



HAL
open science

Macronutrient Valuation: A Novel Tool to Study Food Preference and Choice

Olga Davidenko

► **To cite this version:**

Olga Davidenko. Macronutrient Valuation: A Novel Tool to Study Food Preference and Choice. *Journal of Nutrition*, 2019, 149 (11), pp.1880-1881. <10.1093/jn/nxz224>. <hal-02973306>

HAL Id: hal-02973306

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02973306v1>

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 **Macronutrient valuation: a novel tool to study food preference and choice**

2

3 Olga DAVIDENKO¹

4 1 UMR PNCA, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 75005 Paris, France

5

6 Corresponding author: Olga Davidenko, PhD, UMR 914 PNCA, AgroParisTech, 16 rue Claude

7 Bernard, 75005 Paris, France, olga.davidenko@agroparistech.fr

8

9 Word count: 1039 words

10 No abbreviations used

11 No figures

12 No tables

13

14 Funding disclosure: the author did not receive any funding for this publication

15 Conflict of interest: the author states no conflict of interest.

16 **Running title: Commentary on macronutrient valuation**

17

18 In a study published in this issue of the Journal of Nutrition, Buckley et al. (1) develop a
19 computerized task to measure macronutrient valuation that they define as the “value that humans
20 place on a calorie derived from fat, carbohydrate, and protein”. In the same paper, they then use the
21 new method to explore how protein valuation correlates with body composition in a separate sample
22 of community-dwelling elderly. The authors suggest that macronutrient valuation is a **driver for food**
23 **preference that might contribute to explaining food choices**. According to this framework, preference
24 **for highly palatable and sweet foods** might for instance result from a higher valuation of
25 carbohydrates and fats, while higher protein valuation might explain preference for foods **that are**
26 rich in protein. Studying protein valuation from this point of view is of particular interest: while our
27 capacity to **choose high-protein containing** foods is essential for health, we cannot rely on a
28 consciously perceptible sensory stimulus, **as it is the case with texture for fat (2) or sweet taste for**
29 **sugars**. Indeed, despite evidence about the red color (3) or umami taste (4) being markers of dietary
30 protein, there is no specific sensory characteristic that **is** shared among all **high-protein containing**
31 foods. Therefore, it is more likely that our ability to **choose dietary** protein sources is based on
32 **conditioning** that occurs throughout our life **when we experience** association between consumption
33 of specific foods (**here**, sources of dietary protein) and beneficial nutritional outcome (**here**,
34 suppression of amino acid depletion). While precise mechanisms that lead to such **conditioning**
35 **remain** yet to be discovered as of today, studies in rodents and humans **demonstrate** that animals
36 **compose** their diet in a way to ensure sufficient protein intakes, **and a diet that is depleted in protein**
37 **or essential amino acids provokes aversion and lower intakes as a result of experienced metabolic**
38 **distress** (5). In a natural setting, measure of protein valuation could thus be a useful method to
39 explore how humans **choose dietary sources of protein for their diet**.

40 The procedure presented in the paper by Buckley and colleagues (1) consists in a
41 computerized two-alternative forced-choice task using foods varying in macronutrient content. The
42 task uses **pictures of** 25 foods that are often consumed by the British population, **selected** in a way to
43 minimize inter-correlations between fat, protein and carbohydrate content. The task included 25

44 foods to generate 300 two-alternative choice trials. The volunteers made their choice while
45 imagining that the food they chose would be the only food they could consume during the day.
46 Valuation of a single macronutrient was then measured as the odds ratio of choosing a food if it
47 contains 1 kcal/g more of that macronutrient than the second food alternative.

48 The authors studied test-retest reliability of the method on a sample of 90 healthy adults
49 who completed the task twice on two separate days. They succeeded in demonstrating strong
50 positive correlation in odds ratios for protein, carbohydrate, fat and energy density across sessions. It
51 should however be noted that correlation for protein valuation was less strong compared to other
52 odds ratios (protein: $r=0.71$; carbohydrate: $r=0.97$, fat: $r=0.90$ and energy density: $r=0.86$,
53 respectively). Another noticeable result was that protein and carbohydrate appeared to be valued
54 more than fat.

