HAL open science

From effectors to effectomes: Are functional studies of individual effectors enough to decipher plant pathogen infectious strategies?

Noe Arroyo-Velez, Manuel González-Fuente, Nemo Peeters, Emmanuelle Lauber, Laurent D. Noël

To cite this version:

Noe Arroyo-Velez, Manuel González-Fuente, Nemo Peeters, Emmanuelle Lauber, Laurent D. Noël. From effectors to effectomes: Are functional studies of individual effectors enough to decipher plant pathogen infectious strategies?. PLoS Pathogens, 2020, 16 (12), pp.e1009059. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009059 . hal-03127388

HAL Id: hal-03127388

https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03127388v1

Submitted on 1 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

PLOS PATHOGENS

OPINION

From effectors to effectomes: Are functional studies of individual effectors enough to decipher plant pathogen infectious strategies?

Noe Arroyo-Velez☯, **Manuel González-Fuente**☯, **Nemo Peeters**, **Emmanuelle Lauber**, **Laurent D. Noël***

LIPM, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan, France

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.
* laurent.noel@inrae.fr

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Arroyo-Velez N, González-Fuente M, Peeters N, Lauber E, Noël LD (2020) From effectors to effectomes: Are functional studies of individual effectors enough to decipher plant pathogen infectious strategies? PLoS Pathog 16(12): e1009059. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009059

Editor: June L. Round, University of Utah, UNITED STATES

Published: December 3, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Arroyo-Velez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: NAV and MGF were supported by PhD fellowships from the Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) and the French Laboratory of Excellence project 'TULIP' (ANR-10-LABX-41; ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02), respectively. NP and LDN were supported by a French National Research Agency grant (PAPTiCROPs ANR-16-CE21-0005-02). EL and LDN were supported by a French National Research Agency grant (NEPHRON ANR-18-CE20-0020-01). The LIPM is supported by the French Laboratory of Excellence project 'TULIP' (ANR-10-LABX-41; ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02). Authors benefited from the COST actions FA1208 SUSTAIN

Effector proteins of plant pathogens are key virulence determinants which can be secreted in the apoplast or translocated inside plant cells where they subvert host immunity and physiology to the pathogen's benefit [1]. In some specific plant accessions, effector proteins may also be detected by plant immune receptors and trigger strong specific resistance [2–4].

Achievements and limits of current effectors studies in plant pathogens

A pathogen's effectome (sometimes also referred to as effectorome) is the repertoire of all its effector proteins (Fig 1A). To date, most effector proteins are studied individually, omitting the broader context in which they function as the effectome. Size and composition of effectomes vary greatly between pathogens, including at the intraspecific level, ranging from as little as 4 in *Erwinia amylovora* to hundreds of effector proteins per isolate in some fungi, nematodes, and oomycetes (Fig 2A) [5–14]. These differences influence pathogen's virulence, lifestyle, and host range [15–17]. Known effector functions are the result of a combination of experimental approaches, often low throughput and based on *in vitro* or heterologous systems (Figs 1B, 2C and 2D) [18–21]. Some effectome-scale screens have been conducted, but these are still a compilation of individual effectors studies and thus present the same limitations as smaller-scale studies [22–28].

Evidences for effector–effector interferences within effectomes

Studies of individual effector proteins intrinsically overlook their coordinated functions due to functional redundancy [29–31], expression patterns dependent on infection stages or plant organ [32–34], and epistatic interactions within effectomes [35–40] (Fig 2B). Therefore, effectome functions are usually not the sum of the individual effector functions (Fig 1C), and dedicated experimental approaches would be needed to determine how effectomes function as a whole. Prerequisites are the knowledge of the effectome composition, an experimentally manageable effectome size, and a genetically amenable pathogen. Consequently, functional characterization of effectomes is most advanced in bacteria [30,35,41,42] and developing at an ever increasing pace in fungi or nematodes thanks to powerful genome-editing tools [43,44] and

and CA16107 EuroXanth. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.



