



**HAL**  
open science

## Impact assessment of a large panel of organic and inorganic micropollutants released by wastewater treatment plants at the scale of France

Quentin Aemig, Arnaud Hélias, Dominique Patureau

### ► To cite this version:

Quentin Aemig, Arnaud Hélias, Dominique Patureau. Impact assessment of a large panel of organic and inorganic micropollutants released by wastewater treatment plants at the scale of France. *Water Research*, 2021, 188, pp.116524. 10.1016/j.watres.2020.116524 . hal-02975820

**HAL Id: hal-02975820**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02975820>**

Submitted on 1 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# 1 **Impact assessment of a large panel of organic and inorganic** 2 **micropollutants released by wastewater treatment plants at the** 3 **scale of France**

4 Quentin Aemig<sup>a\*</sup>, Arnaud Hélias<sup>b,c</sup>, Dominique Patureau<sup>a</sup>

5 \* corresponding author: [q.aemig@gmail.com](mailto:q.aemig@gmail.com)

6 a INRAE, Univ Montpellier, LBE, 102 Avenue des Etangs, 11100 Narbonne, France

7 b ITAP, Univ Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

8 c ELSA, Research group for environmental life cycle sustainability assessment and ELSA-  
9 Pact industrial chair, Montpellier, France

## 10 **Abstract**

11 Micropollutants emitted by Human activities represent a potential threat to our health and  
12 aquatic environment. Thousands of active substances are used and go to WWTP through  
13 wastewaters. During water treatment, incomplete elimination occurs. Effluents released to the  
14 environment still contain part of the micropollutants present in the influents. Here, we studied  
15 the potential impacts on Human health and aquatic environment of the release of 261 organic  
16 micropollutants and 25 inorganic micropollutants at the scale of France. Data were gathered  
17 from national surveys, reports, papers and PhD works. The USEtox<sup>®</sup> model was used to  
18 assess potential impacts. The impacts on Human health were estimated for 94 organic and 15  
19 inorganic micropollutants and on aquatic environment for 88 organic and 19 inorganic  
20 micropollutants highlighting lack of concentration and toxicological data in literature. Some  
21 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and pesticides as well as As and Zn showed highest  
22 potential impacts on Human health. Some pesticides, PCB 101,  $\beta$ E2, Al, Fe and Cu showed  
23 highest potential impacts on aquatic environment.

24 **Keywords**

25 Persistent compounds, trace metals, pharmaceuticals, human health, aquatic environment,  
26 WWTP effluents

27 **1. Introduction**

28 Micropollutants are unwanted substances which presence in the environment at very low  
29 concentrations (ng to  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in aquatic environment) is mainly due to Human activities  
30 (industrial processes, agricultural practices, daily life activities). Even at low concentrations,  
31 they can have negative effects on living organisms due to their toxicity, persistence and  
32 bioaccumulation in the food chain.

33 Wastewaters contain a huge variety of organic and inorganic micropollutants that are more or  
34 less eliminated from water during wastewater treatments (Besha et al., 2017; Choubert et al.,  
35 2011; Clara et al., 2005; Michael et al., 2013) by sorption to sludge, volatilization or  
36 physicochemical/biological transformation (Alvarino et al., 2018; Grandclément et al., 2017).  
37 As the elimination from water is not complete (Carballa et al., 2004), effluents still contain  
38 part of the micropollutants present in wastewaters. Those micropollutants are thus emitted to  
39 environment with effluents and can impact aquatic environment and Human health.

40 Organic micropollutants have known effects on living organisms and Human beings, like  
41 carcinogenicity, endocrine disruption (Ahmed et al., 2017). Inorganic micropollutants may  
42 also have different effects on health depending on their form (Gwenzi et al., 2018):  
43 carcinogenicity, nervous system degradation, gastric troubles, dermal pathologies, etc.

44 As WWTP are converging point and disseminate a huge diversity of micropollutants, it is  
45 important to know the risks or impacts associated to these compounds on human health and  
46 aquatic environment.

47 One way to prioritize chosen micropollutants is to use concentrations in effluents which  
48 allows determining quantities emitted to the aquatic environment but the simultaneous use of  
49 emitted quantities and toxicity of micropollutants shows sometimes a different prioritization  
50 of micropollutants as poorly concentrated substances can show high toxicity (Oldenkamp et  
51 al., 2018).

52 The risk is usually evaluated with risk quotient using PEC/PNEC or MEC/PNEC ratios (PEC:  
53 Predicted Environmental Concentration, MEC: Measured Environmental Concentration and  
54 PNEC: Predicted No Effect Concentration) (Brus and Perrodin, 2017; Gunnarsson et al.,  
55 2019; Oldenkamp et al., 2018; Škrbić et al., 2018; Verlicchi et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2017). If  
56 the quotient is superior to one, it is considered that the micropollutant represent a risk  
57 meaning that the predicted or measured concentration in the environment is superior to the  
58 concentration with no effect. Difficulties come from obtaining PEC, MEC and PNEC. PEC is  
59 obtained considering dilution of the emitted concentration in the aquatic environment thus it  
60 does not consider potential transformation and sorption to sediment that limit bioaccessibility  
61 of micropollutants. MEC considered the real concentration in the aquatic environment; it is  
62 thus necessary to have measure campaigns to obtain this concentration; MEC furthermore  
63 cannot allow identifying source of emission as it corresponds to a resultant of many emissions  
64 (WWTP, agriculture, industries, air deposit, etc.). PNEC considers chronic or acute EC10,  
65 EC50 or NOEC corrected with a factor (/10 or /1,000) that considers the most sensitive  
66 species which implies uncertainties as only one species is thus considered. This approach is  
67 limited by the fact that micropollutants are studied one by one and the overall risk of all  
68 micropollutants cannot be estimated.

69 Another way to study the burden of micropollutants on Human health or aquatic environment  
70 is to use Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tools. LCA allows to estimate the potential impacts of  
71 one or a set of micropollutants. Muñoz et al. (2008) used LCA tools to assess the potential

72 impacts of micropollutants contained in influent and effluent of a WWTP. They showed that,  
73 over 98 micropollutants (Water Framework Directive substances and pharmaceuticals  
74 compounds), 15 (12 organic and 3 inorganic micropollutants) were identified with elevated  
75 risk in effluents for Human health, aquatic and terrestrial environments. More recently, Ortiz  
76 de García et al. (2017) used USEtox® characterization factors to evaluate the potential  
77 toxicological and ecotoxicological impacts of 49 pharmaceuticals and personal care products  
78 emitted by WWTP in Spain; contrary to risk assessment with PEC or MEC/PNEC ratios, they  
79 could give an impact score of the mixture of 49 compounds. Whatever the LCA model used to  
80 obtain characterization factors that convert emitted mass in potential impact, it considered a  
81 fate factor that takes into account transformation and sorption of micropollutants in aquatic  
82 environment and an exposure factor that gives the level at which humans and organisms are  
83 really exposed.

84 Here, we decided to use LCA tools to evaluate the potential impacts on Human health and  
85 aquatic environment of a mixture of micropollutants both organic and inorganic emitted by  
86 WWTP at the scale of France. The consensual USEtox® characterization factors were used.  
87 First, we selected a list of micropollutants according to the European Policy applied to France  
88 and we reviewed reports and papers on quantification of micropollutants, including  
89 pharmaceuticals, in French WWTP effluents. Then we evaluated the mean French  
90 concentrations of those substances in WWTP effluents with data collected in literature and  
91 given by industrial partners. Finally, potential impacts were evaluated by converting annual  
92 mass emitted in the environment with characterization factors obtained in USEtox®  
93 (Rosenbaum et al., 2008).

## 94 **2. Material and methods**

### 95 2.1 Micropollutants selection

96 The selection of reference lists was based on (i) European legislation applied to France that  
97 sets up monitoring of micropollutants in aquatic environments, (ii) studies that quantified all  
98 or part of these micropollutants and (iii) studies that highlighted hazards of emerging  
99 micropollutants which are not yet considered in legislation.

100 The European Water Framework Directive (WFD) and its modification set objectives for the  
101 preservation and restauration of the quality of surface water (freshwater and costal water) and  
102 groundwater. They give a list of substances and groups of substances that are priority  
103 substances or hazardous priority substances. For these substances, Environmental Quality  
104 Standards (EQS) set concentrations that cannot be exceeded in surface and groundwater. This  
105 implies the setting up of strategies to reduce or suppress emissions to the environment and the  
106 monitoring of these substances in aquatic environment.

107 In France, due to the WFD, an action of survey and reduction of hazardous substances in  
108 water (RSDE) started in 2002 with monitoring campaigns of emissions of 2,800 installations  
109 classified for the protection of the environment including wastewater treatment plants  
110 (WWTP). Results of this campaigns (INERIS, 2007) allowed to conclude that WWTP  
111 contributed in a non-negligible way and sometimes in significant way to the emission of  
112 priority substances and hazardous priority substances in aquatic environment. This first step  
113 lead to the setting up of a specific monitoring of WWTP effluents. Priority substances and  
114 hazardous priority substances were measured in the effluents of 760 WWTP with a nominal  
115 capacity equal or superior to 10,000 people equivalents (PE). Results confirmed previous  
116 emissions data.

117 Scientists also used the list of substances of the WFD for a quantification campaign of  
118 micropollutants in 15 WWTP effluents in France (Martin Ruel et al., 2012). They also add  
119 pharmaceutical compounds that were not considered in WFD, WWTP effluents being one of  
120 the main route of emission to the environment of such compounds.

