



HAL
open science

Benefits of dual inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia on *Phaseolus vulgaris* planted in a low-fertility tropical soil

A.T.E. Razakatiana, Jean Trap, R.H. Baohanta, M. Raherimandimby, C. Le Roux, R. Duponnois, H. Ramanankierana, Thierry Becquer

► To cite this version:

A.T.E. Razakatiana, Jean Trap, R.H. Baohanta, M. Raherimandimby, C. Le Roux, et al.. Benefits of dual inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia on *Phaseolus vulgaris* planted in a low-fertility tropical soil. *Pedobiologia*, 2020, 83, pp.150685. 10.1016/j.pedobi.2020.150685 . hal-02965344

HAL Id: hal-02965344

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02965344>

Submitted on 17 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Short Communication**

2

3

4 **Benefits of dual inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia on**
5 ***Phaseolus vulgaris* planted in a low-fertility tropical soil**

6

7 A.T.E. Razakatiana^{a,b}, J. Trap^c, R.H. Baohanta^b, M. Raherimandimby^a, C. Le Roux^{d,e}, R.
8 Duponnois^e, H. Ramanankierana^b, T. Becquer^{c,*}

9

10 ^a Université d'Antananarivo, Faculté des Sciences, Laboratoire de Biotechnologie et de
11 Microbiologie, BP 906, Antananarivo, Madagascar

12 ^b Centre National de Recherches sur l'Environnement (CNRE), Laboratoire de Microbiologie
13 de l'Environnement (LME), BP 1739, Antananarivo, Madagascar

14 ^c Eco&Sols, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro Montpellier,
15 France

16 ^d CIRAD, UMR LSTM, F-34398 Montpellier, France.

17 ^e LSTM, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France

18

19

20 *Corresponding author:

21 Thierry Becquer

22 Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UMR Eco&Sols, 2 Place Pierre Viala, F-
23 34060 Montpellier, France

24 *E-mail address:* thierry.becquer@ird.fr

25 **Abstract**

26 The growth response of *Phaseolus vulgaris* to dual inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal
27 (AM) fungi and rhizobia was studied in a low-fertility tropical soil in Madagascar. Two
28 isolates of AM fungi identified as *Acaulospora* sp. and *Glomus* sp., respectively, along with a
29 cocktail of ten *Rhizobium* spp. strains were used to conduct a greenhouse experiment in a
30 fully randomized block design with two factors. The *Phaseolus vulgaris* seedlings received
31 one of the following inoculation treatments: no inoculation, separate inoculation with each of
32 the three microbial symbionts (the two AM fungal isolates and the rhizobia), and co-
33 inoculation with each of the two AM fungal isolates and the mix of rhizobium strains. The
34 results showed an additive effect of co-infection by AM fungi and rhizobia on plant growth
35 and on the total N content of the plants, along with a synergistic effect on the total P content,
36 the number of nodules and the mycorrhizal rate of the plants. Dual symbiosis with native
37 strains contributes to the success of legumes, especially in harsh environments and low-
38 fertility tropical soils.

39

40 **Keywords:** Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; Rhizobia; Dual inoculation; Native strains; low-
41 fertility tropical soil

42

43 **1. Introduction**

44 The intensive agriculture model, based on the use of synthetic inputs and natural
45 resources to minimize the effects of production-limiting factors and environmental
46 heterogeneity, is gradually giving way to an alternative model based on agro-ecological
47 technologies (Duru and Therond, 2015; Stavi et al., 2016). One such technology relies on
48 biodiversity management within agro-ecosystems to provide supporting and regulating
49 ecosystem services in a manner to improve resource-use efficiency and reduce the negative
50 impacts of conventional agriculture (Duru and Therond, 2015).

51 Within this biodiversity, soil microorganisms mostly belonging to the bacteria and fungi
52 are involved in complex and diverse forms of interactions with terrestrial plants (van der
53 Heijden et al., 2016). Consequently, plants can no longer be considered as stand-alone
54 biological entities (Vandenkoornhuyse et al., 2015). Their multiple and complex interactions
55 with mutualistic symbionts enable them to derive a wide range of benefits (Ossler et al., 2015;
56 Souza et al., 2015). Indeed, it is widely recognized that soil microorganisms perform crucial
57 roles in nutrient cycling and are involved in key plant functions, such as nutrition and growth
58 (Richardson et al., 2009).

