

# Dynamics of biomass and nutrient accumulation in rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) plantations established on two soil types: Implications for nutrient management over the immature phase

Thibaut Perron, Louis Mareschal, Jean-Paul Laclau, Lucie Deffontaines, Philippe Deleporte, Aurélien Masson, Thierry Cauchy, Frédéric Gay

# ▶ To cite this version:

Thibaut Perron, Louis Mareschal, Jean-Paul Laclau, Lucie Deffontaines, Philippe Deleporte, et al.. Dynamics of biomass and nutrient accumulation in rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) plantations established on two soil types: Implications for nutrient management over the immature phase. Industrial Crops and Products, 2021, 159, pp.113084. 10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.113084 . hal-03110799

# HAL Id: hal-03110799 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03110799

Submitted on 23 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## 1 Dynamics of biomass and nutrient accumulation in rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) 2 plantations established on two soil types: implications for nutrient management over 3 the immature phase.

4

Perron Thibaut<sup>1,2,+</sup>, Mareschal Louis<sup>3,4</sup>, Laclau Jean-Paul<sup>3,4</sup>, Deffontaines Lucie<sup>3,4</sup>, Deleporte
 Philippe<sup>3,4</sup>, Masson Aurélien<sup>5</sup>, Cauchy Thierry<sup>6</sup>, Gay Frédéric<sup>1,2</sup>

6 7

8 <sup>1</sup> CIRAD, UMR ABSys, F-34398 Montpellier, France

- 9 <sup>2</sup> ABSys, Univ Montpellier, CIHEAM-IAMM, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier,
   10 France.
- 11 <sup>3</sup> CIRAD, UMR Eco&Sols, F-34398 Montpellier, France
- <sup>4</sup> Eco&Sols, Univ. Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France.

<sup>5</sup> SoGB, Agricultural technique, auditing and Organisation Department (DTAO), SOCFIN,
 Ivory Coast

- 15 <sup>6</sup> Michelin, Agricultural Department, Ivory Coast
- 16 <sup>+</sup> Corresponding author: CIRAD, Avenue Agropolis, 34398 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.
- 17 E-mail address: thibaut.perron@cirad.fr (T. Perron).

### 18 I. Introduction

19

The rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) is the main source of natural rubber (NR). In order to meet the growing demand for NR, the area occupied by rubber plantations worldwide increased from 3.9 million ha in 1961 to 12.5 million ha in 2018 (FAO, 2020). The sustainability of latex production is a growing concern for the stakeholders of the NR value chain. Highly productive rubber plantations need to match the use of mineral fertilizers to the dynamics of the nutrient requirements of the trees, taking into account their availability in the soil (Vrignon-Brenas et al., 2019).

27 Although rubber trees are unproductive during the immature phase, from planting to about 28 6 years old, this period is critical for the future latex yields and the profitability of the 29 plantation. The immature phase can be divided into two periods with regard to tree 30 phenology and growth. The juvenile phase (from planting to 2 years old) is characterized by 31 fast vertical growth, while the maturation period (from 2 years old up to latex collection at 6 32 years) is characterized by an acceleration of the radial growth of the stem (Compagnon, 33 1986; Vrignon-Brenas et al., 2019). The immature phase ends when 50% of the trees reach 34 a trunk girth of 50 cm 1 m from the ground. Rubber trees are then tapped for latex production 35 until they reach 25 to 40 years old. Management practices in immature plantations therefore 36 seek to maximize the growth of the trees to start latex collection as early as possible.

37 NPK fertilizers are commonly used to increase the growth of immature rubber trees 38 (Abraham et al., 2015; Alle et al., 2015; Samarappuli, 2000a). In a recent review of the 39 literature, Vrignon-Brenas et al. (2019) showed huge ranges of fertilizer applications, from 27 to 697 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for N, 29 to 326 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for P and 14 to 232 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for K, depending 40 41 on local conditions. This review paper shows that most of these recommendations rely on 42 local empirical experiences and that references on the dynamics of tree nutrient 43 requirements over the immature phase are mostly old (Polinière and Van Brandt, 1964; 44 Shorrocks, 1965a). Experience in fast-growing planted forests suggests that improving our 45 knowledge of tree nutrient requirements throughout the early growth stages could help 46 design more sustainable nutrient management plans for immature rubber plantations (Laclau 47 et al., 2003; Laclau et al., 2010b). In tropical planted forests, nutrients accumulate differently 48 throughout the rotation. N, P and K would seem to accumulate more during the early growth 49 stage, while Ca and Mg accumulation is more linear throughout the lifespan of the plantation 50 (Fernández-Moya et al., 2015). In the case of rubber trees, the consequences of fertilizer 51 applications for the dynamics of nutrient accumulation in the trees has never been quantified 52 over an entire rotation (35-40 years), as far as we are aware.

53 According to Vrignon-Brenas et al. (2019), logging residues ((i.e. trunks, branches, leaves, 54 stumps and roots of the logged plantation) could be managed better to reduce the amounts 55 of fertilizers needed to address the problem of long-term fertility loss in rubber monocultures. 56 Logging residues should be left on the soil surface after the clear-cutting of old stands. Even 57 though no data are available for rubber plantations, the ways in which logging residue 58 management affect tree growth have been abundantly studied in eucalyptus plantations. An 59 isotopic study showed that logging residues provided 39% of tree N requirements over the 60 first two years after planting in the Congo (Versini et al., 2014). In this sandy soil, a positive 61 and strong relationship was established between the amount of logging residues left on site 62 after harvesting and the aboveground biomass of eucalyptus trees at the end of the following 63 rotation (Laclau et al., 2010a). Logging residue management practices particularly affect tree 64 growth on low-fertility soils (Van Bich et al., 2019), and most rubber plantations are 65 established on such soils.

66 The common practice in rubber cultivation is to burn or to export logging residues. 67 Planters used to adopt these practices to limit the risk of root rot disease (Nandris et al., 68 1987), which can be a major constraint in rubber plantations (Ogbebor et al., 2013). 69 However, the risk linked to root disease seems to be mainly observed over the first rotation 70 of a rubber plantation, after conversion from forest. Burning or exporting residues is generally 71 done nowadays for economic considerations. Residue burning remains the cheapest way of 72 preparing land for large-scale plantations (Simorangkir, 2006). However, it was banned some 73 decades ago in several countries for its adverse effects on air quality (Tomich et al., 1998) 74 and nutrient losses through runoff and/or volatilization. The most common practice 75 nowadays, in both industrial rubber plantations and smallholdings, is to export logging 76 residues from plots to be used as timber, or for their heating value (Hytönen et al., 2019). 77 Trunks, branches and stumps may be exported depending on the type of use, but leaves are 78 generally left on site. Information on the nutrient stocks in tree components at the time of the 79 clear-cut is therefore needed to assess the consequences of logging practices on soil 80 nutrient depletion and on the amounts of nutrients available for the next rubber cycle.

Allometric equations are needed for an accurate estimation of the biomass and nutrient stocks in tree plantations (Picard et al., 2012). These equations link a predictive variable (biomass or nutrient stock of a given tree component) with an easily measurable dendrometric variable, such as tree height or trunk diameter. Many studies have already used allometric equations to estimate the biomass of rubber trees (Blagodatsky et al., 2016). However, to date, the dynamics of nutrient accumulation in tree components have never been studied over the complete cycle of a rubber plantation.

Our study set out to gain insights into the dynamics of nutrient accumulation over the complete plantation cycle of rubber trees growing in two regions with contrasting soil properties and fertilization practices. We focused on the following questions: (1) what are the consequences of contrasting management practices (for two clones planted on two soil types) on nutrient accumulation in rubber trees? and (2) what is the impact of logging
practices on the amounts of nutrients left on-site in logging residues at the clear-cutting of
commercial rubber plantations?

95

97

99

#### 96 II. Materials and methods

#### 98 2.1 Study sites

100 The study was carried out from October 2016 to January 2017 in two commercial rubber 101 plantations located in Ivory Coast (Figure 1): the Toupah-Osrou plantation belonging to 102 Société Africaine des Plantations d'Hévéas (SAPH), located in the South-East of the country 103 (latitude 5°19'8.446"N, longitude 4°33'54.502"W, 54 m elevation) with 6,700 ha of rubber 104 plantations, and Société de Caoutchouc de Grand-Béréby (SOGB), located in the 105 South-West of the country (latitude 4°43'9.696"N, longitude 7°6'41.795"W, 34 m elevation) 106 with 16,300 ha of rubber plantations. Both commercial plantations were established in the 107 ecological zone of the rainforest, where a subequatorial climate suited to rubber tree 108 cultivation prevailed. The rainfall regime in South Ivory Coast is divided into two rainy 109 seasons, a major from May to July and a minor from October to November, and two dry 110 seasons, from December to April and from August to September. Average annual rainfall 111 from 2013 to 2018 was similar at SOGB (1674 mm) and SAPH (1718 mm). Mean monthly 112 rainfall ranges from 40 to 451 mm at SAPH and from 48 to 581 mm at SOGB 113 (Supplementary Figure 1).

