

Looking for Root Hairs to Overcome Poor Soils

Thanyakorn Rongsawat, Jean-Benoît Peltier, Jean-Christophe Boyer, Anne-Aliénor Véry, Hervé Sentenac

▶ To cite this version:

Thanyakorn Rongsawat, Jean-Benoît Peltier, Jean-Christophe Boyer, Anne-Aliénor Véry, Hervé Sentenac. Looking for Root Hairs to Overcome Poor Soils. Trends in Plant Science, 2021, 26 (1), pp.83-94. 10.1016/j.tplants.2020.09.001 . hal-02947957

HAL Id: hal-02947957 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02947957

Submitted on 8 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Dear author,

Please note that changes made in the online proofing system will be added to the article before publication but are not reflected in this PDF.

We also ask that this file not be used for submitting corrections.

CellPress

Q2 Review

1

Looking for Root Hairs to Overcome Poor Soils

C4 Q3 Thanyakorn Rongsawat,^{1,2} Jean-Benoît Peltier,¹ Jean-Christophe Boyer,¹ Anne-Aliénor Véry,¹ and Hervé Sentenac^{1,*}

5 and herve Serileriac

6 Breeding new cultivars allowing reduced fertilization and irrigation is a major chal-

7 lenge. International efforts towards this goal focus on noninvasive methodologies,

8 platforms for high-throughput phenotyping of large plant populations, and quanti-

- 9 tative description of root traits as predictors of crop performance in environments
- 10 with limited water and nutrient availability. However, these high-throughput analy-
- 11 ses ignore one crucial component of the root system: root hairs (RHs). Here, we

12 review current knowledge on RH functions, mainly in the context of plant

13 hydromineral nutrition, and take stock of quantitative genetics data pointing at cor-

15 relations between RH traits and plant biomass production and yield components.

16 Root Hairs Enlarge the Soil–Root Interface

Research efforts aiming at improving understanding of the functioning of root systems are 17 18 required to better exploit the genetic variation in productivity of crops in poorly fertile soils and to develop new crop cultivars with enhanced capacity for soil resource acquisition [1,2]. Root 19 system architecture and, at the root-soil interface, production and elongation of root hairs 20 (RHs), are major determinants of the location and volume of exploited soil, which is why RHs 21 take center stage in this review. It has been reported that a single rye plant (Secale cereale) can 22 develop more than 10¹⁰ RHs, representing an underground interface of ~400 m², much larger 23 than that of the aerial parts of the plant [3]. Figure 1 (Key Figure) shows dense and long RHs 24 over almost the whole root system in a 2-week old wheat (Triticum turgidum ssp. durum) seed-25 ling. The diameter of the RH cylinder around the root in the displayed enlargement is approxi-26 mately ten times larger than that of the root itself and, thus, the volume of this cylinder would 27 be ca. 100 times larger than that of the root. Such a figure indicates that the ability of the root sys-28 tem to take up poorly mobile nutrient ions (e.g., phosphate; see later) can be significantly in-29 creased by RH production. Here, we review some major functions of RHs, in the context of 30 plant mineral nutrition, and scrutinize recent attempts to use RH traits in plant breeding programs. 31

32 Adhesion to Soil Particles, Soil Penetration, and Rhizosheath Formation

33 RHs enhance seedling survival upon soil disruption by favoring root anchoring [4]. They also provide grip for root tip penetration in soil. For instance, during germination of maize on a soil 34 displaying a moderate penetrometer resistance, wild-type seedlings took ~16 h to anchor 35 themselves to the soil, compared with >30 h for hairless mutant seedlings, most of which did 36 not become anchored securely [5]. The strength of the grip can be increased by root exudation 37 of adhering molecules [6], as also shown in clinging-climber species, such as English ivy, and 38 39 their specialized RHs [7]. However, when the resistance to vertical uprooting forces is compared between arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) wild-type plants and mutant plants impaired in RH 40 development or lateral root production, the conclusion was that RHs do not contribute to 41 whole-plant anchoring in this operational definition [8]. 42

At a later stage of root system development, RHs and root exudation of adhesive molecules are
 involved in **rhizosheath** (see Glossary) formation [6], which contributes to plant adaptation to
 abiotic and biotic conditions, as prevention of water loss, nutrient and water acquisition, and

Highlights

Plant breeding for improved belowground traits, allowing reduced fertilization and irrigation inputs, can contribute to the development of sustainable agriculture practices.

Root hairs (RHs) increase the volume of exploited soil, and have major roles in nutrient and water uptake as well as in beneficial interactions with soil microorganisms.

Plant engagement in mycorrhizal symbiosis also increases the volume of exploited soil, but appears less efficient than RH development in terms of biomass production in some soil conditions.

Evidence that plant biomass production can be positively correlated to RH length is available.

¹Biochimie et Physiologie Moléculaire des Plantes, UMR BPMP, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, INRAE, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier 34060, France

²Current address: Agricultural Innovation and Management Division, Faculty of Natural Resources, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110 Thailand

*Correspondence: herve.sentenac@inrae.fr (H. Sentenac).

Trends in Plant Science, Month 2020, Vol. xx, No. xx https://do

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.09.001 1 © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Key Figure

Q1 Root System of Wheat Seedlings



Glossary

Rhizosheath: root-soil sheath resulting from agglutination and adhesion to the root of soil particles. Operational descriptions are proposed from the weight of soil that adheres to roots that have been freshly excavated and submitted to a soil removal treatment (e.g., hand shaking or sonication in water) of standardized vigor [9,11,12]. Shaker channels: highly K⁺-selective voltage-gated channels. The plant Shaker family comprises both hyperpolarization-activated and depolarization-activated channels, involved in K⁺ uptake or K⁺ secretion, respectively. The name 'Shaker' comes from the phenotype of a fly (Drosophila melanogaster) mutant characterized by rapid abnormal movements, in which the first channel of this family was cloned a few years before the cloning of channels of the same type in plants.

Transceptor: acronym of 'transporter' and 'receptor'. A transceptor is endowed with the capacity to mediate membrane transport and the ability to sense and signal the availability of a given solute.

