity (U mL ⁻¹)	$28,024 \pm 743$	$45,125 \pm 2144$	
Lipase volumetric production rate $(U mL^{-1} h^{-1})$	1357 ± 34	2179 ± 104	

Cells were grown for 48 h at 28 °C in YNBG₂E medium, in DASGIP bioreactors. Displayed means and standard deviations are the result of duplicate experiments

(Fig. 4). It decreased slightly then after until the end of the culture (until $20150\pm1060 \text{ UmL}^{-1}$). Analysis of culture supernatant by SDS-PAGE clearly highlighted that CalB is the only secreted protein in those conditions (Fig. 4b). During the enzyme production phase (between 3.5 and 24 h of culture), the lipase volumetric productivity was of 1357 ± 34 UmL⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Table 3). Culture of strain RIY394 (*pTEF*-CalB prototroph) in the same experimental conditions yielded to a 6.2 fold lower lipase activity after 24 h (data not shown). Here, we demonstrated that a high CalB production level could be achieved in bioreactor by a combined strategy of codon optimization, and suitable inducible promoter and host strain use. In a previous bioreactor study, the native CalB sequence under the control of promoter *POX2* had been cloned in the *Y. lipolytica* strain JMY1212 (parent strain of JMY7126). The resulting lipase activity was about 5 U mL⁻¹ after 102 h of cultivation [30]. Other studies in bioreactor using *K. phaffii* as a host strain for CalB production under the control of methanol-inducible promoter *pAOX1* yielded 83 U mL⁻¹ in 68 h, without codon optimization [49], and 6100 U mL⁻¹ in 110 h with codon optimization [50]. Here, about 28,000 U mL⁻¹ of lipase CalB were obtained in 24 h. To our knowledge, this process is the most efficient identified for CalB production in yeast, and it can be safely hypothesized that such efficiency will be reflected in the production of other recombinant proteins.

Additional genome edition

Construction and screening of multi-copy CalB expressing strains

Another goal of this study was to endow the recipient strain with the possibility of additional genome editions. For that purpose an additional auxotrophy based on lysine metabolism (*lys5*) was introduced in strain JMY7126. Indeed, for some recombinant proteins, the process productivity could be enhanced by co-expression of specific chaperone as demonstrated for *K. phaffii* (see [51–53]) or by cloning an additional copy of the expression cassette [54]. To highlight this possibility of additional genome editions, strain JMY7539

(*pEYK1-3AB-CalB*) was transformed with the expression cassette of plasmid JMP4579 (*LYS5ex-pEYK1-3AB-CalB*, rescued beforehand by *NotI* digestion). Since the second expression cassette was integrated randomly in the yeast genome, six independent transformants were tested for their lipase activity. They were cultivated in YNBG₂E medium in Duetz-System deepwell microplates, alongside with strain RIY368 (mono-copy). After 48 h of culture, supernatants were screened for lipase activity and it was found that the lipase productivity spanned over 3.2-fold of intensity (data not shown). The transformant presenting the highest specific lipase activity was named RIY406 and used for further experiments.

Culture of strain RIY406 in DASGIP bioreactor

Strain RIY406 was grown in DASGIP bioreactors under the same conditions as previously adopted for culture of mono-copy strain RIY368, in order to compare cell growth, carbon source uptake and lipase production. Cell growth kinetics of strain RIY406 was found similar to that of RIY368 (see Fig. 4 and Table 3), demonstrating that this additional recombinant gene expression did not affect cell growth capacity. Similarly to what was observed for strain RIY368, the exponential growth phase lasted for 12 h, with a specific growth rate and a final biomass of 0.27 ± 0.01 h⁻¹ and 6.51 ± 0.02 gDCW L⁻¹ respectively. Growth and lipase production were sustained by similar glycerol consumption in strains RIY406 and RIY368 (Additional file 2). From these data, it can be concluded that the additional metabolic load resulting from the expression of a second recombinant gene does not alter host strain metabolism. As shown in Fig. 4 and Table 3, lipase activity of RIY406 reached its highest level (45,125 \pm 2144 U mL $^{-1}$) after 24 h of culture, again after glycerol entire consumption and erythritol entire assimilation (Additional file 2). At the maximal value, RIY406 lipase activity was 1.6-fold higher than the one of RIY368. This result is consistent with the ratios of volumetric and specific production rates, which are both of 1.6 (Table 3). In conclusion, the addition of a second expression cassette based on lysine auxotrophy properly increases protein production without negative effect on the host strain. Such results confirm the opportunity of co-expression of two genes, based on two selection markers (URA3 and LYS5), under the control of an erythritol/ erythrulose-inducible promoter in strain JMY7126.