121 We selected micropollutants listed in (i) the WFD (Directive 2008/105/CE, n.d.), (ii) the  
122 RSDE national action for survey and reduction of hazardous substances in water (INERIS,  
123 2016) and (iii) the AMPERES French project in which micropollutants (WFD and  
124 pharmaceuticals) were analyzed in influents and effluents of 15 WWTP (Martin Ruel et al.,  
125 2012). Other micropollutants were selected according to the scientific expertise of industrial  
126 partners.

127 45 substances and families were identified as priority or hazardous priority substances in  
128 WFD. Individual substances were selected and substances included in families were added.  
129 Substances from the watch lists were also selected. Finally, 116 substances from the WFD  
130 and its watch lists were selected (112 organics and 4 inorganics).

131 94 substances came from the French RSDE survey; 35 new substances were added during the  
132 second stage of the action which was set up in August 2016, these micropollutants were also  
133 included in the list. Finally, as 66 substances were in common with the WFD, a list of 179  
134 substances (134 organics and 15 inorganics) was selected.

135 128 substances were studied in the AMPERES project. 70 substances were in common with  
136 the previous list. A list of 237 substances was selected (212 organics and 25 inorganics).

137 The expertise allowed to add 48 substances to the list (pharmaceuticals compounds and  
138 additional polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons of the US-EPA list not taken into account  
139 previously).

140 For imidaclopride, two forms were identified and quantified separately in studies. So, we  
141 decided to study the two forms thus it added one substance to the list.

142 Finally, a list of 286 substances was selected with 261 organic micropollutants and 25  
143 inorganic micropollutants (the list is given in supporting information). This list included 87  
144 pharmaceuticals (Pharma), 66 pesticides (Pest), 18 PolyChloroBiphenyls (PCB), 17

145 PolyChloroDibenzoDioxines and Furanes (PCDD and PCDF), 16 Polycyclic Aromatic  
146 Hydrocarbons (PAH), 8 AlkylPhenols (AP), 8 halogenated volatile organic compounds  
147 (HVOC), 8 HaloPhenols (HPh), 7 PolyBromoDiphenylEthers (PBDE), 4 BTEX (Benzene,  
148 Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes), 5 HexaBromoCycloDoDecanes (HBCDD), 4 organotins  
149 (OSn), 3 chlorobenzenes (ClBz) and 10 non classified substances (PFOS, bisphenol A,  
150 chloroalkanes, etc.).

## 151 2.2 Mass released in the aquatic environment

### 152 2.2.1 Volume of water

153 The volume of water released in the environment with WWTP effluents was estimated using  
154 daily flows arriving to WWTP considering that the amount of water arriving to WWTP was  
155 the same as the one of effluent. Flows were obtained on official website of French WWTP  
156 monitoring (“Portail d’informations sur l’assainissement communal - Accueil,” n.d.). The  
157 flows of all WWTP were added and multiplied by 365 days to obtain the annual water volume  
158 discharged in the aquatic environment. We did not consider wet weather flows. The annual  
159 volume of effluent was estimated at 5,000,000,000 m<sup>3</sup>.

### 160 2.2.2 Concentration and mass

161 Data were collected in the report of the French survey RSDE (INERIS, 2016), in the  
162 published data of AMPERES project (Bruchet et al., 2015), in 30 articles dealing with  
163 micropollutants in French WWTP effluents (Andreozzi et al., 2002; Bergé et al., 2012; Botta  
164 et al., 2009; Cargouët et al., 2004; Cavalheiro et al., 2017; Chiffre et al., 2016; Dagnac et al.,  
165 2005; Deycard et al., 2017; Dinh et al., 2017b, 2017a; Ferrari et al., 2004; Gabet-Giraud et al.,  
166 2014, 2010; Grandcoin et al., 2017; Janex-Habibi et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2005; Labadie  
167 and Budzinski, 2005; Leclercq et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013; Mailler et al., 2016, 2015; Miège et  
168 al., 2009b, 2009a; Muller et al., 2008; Oberlé et al., 2012; Rabiet et al., 2006; Sablayrolles et



169 al., 2011; Tamtam et al., 2008; Thiebault et al., 2017; Togola and Budzinski, 2007; Tran et al.,  
170 2015; Wiest et al., 2018), in 6 PhD reports dealing with French WWTP (Cladière, 2012;  
171 Coetsier, 2009; Gilbert-Pawlik, 2011; Mailler, 2015; Pasquini, 2013; Pomiès, 2013) or given  
172 by WWTP stakeholders.

173 Wet weather data as well as data from tertiary treatment were excluded. Data inferior to limit  
174 of quantification were estimated at half of the quantification limit as usually applied in  
175 environmental studies (INERIS, 2016).

176 Data were highly variable from one study to another which is consistent with local usage.  
177 Moreover, in many papers and reports, no information was given on location or analysis time.  
178 But we have chosen to tackle with this diversity of data, characterize it and take into account  
179 of the uncertainties rather than work on a single source of data. In order to do so and to avoid  
180 giving to much weight to the highest concentrations, mean concentration was estimated using  
181 geometrical mean; instead of arithmetical mean.

182 Furthermore, confidence intervals at 95 % were estimated allowing to show the accuracy of  
183 data; indeed, the lowest was the interval, the lowest was the variation of data. Considering  
184 variability of data above time and location with geometrical mean and confidence interval  
185 allowed estimation of mean value for a year and at the scale of France. For most of the  
186 molecules, there was a factor 2 between mean values and interval confidence boundaries  
187 which was much lower than the error on characterization factors (1 or 2 log). In view of all  
188 the uncertainties of what was available, we can only wish for a greater sharing of measured  
189 and consolidated data from WWTP with, for example, open data.

190 For each substance, a reliability index was estimated. If the proportion of data inferior to the  
191 limit of quantification was higher than 90 %, the index was set at 0. For some substances,  
192 only one concentration was found in literature or given by WWTP stakeholders, in that case,  
193 if the concentration was superior to quantification limit, the index was set at 1 and the error on

194 the logarithm was estimated at 100 % (maximum error for substances with high number of  
195 found concentrations). In all other cases, the index was set at 1. This index allowed to  
196 eliminate data which were not reliable.

197 Considering that the estimated volume and the mean concentrations were representative of the  
198 whole France, mass released annually in the aquatic environment was estimated by  
199 multiplying each concentration by the volume. Mass was converted in kilograms or tons.

## 200 2.3 Impacts

201 Characterization factors were obtained from USEtox 2.1® (Hauschild et al., 2008; Henderson  
202 et al., 2011; Rosenbaum et al., 2011, 2008). Model was set to Europe and characterization  
203 factors were obtained for emissions in freshwater compartment. Characterization factors  
204 (CFs) were calculated in USEtox 2.1® through the following formula:  $CF = FF \times XF \times EF$ .  
205 FF was the fate factor indicating the residence time in the environment and was estimating  
206 with physicochemical properties for organic micropollutants or speciation for inorganic  
207 micropollutants. XF was the exposure factor *i.e.* the fraction of micropollutants in  
208 environment that was available for organisms. EF was the effect factor corresponding to the  
209 effect on aquatic environment (considering three trophic levels) or the effect on Human  
210 health. For Human toxicity CFs, USEtox 2.1® calculated the intake fraction (iF) *i.e.* the  
211 amount of micropollutants absorbed through air, water and food after emission in freshwater  
212 compartment. iF was equal to  $XF \times FF$ .

213 LCA tool was preferred to PEC/PNEC or MEC/PNEC approach as we wanted to estimate  
214 potential impacts of each micropollutants and the overall impact of the mixture; furthermore,  
215 the use of this method allowed us determining the impact linked to WWTP emissions only.

216 Potential impacts were estimated by multiplying the mass with the characterization factor.

217 According to USEtox® documentation (Fantke et al., 2017), impacts were different if there

218 was 1 or 2 log difference for respectively organic and inorganic substances. Only the error  
219 due to the variation of concentrations was plotted but USEtox® error on impacts was also  
220 considered for results interpretation.

221 For Human health, the impact was expressed in DALY (Disability Adjusted Life Year) which  
222 represented the number of years lost with illness, handicap or premature death. It considered  
223 both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic effects.

224 For aquatic environment, the impact was expressed in PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d (Potentially Disappeared  
225 Fraction integrated with volume and time).

226 The total impact for Human health or aquatic environment was calculated by summing all the  
227 impacts. No agonist or antagonist effects were considered.

228 When summing concentrations, mass or impacts, geometrical mean values were added and  
229 considered as the total mean. The error for the total mean was the sum of 95 % confidence  
230 intervals limits.

### 231 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 232 3.1 Concentration and mass

##### 233 3.1.1 Organic micropollutants

234 225/261 organic micropollutants (86 %) presented at least one concentration in literature and  
235 WWTP stakeholders' data. The 36 organic micropollutants without data were: (i) 17  
236 PCDD/PCDF, (ii) heptabromodiphenylethers, (iii) 11 pesticides (methiocarbe, acetamipride,  
237 clothianidine, thiaclopride, thiametoxame, metaflumizone, triallate, cybutryne, DDT 24',  
238 DDD 44', DDE 44') and (iv) 7 pharmaceuticals (butylated hydroxytoluene, octyl  
239 methoxycinnamate, 4-epi-chlortetracycline, chlortetracycline, doxycycline,  
240 acetylsulfamethoxazole, azoxystrobine).

241 153/261 organic micropollutants (59 %) presented reliability index of 1: 123 had more than  
242 one available data and 30 had only one available data. Mean concentrations and masses were  
243 calculated for these 153 compounds. The reliability index allowed to eliminate substances  
244 poorly quantified with high limit of quantification such as methanol or hydrazine.