(See figure legend at the bottom of the next page.)



mechanical defense against herbivorous and plant parasites [9]. A strong correlation has been 74

found between RH length and rhizosheath weight in wheat [10]. The correlation found in barley 75

(Hordeum vulgare) is weaker [11], and no significant correlation has been observed in 58 other 76

77 species except for those with quite short RHs [12]. It has been proposed that, when RH length

exceeds ~300 µm, other factors have increasing importance in rhizosheath size and stability, 78

which might include RH density and RH morphology (e.g., bent or hooked forms that would 79 trap more soil, and root and microbial mucilage) [9,12]. 80

Nutrient and Water Acquisition 81

Major lines of evidence that RHs contribute significantly to nutrient ion acquisition from the soil can be 82

sorted as follows: (i) nutrient starvation results in increased RH density and length [13,14]; (ii) mutant 83

plants displaying impaired RH growth show poor nutrient ion uptake and biomass production; 84

furthermore, nutrient accumulation is positively correlated with RH length under nutrient-deficient 85

86 conditions [15-18]; (iii) genotypes with longer RHs have been shown in barley and wheat to be better

adapted to low nutrient soil [19,20]; and (iv) evidence that RHs contribute directly to nutrient uptake 87

has been obtained by various electrophysiological approaches [21-23] or by using dedicated 88

growth devices ensuring that only RHs had access to the nutrient source [24]. 89

Evidence has also been obtained that RHs can facilitate water uptake [18,25,26]. For instance, 90

the absence of RHs affects water absorption and drought tolerance in arabidopsis [18]. In barley, 91

analyses of the relationship between transpiration rate and xylem suction in wild type and hairless 92

mutant plants provided direct evidence that RHs contribute to water uptake in drying soils in 93

94 rapidly transpiring plants by increasing the soil-root interface [26]. RHs are also involved in the for-

mation of rhizosheaths, which are more developed in mesophytic grasses in drier conditions [9], 95 which also supports the hypothesis that the control of RH development has a role in plant

96

adaptation to drought conditions. 97

Ion Transport Systems at the RH Plasma Membrane 98

Molecular analyses, including reverse genetics approaches, most often carried out in 99 arabidopsis, have provided information on the transporters and channels involved in nutrient 100 ion acquisition by roots. Here, we summarize our current understanding regarding potassium 101 (K), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and sulfur (S) acquisition (Figure 2). 102

K⁺ uptake from the soil by arabidopsis roots is essentially mediated by the high-affinity K⁺ trans-103

porter AtHAK5 and the Shaker channels AtAKT1 and AtKC1 [27]. Evidence is available that 104 these three K⁺ transport systems are expressed in RHs [15,28]. 105

106 NO₃⁻ acquisition by roots involves transporters belonging to three different families: nitrate transporter 1/peptide transporter family (NPF), NRT2, and NRT3 (also named NAR2 for 'nitrate assim-107 ilation related family') [29]. The NPF family comprises the extensively studied AtNPF6.3 108 'transceptor' (endowed with a dual NO3⁻ transport/signaling function [30]), initially named CHL1 109 or AtNRT1.1. This membrane protein behaves both as a dual-affinity NO_3^- transporter and as a 110 NO_3^{-} sensor mediating NO_3^{-} regulated auxin transport, thereby having an important role in root de-111 velopment [29,31]. Transcriptome data provide evidence that AtNPF6.3/AtNRT1.1 transcripts are 112 present in arabidopsis RHs [32]. The NRT2 family comprises AtNRT2.1 and AtNRT2.2, which 113 physically interact with a member of the NRT3 family, AtNRT3.1 (also named NAR2.1) to form 114

Figure 1. Main photo: 2-week-old seedling grown in a rhizobox. Inset: part of the root system of a plant grown for 2 months in soil in a pot, showing root hairs in old parts of the root system. Wheat cultivar: Oued Zenati.



Figure 2. Ion Channels and Transporters Involved in Nutrient Ion Uptake and to Display Expression in Root Hairs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Root hair transcriptome data obtained in *Medicago truncatula, Glycine max, Solanum lycopersicum, Zea mays,* and *Cucumis sativus* indicate that close homologs of these arabidopsis channels and transporters are also expressed in root hairs of these dicots and monocots, as well as in those of the lycophyte *Selaginella moellendorffii* [32,40]. The expression of genes encoding high-affinity uptake systems can be repressed in rich media, which might be why expression in root hairs of genes such as *AtHAK5* and *AtNRT2;1* is not always revealed by transcriptome analyses of plants grown on rich media while evidenced by other studies using more diluted media (e.g., [15,34]). See [15,27–29,32–35,37,39].

heteromeric structures that contribute significantly to high-affinity NO₃⁻ uptake from the soil solution
[29,33]. *AtNRT2.1* and *AtNRT3.1* display expression in RHs as shown by reporter gene experiments
and/or transcriptome analyses [32,34]. RHs also express members of the AMT, PHT1, and Sultr
families, involved in ammonium [35], phosphate [36–38], and sulfate [39] uptake in arabidopsis,
respectively [32].

123 Close homologs of all the above-cited channels and transporters, (AtAKT1, AtKC1, AtHAK5, NPF6.3, AtNRT2.1, and AtNRT3.1) as well as ammonium, phosphate, and sulfate transporters 124 from the AMT, PHT1, and Sultr families, have been identified in Medicago truncatula RH tran-125 scriptome data by analyses focused on membrane transport systems [40], and can be found in 126 other RH transcriptomes from both dicots and monocots [32]. Shaker channels and members 127 128 of the HAK, NRT2, NRT3, AMT, PHT, and Sultr transporter families are also present in the moss Physcomitrella patens [41-44]. Altogether, these observations suggest that major compo-129 nents of the RH equipment involved in plant mineral nutrition were acquired very early during 130 plant evolution. 131

132 The sensitivity of RH length and density to nutrient and water availability in the soil (see earlier) may involve a role of RHs as sensors of soil conditions. Such a hypothesis of early sensing of water 133 deficit conditions has received support from studies in barley wild type and hairless mutant plants. 134 Analysis of transcriptomes from roots that were sampled at the onset of a water stress revealed 135 that more genes were induced in the roots of the wild-type plants, including, for example, genes 136 involved in abscisic acid biosynthesis [45]. In terms of nutrient sensing, the arabidopsis NO_3^{-1} 137 transporter AtNPF6.3/AtNRT1.1 and the Shaker K⁺ channel AtAKT1, which have both been 138 proposed to behave as transceptors, able to sense and signal the availability of their substrates, 139 NO₃⁻ and K⁺, respectively [30,46], are both expressed in RHs (see earlier). 140

