



**HAL**  
open science

# Trade-offs between yields, forage quality and botanical diversity in permanent grasslands of the Vosges Mountains in France

Geoffrey Mesbahi, Cécile Bayeur, Sylvain Plantureux

► **To cite this version:**

Geoffrey Mesbahi, Cécile Bayeur, Sylvain Plantureux. Trade-offs between yields, forage quality and botanical diversity in permanent grasslands of the Vosges Mountains in France. EGF 2020 - Meeting the future demands for grassland production, Oct 2020, Helsinki, Finland. hal-03093279

**HAL Id: hal-03093279**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03093279v1>**

Submitted on 3 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Trade-offs between yields, forage quality and botanical diversity in permanent grasslands of the Vosges Mountains in France

Mesbahi G.<sup>1,2</sup>, Bayeur C.<sup>2</sup> and Plantureux S.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Lorraine, Inrae, LAE, 54000 Nancy, France; <sup>2</sup>Parc Naturel Régional des Vosges du Nord, 67290 La Petite Pierre, France

## Abstract

Assessing trade-offs between forage production and ecological characteristics delivered by grasslands is a growing concern for stakeholders and scientists. We sampled 50 grasslands from the Vosges Mountains (north-eastern France), and measured the agronomic (forage yield and quality) and biodiversity characteristics of each grassland. We assessed yield through dry matter production; fodder quality through organic matter digestibility, protein, energy and mineral content; and biodiversity through total and oligotrophic plant species richness and ecological indices. Using a Hierarchical Clustering on Principal Components, our results show that grasslands can be classified into three classes. The first class is made of grasslands associated with high quality forage but poor ecological value, the second of diverse and productive grasslands associated with poor forage quality, and the last one of grasslands and moors of high ecological value but poor forage yield and quality. These classes are mainly determined by agricultural practices and soil properties. Our study highlights the trade-offs between the agronomic and ecological characteristics of grasslands: grasslands cannot produce high yields, qualitative forage and protect biodiversity at the same time. We argue that agronomists and naturalists must work together at both farm- and landscape-scales to produce forage in sufficient quantity and quality while protecting biodiversity.

**Keywords:** yield, forage quality, species diversity, agroecological characteristics, soil

## Introduction

Permanent grasslands provide diverse agroecological characteristics of global importance, such as forage production and species habitat. It is generally accepted that grasslands can either produce high quantity of forage or support biodiversity. Indeed, grasslands are mainly fertilised to increase yield, which decreases botanical diversity as side-effect. Conversely, the effects of biodiversity on yield is still debated: no agreement has been found with regard to permanent grasslands (Li *et al.*, 2019). Forage from unfertilised grasslands (Aydin and Uzun, 2005) and species-rich grasslands (French, 2017) could have higher quality due to the presence of legumes. So far, few studies have considered forage yield, forage quality and biodiversity simultaneously in order to study trade-offs and synergies. Moreover, biodiversity is often only studied in terms of species richness, without interest in the ecological value of different species. We hypothesized that yield is negatively correlated to forage quality and diversity of vascular plants.

## Materials and methods

We studied botanical composition of 50 grasslands (complete list of species of the main vegetation community, contribution to biomass of species in 6 plots 70×70 cm) a few days before their first utilisation, in the Vosges Mountains (north-eastern France). Climate and geology vary through the influence of latitudinal, longitudinal and altitudinal gradients.

The first aim of this study was to analyse trade-offs between grassland characteristics. We assessed yield through dry matter production; fodder quality through organic matter digestibility, protein, energy and mineral content; and biodiversity through total plant species richness, diversity indices and oligotrophic species richness as proxy of preservation of patrimonial habitats. We used a Hierarchical Clustering

on Principal Components (HCPC) to observe trade-offs among characteristics. The second aim was to identify the determinants of the trade-offs, so we added determinants related to environment, management and vegetation to the HCPC as supplementary variables.

## Results and discussion

The five first components explained 85.3% of the variance, and the classification produced three classes of grasslands. The first class regrouped 15 grasslands, the second class 27 grasslands and the third class 8 grasslands. Characteristics and determinants related to each class are described in Table 1.

The second class highlighted a positive correlation between biodiversity and yield, which is still largely debated: yield could be more influenced by key species or traits than biodiversity (Mahaut *et al.*, 2020). However, the second class confirmed the negative correlation between diversity and digestibility (Hofmann and Isselstein, 2005), but contrasted with studies demonstrating synergy between diversity and protein content (Aydin and Uzun, 2005; French, 2017). This class also confirmed that mowing and late use improve botanical diversity (Fischer and Wipf, 2002).

The third class showed trade-offs between total botanical diversity and oligotrophic species richness, highlighting that species richness is not necessarily a useful indicator of ecological value (Pykälä *et al.*, 2005). This class also confirmed that oligotrophic species are favoured by poorly fertilised grasslands (Garnier *et al.*, 2018), but contrasted with previous studies assuming that legumes are favoured by weakly fertile soils (Suding *et al.*, 2005).