245 Concentrations ranged from 0.1 ng.L<sup>-1</sup> to around 5 µg.L<sup>-1</sup> (Table I). This underlined the high  
246 variety of concentrations. 75 % of the concentrations were below 0.1 µg.L<sup>-1</sup>. Annual masses  
247 ranged between 0.5 kg to 26 tons. 75 % of the annual mass were below 0.6 tons. 15  
248 compounds had concentrations/mass higher than the 90<sup>th</sup> centile: (i) 9 pharmaceuticals  
249 (valsartan, irbesartan, ranitidine, hydrochlorothiazide, chlordiazepoxide, sotalol, furosemide,  
250 carbamazepine, atenolol) and (ii) NP1EC (nonylphenol ethoxyacetic acid), trichloromethane,  
251 tetrachloroethylene, dichloromethane, AMPA (aminomethylphosphonic acid) and DEHP  
252 (bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate). Pharmaceuticals concentrations in the French effluents were in  
253 accordance with the data in Europe (Verlicchi et al., 2012). Results highlighted that some  
254 pharmaceuticals have high emissions to the environment compared to other organic  
255 micropollutants; these high mass may be due to (i) high concentrations in wastewaters, (ii)  
256 low sorption to sludge, (iii) poor biodegradability, (iv) transformation in parent compounds of  
257 conjugated forms or (v) combination of these hypotheses. DEHP is a plasticizer used in many  
258 manufactured products (Wormuth et al., 2006). Tetrachloromethane, dichloromethane and  
259 tetrachloroethylene are chemicals used in many industries. AMPA is a transformation product  
260 of glyphosate and phosphonates present in washing powders and liquids (Grandcoin et al.,  
261 2017). NP1EC is a transformation product of nonylphenol polyethoxylates which are common  
262 surfactants used in many chemical products (Ying et al., 2002), and can no longer be used  
263 without authorization since July 2019 (REACH UE n° 999/2017 and 2020/171 annex XIV).

264 For some of these highest concentrated organic micropollutants, EQS were available: 1,650,  
265 452, 2.5, 2.5 and 1.3 µg.L<sup>-1</sup> for dichloromethane, AMPA, carbamazepine, trichloromethane

266 and DEHP respectively. In this study, estimated concentrations in effluents were 3.01 (2.81 –  
267 3.21 range from – 95 % to + 95 % confidence interval), 1.12 (0.59 – 2.14), 0.40 (0.29 – 0.54),  
268 0.58 (0.55 – 0.61) and 0.73 (0.69 – 0.77)  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  for dichloromethane, AMPA, carbamazepine,  
269 trichloromethane and DEHP respectively. In this case, all effluent concentrations were lower  
270 than EQS. In French survey, it is considered that a substance should be monitored if  
271 concentration in effluent was above ten times its EQS (consideration of a mean dilution factor  
272 of 10 in the aquatic environment). Applying this rule, none of these molecules should be  
273 monitored.

274 Concentrations in French rivers were also found (survey from 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2015 and 31<sup>st</sup> of  
275 December 2018, <http://www.naiades.eaufrance.fr/> consulted the 20<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> of September  
276 2019) for these 15 organic micropollutants. Mean concentrations were calculated with all  
277 obtained data with the same hypotheses as for WWTP effluents. When quantification  
278 frequency was lower than 10 % no mean concentration was calculated. All the mean  
279 concentrations found in rivers remained below the effluent ones but the ratio between those  
280 concentrations (effluent/river) is variable depending on the compounds, underlying that  
281 considering a common dilution factor to predict the concentration in the river from the  
282 effluent one may contribute to calculation error of the risk quotient. DEHP, AMPA,  
283 furosemide, carbamazepine and atenolol had concentrations in effluent 2 to 4 times higher  
284 than mean concentrations in rivers; sotalol, hydrochlorothiazide and irbesartan had  
285 concentrations in effluent 7, 11 and 16 times higher respectively than mean concentrations in  
286 rivers. Thus, WWTP may contribute in a significant way to occurrences in rivers; indeed,  
287 except AMPA which can also be emitted by agricultural emissions, all cited micropollutants  
288 originate from urban activities.

289 Those 15 compounds (10 % of the compounds) represented 70 % of the total mass of the 153  
290 organic micropollutants: 48 % for the 9 pharmaceuticals and 22 % for the other 6 compounds.

291 The total mass of the 153 organic micropollutants released in the environment by French  
292 WWTP was around 147 tons (between 107 and 223 tons considering confidence intervals).

### 293 3.1.2 Inorganic micropollutants

294 A concentration was estimated for 24/25 (96 %) inorganic compounds (Figure 1). Thallium  
295 was searched in effluents but never quantified; it was not therefore considered. Concentrations  
296 ranged from 0.01  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  (mercury) to 159  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  (iron). The total mass released in the  
297 environment was around 1,892 tons (range 1,382 to 3,005 tons). Main contributors to the total  
298 mass were, in decreasing order: iron (42 %), boron (17 %), aluminum (10 %), zinc (9 %) and  
299 manganese (7 %).

300 Most of organic micropollutants are synthetic substances produced by Human activities (PAH  
301 are also produced by natural sources such as forest fire) but inorganic micropollutants are  
302 naturally present in the environment and increase of concentrations in environment  
303 compartments is also linked to Human activities; natural presence in water and non-  
304 biodegradability can explain that concentrations of inorganic micropollutants are generally  
305 higher than those of organic micropollutants. Mean concentrations estimated in this study in  
306 the effluent are close to environmental concentration (Salpeteur and Angel, 2010) and above  
307 French drinking water limits.

308 As for organic micropollutants, concentrations were compared to EQS and mean rivers  
309 concentrations for the highest concentrations in WWTP effluents. Only zinc has EQS, stated  
310 at 7.8 or 3.1  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  depending on water alkalinity. For some rivers, zinc should be monitored  
311 as its mean concentration in effluents was 35 (33 – 37 range)  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  thus superior to ten times  
312 the lowest EQS.

313 Aluminum concentration in effluents was half of the mean concentration in rivers; iron and  
314 manganese concentration in effluents were close to rivers concentration; boron and zinc

315 concentrations were respectively 6 and 20 times higher in WWTP effluents than in rivers  
316 concentrations. WWTP might only be a major contributor of inorganic micropollutants for  
317 boron and zinc which was in accordance with their use by human activities in urban areas.

### 318 3.2 Potential impacts of organic micropollutants

#### 319 3.2.1 Human health

320 The impact on Human health of organic micropollutants was calculated with the 94  
321 substances with characterization factors over the 261 selected organic micropollutants (36 %) and over the 153 organic micropollutants with estimated concentrations (61%). This was due  
322 to the lack of concentrations and/or characterization factors. Butylphenol, aspirin, ibuprofen,  
323 cimetidine, hydrochlorothiazide,  $\beta$ E2, caffeine and theophylline had characterization factors  
324 equal to 0. The impact on Human health was estimated with compounds representing 48 % of  
325 the characterized organic micropollutants mass.

327 Impacts ranged from 0 to 2 DALY (Figure 2 A) with a total average impact of 6 DALY. Main  
328 contributors were benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, indomethacin, dicofol,  
329 indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, pentabromodiphenylethers, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene and diclofenac  
330 with respective contributions of 28, 16, 15, 13, 12, 6, 3 and 1 % of the total impact  
331 (considering substances with at least 1 % contribution to the total impact). Those eight  
332 compounds represented only 4 % of the 94 characterized organic micropollutants mass but 94  
333 % of the total potential impact on Human health. It is thus important not only to consider the  
334 mass released in the environment for prioritization but also toxicity as stated by Oldenkamp et  
335 al. (2018). Among these 8 compounds, 4 are PAH that are produced by human activities and  
336 natural sources and thus are ubiquitous in environmental matrices. The 2 following compounds  
337 are already banned of use: dicofol is an acaricide forbidden since 2010 in France and  
338 pentabromodiphenylethers are a group of flame retardant forbidden since 2004 in France.  
339 Their low residual presence in WWTP effluents is thus related to illegal use or persistence in

340 the environment. Only indomethacin and diclofenac, both anti-inflammatory drugs are still  
341 used in France. Compounds with the highest potential impacts to Human health corresponded  
342 mainly to recognized carcinogenic ones (especially PAH and polybromodiphenylethers).

343 Muñoz et al. (2008) studied the impact on Human health of 98 micropollutants using a  
344 scenario in which they were emitted to soil (use for agricultural crop irrigation) with  
345 characterization factors coming from two different methods. First method, EDIP 97 (scores  
346 expressed in  $m^3$ ) highlighted two substances with the highest impact on Human health:  
347 gemfibrozil and nicotine; 2<sup>nd</sup> method, USES-LCA (scores expressed in kg-DCB-eq),  
348 highlighted two others substances: 2,3,7,8-TCDD and hexachlorobenzene. In our study,  
349 nicotine was not considered, 2,3,7,8-TCDD and hexachlorobenzene were first selected but not  
350 taken into account due to non-available concentration data in French effluents. Gemfibrozil  
351 was characterized for Human toxicity and showed only around 0.01 % contribution to the  
352 total potential impact. Difference in results came from the LCA methods used for  
353 characterization factors calculation and from the choice of compartment in which emission is  
354 made and the exposure pathway via crops irrigated with treated effluent.