Fig 1. Functions achieved by an effectome are more than the sole addition of the individual effector functions. (A) The effectome is the sum of the n individual effectors from a single pathogen strain. (B) Examples of functional studies which can be conducted for each of the n effector proteins of a given effectome. (C) Due to functional redundancies and epistatic interactions, the effectome function is different from the sum of individual effector functions. Importantly, effector and effectome functions will depend on the composition and diversity of effector targets present in the plant species and accession considered. co-IPs, co-immunoprecipitations; ETI, effector-triggered immunity; FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; PTI, PAMP-triggered immunity; Y2H, yeast two-hybrid.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009059.g001

the frequent clustering of effector genes allowing the generation of multiple effector mutants with a single deletion event [45–47].

Effectome functions depend on the plant target repertoire

To achieve the functional characterization of effectomes, we must take into account that effector functions are host dependent as they acquire their "functional sense" only in association with their plant cognate interactors (Fig 2B). Effectors tend to target multiple highly connected host proteins [22,26,27,48,49] but may also specifically interact with nucleic acids [50,51] or metabolites from the pathogen or the host [52–54]. Therefore, the function of a full effectome largely depends on the host target repertoire, or "targetome," as well as on the interactions among its components (Fig 1C) as proposed [55]. Effector-mediated virulence is thus an emergent property resulting from interactions between a pathogen effectome and a targetome of susceptible host [56]. Effectome and targetome diversity should therefore be carefully considered since it should unravel the complexity and the diversity of the molecular mechanisms underlying pathogen virulence and plant susceptibility.

Many lessons still to be learned from deconstructing effectomes

Effector polymutants are interesting resources to unveil functions of effector families [23,57–61] and effectomes [30,35,41]. Effector genes have to be deleted individually and sequentially. To date, the *Pseudomonas syringae* polymutant DC3000Δ36E is the only known mutant for a complex effectome in a plant pathogen [35]. In *P. syringae*, such effectome mutant has allowed significant discoveries not only in our understanding of the role of individual effectors but also most importantly in the identification of functionally redundant effectors [30] and the definition of minimal effectome functions required to become a plant pathogen [35,41,42]. To reach its full potential, we believe that future mutagenesis should aim at partial random deconstruction of effectomes using highly efficient tools such as CRISPR-Cas9 coupled to



Fig 2. Diversity of both the microbial effectome and the plant target repertoire impacts the function of the effectome. (A) Effectomes are diverse at the intra- and interspecific levels. (B) Individual effectors can have 1 or multiple plant targets with either positive (arrowheads) or negative (blunt arrows) impacts. Hubs are plant proteins or functions which are targeted by multiple effectors. Some effectors might directly or indirectly affect the function of other effectors. To date, plant functions targeted by effectors are immunity, physiology, and metabolism. Distinct plant targets are affected depending on the pathogen effectome. Target diversity implies that different plant accessions will respond differently to distinct effectomes. (C–E) Schematic representation of possible genetic manipulations of effectomes. The effectome of WT strain B (C) can be genetically manipulated by deleting individual (D) or multiple effector genes yielding an effectome mutant (E). Effectorless strains found in the environment can also be used and complemented with the appropriate effector secretion-translocation machinery if missing. Examples of random or informed libraries corresponding to an effector combinatorial originating from strain B or any other strain could be reintroduced in an effectorless strain (E) and tested for functional complementations on host or nonhost plants of multiple cultivars (F). Each symbol represents a distinct effector produced by the pathogen. Members of a given effector protein family are represented with the same shape but different colors. WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009059.g002

sensitive high-throughput pathogenicity assays on automated phenotyping infrastructures [62–65].