59 Two of these complex interactions have been widely described: the close association
60 between roots and (i) fungi forming the well-known arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal
61 association and (ii) bacteria belonging to the genus *Rhizobium* forming the root-nodule with
62 Fabaceae. AM fungi are obligate plant symbionts that provide resources for plants, primarily
63 phosphorus (P), in exchange for plant photosynthates (Smith and Read, 2008). Rhizobia are
64 free-living soil bacteria that colonize the root systems of many legume species and fix
65 atmospheric nitrogen (N) (Peoples et al., 1995). A key meta-analysis found an overall additive
66 effect of co-infection by AM fungi and rhizobia on plant growth responses (Larimer et al.,
67 2010). To our knowledge, few studies have investigated synergistic effects arising from

68 mixed inoculation of Rhizobium-mycorrhiza (e.g. Young et al., 1988). However, according to
69 Nadeem et al. (2014), synergistic interactions are more likely to be effective in conditions
70 where biotic or abiotic stresses have occurred.

71 One of the major mechanisms by which inoculation with AM fungus acts on plant
72 functions seems to be phosphatase activity, involving key enzymes responsible for the
73 hydrolysis of organic P (Tabatabai, 1994). Indeed, there is a broad consensus on the limitation
74 of symbiotic N₂ fixation by P availability in terrestrial ecosystems (Augusto et al., 2013) and
75 the lack of adequate levels of available P in tropical soils, such as in Madagascar, is one of the
76 major constraints for crop production (Raminoarison et al., 2020). Despite various studies
77 showing the benefits of the dual inoculation of legumes, such effectiveness in low-fertility
78 tropical soils with a high P sorption capacity is still poorly documented.

79 The aim of this study was to determine the effects of dual inoculation of both native
80 microsymbionts, rhizobial bacteria and AM fungi, on *Phaseolus vulgaris* growth in a P- and
81 N-depleted tropical soil. Given the complementarity of N and P as plant growth-limiting
82 resources, this study tested the hypothesis that dual inoculation with both symbionts would
83 have a positive interaction in association with plant roots, and would benefit *Phaseolus*
84 *vulgaris* growth and productivity. Moreover, we assumed that, whereas most of the time AM
85 fungi and rhizobia do not interact synergistically (Larimer et al., 2010), it could be more
86 effective for enhancing N fixation in stressful environments caused by the low availability of
87 P in tropical soils.

88

89 **2. Materials and methods**

90 We conducted a greenhouse experiment in Madagascar with *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv.
91 Ranjonomy as a plant model. A Ferralsol (0-20 cm), typical of the hills of the Malagasy
92 Highlands, was collected at Lazaina (18°46' S, 47°32' E, North of Antananarivo) from

93 unfertilized long-term grassland fallows. It was an acidic (pH 5.4) sandy clay loam, with total
94 carbon, N and P contents of 16.4, 0.91 and 0.61 g kg⁻¹, respectively (Henintsoa et al., 2017).
95 Phosphorus availability was very low ($P_{i\text{water}} = 1.1 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$) due to its sorption by clay
96 minerals and iron/aluminium oxides, which amounted to 244 g kg⁻¹ for kaolinite, 247 g kg⁻¹
97 for gibbsite and 36 g kg⁻¹ for iron oxides. It was sieved with a 2-mm mesh, mixed (1:1, w/w)
98 with washed river sand (with total C, N and P contents of 0.17, 0.013, 0.002 g kg⁻¹), and
99 autoclaved at 121°C for 40 min.

100 Native strains of rhizobia and AM fungi were isolated from the roots and rhizospheric
101 soil of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), respectively, taken from an experimental design with
102 intercropped bean and rice growing in the same soil at Lazaina. Two AM fungal isolates
103 extracted by the wet sieving and decanting method (Gerdemann and Nicolson, 1963) were
104 selected. They were identified according to their morphological features (colour, size and
105 shape), and by using the key provided by the International Culture Collection of Vesicular
106 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (INVAM, <http://www.invam.wvu.edu>): M1, with 50- μm
107 brown spores, consisting of *Acaulospora* sp. and M2, with 80- μm black spores, consisting of
108 *Glomus* sp. The rhizobial inoculum S1 corresponded to a cocktail of ten *Rhizobium* spp.
109 strains from bioassays carried out on a collection of infective isolates, selected for their
110 greater symbiotic effectiveness.