355 Ortiz de García et al. (2017) using a similar methodology based on LCA only studied  
356 pharmaceutical compounds. Over 49 substances, they were able to quantify the impact of 41  
357 ones. The total impact, calculated considering their masses and characterization factors, was  
358 36 cases which was 2 log higher than the 0.8 cases we found for our 94 substances (it was  
359 only possible to convert our results in cases). The total mass emitted to the environment is an  
360 explanation to the difference as it was 234 tons for their study and only 71 tons for ours.

361 Considering only pharmaceuticals compounds of our study (46 substances), the total impact  
362 was 0.1 cases and the total mass was 37 tons confirming that the emitted mass is a critical  
363 point for the impact on Human health ; in our study, characterized pharmaceuticals  
364 represented 52 % of the total mass and around 14 % of the total impact meaning that other



365 less concentrated compounds had high impacts on Human health due to high toxicity. If we  
366 considered only common pharmaceuticals compounds between both studies (16), their impact  
367 was one log higher than ours (Figure 3 A). In terms of mass released to the environment, only  
368 carbamazepine, diclofenac and sulfamethoxazole had same orders of magnitude; EE2 and  
369 trimethoprim had mass higher in our study with one log difference; for others, masses were  
370 lower in our study with one log difference for norfloxacin, azithromycine, ciprofloxacin,  
371 naproxen, alprazolam,  $\beta$ E2, fluoxetine, clarithromycin and ibuprofen and with 2 logs  
372 difference for acetaminophen and omeprazole. Those differences highlighted the need to  
373 consider geographical difference between countries. Some characterization factors were  
374 different between the two studies probably due to the update of USEtox® database except for  
375 naproxen, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim, acetaminophen, sulfamethoxazole and norfloxacin  
376 with same order of magnitude. Trimethoprim and diclofenac had impact superior in our study  
377 with one log difference; ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole and naproxen had similar impacts in  
378 both studies; for the other substances, impacts were lower in our study with 1, 2, 3 or 4 logs  
379 difference. For  $\beta$ E2 and ibuprofen, our database gave null characterization factor avoiding  
380 comparison. For substances with the same characterization factors, the difference between the  
381 two studies came from the emitted mass in aquatic environment. In accordance with available  
382 comparison, it meant that, probably due to difference in terms of use, pharmaceuticals'  
383 potential impacts to Human health could be strongly impacted by the mass emitted to the  
384 environment. Nevertheless, both studies showed low impact on Human health whatever the  
385 considered micropollutants were.

386 Other studies only evaluated the risk linked to the presence of organic micropollutants in  
387 drinking water. Hollender et al. (2018) searched more than 500 organic micropollutants in  
388 drinking water. They found 123 substances with concentrations above quantification limits  
389 and showed that there was no significant risk for the consumption of these water due to

390 organic micropollutants presence (comparison of the measured concentrations with a  
391 threshold value of  $0.1 \mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  (Threshold of Toxicological Concern Approach)). Enault et al.  
392 (2015) compared the contribution of environmental micropollutants exposure (11 mineral  
393 elements and 73 organic micropollutants); they also showed a minor risk due to the  
394 consumption of drinking water due to poor exposure via water although some micropollutants  
395 (lead, non-dioxin-like polychlorobiphenyls, PFOA, PFOS) might have a non-negligible risk  
396 compared to air or food exposure. de Jesus Gaffney et al. (2015) showed with quotient risk  
397 analysis that 16 pharmaceuticals compounds (quantified over 31 searched ones) present in  
398 surface water, underground water and drinking water did not show an elevated risk to Human  
399 health. Although those studies concerned drinking water, it tended to confirm our results as  
400 contamination of drinking waters partly occurred because of WWTP emissions especially for  
401 compounds only used in everyday life such as pharmaceuticals.

402 In our study, the total impact of organic micropollutants released in aquatic environment  
403 through WWTP effluents on Human health was low due to (i) the absence of direct exposure  
404 to these molecules, (ii) the buffer role of the environment and (iii) the treatment steps before  
405 exposure (drinking water: ozonation, activated carbon treatments than can eliminate a huge  
406 part of organic micropollutants (Simazaki et al., 2015)).

### 407 3.2.2 Aquatic environment

408 Over the 153 organic micropollutants with estimated concentrations, 88 (58 %) had  
409 ecotoxicity characterization factors. The impact on aquatic environment was estimated with  
410 compounds representing 44 % of the organic micropollutants mass.

411 Impacts ranged from  $13.10^3$  to  $49.10^9$  PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d (Figure 2 B). Main contributors to the total  
412 impact ( $61.10^9$  PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d) were cypermethrin, PCB 101,  $\beta$ E2, amoxicillin and aclonifen with  
413 respective contributions of 82, 12, 2 and 1 %. As cypermethrin had a very high score, we also  
414 included in the list with the highest impacts 1,2,5,6,9,10-HBCDD, boscalid, dicofol, isodrin

415 and dichlorvos which had a least 1 % of the total impact calculated without cypermethrine;  
416 those 10 compounds represented around 2 % of the 88 organic micropollutants mass but 99 %  
417 of the total impact. Cypermethrin is a pesticide which use is limited in France. PCB 101 is an  
418 ubiquitous polychlorobiphenyl forbidden since 1987 in France but highly refractory to  
419 degradation in the environment.  $\beta$ E2 is a natural hormone produced by humans and animals.  
420 It is a well known endocrine disruptor and this estrogenic effect has already been observed  
421 after discharged of treated water in river (Miège et al., 2009b); but this molecule presents also  
422 high ecotoxicity for aquatic environment which implies a high effect factor and a high impact  
423 calculated with our approach. By comparison, EE2, well-known to have higher endocrine  
424 disruption effect than  $\beta$ E2 (Jobling et al., 2006) had a lower potential impact because its  
425 ecotoxicity (expressed in the effect factor) was lower. Amoxicillin (beta-lactam from the  
426 aminopenicillin family) is a well-used antibiotic in France. 1,2,5,6,9,10-HBCDD is a flame  
427 retardant which use was progressively reduced since 2011 due to suspicion of endocrine  
428 disruption effect. Dicofol, isodrine and dichlorvos are pesticides which uses are forbidden in  
429 France; on the contrary, aclonifen and boscalid use is authorized in France (both pesticides).  
430 Among those main contributors, suspected endocrine disruptors were present (PCB,  
431 chlorinated pesticides, brominated flame retardant) (Matthiessen et al., 2018; Vilela et al.,  
432 2018) even if this effect is not considered in the effect factor used to calculate the ecotoxicity  
433 characterization factor.

434 For the ten compounds having the highest impacts on aquatic environment, we observed that  
435 the exposure factor had low influence on the potential impact as it was close to 100 % for all  
436 compounds. Thus, mass, fate factor and effect factor had the highest influence: the effect  
437 factor had a great influence as its contribution to the impact was between 45 and 72 %; the  
438 emitted mass and fate factor had similar contributions between 7 and 32 %. In that case,

439 toxicity of the substances had more effect than the quantity released to the environment or the  
440 degradation potency of those molecules.

441 Other studies used Life Cycle Assessment tools to determine potential impacts of  
442 micropollutants emitted by WWTP on aquatic environment. Muñoz et al. (2008), with the  
443 study of one WWTP in Spain, showed that fluoxetine, triclosan and ciprofloxacin had greatest  
444 potential impacts on aquatic environment with both models they used; with EDIP97 model  
445 2,3,7,8-TCDD had high contribution to the impact whereas USES-LCA model highlighted  
446 ibuprofen. In our study, fluoxetine, triclosan, ciprofloxacin and ibuprofen ranked, in  
447 decreasing order of contribution, at the 49<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 43<sup>rd</sup> and 82<sup>nd</sup> positions respectively. In our  
448 case, the molecules with highest impacts were not considered in (Muñoz et al., 2008);  
449 ibuprofen had low contribution in our study due to the difference of emitted mass and/or  
450 evaluation of characterization factor (not the same models). 2,3,7,8-TCDD was in our initial  
451 list but not considered due to lack of French concentration data in WWTP effluents.  
452 Nevertheless, its USEtox® characterization factor was among the highest meaning that even  
453 with probably low concentration in effluent (highly hydrophobic compound) its impact should  
454 be among the highest.

455 Ortiz de García et al. (2017) only studied pharmaceutical compounds. The total impact on  
456 aquatic environment of their 45 characterized pharmaceuticals was in same order of  
457 magnitude of our 88 substances total impact (respectively  $1.4 \cdot 10^{10}$  and  $6.1 \cdot 10^{10}$  PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d).  
458 The huge difference was the mass as already shown for Human toxicity (236 tons and 64 tons  
459 for 45 pharmaceuticals and 88 substances respectively). It proved that substances with very  
460 low concentrations can have a great impact on aquatic environment; contrary to the potential  
461 impact on Human health, taking into account other substances than pharmaceuticals was of  
462 great concern. When considering only our 37 characterized pharmaceuticals (38 % of the  
463 mass and 4 % of the impact), emitted mass and impact had one log less than Ortiz de Garcia's

464 results; thus both results seemed consistent. It highlighted once more that geographical  
465 situation was very important when estimating potential impacts. Among the 45 substances, we  
466 had calculated the impact for the 19 substances in common (Figure 3 B). The total emitted  
467 mass was 70 and 10 tons for their study and ours respectively and the total impact was  
468  $1.3 \cdot 10^{10}$  and  $2.2 \cdot 10^9$  PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d respectively. As already shown previously, mass emitted to the  
469 environment were different except for salicylic acid, estrone and norfloxacin (same order of  
470 magnitude). Contrary to toxicity characterization factors, only amoxicillin, clarithromycin,  
471 estrone and venlafaxine characterization factors were not in the same order of magnitude.  
472 Difference occurred for potential impacts on aquatic environment mainly due to the difference  
473 of emitted mass. In both studies,  $\beta$ E2, azithromycin and clarithromycin had very high  
474 potential impact. Hormone and antibiotics (macrolides) showed also high ecotoxicity.  
475 Prediction of concentrations in aquatic environment crossed with estimation of ecotoxicity  
476 allowed also to study potential impacts on aquatic environment (Lindim et al., 2019). In their  
477 study, the bioavailable concentrations of 54 pharmaceuticals were predicted in different rivers  
478 thanks to fugacity model STREAM-EU and their ecotoxicity effect was evaluated in  
479 percentage of the total Potentially Affected Fraction (PAF) using EC<sub>50</sub> of each substance. In  
480 their study, some pharmaceuticals with highest contribution to predicted toxic pressure were  
481 among the list of the most impacting pharmaceuticals in our study (diclofenac, EE2,  
482 erythromycin, ciprofloxacin).