4 Trends in Plant Science, Month 2020, Vol. xx, No. xx



141 RH Production versus Engagement in Mycorrhizal Symbiosis

Both RH production and engagement in mycorrhizal symbiosis result in increased soil exploration 142 and exploitation. Arbuscular endomycorrhizal colonization (AM) is associated with either a de-143 crease [47,48] or an increase [49] in RH density and length, depending in some species on the 144 root type (lateral root order) [49]. The decrease has been proposed to result from changes in 145 146 the root metabolic status and competition for available photosynthates between RH production and the fungus [47]. The increase has been associated with changes in the expression of auxin 147 metabolism and transport genes, which are likely to impact RH development [50] (see later). In 148 ectomycorrhizal symbiosis, evidence is available that fungal secretion of the auxin antagonist 149 hypaphorine can inhibit RH development [51,52]. 150

Mycorrhizal fungal hyphae can have a smaller diameter (ca. 4 µm for Glomus intraradices and 151 5 µm for Glomus mosseae [53]) compared with RHs (ca. 10 µm in arabidopsis [54]), which 152 allows exploration of smaller soil pores. Furthermore, they extend far beyond the limits of 153 the RH cylinder. Thus, mycorrhizal symbiosis may be hypothesized to be more efficient for 154 155 exploiting the soil compared with the promotion of RH elongation and density. This guestion has been investigated in barley by comparing wild type and hairless mutant (brb) plants 156 inoculated or not by different endomycorrhizal fungi. Mycorrhizas were found to substitute 157 for RHs in P uptake, but the additional P was most often used less efficiently, in terms of 158 plant growth, compared with P provided by RHs [55]. A similar study used several barley 159 160 lines that were differentially affected in RH development (hairless, short or intermediate, or wild-type RH length phenotypes). The plants were naturally colonized by a live community 161 present in the soil. Endomycorrhizal symbiosis did not fully compensate for the absence of 162 RHs with regard to both P acquisition and biomass production [56]. A third series of similar 163 experiments, using the hairless barley mutant brb and the corresponding wild-type genotype 164 grown under well-watered or drought conditions showed that, with respect to biomass 165 166 production, endomycorrhizal symbiosis compensated for the absence of RHs in the latter condition but not in the former [57]. Altogether, these reports indicate that AM associations 167 can be less efficient in P-acquisition and biomass production compared with RHs in some 168 environmental conditions. 169

170

Organic Compound Exudation, Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria, andStimulation of RH Development

Plants exude large amounts of organic compounds into the soil, rendering the rhizosphere a 173 rich niche for the development of microbial communities. The actual amount of carbon 174 (C) invested in root exudation, which can vary from 5% to 50% of the net photosynthesized 175 C [58,59], depends on the health of the plant, its rate of growth, its nutrient and water status, 176 and its microbiota. Root exudation has been compared in wild type and hairless mutant barley 177 plants, revealing that the amount of exuded C was three times higher in wild-type plants 178 compared with the hairless mutant [60]. Furthermore, experiments carried out with wild type 179 and hairless mutant barley plants showed that an absence of RHs significantly reduced the 180 diversity of the bacterial community [61]. Bacterial attraction by root exudates probably 181 182 involves selective chemotaxis processes [62].

The microbial community thriving in the rhizosphere can include up to 10⁹ bacteria per gram of soil, belonging to diverse taxa [61,62]. Within this population, bacteria generically named plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can be recruited by roots to engage in beneficial interactions. PGPR promote plant growth via very diverse mechanisms, such as improved plant mineral nutrition resulting from solubilization of poorly soluble nutrient sources, production of phytohormones that affect root development, and protection against phytoparasites [63].



Promotion of RH development, likely to result in improved mineral nutrition, has been reported in
 response to diverse PGPR and in various plant species [64–71]. The increase in RH length can be

important, by more than 100% [64,68,71], making this response to the bacterial inoculation the

easiest to detect and, thus, the most straightforward way in laboratory experiments to check

193 whether a given plant species can interact with a given PGPR.

194 Large differences in the capacity to promote RH elongation have been observed between PGPR

195 strains [64]. This raises the question of whether such differences are correlated with PGPR

196 capacity to promote plant growth and, thus, are indicative of symbiosis effectiveness. However,

197 pathogenic strains of the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* have been shown to promote RH

Q6 elongation in arabidopsis, similar to that seen with beneficial *Pseudomonas* spp. bacteria [72].

199 Plasticity of RH Development and Adaptation to External Conditions

RH development is strongly responsive to environmental factors, nutrient availability, and rhizosphere microbial communities (see earlier), as well as soil porosity, strength, and water content [73]. Different patterns of RH distribution within the root epidermis can be identified among plant species (Box 1) but the question of whether a given pattern has specific advantages and in what environmental conditions is poorly documented.

Studies in arabidopsis (RH distribution pattern 3; Box 1) to investigate how external biotic or abi-205 206 otic conditions can impact epidermal cell differentiation and RH morphogenesis, have brought to light hormone-driven processes [74]. Evidence has been obtained that auxin and ethylene have 207 major roles in the control of epidermal cell differentiation and RH development and that the path-208 ways allowing these two hormones to affect RH formation are significantly congruent [54,74–77]. 209 Proper auxin distribution is required for correct cell fate assignment and RH formation (both 210 initiation site selection and tip growth). In arabidopsis, auxin regulates RH formation by acting 211 212 downstream of RHD6, and probably primarily via RSL4 [78,79], two transcription factors with central roles in RH development (Box 2). Ethylene also acts on RH formation downstream of 213 RHD6 [78]. Evidence is also available that jasmonic acid, strigolactones, and cytokinins are pos-214 itive regulators of RH growth, whereas brassinosteroids and abscisic acid are negative regulators 215 [54,74,75,80]. 216

The widely reported increase in RH density and length in response to low P availability involves induction of RH-expressed auxin-inducible transcription factors, including RSL2 and RSL4 [81] and ethylene-mediated events with a role in the control of RH gene expression [82]. Promotion of RH development by PGPR species has also been shown to involve auxin [71], ethylene [65], and a complex interplay of auxin and ethylene signaling pathways [83]. Nevertheless, a case of PGPR-induced promotion of RH elongation poorly dependent on auxin and ethylene signaling mechanisms has been reported in arabidopsis [67].