Synthetic effectomes to understand how effectomes really function

Effectome mutants open the possibility not only to identify avirulence genes which recognition can be masked by other effectors [35–40] but also to reconstruct synthetic effectomes and test for their function. The choice of the receiver strain and the composition and size of the minimal effectomes to be tested in infection tests have to be carefully considered (Fig 2E). While natural effectorless strains often require the introduction of a functional effector secretion-translocation machinery [66,67], effectome mutants might still express and translocate yet

unidentified effectors that could interfere with the characterization of synthetic effectomes [35,41]. Because of functional redundancy between effectors, functional synthetic effectomes can include only a portion of an original effectome (e.g., [41]). Effectors originating from other strains, species, genera, or even kingdoms could also be studied by such approaches as long as effector secretion-translocation happens (e.g., [68–70]). Though sometimes random [41], effector combinations have, up to now, been mostly based on gene families [60], gene clusters [41], or functional categories [30]. Yet, the combination of synthetic biology, next-generation sequencing technologies, and high-throughput phenotyping methods now opens the avenue for the generation of large random effector libraries to be tested in minimal strains and their functional characterization on host or nonhost plants (Fig 2F).

Similar limitations hindering effectomes characterization also apply to animal pathogens. Though these effectomes are also major virulence determinants (e.g., [71–74]) and the first effectome polymutant was generated in *Yersinia enterocolitica* [75], effectomes studies are also extremely limited in animal pathogens. We believe that the proposed holistic genetic approaches applied to effectomes should greatly advance our understanding of 2 basic questions: How do pathogens evolve and adapt to new hosts?

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jonathan Jacobs (Ohio State University, Ohio) for critically reading this manuscript.

References

1. Toruno TY, Stergiopoulos I, Coaker G. Plant-Pathogen Effectors: Cellular Probes Interfering with Plant Defenses in Spatial and Temporal Manners. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2016; 54:419–41. Epub 2016 Jul 1. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080615-100204 PMID: 27359369; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5283857.
2. Jones JD, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. Nature. 2006; 444(7117):323–9. Epub 2006 Nov 17. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05286 PMID: 17108957.
3. Kourelis J, van der Hoorn RAL. Defended to the Nines: 25 Years of Resistance Gene Cloning Identifies Nine Mechanisms for R Protein Function. Plant Cell. 2018; 30(2):285–99. Epub 2018 Feb 1. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00579 PMID: 29382771; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5868693.
4. Spoel SH, Dong X. How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without specialized immune cells. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012; 12(2):89–100. Epub 2012 Jan 26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3141 PMID: 22273771.
5. Kim KT, Jeon J, Choi J, Cheong K, Song H, Choi G, et al. Kingdom-Wide Analysis of Fungal Small Secreted Proteins (SSPs) Reveals their Potential Role in Host Association. Front Plant Sci. 2016; 7:186. Epub 2016 Mar 1. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00186 PMID: 26925088; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4759460.
6. Nissinen RM, Ytterberg AJ, Bogdanove AJ, KJ VANW, Beer SV. Analyses of the secretomes of *Erwinia amylovora* and selected *hrp* mutants reveal novel type III secreted proteins and an effect of HrpJ on extracellular harpin levels. Mol Plant Pathol. 2007; 8(1):55–67. Epub 2007 Jan 1. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2006.00370.x PMID: 20507478.
7. Bogdanove AJ, Kim JF, Wei ZM, Kolchinsky P, Charkowski AO, Conlin AK, et al. Homology and functional similarity of an *hrp*-linked pathogenicity locus, *dspEF*, of *Erwinia amylovora* and the avirulence locus *avrE* of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovar *tomato*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998; 95(3):1325–30. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.3.1325 PMID: 9448330
8. Zhao Y, He SY, Sundin GW. The *Erwinia amylovora avrRpt2EA* gene contributes to virulence on pear and AvrRpt2EA is recognized by Arabidopsis RPS2 when expressed in *pseudomonas syringae*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2006; 19(6):644–54. Epub 2006 Jun 17. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-19-0644 PMID: 16776298.
9. Schuster M, Schweizer G, Kahmann R. Comparative analyses of secreted proteins in plant pathogenic smut fungi and related basidiomycetes. Fungal Genet Biol. 2018; 112:21–30. Epub 2017 Jan 17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2016.12.003 PMID: 28089076.