483 Neale et al. (2015) coupled analytical tools and biological bioassays with mixture-toxicity  
484 modeling to *in vitro* effects of micropollutants to detected organic micropollutants in water.  
485 They showed that for some effect, few molecules contributed to a large amount of the impact  
486 which was in accordance with our findings.

487 Johnson et al. (2019) studied the change of wastewater treatment process on the biodiversity  
488 of macroinvertebrates in a river of the United Kingdom between 1970 and 2010. They studied

489 the evolution of BMWP index (Biological Monitoring Working Party) and the SPEAR  
490 indexes (Species at Risk) during time in the river flow. One carbon filter was set up between  
491 2008 and 2014 as tertiary treatment; during this period no significant impact on  
492 macroinvertebrates was noticed due to the use of activated carbon; the observed improvement  
493 of biodiversity was related to the improvement of oxygen levels during the whole study.  
494 Authors estimated that, in this case, pollutants present in WWTP effluent were not a great  
495 threat compared to other emissions such as agricultural ones.

496 Other articles confirmed our results showing an impact on aquatic environment. Richmond et  
497 al. (2018) analyzed pharmaceutical compounds in 190 aquatic insects' larvae and other  
498 aquatic invertebrates and riparian spiders. They showed possible bioaccumulation in aquatic  
499 organisms such as brown trout and terrestrial organisms (spiders and platypus consuming  
500 insects' larvae and insects). No effect of bioaccumulation was studied. Ojemaye and Petrik  
501 (2019) analyzed 15 organic micropollutants (pharmaceutical compounds, perfluoroalkyl  
502 compounds and compounds from chemical industry) in fish caught near Cape Town. Eleven  
503 molecules were detected at least in one body part of each fish. With risk quotient, results  
504 showed that micropollutants present in fish represent a potential risk to fish and Humans that  
505 consume them. Our results are in accordance with a low but real risk of the presence of  
506 organic micropollutants in aquatic environment: bioaccumulation and risk for organisms.

507 Remaining question is that neither our study nor literature show the deleterious effect, if any,  
508 of bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms. Other studies, using mixture of micropollutants,  
509 showed cocktail effects but these studies were made in lab-control conditions with  
510 concentrations generally higher than in real environment (Cizmas et al., 2015; Elisabete Silva  
511 et al., 2002; Rajapakse et al., 2001; Thrupp et al., 2018).

512 Verlicchi et al. (2012) showed high risk of some pharmaceuticals in aquatic environment  
513 using PEC/PNEC method. Antibiotics (especially macrolides) in common in our studies were  
514 shown to have great impact or risk on the aquatic environment.

515 Bioaccumulation, endocrine disruption, and toxicity of micropollutants had been already  
516 observed and quantified in literature with different methods. Our results tended to confirm  
517 negative effects of micropollutants released by WWTP in aquatic environment. Many studies  
518 focused on emerging micropollutants such as pharmaceuticals. Here we highlighted potential  
519 impacts of recalcitrant and persistent compound and pharmaceuticals. Furthermore, literature  
520 and our study also proved that, whatever the method used to evaluate risk or impact, it is  
521 necessary to cross released or environmental concentration and ecotoxicity effect to determine  
522 negative effects of organic micropollutants on aquatic environment. High potential impacts of  
523 both persistent and emerging compounds imply that both source reduction and addition of  
524 tertiary treatment might have significant impact on the reduction of micropollutants burden to  
525 the aquatic environment.

### 526 3.3 Potential impacts of inorganic micropollutants

#### 527 3.3.1 Human health

528 Over the 24 inorganic micropollutants with estimated concentrations, 15 (63 %) had Human  
529 toxicity characterization factors. Missing ones were for Fe, B, Al, Mn, Rb, Li, Ti, Co and U.  
530 Sn and Se had null characterization factors. The impact on Human health was estimated with  
531 compounds representing only 17 % of the inorganic micropollutants mass; indeed, highly  
532 concentrated compounds in effluents such as Fe and Al were not characterized.

533 Impacts ranged from 0 (Sn and Se) to 503 (As) DALY. As and Zn were the main contributors  
534 to the total impact of 818 DALY (Figure 4) with respective contributions of 62 and 29 %;

535 those two compounds represented 63 % of the 15 characterized inorganic micropollutants  
536 mass.

### 537 3.3.2 Aquatic environment

538 Over the 24 inorganic micropollutants with estimated concentrations, 19 (79 %) had  
539 ecotoxicity characterizations factors. Missing ones were for B, Rb, Li, Ti and U. The impact  
540 on aquatic environment was estimated with compounds representing 76 % of the inorganic  
541 micropollutants mass.

542 Impacts ranged from 1,595,278 (Hg) to 1,973,471,331,644 (Al) PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d. Al, Fe and Cu  
543 were the main contributors to the total impact of 2,858,392,569,287 PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d. (Figure 5)  
544 with respective contributions of 69, 15 and 12 %; those three compounds represented 69 % of  
545 the 19 characterized inorganic micropollutants mass.

546 It is difficult to conclude on the potential impacts of inorganic micropollutants on Human  
547 health and aquatic environment. Indeed, they are naturally present in the environment making  
548 difficult to assess the real effects due to the release by WWTP on aquatic organisms and  
549 Humans. If USEtox® provides characterizations factors for metals, they are considered as  
550 “interim” and should be interpreted with caution, as they present a high degree of uncertainty  
551 (Fantke et al., 2017).

## 552 4. Conclusions

553 286 substances were selected for this study and the potential impacts on Human Health and  
554 Aquatic environment were estimated only with 1/3 of the molecules (Figure 6).

555 Total potential impacts on Human health varied between 3 to 14 and 761 to 904 DALY for  
556 respectively organic and inorganic micropollutants. Total potential impacts on aquatic  
557 environment varied between 18 to 22 and 2 408 to 3 407 billions PDF.m<sup>3</sup>.d for respectively  
558 organic and inorganic micropollutants. For toxicity and ecotoxicity, the potential impacts



559 were calculated with little number of molecules over the ones that had been selected. This  
560 highlighted the lack of concentration data and characterization factors. The actual knowledge  
561 of the effects of micropollutants on Human health and aquatic environment is limited.

562 Our studies raised question about the solution to reduce organic micropollutants impacts on  
563 Human health and aquatic environment. Reduction or ban on using is preferred in France;  
564 here, we highlighted that ubiquitous micropollutants (PAH), forbidden (PCB) or natural ones  
565 (hormone) are still found in effluents and contributed to the calculated impact meaning that  
566 this solution is not appropriate for all the micropollutants. Tertiary treatments are another way  
567 to reduce amount release to the environment but we need to know if they are sufficient to  
568 reduce micropollutants with highest impacts and studies to prove that degradation products, if  
569 any, are not more toxic than parent compounds. Furthermore, we can also question the cost  
570 implied by the addition of tertiary treatments: we need to know if the available tertiary  
571 treatment options are effective to remove micropollutants and if they are cost-effective  
572 considering their cost and the decrease of impact. Our results raised questions about the  
573 impacts of inorganic micropollutants; indeed, they are naturally present in water, most of the  
574 concentrations in WWTP effluents are closed to river concentrations but estimated impacts  
575 showed high risk due to these substances.

576 USETox® is only based on chronic toxicity data and does not consider endocrine disrupting  
577 effect. Moreover, effects of nanomaterials, microplastics, resistance genes, etc. were not  
578 considered by this method but can represent a huge impact on human health and aquatic  
579 environment. However, this method could be used to compare different scenarii: addition of  
580 tertiary treatment, reduction of emission at the source, etc. Here, as a first step of potential  
581 impacts estimation, we focus on mean mass values at the scale of France. We know that there  
582 is a spatial and temporal variation of micropollutants emission (Lindim et al., 2019); one  
583 perspective is to use this kind of method at the scale of catchment basin, considering other

584 emissions coming from agriculture or industries. Furthermore, other emissions on WWTP  
585 (air, sludge) can be studied with this method and compared to effluent emissions.

## 586 **Acknowledgments**

587 We would like to thank Synteau (French National Union of water treatment enterprises) for  
588 their financial and scientific support.