111 The experiment was a fully randomized block design with two factors, inoculations of
112 AM fungus (coded “M”) and rhizobia (coded “S”), and four replications. The design
113 comprised a negative control without inoculation (coded “S0/M0”), and treatments with
114 inoculation by the two AM fungal strains, *Acaulospora* sp. (“M1”) or *Glomus* sp. (“M2”), and
115 by the rhizobial mixture (“S1”), either alone or together.

116 One bean seed was sown in 1-litre mesocosms with 1 kg of a sterile soil-sand mixture
117 placed in a greenhouse with a 12-hour photoperiod and 28/18°C day/night temperature. Tap

118 water was supplied every two days to adjust moisture to nearly 80% of water-holding
119 capacity, i.e. 31 g of water per 100 g of the dry soil-sand mixture. The soils were inoculated
120 on sowing with AM fungi and/or one week after sowing with rhizobia. For AM fungi, the
121 strains were maintained on *Sorghum* sp. grown for 6 weeks in sterilised sand, and a sand
122 inoculum (1 g of chopped AM-colonized sorghum roots (75% infection levels) and 50 g of
123 sand) was placed in a 5-cm slot made near the seedling (Duponnois et al., 2001). For rhizobia,
124 5 ml of liquid inoculum, grown on yeast mannitol broth (YMB) for 24 h, was applied to the
125 base of each seedling. The negative controls (S0 and M0) were managed in the same way as
126 the positive ones, but without inocula, i.e. by adding 5 ml of YMB for S0 or a mixture of sand
127 and non-mycorrhizal sorghum roots for M0.

128 Plants were harvested two months after sowing. Shoots and roots were gently separated
129 and washed to remove the soil, and their biomass was determined after drying at 65°C for
130 48 h. The N and P contents of the shoot biomass were determined by the Kjeldahl method and
131 by colorimetry (molybdenum blue) after acid digestion, respectively. Nodules were separated
132 from fresh roots and counted. Fresh roots were stained with Trypan Blue (Phillips and
133 Hayman 1970) and the percentage of root length colonized by the mycorrhizal fungus was
134 quantified by the Giovannetti and Mosse method (1980) using 30 root segments. Soil
135 phosphatase activity was measured by the Tabatabai method (1994), using the hydrolysis of
136 p-nitrophenylphosphate (p-NPP), buffered at pH 6.0 for acid phosphatase measurement, and
137 pH 11 for alkaline phosphatase measurement, respectively, using a citrate-phosphate buffer
138 (i.e. McIlvain buffer). Means and standard deviations were calculated per treatment for all
139 variables. In order to test the interaction significance between plant mutualists, we performed
140 a two-way ANOVA with AM fungi and rhizobia inoculations as factors, including three
141 treatments for the AM fungus factor (None, M1 and M2) and two treatments for rhizobia (S0,
142 S1). The two-way ANOVAs were followed by Tukey HSD post hoc tests to localize the

143 significant differences between treatments and display letters of pair-wise comparisons. When
144 the interaction was significant, the Tukey HSD post hoc results from the interaction were
145 displayed. When the interaction was not significant, the Tukey HSD post hoc results for the
146 main effects were displayed. The ANOVA residuals were checked for normality using Wilk-
147 Shapiro tests. All tests were performed using R software (R Core Team, 2015) at $P < 0.05$.

148

149 **3. Results**

150 After two months of growth, shoot biomass was significantly impacted by the inoculation
151 of rhizobia (P -value 0.012) and mycorrhiza (P -value 0.021), without any significant
152 interaction (P -value 0.251) between these two factors (Table 1). The inoculation of rhizobia
153 (S1) and AM fungus (M1, M2), alone or together, induced higher shoot biomass (around 50%
154 more) than the controls (S0 and M0). The total plant biomass showed similar patterns with the
155 highest values for treatments S1 (0.29 g) and M1 (0.30 g). In contrast, we did not find any
156 significant changes in root biomass or in the shoot:root ratio between the treatments. A slight
157 increase (P -value < 0.001) in the total N content of the plant was observed with the inoculation
158 of both rhizobia (+ 13%) and mycorrhiza (+7%). Thus, the amount of N accumulated in plant
159 biomass increased by 70% after inoculation with rhizobia or mycorrhiza. Alkaline
160 phosphatase activity exhibited the same trend as shoot and total plant biomass, i.e. higher
161 values for the inoculation of rhizobia (P -value 0.013) and mycorrhiza (P -value 0.001),
162 without any significant interaction (P -value = 0.401). AM fungal isolate M2 was more
163 efficient than M1.