10. Dillon MM, Almeida RND, Laflamme B, Martel A, Weir BS, Desveaux D, et al. Molecular Evolution of *Pseudomonas syringae* Type III Secreted Effector Proteins. Front Plant Sci. 2019; 10:418. Epub 2019 Apr 27. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00418 PMID: 31024592; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6460904.

11. Vieira P, Gleason C. Plant-parasitic nematode effectors—insights into their diversity and new tools for their identification. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2019; 50:37–43. Epub 2019 Mar 29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.02.007 PMID: 30921686.

12. Roux B, Bolot S, Guy E, Denance N, Lautier M, Jardinaud MF, et al. Genomics and transcriptomics of *Xanthomonas campestris* species challenge the concept of core type III effectome. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16:975. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2190-0 PMID: 26581393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4652430.

13. Sabbagh CRR, Carrere S, Lonjon F, Vailleau F, Macho AP, Genin S, et al. Pangenomic type III effector database of the plant pathogenic *Ralstonia spp*. PeerJ. 2019; 7:e7346. Epub 2019 Oct 4. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7346 PMID: 31579561; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6762002.

14. Badet T, Oggenfuss U, Abraham L, McDonald BA, Croll D. A 19-isolate reference-quality global pangenome for the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. BMC Biol. 2020; 18(1):12. Epub 2020 Feb 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-0744-3 PMID: 32046716; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7014611.

15. Sarkar SF, Gordon JS, Martin GB, Guttman DS. Comparative genomics of host-specific virulence in *Pseudomonas syringae*. Genetics. 2006; 174(2):1041–56. Epub 2006 Sep 5. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.060996 PMID: 16951068; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1602070.

16. Liao J, Huang H, Meusnier I, Adreit H, Ducasse A, Bonnot F, et al. Pathogen effectors and plant immunity determine specialization of the blast fungus to rice subspecies. Elife. 2016; 5. Epub 2016 Dec 23. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.19377 PMID: 28008850; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5182064.

17. Gaulin E, Pel MJC, Camborde L, San-Clemente H, Courbier S, Dupouy MA, et al. Genomics analysis of *Aphanomyces* spp. identifies a new class of oomycete effector associated with host adaptation. BMC Biol. 2018; 16(1):43. Epub 2018 Apr 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-018-0508-5 PMID: 29669603; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5907361.

18. Dalio RJD, Herlihy J, Oliveira TS, McDowell JM, Machado M. Effector Biology in Focus: A Primer for Computational Prediction and Functional Characterization. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2018; 31(1):22–33. Epub 2017 Oct 13. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-07-17-0174-FI PMID: 29023190.

19. Rehman S, Gupta VK, Goyal AK. Identification and functional analysis of secreted effectors from phytoparasitic nematodes. BMC Microbiol. 2016; 16:48. Epub 2016 Mar 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0632-8 PMID: 27001199; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4802876.

20. Kanja C, Hammond-Kosack KE. Proteinaceous effector discovery and characterization in filamentous plant pathogens. Mol Plant Pathol. 2020. Epub 2020 Aug 9. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12980 PMID: 32767620.

21. Varden FA, De la Concepcion JC, Maidment JH, Banfield MJ. Taking the stage: effectors in the spotlight. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2017; 38:25–33. Epub 2017 May 2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.04.013 PMID: 28460241.

22. Mukhtar MS, Carvunis AR, Dreze M, Epple P, Steinbrenner J, Moore J, et al. Independently evolved virulence effectors converge onto hubs in a plant immune system network. Science. 2011; 333(6042):596–601. Epub 2011 Jul 30. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1203659 PMID: 21798943; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3170753.

23. Chen S, Songkumarn P, Venu RC, Gowda M, Bellizzi M, Hu J, et al. Identification and characterization of *in planta*-expressed secreted effector proteins from *Magnaporthe oryzae* that induce cell death in rice. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2013; 26(2):191–202. Epub 2012 Oct 6. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-05-12-0117-R PMID: 23035914.