Conclusions

An efficient expression system relies on four mains properties: it depends on the vector used to express the gene of interest, on the host strain used to produce the compound of interest, and on the production and downstream processing steps. The design of a given expression system arises from its use and intended versatility. Here, we developed an expression system suitable for recombinant protein production in the yeast Y. lipolytica. It is based on erythritol/erythrulose-inducible promoters and a dedicated host strain, JMY7126, which enables multi-copy integration of expression cassettes and prevents erythritol complete metabolization. As a proof of concept, lipase CalB was expressed in mono-copy in strain JMY7126 under the control of three types of inducible promoters. The most appropriate promoter (namely *pEYK1-3AB*) for the production of this given protein was selected for demonstration of multi-copy expression. Under these conditions, about 45,000 U mL⁻¹ of lipase CalB were obtained in 24 h in batch bioreactor, which represents to date the most efficient process identified for CalB production in yeast. Process development shall expand the potentialities of the proposed expression system even further, and the combination may greatly improve the production of other recombinant proteins in Y. lipolytica.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12934-019-1218-6.

Additional file 1. Nucleic and amino acid sequence of codon optimized CalB. Bold sequences correspond to the pre sequence of the extracellular lipase Lip2p encoded by the *LIP2* gene, and underlined sequences correspond to the pro CalB targeting sequence.

Additional file 2. Carbon source consumption of strains RIY368 (monocopy) and RIY406 (multi-copy). Cells were grown for 48 h at 28 °C in YNBG₂E medium, in DASGIP bioreactors. Displayed means and standard deviations are the result of duplicate experiments.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mark Blight from Biocatalysts for the design of CalB codon optimized gene.

Authors' contributions

JMN, PF and MV designed the experiments. PS constructed strain JMY7126. StT and YKP constructed generic and CalB expression vectors. YKP constructed CalB-mono-copy strains, and performed the first screen of CalB transformants. MV constructed CalB prototroph and multi-copy strains. MV and SaT performed cultures in bioreactor. MV performed qPCR analysis, all enzymatic and biochemical tests, and analyzed CalB production. JMN, PF, YKP and MV wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

PS, ST and JMN received funding from INRA. JMN received funding from Biocatalysts. MV received a Ph.D. fellowship from the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture (FRIA, Belgium). Young-Kyoung Park received a Ph.D. scholarship from the Kwanjeong Educational Foundation (KEF).

Availability of data and materials

Strain JMY7126 and plasmids JMP4266, JMP4230, and JME4365 are available upon MTA with INRA transfer and are patent pending.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors have given their consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Micalis Institute, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France. ² Microbial Processes and Interactions, TERRA Teaching and Research Centre, University of Liège - Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Gembloux, Belgium. ³ Micalis Institute, UMR1319, Team BIMLip: Integrative Metabolism of Microbial Lipids, INRA-AgroParisTech, Domaine de Vilvert, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas, France.