55 Higher protein valuation might help dietary protein detection and ensure adequate protein
56 and essential amino acid intake. It remains to be studied whether higher protein valuation might
57 facilitate choice of high-protein containing foods leading to enhanced appetite control, or explain
58 overeating linked to lower protein-calorie dietary ratio known as the protein leverage effect (7). The
59 issue is even more important for populations with specific needs as the elderly, in whom inadequate
60 protein intake is associated with reduced muscle mass (8). The second study presented in the paper
61 by Buckley et al. (1) tested the relationship between strength of protein valuation and fat-free mass
62 index in a group of 84 community-dwelling older adults. They observed that individuals with higher
63 protein valuation also displayed higher fat-free mass index. This observation shows potential
64 importance of macronutrient valuation as a driver of healthy eating habits.

65 In summary, Buckley and colleagues develop a task that is reliable in the way that it is robust
66 to test-retest and is not subject to self-reporting bias inherent to food preference questionnaires. It
67 will be of use to the scientific community in a range of studies on food choice related to protein
68 content, expected satiety or energy density. The principal limit appears to be the selection of foods

69 for the task, as one needs to avoid inter-correlation in macronutrient levels while also keeping foods
70 familiar and well accepted by the volunteers. Thus, the task will need to be adapted when used in
71 novel populations, especially in those with specific diets or food intolerance; however, such
72 adaptation also confers flexibility to the method.

73 The perspectives of the study of macronutrient valuation are broad, however further studies
74 should consider not only the influence of separate macronutrients but also interactions between
75 them. A recent functional MRI study used a willingness-to-pay task to demonstrate that combination
76 of fat and carbohydrate might increase food valuation in a supra-additive way (9). Studying
77 interactions could shed additional light at the findings by Buckley and colleagues, which so far
78 indicate that fat is the least valued macronutrient.

79 The origin of macronutrient valuation also needs clarification. Is it purely a result of a
80 physiological drive to meet nutritional needs, or is it influenced by cognitive factors? Finally, the
81 major step to make is to establish the link between macronutrient valuation and actual dietary
82 choices. Although the study on elderly revealed a positive relationship between protein valuation
83 and fat-free mass index, the authors did not observe interaction between protein valuation and
84 protein consumption and suggest that this might be due to differences in individual habits or dietary
85 environment. Therefore, while macronutrient valuation can be a marker of predisposition to
86 consume certain foods, it is still unclear how much weight it has on shaping our dietary choices.
87 Ultimately, study of macronutrient valuation has to consider food environment in which individuals
88 are able to make a finite number of choices. This last point sends us back to the fundamental issue of
89 fostering healthy eating in an environment that offers unhealthy food choices.

90

91 Acknowledgments and statement of authors' contributions to manuscript

92 OD wrote the commentary. The author thanks Daniel Tomé for helpful discussion during the writing
93 of this commentary.

94

95 References

- 96 1. Buckley C, Austin S, Corfe B, Green M, Johnstone A, Stevenson E, Williams E, Brunstrom JM.
97 Protein valuation in food choice is associated with lean mass in older adults. in press;
- 98 2. Drewnowski A. Sensory Properties of Fats and Fat Replacements. *Nutr Rev.* 2009;50:17–20.
- 99 3. Dominy NJ, Lucas PW. Ecological importance of trichromatic vision to primates. *Nature.*
100 2001;410:363.
- 101 4. Luscombe-Marsh ND, Smeets AJPG, Westerterp-Plantenga MS. Taste sensitivity for monosodium
102 glutamate and an increased liking of dietary protein. *Br J Nutr.* 2008;99:904–8.
- 103 5. Tomé D, Chaumontet C, Even PC, Darcel N, Azzout-Marniche D. Protein status modulates the
104 rewarding value of foods and meals to maintain an adequate protein intake. *Physiol Behav.*
105 2019;206:7–12.
- 106 6. Leidy HJ, Ortinau LC, Douglas SM, Hoertel HA. Beneficial effects of a higher-protein breakfast on
107 the appetitive, hormonal, and neural signals controlling energy intake regulation in
108 overweight/obese, “breakfast-skipping,” late-adolescent girls. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;97:677–88.
- 109 7. Simpson SJ, Raubenheimer D. Obesity: the protein leverage hypothesis. *Obes Rev.* 2005;6:133–
110 142.
- 111 8. Castaneda C, Charnley JM, Evans WJ, Crim MC. Elderly women accommodate to a low-protein
112 diet with losses of body cell mass, muscle function, and immune response. *Am J Clin Nutr.*
113 1995;62:30–9.
- 114 9. DiFeliceantonio AG, Coppin G, Rigoux L, Edwin Thanarajah S, Dagher A, Tittgemeyer M, Small
115 DM. Supra-Additive Effects of Combining Fat and Carbohydrate on Food Reward. *Cell Metab.*
116 2018;28:33-44.e3.

117