## 589 **References**

- 590 Ahmed, M.B., Zhou, J.L., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., Thomaidis, N.S., Xu, J., 2017. Progress in the  
591 biological and chemical treatment technologies for emerging contaminant removal from  
592 wastewater: A critical review. *J. Hazard. Mater.*  
593 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.04.045>
- 594 Alvarino, T., Suarez, S., Lema, J., Omil, F., 2018. Understanding the sorption and  
595 biotransformation of organic micropollutants in innovative biological wastewater  
596 treatment technologies. *Sci. Total Environ.*  
597 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.278>
- 598 Andreozzi, R., Marotta, R., Pinto, G., Pollio, A., 2002. Carbamazepine in water: persistence  
599 in the environment, ozonation treatment and preliminary assessment on algal toxicity.  
600 *Water Res.* 36, 2869–2877. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(01\)00500-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00500-0)
- 601 Bergé, A., Gasperi, J., Rocher, V., Coursimault, A., Moilleron, R., 2012. Phthalate and  
602 alkylphenol removal within wastewater treatment plants using physicochemical lamellar  
603 clarification and biofiltration. pp. 357–368. <https://doi.org/10.2495/WP120311>
- 604 Besha, A.T., Gebreyohannes, A.Y., Tufa, R.A., Bekele, D.N., Curcio, E., Giorno, L., 2017.  
605 Removal of Emerging Micropollutants by Activated Sludge Process and Membrane  
606 Bioreactors and the Effects of Micropollutants on Membrane Fouling: A Review. *J.*  
607 *Environ. Chem. Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.04.027>
- 608 Botta, F., Lavison, G., Couturier, G., Alliot, F., Moreau-Guigon, E., Fauchon, N., Guery, B.,  
609 Chevreuril, M., Blanchoud, H., 2009. Transfer of glyphosate and its degradate AMPA to  
610 surface waters through urban sewerage systems. *Chemosphere* 77, 133–139.  
611 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2009.05.008>
- 612 Bruchet, A., Martin, S., Coquery, M., 2015. Indicateurs chimiques d'efficacité de traitement  
613 et d'influence des rejets de stations d'épuration sur le milieu récepteur. *Tech. Sci.*  
614 *Méthodes* 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.1051/tsm/201503015>
- 615 Brus, A., Perrodin, Y., 2017. Identification, assessment and prioritization of ecotoxicological  
616 risks on the scale of a territory: Application to WWTP discharges in a geographical area  
617 located in northeast Lyon, France. *Chemosphere* 189, 340–348.  
618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2017.09.054>
- 619 Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Llompарт, M.M., García-Jares, C., Rodríguez, I., Gómez,  
620 M., Ternes, T., García-Jares, C., Rodríguez, I., Gómez, M., Ternes, T., 2004. Behavior of  
621 pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and hormones in a sewage treatment plant. *Water Res.* 38,  
622 2918–2926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.03.029>

- 623 Cargouët, M., Perdiz, D., Mouatassim-Souali, A., Tamisier-Karolak, S., Levi, Y., 2004.  
624 Assessment of river contamination by estrogenic compounds in Paris area (France). *Sci.*  
625 *Total Environ.* 324, 55–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2003.10.035>
- 626 Cavalleiro, J., Zuloaga, O., Prieto, A., Preudhomme, H., Amouroux, D., Monperrus, M.,  
627 2017. Occurrence and Fate of Organic and Organometallic Pollutants in Municipal  
628 Wastewater Treatment Plants and Their Impact on Receiving Waters (Adour Estuary,  
629 France). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 73, 619–630. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-017-0422-9)  
630 [017-0422-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-017-0422-9)
- 631 Chiffre, A., Degiorgi, F., Buleté, A., Spinner, L., Badot, P.-M., 2016. Occurrence of  
632 pharmaceuticals in WWTP effluents and their impact in a karstic rural catchment of  
633 Eastern France. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 23, 25427–25441.  
634 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7751-5>
- 635 Choubert, J.-M., Martin-Ruel, S., Budzinski, H., Miège, C., Esperanza, M., Soulier, C.,  
636 Lagarrigue, C., Coquery, M., 2011. Évaluer les rendements des stations d'épuration.  
637 *Tech. Sci. Méthodes* 44–62. <https://doi.org/10.1051/tsm/201101044>
- 638 Cizmas, L., Sharma, V.K., Gray, C.M., McDonald, T.J., 2015. Pharmaceuticals and personal  
639 care products in waters: occurrence, toxicity, and risk. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 13, 381–  
640 394. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-015-0524-4>
- 641 Cladière, M., 2012. Sources, transfert et devenir des alkylphénols et du bisphénol A dans le  
642 bassin amont de la Seine : cas de la région Île-de-France. Université Paris-Est.
- 643 Clara, M., Strenn, B., Gans, O., Martinez, E., Kreuzinger, N., Kroiss, H., 2005. Removal of  
644 selected pharmaceuticals, fragrances and endocrine disrupting compounds in a  
645 membrane bioreactor and conventional wastewater treatment plants. *Water Res.* 39,  
646 4797–4807. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.09.015>
- 647 Coetsier, C., 2009. Approche intégrée de la gestion environnementale des produits  
648 pharmaceutiques dans des rejets de stations d'épuration urbaines et leur milieu  
649 récepteur : occurrence, impact et traitements tertiaires d'élimination. Université de  
650 Montpellier II.
- 651 Dagnac, T., Bristeau, S., Coton, C., Leroy, C., Fleury, N., Jeannot, R., 2005. Analyse de  
652 polluants organiques et organométalliques dans l'environnement.
- 653 de Jesus Gaffney, V., Almeida, C.M.M., Rodrigues, A., Ferreira, E., Benoliel, M.J., Cardoso,  
654 V.V., 2015. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals in a water supply system and related human  
655 health risk assessment. *Water Res.* 72, 199–208.  
656 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2014.10.027>
- 657 Deycard, V.N., Schäfer, J., Petit, J.C.J., Coynel, A., Lancelier, L., Dutruch, L., Bossy, C.,  
658 Ventura, A., Blanc, G., 2017. Inputs, dynamics and potential impacts of silver (Ag) from  
659 urban wastewater to a highly turbid estuary (SW France). *Chemosphere* 167, 501–511.  
660 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2016.09.154>
- 661 Dinh, Q.T., Moreau-Guigon, E., Labadie, P., Alliot, F., Teil, M.-J., Blanchard, M., Chevreuil,  
662 M., 2017a. Occurrence of antibiotics in rural catchments. *Chemosphere* 168, 483–490.  
663 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2016.10.106>
- 664 Dinh, Q.T., Moreau-Guigon, E., Labadie, P., Alliot, F., Teil, M.-J., Blanchard, M., Eurin, J.,  
665 Chevreuil, M., 2017b. Fate of antibiotics from hospital and domestic sources in a sewage  
666 network. *Sci. Total Environ.* 575, 758–766.  
667 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2016.09.118>

- 668 Directive 2008/105/CE, n.d. Directive 2008/105/CE du 16/12/08 établissant des normes de  
669 qualité environnementales dans le domaine de l'eau, modifiant et abrogeant les directives  
670 du Conseil 82/176/CEE, 83/513/CEE, 84/156/CEE, 84/491/CEE, 86/280CEE et  
671 modifiant la directive 2000/60/CE.
- 672 Elisabete Silva, Nissanka Rajapakse, and, Kortenkamp\*, A., 2002. Something from  
673 "Nothing" – Eight Weak Estrogenic Chemicals Combined at Concentrations below  
674 NOECs Produce Significant Mixture Effects. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES0101227>
- 675 Enault, J., Robert, S., Schlosser, O., de Thé, C., 2015. Drinking water, diet, indoor air:  
676 Comparison of the contribution to environmental micropollutants exposure. *Int. J. Hyg.*  
677 *Environ. Health* 218, 723–730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.06.001>
- 678 Fantke, P., Bijster, M., Guignard, C., Hauschild, M.Z., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Jolliet, O.,  
679 Kounina, A., Magaud, V., Margni, M., McKone, T.E., Posthuma, L., Rosenbaum, R.K.,  
680 van de Meent, D., van Zelm, R., 2017. USEtox 2.0 Documentation (Version 1).  
681 <https://doi.org/10.11581/DTU:00000011>
- 682 Ferrari, B., Mons, R., Vollat, B., Frayssé, B., Paxéus, N., Lo Giudice, R., Pollio, A., Garric, J.,  
683 2004. ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT OF SIX HUMAN  
684 PHARMACEUTICALS: ARE THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL RISK  
685 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES SUFFICIENT FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE  
686 AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT? *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 23, 1344.  
687 <https://doi.org/10.1897/03-246>
- 688 Gabet-Giraud, V., Miège, C., Choubert, J.M., Ruel, S.M., Coquery, M., 2010. Occurrence and  
689 removal of estrogens and beta blockers by various processes in wastewater treatment  
690 plants. *Sci. Total Environ.* 408, 4257–4269.  
691 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2010.05.023>
- 692 Gabet-Giraud, V., Miège, C., Jacquet, R., Coquery, M., 2014. Impact of wastewater treatment  
693 plants on receiving surface waters and a tentative risk evaluation: the case of estrogens  
694 and beta blockers. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 1708–1722.  
695 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2037-7>
- 696 Gilbert-Pawlik, S., 2011. Devenir des polybromodiphényléthers et des alkylphénols dans les  
697 filières de traitement des eaux usées. Université Paris-Est.
- 698 Grandclément, C., Seyssiecq, I., Piram, A., Wong-Wah-Chung, P., Vanot, G., Tiliacos, N.,  
699 Roche, N., Doumenq, P., 2017. From the conventional biological wastewater treatment  
700 to hybrid processes, the evaluation of organic micropollutant removal: A review. *Water*  
701 *Res.* 111, 297–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2017.01.005>
- 702 Grandcoin, A., Piel, S., Baurès, E., 2017. AminoMethylPhosphonic acid (AMPA) in natural  
703 waters: Its sources, behavior and environmental fate. *Water Res.* 117, 187–197.  
704 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2017.03.055>
- 705 Gunnarsson, L., Snape, J.R., Verbruggen, B., Owen, S.F., Kristiansson, E., Margiotta-  
706 Casaluci, L., Österlund, T., Hutchinson, K., Leverett, D., Marks, B., Tyler, C.R., 2019.  
707 Pharmacology beyond the patient – The environmental risks of human drugs. *Environ.*  
708 *Int.* 129, 320–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.04.075>
- 709 Gwenzi, W., Mangori, L., Danha, C., Chaukura, N., Dunjana, N., Sanganyado, E., 2018.  
710 Sources, behaviour, and environmental and human health risks of high-technology rare  
711 earth elements as emerging contaminants. *Sci. Total Environ.*  
712 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.235>

