Unravelling the phenology of *Ixodes frontalis*, a common but understudied tick species in Europe

Albert Agoulon, Thierry Hoch, Dieter Heylen, Karine Chalvet-Monfray,
Olivier Plantard

► To cite this version:

Albert Agoulon, Thierry Hoch, Dieter Heylen, Karine Chalvet-Monfray, Olivier Plantard. Unravelling the phenology of *Ixodes frontalis*, a common but understudied tick species in Europe. *Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases*, 2019, 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.12.009 . hal-03115299

HAL Id: hal-03115299

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03115299>

Submitted on 26 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial| 4.0 International License

Unravelling the phenology of *Ixodes frontalis*, a common but understudied tick species in Europe.

Albert Agoulon^{a,*}, Thierry Hoch^a, Dieter Heylen^{b,c}, Karine Chalvet-Monfray^d, Olivier Plantard^a

^a BIOEPAR, INRA, Oniris, 44307, Nantes, France

^b Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and statistical Bioinformatics, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium

^c Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States of America

^d EPIA, INRA, VetAgro Sup, 63122, Saint-Genes-Champanelle, France

* Corresponding author. Tel.: 0033 240687699. Fax: 0033 240687751.

E-mail address: albert.agoulon@oniris-nantes.fr

Abstract:

Tick-borne diseases have a complex epidemiology that depends on different ecological communities, associating several species of vertebrate hosts, vectors and pathogens. While most studies in Europe are focused on *Ixodes ricinus*, the common sheep tick, other *Ixodes* species may also be involved in the transmission or maintenance of different pathogens. In this study, we describe for the first time the activity pattern of *I. frontalis*, an understudied but widespread tick species associated with several common bird species in Europe. Questing ixodid tick stages (larvae, nymphs and adults) of both *I. frontalis* and *I. ricinus* were monitored by the drag sampling method over three years at the same locations in Western France. Differential activities were observed depending on *I. frontalis* life stages: nymphs and adults were present sporadically on the ground

throughout the year, while larvae exhibited a marked peak of activity around October-November with tens or even hundreds of individuals per m², followed by a slow decrease in winter. Larvae were completely absent in summer, which contrasts with the high numbers of *I. ricinus* larvae at this time of the year. The vegetation and the litter where the two tick species were found also exhibited marked differences, with *I. frontalis* mostly collected under bamboo bushes.

Keywords:

Vector-borne diseases, bird ectoparasites, *Ixodes ricinus*, drag sampling, questing stages, population dynamics

1. Introduction

Vector-borne diseases constitute a major concern for both human and animal health. In temperate zones, hard ticks are considered to be the most important vectors in terms of diversity of pathogens, including zoonotic agents (such as *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato*, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, tick-borne encephalitis virus, *Babesia* spp.). They are also the vectors with the highest economical and medical impact on animals and Man (McCoy and Boulanger, 2015; Sonenshine, 1993). The transmission cycles of tick-borne pathogens are complex, involving generally several host species and sometimes more than one tick species (Estrada-Peña and de la Fuente, 2014; Kurtenbach et al., 2006; Mannelli et al., 2012; McCoy and Boulanger, 2015). Therefore, the study of a particular tick-borne pathogen requires an integrated community approach, in a diversity of host and tick species.

European research has only recently started to thoroughly investigate the role of tick species other than *I. ricinus* in the transmission of *I. ricinus*-associated pathogens

(Heylen et al., 2017b, 2014b, 2013; Jahfari et al., 2014; Obsomer et al., 2013). In Europe, the generalist *I. ricinus* is the most important vector to which humans are exposed, but some of the pathogens carried by this species can originate from other tick species, where they may exhibit a higher prevalence (Heylen et al., 2017b; Literak et al., 2015). When *I. ricinus* shares the hosts on which other tick species feed, pathogens can be bridged towards humans (Bown et al., 2008; Heylen et al., 2017b). Many of the other tick species within the tick community have been neglected, either because (i) they are rare or difficult to collect (*e.g.*, endophilic ticks), (ii) they are easily misidentified (Estrada-Peña et al., 2017a) or (iii) because they are not known to affect Man or animals of interest. This lack of interest leads to probable underestimation of their presence and of their real implication in pathosystems. The knowledge of basic ecological life history parameters of those understudied species, including their seasonal activity patterns, is crucial to understand the role of such tick species in tick-borne disease epidemiology. Ticks associated with birds, such as *I. frontalis* or *I. arboricola*, are of particular interest in this context because (i) they are known to carry several zoonotic pathogens such as *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, *Borrelia*, or *Rickettsia* spp. (Heylen et al., 2017a), (ii) birds participate in the dissemination of ticks and their associated pathogens - at long distance but also in urbanised regions that are less accessible to migrating mammals (de la Fuente et al., 2015; Heylen, 2016) - and (iii) immature stages of *I. ricinus* - the most frequently reported species on humans - commonly feed on birds (Hornok et al., 2013), acting as a potential bridge species with possible transfer of pathogens between birds and mammals.

