

The root-knot nematode effector MiPDI1 targets a stress-associated protein (SAP) to establish disease in Solanaceae and Arabidopsis

Jianlong Zhao, Joffrey Mejias, Michaël Quentin, Yongpan Chen, Janice Almeida-engler, Zhenchuan Mao, Qinghua Sun, Qian Liu, Bingyan Xie, Pierre Abad, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Jianlong Zhao, Joffrey Mejias, Michaël Quentin, Yongpan Chen, Janice Almeida-engler, et al.. The root-knot nematode effector MiPDI1 targets a stress-associated protein (SAP) to establish disease in Solanaceae and Arabidopsis. New Phytologist, 2020, 228 (4), pp.1417-1430. 10.1111/nph.16745 . hal-03148658

HAL Id: hal-03148658 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03148658v1

Submitted on 8 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1 | The root-knot nematode effector MiPDI1 targets a stress-associated protein, SAP, to |
|----|---|
| 2 | establish disease in Solanaceae and Arabidopsis |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Jianlong Zhao ^{1,2,3} , Joffrey Mejias ³ , Michael Quentin ³ , Yongpan Chen ^{1,3} , Janice de |
| 5 | Almeida-Engler ³ , Zhenchuan Mao ² , Qinghua Sun ² , Qian Liu ¹ , Bingyan Xie ² , Pierre |
| 6 | Abad ³ , Bruno Favery ^{3*} and Heng Jian ^{1*} |
| 7 | * co-corresponding authors |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ¹ Department of Plant Pathology and Key Laboratory of Pest Monitoring and Green |
| 10 | Management of the Ministry of Agriculture, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China |
| 11 | ² Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Beijing, China |
| 12 | ³ INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, ISA, F-06903 Sophia Antipolis, France |
| 13 | |
| 14 | * Author for correspondence |
| 15 | Pr. Heng Jian |
| 16 | Yuanmingyuan West Road No. 2, Haidian District, Beijing, China |
| 17 | Tel: +86 135 5248 1996 |
| 18 | Email: <u>hengjian@cau.edu.cn</u> |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Dr. Bruno Favery |
| 21 | 400 route des chappes, BP 167, 0690 Sophia Antipolis, France |
| 22 | Email: <u>bruno.favery@inrae.fr</u> |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Jianlong Zhao <u>zhaojianlong@caas.cn</u> , |
| 25 | Joffrey Mejias joffrey.mejias@etu.univ-cotedazur.fr, |
| 26 | Michael Quentin michael.quentin@inrae.fr, |
| 27 | Yongpan Chen <u>chenyongpan1@163.com</u> , |
| 28 | Janice de Almeida-Engler janice.de-almeida@inrae.fr, |
| | |

- 29 Zhenchuan Mao maozhenchuan@caas.cn,
- 30 Qinghua Sun <u>sunqinghua_h@163.com</u>,
- 31 Qian Liu <u>liuqian@cau.edu.cn</u>,
- 32 Bingyan Xie <u>xiebingyan@caas.cn</u>,
- 33 Pierre Abad pierre.abad@inrae.fr,
- 34 Bruno Favery <u>bruno.favery@inrae.fr</u>,
- 35 Heng Jian <u>hengjian@cau.edu.cn</u>
- 36
- **37** Total word count
- 38 5,651 words (Introduction, 851; Materials and Methods, 1,830; Results, 1,416; Discussion,

* Periev

- 39 1,402; Acknowledgements, 151).
- 40 Figures: 6 (All figures in colour).
- 41 Supporting information files: 11 (3 tables and 8 figures).

43 Summary

Large amounts of effectors are secreted by the oesophageal glands of plant-parasitic
 nematodes, but their molecular mode of action remains largely unknown. We characterised
 a *Meloidogyne incognita* protein disulphide isomerase (PDI)-like effector protein
 (MiPDI1) that facilitates nematode parasitism.

- In situ hybridisation showed that MiPDII was expressed specifically in the subventral glands of *M. incognita*. It was significantly upregulated during parasitic stages.
 Immunolocalisation demonstrated MiPDII secretion *in planta* during nematode migration and within the feeding cells. Host-induced silencing of the *MiPDII* gene affected the ability of the nematode to infect the host, whereas *MiPDII* expression in *Arabidopsis* increased susceptibility to *M. incognita*, providing evidence for a key role of MiPDII in *M. incognita* parasitism.
- Yeast two-hybrid assays, BiFC and Co-IP showed that MiPDI1 interacted with a tomato
 stress-associated protein (SISAP12) orthologous to the redox-regulated AtSAP12, which
 plays an important role in plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses. *SAP12* silencing or
 knocking out in *N. benthamiana* and Arabidopsis increased susceptibility to *M. incognita*.
- Our results suggest that MiPDI1 acts as a pathogenicity factor promoting disease by fine tuning SAP-mediated responses at the interface of redox signalling, defence and stress
 acclimation in Solanaceae and *Arabidopsis*.
- 62
- 63
- 64 Key words: plant-parasitic nematodes, effector, stress, redox, giant cells