- 713 Hauschild, M.Z., Huijbregts, M., Jolliet, O., Macleod, M., Margni, M., van de Meent, D.,  
714 Rosenbaum, R.K., McKone, T.E., 2008. Building a Model Based on Scientific  
715 Consensus for Life Cycle Impact Assessment of Chemicals: The Search for Harmony  
716 and Parsimony. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 7032–7037.  
717 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es703145t>
- 718 Henderson, A.D., Hauschild, M.Z., van de Meent, D., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Larsen, H.F.,  
719 Margni, M., McKone, T.E., Payet, J., Rosenbaum, R.K., Jolliet, O., 2011. USEtox fate  
720 and ecotoxicity factors for comparative assessment of toxic emissions in life cycle  
721 analysis: sensitivity to key chemical properties. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 16, 701–709.  
722 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-011-0294-6>
- 723 Hollender, J., Rothardt, J., Radny, D., Loos, M., Epting, J., Huggenberger, P., Borer, P.,  
724 Singer, H., 2018. Comprehensive micropollutant screening using LC-HRMS/MS at three  
725 riverbank filtration sites to assess natural attenuation and potential implications for  
726 human health. *Water Res.* X 1, 100007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WROA.2018.100007>
- 727 INERIS, 2016. Les substances dangereuses pour le milieu aquatique dans les rejets des  
728 stations de traitement des eaux usées urbaines - Action nationale de recherche et de  
729 réduction des rejets de substances dangereuses dans l'eau par les stations de traitement  
730 des eaux.
- 731 Janex-Habibi, M.-L., Huyard, A., Esperanza, M., Bruchet, A., 2009. Reduction of endocrine  
732 disruptor emissions in the environment: The benefit of wastewater treatment. *Water Res.*  
733 43, 1565–1576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2008.12.051>
- 734 Jobling, S., Williams, R., Johnson, A., Taylor, A., Gross-Sorokin, M., Nolan, M., Tyler, C.R.,  
735 Van Aerle, R., Santos, E., Brighty, G., 2006. Predicted exposures to steroid estrogens in  
736 U.K. Rivers correlate with widespread sexual disruption in wild fish populations.  
737 *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114, 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8050>
- 738 Johnson, A.C., Aerni, H.-R., Gerritsen, A., Gibert, M., Giger, W., Hylland, K., Jürgens, M.,  
739 Nakari, T., Pickering, A., Suter, M.J.-F., Svenson, A., Wettstein, F.E., 2005. Comparing  
740 steroid estrogen, and nonylphenol content across a range of European sewage plants with  
741 different treatment and management practices. *Water Res.* 39, 47–58.  
742 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2004.07.025>
- 743 Johnson, A.C., Jürgens, M.D., Edwards, F.K., Scarlett, P.M., Vincent, H.M., Ohe, P., 2019.  
744 What Works? The Influence Of Changing Wastewater Treatment Type, Including  
745 Tertiary Granular Activated Charcoal On Downstream Macroinvertebrate Biodiversity  
746 Over Time. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 38, 1820–1832. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4460>
- 747 Labadie, P., Budzinski, H., 2005. Determination of Steroidal Hormone Profiles along the Jalle  
748 d'Eysines River (near Bordeaux, France). <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES048443G>
- 749 Leclercq, M., Mathieu, O., Gomez, E., Casellas, C., Fenet, H., Hillaire-Buys, D., 2009.  
750 Presence and Fate of Carbamazepine, Oxcarbazepine, and Seven of Their Metabolites at  
751 Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 56, 408–415.  
752 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-008-9202-x>
- 753 Li, Z., Gomez, E., Fenet, H., Chiron, S., 2013. Chiral signature of venlafaxine as a marker of  
754 biological attenuation processes. *Chemosphere* 90, 1933–1938.  
755 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2012.10.033>
- 756 Lindim, C., de Zwart, D., Cousins, I.T., Kutsarova, S., Kühne, R., Schüürmann, G., 2019.  
757 Exposure and ecotoxicological risk assessment of mixtures of top prescribed

- 758 pharmaceuticals in Swedish freshwaters. *Chemosphere* 220, 344–352.  
759 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.118>
- 760 Mailler, R., 2015. Devenir des micropolluants prioritaires et émergents dans les filières  
761 conventionnelles de traitement des eaux résiduaires urbaines des grosses collectivités  
762 (files eau et boues), et au cours du traitement tertiaire au charbon actif. Université Paris-  
763 Est.
- 764 Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Coquet, Y., Buleté, A., Vulliet, E., Deshayes, S., Zedek, S., Mirande-  
765 Bret, C., Eudes, V., Bressy, A., Caupos, E., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Rocher, V., 2016.  
766 Removal of a wide range of emerging pollutants from wastewater treatment plant  
767 discharges by micro-grain activated carbon in fluidized bed as tertiary treatment at large  
768 pilot scale. *Sci. Total Environ.* 542, 983–996.  
769 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2015.10.153>
- 770 Mailler, R., Gasperi, J., Coquet, Y., Deshayes, S., Zedek, S., Cren-Olivé, C., Cartiser, N.,  
771 Eudes, V., Bressy, A., Caupos, E., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Rocher, V., 2015. Study of  
772 a large scale powdered activated carbon pilot: Removals of a wide range of emerging  
773 and priority micropollutants from wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Water Res.* 72,  
774 315–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2014.10.047>
- 775 Martin Ruel, S., Choubert, J.-M.M.J.-M., Budzinski, H., Miège, C., Esperanza, M., Coquery,  
776 M., Miège, C., Esperanza, M., Coquery, M., 2012. Occurrence and fate of relevant  
777 substances in wastewater treatment plants regarding Water Framework Directive and  
778 future legislations. *Water Sci. Technol.* 65, 1179–1189.  
779 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.943>
- 780 Matthiessen, P., Wheeler, J.R., Weltje, L., 2018. A review of the evidence for endocrine  
781 disrupting effects of current-use chemicals on wildlife populations. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*  
782 48, 195–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2017.1397099>
- 783 Michael, I., Rizzo, L., McArdeall, C.S., Manai, C.M., Merlin, C., Schwartz, T., Dagot, C.,  
784 Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2013. Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release  
785 of antibiotics in the environment: A review. *Water Res.* 47, 957–995.  
786 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2012.11.027>
- 787 Miège, C., Choubert, J.M., Ribeiro, L., Eusèbe, M., Coquery, M., 2009a. Fate of  
788 pharmaceuticals and personal care products in wastewater treatment plants – Conception  
789 of a database and first results. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 1721–1726.  
790 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2008.11.045>
- 791 Miège, C., Gabet, V., Coquery, M., Karolak, S., Jugan, M.-L., Oziol, L., Levi, Y., Chevreuil,  
792 M., 2009b. Evaluation of estrogenic disrupting potency in aquatic environments and  
793 urban wastewaters by combining chemical and biological analysis. *TrAC Trends Anal.*  
794 *Chem.* 28, 186–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2008.11.007>
- 795 Muller, M., Rabenoelina, F., Balaguer, P., Patureau, D., Lemenach, K., Budzinski, H.,  
796 Barceló, D., de Alda, M.L., Kuster, M., Delgenès, J.-P., Hernandez-Raquet, G., 2008.  
797 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF ENDOCRINE-DISRUPTING  
798 HORMONES AND ESTROGENIC ACTIVITY IN AN ADVANCED SEWAGE  
799 TREATMENT PLANT. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 27, 1649. <https://doi.org/10.1897/07-519.1>  
800
- 801 Muñoz, I., José Gómez, M., Molina-Díaz, A., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Fernández-Alba, A.R.,  
802 García-Calvo, E., 2008. Ranking potential impacts of priority and emerging pollutants in  
803 urban wastewater through life cycle impact assessment. *Chemosphere* 74, 37–44.

