



HAL
open science

Failure to prevent wolf damage to livestock in France: which solution pathway?

Olivier Bonnet, Laurent Garde, Charles-Henri Moulin, Marie-Odile
Nozières-Petit, Nicolas Lescureux, Michel Meuret

► To cite this version:

Olivier Bonnet, Laurent Garde, Charles-Henri Moulin, Marie-Odile Nozières-Petit, Nicolas Lescureux, et al.. Failure to prevent wolf damage to livestock in France: which solution pathway?. Local Carnivore: Grazing resources, Carnivores and Local communities, Nov 2019, Lysaker-Oslo, Norway. 40p. hal-02944289

HAL Id: hal-02944289

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02944289>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.


L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Failure to prevent wolf damage to livestock in France: which solution pathway?


Olivier Bonnet¹

L. Garde¹, C-H. Moulin², M-O. Nozières-Petit³, N. Lescureux⁴, M. Meuret³

Réseau de chercheurs
COADAPHT



France



68 million inhabitants (117 per km²)

78 % urban population

Large diversity of landscapes mosaics:
croplands, cultivated grasslands, natural
meadows, scrublands and forests

About 7 500 000 sheep

Numerous and diverse wild ungulates,
e.g. about 1 500 000 roe-deer



Grazing in France (*in brief*)



Photo: M Meuret

*In plains and valley bottoms, sheep
are grazed within fenced pastures.
Rotational grazing.
Generally, one or two visit per day by
the farmer.*



Photo: Idele



Photo: M Meuret

On hilly and mixed rangelands, partially wooded, sheep are grazed either in fenced pastures or herded by a shepherd.



Photo: M Meuret



Photo: M Meuret

On mountain pastures during summer, and notably in the Alps, most sheep are grazed under the constant care of a shepherd and his sheepdogs.

Meuret & Provenza 2014



Photo: M Meuret


Photo: M Meuret

Wolf legal status in France

From the early years, wolves were placed under **strict protection status**. They benefit from the prohibition of any form of deliberate perturbation, capture or killing.

European legal texts open up a possibility of **derogation** *“in order to prevent serious damage if the [wolf] population is in a good state of conservation and in the absence of satisfactory alternatives.”*

... in other words: when it has been confirmed locally and officially on a farm that all nonlethal protection means have failed.



EU Council 1992

The full range of recommended damage protection techniques has been used in the French Alps, the region most heavily impacted by predation.



Reinforced human presence:
"Assistant herder"



Livestock guarding
dogs




Higher and
electrified
pasture fence



Herd groupings at
night in electrified
pens or secured
buildings

Damage prevention measures has been increasingly widespread, notably in the French Alps and Provence, having permanent presence of wolves.



Data source: DRAAF Auvergne Rhône-Alpes



In areas under high predation pressure, the physiognomy and accessibility of some farms have been changed considerably.



Photo: TF1



Photo: M. Meuret



The heightening of the fences, their electrification, and watchful guarding dogs, could become a major problem for wildlife, hunters and hikers.

Fences - especially when not associated with dogs, **any fence can be considered as an impassable obstacle** for wolves.

Their role is to make the wolf hesitate to enter the pasture.

Dogs – Livestock guarding dogs have been multiplied in France, up to **6-20 per farm**. For local authorities, they have become a **public safety threat** on some rural areas.




Photo : Alliance-Élevage.com




Photo : Hogan des Vents

What results?

Number of wolves and depredated livestock in France : 1992-2018



In the French Alps and Provence, i.e. most concerned regions, the vast majority **of successful wolf attacks** has been made on **protected herds**.



A herd recognized as **protected** belongs to a farmer or farmers' group that has subscribed to a protection contract **effectively implemented**, that condition being **checked** by damage control officials.

■ Unprotected
■ Protected

Data source: GéoLoup
data base - DREAL
Auvergne Rhône-Alpes



Despair and revolt among farmers that are regularly impacted despite all their efforts to protect livestock.

Photo : Var Matin

A wolf attack had occur despite the high and strong fence. Wolves dug under the fence.
Grazing in enclosure with photovoltaic panels.



Photos: CERPAM




Do we miss something?

We simply miss to consider wolves as they are in reality:
very effective and adaptable predators

- ✓ Very intelligent
- ✓ Opportunistic
- ✓ Capable of learning...
- ✓ ...within families
- ✓ Highly adaptable
- ✓ Often unpredictable
- ✓ Mobile and prolific

Wolves
Behavior, Ecology, and Conservation
Edited by L. David Mech and Luigi Boitani
Mech &
Boitani 2003



Coming from Italy where they are abundantly poached*, wolves that were placed under **strict protection status** for 20 years in France have **adapted** to the **more comfortable conditions**.


* poaching estimated by scientists at between 200 and 300 wolves per year.

Galaverni *et al* 2015

Hindrikson *et al* 2016


Boitani 2017

The number of wolf **permanent presence areas** is **growing exponentially** in France, reaching 92 (70 packs) at the end of winter 2018-19.



