



Reciprocal relationships between humans and wolves as a way to keep wolves at distance from livestock.

Michel Meuret, Nicolas Lescureux, Laurent Garde

► To cite this version:

Michel Meuret, Nicolas Lescureux, Laurent Garde. Reciprocal relationships between humans and wolves as a way to keep wolves at distance from livestock.. 1st European Symposium on Livestock Farming in Mountain Areas, Jun 2018, Bolzano, Italy. 44p. hal-02944511

HAL Id: hal-02944511

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02944511>

Submitted on 21 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1st European Symposium on Livestock Farming in Mountain Areas
Bozen-Bolzano – Italy – 20-22 June 2018

Reciprocal relationships between humans and wolves as a way to keep wolves at distance from livestock

Michel Meuret

PhD - Ecologist and Animal scientist
Research Director at INRA *Mediterranean
and Tropical Livestock Systems Research and
Teaching Unit* (Selmet)
Montpellier, France




Nicolas Lescureux

PhD – Ethnoecologist
Researcher at the CNRS
Research center in Ecology (Cefe)
Montpellier, France



Laurent Garde

PhD – Ecologist and Anthropologist
Vice-Director of *Pastoral Service Bureau
for the Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur
Region* (CERPAM)
Manosque, France





As French authors, do we have anything instructive to say about wolves and livestock?

So far, France has failed miserably to protect its farm animals :
11.700+ predated by wolves in 2017

The full range of recommended livestock protection techniques have been used in the French Alps, where 90% of the national losses are still recorded



Enhanced human
presence:
*"Assistant
Shepherd"*



Livestock
Guard Dogs



Secure
fencing




Compulsory
Night Pen

...for which results ?



Number of livestock (all species) killed
and recovered following attacks
attributed to wolves




+990 killed/year

Data source: DREAL
Auvergne Rhône-
Alpes

...for which results ?



Number of livestock (all species) killed
and recovered following attacks
attributed to wolves



Estimated number of livestock animals
killed per wolf



Data sources:
DREAL
Auvergne
Rhône- Alpes
&
ONCFS



What went wrong?



Back in time ...to the fundamentals

11.500 years of relations between livestock farming and
wolves


11.500 years of relations between livestock farming and wolves

Since the beginning of livestock domestication, wolves have been a more or less important source of nuisance : killed or injured animals, additional work and costs for protection, risk for human safety.



11.500 years of relations between livestock farming and wolves


Herders have implemented various ways to protect their herds.



J. Callot 1630.
The vigilant eye

11.500 years of relations between livestock farming and wolves

They also and simultaneously applied constant pressure on wolves by killing those who attacked the herds.




J. Callot 1630.
Shepherds defending their flock

11.500 years of relations between livestock farming and wolves


During centuries, low human pressure and wolves' ability to adapt to human activities and infrastructures have allowed human-wolf proximity throughout the northern hemisphere.

Historical distribution of Canis lupus




Ending all relationships : wolves' eradication


More recently, wolves eradication campaigns have been conducted in several countries worldwide, being favoured by centralized organization of hunting.



Historical distribution of Canis lupus



Distribution of Canis lupus : Years 1950 – 60



In Europe...

1973 - Creation of the IUCN Wolf specialist group



1979 – *Bern Convention* on the conservation of Europe's wildlife and natural habitats





1992 – *EU Habitats Directive and its Annex #2* as a legal duty of conserving outstanding wildlife habitats and their species, *Canis lupus* included.



Re-establishing proximity with wolves

...as strictly protected species in some EU countries



Chapron *et al.*
2014. *Science*

Wolves are coming back in a multi-use landscape and impacting activities which developed in their absence



Many conflicts are currently appearing or increasing in several E.U. countries



How to make relationships between wolves and humans less conflictual ?

Mech and Boitani (2003) suggested that prolonged sympatry can lead to a form of coexistence where compromises are made by both species and conflicts are not perceived as being so intense.

Solutions require the recognition of wolves' ability to adapt to fast changing socio-ecological contexts, as well as the dynamic character of human-wolf relationships.

How to make relationships between wolves and humans less conflictual ?

If wolves are adapting their behaviour to human practices, then it should be possible to develop practices aiming at changing wolf behaviour towards humans and their livestock.

But conflict management strategies developed from E.U. institutions have been focused only on protecting livestock from wolves (enhanced human presence, guarding dogs, high fences...)

They have not been directed towards wolves... in order to change their behaviour, notably by associating humans and their livestock as a real danger.

Kirghizstan (former USSR)



Naryn Region



Kirghizstan



Photos : Nicolas Lescureux

About 5 million inhabitants and 4000 wolves over 200 000 km²

Rural population > 60 %

Shared territories & landscapes (47 % territory = permanent pasture)

Landscape structure promoting mutual observations and interactions

Shared resources: game and livestock

Kirghizstan

A variety of hunting practices



Photos :
Nicolas Lescureux

Kirghizstan

End of professional
hunters

Take back rifles to
shepherds

Decrease in human
presence on high
pastures

Lower hunting
pressure

Impossibility to
scare wolves by
shooting

Decreased presence of
livestock on winter
high pastures

Decreased distance
between winter &
summer grazing places

Moving of
livestock around
villages

Kirghizstan

End of professional hunters

Take back rifles to shepherds

Decrease in human presence on high pastures

Lower hunting pressure

Impossibility to scare wolves by shooting

Less fearful wolves

Wolves

1. approach villages
2. attack more often
3. attack at daytime



Wolves become uncontrollable...