804 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2008.09.029>

805 Neale, P.A., Ait-Aissa, S., Brack, W., Creusot, N., Denison, M.S., Deutschmann, B.,  
806 Hilscherová, K., Hollert, H., Krauss, M., Novák, J., Schulze, T., Seiler, T.-B., Serra, H.,  
807 Shao, Y., Escher, B.I., 2015. Linking in Vitro Effects and Detected Organic  
808 Micropollutants in Surface Water Using Mixture-Toxicity Modeling. *Environ. Sci.*  
809 *Technol.* 49, 14614–14624. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04083>

810 Oberlé, K., Capdeville, M.-J., Berthe, T., Budzinski, H., Petit, F., 2012. Evidence for a  
811 Complex Relationship between Antibiotics and Antibiotic-Resistant *Escherichia Coli* :  
812 From Medical Center Patients to a Receiving Environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46,  
813 1859–1868. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203399h>

814 Ojemaye, C.Y., Petrik, L., 2019. Occurrences, levels and risk assessment studies of emerging  
815 pollutants (pharmaceuticals, perfluoroalkyl and endocrine disrupting compounds) in fish  
816 samples from Kalk Bay harbour, South Africa. *Environ. Pollut.* 252, 562–572.  
817 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.091>

818 Oldenkamp, R., Hoeks, S., Čengić, M., Barbarossa, V., Burns, E.E., Boxall, A.B.A., Ragas,  
819 A.M.J., 2018. A High-Resolution Spatial Model to Predict Exposure to Pharmaceuticals  
820 in European Surface Waters: EPiE. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 12494–12503.  
821 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03862>

822 Ortiz de García, S., García-Encina, P.A., Irusta-Mata, R., 2017. The potential ecotoxicological  
823 impact of pharmaceutical and personal care products on humans and freshwater, based  
824 on USEtox™ characterization factors. A Spanish case study of toxicity impact scores.  
825 *Sci. Total Environ.* 609, 429–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.148>

826 Pasquini, L., 2013. Micropolluants issus de l'activité domestique dans les eaux urbaines et  
827 leur devenir en station d'épuration. Université de Lorraine.

828 Pomiès, M., 2013. Etude et modélisation dynamique de l'élimination de micropolluants  
829 prioritaires et émergents au sein du procédé à boues activées. Université de Montpellier  
830 I.

831 Portail d'informations sur l'assainissement communal - Accueil [WWW Document], n.d.  
832 URL <http://assainissement.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/> (accessed 5.4.20).

833 Rabiet, M., Togola, A., Brissaud, F., Seidel, J.-L., Budzinski, H., Elbaz-Poulichet, F., 2006.  
834 Consequences of Treated Water Recycling as Regards Pharmaceuticals and Drugs in  
835 Surface and Ground Waters of a Medium-sized Mediterranean Catchment.  
836 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES060528P>

837 Rajapakse, N., Ong, D., Kortenkamp, A., 2001. Defining the Impact of Weakly Estrogenic  
838 Chemicals on the Action of Steroidal Estrogens. *Toxicol. Sci.* 60, 296–304.  
839 <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/60.2.296>

840 Richmond, E.K., Rosi, E.J., Walters, D.M., Fick, J., Hamilton, S.K., Brodin, T., Sundelin, A.,  
841 Grace, M.R., 2018. A diverse suite of pharmaceuticals contaminates stream and riparian  
842 food webs. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06822-w>

843 Rosenbaum, R.K., Bachmann, T.M., Gold, L.S., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Jolliet, O., Juraske, R.,  
844 Koehler, A., Larsen, H.F., MacLeod, M., Margni, M., McKone, T.E., Payet, J.,  
845 Schuhmacher, M., van de Meent, D., Hauschild, M.Z., 2008. USEtox—the UNEP-  
846 SETAC toxicity model: recommended characterisation factors for human toxicity and  
847 freshwater ecotoxicity in life cycle impact assessment. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 13, 532–  
848 546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-008-0038-4>

- 849 Rosenbaum, R.K., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Henderson, A.D., Margni, M., McKone, T.E., van de  
850 Meent, D., Hauschild, M.Z., Shaked, S., Li, D.S., Gold, L.S., Jolliet, O., 2011. USEtox  
851 human exposure and toxicity factors for comparative assessment of toxic emissions in  
852 life cycle analysis: sensitivity to key chemical properties. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 16,  
853 710–727. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-011-0316-4>
- 854 Sablayrolles, C., Breton, A., Vialle, C., Vignoles, C., Montréjoud-Vignoles, M., 2011. Priority  
855 organic pollutants in the urban water cycle (Toulouse, France). *Water Sci. Technol.* 64,  
856 541–556. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.580>
- 857 Salpeteur, I., Angel, J.-M., 2010. Geochemical baseline data for trace elements in surface  
858 water and active sediment from French rivers collected by the FOREGS Geochemical  
859 Atlas of Europe (I). *Environnement, Risques & Santé* 9, 121–135.  
860 <https://doi.org/10.1684/ERS.2010.0332>
- 861 Simazaki, D., Kubota, R., Suzuki, T., Akiba, M., Nishimura, T., Kunikane, S., 2015.  
862 Occurrence of selected pharmaceuticals at drinking water purification plants in Japan and  
863 implications for human health. *Water Res.* 76, 187–200.  
864 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2015.02.059>
- 865 Škrbić, B.D., Kadokami, K., Antić, I., 2018. Survey on the micro-pollutants presence in  
866 surface water system of northern Serbia and environmental and health risk assessment.  
867 *Environ. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.05.034>
- 868 Tamtam, F., Mercier, F., Le Bot, B., Eurin, J., Tuc Dinh, Q., Clément, M., Chevreuil, M.,  
869 2008. Occurrence and fate of antibiotics in the Seine River in various hydrological  
870 conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.* 393, 84–95.  
871 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2007.12.009>
- 872 Thiebault, T., Boussafir, M., Le Milbeau, C., 2017. Occurrence and removal efficiency of  
873 pharmaceuticals in an urban wastewater treatment plant: Mass balance, fate and  
874 consumption assessment. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 5, 2894–2902.  
875 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2017.05.039>
- 876 Thrupp, T.J., Runnalls, T.J., Scholze, M., Kugathas, S., Kortenkamp, A., Sumpter, J.P., 2018.  
877 The consequences of exposure to mixtures of chemicals: Something from ‘nothing’ and  
878 ‘a lot from a little’ when fish are exposed to steroid hormones. *Sci. Total Environ.* 619–  
879 620, 1482–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.11.081>
- 880 Togola, A., Budzinski, H., 2007. Analytical development for analysis of pharmaceuticals in  
881 water samples by SPE and GC–MS. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 388, 627–635.  
882 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-007-1251-x>
- 883 Tran, B.C., Teil, M.J., Blanchard, M., Alliot, F., Chevreuil, M., 2015. BPA and phthalate fate  
884 in a sewage network and an elementary river of France. Influence of hydroclimatic  
885 conditions. *Chemosphere* 119, 43–51.  
886 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2014.04.036>
- 887 Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E., 2012. Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds  
888 in urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary  
889 treatment—A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 429, 123–155.  
890 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2012.04.028>
- 891 Vilela, C.L.S., Bassin, J.P., Peixoto, R.S., 2018. Water contamination by endocrine  
892 disruptors: Impacts, microbiological aspects and trends for environmental protection.  
893 *Environ. Pollut.* 235, 546–559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.098>



- 894 Wiest, L., Chonova, T., Bergé, A., Baudot, R., Bessueille-Barbier, F., Ayouni-Derouiche, L.,  
895 Vulliet, E., 2018. Two-year survey of specific hospital wastewater treatment and its  
896 impact on pharmaceutical discharges. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 9207–9218.  
897 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9662-5>
- 898 Wormuth, M., Scheringer, M., Vollenweider, M., Hungerbuhler, K., 2006. What Are the  
899 Sources of Exposure to Eight Frequently Used Phthalic Acid Esters in Europeans? *Risk*  
900 *Anal.* 26, 803–824. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2006.00770.x>
- 901 Yang, Y., Ok, Y.S., Kim, K.-H., Kwon, E.E., Tsang, Y.F., 2017. Occurrences and removal of  
902 pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in drinking water and water/sewage  
903 treatment plants: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 596–597, 303–320.  
904 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.04.102>
- 905 Ying, G.-G., Williams, B., Kookana, R., 2002. Environmental fate of alkylphenols and  
906 alkylphenol ethoxylates—a review. *Environ. Int.* 28, 215–226.  
907 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120\(02\)00017-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00017-X)
- 908




Figure 1. Concentration (left axis) and corresponding emitted mass in the environment calculated by multiplying the concentration with the one-year volume (right axis) of inorganic micropollutants in WWTP




Figure 2. Distribution of the potential impacts on A) Human health of the 94/153 organic micropollutants with toxicity characterization factors and B) aquatic environment of the 88/153 organic micropollutants with ecotoxicity characterization factors; maximum (max), 95<sup>th</sup> percentile (p95), 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (p90), 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile, median (med), 1<sup>st</sup> quartile, 10<sup>th</sup> percentile (p10), 5<sup>th</sup> percentile (p5) and minimum are represented; DALY min and p5 are not represented because they are null and the data are represented in log scale





Figure 3. Comparison of masses and potential impacts for common pharmaceuticals of our study and Ortiz et al., 2019 study for A) Human health and B) aquatic environment




Figure 4. Potential impacts on Human health of the 15/24 inorganic micropollutants




Figure 5. Potential impacts on aquatic environment of the 19/24 inorganic micropollutants





Figure 6. Synthesis of the study in number of molecules

Table I. Distribution of average concentrations and masses for the 153 organic micropollutants with measured concentrations in WWTP effluents

	Max	95 <sup>th</sup> centile	90 <sup>th</sup> centile	75 <sup>th</sup> centile	50 <sup>th</sup> centile	25 <sup>th</sup> centile	10 <sup>th</sup> centile	5 <sup>th</sup> centile	Min
Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ )	5,2	0,8	0,3	0,1	0,05	0,01	0,002	0,001	0,0001
Annual mass (tons)	26,1	3,9	1,5	0,6	0,27	0,04	0,010	0,006	0,0005



**Graphical abstract**



Concentration  
x  
Volume  
=  
Mass released to  
aquatic environment



Potential impacts on  
Human health and  
aquatic environment



x characterization factor (USEtox 2.1 ®)