24. Popov G, Fraiture M, Brunner F, Sessa G. Multiple *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* Type III Effectors Inhibit flg22-Triggered Immunity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2016; 29(8):651–60. Epub 2016 Aug 17. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-07-16-0137-R PMID: 27529660.

25. Robin GP, Kleemann J, Neumann U, Cabre L, Dallery JF, Lapalu N, et al. Subcellular Localization Screening of *Colletotrichum higginsianum* Effector Candidates Identifies Fungal Proteins Targeted to Plant Peroxisomes, Golgi Bodies, and Microtubules. Front Plant Sci. 2018; 9:562. Epub 2018 May 18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00562 PMID: 29770142; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5942036.

26. González-Fuente M, Carrère S, Monachello D, Marsella BG, Cazalé A-C, Zischek C, et al. EffectorK, a comprehensive resource to mine for *Ralstonia*, *Xanthomonas* and other published effector interactors in the Arabidopsis proteome. Mol Plant Pathol. 2020; 21(10):1257–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12965

27. Wessling R, Epple P, Altmann S, He Y, Yang L, Henz SR, et al. Convergent targeting of a common host protein-network by pathogen effectors from three kingdoms of life. Cell Host Microbe. 2014; 16(3):364–75. Epub 2014 Sep 12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.08.004 PMID: 25211078; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4191710.

28. Wroblewski T, Caldwell KS, Piskurewicz U, Cavanaugh KA, Xu H, Kozik A, et al. Comparative large-scale analysis of interactions between several crop species and the effector repertoires from multiple pathovars of *Pseudomonas* and *Ralstonia*. Plant Physiol. 2009; 150(4):1733–49. Epub 2009 Jul 3. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.109.140251 PMID: 19571308; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2719141.

29. Friesen TL, Zhang Z, Solomon PS, Oliver RP, Faris JD. Characterization of the interaction of a novel *Stagonospora nodorum* host-selective toxin with a wheat susceptibility gene. Plant Physiol. 2008; 146 (2):682–93. Epub 2007 Dec 11. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.108761 PMID: 18065563; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2245837.

30. Kvitko BH, Park DH, Velasquez AC, Wei CF, Russell AB, Martin GB, et al. Deletions in the repertoire of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 type III secretion effector genes reveal functional overlap among effectors. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5(4):e1000388. Epub 2009 Apr 22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000388 PMID: 19381254; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2663052.

31. Thordal-Christensen H, Birch PRJ, Spanu PD, Panstruga R. Why did filamentous plant pathogens evolve the potential to secrete hundreds of effectors to enable disease? Mol Plant Pathol. 2018; 19 (4):781–5. Epub 2018 Mar 15. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12649 PMID: 29536647; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6638121.

32. Kleemann J, Rincon-Rivera LJ, Takahara H, Neumann U, Ver Loren van Themaat E, van der Does HC, et al. Sequential delivery of host-induced virulence effectors by appressoria and intracellular hyphae of the phytopathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum*. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8(4):e1002643. Epub 2012 Apr 13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002643 PMID: 22496661; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3320591.

33. Wang Q, Han C, Ferreira AO, Yu X, Ye W, Tripathy S, et al. Transcriptional programming and functional interactions within the *Phytophthora sojae* RXLR effector repertoire. Plant Cell. 2011; 23(6):2064–86. Epub 2011 Jun 10. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.086082 PMID: 21653195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3160037.

34. Skibbe DS, Doehlemann G, Fernandes J, Walbot V. Maize tumors caused by *Ustilago maydis* require organ-specific genes in host and pathogen. Science. 2010; 328(5974):89–92. Epub 2010 Apr 3. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185775 PMID: 20360107.

35. Wei HL, Chakravarthy S, Mathieu J, Helmann TC, Stodghill P, Swingle B, et al. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 Type III Secretion Effector Polymutants Reveal an Interplay between HopAD1 and AvrPtoB. Cell Host Microbe. 2015; 17(6):752–62. Epub 2015 Jun 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.05.007 PMID: 26067603; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4471848.