114 Soil types and topographical conditions are different between the two sites, with mainly 115 flat areas (<5% slope) and deep yellow ferralitic Arenosol soils derived from tertiary sands at 116 SAPH, while the SOGB site is characterized by hilly areas (10-25% slope) and gravel-rich 117 red Ferralsol soils developed from schist and basalt. The soil texture in the upper layers is 118 loamy sand at SAPH with 9% of clay on average, and sandy loam at SOGB with 22% of clay. 119 The soils are acidic at both sites with an average  $pH_{H20}$  of about 5 in the upper horizons 120 (Table 1). Overall, soil chemical fertility is better at SOGB than at SAPH, with a higher cation 121 exchange capacity (CEC), total N and total C contents.

122

# 123 2.2 Plot selection

124

125 Changes in nutrient stocks throughout the rotation of a rubber plantation were studied 126 using a chronosequence approach with 4 stand ages at each site. Four plots representative 127 of commercial plantations were inventoried at each site and for each of the 4 stand ages. We 128 selected plots at the end of the juvenile phase (2 years after planting) and at the end of the 129 immature period (5 years after planting). We then selected 20-year-old stands, which is in the 130 middle of the latex production period in most commercial plantations in this region. Lastly, 131 stands at the end of the cultural cycle (just before clear-cut) were sampled at 40 years old at 132 SAPH and 38 years old at SOGB. All the stands in these chronosequences were planted 133 with the GT1 clone at SAPH and the PB217 clone at SOGB. These clones are widespread in 134 rubber plantations all over the world. Many studies observed that commercial clones had not 135 significant effect on biomass accumulation in the tree. In a study conducted in Ghana 136 including clones PB217 and GT1, Wauters et al. (2008) showed that variable "clone type" did 137 not bring any significant improvement in the robustness of models for carbon stock 138 estimation. In the same line, Chaudhuri et al. (1995) and Shorrocks et al. (1965) did not 139 found any significant differences between allometric equations of different rubber clones of 140 the same ages.

An inventory (on 500 to 858 trees) and destructive sampling of trees representing the different diameter classes in the stand were carried out in one plot (called the reference plot) out of the four plots per age studied at each site (cf. section 4). In the other three plots (called the additional plots), an inventory of the stand was carried out on 80 to 200 trees. The additional and reference plots of a given age were located within a 10-km radius. In total for SAPH and SOGB, 32 plots were inventoried measuring tree girth and quantifying the percentage of missing trees.

- 148
- 149 150

### 2.3 Crop management and stand characteristics

151 At SAPH, the tree density at planting ranged from 476 to 555 trees ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). At SOGB, all the plots were planted at a density of 500 trees ha<sup>-1</sup>, except the 38-year-old plots 152 153 with 555 trees ha<sup>-1</sup>. Two different planting designs were used at SOGB, either simple rows or 154 double rows. Double-row spacing consists of inter-rows of 3 m and 13 m, alternately, while 155 the inter-row remains the same for simple-row planting. Our inventories showed that the 156 planting design in simple or double rows had little influence on tree growth. The survival rate 157 in both the additional and reference plots ranged from 98 to 56% at SOGB and from 99 to 158 54% at the SAPH site. These survival rates were consistent with tree mortality rates due to 159 wind damage and root diseases commonly observed throughout the entire rotation in 160 commercial rubber tree plantations. The survival rate in the 5-year-old stand at SAPH (83% 161 of living trees in the reference plot) was lower than expected at this age, due to a root 162 infection caused by *Rigidoporus lignosus* that prevails in West Africa (Ogbebor et al., 2013).

At each site, all the plots sampled at each age received the same amount of mineral fertilizers. At SAPH, fertilizers were only applied in the first three years after planting for a total amount of 101, 31 and 106 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N, P and K, respectively. At SOGB, fertilizers were systematically applied every year up to 5 years after planting for a total amount of 300, 167 61 and 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N, P and K, respectively. Over the mature phase, from 6 years
168 onwards, fertilization was applied depending on the latex production at SOGB, while no
169 fertilizer was applied at SAPH.

170 Chemical weeding was carried out 4 to 6 times per year in immature stands and once a 171 year in mature stands, 1 metre either side of the planting row. *Pueraria phaseoloides* was 172 used as a cover crop in the inter-row during the immature phase at both sites to prevent soil 173 erosion and to improve soil nitrogen status.

174 In mature stands at both sites, trees were tapped every 4 days for latex collection, 6 days 175 a week (Sunday excluded), either in half spiral cut tapped downward or in quarter spiral cut 176 tapped upward. Given the confidential nature of latex production in both rubber estates, 177 nutrient exports in latex could not be considered in the present study.

- 178
- 179 180

## 2.4 Biomass and nutrient stocks in trees

181 In the 2-, 5- and 20-year-old plots, 6 trees were selected for destructive measurements 182 from 6 classes of basal area (i.e. 1 tree per class). In the oldest plots, 10 trees were selected 183 from 10 classes of basal area. In total, 56 trees were destructively sampled to determine the 184 biomass and nutrient concentrations in each component.

185 For each sampled tree, the height and circumference were measured (1 m from the 186 ground for immature stands and 1.7 m for mature stands). The aboveground section was 187 separated into three components, namely trunk, branches and leaves. Branches were 188 considered as ramifications below 5 cm in diameter and the trunk consisted of the main stem 189 and all ramifications above 5 cm in diameter. Due to the difficulty in observing the root 190 system, 3 out of 6 trees for the aboveground components were sampled in the 2, 5 and 20-191 year-old stands, while all trees were sampled, both aboveground and belowground, in the 192 38-40 year-old plots (i.e. 10 trees). The stumps were uprooted down to a depth of 1 m and 193 the lateral roots were sampled up to a diameter of 1 cm. The fresh weights of all the tree 194 components were measured in the field. Subsamples of each component were collected and 195 weighed for moisture content measurements. The samples were then oven dried (65°C) and 196 crushed before chemical analyses. The P, K, Ca and Mg concentrations were determined 197 using a spectrophotometer after digestion by hydrofluoric acid and double calcination. The N 198 concentration was determined by the Kjeldahl method.

199

### 200 **2.5 Allometric equations and data analysis**

201

We used log-transformed linear equations (Eq. 1) to investigate the relationship between the biomass of the tree components (leaves, trunk, branches and stump), or nutrient contents in each tree component, and a predictive variable:

$$205 \quad \ln(y) = \ln(a) + b\ln(x)$$

(Eq.1)

where *y* is the biomass or nutrient stock (kg tree<sup>-1</sup>), *x* the predictive variable, *a* the intercept coefficient, and *b* the scaling exponent.

208 To estimate the biomass and nutrient contents of each tree component, linear 209 regressions were tested using diameter as the predictive variable. Diameter is the most 210 commonly adopted predictive variable for biomass estimations in the case of the rubber tree 211 (Wauters et al., 2008). Given the different clones and contrasting edaphic conditions 212 between the study sites, the models were established at site level. The Akaike Information 213 Criterion (AIC) and adjusted coefficient of determination (adj.  $R^2$ ) were used to select the 214 best individual models. The model with the lowest AIC and highest adj.  $R^2$  was adopted. The 215 selected models were applied to the stand inventory of both the reference and additional 216 plots to assess the biomass and nutrient stock of each component.

The use of logarithmic equations might lead to an underestimation of the response variable y when converting ln(y) to the untransformed scale y. To correct this bias, a correction factor (CF) was used for each allometric equation, as proposed by Baskerville (1972):

$$221 \quad CF = \exp(\frac{RSE^2}{2})$$

where RSE is the residual standard error of the model. The untransformed *y* was then obtained with the following equation:

$$224 \qquad y = e^a x^b + CF$$

(Eq. 2)

For a given nutrient, the amount accumulated annually in the stand (expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) between the ages n-1 and n were then estimated with the following equation:

227 
$$NAR = \frac{nutrient \ stock \ (age_n) - nutrient \ stock \ (age_{n-1})}{age_n - age_{n-1}}$$
(Eq. 3)

where NAR is the mean nutrient accumulation rate between age *n*-1 and age *n*.

The statistical analysis and allometric equations were carried out using RStudio software (RStudio Inc, Version 1.1463). The effect of stand age on nutrient concentrations as well as the effect of logging residue management on nutrient removal were investigated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The normality of the model residuals and homoscedasticity of variances' residuals were checked prior the ANOVA. In the case of significant effect (p < 0.05), Tukey HSD multiple comparisons of means (post-hoc test) was implemented, using the package *agricolae* (de Mendiburu, 2020).