Decreased presence of livestock on winter high pastures

Decreased distance between winter & summer grazing places

Moving of livestock around villages

Kirghizstan

Dynamic relationships
Many interactions & reciprocity

→

Wolves = respectable enemies

To be controlled

Loss of control on wolves
Loss of reciprocity

→

Wolves = invaders threatening livestock

To be eliminated



Northern Rocky Mountains (USA)



Idaho



Idaho – Montana – Wyoming



3.2 million inhabitants over 851 000 km²

Vast wooded mountains, adjacent to agricultural plains

6.1 million beef cattle + 0.8 million sheep

1900-1930 : wolves exterminated



1995-96 : Reintroduction of 31 wolves to Yellowstone National Park and 35 wolves to Idaho – expected pop. target: 300

Northern Rockies current wolf pop. \pm 1700

Idaho – Montana – Wyoming



Wild ungulate hunting is a Rocky Mountains heritage

Hunters are well equipped and organised



Breeders and herders have skills to fight against many kinds of livestock predators : coyotes, bears, mountain lions...

Idaho – Montana – Wyoming




U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1987. Northern Rocky
Mountain Wolf Recovery
Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service, Denver, Colorado,
119 pp.

In 1987, 8 years before the reintroduction of wolves in Yellowstone NP and Idaho, US Fish and Wildlife Service explain its wolf regulation strategy :

"A control plan(s) will be develop for resolving wolf depredation problems. The goal of the control program is to reduce and prevent livestock losses to wolves while removing the minimum number of wolves necessary to resolve the conflict yet still progress toward recovery."

Confirmed livestock depredations, number of wolf culling, and total wolf number in the 3 NRM states (ID-MT-WY)



Data source: Northern
Rocky Mountain Wolf
Recovery Program
Interagency Annual
Reports

Idaho – Montana – Wyoming



Annual average wolf predation on livestock
and (min-max) 2006-2014

180 cattle per year (136 – 214)

330 sheep per year (144 – 721*)

(*) 2009: Record year for predation on sheep (721)

As a consequence : 25 of the 267 NRM packs were eliminated.

When talking about these numbers with US experts visiting France...



More than 10,000 livestock killed per year in France ?
Are you sure you're not adding one too many zero ?



Right sure!


How can you stand that?



How to explain the large and constant increase in the number of victims during the last ten years in France ?

Strictly protected wolves have adapted easily to favorable conditions

Their adaptive capacity is illustrated in France with the change from predation mostly at night (when flocks are penned and in the custody of guard dogs and humans) to **attacks 50-50 day and night**.



■ *Night*
■ *Day*

*Data source: GéoLoup database,
DREAL Rhône-Alpes, data analysis
by CERPAM*



Another sign of adaptation :

While predation still occurs in high mountain summer pastures, more and more attacks are now also carried on in France during all four seasons, in inhabited valleys and low lands where the absence of snow allows grazing all year round.

Photo credits: Sylvie Arnaud / La Provence




The **adaptive behaviour** of wolves to local conditions and **risk** is a **well known process**

In France, livestock breeders, herders and assistant herders, are not in a position to signify clearly enough to the wolves that they represent any **serious kind of threat**.

They are innocuous humans among ...so many others in the countryside.



The coexistence between livestock farming and wolves needs the **establishment, or re-establishment, of reciprocal relationships** in order to maintain an **acceptable distance** and minimise conflicts.



Reciprocity corresponds to a proportional adjustment **between** :

- (i) the impact related to predation (ecological, economic, social, psychological,...) **AND**
- (ii) the possibility of exercising direct and legal control over predation and predators.

Reciprocity also involves keeping predators at a distance when their behaviour represents a **threat** (i.e. close proximity to farms, villages and/or domestic herds).

Reciprocity implies the possible use of lethal means (shooting and/or trapping) **before, during or just after an attack**, in order to:

- 1° eliminate the most **reckless** individuals or groups ;
- 2° associate the presence of humans working with herds with a **true danger**.

The **effectiveness of non-lethal means** would probably be enhanced thanks to the reestablishment of wolves' fear of humans (injury/mortality risk)

The protective and repulsive measures (human presence, fladries...) will make sense again, not as barriers but as **danger signals**.

Managing relationships with opportunistic and intelligent predators remains complex and highly **dynamic**.

It requires a continuous process of **coadaptation** between wolves and humans.

Belot (eds.) 1457
Illustration from
"Compost et
kalendrier des
bergiers"
(France)



2018
Livestock protection
national brigade
(France)