36. Phan HT, Rybak K, Furuki E, Breen S, Solomon PS, Oliver RP, et al. Differential effector gene expression underpins epistasis in a plant fungal disease. Plant J. 2016; 87(4):343–54. Epub 2016 May 3. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13203 PMID: 27133896; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5053286.

37. Guo X, Zhong D, Xie W, He Y, Zheng Y, Lin Y, et al. Functional Identification of Novel Cell Death-inducing Effector Proteins from *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Rice (N Y). 2019; 12(1):59. Epub 2019 Aug 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-019-0312-z PMID: 31388773; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6684714.

38. Wei HL, Zhang W, Collmer A. Modular Study of the Type III Effector Repertoire in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 Reveals a Matrix of Effector Interplay in Pathogenesis. Cell Rep. 2018; 23 (6):1630–8. Epub 2018 May 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.04.037 PMID: 29742421.

39. Bourras S, McNally KE, Ben-David R, Parlange F, Roffler S, Praz CR, et al. Multiple Avirulence Loci and Allele-Specific Effector Recognition Control the *Pm3* Race-Specific Resistance of Wheat to Powdery Mildew. Plant Cell. 2015; 27(10):2991–3012. Epub 2015 Oct 11. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00171 PMID: 26452600; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4682313.

40. Thordal-Christensen H. A holistic view on plant effector-triggered immunity presented as an iceberg model. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020. Epub 2020 Apr 12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03515-w PMID: 32277261.

41. Cunnac S, Chakravarthy S, Kvitko BH, Russell AB, Martin GB, Collmer A. Genetic disassembly and combinatorial reassembly identify a minimal functional repertoire of type III effectors in *Pseudomonas syringae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(7):2975–80. Epub 2011 Feb 2. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013031108 PMID: 21282655; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3041132.

42. Wei HL, Collmer A. Defining essential processes in plant pathogenesis with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 disarmed polymutants and a subset of key type III effectors. Mol Plant Pathol. 2018; 19 (7):1779–94. Epub 2017 Dec 27. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12655 PMID: 29277959; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6638048.

43. Nodvig CS, Nielsen JB, Kogle ME, Mortensen UH. A CRISPR-Cas9 System for Genetic Engineering of Filamentous Fungi. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(7):e0133085. Epub 2015 Jul 16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133085 PMID: 26177455; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4503723.

44. Friedland AE, Tzur YB, Esvelt KM, Colaiacovo MP, Church GM, Calarco JA. Heritable genome editing in C. *elegans* via a CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Methods. 2013; 10(8):741–3. Epub 2013 Jul 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2532 PMID: 23817069; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3822328.

45. Kamper J, Kahmann R, Bolker M, Ma LJ, Brefort T, Saville BJ, et al. Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. Nature. 2006; 444(7115):97–101. Epub 2006 Nov 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05248 PMID: 17080091.

46. Brefort T, Tanaka S, Neidig N, Doehlemann G, Vincon V, Kahmann R. Characterization of the largest effector gene cluster of *Ustilago maydis*. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10(7):e1003866. Epub 2014 Jul 6. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003866 PMID: 24992561; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4081774.

47. Eisermann I, Weihmann F, Krijger JJ, Kroling C, Hause G, Menzel M, et al. Two genes in a pathogenicity gene cluster encoding secreted proteins are required for appressorial penetration and infection of the maize anthracnose fungus *Colletotrichum graminicola*. Environ Microbiol. 2019; 21(12):4773–91. Epub 2019 Oct 11. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14819 PMID: 31599055.

48. Li H, Zhou Y, Zhang Z. Network Analysis Reveals a Common Host-Pathogen Interaction Pattern in Arabidopsis Immune Responses. Front Plant Sci. 2017; 8:893. Epub 2017 Jun 15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00893 PMID: 28611808; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5446985.