Data source:
ONCFS
Wolf-Lynx Network



France hosts nowadays a wolf population living permanently or occasionally on **about a third** of its continental territory.



Data source:
ONCFS
Wolf-Lynx
Network


The **southeastern** part of France, i.e. Alps and Provence, where wolves first occurred, still suffer **90%** of the total national **losses**.

Total number of
depredated livestock
in **2018**.



Data source:
DREAL
Auvergne
Rhône-Alpes

An example of wolves' ability to **opportunistically change** their behavior is the growing number of **successful attacks** made **during the day** in France, as most livestock animals are now better protected at night.



Data source: GéoLoup
data base - DREAL
Auvergne Rhône-Alpes

Another sign of **opportunistic change**: wolf attacks are still occurring on high mountain pastures, but there are more frequent **attacks** in **valleys** and **plains**, sometimes very **close to a farm**, road, village, or suburban subdivision.



Géry, Meuse – October 2014




Seyne-les-Alpes, Alpes de Hte Provence
October 2017



Saint-Colomban-des-Villards, Savoie – May 2017

The **late adaptation** (2014-15) of wolf-livestock management policy in France.



When it appears that all the **non-lethal** protection means had **failed** ...

...**herd defence shootings** and **wolf removal** actions, which are **derogatory** from France's commitments towards the **EU**, have been granted sparingly to farmers.

Data source: DREAL
Auvergne Rhône-Alpes &
ONCFS

In 2015, a professional **Wolf Brigade** was created in France to carry out “targeted shots” on wolves repeatedly attacking herds at a specified location.

It reinforces farmers who have obtained official permission to shoot wolves to defend their livestock.



Photos from documentary film by Didier Laurent & Antoine de Changy / Les films du Bouchon 2019 / ONCFS

Which solution pathway?

We suggest going further
by re-establishing a **reciprocal relationship** with wolves.

How can we **restore** in wolves that are living in France, or passing through France, the **behavior of keeping themselves away from humans** and their breeding activities?



Photo: Fàbio Palella

The **adaptive behaviour** of wolves to local conditions and **risk** is a well known process.

During 20 years in France, livestock **breeders, herders** and **assistant herders**, were not in a position to signify clearly enough to the wolves that they represent any serious kind of threat.

They were **innocuous humans** among so many others in the countryside.



From evidences elsewhere in the world, it appears that the **coexistence** between livestock farming and wolves needs the establishment, or re-establishment, of **reciprocal relationships** in order to constantly maintain an **acceptable distance** and minimize conflicts.

Lescureux 2006, 2007
Lescureux *et al* 2018



Reciprocity corresponds to a **proportional adjustment** between :

- (i) the impact related to predation (ecological, economic, social, psychological,...) **AND**
- (ii) the possibility of exercising direct and legal control over predation and predators.

Reciprocity also involves keeping predators at a distance when their behaviour represents a threat (i.e. close proximity to farms, villages and/or domestic herds).

Reciprocity implies the possible use of **lethal means** (shooting and/or trapping) **before, during or just after an attack**, in order to:

1° eliminate the most reckless individuals or groups ;

2° associate the presence of **humans** working with herds with an immediate and **expectable and severe threat**.

The **non-lethal protective and repulsive means** (human presence, fladries...) will make sense again, not as barriers but as **danger signals**.




Bangs *et al* 2006

Lescureux *et al* 2018



Shooting or trapping **near** livestock and human dwellings.



Shooting or trapping **away** from livestock
and human dwellings.



Photo : Emmanuel Sauvageot

Re-establishment of a reciprocal relationship with wolves is **confronted to:**

EU Habitats Directive:

- imposes that direct control of wolves could be allowed only by derogation and “... *in the absence of satisfactory alternatives.*”
- doesn't allow France to control a number of wolves that do not respect a maximum cap defined yearly and nationally.

Farmers and herders cannot become constantly watchful and reactive against wolves, a task that is antagonistic to their other daily activities.

Danger that could represent armed people, stressed and lacking good sleep, in **densely inhabited landscapes.**



Conclusion

The means of protecting herds in France, framed by national regulations based on a European Directive, are **based on the premise that wolves are afraid of humans.**

This **fear is not**, in reality, **an intrinsic** and permanent **trait of the species.**

It is at least partly an **acquired behavior**, which must be constantly **reinitiated** by explicitly associating the close human presence with real threat for wolves: severe injuries or danger of death.

Scare techniques, or **non-lethal repulsion**, only make sense when they are a **signal to recall the risk** of death or severe injuries due to non-compliance.

It becomes urgent to deal with the **adaptive capacities of wolves**, but also, and simultaneously, those of herds, farmers, herders, and all the other **users of the land**.

Managing relationships with opportunistic and intelligent predators remains **complex** and highly **dynamic**.

That requires a continuous **process of coadaptation** between wolves and humans.



For more information

Réseau de chercheurs
COADAPHT

<http://www.sad.inra.fr/Recherches/Coadaptation-predateurs-humains>