236

237 III. 238

#### 239 3.1 Allometric equations

Results

240

241 In total, 48 allometric equations were fitted at the two study sites (Supplementary Table 242 1). They were used to predict the biomass and nutrient contents (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) in 243 each tree component (leaves, branches, trunk and stump) from the stand inventories at each 244 site. Figure 2 shows, as an example, the allometric equations developed to estimate the 245 nitrogen contents in the tree components. The  $R^2$  value of the tree biomass models ranged 246 from 0.83 to 0.98 at SAPH and from 0.56 to 0.98 at SOGB. On average, the models 247 predicting tree nutrient stocks explained 86% and 75% of the variability at SAPH and SOGB, 248 respectively.

249 250

## 3.2 Dynamics of stand biomass accumulation

251

252 Tree biomass was 8.6, 51.2, 169.7 and 213.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 2, 5, 20 and 40 years after planting 253 at SAPH, respectively. The dynamics of tree biomass accumulation were similar at SOGB 254 with 12.0, 47.9, 164.1 and 255.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 2, 5, 20 and 38 years after planting, respectively 255 (Figure 3). The highest current annual increment in biomass occurred between 2 and 5 years 256 after planting, with stand biomass increasing by 493% (14.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) at SAPH and by 299% 257 (12.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) at SOGB. Tree biomass at 5 years old accounted for about 20% of the 258 standing biomass at the end of the plantation cycle. The share of trunk biomass in the total 259 tree biomass kept increasing as the tree aged: from 33% at 2 years old to 64% at the clear-260 cut age at SAPH (from 31% to 74%, respectively, at SOGB). Conversely, the share of foliage 261 within the total tree biomass sharply decreased from 14% in 2-year-old stands to 2% at the 262 clear-cut age at both sites. To a lesser extent than for foliage, the share of stump and 263 branches in the total biomass decreased as the tree aged.

264

#### 265 **3.3 Nutrient concentrations**

266

Regardless of tree age, the average N, P, K, Ca and Mg concentrations in tree 267 268 components decreased following the ranking: Leaf > Branch > Stump > Trunk (Table 3). 269 Changes in nutrient concentrations with tree age were consistent for the two 270 chronosequences. While N, P and K concentrations in the aboveground tree components 271 decreased from 2 to 20 years after planting (except in the leaves at SOGB), surprisingly, 272 these concentrations tended to increase from 20 to 40 years after planting in most of the tree components. Calcium concentrations increased with tree age in the ligneous tree
components at both sites. The consequences of tree aging for Mg concentrations were less
clear. The between-tree variability of nutrient concentrations in the stumps was high, which
led to few significant differences between stand ages.

277

# 278 **3.4 Dynamics of nutrient accumulation**

279

The dynamics of N, P and K accumulation showed that the immature phase (the first 6 280 281 years after planting) was a period of intense nutrient uptake (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 282 2). While the first 5 years covered only 12% of the plantation cycle, the amounts of N, P and 283 K accumulated in the 5-year-old stands accounted for 25 to 36% of the total amounts at the 284 clear-cut. N, P and K contents in the trees at 5 years old amounted to 291, 51 and 130 kg ha 285 <sup>1</sup>, respectively, at SAPH and 230, 29, and 177 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at SOGB. The trunk was the main sink 286 for N, P and K at 5 years old at both sites and the share of the trunk in the total accumulation 287 of N, P and K in the trees increased sharply up to the end of the cycle. Large amounts of N, 288 P and K were also accumulated in the branches and the stump at 5 years old, but these tree 289 components contained a small proportion of the total accumulation in the trees at the clear-290 cut. While the accumulation of N, P and K in the trees remained large over the first half of the 291 latex production phase (from 6 to 20 years after planting) at both sites (except for P at 292 SOGB), the N, P and K contents in the trees increased much less over the second half of the 293 cycle, in particular at SAPH. Before the clear-cut, the stocks of N, P and K in the tree 294 components amounted to 970, 189, and 366 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, at SAPH and 908, 118 and 295 628 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at SOGB.

296 Unlike the sharp decrease in N, P and K accumulation as the trees aged, the dynamics of 297 Ca accumulation continued steadily throughout the cycle (Figure 4). Only 15-17% of the total 298 Ca content in the trees at the clear-cut was accumulated in 5-year-old stands (i.e. 163 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 299 at SAPH and 232 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at SOGB). The accumulation pattern for Mg was intermediate 300 between that of N, P and K, on the one hand, and that of Ca on the other hand 301 (Supplementary Figure 2). Before the clear-cut, the amount of Ca accumulated in the trees 302 was much higher at SOGB (1498 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than at SAPH (942 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The pattern was 303 similar for Mg (374 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at SOGB versus 256 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at SAPH).

At both sites, the nutrient accumulation rates (NAR) in the trees peaked between 2 and 5 years after planting, regardless of the nutrient (Figure 5). Over this period, the highest rate of accumulation was for N at SAPH (80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), while Ca was the nutrient most accumulated in the trees at SOGB (58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), closely followed by N (53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). 308 After 5 years old, the NAR of all the nutrients continued to decrease at both sites up to the 309 end of the plantation cycle.

- 310
- 311 312

## 3.5 Consequences of the fertilization regime for the immature phase

From planting to 2 years old, the conventional NPK fertilization regimes in the rubber companies led to an input of nutrients to the soil that was much higher (from 30 to 167%) than the amounts accumulated in the trees (Table 2, Supplementary Table 3), except for Ca at SOGB (58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> accumulated in trees *vs* 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> added through fertilization). The input of Mg to the soil through the addition of dolomite was 14 and 4 times higher than the amounts of Mg accumulated in the trees at SAPH and SOGB, respectively.

319 From 2 to 5 years after planting, the nutrient input to soil through fertilization at SAPH 320 was 31, 9 and 34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K, respectively. The accumulation of N, P and K in the 321 trees over this period (240, 42 and 104 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was 8, 5 and 3 times higher 322 than the amounts applied with fertilization (Table 2, Supplementary Table 3). Over the same 323 period, the SOGB plots received 210, 43 and 173 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P, and K through fertilization, 324 respectively. Unlike the plantations at SAPH, the inputs of N, P and K with fertilization 325 exceeded the amounts accumulated in the trees during this period (161, 20 and 117 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 326 for N, P, and K, respectively).

327

#### 328 IV. Discussion

330

329

### 4.1 Biomass accumulation in rubber plantations

331

332 The dynamics of biomass accumulation in our chronosequences were representative of 333 highly productive rubber plantations worldwide. We found 14 references in the literature with 334 estimates of biomass in rubber plantations, within the range of 2 to 40 years (Figure 6). 335 Whilst the critical stage of biomass accumulation occurs over the early growth period, as 336 shown in our study, there are very few references for immature stands (only 2 at 2 years old 337 and 6 at 5 years old) compared with mature plantations. Some of the references (6 out of 338 14), mostly the older ones, did not use an accurate method to estimate stand biomass, but 339 simply multiplied the biomass of the sampled trees by the stocking density. Inaccurate 340 estimates (not using allometric relationships) might account for stand biomass values much 341 higher than those at SAPH and SOGB reported in some studies. The variability in stand 342 biomass in the literature might also be attributable to factors directly influencing tree growth 343 related to inherent environmental parameters, such as climate (Munasinghe et al., 2014; Wauters et al., 2008), elevation (Yang et al., 2017), but also related to management practices such as the stocking density and planting design (Wauters et al., 2008).

- 346
- 347 348

### 4.2 Dynamics of nutrient accumulation in tree components

349 Each plantation has its own fertilization schedule built on empirical experience and it was 350 not possible to sample stands with the same fertilization at the two sites. We compared two 351 situations representative of commercial plantations in this study (with two clones in two soil 352 types and two fertilization regimes). Our experimental does not make it possible to 353 disentangle the effect of the clone from the effects of soil types and fertilization regimes in 354 the dynamics of nutrient accumulations in the trees at the two sites. However, despite these 355 differences, the consistent dynamics of nutrient accumulation in the trees at the two sites are 356 an important finding of our study.

357 A large proportion of the N, P and K stocks in the trees at the clear-cut was accumulated 358 over the early growth stage while Ca and Mg accumulation occurred linearly as the rubber 359 tree aged. We showed that the rate of nutrient and biomass accumulation peaked very early 360 after planting (between 2 and 5 years old). The dynamics of biomass accumulation in rubber 361 tree components were characterized by a decrease in the share of leaf biomass and an 362 increase in the share of trunk biomass within the total tree biomass as the plantation aged, 363 thereby following the general trend for woody species (Miller, 1995). The fast N, P and K 364 accumulation during early growth could be explained by the early development of nutrient-365 rich components, such as leaves and fine roots (not quantified in our study). The early 366 growth stage of trees is indeed characterized by the maximum production of these two 367 components acquiring the resources to achieve early dominance (Miller, 1995).