Received: 14 May 2019 Accepted: 25 September 2019 Published online: 10 October 2019

References

- Madzak C. Yarrowia lipolytica: recent achievements in heterologous protein expression and pathway engineering. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;99:4559–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6624-z.
- Juturu V, Wu JC. Heterologous Protein expression in *Pichia pastoris*: latest research progress and applications. ChemBioChem. 2018;19:7–21. https ://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201700460.
- Cavallo E, Charreau H, Cerrutti P, Foresti ML. Yarrowia lipolytica: a model yeast for citric acid production. FEMS Yeast Res. 2017. https://doi. org/10.1093/femsyr/fox084.
- Blazeck J, Hill A, Jamoussi M, Pan A, Miller J, Alper HS. Metabolic engineering of *Yarrowia lipolytica* for itaconic acid production. Metab Eng. 2015;32:66–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2015.09.005.
- Carly F, Vandermies M, Telek S, Steels S, Thomas S, Nicaud J-M, et al. Enhancing erythritol productivity in *Yarrowia lipolytica* using metabolic engineering. Metab Eng. 2017;42:19–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben .2017.05.002.
- Carly F, Steels S, Telek S, Vandermies M, Nicaud J-M, Fickers P. Identification and characterization of *EYD1*, encoding an erythritol dehydrogenase in *Yarrowia lipolytica* and its application to bioconvert erythritol into erythrulose. Bioresour Technol. 2018;247:963–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2017.09.168.
- Beopoulos A, Nicaud J-M, Gaillardin C. An overview of lipid metabolism in yeasts and its impact on biotechnological processes. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2011;90:1193–206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3212-8.
- 8. Thevenieau F, Nicaud J-M. Microorganisms as sources of oils. OCL. 2013;20:D603. https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2013034.
- Fickers P, Fudalej F, Dall MTL, Casaregola S, Gaillardin C, Thonart P, et al. Identification and characterisation of *LIP7* and *LIP8* genes encoding two extracellular triacylglycerol lipases in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Fungal Genet Biol. 2005;42:264–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.fgb.2004.12.003.
- Nicaud J-M. Yarrowia lipolytica. Yeast. 2012;29:409–18. https://doi. org/10.1002/yea.2921.
- Celińska E, Nicaud J-M. Filamentous fungi-like secretory pathway strayed in a yeast system: peculiarities of *Yarrowia lipolytica* secretory pathway underlying its extraordinary performance. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9450-2.
- 12. Ogrydziak DM, Scharf SJ. Alkaline extracellular protease produced by Saccharomycopsis lipolytica CX161-1B. J Gen Microbiol. 1982;128:1225–34. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-128-6-1225.
- Madzak C, Blanchin-Roland S, Cordero Otero RR, Gaillardin C. Functional analysis of upstream regulating regions from the *Yarrowia lipolytica* XPR2 promoter. Microbiology. 1999;145:75–87. https://doi.org/10.1099/13500 872-145-1-75.
- Madzak C, Tréton B, Blanchin-Roland S. Strong hybrid promoters and integrative expression/secretion vectors for quasi-constitutive expression of heterologous proteins in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol. 2000;2:207–16.
- Blazeck J, Liu L, Redden H, Alper H. Tuning gene expression in *Yarrowia lipolytica* by a hybrid promoter ppproach. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:7905–14. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05763-11.