164 The plant P content, the number of nodules, the mycorrhizal rate of the plants and acid
165 phosphatase activity were affected by inoculation with both AM fungi and rhizobia, with
166 significant interactions (Table 1). The plant P content, which was 0.85 g kg^{-1} without
167 inoculation (S0-M0), increased to 1.57 g kg^{-1} with dual inoculation (S1-M2), corresponding to

168 an amount of P accumulated in plant biomass that was three times greater. The nodule
169 number, which was zero in the absence of inoculation with the rhizobium strains, reached 134
170 nodules per plant after inoculation. However, co-inoculation of soil with mycorrhizal strains
171 increased nodulation by 77-89%. The mycorrhization rate, which was also zero in the absence
172 of inoculation, increased slightly (12%) following inoculation with rhizobia. The
173 mycorrhization rate increased from 30-63% for inoculation with mycorrhiza alone to 80-95%
174 for inoculation with both mycorrhiza and rhizobia, i.e. an increase of 28-216% (Table 1).

175

176 **4. Discussion**

177 We showed positive responses of legumes to rhizobial and AM symbioses, as often found
178 (Xie et al., 1995; Ndoye et al., 2015), despite strong N and P depletion in these tropical soils.
179 Based on greenhouse experiments, a meta-analysis showed an increase in yield of 59% for
180 rhizobial inoculation, 45% for AM fungi and 44% for rhizobial and AM fungi (Kaschuk et al.,
181 2010), in line with our results. However, we found a synergistic effect of dual inoculation on
182 plant P content, nodulation, mycorrhizal rate and acid phosphatase activity. Few data have
183 shown a similar synergistic effect of dual inoculation (e.g. Chalk et al., 2006; Ossler et al.,
184 2015), with most results showing that the effects of dual inoculation are only additive (see the
185 quantitative review of Larimer et al. 2010). Synergistic benefits of dual inoculation are
186 thought to occur mostly in soils with both limited N and P availability (Mortimer et al., 2012).
187 According to the stress-gradient hypothesis for plant communities predicting an increasing
188 importance of facilitative mechanisms relative to competition along gradients of increasing
189 environmental stress (Maestre et al., 2009), it is possible that dual inoculation is likely to
190 produce synergistic effects in severely nutrient-depleted Malagasy soils. This hypothesis is
191 supported by the fact that the main mechanism by which inoculation with AM fungi acts on
192 plant functions seems to be improved phosphatase activity, particularly that of acid

193 phosphatases responsible for organic P hydrolysis (Tabatabai, 1994) and involved in
194 supplying the high P requirements of N₂-fixing nodules (Sulieman and Tran, 2015). However,
195 according to Zhang et al. (2016), phosphatase activity would not seem to be due to the AM
196 fungus itself, but to a free-living phosphate-solubilizing bacterium associated with AM fungi.
197 Our study, carried out on a tropical soil with high P-fixing capacity and poor N availability,
198 supported the hypothesis of a contribution of acid phosphatase activity and showed a highly
199 significant positive interaction between the two symbionts on the number of nodules and the
200 mycorrhization rate. However, the costs and benefits associated with these interactions for the
201 plant are context-dependent, with AM fungi and rhizobia being less beneficial to plants in
202 environments high in P (Hoeksema et al., 2010) or N (Herridge et al., 1984) (in Larimer et al.,
203 2014). However, dual symbioses with AM fungus and rhizobia contribute to the success of
204 legumes, especially in a harsh environment and on low-fertility soils (Franco and de Faria,
205 1997; van der Heijden et al., 2016).

206 We also highlighted the positive effect of native symbiotic microorganisms selected from
207 the soils of the Malagasy Highlands. Native microorganisms can display better adaptability to
208 soil and environmental stress under harsh conditions (e.g. Kawaka et al., 2014), with the M2
209 strain displaying greater efficiency. As the quality of the commercial products used as
210 biofertilizers is sometimes questionable (Herrmann and Lesueur, 2013) and the potential
211 negative consequences of introducing microorganisms into the soil is poorly understood
212 (Thomsen and Hart, 2018), commercial inoculants should be used with caution, especially in
213 ecosystems like Madagascar, which are hotspots of endemic biodiversity that need to be
214 protected (Mittermeier et al., 2011).