Finally, although control of RH longevity is likely to be of major importance in root system 224 adaptation to soil abiotic and biotic factors, RH longevity is still poorly documented. Reported 225 226 values in barley vary from a few days to 2-3 weeks [84-86]. Evidence has been obtained that apoptosis-like programmed cell death (AL-PCD), characterized by protoplast retraction, nuclear 227 DNA fragmentation, and sensitivity to inhibitors of caspase-3-like activity, occurs in arabidopsis 228 RHs in response to heat shock, salt stress, and reactive oxygen species (ROS; H₂O₂) treatment 229 [87,88]. Basal AL-PCD rates ranging from ca. 5% to 15% have been monitored in arabidopsis 230 seedlings classically grown on agar plates [88]. Thus, it is tempting to hypothesize that the 231 extension of functional RH zones might be regulated by AL-PCD in response to local environmen-232 tal conditions. 233



b0.2 Box 1. Root Hair Distribution Patterns

b1.3 RH cell distribution within the root epidermis varies among angiosperms. The distribution patterns have been sorted into three basic types: random, alternating, and position-dependent, named types 1, 2, and 3, respectively [106,107] (Figure I). b1.4 In type 1 development, displayed by, for example, Medicago truncatula, barley, and maize, RH cells can differentiate from b1.5 b1.6 any epidermal cell. This results in the absence of regular patterns, in contrast to types 2 and 3 development. Type 2, b1.7 displayed by, for example, wheat, rice, and Brachypodium, involves asymmetry in the last cell division just before epidermal cells leave the meristematic zone, leading to the formation of two daughter cells that differ in size. Only the smaller cell b1.8 differentiates into an RH cell. Thus, in each epidermal cell file along the root longitudinal axis, RH cells and non-hair cells b1.9 b1.10 alternate. In type 3 plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana and Brassica, cell files comprising entirely RHs along the root longitudinal axis alternate with one or more non-hair cell files. Evidence has been obtained in Arabidopsis that this pattern b1.11 b1.12 results from position-dependent hair cell specification: RH cells are located over two underlying cortical cells (the H cell position), whereas non-hair cells are positioned over a single cortical cell (the N cell position; see Box 2 in the main text) b1.13 [54]. However, this classification of RH distribution patterns into three major types do not describe the whole diversity b1.14 b1.15 regarding this trait since, for instance, a type 3 variant, in which long hairs differentiate from cells in H position and short b1.16 hairs from cells in the N position, has been described [108].



b1.18

Q7 Quantitative Trait Loci of RH Production and Plant Yield

Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) of RH length have been identified in various crops (e.g., barley [89], 235 maize [90], and wheat [10,91-93]). A seminal study of the correlation between RH length and 236 biomass production and yield, reported by Gahoonia and Nielsen [19], explored the biological 237 diversity within 38 barley cultivars. Large variations in RH length, from ~0.4 mm to >1.3 mm, 238 were observed in hydroponically grown plants, consistent with variations thereafter observed in 239 field conditions. Then, a set of ten representative cultivars was tested in field experiments, with 240 different levels of soil P availability. The complete set of results indicated that barley genotypes 241 242 with long RHs displayed higher tolerance to low P conditions, and expressed higher yield potentials both in low and high P soils [19]. In a similar experiment, characterization of barley mutant 243 lines with various RH phenotypes, screened from a mutagenized population, showed that RH 244 length was important for shoot P accumulation and biomass production, especially under com-245 bined water and phosphorus stress [94]. However, for grain yield, only the presence of RHs, and 246 not RH length, was critical. The difference in RH length between the genotypes classified as 247 'Short RH' and 'Long RH' (0.54 mm vs 0.69 mm) in this report was small compared with the 248 differences observed within the set of barley cultivars previously used by Gahoonia and Nielsen 249



b0.2 Box 2. Arabidopsis Root Hair Development

b2.3 Figure I describes the core of the current model of the regulation of RH development by the intrinsic developmental b2.4 program in arabidopsis [54,75], which displays a type-3 RH distribution pattern (see Box 1 in the main text). The default fate for an epidermal cell is an RH cell, and entry in the non-hair cell developmental program involves expression of a tranb2.5 b2.6 scription factor (TF) named GL2 (GLABRA2), which ultimately blocks the hair pathway. In a given epidermal cell, the level of b2.7 GL2 expression, and thereby cell fate, is determined by the relative position of this cell with respect to the underlying cortical cells. An epidermal cell in contact with two cortical cells, a position which is named 'H' (for 'hair'), develops into b2.8 an RH cell, whereas a cell in contact with a single cortical cell, a position named 'N' (for 'non hair'), enters the non-hair cell b2.9 b2.10 developmental program. Signals leading to this differentiation pattern are emitted by the cortical cell layer, and the signaling pathway involves JACKDAW (JKD), a zinc finger protein expressed in cortical cells, and SCRAMBLED (SCM), an RH b2.11 b2.12 plasmalemma receptor-like kinase. Perception of the signal together with a highly diversified and complex series of mechanisms contributes to tune the relative abundancy in N and H cells of two TFs, WER and CPC. Each of these TFs b2.13 is able to form a complex with three other TFs, GL3, EGL3, and TTG1. In N cells, due to a larger abundancy of WER, b2.14 b2.15 a WER-GL3/EGL3-TTG1 complex is formed and activates the expression of GL2, which blocks the hair fate by inhibiting b2.16 the expression of TFs, among which RHD6, required for RH formation. In H cells, CPC inhibits the function of the WER-GL3/EGL3-TTG1 complex by interfering with WER binding to GL3/EGL3 in a competitive manner, thereby leading to a b2.17 CPC inactive complex with respect to GL2 expression. Absence of the block by GL2 allows expression of RHD6 and other b2.18 TFs (RSL1 and RSL2) with major roles in RH initiation and elongation. The TF RSL4 is one of the direct targets of RHD6 and b2.19 b2.20 a major contributor to the expression of RH cell-specific genes involved in RH elongation.





[19]. Evidence that plant biomass production can be positively correlated to RH length in barley
has also been provided by phenotyping 175 lines from a doubled-haploid (DH) population,
using rhizosheath size as a surrogate for RH length and the normalized difference vegetation
index (NDVI) as a surrogate for crop biomass. These analyses revealed colocation between
QTLs for root rhizosheath size and NDVI-estimated biomass [89]. QTLs for RH length have



08

Q9

also been found to colocate with QTL for yield components in wheat [91]. However, further work
is still required to investigate whether, and under what environmental conditions, longer RHs
benefit grain yield.