49. Ahmed H, Howton TC, Sun Y, Weinberger N, Belkhadir Y, Mukhtar MS. Network biology discovers pathogen contact points in host protein-protein interactomes. Nat Commun. 2018; 9(1):2312. Epub 2018 Jun 15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04632-8 PMID: 29899369; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5998135.

50. Boch J, Scholze H, Schornack S, Landgraf A, Hahn S, Kay S, et al. Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. Science. 2009; 326(5959):1509–12. Epub 2009 Nov 26. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178811 PMID: 19933107.

51. Moscou MJ, Bogdanove AJ. A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL effectors. Science. 2009; 326(5959):1501. Epub 2009 Nov 26. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178817 PMID: 19933106.

52. de Jonge R, van Esse HP, Kombrink A, Shinya T, Desaki Y, Bours R, et al. Conserved fungal LysM effector Ecp6 prevents chitin-triggered immunity in plants. Science. 2010; 329(5994):953–5. Epub 2010 Aug 21. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1190859 PMID: 20724636.

53. Mentlak TA, Kombrink A, Shinya T, Ryder LS, Otomo I, Saitoh H, et al. Effector-mediated suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by *magnaporthe oryzae* is necessary for rice blast disease. Plant Cell. 2012; 24(1):322–35. Epub 2012 Jan 24. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.092957 PMID: 22267486; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3289562.

54. Salomon D, Guo Y, Kinch LN, Grishin NV, Gardner KH, Orth K. Effectors of animal and plant pathogens use a common domain to bind host phosphoinositides. Nat Commun. 2013; 4:2973. Epub 2013 Dec 19. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3973 PMID: 24346350; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4981085.

55. Hajri A, Brin C, Hunault G, Lardeux F, Lemaire C, Manceau C, et al. A "repertoire for repertoire" hypothesis: repertoires of type three effectors are candidate determinants of host specificity in *Xanthomonas*. PLoS ONE. 2009; 4(8):e6632. Epub 2009 Aug 15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006632 PMID: 19680562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2722093.

56. Casadevall A, Fang FC, Pirofski LA. Microbial virulence as an emergent property: consequences and opportunities. PLoS Pathog. 2011; 7(7):e1002136. Epub 2011 Aug 5. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002136 PMID: 21814511; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3141035.

57. Angot A, Peeters N, Lechner E, Vailleau F, Baud C, Gentzbittel L, et al. *Ralstonia solanacearum* requires F-box-like domain-containing type III effectors to promote disease on several host plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(39):14620–5. Epub 2006 Sep 20. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0509393103 PMID: 16983093; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1600009.

58. Khrunyk Y, Munch K, Schipper K, Lupas AN, Kahmann R. The use of FLP-mediated recombination for the functional analysis of an effector gene family in the biotrophic smut fungus *Ustilago maydis*. New Phytol. 2010; 187(4):957–68. Epub 2010 Aug 3. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03413.x PMID: 20673282.

59. Sole M, Popa C, Mith O, Sohn KH, Jones JD, Deslandes L, et al. The awr gene family encodes a novel class of Ralstonia solanacearum type III effectors displaying virulence and avirulence activities. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2012; 25(7):941–53. Epub 2012 Mar 15. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-12-11-0321 PMID: 22414437.

60. Kay S, Boch J, Bonas U. Characterization of AvrBs3-like effectors from a Brassicaceae pathogen reveals virulence and avirulence activities and a protein with a novel repeat architecture. Mol Plant

Microbe Interact. 2005; 18(8):838–48. Epub 2005 Sep 2. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-0838 PMID: 16134896.

61. Lei N, Chen L, Kiba A, Hikichi Y, Zhang Y, Ohnishi K. Super-Multiple Deletion Analysis of Type III Effectors in *Ralstonia solanacearum* OE1-1 for Full Virulence Toward Host Plants. Front Microbiol. 2020; 11:1683. Epub 2020 Aug 28. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01683 PMID: 32849353; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7409329.