215

216 **Acknowledgements**

217 This research was supported by Agropolis Fondation (France) under reference ID 321
218 1001-009 through the “Investissements d’Avenir” programme (Labex Agro: ANR-10-LABX-
219 322 0001-01). We acknowledge Agropolis Fondation for financial support and for the PhD
220 grant provided to A.T.E. Razakatiana to conduct this research. The authors wish to thank
221 Peter Biggins, a professional translator/reviser at CIRAD, for reviewing the English of the
222 manuscript.

223

224 **References**

- 225 Augusto, L., Delerue, F., Gallet-Budynek, A., Achat, D.L., 2013. Global assessment of
226 limitation to symbiotic nitrogen fixation by phosphorus availability in terrestrial
227 ecosystems using a meta-analysis approach. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle* 27, 804-815.
- 228 Chalk, P.M., Souza, R.D.F., Urquiaga, S., Alves, B.J.R., Boddey, R.M., 2006. The role of
229 arbuscular mycorrhiza in legume symbiotic performance. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 2944-
230 2951.
- 231 Duponnois, R., Plenchette, C., Bâ, A.M., 2001. Growth stimulation of seventeen fallow
232 leguminous plants inoculated with *Glomus aggregatum* in Senegal. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 37,
233 181-186.
- 234 Duru, M., Therond, O., 2015. Livestock system sustainability and resilience in intensive
235 production zones: which form of ecological modernization? *Reg. Environ. Change* 15,
236 1651-1665.
- 237 Franco, A.A., de Faria, S.M., 1997. The contribution of N₂-fixing tree legumes to land
238 reclamation and sustainability in the tropics. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 29, 897-903.
- 239 Gerdemann, J.W., Nicolson, T.H., 1963. Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted
240 from soil by wet sieving and decanting. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* 46, 235-244.

241 Giovannetti, M., Mosse, B., 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular
242 arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. *New Phytol.* 84, 489-500.

243 Henintsoa, M., Becquer, T., Rabeharisoa, L., Gérard, F., 2017. Geochemical and microbial
244 controls of the effect of citrate on phosphorus availability in a ferralsol. *Geoderma* 291,
245 33-39.

246 Herridge, D.F., Roughley, R.J., Brockwell, J., 1984. Effect of rhizobia and soil nitrate on the
247 establishment and functioning of the soybean symbiosis in the field. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*
248 35, 149–161.

249 Herrmann, L., Lesueur, D., 2013. Challenges of formulation and quality of biofertilizers for
250 successful inoculation. *Appl. Environ. Biotechnol.* 97, 8859-8873.

251 Hoeksema, J.D., Chaudhary, V.B., Gehring, C.A., Johnson, N.C., Karst, J., Koide, R.T.,
252 Pringle, A., Zabinski, C., Bever, J.D., Moore, J.C., Wilson, G.W.T., Klironomos, J.N.,
253 Umbanhowar, J., 2010. A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to
254 inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol. Lett.* 13, 394–407.

255 Kaschuk, G., Leffelaar, P.A., Giller, K.E., Alberton, O., Hungria, M., Kuyper, T.W., 2010.
256 Responses of legumes to rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a meta-analysis of
257 potential photosynthate limitation of symbioses. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 125-127.

258 Kawaka, F., Dida, M.M., Opala, P.A., Ombori, O., Maingi, J., Osoro, N., Muthini, M.,
259 Amoding, A., Mukaminega, D., Muoma, J., 2014. Symbiotic efficiency of native rhizobia
260 nodulating common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in soils of Western Kenya. *Int. Sch.*
261 *Res. Not.* 258497.

262 Larimer, A.L., Bever, J.D., Clay, K., 2010. The interactive effects of plant microbial
263 symbionts: a review and meta-analysis. *Symbiosis* 51, 139-148.

264 Larimer, A.L., Clay, K., Bever, J.D., 2014. Synergism and context dependency of interactions
265 between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia with a prairie legume. *Ecology* 95,
266 1045-1054.

267 Maestre, F.T., Callaway, R.M., Valladares, F., Lortie, C.J., 2009. Refining the stress-gradient
268 hypothesis for competition and facilitation in plant communities. *J. Ecol.* 97, 199-205.