258

259 Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

RHs have major roles in plant autotrophy and fitness by contributing to the anchorage of growing 260 root tips into the soil, to soil mining for water and nutrient acquisition, and to interactions with soil 261 microorganisms. The RH cell model is extensively used to decipher processes of cell fate and cell-262 263 type patterning in plants as well as the mechanisms involved in tip growth. However, it is clear that many questions regarding RH development and functions at the soil-root interface deserve to be 264 further investigated, such as the mechanisms involved in epidermal cell fate in species displaying 265 a RH patterning different from that in arabidopsis (Box 1), or the environmental and internal 266 determinants of RH longevity and apoptosis-like programmed cell death. 267

In terms of crop breeding, evidence is available that the genetic variation in root system and RH 268 traits can be used to reduce fertilization and irrigation inputs and increase crop yield in poor soil 269 conditions [95,96]. With the objective of contributing to a vital new green revolution, strong efforts 270 have been aimed at developing methodologies and imaging platforms for high-throughput 271 phenotyping (HTP) of root trait variation in large genotype collections to identify promising 272 germplasm and markers for selection. So far, the root traits that have been analyzed by such 273 HTP approaches and shown to be positively associated with yield in field experiments, at least 274 in some soil and environmental conditions, most often correspond to macroscopic features, 275 such as 'primary root length' in oilseed rape [97], or 'seminal root number', 'total root length' 276 [98], 'narrow root angle' (thought to result in a steep root phenotype) [99], or 'root diameter' 277 [100] in wheat. None of the HTP methodologies used in these studies were reported to have in-278 cluded RH development in the targeted root traits, probably because the phenotyping procedure 279 was not aimed at obtaining and analyzing high-resolution images. RH traits that have to be taken 280 into account are length and density as well as the sensitivity of these parameters to abiotic and 281 biotic conditions, such as reduced nutrient and water availability or presence of PGPR. It is 282 also likely that the location and relative extension of the root zones bearing live RHs are important 283 traits. HTP methodologies could also be used to screen, for instance, collections of crop cultivars 284 together with collections of beneficial soil bacteria by phenotyping the RH responses to the 285 inoculated bacteria before assessing the effects of selected bacterial partners on plant growth 286 in soil conditions. Various low-cost HTP methodologies can be used/adapted for such research 287 objectives, including the so-called 'paper-roll' and 'pouch and wick' setups and other 2D 288 phenotyping methodologies [101]. Our group is currently developing a similar 2D HTP approach 289 using rhizobox-like devices in which root growth occurs (Figure 1) on a piece of non-putrescible 290 tissue under automatically controlled watering. More complex 3D phenotyping methodologies 291 could also be developed using heterogeneous transparent substrates that mimic soil features 292 [102,103]. 293

RH phenotyping in plants grown in field conditions can provide valuable criteria for plant breeding 294 programs. Gentle and careful washing of excavated root systems can allow quantification of RH 295 traits, namely length and density [3,104], but this is poorly amenable to high-throughput proce-296 dures. By contrast, such analyses of RH genotypic variation in the field have provided information 297 in line with the results of HTP analyses (paper-roll type) and have been found to be effective in 298 breeding programs for edaphic stress tolerance in low-input agriculture [95, 104]. Thus, evidence 299 is already available that a combination of HTP approaches with assessment of the selected 300 germplasm in field conditions can increase the efficiency and speed up plant breeding for low-301 input agriculture [95,96,105]. This suggests that further development/adaptation of HTP 302

Outstanding Questions

What are the sensing and signaling mechanisms allowing RH production and elongation to respond to soil features (nutrient ion availability, soil moisture, texture, porosity...)?

How long do RHs stay alive and functional in the soil and what are the internal and external determinants of RH lifespan?

Do the different RH distribution patterns have specific physiological advantages and under what environmental conditions?

What are the comparative costbenefit ratios of RH production and mycorrhization, in terms of biomass production and grain yield?

What is the physiological meaning of the stimulation of RH elongation by rhizobacteria, and can this stimulation be operationally considered, in HTP methodologies, as an indication of an engagement in beneficial interactions?

What kinds of high-throughput root trait phenotyping methodologies could take into RHs consideration?

What have been the consequences of generations of selective breeding for increased yields in artificial soil conditions on the ability of plants to invest photosynthates in root development and functions?



methodologies enabling quantitative phenotyping of RH traits and of their responses to abiotic 303

and biotic conditions would contribute significantly to such programs. 304

305

Acknowledgments 306

Q10 We thank Martin Boeglin for help in preparing Figure 1. This work was supported by the French National Research Agency

- 308 (ANR) [ANR-11-BSV7-010-02 (to H.S. and A-A.V.) and ANR ANR-19-CE32-0011 (to J-B.P.)], an EU Erasmus Mundus
- grant, Alfabet project (to T.R.) and an ERANET EU Arimnet2 grant (no. 618127) (to H.S.). 309