In this article, we investigate seasonal activity patterns of the ornithophilic tick *Ixodes frontalis*, an abundant species from Europe (Estrada-Peña et al., 2017b), however with a poorly-investigated phenology of its free stages and with a largely unknown ecology (Heylen, 2016). *I. frontalis* is commonly found feeding on a great diversity of bird species that are shared with *I. ricinus* (Cringoli, 2005; Doby, 1998; Martyn, 1988; Monks et al., 2006; Norte et al., 2012). It has also been reported to exceptionally bite humans (Cull et al., 2018; Gilot et al., 1997). However, it has rarely been found questing on the ground (but see Barandika et al., 2006; Bona and Stanko, 2013; Ceballos et al., 2014; Doby, 1998; Gilot et al., 1997, 1995; Schorn et al., 2011), possibly because it is easily overlooked, especially for the larval stages that are difficult to identify due to their small size (Heylen et al., 2014a). *I. frontalis* is also known to be implicated in direct (*i.e.*, without infectious agents) paralytic and mortality phenomena in certain birds (Mans et al., 2004; Monks et al., 2006), in the transmission of Chizé virus, fatal for birds (Chastel et al., 1999) and on the possible contribution to the maintenance of ‘Candidatus *Neoehrlichia mikurensis*’ (Movila et al., 2013), *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* (Jahfari et al., 2014) or *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. (Heylen et al., 2017a, 2013; Norte et al., 2013) in bird populations. As *I. ricinus* may bridge *I. frontalis*-related pathogens towards humans, the investigation of co-occurrences of both tick species in time and space, which will be described in this study, is of prime importance.

2. Material and Methods

A study site was selected in spring 2014 near Nantes (47°19’N, 01°29’W) to survey exophilic hard tick densities on vegetation, estimated with the drag sampling method: a wooded private park was chosen for its high density of *I. ricinus* nymphs. Ticks were

collected one day per month from June 2014 to May 2017 (Supplementary material I and II), during the second half of each month as regularly as possible (the collection could not occur on rainy days), by 1 to 4 operators, between 13:30 and 18:00. To collect questing ticks, ten marked transects of 10 m x 1 m were chosen along trails covered by short grass or a leaf litter, with a minimum distance of 20 m between them (Fig. 1). Tick collection was repeated 3 times consecutively on the same marked transects, in order to collect more individuals and to improve accuracy in the assessment of tick abundance (Bord et al., 2014). On each transect, a 1 m² white flannel cloth was slowly dragged (0.5 m/s) along the 10 m transect (Agoulon et al., 2012): ticks were counted, removed from the cloth with tweezers and stored alive (except larvae, which were stored in 70% ethanol for further identification from February 2015 onwards – see below) for further identification concerning stage and species according to the keys provided in Heylen et al. (2014a), Hillyard (1996) and Pérez-Eid (2007). Larvae were initially only counted and then discarded, without collection and identification. They were systematically collected and identified under an optical microscope (100x magnification) from February 2015 onwards.

The park was characterized by mainly deciduous trees (*Quercus* spp., *Betula* sp.), the presence of two ponds, a humid peaty soil (with *Carex* spp., *Salix* sp., *Myrica gale*) and several non-native plants along different parts of the trails, including bamboo (*Phyllostachys* spp.). The ten transects presented marked differences in terms of habitat: three transects (hereafter called “Transects with bamboo”: n°8 to 10) were surrounded and overhung by bamboo and presented a litter covered with bamboo leaves (Fig. 1). The ground of the seven other transects (hereafter called “Transects without bamboo”: n°1 to 7) was either a litter of deciduous (n°2, n°3, n°5) or coniferous needle leaves (n°5), or short (<15 cm) grass (n°1, n°4, n°6, n°7)(Fig. 1).

A meteorological station was installed in January 2015 in a wooded part of the study site (Fig. 1), recording hourly macroclimatic data exploited at the level of the whole site: temperature, hygrometry and wind speed. Saturation deficit was calculated from temperature and hygrometry according to Hartmann (1994). Mean values of these four meteorological factors were considered as explanatory variables for analyses, at different time intervals: 0-30 days, 0-10 days and 0-5 days prior to the day of tick collection. Thus, twelve meteorological variables were considered, crossing mean values of four factors and three time intervals (Table 1).

The temporal dynamics of the different stages of *I. frontalis* was described at the level of the whole study site. For the larval stage, to evaluate the influence of meteorology, the relationship between tick counts and meteorological variables was considered from February 2015 to May 2017 by Spearman's rank correlation, and the best relationship was selected according to the rho and its p-value. After log transformation of larval counts ($\log(\text{larval count} + 1)$), because of the overdispersion of the data, a general linear model was built to assess the influence of this selected meteorological variable, based on R^2 . In parallel, to evaluate the influence of regular seasonal phenomena, the relationship between *I. frontalis* larval counts and day length on the day of tick collection was estimated in the same manner, leading to a similar model, hereafter called "seasonal model". Finally, 4 models were compared, the best one being selected according to the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC): 1) "meteorological model" (1 selected meteorological variable); 2) "seasonal model" (1 variable: day length); 3) "combined model" (1 meteorological variable and day length); 4) "combined model with interaction" (1 meteorological variable, day length and interaction between them). The same statistical process was applied to the larval stage of *I. ricinus* for comparison.

The distribution of the different stages of *I. frontalis* was described at the study site for each transect to evaluate spatial distribution. It was compared to *I. ricinus* on two types of transects: with bamboo *versus* without bamboo. Comparisons were performed by bilateral Fisher's exact test. All analyses were carried out in R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017).

3. Results

Temporal dynamics of I. frontalis

From September to November 2014, an increase in the density of questing larvae was observed on transect n°9 (*i.e.*, 14 larvae in September, 1230 in October, more than 3000 (rough count) in November and 466 in December 2014). As at that time of the study larvae were **assumed** to belong to *I. ricinus*, they were just counted and discarded without prior identification. In January 2015, larvae of transect n°9 (n=490) were kept and all were identified as *I. frontalis* (Fig. 2). Since that date, larvae from the whole study site were then stored and identified for the remaining 28 months of the study (from February 2015 to May 2017). Larval questing seasonality of *I. frontalis* could therefore be assessed for that period: a marked peak of activity of *I. frontalis* larvae was observed around October-November, followed by a slow decrease in winter and by the absence of larvae in summer (Fig. 3a and Supplementary material I). Assuming that most of the larvae collected earlier than January 2015 on transect n°9 belonged to *I. frontalis* emphasizes this observed seasonality.