368 However, after the stand achieves its maximum leaf area, competition for light promotes 369 the development of the trunk and branches, while the crown biomass is merely maintained or 370 even decreases, as observed in our study. The trunk and branches, characterized by high 371 Ca and Mg concentrations, became the main nutrient sinks. As the share of foliage in total 372 tree biomass was less, N, P and K accumulation decreased and, conversely, Ca and Mg 373 continued to accumulate, with the share of the trunk and branches becoming greater in the 374 total tree biomass. In addition, mechanisms linked to nutrient retranslocations are largely 375 involved in such nutrient accumulation dynamics. Rubber tree is a deciduous tree species 376 that starts shedding its leaves from 4-5 years after planting. Leaf senescence leads to 377 nutrient retranslocations from dying leaves to woody components, such as the trunk and 378 branches. In rubber trees, approximately 50% of N, P and K from leaves is retranslocated to 379 other tree components (Li et al., 2016). The strong biomass accumulation in the trunk and 380 stump throughout the rotation with little internal remobilisation of Ca and Mg, as shown in 381 other woody species (Santos et al., 2017; Sette et al., 2013), probably leads to more regular 382 accumulation throughout the cycle.

383 To our knowledge, the only study dealing with the dynamics of nutrient accumulation 384 throughout the life span of a rubber plantation is Shorrocks (1965b). Our data on nutrient 385 stocks were much lower than the latter study for all the sampling ages, e.g. for N stocks in 2-386 year-old stands, 51 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at SAPH vs 72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in Shorrocks (1965b), and at the clear-387 cut age, 970 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> at SAPH vs 1779 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in Shorrocks (1965b). These differences 388 might be attributable to different methods of calculation, as detailed in section 4.1. The 389 tendency regarding nutrient accumulation within the stand in Shorrocks (1965b) is in line with 390 other studies carried out in fast-growing tree species for N and P (high accumulation over the 391 early growth period) as well as Ca (linear accumulation). However, the accumulation of K 392 was linear in Shorrocks (1965b), while most of the Mg accumulation occurred over the early 393 growth period. The nutrient accumulation pattern in our study was consistent with other 394 tropical tree species, such as teak in Central America (Fernández-Moya et al., 2015) and 395 eucalyptus in Brazil (Sette et al., 2013). In other eucalypt plantations in the Congo, N, K and 396 Ca followed the same dynamics as in our study, while a different pattern for Mg and P might 397 reflect site-specific conditions with high soil P contents (Mareschal et al., 2011) and very low 398 Mg bioavailability (Laclau et al., 2000).

399

# 400

# 4.3 Influence of the fertilization regime and soil conditions on nutrient accumulation in trees

401 402

403 The much larger amounts of fertilizer applied at SOGB than at SAPH did not necessarily 404 increase nutrient accumulation in all the tree components. The dynamics of nutrient 405 accumulation in trees showed two contrasting behaviours. The influence of nutrient 406 bioavailability (in the soil and fertilizer inputs) on accumulation in the trees was weak for N, 407 while the amounts of P, K, Ca and Mg accumulated in the trees at the end of the cycle 408 reflected their bioavailability, either as exchangeable elements in the soil, or added as 409 fertilizer. A meta-analysis for tropical tree species in planted forests highlighted a similar 410 pattern, with phosphorus use efficiency to produce biomass that was much more variable 411 than nitrogen use efficiency (Inagaki and Tange, 2014) (Figure 7). The latter study suggested 412 that the accumulation of phosphorus in tree biomass depends more on soil conditions than 413 on genetic factors, unlike nitrogen accumulation, as demonstrated by Fyllas et al. (2009). For 414 Ca and Mg, a linear relationship between the accumulation of both nutrients in the trunk of 415 eucalypt trees and soil Ca and Mg availability was shown for 45 stands in Brazil (Rocha et 416 al., 2019). Research on plant physiology demonstrated that available P, K, Ca and Mg 417 uptake rates - and consequently the concentration of these nutrients in the plant tissues -

418 continue to increase with an increase in the nutrient level in the soil solution (Gregory, 1996).
419 This is consistent with the dynamics of accumulation in rubber trees in our study: the more P,
420 K, Ca and Mg were available in the soil, the more these nutrients were taken up and
421 accumulated in the trees.

422 We found that despite higher N and P fertilization at SOGB, the SAPH stands 423 accumulated more of these two nutrients at 5, 20 and 40 years old. As the tree aged, the 424 dependence of nutrient accumulation on soil nutrient availability and fertilization seemed to 425 decrease, which may be due to alternative mechanisms. Firstly, it was demonstrated that 426 biomass increments in nutrient-poor soils depends greatly on nutrient cycling from litter. In a 427 study on poor Amazonian soils, Grau et al. (2017) demonstrated that N, P and K contents in 428 the litter were positively correlated with the quadratic diameter. They hypothesized that 429 nutrient uptake from litter could reduce the dependence of trees on soil nutrients in the 430 context of nutrient-poor soils (Vitousek, 1984). In a 25-year-old rubber plantation in lvory 431 Coast, annual returns through litter fall amounted to 5.1 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (N'Dri et al., 2018). Litter 432 decomposition results in substantial nutrient fluxes, which are decisive for the productivity of 433 rubber plantations (Gréggio et al., 2008; Jessy et al., 2009). Further studies are needed for 434 rubber trees to ascertain to what extent the nutrients released from leaf decomposition 435 participate in nutrient accumulation within tree biomass.

436 Secondly, nutrient retranslocation increases with tree aging (Millard and Grelet, 2010) 437 and this mechanism supplies a considerable amount of nutrients for tree growth. In an old 438 Corsican pine plantation, it was shown that 50% of N and 68% of K was supplied through 439 retranslocation for new growth, as opposed to 16% and 24%, respectively, in young 440 plantations (Miller, 1995). For nutrient cycling from litter, retranslocation has been suggested 441 as a plausible mechanism for reducing the dependency of tree growth on direct nutrient 442 uptake in a context of poor-nutrient soils (Grau et al., 2017; Sardans and Peñuelas, 2015). 443 There are very few studies dealing with nutrient retranslocations in rubber trees in the 444 literature and further research is needed to quantify the amounts of nutrients retranslocated 445 in different contexts of soil nutrient availability. Lastly, the higher N and P accumulation in the 446 SAPH stands beyond 5 years old compared with SOGB suggested that other sources of 447 nutrients (in addition to fertilizers) met the nutrient requirements of the trees. Among other 448 things, atmospheric N fixation by the cover crop (Clermont-Dauphin et al., 2005), wet and dry 449 deposits (Shorrocks, 1965a), mineralisation of soil organic matter and decomposition of tree 450 residues from the previous crop cycle (Yew, 2001) play important roles in tree nutrition. This 451 emphasises the need to take into account the overall nutrient inputs and outputs in the soil, 452 as well as the stocks of bioavailable nutrients and the dynamics of tree requirements, to 453 design appropriate fertilization programmes (Ranger and Turpault, 1999). However, the 454 biogeochemical cycles of nutrients are still poorly documented in rubber plantations, which is 455 a considerable hindrance for establishing relevant fertilization regimes throughout the life456 span of rubber trees (Vrignon-Brenas et al., 2019).

- 457
- 458

# 4.4 Consequences for managing logging residues

459

Exports of residues for timber use only concerned the trunk (scenario 1, Table 4), while both the trunk and the branches were harvested for heating use (scenario 2, Table 4). These two residue management are the most implemented in rubber plantations and led to considerable exports of nutrients: between 42 and 88% of the nutrient stocks in the trees were removed depending on the nutrient and the site (Table 4).

465 In agreement with meta-analyses in forest areas (Achat et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2018), 466 our study suggested that leaving logging residues on site could enhance the growth of 467 replanted trees over the next rotation, especially in low-fertility soils. In rubber plantations, 468 logging residues are commonly harvested for economic benefits, either as timber 469 (Shigematsu et al., 2013), or as firewood for their heating value (Hytönen et al., 2019). 470 Exporting off-site the large nutrient stocks contained in logging residues might negatively 471 affect soil fertility (Watson, 1964; Webster, 1989) and the sustainability of future rotations 472 established on nutrient-poor soils, as shown in tropical forest plantations (Laclau et al., 473 2010a; Legout et al., 2020; Versini et al., 2014). In addition to nutrient exports, the removal of 474 logging residues can reduce C stocks in the topsoil, which can affect soil biological activity 475 and the long-term sustainability of tropical plantations. Recent studies in Brazil and the 476 Congo showed that the removal of harvest residues over 2-3 rotations in tropical eucalypt 477 plantations can reduce C stocks in the topsoil (0-5 cm layer) by 40-50% (Epron et al., 2015; 478 Rocha et al., 2018). Removing logging residues decreased the enzyme activities and 479 catabolic potential of the soil microbial community in a Brazilian Ferralsol, suggesting that 480 exporting all the logging residues could be harmful to soil health in tropical plantations 481 (Maillard et al., 2019).