Resources 310

311 ⁱ https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Ppatens

312

313 References

- 316 Lynch, J.P. (2019) Root phenotypes for improved nutrient 1. 317 capture: an underexploited opportunity for global agriculture. 318 New Phytol. 223, 548-564
- 319 Zhu, Y.H. et al. (2019) Evolutionary agroecology: trends in root 2. 320 architecture during wheat breeding. Evol. Appl. 12, 733-743
- 321 3 Dittmer, H.J. (1937) A quantitative study of the roots and root 322 hairs of a winter rye plant (Secale cereale). Am. J. Bot. 24, 323 417-420
- 324 Choi, H.-S. et al. (2019) Root hairs enhance Arabidopsis seed-4. 325 ling survival upon soil disruption. Sci. Rep. 9, 11181
- 326 5. Bengough, A.G. et al. (2016) Root hairs aid soil penetration by 327 anchoring the root surface to pore walls. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 328 1071-1078
- 329 6. Galloway, A.F. et al. (2020) Cereal root exudates contain highly 330 structurally complex polysaccharides with soil-binding properties. 331 Plant J. 103, 1666-1678
- 332 7. Huang, Y. et al. (2016) Nanospherical arabinogalactan proteins 333 are a key component of the high-strength adhesive secreted 334 by English ivy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, E3193-E3202
- 335 8. Bailey, P.H. et al. (2002) The role of root system architecture 336 and root hairs in promoting anchorage against uprooting 337 forces in Allium cepa and root mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana. 338 J. Exp. Bot. 53, 333-340
- 339 Pang, J. et al. (2017) Unwrapping the rhizosheath. Plant Soil 9. 340 418, 129-139
- 341 10. Delhaize, E. et al. (2015) The genetics of rhizosheath size in a 342 multiparent mapping population of wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 343 4527-4536
- 344 11. George, T.S. et al. (2014) Understanding the genetic control 345 and physiological traits associated with rhizosheath production 346 by barley (Hordeum vulgare). New Phytol. 203, 195-205
- 347 12. Brown, L.K. et al. (2017) The rhizosheath - a potential trait for 348 future agricultural sustainability occurs in orders throughout 349 the angiosperms. Plant Soil 418, 115-128
- 350 Bates, T.R. and Lynch, J.P. (1996) Stimulation of root hair elon-13. 351 gation in Arabidopsis thaliana by low phosphorus availability. 352 Plant Cell Environ, 19, 529-538
- 353 14. Janes, G. et al. (2018) Cellular patterning of Arabidopsis roots 354 under low phosphate conditions. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 735
- 355 15. Ahn, S.J. et al. (2004) Expression of KT/KUP genes in 356 Arabidopsis and the role of root hairs in K⁺ uptake. Plant Physiol. 357 134. 1135-1145
- 358 Canales, J. et al. (2017) Nitrate induction of root hair density is 16. 359 mediated by TGA1/TGA4 and CPC transcription factors in 360 Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 92, 305–316
- 361 17. Haling, R.E. et al. (2013) Root hairs improve root penetration, 362 root-soil contact, and phosphorus acquisition in soils of differ-363 ent strength. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 3711-3721
- 364 18. Tanaka, N. et al. (2014) Characteristics of a root hair-less line of 365 Arabidopsis thaliana under physiological stresses, J. Exp. Bot. 366 65. 1497-1512
- 367 Gahoonia, T.S. and Nielsen, N.E. (2004) Barley genotypes with 19. 368 long root hairs sustain high grain yields in low-P field. Plant Soil 369 262.55-62
- 370 Klinsawang, S. (2018) Effects of root hair length on potassium 20. 371 acquisition in rice (Oryza sativa I.). Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 16, 372 1609-1620

- Babourina, O, et al. (2001) K⁺ transport by Arabidopsis root 373 21 hairs at low pH. Funct. Plant Biol. 28, 637 374
 - Lew, R.R. (1998) Immediate and steady state extracellular 375 22 ionic fluxes of growing Arabidopsis thaliana root hairs under 376 hyperosmotic and hypoosmotic conditions. Physiol. Plant. 377 104, 397-404 378
 - 23. Meharg, A.A. and Blatt, M.R. (1995) NO3 transport across the 379 plasma membrane of Arabidopsis thaliana root hairs: kinetic 380 381 control by pH and membrane voltage. J. Membr. Biol. 145, 49-66 382
 - Gahoonia, T.S. and Nielsen, N.E. (1998) Direct evidence on 383 24. participation of root hairs in phosphorus (32P) uptake from 384 soil. Plant Soil 198, 147-152 385
 - Ahmed, M.A. et al. (2018) Hydraulic processes in roots and the 386 25. 387 rhizosphere pertinent to increasing yield of water-limited grain crops: a critical review, J. Exp. Bot. 69, 3255-3265 389

388

390

- 26. Carminati, A. et al. (2017) Root hairs enable high transpiration rates in drying soils. New Phytol. 216, 771-781
- Véry, A.A. et al. (2014) Molecular biology of K⁺ transport across 391 27. the plant cell membrane: what do we learn from comparison 392 be6 ween plant species. J. Plant Physiol. 171, 748-769 393
- 28 Reintanz, B. et al. (2002) AtKC1, a silent Arabidopsis potas-394 sium channel alpha -subunit modulates root hair K⁺ influx. 395 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 4079–4084 396
- Wang, Y.Y. et al. (2018) Nitrate transport, signaling, and use 397 29. 398 efficiency. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 69, 85-122
- 30. Gojon, A. et al. (2011) Nitrate transceptor(s) in plants. J. Exp. 399 Bot. 62, 2299-2308 400
- Krouk, G. et al. (2010) Nitrate-regulated auxin transport by 401 NRT1.1 defines a mechanism for nutrient sensing in plants. 402 Dev. Cell 18, 927-937 403
- Huang, L. et al. (2017) Diversification of root hair development 404 32. 405 genes in vascular plants. Plant Physiol. 174, 1697-1712
- 406 Yong, Z. et al. (2010) Characterization of an intact two-33. 407 component high-affinity nitrate transporter from Arabidopsis 408 roots. Plant J. 63, 739-748
- Nazoa, P. et al. (2003) Regulation of the nitrate transporter 409 34 gene AtNRT2.1 in Arabidopsis thaliana: responses to nitrate, 410 amino acids and developmental stage. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 411 689-703 412
- Yuan, L. et al. (2007) The organization of high-affinity ammonium 413 35 uptake in Arabidopsis roots depends on the spatial arrangement 414 and biochemical properties of AMT1-type transporters. Plant Cell 415 19.2636-2652 416
- 417 Młodzińska, E. and Zboińska, M. (2016) Phosphate uptake and 36 allocation - a closer look at Arabidopsis thaliana L. and Oryza 418 sativa L. Front, Plant Sci. 7, 1198 419
- Nussaume, L. et al. (2011) Phosphate import in plants: focus 420 on the PHT1 transporters. Front. Plant Sci. 2, 83 421
- 422 38. Wang, F. et al. (2018) Molecular mechanisms of phosphate 423 transport and signaling in higher plants. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 74 114-122 424
- 425 Takahashi, H. (2019) Sulfate transport systems in plants: 39. functional diversity and molecular mechanisms underlying reg-426 ulatory coordination, J. Exp. Bot, 70, 4075-4087 427
- 40. Damiani, I. et al. (2016) Nod factor effects on root hair-specific 428 transcriptome of Medicago truncatula: focus on plasma 429



430 membrane transport systems and reactive oxygen species 431 networks. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 794