- Dulermo R, Brunel F, Dulermo T, Ledesma-Amaro R, Vion J, Trassaert M, et al. Using a vector pool containing variable-strength promoters to optimize protein production in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Microb Cell Fact. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-017-0647-3.
- Sassi H, Delvigne F, Kar T, Nicaud J-M, Coq A-MC-L, Steels S, et al. Deciphering how *LIP2* and *POX2* promoters can optimally regulate recombinant protein production in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Microb Cell Fact. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-016-0558-8.
- Carly F, Gamboa-Melendez H, Vandermies M, Damblon C, Nicaud JM, Fickers P. Identification and characterization of *EYK1*, a key gene for erythritol catabolism in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8361-y.
- Trassaert M, Vandermies M, Carly F, Denies O, Thomas S, Fickers P, et al. New inducible promoter for gene expression and synthetic biology in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Microb Cell Fact. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1293 4-017-0755-0.
- Park Y-K, Korpys P, Kubiak M, Celińska E, Soudier P, Trébulle P, et al. Engineering the architecture of erythritol-inducible promoters for regulated and enhanced gene expression in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. FEMS Yeast Res. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foy105.
- Barth G, Gaillardin C. Yarrowia lipolytica. In: Wolf K, editor. Nonconventional yeasts in biotechnology. Berlin: Springer; 1996. p. 313–88. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-79856-6_1.
- 22. Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 3rd ed. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
- Fickers P, Le Dall MT, Gaillardin C, Thonart P, Nicaud JM. New disruption cassettes for rapid gene disruption and marker rescue in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. J Microbiol Methods. 2003;55:727–37. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mimet.2003.07.003.
- Le Dall M-T, Nicaud J-M, Gaillardin C. Multiple-copy integration in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Curr Genet. 1994;26:38–44. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00326302.
- Fickers P, Nicaud JM, Destain J, Thonart P. Overproduction of lipase by Yarrowia lipolytica mutants. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2003;63:136–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1342-3.
- 26. Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature. 1970;227:680–5.
- Bordes F, Fudalej F, Dossat V, Nicaud J-M, Marty A. A new recombinant protein expression system for high-throughput screening in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. J Microbiol Methods. 2007;70:493–502. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mimet.2007.06.008.
- Fickers P, Marty A, Nicaud JM. The lipases from *Yarrowia lipolytica*: genetics, production, regulation, biochemical characterization and biotechnological applications. Biotechnol Adv. 2011;29:632–44. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.04.005.
- 29. Cambon E, Piamtongkam R, Bordes F, Duquesne S, André I, Marty A. Rationally engineered double substituted variants of *Yarrowia lipolytica* lipase with enhanced activity coupled with highly inverted enantiose-lectivity towards 2-bromo phenyl acetic acid esters. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2010;106:852–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22770.
- Emond S, Montanier C, Nicaud J-M, Marty A, Monsan P, André I, et al. New efficient recombinant expression system to engineer *Candida antarctica* lipase B. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76:2684–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.03057-09.
- Duquesne S, Bordes F, Fudalej F, Nicaud J-M, Marty A. The yeast Yarrowia lipolytica as a generic tool for molecular evolution of enzymes. Lipases and phospholipases. New Jersey: Humana Press; 2012. p. 301–12. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-600-5_18.
- Xuan JW, Fournier P, Declerck N, Chasles M, Gaillardin C. Overlapping reading frames at the LYS5 locus in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Mol Cell Biol. 1990;10:4795–806. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.10.9.4795.
- Vandermies M, Denies O, Nicaud J-M, Fickers P. EYK1 encoding erythrulose kinase as a catabolic selectable marker for genome editing in the non-conventional yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. J Microbiol Methods. 2017;139:161–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2017.05.012.
- Nicaud J, Madzak C, Vandenbroek P, Gysler C, Duboc P, Niederberger P, et al. Protein expression and secretion in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. FEMS Yeast Res. 2002;2:371–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567 -1356(02)00082-X.
- Gasmi N, Lassoued R, Ayed A, Tréton B, Chevret D, Nicaud JM, et al. Production and characterization of human granulocyte–macrophage

colony-stimulating factor (hGM-CSF) expressed in the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2012;96:89–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4141-x.