All meteorological variables were correlated to *I. frontalis* larval counts, except mean wind speed. The best correlation concerned mean temperature over 10 days prior to

167 tick collection (Table 1: Spearman's rank correlation $\rho=-0.6721$; $p\text{-value}=8.955 \times$
168 10^{-5}): larvae could be abundant ($n>50$) only when the mean temperature did not rise
169 above 12°C over the previous 10 days (R^2 of the corresponding meteorological
170 model= 0.4693)(Fig. 4a). Day length was more correlated to larval counts (Spearman's
171 rank correlation $\rho=-0.8078$; $p\text{-value}=2.027 \times 10^{-7}$): larvae could be abundant ($n>50$)
172 only when day length was below 12 h (R^2 of the corresponding seasonal model= 0.7531)
173 (Fig. 4b). Meteorological and combined models (with or without interaction) exhibited a
174 higher AIC than the seasonal model: the seasonal model was therefore selected for *I.*
175 *frontalis* larvae, day length explaining 75.3% of the variance (Table 2). In comparison,
176 the same process led to the selection of the meteorological model for *I. ricinus* larvae,
177 mean temperature over 10 days prior to tick collection explaining 53.3% of the variance
178 (Table 1, Fig. 4c and 4d, Table 2).

179 Besides *I. frontalis* larvae, for which thousands of individuals were found, only a few
180 individuals of *I. frontalis* were identified at the nymphal ($n=28$) and adult ($n=5$, all
181 males) stages, with no clear seasonality (Fig. 3b, 3c and Supplementary material I). The
182 relative rarity of those nymphal and adult stages of *I. frontalis* contrasts with the
183 abundant collection of those stages for *I. ricinus* ticks (Supplementary material II).

184 *Spatial distribution of I. frontalis*

185 *I. frontalis* stages were clustered in 3 main transects (n°8, 9, 10), with occasional
186 presence (in decreasing order of importance) on transects n°5, n°2, n°3, n°6 or n°7
187 (Supplementary material I). The distribution of *I. frontalis* was significantly different
188 from that of *I. ricinus* when considering on the one hand transects with bamboo (n°8 to
189 10) and on the other hand other transects (n°1 to 7) (Fisher's exact tests: $p<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$
190 for larvae, $p=6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ for nymphs and $p=0.0161$ for adults)(Table 3). Considering the

ratio of *I. frontalis* versus *I. ricinus*, places with bamboo appeared to be more favourable to *I. frontalis*, with the highest contrast for larvae (O.R.=842 (C.I.95%=[427-1905])), followed by nymphs (O.R.=8 (C.I.95%=[3-31])) and adults (O.R. incalculable because of the absence of *I. frontalis* adults on places without bamboo)(Table 3). On transects with bamboo, *I. frontalis* larvae were predominant compared to *I. ricinus* larvae (representing 82% of the captures), while *I. frontalis* nymphs and adults represented respectively 2% and 19% of the captures. On transects without bamboo, *I. frontalis* larvae and nymphs represented respectively 0.5% and 0.3% of the captures, and adults were absent (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Our study on the seasonal activity of exophilic hard ticks yielded unique information on *I. frontalis*, an ornithophilic tick with a largely unknown ecology. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of the phenology of the free stages of *I. frontalis*. The most remarkable feature consists in an abundant population of *I. frontalis* questing larvae during autumn-winter. Moreover, the distribution of *I. frontalis* appeared highly aggregated, with favourable sites consisting in transects surrounded and overhung by bamboo.

Abundance and recurrence of questing stages of I. frontalis

I. frontalis was firmly established at our study site, as evidenced by long-term presence (up to 36 months, from June 2014 to May 2017) of the three questing developmental stages, with 2218 confirmed *I. frontalis* larvae (from January 2015 to May 2017: Supplementary material I), 28 nymphs and 5 adults (males). To the best of our

knowledge, this abundance has never been described before for *I. frontalis* questing ticks, especially for the larval stage. In other studies in Europe, *I. frontalis* has been collected by drag sampling, but only at the nymphal and adult stages (France: Doby, 1998; Gilot et al., 1997, 1995 - Spain: Barandika et al., 2006 - Germany: Schorn et al., 2011 - Slovakia: Bona and Stanko, 2013 - Italy: Ceballos et al., 2014). Therefore, *I. frontalis* questing larvae may be more abundant than one would assume, due to confusion with *I. ricinus* (Heylen et al., 2014a).

Phenology of I. frontalis

In our study, questing adults and nymphs were present sporadically throughout the year. The larval stage, however, showed a marked seasonality, with an onset of questing activity in September, followed by a peak in October-November, a slow decrease in winter and an absence of activity in summer. Current literature reports only on the phenology of host-feeding individuals in the wild (Doby, 1998; Norte et al., 2015, 2012). Doby (1998) described the feeding activity of *I. frontalis* (by the time synonymized with « *Ixodes pari* ») on blackbirds (*Turdus merula*) in France. The three parasitic life stages were found constantly between late autumn and early winter, but not during summer. The studies in Portugal by Norte et al. (2015, 2012) found that feeding activity takes place between October and March. Larval activity peaks in November and secondary peaks are observed in February or August, whereas nymphal peak activity is found in December. The activity pattern of those bird-feeding ticks is consistent with the autumnal peak of questing larvae found at our site (Fig. 3).