- 432 41. De Michele, R. et al. (2012) Ammonium and urea transporter 433 inventory of the Selaginella and Physcomitrella genomes. 434 Front, Plant Sci. 3, 62
- 435 Garciadeblás, B. et al. (2007) Potassium transport systems in 42. 436 the moss Physcomitrella patens; pphak1 plants reveal the 437 complexity of potassium uptake, Plant J. 52, 1080-1093
- 438 43. Takahashi, H. et al. (2012) Evolutionary relationships and func-439 tional diversity of plant sulfate transporters. Front. Plant Sci. 2, 440 119 - 128
- 441 Tsujimoto, R. et al. (2007) Distinct roles of nitrate and nitrite in 44. regulation of expression of the nitrate transport genes in the 442 443 moss Physcomitrella patens. Plant Cell Physiol. 48, 484-497
- 444 45. Kwasniewski, M. et al. (2016) Transcriptome analysis reveals 445 the role of the root hairs as environmental sensors to maintain 446 plant functions under water-deficiency conditions. J. Exp. Bot. 447 67, 1079-1094
- 448 46. Shahzad, Z. and Amtmann, A. (2017) Food for thought: how 449 nutrients regulate root system architecture. Curr. Opin. Plant 450 Biol. 39. 80-87
- 451 Orfanoudakis, M. et al. (2010) Both the arbuscular mycorrhizal 47. 452 fungus Gigaspora rosea and Frankia increase root system 453 branching and reduce root hair frequency in Alnus glutinosa. 454 Mycorrhiza 20, 117-126
- Sun, X.-G. and Tang, M. (2013) Effect of arbuscular mycorrhi-455 48. 456 zal fungi inoculation on root traits and root volatile organic com-457 pound emissions of Sorghum bicolor. S. Afr. J. Bot. 88, 458 373-379
- 459 49. Wu, Q.S. et al. (2016) Mycorrhiza alters the profile of root hairs 460 in trifoliate orange. Mycorrhiza 26, 237-247
- 461 Liu, C.Y. et al. (2018) Mycorrhiza stimulates root-hair growth 50. 462 and IAA synthesis and transport in trifoliate orange under 463 drought stress. Sci. Rep. 8, 1978
- 464 51. Ditengou, F.A. et al. (2000) Root hair elongation is inhibited by 465 hypaphorine, the indole alkaloid from the ectomycorrhizal fun-466 gus Pisolithus tinctorius, and restored by indole-3-acetic acid. 467 Planta 211, 722–728
- 468 Rigas, S. et al. (2013) Root gravitropism and root hair develop-52. 469 ment constitute coupled developmental responses regulated 470 by auxin homeostasis in the Arabidopsis root apex. New 471 Phytol, 197, 1130-1141
- 472 Drew, E.A. et al. (2003) Bevond the rhizosphere; growth and 53. 473 function of arbuscular mycorrhizal external hyphae in sands of 474 varving pore sizes. Plant Soil 251, 105-114
- 475 Grierson, C. et al. (2014) Root hairs. Arabidopsis Book 12, 54. 476 e0172
- 477 55 Jakobsen, I. et al. (2005) Contrasting phosphate acquisition of 478 mycorrhizal fungi with that of root hairs using the root hairless 479 barley mutant. Plant Cell Environ. 28, 928-938
- 480 56. Brown, L.K. et al. (2013) Interactions between root hair length 481 and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation in phosphorus defi-482 cient barley (Hordeum vulgare). Plant Soil 372, 195-205
- 483 57. Li, T. et al. (2014) Relative importance of an arbuscular mycor-484 rhizal fungus (Rhizophagus intraradices) and root hairs in plant 485 drought tolerance. Mycorrhiza 24, 595-602
- 486 58. Haichar, F.E.Z. et al. (2016) Stable isotope probing of carbon 487 flow in the plant holobiont. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 41, 9-13
- 488 59. Venturi, V. and Keel, C. (2016) Signaling in the rhizosphere. 489 Trends Plant Sci. 21, 187-198
- 490 60. Holz, M. et al. (2018) Root hairs increase rhizosphere extension 491 and carbon input to soil. Ann. Bot. 121, 61-69
- 492 61. Bobertson-Albertyn, S. et al. (2017) Boot hair mutations 493 displace the barley rhizosphere microbiota. Front. Plant Sci. 494 8.1094
- 495 62 Bulgarelli, D. et al. (2013) Structure and functions of the bacte-496 rial microbiota of plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64, 807-838
- 497 Vacheron, J. et al. (2013) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria 63. 498 and root system functioning. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 356
- 499 64. Contesto, C. et al. (2008) Effects of rhizobacterial ACC deam-500 inase activity on Arabidopsis indicate that ethylene mediates 501 local root responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. 502 Plant Sci. 175, 178-189
- 503 65. Galland, M. et al. (2012) The ethylene pathway contributes 504 to root hair elongation induced by the beneficial bacteria

Phyllobacterium brassicacearum STM196. Plant Sci. 190, 505 74-81 506

- 66. Jain, D.K. and Patriquin, D.G. (1984) Root hair deformation, 507 bacterial attachment, and plant growth in wheat-Azospirillum 508 associations, Appl. Environ, Microbiol, 48, 1208-1213 509 510
- López-Bucio, J. et al. (2007) Bacillus megaterium rhizobacteria 67. 511 promote growth and alter root-system architecture through an auxin- and ethylene-independent signaling mechanism in 512 513 Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 20, 207-217
- 68 Poitout, A. et al. (2017) Local signalling pathways regulate the 514 Arabidopsis root developmental response to Mesorhizobium 515 loti inoculation. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 1199-1211 516 517
- Ribaudo, C.M. et al. (2006) Azospirillum sp. promotes root hair 69 development in tomato plants through a mechanism that involves ethylene. J. Plant Growth Regul. 25, 175-185