482 The amounts of nutrients exported off-site if the whole tree was harvested (scenario 4, 483 Table 4) were greater than the total amounts applied as fertilisation over the cycle in both 484 companies. In this scenario at SAPH, where fertilizer applications stopped 3 years after 485 planting, the stocks of N, P, K, Ca and Mg exported accounted for 120-960% of the nutrient 486 input through fertilization. At SOGB, the amount of nutrient removed after exporting residues 487 in scenario 4 accounted for 193-1126% of N, P, K, Ca and Mg fertilization applied from 488 planting to 5 years old. At the clear-cut stage, the N, P and K exported via logging residues 489 accounted for 44-99% of N, P and K fertilization applied over the entire plantation cycle.

490 The ability of rubber trees to take up the nutrients released during residue decomposition 491 is still poorly understood. While the decomposition of logging residues is rapid in rubber 492 plantations, the nutrient accumulation rates show that tree requirements are not linear over 493 the immature phase, with higher demand between 2 and 5 years after planting. Few studies 494 have dealt with the dynamics of nutrient releases throughout the decomposition of logging 495 residues in rubber plantations. Yew (2001) provided some primary information regarding the 496 decay time for different tree components, but that study did not provide precise 497 decomposition kinetics making it possible to quantify nutrient releases. In eucalypt 498 plantations, while some studies in Brazil showed that immobilisation within logging residues 499 could postpone the release of nutrients relative to tree nutrient needs (Ferreira et al., 2016; 500 Rocha et al., 2016), an isotopic study in the Congo showed that approximately 40% of the N 501 content in 2-year-old eucalyptus trees was derived from the labelled logging residues (Versini 502 et al., 2014). Further studies quantifying the release of nutrients in different types of logging 503 residues, as well as the dynamics of soil exploration by fine roots after replanting, are 504 needed to help improve nutrient management practices after replanting in immature rubber 505 plantations.

506

#### 507 V. Conclusion

508

509 Our study shows consistent dynamics of nutrient accumulation throughout the growth of 510 rubber trees in plantations established at two sites with two clones and contrasting 511 fertilization practices. Nutrient accumulation rate peaked between 2 and 5 years after 512 planting. While 25 to 36% of the stocks of N, P and K in the trees at the clear-cut stage were 513 already accumulated at 5 years old, the accumulation of Ca was steady over the entire cycle 514 of 40 years and the behaviour was intermediate for Mg. After the immature phase, recycling 515 processes in addition to an increasing proportion of the trunk (with low concentrations of N, 516 P, K) in total biomass, could account for the accumulation dynamics observed. Our study 517 shows that logging practices can greatly influence the amounts of nutrients left on-site at the 518 clear-cut stage, which could greatly influence soil fertility for the next rotation. The amount of 519 nutrients removed in the case of whole-tree harvesting could account for 120-960% and 44-520 99% of the fertilization input over the entire rotation at SAPH and SOGB respectively. 521 However, knowledge gaps need to be investigated to design more sustainable nutrient 522 management strategies in immature rubber plantations. A precise assessment of nutrient 523 input-output budgets over the immature phase is needed to improve the current fertilizer 524 programmes. Information on the kinetics of nutrient release from logging residues is also 525 needed to assess to what extent logging residues could replace fertilizers in the first years 526 after planting.

527

# 528 Acknowledgments

529 We are grateful to the managers of the SAPH and SOGB plantations for providing all 530 facilities and labour during the sampling operations. We especially wish to thank Daouda 531 Kouassi (SOGB) and Mouman Soumahoro (SAPH) for their help in organizing the field work 532 throughout the study. Finally, we thank the laboratory staff at SOGB for analysing the soil 533 and plant samples.

534

# 535 **Funding information**

536 This study was carried out as part of the FERTIM project funded by the *Institut Français du* 537 *Caoutchouc* and by the Michelin, SIPH and SOGB companies.

538

# 539 Conflict of interests

- 540 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.
- 541

# 542 **References**

- Abraham, J., Joseph, K., Joseph, P., 2015. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil
  quality and growth of hevea brasiliensis during the immature phase. Rubber Science
  28, 159–167.
- Achat, D.L., Deleuze, C., Landmann, G., Pousse, N., Ranger, J., Augusto, L., 2015.
  Quantifying consequences of removing harvesting residues on forest soils and tree growth – A meta-analysis. Forest Ecology and Management 348, 124–141.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.042
- Alle, J.Y., Dick, E.A., Soumahin, E.F., Gabla, R.O., Keli, J.Z., Obouayeba, S., 2015. Effect of mineral fertilization on agrophysiological parameters and economic viability of clone PB 235 of Hevea brasiliensis in the region of GO in south western Cote d'Ivoire. [WWW Document]. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences (JAPS). URL http://www.m.elewa.org/JAPS/2015/24.2/3.pdf
- 555 Baskerville, G.L., 1972. Use of Logarithmic Regression in the Estimation of Plant Biomass. 556 Canadian Journal of Forestry 2, 5.
- Blagodatsky, S., Xu, J., Cadisch, G., 2016. Carbon balance of rubber (Hevea brasiliensis)
  plantations: A review of uncertainties at plot, landscape and production level.
  Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 221, 8–19.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.01.025
- 561 Chaudhuri, D., Vinod, K., Potty, S.N., Sethuraj, M.R., Pothen, J., Reddy, Y.A.N., 1995.
   562 Estimation of biomass in hevea clones by regression method: relation between girth 563 and biomass. Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research 8, 113–116.
- 564 Clermont-Dauphin, C., Cabidoche, Y.-M., Meynard, J.-M., 2005. Diagnosis on the
   565 sustainability of an upland cropping system of southern Haiti. Agriculture, Ecosystems
   566 & Environment 105, 221–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.03.008
- 567 Compagnon, P., 1986. L'hévéa et son milieu, in: Le Caoutchouc Naturel : Biologie, Culture, 568 Production. G.P. Maisonneuve et Larose, p. 595.
- 569 de Mendiburu, P., 2020. Package "agricolae."
- Epron, D., Mouanda, C., Mareschal, L., Koutika, L.-S., 2015. Impacts of organic residue
  management on the soil C dynamics in a tropical eucalypt plantation on a nutrientpoor sandy soil after three rotations. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 85, 183–189.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.010
- 574 FAO, 2020. FAOSTAT [WWW Document]. URL http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home 575 (accessed 4.24.20).
- Fernández-Moya, J., Murillo, R., Portuguez, E., Fallas, J., Ríos, V., Kottman, F., Verjans, J.,
  Mata, R., Alvarado, A., 2015. Nutrient accumulation and export in teak (Tectona grandis I.f.) plantations of Central America. iForest Biogeosciences and Forestry 8, 33–44. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor1089-007
- Ferreira, G.W.D., Soares, E.M.B., Oliveira, F.C.C., Silva, I.R., Dungait, J.A.J., Souza, I.F.,
  Vergütz, L., 2016. Nutrient release from decomposing Eucalyptus harvest residues
  following simulated management practices in multiple sites in Brazil. Forest Ecology
  and Management 370, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.03.047
- Fyllas, N.M., Schwarz, M., Horna, V., Mercado, L.M., Santos, A., Arroyo, L., Jimenez, E.M.,
  Luizao, F.J., Neill, D.A., Silva, N., Prieto, A., Rudas, A., Silviera, M., Vieira, I.C.G.,
  Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Malhi, Y., Phillips, O.L., Lloyd, J., 2009. Basin-wide variations in
  foliar properties of Amazonian forest: phylogeny, soils and climate 32.
- Grau, O., Peñuelas, J., Ferry, B., Freycon, V., Blanc, L., Desprez, M., Baraloto, C., Chave,
  J., Descroix, L., Dourdain, A., Guitet, S., Janssens, I.A., Sardans, J., Hérault, B.,
  2017. Nutrient-cycling mechanisms other than the direct absorption from soil may
  control forest structure and dynamics in poor Amazonian soils. Scientific Reports 7.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45017
- 593 Gréggio, T.C., Assis, L.C., Nahas, E., 2008. Decomposition of the rubber tree Hevea 594 brasiliensis litter at two depths. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 68, 128–135.