62. Fichman Y, Miller G, Mittler R. Whole-Plant Live Imaging of Reactive Oxygen Species. Mol Plant. 2019; 12(9):1203–10. Epub 2019 Jun 21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2019.06.003 PMID: 31220601.

63. Pieruschka R, Schurr U. Plant Phenotyping: Past, Present, and Future. Plant Phenomics. 2019; 2019:7507131. https://doi.org/10.34133/2019/7507131

64. Tisne S, Serrand Y, Bach L, Gilbault E, Ben Ameur R, Balasse H, et al. Phenoscope: an automated large-scale phenotyping platform offering high spatial homogeneity. Plant J. 2013; 74(3):534–44. Epub 2013 Mar 5. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12131 PMID: 23452317.

65. Yang W, Feng H, Zhang X, Zhang J, Doonan JH, Batchelor WD, et al. Crop Phenomics and High-Throughput Phenotyping: Past Decades, Current Challenges, and Future Perspectives. Mol Plant. 2020; 13(2):187–214. Epub 2020 Jan 26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.01.008 PMID: 31981735.

66. Collmer A, Badel JL, Charkowski AO, Deng WL, Fouts DE, Ramos AR, et al. *Pseudomonas syringae* Hrp type III secretion system and effector proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97(16):8770–7. Epub 2000 Aug 2. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.16.8770 PMID: 10922033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC34010.

67. Meline V, Delage W, Brin C, Li-Marchetti C, Sochard D, Arlat M, et al. Role of the acquisition of a type 3 secretion system in the emergence of novel pathogenic strains of *Xanthomonas*. Mol Plant Pathol. 2019; 20(1):33–50. Epub 2018 Aug 5. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12737 PMID: 30076773; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6430459.

68. Upadhyaya NM, Mago R, Staskawicz BJ, Ayliffe MA, Ellis JG, Dodds PN. A bacterial type III secretion assay for delivery of fungal effector proteins into wheat. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2014; 27(3):255–64. Epub 2013 Oct 26. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-07-13-0187-FI PMID: 24156769.

69. Sohn KH, Lei R, Nemri A, Jones JD. The downy mildew effector proteins ATR1 and ATR13 promote disease susceptibility in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell. 2007; 19(12):4077–90. Epub 2008 Jan 1. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.054262 PMID: 18165328; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2217653.

70. Fabro G, Steinbrenner J, Coates M, Ishaque N, Baxter L, Studholme DJ, et al. Multiple candidate effectors from the oomycete pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* suppress host plant immunity. PLoS Pathog. 2011; 7(11):e1002348. Epub 2011 Nov 11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002348 PMID: 22072967; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3207932.

71. Coburn B, Sekirov I, Finlay BB. Type III secretion systems and disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2007; 20(4):535–49. Epub 2007 Oct 16. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00013-07 PMID: 17934073; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2176049.

72. Marcos CM, de Oliveira HC, de Melo WC, da Silva JF, Assato PA, Scorzoni L, et al. Anti-Immune Strategies of Pathogenic Fungi. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2016; 6:142. Epub 2016 Nov 30. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00142 PMID: 27896220; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5108756.

73. Gan J, Giogha C, Hartland EL. Molecular mechanisms employed by enteric bacterial pathogens to antagonise host innate immunity. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2020; 59:58–64. Epub 2020 Aug 31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2020.07.015 PMID: 32862049.

74. Hewitson JP, Grainger JR, Maizels RM. Helminth immunoregulation: the role of parasite secreted proteins in modulating host immunity. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009; 167(1):1–11. Epub 2009 May 2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2009.04.008 PMID: 19406170; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2706953.

75. Boyd AP, Grosdent N, Totemeyer S, Geuijen C, Bleves S, Iriarte M, et al. *Yersinia enterocolitica* can deliver Yop proteins into a wide range of cell types: development of a delivery system for heterologous proteins. Eur J Cell Biol. 2000; 79(10):659–71. Epub 2000 Nov 23. https://doi.org/10.1078/0171-9335-00098 PMID: 11089914.