518

519

525

527

529

530

536

- Vacheron, J. et al. (2018) Differential contribution of plant-520 70. beneficial functions from Pseudomonas kilonensis F113 521 to root system architecture alterations in Arabidopsis 522 thaliana and Zea mays. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 31, 523 212-223 524
- Zamioudis, C. et al. (2013) Unraveling root developmental pro-71. grams initiated by beneficial Pseudomonas spp. bacteria. Plant 526 Physiol. 162, 304-318 528
- Pecenková, T. et al. (2017) Early Arabidopsis root hair growth 72 stimulation by pathogenic strains of Pseudomonas syringae. Ann. Bot. 120, 437-446
- 73. Haling, B.F. et al. (2014) Root hair length and rhizosheath mass. 531 532 depend on soil porosity, strength and water content in barley genotypes. Planta 239. 643-651 533
- 74. Vissenberg, K. et al. (2020) Hormonal regulation of root hair 534 535 growth and responses to the environment in Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 2412-2427
- Cui, S. et al. (2018) Regulation and functional diversification of 537 75 root hairs. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 83, 115–122 538
- 76. Bruex, A. et al. (2012) A gene regulatory network for root 539 epidermis cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. 8, 540 e1002446 541
- Zhang, S. et al. (2016) Multiple phytohormones promote root 542 hair elongation by regulating a similar set of genes in the root 543 epidermis in Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 6363-6372 544
- Masucci, J.D. and Schiefelbein, J.W. (1996) Hormones act 545 78. downstream of TTG and GL2 to promote root hair outgrowth 546 547 during epidermis development in the Arabidopsis root, Plant Cell 8, 1505-1517 548
- 549 Yi, K, et al. (2010) A basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 79 550 controls cell growth and size in root hairs. Nat. Genet. 42, 551 264-267
- 80 Han, G. et al. (2020) Arabidopsis ZINC FINGER PROTEIN1 552 acts downstream of GL2 to repress root hair initiation and 553 elongation by directly suppressing bHLH genes. Plant Cell 554 32, 206-225 555
- Bhosale, R. et al. (2018) A mechanistic framework for auxin 556 81. dependent Arabidopsis root hair elongation to low external 557 558 phosphate. Nat. Commun. 9, 1409
- Song, L. et al. (2016) The molecular mechanism of ethylene-559 82. mediated root hair development induced by phosphate 560 starvation. PLoS Genet. 12, e1006194 561
- 83. Poupin, M.J. et al. (2016) A complex molecular interplay 562 of auxin and ethylene signaling pathways Is involved in 563 564 Arabidopsis growth promotion by Burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN, Front, Plant Sci, 7, 492 565
- Henry, C.M. and Deacon, J.W. (1981) Natural (non-pathogenic) 566 84. 567 death of the cortex of wheat and barley seminal roots, as evi-568 denced by nuclear staining with acridine orange. Plant Soil 60, 569 255-274
- 85 Holden, J. (1975) Use of nuclear staining to assess rates of 570 cell death in cortices of cereal roots. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7, 571 572 333-334
- McElgunn, J.D. and Harrison, C.M. (1969) Formation, elongation, 573 86 and longevity of barley root hairs. Agron. J. 61, 79-81 574
- Hogg, B.V. et al. (2011) An in vivo root hair assay for determin-575 576 ing rates of apoptotic-like programmed cell death in plants. Plant Methods 7, 45 577
- 578 88. Tan, K. et al. (2016) Nuclear dynamics and programmed cell death in Arabidopsis root hairs. Plant Sci. 253, 77-85 579



623

625

- Gong, X. and McDonald, G. (2017) QTL mapping of root traits 580 89. 581 in phosphorus-deficient soils reveals important genomic re-582 gions for improving NDVI and grain yield in barley. Theor. 583 Appl. Genet. 130, 1885-1902
- 584 90. Zhu, J. et al. (2005) Mapping of QTL controlling root hair length 585 in maize (Zea mays L.) under phosphorus deficiency. Plant Soil 586 270, 299-310
- 587 Horn, R. et al. (2016) Mapping of quantitative trait loci for root 91. 588 hair length in wheat identifies loci that co-locate with loci for 589 yield components. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 4535-4543
- 590 92. James, R.A. et al. (2016) Rhizosheaths on wheat grown in acid 591 soils: phosphorus acquisition efficiency and genetic control. 592 J. Exp. Bot. 67, 3709-3718
- 593 93. Liu, M. et al. (2017) Analysis of aneuploid lines of bread wheat 594 to map chromosomal locations of genes controlling root hair 595 length. Ann. Bot. 119, 1333–1341
- 596 Brown, L.K. et al. (2012) What are the implications of variation 94. 597 in root hair length on tolerance to phosphorus deficiency in 598 combination with water stress in barley (Hordeum vulgare). 599 Ann. Bot. 110, 319–328
- 600 95. Burridge, J.D. et al. (2019) A case study on the efficacy of root 601 phenotypic selection for edaphic stress tolerance in low-input 602 agriculture: common bean breeding in Mozambigue. Field 603 Crops Res. 244, 107612
- 604 Strock, C.F. et al. (2019) Seedling root architecture and its 96. 605 relationship with seed vield across diverse environments in 606 Phaseolus vulgaris, Field Crop Res. 237, 53-64
- 607 Thomas, C.L. et al. (2016) High-throughput phenotyping (HTP) 97. 608 identifies seedling root traits linked to variation in seed yield and 609 nutrient capture in field-grown oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.). 610 Ann. Bot. 118, 655-665

- 98. Xie, Q. et al. (2017) Identifying seedling root architectural traits 611 associated with yield and yield components in wheat. Ann. Bot. 612 613 119, 1115–1129
- Khokhar, J.S. et al. (2019) Juvenile root traits show limited cor-614 99. relation with grain yield, yield components and grain mineral 615 composition traits in Indian wheat under hostile soils. Cereal 616 Res. Commun. 47, 362–382 617
- 100. Bai, C. et al. (2019) The relationships between seedling root 618 screens, root growth in the field and grain yield for wheat. 619 Plant Soil 440, 311-326 620 621
- 101. Downie, H.F. et al. (2015) Challenges and opportunities for quantifying roots and rhizosphere interactions through imaging 622 and image analysis. Plant Cell Environ. 38, 1213-1232 624
- 102. Downie, H.F. et al. (2012) Transparent soil for imaging the rhizosphere. PLoS ONE 7, e44276
- 103. Ma, L. et al. (2019) Hydrogel-based transparent soils for root 626 phenotyping in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116, 627 11063-11068 628
- 104. Miguel, M.A. (2015) Phene synergism between root hair length 629 and basal root growth angle for phosphorus acquisition. Plant 630 Physiol. 167, 1430-1439 631
- 105. White, P.J. (2019) Root traits benefitting crop production in en-632 vironments with limited water and nutrient availability. Ann. Bot. 633 634 124.883-890
- 106. Clowes, F.A.L. (2000) Pattern in root meristem development in 635 636 angiosperms, New Phytol, 146, 83-94
- 107. Marzec, M. et al. (2014) The evolutionary context of root epidermis 637 cell patterning in grasses (Poaceae). Plant Signal. Behav. 9, e27972 638
- Tsai, S.L. et al. (2003) The root epidermis of Echium plantagineum 639 108 640 L.: a novel type of pattern based on the distribution of short and 641 long root hairs. Planta 217, 238-244