269 Mittermeier, R.A., Turner, W.R., Larsen, F.W., Brooks, T.M., Gascon, C., 2011. Global
270 biodiversity conservation: the critical role of hotspots. In: Zachos, F.E., Habel, J.C.
271 (Eds.), *Biodiversity hotspots*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 3-22.

272 Mortimer, P.E., Pérez-Fernández, M.A., Valentine, A.J., 2012. Arbuscular mycorrhiza
273 maintains nodule function during external NH_4^+ supply in *Phaseolus vulgaris* (L.).
274 *Mycorrhiza* 22, 237-245.

275 Nadeem, S.M., Ahmad, M., Zahir, Z.A., Javaid, A., Ashraf, M., 2014. The role of
276 mycorrhizae and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in improving crop
277 productivity under stressful environments. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 32, 429-448.

278 Ndoye, F., Kane, A., Diedhiou, A.G., Bakhoun, N., Fall, D., Sadio, O., Sy, M.O., Noba, K.,
279 Diouf, D., 2015. Effects of dual inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and
280 rhizobia on *Acacia senegal* (L.) Willd. Seedling growth and soil enzyme activities in
281 Senegal. *Int. J. Biosci.* 6, 36-48.

282 Ossler, J.N., Zielinski, C.A., Heath, K.D., 2015. Tripartite mutualism: Facilitation or trade-
283 offs between rhizobial and mycorrhizal symbionts of legume hosts. *Am. J. Bot.* 102,
284 1332-1341.

285 Peoples, M.B., Herridge, D.F., Ladha, J.K., 1995. Biological nitrogen fixation: an efficient
286 source of nitrogen for sustainable agricultural production? *Plant Soil* 174, 3-28.

287 Phillips, J.M., Hayman, D.S., 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining
288 parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection.
289 Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc. 55, 158-161.

290 R Core Team, 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
291 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.

292 Raminoarison, M., Razafimbelo, T., Rakotoson, T., Becquer, T., Blanchart, E., Trap, J., 2020.
293 Multiple-nutrient limitation of upland rainfed rice in Ferralsols: a greenhouse nutrient
294 omission trial. J. Plant Nutr. 43, 270-284.

295 Richardson, A.E., Barea, J.M., McNeill, A.M., Prigent-Combaret, C., 2009. Acquisition of
296 phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by
297 microorganisms. Plant soil 321, 305-339.

298 Smith, S.E., Read, D.J., 2008. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Third edition. Academic Press, London

299 Souza, R., Ambrosini, A., Passaglia, L.M.P., 2015. Plant growth-promoting bacteria as
300 inoculants in agricultural soils. Genet. Mol. Biol. 38, 401-419.

301 Stavi, I., Bel, G., Zaady, E., 2016. Soil functions and ecosystem services in conventional,
302 conservation, and integrated agricultural systems. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 36, 1-
303 12.

304 Sulieman, S., Tran, L.S.P., 2015. Phosphorus homeostasis in legume nodules as an adaptive
305 strategy to phosphorus deficiency. Plant Sci. 239, 36-43.

306 Tabatabai, M.A., 1994. Soil enzymes. In: Weaver, R.W., Angle, JS, Bottomley P.S. (Eds),
307 Methods of Soil Analyses. Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Soil
308 Science Society of America, Madison, pp 775–833.

309 Thomsen, C.N., Hart, M.M., 2018. Using invasion theory to predict the fate of arbuscular
310 mycorrhizal fungal inoculants. Biol. Invasions 20, 2695-2706.

311 Vandenkoornhuyse, P., Quaiser, A., Duhamel, M., Le Van, A., Dufresne, A., 2015. The
312 importance of the microbiome of the plant holobiont. *New Phytol.* 206, 1196-1206.

313 van der Heijden, M.G., de Bruin, S., Luckerhoff, L., van Logtestijn, R.S., Schlaeppi, K., 2016.
314 A widespread plant-fungal-bacterial symbiosis promotes plant biodiversity, plant
315 nutrition and seedling recruitment. *ISME J.* 10, 389-399.

316 Xie, Z.P., Staehelin, C., Vierheilig, H., Wiemken, A., Jabbouri, S., Broughton, W.J., Vogeli-
317 Lange, R., Boller, T., 1995. Rhizobial nodulation factors stimulate mycorrhizal
318 colonization of nodulating and nonnodulating soybeans. *Plant Physiol.* 108, 1519-1525.