- 595Gregory, P.J., 1996. Soil Nutrient Bioavailability: A Mechanistic Approach (2nd edition). The596Journal of Agricultural Science 126, 250–251.597https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859600073238
- 598 Hytönen, J., Nurmi, J., Kaakkurivaara, N., Kaakkurivaara, T., 2019. Rubber Tree (Hevea 599 brasiliensis) Biomass, Nutrient Content, and Heating Values in Southern Thailand. 600 Forests 10, 638. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10080638
- Inagaki, M., Tange, T., 2014. Nutrient accumulation in aboveground biomass of planted
   tropical trees: a meta-analysis. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 60, 598–608.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2014.929025
- Jessy, M.D., Nair, A.N.S., Bai, M.M., Rajendran, P., Punnoose, K.I., 2009. Self-sustainability
   of phosphorus cycle in rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) plantations: annual recycling
   through litter and removal through latex. Journal of Plantation Crops.
- 607Laclau, J.-P., Bouillet, J.-P., Ranger, J., 2000. Dynamics of biomass and nutrient608accumulation in a clonal plantation of Eucalyptus in Congo. Forest Ecology and609Management 128, 181–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00146-2
- Laclau, J.-P., Levillain, J., Deleporte, P., Nzila, J. de D., Bouillet, J.-P., Saint André, L.,
  Versini, A., Mareschal, L., Nouvellon, Y., Thongo M'Bou, A., Ranger, J., 2010a.
  Organic residue mass at planting is an excellent predictor of tree growth in
  Eucalyptus plantations established on a sandy tropical soil. Forest Ecology and
  Management 260, 2148–2159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.09.007
- Laclau, J.-P., Ranger, J., de Moraes Gonçalves, J.L., Maquère, V., Krusche, A.V., M'Bou,
  A.T., Nouvellon, Y., Saint-André, L., Bouillet, J.-P., de Cassia Piccolo, M., Deleporte,
  P., 2010b. Biogeochemical cycles of nutrients in tropical Eucalyptus plantations.
  Forest Ecology and Management 259, 1771–1785.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.06.010
- Laclau, J.-P., Ranger, J., Nzila, J. de D., Bouillet, J.-P., Deleporte, P., 2003. Nutrient cycling
  in a clonal stand of Eucalyptus and an adjacent savanna ecosystem in Congo. Forest
  Ecology and Management 180, 527–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/S03781127(02)00645-X
- Legout, A., Hansson, K., van der Heijden, G., Laclau, J.-P., Mareschal, L., Nys, C., Nicolas,
   M., Saint-André, L., Ranger, J., 2020. Chemical fertility of forest ecosystems. Part 2:
   Towards redefining the concept by untangling the role of the different components of
   biogeochemical cycling. Forest Ecology and Management 461, 117844.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117844
- Li, Y., Lan, G., Xia, Y., 2016. Rubber Trees Demonstrate a Clear Retranslocation Under
   Seasonal Drought and Cold Stresses. Frontiers in Plant Science 07.
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01907
- Maillard, F., Leduc, V., Bach, C., de Moraes Gonçalves, J.L., Androte, F.D., Saint-André, L.,
  Laclau, J.-P., Buée, M., Robin, A., 2019. Microbial Enzymatic Activities and
  Community-Level Physiological Profiles (CLPP) in Subsoil Layers Are Altered by
  Harvest Residue Management Practices in a Tropical Eucalyptus grandis Plantation.
  Microbial Ecology 78, 528–533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1298-6
- Mareschal, L., Nzila, J.D.D., Turpault, M.P., Thongo M'Bou, A., Mazoumbou, J.C., Bouillet, 637 638 J.P., Ranger, J., Laclau, J.P., 2011. Mineralogical and physico-chemical properties of 639 Ferralic Arenosols derived from unconsolidated Plio-Pleistocenic deposits in the 640 plains coastal of Congo. Geoderma 162. 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.01.017 641
- Millard, P., Grelet, G. -a., 2010. Nitrogen storage and remobilization by trees:
   ecophysiological relevance in a changing world. Tree Physiology 30, 1083–1095.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq042
- 645 Miller, H.G., 1995. The influence of stand development on nutrient demand, growth and 646 allocation. Plant and Soil 168–169, 225–232.
- 647 Munasinghe, E.S., Rodrigo, V.H.L., Gunawardena, U.A.D.P., 2014. Modus operandi in 648 assessing biomass and carbon in rubber plantations under varying climatic

- 649
   conditions.
   Experimental
   Agriculture
   50,
   40–58.

   650
   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479713000410
   50,
   40–58.
- Nandris, D., Nicole, M., Geiger, J.P., 1987. Root rot diseases. Plant Disease 71, 298–306.
- N'Dri, J.K., Guéi, A.M., Edoukou, E.F., Yéo, J.G., N'Guessan, K.K., Lagerlöf, J., 2018. Can
  litter production and litter decomposition improve soil properties in the rubber
  plantations of different ages in Côte d'Ivoire? Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems
  111, 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-018-9923-9
- Ogbebor, N.O., Adekunle, A.T., Eghafona, O.N., Ogboghodo, A.I., 2013. Incidence of
   Rigidoporus lignosus (Klotzsch) imaz of para rubber in Nigeria. Researcher 5, 181–
   184.
- Picard, N., Saint-André, L., Henry, M., 2012. Manual for building tree volume and biomass
   allometric equations from filed measurement to prediction. Food and Agriculture
   Organization of the United Nations (FA0), Rome.
- Polinière, J.P., Van Brandt, H., 1964. Bilan des mouvements en éléments minéraux sous
   cultures d'hévéas au Viet-nam. Revue Générale du Caoutchouc 41, 1665–1672.
- 664 Ranger, J., Turpault, M.-P., 1999. Input–output nutrient budgets as a diagnostic tool for 665 sustainable forest management. Forest Ecology and Management 122, 139–154.
- Rocha, J.H.T., Goncalves, J.L. de M., Brandani, C.B., Ferraz, A. de V., Franci, A.F., 666 Margues, E.R.G., Arthur Junior, J.C., Hubner, A., 2018. Forest residue removal 667 668 decreases soil quality and affects wood productivity even with high rates of fertilizer 669 application. Forest Ecology and Management 430. 188–195. 670 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.010
- 671 Rocha, J.H.T., Marques, E.R.G., Gonçalves, J.L. d. M., Hübner, A., Brandani, C.B., Ferraz, 672 A. d. V., Moreira, R.M., 2016. Decomposition rates of forest residues and soil fertility 673 after clear-cutting of Eucalyptus grandis stands in response to site management and application. 674 fertilizer Soil Use and Management 32. 289-302. 675 https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12283
- Samarappuli, L., 2000a. Economics and efficiency of fertilizer utilization in immature rubber.
   Bulletin of the Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka 42, 1–10.
- 678 Samarappuli, L., 2000b. Rubber growing soils and their characteristics. Bulletin of the 679 Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka 41, 10–21.
- Santos, F.M., Chaer, G.M., Diniz, A.R., Balieiro, F. de C., 2017. Nutrient cycling over five
  years of mixed-species plantations of Eucalyptus and Acacia on a sandy tropical soil.
  Forest Ecology and Management 384, 110–121.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.041
- Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., 2015. Trees increase their P:N ratio with size. Global Ecology and
   Biogeography 24, 147–156. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12231
- Sette, C.R., Laclau, J.-P., Tomazello Filho, M., Moreira, R.M., Bouillet, J.-P., Ranger, J.,
  Almeida, J.C.R., 2013. Source-driven remobilizations of nutrients within stem wood in
  Eucalyptus grandis plantations. Trees 27, 827–839. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468012-0837-x
- Shigematsu, A., Mizoue, N., Kakada, K., Muthavy, P., Kajisa, T., Yoshida, S., 2013. Financial
  potential of rubber plantations considering rubberwood production: Wood and crop
  production nexus. Biomass and Bioenergy 49, 131–142.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.011
- Shorrocks, V.M., 1965a. Mineral nutrition, growth and nutrient cycle of Hevea brasiliensis.
   Nutrient cycle and fertiliser requirements. Journal of the Rubber Research Institute of
   Malaysia 19, 48–61.
- Shorrocks, V.M., 1965b. Mineral nutrition, growth and nutrient cycle of Hevea brasiliensis.
  Growth and nutrient content. Journal of the Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia 19, 32–47.
- Shorrocks, V.M., Templeton, J.K., Iyer, G.C., 1965. Mineral nutrition, growth and nutrient
   cycle of Hevea brasiliensis III. The relationship between girth and shoot dry weight.
   Journal of Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia 19, 85–92.