Life cycle duration of I. frontalis

The understanding of the entire life cycle duration of *I. frontalis* is still debated. Doby (1998) suggested a one-year life cycle, based on the developmental durations of ticks obtained from experimental bird infestations. Also Heylen (unpublished results) suggests that the life cycle may take only one year, if ticks have the opportunity to feed soon after moulting. He monitored ticks in a breeding colony that was initiated for vector-competence experiments (Heylen et al., 2017b, 2014b) and morphological analysis (Heylen et al., 2014a). Still, if ticks have to wait for the host or enter diapause, the life cycle will probably take much longer. A one-year life cycle is compatible with our field data, where a clear seasonality is observed for the questing larvae (Fig. 3a), which could correspond to the interval between two generations. However, as Doby (1998) found the three stages on hosts at the same time (between late autumn and early winter), it could suggest a longer life cycle. Further controlled monitoring studies under quasi-natural conditions are clearly needed to deduce the real life cycle duration of *I. frontalis*, by measuring the tick development duration of each stage and their longevity between blood meals.

Determinants of the phenology of questing stages of I. frontalis

We found that day length, rather than meteorological conditions, showed the strongest correlation with larval counts of *I. frontalis*: a higher abundance of larvae was observed on short days, suggesting a main influence of regular annual determinants. On the contrary, we found that the questing activity of the larval stage of *I. ricinus* was mainly driven by temperature, with an increase in the population in warm conditions. Indeed, questing behaviour in this species is known to be influenced by meteorological conditions - at least for the nymphal stage (Cat et al., 2017; Jensen, 2000; Kiewra et al., 2014; Perret et al., 2003, 2000; Schulz et al., 2014; Walker, 2001). Because *I. frontalis*

larvae are active during autumn and winter, we assume that the determinant for the phenology of this tick species may be different from *I. ricinus*. We hypothesize that the autumn-winter peak of *I. frontalis* larvae may correspond to the feeding of female ticks on birds after bird reproduction (*i.e.*, in summer) during a short period. This would induce a synchronization of egg development, with a fast increase in the larval population, as we observe. It would be consistent with the observation in the UK of a peak in August-September of tick-related syndrome cases on birds due to *I. frontalis* adult females (Monks et al., 2006), even if the situation may be different in other parts of the distribution area of *I. frontalis* (such as *I. ricinus*, showing a different phenology in western versus central Europe: Kurtenbach et al., 2006). For *I. ricinus*, the feeding of females is known to occur during a long spring period (Randolph 2004), leading to a less synchronized egg development and hence perhaps a greater influence of temperature on larval emergence in summer. However, for *I. frontalis*, alternative hypotheses such as egg or larval diapause or delayed egg hatching cannot be excluded before conducting additional investigations.

Spatial distribution of questing stages of I. frontalis

Some transects exhibited frequent high densities of *I. frontalis*, especially for the larval stage. Despite being distant from each other, the transects shared the same physical characteristics with bamboo surrounding and overhanging the ground. The literature reports that the physical characteristics of the collection sites for questing *I. frontalis* are very similar to biotopes where *I. ricinus* can be found (Ceballos et al., 2014; Cringoli et al., 2005; Gilot et al., 1997, 1995; Schorn et al., 2011). To our knowledge, the presence of *I. frontalis* in bamboo bushes has never been described before. At our study site, we suspect that the abundance of *I. frontalis* under bamboo is a consequence of bird

attendance. Bamboo may be a favourable place for birds to roost overnight, difficult to reach for predators. However, bamboo does not seem favourable for bird nesting, because of the lack of solid forked branches, hindering the attachment of nests. Thus, we hypothesize that the timing of detachment of engorged female ticks under bamboo is distinct from the reproduction period of birds, even if we have no data on the bird host species involved for the maintenance of the population of *I. frontalis* at our study site. *I. frontalis* is known to infest a wide range of birds, because virtually any bird species is submitted to parasitism when it walks on the ground (Doby, 1998; Hornok et al., 2016). A good candidate species could be the blackbird (*Turdus merula*), which has been observed at the site. It presents both the highest infestation prevalence and the highest tick burden in the literature (Doby, 1998; Norte et al., 2015). This point could be studied in the future, along with potential pathogen transmission consequences.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that *I. frontalis* and *I. ricinus* have different phenologies and occupy distinct habitat types at our study site. Nevertheless, we have also shown that they can co-occur both in time and space (even at a very limited spatial scale of 10 m²), indicating a possibility for those two tick species to parasitize the same host individuals and thus to share pathogens. We thus strongly encourage researchers to systematically identify the larval stage of hard ticks found on the ground. Even if *I. ricinus* is the most common species collected in Europe with the drag sampling method, *I. frontalis* may have been underestimated, especially during autumn and winter. A pathogen such as *Borrelia turdi*, belonging to the *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. group, can have a prevalence of 14.8% in some *I. frontalis* populations (Literak et al., 2015) and the vector competence of *I. ricinus* for this *Borrelia* species has been recently demonstrated experimentally

(Heylen et al., 2017b). The generalist tick *I. ricinus* could thus function as a bridging species from *I. frontalis* hosts, allowing some pathogens to spill over and reach hosts other than birds, including humans or domestic animals. The spatio-temporal overlap between *I. ricinus* and *I. frontalis* may also be influenced by the currently observed climate change and thus influence the epidemiology of the tick-borne diseases associated with those ticks, an issue that should be investigated through dedicated studies.

Declarations of interest

None.

Acknowledgments

Our special thanks are addressed to the owner of the study site, Henri de Goué, who accepted the installation of the meteorological station and the monthly sampling of ticks on his property. We are also indebted to Frédéric Huard, from AgroClim Service Unit, F-84914 Avignon, for providing and installing the meteorological station. We thank also Jean-Yves Audiart, Claire Bonsergent, Agnès Bouju-Albert, Romain Braud, Alessandra Cafiso, Pierre Charrier, Marjorie Couton, Nathalie De la Cotte, Marion Dellinger, Héloïse Duchêne, Axelle Durand, Caroline Hervet, Hadrien Jouanne, Yann Quenet, Claude Risper and Marielle Schleicher, who helped in collecting ticks. We are also grateful to the TMT-REID group (“Tiques et Maladies à Tiques” group of the “Réseau Ecologie des Interactions Durables”) for discussion and support. This work received financial support from the CC-EID project (méta-programme “Adaptation of Agriculture and Forests to

331 Climate Change” of the French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA)).
332 Dieter Heylen is funded by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (EU-Horizon 2020,
333 Individual Global Fellowship, project n° 799609) and the Fund for Scientific Research -
334 Flanders (FWO).