- Chang CC, Ryu DDY, Park CS, Kim J-Y. Enhancement of rice α-amylase production in recombinant *Yarrowia lipolytica*. J Ferment Bioeng. 1997;84:421–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0922-338X(97)82002-8.
- Chang CC, Ryu DDY, Park CS, Kim J-Y, Ogrydziak DM. Recombinant bioprocess optimization for heterologous protein production using twostage, cyclic fed-batch culture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1998;49:531–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530051209.
- Chang CC, Ryu DDY, Park CS, Kim J-Y. Improvement of heterologous protein productivity using recombinant *Yarrowia lipolytica* and cyclic fed-batch process strategy. Biotechnol Bioeng. 1998;59:379–85. https ://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290(19980805)59:3%3c379:AID-BIT15 %3e3.0.CO:2-9.
- Kim J-W, Park TJ, Ryu DDY, Kim J-Y. High cell density culture of *Yarrowia lipolytica* using a one-step feeding process. Biotechnol Prog. 2000;16:657– 60. https://doi.org/10.1021/bp000037n.
- Park CS, Chang CC, Ryu DDY. Expression and high-level secretion of *Trichoderma reesei* endoglucanase I in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2000;87:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1385/ABAB:87:1:1.
- Celińska E, Białas W, Borkowska M, Grajek W. Cloning, expression, and purification of insect (*Sitophilus oryzae*) alpha-amylase, able to digest granular starch, in *Yarrowia lipolytica* host. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;99:2727–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-6314-2.
- 42. Celińska E, Borkowska M, Białas W. Evaluation of heterologous α-amylase production in two expression platforms dedicated for Yarrowia lipolytica: commercial Po1g–pYLSC (php4d) and custom-made A18–pYLTEF (pTEF). Yeast. 2016;33:165–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3149.
- Celińska E, Borkowska M, Białas W. Enhanced production of insect rawstarch-digesting alpha-amylase accompanied by high erythritol synthesis in recombinant *Yarrowia lipolytica* fed-batch cultures at high-cell-densities. Process Biochem. 2017;52:78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procb io.2016.10.022.
- 44. Fang A, Gerson DF, Demain AL. Menstrum for culture preservation and medium for seed preparation in a tetanus toxin production process containing no animal or dairy products. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2006;43:360–3. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2006.01984.x.
- Lee YK, Kim SY, Kim KH, Chun B-H, Lee K-H, Oh DJ, et al. Use of soybean protein hydrolysates for promoting proliferation of human keratinocytes in serum-free medium. Biotechnol Lett. 2008;30:1931–6. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10529-008-9796-0.

- Coghetto CC, Vasconcelos CB, Brinques GB, Ayub MAZ. Lactobacillus plantarum BL011 cultivation in industrial isolated soybean protein acid residue. Braz J Microbiol. 2016;47:941–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bjm.2016.06.003.
- Jeong EJ, Moon DW, Oh JS, Moon JS, Seong H, Kim KY, et al. Development of cabbage juice medium for industrial production of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* starter. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017;27:2112–8. https://doi. org/10.4014/jmb.1708.08050.
- Fickers P, Fudalej F, Nicaud J-M, Destain J, Thonart P. Selection of new over-producing derivatives for the improvement of extracellular lipase production by the non-conventional yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. J Biotechnol. 2005;115:379–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.09.014.
- Looser V, Lüthy D, Straumann M, Hecht K, Melzoch K, Kovar K. Effects of glycerol supply and specific growth rate on methanol-free production of CALB by *P. pastoris*: functional characterisation of a novel promoter. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017;101:3163–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0025 3-017-8123-x.
- Yang J-K, Liu L-Y, Dai J-H, Li Q. De novo design and synthesis of *Candida* antarctica lipase B gene and α-factor leads to high-level expression in *Pichia pastoris*. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e53939. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0053939.
- Inan M, Aryasomayajula D, Sinha J, Meagher MM. Enhancement of protein secretion in *Pichia pastoris* by overexpression of protein disulfide isomerase. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2006;93:771–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bit.20762.
- Gasser B, Sauer M, Maurer M, Stadlmayr G, Mattanovich D. Transcriptomic based identification of novel factors enhancing heterologous protein secretion in yeasts. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:6499–507. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.01196-07.
- Zhang W, Zhao HL, Xue C, Xiong XH, Yao XQ, Li XL, Chen HP, Liu ZM. Enhanced secretion of heterologous proteins in *Pichia pastoris* following overexpression of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chaperone proteins. Biotechnol Prog. 2006;22:1090–5. https://doi.org/10.1021/bp060019r.
- Pignède G, Wang H-J, Fudalej F, Seman M, Gaillardin C, Nicaud J-M. Autocloning and amplification of *LIP2* in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000;66:3283–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/ aem.66.8.3283-3289.2000.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