Table 1. Results of grassland classification (HCPC).<sup>1</sup>

			Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Overall mean
Characteristics	Quantity	Yield (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	-	<b>2.1</b>	1.0	1.8
		Quality				
		Digestibility (%)	<b>74</b>	64	-	67
		Protein	<b>63.0</b>	55.7	54.0	57.6
		Energy	<b>0.98</b>	0.81	-	0.86
		Ca	<b>0.74</b>	-	0.36	0.61
		K	<b>2.54</b>	1.57	1.36	1.82
		Mg	<b>0.29</b>	-	0.17	0.23
		P	<b>0.34</b>	0.20	-	0.24
		Plant diversity				
		Total richness	-	<b>32.3</b>	23.1	30.5
		Shannon index	-	<b>3.56</b>	2.66	3.36
		Simpson index	-	<b>0.88</b>	0.76	0.85
		Oligotrophic richness	5.6	-	<b>9.7</b>	7.1
Determinants	Climate	Mean temperature (°C)	-	-	8.5	9.3
	Soil	Clay (%)	-	<b>20.8</b>	-	17.7
		Silt (%)	-	<b>34.8</b>	-	30.8
		Sand (%)	-	44.6	<b>64.1</b>	51.6
		pH	-	<b>5.9</b>	4.8	5.6
		Management	Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> use (heat unit)	605	<b>1,035</b>	-
		Cut/grazing	-	<b>0.80</b>	-	0.63
	Soil + management	C/N	-	-	<b>12.8</b>	11.7
	Vegetation	Legumes (%)	-	-	4.0	9.9

<sup>1</sup> Characteristics and determinants significantly related to each class ( $P < 0.05$ ) are shown. In bold, values that are above overall mean.

First and third classes underlined trade-offs between oligotrophic species richness and forage yield or quality, which could cause difficulties to conserve these species already threatened by intensification (Garnier *et al.*, 2018). Finally, first and second classes confirmed that early use of grasslands increases forage quality (Bruinenberg *et al.*, 2002). In the present study, forage quality did not include the quality of products like milk, cheese and meat, nor animal health. These characteristics could affect the trade-offs between botanical diversity and forage quality, as they are improved by diversity (Martin *et al.*, 2005; Poutaraud *et al.*, 2017).

## Conclusions

Our study highlighted the trade-offs between agronomic and ecological value of grasslands. Grasslands could not produce high yields, quality forage and protect botanical diversity at the same time. Soil and management mainly determined these trade-offs. Agronomists and conservation scientists must work together at both farm- and landscape-scales to find solutions for producing forage of sufficient quantity and quality while protecting biodiversity.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Marie-Louise Coly, Fanette Haltel and Benjamin Pires (University of Lorraine) for help with data collection. This project was funded by the European Regional Development Fund, the Agence de l'eau Rhin-Meuse, the Fonds National d'Aménagement et de Développement du Territoire Massif des Vosges and the Région Grand-Est.

## References

- Aydin I. and Uzun F. (2005) Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization of rangelands affects yield, forage quality and the botanical composition. *European Journal of Agronomy* 23, 8-4.
- Bruinenberg M.H., Valk H., Korevaar H. and Struik P.C. (2002) Factors affecting digestibility of temperate forages from seminatural grasslands: a review. *Grass and Forage Science* 57, 292-301.
- Fischer M. and Wipf S. (2002) Effect of low-intensity grazing on the species-rich vegetation of traditionally mown subalpine meadows. *Biological Conservation* 104, 1-11.
- French K.E. (2017) Species composition determines forage quality and medicinal value of high diversity grasslands in lowland England. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 241, 193-204.
- Garnier E., Fayolle A., Navas M.-L., Damgaard C., Cruz P., Hubert D., Richarte J., Autran P., Leurent C. and Violle C. (2018) Plant demographic and functional responses to management intensification: A long-term study in a Mediterranean rangeland. *Journal of Ecology* 106, 1363-1376.
- Hofmann M. and Isselstein J. (2005) Species enrichment in an agriculturally improved grassland and its effects on botanical composition, yield and forage quality. *Grass and Forage Science* 60, 136-145.
- Mahaut L., Fort F., Violle C. and Freschet G.T. (2020) Multiple facets of diversity effects on plant productivity: species richness, functional diversity, species identity and intraspecific competition. *Functional Ecology* 34 (1), 287-298.
- Martin B., Verdier-Metz I., Buchin S., Hurtaud C. and Coulon J.-B. (2005) How do the nature of forages and pasture diversity influence the sensory quality of dairy livestock products? *Animal Science* 81.
- Poutaraud A., Michelot-Antalik A. and Plantureux S. (2017) Grasslands: A source of secondary metabolites for livestock health. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 65, 6535-6553.
- Pykälä J., Luoto M., Heikkinen R.K. and Kontula T. (2005) Plant species richness and persistence of rare plants in abandoned seminatural grasslands in northern Europe. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 6, 25-33.
- Suding K.N., Collins S.L., Gough L., Clark C., Cleland E.E., Gross K.L., Milchunas D.G. and Pennings S. (2005) Functional- and abundance-based mechanisms explain diversity loss due to N fertilization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 102, 4387-4392.