319 Young, C.C., Juang, T.C., Chao, C.C., 1988. Effects of Rhizobium and vesicular-arbuscular
320 mycorrhiza inoculations on nodulation, symbiotic nitrogen fixation and soybean yield in
321 subtropical-tropical fields. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 6, 165-169.

322 Zhang, L., Xu, M., Liu, Y., Zhang, F., Hodge, A., Feng, G., 2016. Carbon and phosphorus
323 exchange may enable cooperation between an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and a
324 phosphate-solubilizing bacterium. *New Phytol.* 210, 1022-1032.

Variables	Unit	Factors												
		<i>Rhizobium inoculation treatments</i>						<i>Mycorrhiza inoculation treatments</i>						<i>Interaction</i>
		S0	S1	<i>P</i> -value			M0	M1	M2	<i>P</i> -value			<i>P</i> -value	
Shoot biomass	g	0.14 (0.05) x	0.21 (0.07) y	0.012*			0.13 (0.05) α	0.21 (0.04) β	0.20 (0.09) αβ	0.021*			0.251	
Root biomass	g	0.07 (0.03) x	0.07 (0.04) x	0.330			0.05 (0.03) α	0.08 (0.04) α	0.07 (0.02) α	0.202			0.661	
Total biomass	g	0.23 (0.09) x	0.29 (0.10) y	0.034*			0.18 (0.09) α	0.30 (0.08) β	0.26 (0.11) αβ	0.034*			0.521	
Shoot:Root	/	2.77 (0.94) x	3.30 (1.31) x	0.294			2.98 (0.87) α	3.09 (1.33) α	3.09 (1.39) α	0.982			0.228	
Plant N content	g kg ⁻¹	18.8 (0.82) x	21.3 (1.05) y	<0.001***			19.1 (1.37) α	20.4 (1.15) β	20.6 (1.86) β	<0.001***			0.225	
Plant P content	g kg ⁻¹	1.09 (0.19)	1.33 (0.23)				0.95 (0.12)	1.30 (0.08)	1.38 (0.23)				0.006**	
Alkaline phosphatase	μg-pNP h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	0.9 (0.8) x	1.5 (0.7) y	0.013*			0.5 (0.4) α	1.1 (0.6) α	2.0 (0.6) β	0.001*			0.401	
Mycorrhization rate	%	30.9 (29.0)	62.2 (38.8)				40 (42.7)	71.2 (13.6)	67.1 (34.7)				<0.001***	
Nodule number	Number	0.0 (0.0)	208.3 (59.0)				67.1 (72.3)	118.5 (128.6)	145.0 (136.0)				<0.001***	
Fluorescein diacetate	μg-FDA h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	179.1 (25.6)	171.5 (108.8)				104.1 (80.2)	197.6 (49.9)	224.3 (39.3)				<0.001***	
Acid phosphatase	μg-pNP h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	2.8 (3.0)	5.0 (3.3)				0.91 (1.1)	5.0 (2.6)	5.8 (3.4)				0.036*	
Significant interaction		Treatments												
		S0-M0		S1-M0		S0-M1		S1-M1		S0-M2		S1-M2		
Plant P content	g kg ⁻¹	0.85 (0.02) d	1.05 (0.07) bc	1.24 (0.06) c	1.36 (0.05) ab	1.17 (0.12) cd	1.57 (0.08) a							
Mycorrhization rate	%	0.0 (0.0) d	11.5 (14.4) cd	62.5 (14.2) b	80.0 (4.8) ab	30.0 (2.0) c	95.0 (1.6) a							
Nodule number	Number	0.0 (0.0) c	134.2 (14.0) b	0.0 (0.0) c	253.7 (15.4) a	0.0 (0.0) a	237.0 (34.6) a							
Fluorescein diacetate	μg-FDA h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	175.8 (18.4) ab	32.3 (18.2) c	168.7 (38.9) b	226.5 (46.9) a	192.96 (17.4) ab	255.7 (24.6) a							
Acid phosphatase	μg-pNP h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	0.06 (0.07) bc	1.76 (1.09) bc	5.49 (3.44) ab	4.55 (2.34) abc	3.01 (1.68) bc	8.77 (1.30) a							

When the interaction was not significant, letters "x, y" and "α, β" indicate main effect significance within rhizobium and mycorrhiza treatments, respectively. When the interaction was significant, letters "a, b, c, d and e" indicate significant difference among cross-treatments according to Tukey HSD test ($P < 0.05$, $n=5$).

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$