335

336 **References**

337 Agoulon, A., Malandrin, L., Lepigeon, F., Vénisse, M., Bonnet, S., Becker, C.A.M., Hoch, T.,
338 Bastian, S., Plantard, O., Beaudeau, F., 2012. A Vegetation Index qualifying pasture edges
339 is related to *Ixodes ricinus* density and to *Babesia divergens* seroprevalence in dairy
340 cattle herds. Vet. Parasitol. 185, 101-109.

341

342 Barandika, J.F., Berriatua, E., Barral, M., Juste, R.A., Anda, P., Garcia-Perez, A.L., 2006. Risk
343 factors associated with ixodid tick species distributions in the Basque region in Spain.
344 Med. Vet. Entomol. 20, 177-188.

345

346 Bona, M., Stanko, M., 2013. First records of the tick *Ixodes frontalis* (Panzer, 1795) (Acari,
347 Ixodidae) in Slovakia. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 4, 478-481.

348

349 Bord, S., Druilhet, P., Gasqui, P., Abrial, D., Vourc’h, G., 2014. Bayesian estimation of
350 abundance based on removal sampling under weak assumption of closed population
351 with catchability depending on environmental conditions. Application to tick abundance.
352 Ecol. Model. 274, 72-79.

353

354 Bown, K.J., Lambin, X., Telford, G.R., Ogden, N.H., Telfer, S., Woldehiwet, Z., Birtles, R.J.,
355 2008. Relative importance of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Ixodes trianguliceps* as vectors

356 for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Babesia microti* in field vole (*Microtus agrestis*)
 357 populations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 7118-7125.
 358
 359 Cat, J., Beugnet, F., Hoch, T., Jongejan, F., Prangé, A., Chalvet-Monfray, K., 2017. Influence
 360 of the spatial heterogeneity in tick abundance in the modeling of the seasonal activity of
 361 *Ixodes ricinus* nymphs in Western Europe. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 71, 115-130.
 362
 363 Ceballos, L.A., Pintore, M.D., Tomassone, L., Pautasso, A., Bisanzio, D., Mignone, W.,
 364 Casalone, C., Manelli, A., 2014. Habitat and occurrence of ixodid ticks in the Liguria
 365 region, northwest Italy. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 64, 121-135.
 366
 367 Chastel, C., Chandler, L., Le Goff, F., Chastel, O., Tesh, R., Shope, R., 1999. Chizé virus, a
 368 new phlebovirus isolated in France from *Ixodes (Trichotoixodes) frontalis*. Acta virol. 43,
 369 279-283.
 370
 371 Cringoli, G., Iori, A., Rinaldi, L., Veneziano, V., Genchi, C., 2005. Zecche. Mappe
 372 Parassitologiche. Rolando Editore, Napoli, 308 p.
 373
 374 Cull, B., Pietzsch, M.E., Hansford, K.M., Emma L. Gillingham, E.L., Medlock, J.M.,
 375 2018. Surveillance of British ticks: An overview of species records, host associations,
 376 and new records of *Ixodes ricinus* distribution. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 9, 605-614.
 377
 378 de la Fuente, J., Estrada-Peña, A., Cabezas-Cruz, A., Brey, R., 2015. Flying ticks: anciently
 379 evolved associations that constitute a risk of infectious disease spread. Parasit Vectors 8,

380 538.

381

382 Doby, J.M., 1998. Contribution à la connaissance de la biologie de *Ixodes* (*Trichotoixodes*)

383 *pari* Leach (= *I. frontalis* (Panzer))(Acari : Ixodidae), tique spécifique des oiseaux.

384 Acarologia 39, 315-324.

385

386 Estrada-Peña, A., de la Fuente, J., 2014. The ecology of ticks and epidemiology of tick-

387 borne viral diseases. Antiviral Res 108, 104-128.

388

389 Estrada-Peña, A., D'Amico, G., Palomar, A.M., Dupraz, M., Fonville, M., Heylen, D., Habela,

390 M.A., Hornok, S., Lempereur, L., Madder, M., Nuncio, M.S., Otranto, D., Pfäffle, M., Plantard,

391 O., Santos-Silva, M.M., Sprong, H., Vatansever, Z., Vial, L., Mihalca, A.D., 2017a. A

392 comparative test of ixodid tick identification by a network of European researchers.

393 Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 8, 540-546.

394

395 Estrada-Peña, A., Mihalca, A.D., Petney, T.N. (Eds.), 2017b. Ticks of Europe and North

396 Africa – A Guide to Species Identification, Springer International Publishing, Cham.

397

398 Gilot, B., Doche, B., Degeilh, B., Guiguen, C., Pichot, J., 1995. Bases acarologiques pour

399 l'étude épidémiologique de la borréliose de Lyme : les populations d'*Ixodes ricinus* Linne,

400 1758 du Sud-Ouest français. Acarologia 36, 117-132.

401

402 Gilot, B., Beaucournu, J.C., Chastel, C., 1997. Collecte «au drapeau» et fixation sur

403 l'homme d'*Ixodes* (*Trichotoixodes*) *frontalis* (Panzer, 1795). Parasite 4, 197-199.