- Simorangkir, D., 2006. Fire use: Is it really the cheaper land preparation method for large scale plantations? Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 12, 147–
   164. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-006-9049-2
- Tomich, T., Fagi, A., de Foresta, H., Michon, G., Murdiyarso, D., Stolle, F., Van Noordwijk,
   M., 1998. Indonesia's fires: smoke as a problem, smoke as a symptom. Agroforestery
   Today 10, 4–7.
- Van Bich, N., Mendham, D., Evans, K.J., Dong, T.L., Hai, V.D., Van Thanh, H., Mohammed,
  C.L., 2019. Effect of residue management and fertiliser application on the productivity
  of a *Eucalyptus* hybrid and *Acacia mangium* planted on sloping terrain in northern
  Vietnam. Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science 81, 201–212.
  https://doi.org/10.2989/20702620.2018.1555940
- Versini, A., Zeller, B., Derrien, D., Mazoumbou, J.-C., Mareschal, L., Saint-André, L., Ranger,
  J., Laclau, J.-P., 2014. The role of harvest residues to sustain tree growth and soil
  nitrogen stocks in a tropical Eucalyptus plantation. Plant and Soil 376, 245–260.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1963-y
- Vitousek, P.M., 1984. Litterfall, Nutrient Cycling, and Nutrient Limitation in Tropical Forests.
   Ecology 65, 285–298. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939481
- Vrignon-Brenas, S., Gay, F., Ricard, S., Snoeck, D., Perron, T., Mareschal, L., Laclau, J.-P.,
  Gohet, É., Malagoli, P., 2019. Nutrient management of immature rubber plantations.
  A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593019-0554-6
- Wan, X., Xiao, L., Vadeboncoeur, M.A., Johnson, C.E., Huang, Z., 2018. Response of
  mineral soil carbon storage to harvest residue retention depends on soil texture: A
  meta-analysis. Forest Ecology and Management 408, 9–15.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.10.028
- Watson, G.A., 1964. Maintenance of soil fertility in the permanent cultivation of Hevea
   brasiliensis in Malaya. Outlook on Agriculture 4, 103–109.
- Wauters, J.B., Coudert, S., Grallien, E., Jonard, M., Ponette, Q., 2008. Carbon stock in rubber tree plantations in Western Ghana and Mato Grosso (Brazil). Forest Ecology and Management 255, 2347–2361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.12.038
- Webster, C.C., 1989. Preparation of land for planting and replanting, in: Rubber. CC Webster
  & WJ Baulkwill, pp. 165–194.
- Yang, X., Blagodatsky, S., Liu, F., Beckschäfer, P., Xu, J., Cadisch, G., 2017. Rubber tree
  allometry, biomass partitioning and carbon stocks in mountainous landscapes of subtropical China. Forest Ecology and Management 404, 84–99.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.08.013
- Yew, F.K., 2001. Impact of Zero Burning on Biomass and Nutrient Turnover in Rubber
   Replanting. Malaysian Journal of Soil Science 5, 19–26.

741



Figure 1: Map of Ivory Coast showing the geographical location of the study sites.



Figure 2: Log-transformed relationships between tree nitrogen stock (g tree<sup>-1</sup>) and tree diameter (cm) within tree components at SAPH and SOGB. At each site, n=28 for branches, leaves and trunk; n=19 for stumps.



Figure 3: Dynamics of biomass accumulation (t  $ha^{-1}$ ) within tree components throughout the chronosequence at SAPH (A) and SOGB (B) obtained from the allometric equations. Standard deviations between the inventoried stands are indicated for each tree component (n=4).





Figure 4: Dynamics of nutrient accumulation (in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for nitrogen at SAPH (A) and SOGB (B), calcium at SAPH (C) and SOGB (D) within tree components and in the whole tree at SAPH (E) and SOGB (F), throughout the chronosequence (n=4). Results were obtained from the allometric equations.

Caption Figure 5.A, B, C, D

Ð	Branch
Δ	Leaf
$\diamond$	Stump
$\mathbf{A}$	Trunk

Caption Figure 5.E, F





Figure 5: Nutrient accumulation rates (NAR, expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) within stands throughout the chronosequence at SAPH (A) and SOGB (B).





Figure 6: Dynamics of biomass accumulation in rubber stands according to 14 references plus our 2 study sites focusing on early growth (A) or on the whole cycle (B). Circles refer to studies using allometric equations to estimate stand biomass. Triangles refer to studies that estimated stand biomass by multiplying the biomass of the sampled trees by the stocking density. (1) SAPH; (2) SOGB; (3) Shorrocks (1965); (4) Hytönen et al. (2018); (5) Khamyong et al. (2011); (6) Yang et al. (2017); (7) Munasinghe et al. (2014); (8) Yew (2001); (9) Polinière and Van Brandt (1964); (10) Sivanadyan et al. (1995); (11) Méndez et al. (2012); (12) Brahma et al. (2018); (13) Wauters et al. (2008); (14) Petsri et al. (2013); (15) Zhang et al. (2016); (16) Samarappuli (1996).

- Allometric equation
- Other method
- SAPH
- SOGB
- Reference data



Figure 7: Relationships between aboveground biomass and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) and potassium use efficiency (KUE) at the SAPH and SOGB sites compared to four reference trees from Inagaki and Tange (2014): Eucalyptus, Acacia, other non-nitrogen fixing broadleaved trees (ONNFB) and N<sub>2</sub> fixing trees excluding Acacia.

- Acacia trees
   Eucalyptus trees
   A N2 fixing trees ex. Acacia
   ONNFB trees
   ADH
- ⊕ SAPH
- **SOGB**

Site	Plot age	рН (Н₂0) <sup>а</sup>	Total C <sup>b</sup>	Total N <sup>b</sup>	P <sup>c</sup>	$K^d$	Ca <sup>d</sup>	Mg <sup>d</sup>	Clay	Silt	Sand
	(yr)		(%)		(ppm)	(cmol	kg⁻¹)		(%)		
SAPH	2	4.7	0.79	0.05	1.9	0.03	0.43	0.17	9.0	5.0	83.7
	5	5.8	0.99	0.05	3.6	0.02	1.57	0.26	6.5	13.8	79.0
	20	4.8	1.35	0.10	1.3	0.03	0.22	0.17	10.4	12.0	79.2
	40	4.3	0.76	0.06	8.7	0.02	0.25	0.06	10.3	2.1	87.4
SOGB	2	4.9	0.97	0.06	2.9	0.05	0.65	0.35	23.8	27.9	47.5
	5	5.7	0.84	0.07	2.7	0.07	3.89	0.63	25.4	12.4	60.1
	20	4.9	1.33	0.10	2.5	0.04	0.56	0.22	19.1	11.4	66.9
	38	4.7	1.08	0.09	5.4	0.04	0.30	0.12	19.0	15.3	65.9

Table 1: Main soil characteristics in the upper layers (0-30 cm) in the reference plots of the chronosequence at SAPH and SOGB.

<sup>a</sup> ratio 1:5

<sup>b</sup> by elemental analyser (FlashSmart<sup>™</sup>, ThermoFisher) <sup>c</sup> ion exchange resin method, after extraction and dosage to vanado-molybate <sup>d</sup> ammonium acetate method (pH 7)

Table 2: Main characteristics of the stands studied in the two chronosequences, at SAPH and SOGB. Standard deviation among the 3 additional plots are indicated for survival rates, circumferences and tree density at planting.

Site	Plot type	Age (yr)	Survival rate (%)	Mean circumference (cm)	Density at planting (tree ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Tree spacing (m) and planting design	N-P-K-Ca-Mg added since planting (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
SAPH	Reference	2	94	18.1	555	6x3 (SR <sup>a</sup> )	70-22-72-82-155
	Additional	2	$99\pm1$	$17.3\pm1.2$	$555\pm0$	6x3 (SR)	70-22-72-82-155
	Reference	5	83	43.1	555	6x3 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
	Additional	5	$90\pm4$	$46.0\pm2.2$	$555\pm0$	6x3 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
	Reference	20	87	72.3	476	6x3.5 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
	Additional	20	$87\pm4$	$78.3 \pm 1.7$	$555\pm0$	6x3 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
	Reference	40	66	101.6	500	8x2.5 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
	Additional	40	$54\pm1$	$98.0\pm2.9$	$511 \pm 19$	5-8x2.5-4 (SR)	101-31-106-113-214
SOGB	Reference	2	98	19.0	500	8x2.5 (SR)	90-18-76-40-61
	Additional	2	$95\pm0$	$19.4\pm0.4$	$500\pm0$	13-3x2.5 (DR <sup>b</sup> )	90-18-76-40-61
	Reference	5	98	44.7	500	13-3x2.5 (DR)	300-61-250-133-205
	Additional	5	$89\pm3$	$43.8\pm1.8$	$500\pm0$	13-3x2.5 (DR)	300-61-250-133-205
	Reference	20	79	85.0	500	13-3x2.5 (DR)	570-151-520-133-205
	Additional	20	$74\pm12$	$86.0\pm8.3$	$500\pm0$	13-3x2.5 (DR)	570-151-520-133-205
	Reference	38	63	103.7	500	8x2.5 (SR)	917-267-867-133-205
	Additional	38	$56\pm 6$	$111.9 \pm 7.3$	$555\pm0$	6x3 (SR)	917-267-867-133-205

<sup>a</sup> single-row planting <sup>b</sup> double-row planting

Table 3: Nutrient concentrations in tree components depending on the site and stand age (standard deviations are given in brackets). Different letters indicate significant differences between stand ages at the same site (Tukey post-hoc test with p < 0.05).