404

405 Hartmann, D.L., 1994. Global Physical Climatology, Academic Press, San Diego, New York,
 406 Boston.
 407
 408 Heylen, D., 2016. Ecological interactions between songbirds, ticks, and *Borrelia*
 409 *burgdorferi* s.l. in Europe. In: Braks, M.A.H., van Wieren, S.E., Takken, W., Sprong, H.
 410 (Eds.), Ecology and prevention of Lyme borreliosis. Ecology and control of vector-borne
 411 diseases, Volume 4, Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, pp. 91-101.
 412
 413 Heylen, D., De Coninck, E., Jansen, F., Madder, M., 2014a. Differential diagnosis of three
 414 common *Ixodes* spp. ticks infesting songbirds of Western Europe: *Ixodes arboricola*, *I.*
 415 *frontalis* and *I. ricinus*. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 5, 693-700.
 416
 417 Heylen, D., Fonville, M., Docters van Leeuwen, A., Stroo, A., Duisterwinkel, M., van Wieren,
 418 S., Maria Diuk-Wasser, M., de Bruin, A., Sprong, H., 2017a. Pathogen communities of
 419 songbird-derived ticks in Europe's low countries. Parasit Vectors 10, 497.
 420
 421 Heylen, D., Krawczyk, A., Lopes de Carvalho, I., Nuncio, M.S., Sprong, H., Norte, A.C.,
 422 2017b. Bridging of cryptic *Borrelia* cycles in European songbirds. Environ. Microbiol. 19,
 423 1857-1867.
 424
 425 Heylen, D., Sprong, H., van Oers, K., Fonville, M., Leirs, H., Matthysen, E., 2014b. Are the
 426 specialized bird ticks, *Ixodes arboricola* and *I. frontalis*, competent vectors for *Borrelia*
 427 *burgdorferi* sensu lato? Environ. Microbiol. 16, 1081-1089.
 428
 429 Heylen, D., Tijssse, E., Fonville, M., Matthysen, E., Sprong, H., 2013. Transmission

430 dynamics of *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. in a bird tick community. Environ. Microbiol. 15,
 431 663-673.
 432
 433 Hillyard, P.D., 1996. Ticks of North-West Europe. Field Studies Council Ed., Shrewsbury,
 434 178 p.
 435
 436 Hornok, S., Csörgő, T., de la Fuente, J., Gyuranecz, M., Privigyei, C., Meli, M.L., Kreizinger,
 437 Z., Gönczi, E., Fernández de Mera, I.G., Hofmann-Lehmann, R., 2013. Synanthropic birds
 438 associated with high prevalence of tick-borne rickettsiae and with the first detection of
 439 *Rickettsia aeschlimannii* in Hungary. Vector-Borne Zoon Dis. 13, 77-83.
 440
 441 Hornok, S., Flaisz, B., Takács, N., Kontschán, J., Csörgő, T., Csipak, Á., B. R. Jaksa, B.R., D.
 442 Kováts, D., 2016. Bird ticks in Hungary reflect western, southern, eastern flyway
 443 connections and two genetic lineages of *Ixodes frontalis* and *Haemaphysalis concinna*.
 444 Parasit Vectors 9, 101.
 445
 446 Jahfari, S., Coipan, E.C., Fonville, M., Docters van Leeuwen, A., Hengeveld, P., Heylen, D.,
 447 Heyman, P., van Maanen, C., Butler, C.M., Földvári, G., Szekeres, S., van Duijvendijk, G.,
 448 Tack, W., Rijks, J.M., van der Giessen, J., Takken, W., van Wieren, S.E., Katsuhisa Takumi,
 449 K., Sprong, H., 2014. Circulation of four *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* ecotypes in Europe.
 450 Parasit Vectors 7, 365.
 451
 452 Jensen, P.M., 2000. Host seeking activity of *Ixodes ricinus* ticks based on daily
 453 consecutive flagging samples. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 24, 695-708.
 454

455 Kiewra, D., Maciej Kryza, M., Szymanowski, M., 2014. Influence of selected
 456 meteorological variables on the questing activity of *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in Lower Silesia,
 457 SW Poland. J. Vector Ecol. 39, 138-145.
 458
 459 Kurtenbach, K., Hanincová, K., Tsao, J.I., Margos, G., Fish, D., Ogden, N.H. 2006.
 460 Fundamental processes in the evolutionary ecology of Lyme borreliosis. Nat Rev
 461 Microbiol. 4, 660-669.
 462
 463 Literak, I., Norte, A.C., Nuncio, M.S., de Carvalho, I.L., Ogrzewalska, M., Nováková, M.,
 464 Martins, T.F., Sychra, O., Resendes, R., Rodrigues, P., 2015. Ticks on passerines from the
 465 Archipelago of the Azores as hosts of borreliae and rickettsiae. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 6,
 466 607-610.
 467
 468 Mannelli, A., Bertolotti, L., Gern, L., Gray, J., 2012. Ecology of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu
 469 lato in Europe: transmission dynamics in multi-host systems, influence of molecular
 470 processes and effects of climate change. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 36, 837-861.
 471
 472 Mans, B.J., Gothe, R., Neitz, A.W.H., 2004. Biochemical perspectives on paralysis and
 473 other forms of toxicoses caused by ticks. Parasitology 129, S95-S111.
 474
 475 Martyn, K.P., 1988. Provisional Atlas of the Ticks (Ixodoidea) of the British Isles.
 476 Institute of terrestrial ecology, Cumbria.
 477
 478 McCoy, K.D., Boulanger, N. (Eds.), 2015. Tiques et maladies à tiques : biologie, écologie
 479 évolutive, épidémiologie, IRD Editions (Collection Didactiques), Marseille.

480

481 Monks, D., Fisher, M., Forbes, N.A., 2006. *Ixodes frontalis* and avian tick-related syndrome

482 in the United Kingdom. J. Small Anim. Practice 47, 451-455.

483

484 Movila, A., Alekseev, A.N., Dubinina, H.V., Toderas, I., 2013. Detection of tick-borne

485 pathogens in ticks from migratory birds in the Baltic region of Russia. Med. Vet. Entomol.