Site	Stand	Tree	Nutrient concentration (%)				
	age (yr)	component	Ν	Р	К	Ca	Mg
SAPH	2	Trunk	0.54 <i>(0.06)</i> a	0.09 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.27 <i>(0.07)</i> a	0.08 <i>(0.01)</i> b	0.10 <i>(0.02)</i> ab
	5		0.40 <i>(0.06)</i> b	0.07 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.17 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.09 <i>(0.02)</i> b	0.10 <i>(0.02)</i> ab
	20		0.19 <i>(0.03)</i> c	0.06 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.07 <i>(0.01)</i> c	0.11 <i>(0.01)</i> b	0.07 <i>(0.01)</i> b
	40		0.38 <i>(0.06)</i> b	0.08 <i>(0.03)</i>	0.18 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.59 <i>(0.21)</i> a	0.13 <i>(0.04)</i> a
SOGB	2		0.32 <i>(0.03)</i> a	0.04 <i>(0.00)</i> b	0.30 <i>(0.02)</i> a	0.12 <i>(0.04)</i> b	0.12 <i>(0.01)</i> b
	5		0.35 <i>(0.04)</i> a	0.04 <i>(0.01)</i> b	0.24 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.11 <i>(0.02)</i> b	0.10 <i>(0.02)</i> b
	20		0.22 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.03 <i>(0.00)</i> c	0.17 <i>(0.02)</i> c	0.24 <i>(0.05)</i> b	0.10 <i>(0.02)</i> b
	38		0.34 <i>(0.06)</i> a	0.05 <i>(0.01)</i> a	0.24 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.90 <i>(0.33)</i> a	0.16 <i>(0.03)</i> a
SAPH	2	Branch	1.13 <i>(0.13)</i> a	0.21 <i>(0.01)</i> a	0.79 <i>(0.28)</i> a	0.66 <i>(0.20)</i> a	0.19 <i>(0.03)</i> a
	5		0.70 <i>(0.12)</i> b	0.13 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.27 <i>(0.11)</i> b	0.41 <i>(0.16)</i> b	0.14 <i>(0.04)</i> b
	20		0.31 <i>(0.07)</i> c	0.08 <i>(0.02)</i> c	0.10 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.19 <i>(0.03)</i> c	0.07 <i>(0.01)</i> c
	40		1.07 <i>(0.18)</i> a	0.18 <i>(0.03)</i> a	0.30 <i>(0.07</i> ) b	0.59 <i>(0.13)</i> ab	0.12 <i>(0.03)</i> b
SOGB	2		0.54 <i>(0.03)</i> a	0.08 <i>(0.01)</i> a	0.69 <i>(0.15)</i> a	0.71 <i>(0.20)</i> ab	0.14 <i>(0.02)</i> a
	5		0.58 <i>(0.04)</i> a	0.07 <i>(0.01)</i> ab	0.61 <i>(0.11)</i> a	0.54 <i>(0.12)</i> ab	0.11 <i>(0.00)</i> b
	20		0.29 <i>(0.03)</i> b	0.04 <i>(0.01)</i> b	0.27 <i>(0.09)</i> b	0.35 <i>(0.10)</i> b	0.07 <i>(0.02)</i> c
	38		0.55 <i>(0.15)</i> a	0.07 <i>(0.03)</i> a	0.27 <i>(0.12)</i> b	0.86 <i>(0.33)</i> a	0.13 <i>(0.02)</i> ab
SAPH	2	Leaf	3.2 <i>(0.21)</i> a	0.28 (0.04)	1.06 <i>(0.15)</i> a	1.12 <i>(0.08)</i> a	0.33 <i>(0.05)</i> b
	5		2.94 (0.2 <i>1)</i> ab	0.26 (0.04)	0.85 <i>(0.18)</i> ab	1.13 <i>(0.21)</i> a	0.42 <i>(0.02)</i> a
	20		2.34 <i>(0.19)</i> b	0.28 <i>(0.08)</i>	0.51 <i>(0.07)</i> c	0.74 <i>(0.09)</i> b	0.36 <i>(0.08)</i> ab
	40		3.00 <i>(0.56)</i> a	0.25 <i>(0.06)</i>	0.76 <i>(0.16)</i> b	0.72 <i>(0.15)</i> b	0.25 <i>(0.05)</i> c
SOGB	2		2.28 <i>(0.19)</i> b	0.17 ( <i>0.02</i> ) ab	1.13 <i>(0.15)</i> b	1.53 <i>(0.37)</i> a	0.27 <i>(0.02)</i>
	5		2.65 <i>(0.23)</i> a	0.19 <i>(0.01)</i> ab	1.00 <i>(0.20)</i> b	1.36 <i>(0.16)</i> a	0.32 <i>(0.03)</i>
	20		2.68 <i>(0.18)</i> a	0.20 <i>(0.01)</i> a	1.45 <i>(0.10)</i> a	0.90 <i>(0.14)</i> b	0.30 <i>(0.06)</i>
	38		2.48 <i>(0.20)</i> ab	0.16 <i>(0.04)</i> b	0.70 <i>(0.20)</i> c	0.97 <i>(0.15)</i> b	0.31 <i>(0.05)</i>
SAPH	2	Root	0.53 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.12 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.25 <i>(0.02)</i> ab	0.14 <i>(0.03)</i>	0.11 <i>(0.01)</i>
	5		0.49 <i>(0.17)</i>	0.10 <i>(0.03)</i>	0.38 <i>(0.13)</i> a	0.23 <i>(0.08)</i>	0.10 <i>(0.04)</i>
	20		0.31 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.09 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.10 <i>(0.02)</i> b	0.14 <i>(0.05)</i>	0.12 <i>(0.03)</i>
	40		0.63 <i>(0.22)</i>	0.09 <i>(0.03)</i>	0.28 <i>(0.10)</i> ab	0.63 <i>(0.46)</i>	0.18 <i>(0.09)</i>
SOGB	2		0.44 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.06 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.35 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.22 <i>(0.08)</i> b	0.09 <i>(0.02)</i>
	5		0.52 <i>(0.04)</i>	0.07 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.32 <i>(0.12)</i>	0.16 <i>(0.02)</i> b	0.11 <i>(0.01)</i>
	20		0.35 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.05 <i>(0.01)</i>	0.20 (0.01)	0.29 <i>(0.06)</i> b	0.16 <i>(0.01)</i>
	38		0.40 <i>(0.09)</i>	0.06 <i>(0.02)</i>	0.31 <i>(0.15)</i>	0.85 <i>(0.38)</i> a	0.31 <i>(0.13)</i>

Table 4: Nutrient removal in different harvesting scenarios (in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) estimated from the allometric equations. The percentage of total nutrient accumulation in the trees removed by harvesting is given in brackets. Different letters indicate significant differences between scenarios at the same site (Tukey post-hoc test with p < 0.05).

Site	Scenario	Nutrient removal (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
		N	Р	К	Ca	Mg		
SAPH	1. Trunk only exported	423 c <i>(42%)</i>	103 b <i>(52%)</i>	186 c <i>(48%)</i>	596 (60%)	149 b <i>(58%)</i>		
	2. Trunk and branches exported	658 bc <i>(6</i> 8%)	147 ab <i>(73%)</i>	254 bc <i>(69%)</i>	749 <i>(78%)</i>	184 ab <i>(72%)</i>		
	3. Trunk, branches and stump exported	851 ab <i>(88%)</i>	177 a <i>(94%)</i>	337 ab <i>(92%)</i>	908 <i>(96%)</i>	243 a <i>(95%)</i>		
	4. Whole tree exported (including stump)	970 a <i>(100%)</i>	188 a <i>(100%)</i>	366 a <i>(100%)</i>	942 (100%)	256 a <i>(100%)</i>		
SOGB	1. Trunk only exported	541 c <i>(61%)</i>	75 c <i>(64%)</i>	418 c <i>(66%)</i>	1055 b <i>(72%)</i>	257 b <i>(69%)</i>		
	2. Trunk and branches	732 b <i>(79%)</i>	97 b <i>(82%)</i>	524 b <i>(83%)</i>	1302 ab <i>(88%)</i>	297 b <i>(80%)</i>		
	3. Trunk, branches and stump exported	815 ab <i>(90%)</i>	112 a <i>(95%)</i>	597 a <i>(95%)</i>	1462 a <i>(98%)</i>	363 a <i>(97%)</i>		
	4. Whole tree exported (including stump)	908 a <i>(100%)</i>	118 a <i>(100%)</i>	628 a <i>(100%)</i>	1498 a <i>(100%)</i>	375 a <i>(100%)</i>		