486 27, 113-117.

487

488 Norte, A.C., Lopes de Carvalho, I., Ramos, J.A., Gonçalves, M., Gern, L., Nuncio, M.S., 2012.

489 Diversity and seasonal patterns of ticks parasitizing wild birds in western Portugal. Exp.

490 Appl. Acarol. 58, 327-339.

491

492 Norte, A.C., Ramos, J.A., Gern, L., Nuncio, M.S., Lopes de Carvalho, I., 2013. Birds as

493 reservoirs for *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l. in Western Europe: circulation of *B. turdi* and

494 other genospecies in bird-tick cycles in Portugal. Environ. Microbiol. 15, 386-397.

495

496 Norte, A.C., da Silva, L.P., Tenreiro, P.J.Q., Felgueiras, M.S., Araújo, P.M., Lopes, P.B., Matos,

497 C., Rosa, A., Ferreira, P.J.S.G., Encarnação, P., Rocha, A., Escudero, R., Anda, P., Nuncio, M.S.,

498 Lopes de Carvalho, I., 2015. Patterns of tick infestation and their *Borrelia burgdorferi* s.l.

499 infection in wild birds in Portugal. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 6, 743-750.

500

501 Obsomer, V., Wirtgen, M., Linden, A., Claerebout, E., Heyman, P., Heylen, D., Madder, M.,

502 Maris, J., Lebrun, M., Tack, W., Lempereur, L., Thierry Hance, T., Van Impe, G., 2013.

503 Spatial disaggregation of tick occurrence and ecology at a local scale as a preliminary

504 step for spatial surveillance of tick-borne diseases: general framework and health

505 implications in Belgium. *Parasit Vectors* 6, 190-208.

506

507 Pérez-Eid, C., 2007. Les tiques : identification, biologie, importance médicale et
 508 vétérinaire, Editions Tec & Doc / EM Internationale, Paris.

509

510 Perret, J.-L., Guigoz, E., Rais, O., Gern, L., 2000. Influence of saturation deficit and
 511 temperature on *Ixodes ricinus* tick questing activity in a Lyme borreliosis-endemic area
 512 (Switzerland). *Parasitol. Res.* 86, 554-557.

513

514 Perret, J.-L., Guerin, P.M., Diehl, P.A., Vlimant, M., Gern, L., 2003. Darkness induces
 515 mobility, and saturation deficit limits questing duration, in the tick *Ixodes ricinus*. *J. Exp.*
 516 *Biol.* 206, 1809-1815.

517

518 R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R
 519 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017. <http://www.rproject.org>.

520

521 Randolph, S.E., 2004. Tick ecology: processes and patterns behind the epidemiological
 522 risk posed by ixodid ticks as vectors. *Parasitology* 129, S37-S65.

523

524 Schorn, S., Schöl, H., Pfister, K., Silaghi, C., 2011. First record of *Ixodes frontalis* collected
 525 by flagging in Germany. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 2, 228-230.

526

527 Schulz, M., Mahling, M., Pfister, K., 2014. Abundance and seasonal activity of questing
 528 *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in their natural habitats in southern Germany in 2011. *J. Vector Ecol.*
 529 39, 56-65.

Sonenshine, D.E., 1993. Biology of Ticks, Vols I and II, Oxford University Press, UK.

Walker, A.R., 2001. Age structure of a population of *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in relation to its seasonal questing. Bull. Entomol. Res. 91, 69-78.

Legends to figures

Fig. 1. Geographical position (*) of the study site in France (left). Aerial photograph of the observatory with position (⊙) of the meteorological station (center). Two transects, illustrating transects without (n°1) or with (n°9) bamboo (right).

Fig. 2. Distinction of *Ixodes frontalis* (a) and *Ixodes ricinus* (b) at the larval stage (light microscopy).

Ixodes frontalis larvae (a) have a marked protuberance on the front margin of the basis of the capitulum, between palp and hypostome (black arrow), external spurs on coxa I, II and III (grey arrows), and an internal spur on coxa I (white arrow).

Ixodes ricinus larvae (b) have a straight front margin of the basis of the capitulum, between palp and hypostome (black arrow), small external spurs on coxa I and II (grey arrows), and an internal spur on coxa I (white arrow).

Fig. 3. Temporal distribution of questing stages of *Ixodes frontalis* and *Ixodes ricinus*. a) larvae, b) nymphs, c) adults.

For larvae, the scale is logarithmic, and 1 was added to the counts (n): the baseline (« 1 » on the Y axes) corresponds to the absence of larva. Note that larvae collected before 27/01/2015 were not identified, but (1) those collected on transect n°9 until 29/12/2014 are represented by « ? » as they were presumed to belong to *I. frontalis* (see text and Supplementary Material I and II), (2) and those collected on transect n°9 on 27/01/2015 are represented by ^Δ as they were confirmed for the first time as *I. frontalis*. From 18/02/2015 to 16/05/2017, all the transects were considered because larval identification was systematic. For larvae only, shaded grey areas highlight the seasonality of *I. frontalis* during autumn seasons. ^x and a dashed black line represents *I. ricinus*. [°] and a continuous red line represents *I. frontalis*.

Fig. 4. Relationship between mean temperature over 10 days prior to tick collection (a, c) or day length (b, d) and *Ixodes frontalis* (a, b) or *I. ricinus* (c, d) larval counts (n+1).

The relationship between mean temperature (over 10 days prior to tick collection) and *Ixodes frontalis* or *I. ricinus* larval counts (on the day of tick collection) was considered from February 2015 to May 2017 (28 dates) for the whole observatory. The same set of data was used for the influence of day length. To represent larval counts on a logarithmic scale, 1 had to be added to the counts (n): the baseline (« 1 » on the Y axes) corresponds to the absence of larva.

a)

b)

0.1 mm

a)

b)

c)

d)

Table 1

Relationship between *Ixodes frontalis* or *Ixodes ricinus* larval counts and meteorological variables or day length, attested by Spearman's rank correlation.

a) *Ixodes frontalis*

	0-30 days*	0-10 days*	0-5 days*
Mean hygrometry	p=0.003 rho=0.534	p=0.008 rho=0.494	p=0.008 rho=0.488
Mean temperature	p=0.002 rho=-0.565	p<0.001 rho=-0.672	p<0.001 rho=-0.645
Mean wind speed	p=0.657 rho=-0.088	p=0.407 rho=-0.163	p=0.597 rho=-0.104
Mean saturation deficit	p=0.001 rho=-0.597	p<0.001 rho=-0.623	p<0.001 rho=-0.616
Day length (on the day of tick collection)		p<0.001 rho=-0.808	

b) *Ixodes ricinus*

	0-30 days*	0-10 days*	0-5 days*
Mean hygrometry	p=0.002 rho=-0.560	p=0.010 rho=-0.4798062	p=0.048 rho=-0.377
Mean temperature	p<0.001 rho=0.668	p<0.001 rho=0.717	p<0.001 rho=0.680
Mean wind speed	p=0.108 rho=-0.310	p=0.039 rho=-0.392	p=0.030 rho=-0.412
Mean saturation deficit	p<0.001 rho=0.704	p<0.001 rho=0.599	p=0.002 rho=0.553
Day length (on the day of tick collection)		p=0.004 rho=0.527	

* prior to the day of tick collection

For each meteorological factor and time interval prior to tick collection, and for day length on the day of tick collection, the relationship with larval counts was estimated by Spearman's rank correlation, with indication of p-value (p) and rho (rho). Cells in grey indicate a significant correlation ($p \leq 0.05$). For each meteorological factor, the cell in bold indicates the most relevant time interval to be considered. The cell with the best correlation is underlined.

Meteorological variables, day length and larval counts were considered from February 2015 to May 2017 (28 dates) for the whole observatory.

Table 2

Summary of general linear models explaining log-transformed *Ixodes frontalis* and *Ixodes ricinus* larval counts (log(larval count+1))

		<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>							<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>						
		Estimate	SE	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	R ²	AIC	Estimate	SE	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	R ²	AIC		
Meteorological model	Intercept	6.18125	0.81965	7.541	< 0.001	***	0.4693	110.1175	-1.36635	0.73859	-1.850	0.0757	.	0.5328	104.2857
	Mean temperature (0-10 days)°	-0.31205	0.06507	-4.795	< 0.001	***			0.31930	0.05864	5.445	< 0.001	***		
Seasonal model	Intercept	11.11442	0.98589	11.274	< 0.001	***	0.7531	88.69496	-2.5494	1.6302	-1.564	0.1300		0.268	116.8585
	Day length#	-0.69772	0.07835	-8.905	< 0.001	***			0.3997	0.1296	3.085	< 0.01	**		
Combined model	Intercept	10.91245	1.03358	10.558	< 0.001	***	0.7388	90.11617	-1.14317	1.37854	-0.829	0.4148		0.4962	106.2439
	Mean temperature (0-10 days)°	-0.04765	0.06595	-0.723	0.477				0.33178	0.08796	3.772	< 0.001	***		
	Day length#	-0.63600	0.11640	-5.464	< 0.001	***			-0.03000	0.15525	-0.193	0.8483			
Combined model with interaction	Intercept	7.29429	3.36400	2.168	< 0.05	*	0.7416	90.66578	-2.81454	4.59050	-0.613	0.5460		0.4784	108.0738
	Mean temperature (0-10 days)°	0.29308	0.30869	0.949	0.3519				0.48917	0.42124	1.161	0.2570			
	Day length#	-0.33442	0.29100	-1.149	0.2618				0.10931	0.39709	0.275	0.7850			
	Interaction	-0.02665	0.02359	-1.130	0.2698				-0.01231	0.03219	-0.382	0.7060			

[°] prior to the day of tick collection.

[#] on the day of tick collection.

For each explanatory variable, the parameter estimate, the standard error (SE), the *t* value (test statistics) and the *p* value (significance codes: 0 '****' 0.001 '***' 0.01 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1) are given.

For the whole model, R² and AIC are given.

For *Ixodes frontalis*, the seasonal model was selected as the best model according to AIC (in bold).

For *Ixodes ricinus*, the meteorological model was selected as the best model according to AIC (in bold).

Table 3

Habitat preference of *Ixodes frontalis* compared to *Ixodes ricinus* on two types of transects: with bamboo *versus* without bamboo.

a) cumulative larval counts*

* Larvae were systematically identified on each transect from 18/02/2015 to 16/05/2017 (last 28 dates): only these dates were considered.

Cumulative larval counts (last 28 dates: 18/02/2015 to 16/05/2017)	Transects with bamboo (n°8, n°9, n°10)	Transects without bamboo (n°1, n°2, n°3, n°4, n°5, n°6, n°7)
<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>	1720	8
<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>	376	1487

b) cumulative nymphal counts

Cumulative nymphal counts (36 dates: 26/06/2014 to 16/05/2017)	Transects with bamboo (n°8, n°9, n°10)	Transects without bamboo (n°1, n°2, n°3, n°4, n°5, n°6, n°7)
<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>	24	4
<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>	1088	1436

c) cumulative adult counts

Cumulative adult counts (36 dates: 26/06/2014 to 16/05/2017)	Transects with bamboo (n°8, n°9, n°10)	Transects without bamboo (n°1, n°2, n°3, n°4, n°5, n°6, n°7)
<i>Ixodes frontalis</i>	5	0
<i>Ixodes ricinus</i>	22	32