66 Introduction

67 Plant parasitic nematodes are among the most economically devastating plant pathogens, 68 causing global yield losses of more than 100 billion dollars each year (Abad et al., 2008). The 69 obligate sedentary endoparasitic nematodes causing the most severe problems are root-knot 70 nematodes (RKNs) and cyst nematodes (CNs). The RKNs, Meloidogyne spp., can infest more 71 than 5,500 crop species (Blok et al., 2008), and therefore represent a huge threat to agricultural 72 production. After hatching, the infective second-stage juveniles (J2s) are attracted to the tip of 73 plant roots. They penetrate the root elongation zone and migrate between cells to reach the 74 vascular cylinder of the plant. There, they become sedentary, and construct a feeding site. This 75 feeding site consists of around seven multinucleate giant cells, resulting from nuclear divisions 76 and isotropic growth, surrounded by cells that divide and initiate vascular differentiation. The 77 giant cells are the sole source of nutrients for the developing nematode (Favery et al., 2016). 78 RKNs ensure that parasitism is successful by secreting a large number of effectors that help 79 juveniles to invade roots, suppress plant defence mechanisms, and induce and maintain giant 80 cells. These effectors are mostly produced in the three oesophageal glands, but they may also be 81 secreted by other organs, such as the amphids and hypodermis (Mejias et al., 2019; Vieira & 82 Gleason, 2019). The precise localisation of effectors and the identification of their host targets 83 are essential for a better understanding of their biological functions. Only a few host target 84 proteins of RKN effectors have been identified to date (Mejias et al., 2019). The M. incognita 85 effector Mi16D10 has been shown to interact with Arabidopsis SCARECROW-like 86 transcription factors (Huang et al., 2006). The M. graminicola effector MgMO237 has been 87 shown to interact with three rice defense-related proteins (OsGSC, OsCRRSP55 and OsBetvI) 88 (Chen et al., 2018) and the Mg16820 and M. chitwoodi Mc01194 effectors interact with rice 89 dehydration-stress inducible protein 1 (OsDIP1) and Arabidopsis papain-like cysteine protease 90 (RD21A), respectively (Davies et al., 2015; Naalden et al., 2018). A MACROPHAGE 91 MIGRATION INHIBITORY FACTOR-like effector, MiMIF-2, was recently shown to interact 92 with two Arabidopsis annexins, mediating plant immune responses (Zhao et al., 2019). 93 However, the functions of a large number of RKN effectors, their plant targets and working 94 mechanisms remain unknown and in need of clarification.

95 Protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) is a protein thiol oxidoreductase located in the eukaryotic 96 endoplasmic reticulum. PDI has both protein disulphide isomerase and protein-glutamine 97 gamma-glutamyltransferase activities. It is involved in the oxidoreduction and isomerisation of 98 protein disulphide bonds, peptidyl-proline hydroxylation to 4-hydroxy-L-proline, and protein 99 deglutathionylation (Ali Khan & Mutus, 2014). More than 50 PDI-like proteins have been 100 identified in fungi, plants, animals and humans. The free-living nematode Caenorhabditis 101 elegans has three conserved PDI-like genes, PDI-1, PDI-2, and PDI-3, and PDI-2 has been 102 shown to be expressed in the hypodermis and cuticle collagen (Winter & Page, 2000). Many 103 animal parasites, including protozoans in particular, have PDI-like proteins that play major roles 104 in parasitism (Achour et al., 2002; Han et al., 2014). Three PDI-like proteins in the tick 105 Haemaphysalis longicornis have been shown to be expressed predominantly in the salivary 106 glands, and blood feeding significantly increases the expression of *HlPDI-1* and *HlPDI-3* (Liao 107 et al., 2007). There are also many PDI-like proteins in malaria parasites. Plasmodium 108 falciparum PfPDI-8 has specific enzyme activity and facilitates the disulphide-dependent 109 conformational folding of a malaria protein (Mahajan et al., 2006).

110 Secreted PDIs have also recently been characterised in plant pathogens. The PDI1 protein of 111 *Phytophthora parasitica* (PpPDI1) is associated with haustoria-like structures and contributes to 112 plant infection (Meng et al., 2015). Two PDI-like effectors have been identified in RKNs and 113 CNs, shown to be expressed in oesophageal glands and upregulated in late parasitic J2s or J3. A 114 functional analysis of Heterodera schachtii HsPDI showed that this protein promoted 115 parasitism by regulating the plant ROS burst (Habash et al., 2017). Recombinant M. 116 graminicola MgPDI protein had oxidase and isomerase activities. The expression of both 117 MgPDI and HsPDI was induced by exogenous H₂O₂, suggesting that PDI may protect 118 nematodes from oxidative stress (Tian et al., 2019). Interestingly, PDI-like proteins were 119 identified in the secretome of *M. incognita* juveniles (Bellafiore *et al.*, 2008) and the proteome 120 of oesophageal gland cells from *M. incognita* females (Wang et al., 2012), demonstrating that 121 these proteins are secreted by *M. incognita*. However, the detailed mode of action and plant 122 targets of PDI-like effectors remain unknown.

123 In this study, we characterised a new *PDI-like* gene from *M. incognita* cDNA (*MiPDI1*) that is 124 expressed in the subventral oesophageal glands. Immunohistochemical staining of tomato root 125 sections with an anti-MiPDI1 antibody showed that this protein was secreted into infected roots. 126 Our findings also showed that MiPDI1 was important for nematode infection. Yeast two-hybrid 127 assays, BiFC and Co-IP showed that MiPDI1 interacted physically with a stress-associated 128 protein (SISAP12) from tomato. Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of orthologues in 129 knockout lines of N. benthamiana and Arabidopsis resulted in higher levels of M. incognita infection. Moreover, MiPDI1 expression in planta affects the expression of Arabidopsis 130 131 defence-associated genes. Our data suggest that MiPDI1 may act as a novel effector, promoting 132 *M. incognita* parasitism by fine-tuning SAP-mediated host responses.

133

134 Materials and methods

135 Nematodes and plant materials

136 Egg masses of *M. incognita* (Morelos strain) were collected from tomato plants (Solanum 137 lycopersicum cv St Pierre). The hatched preparasitic second-stage juveniles (pre-J2s) were 138 collected for plant inoculation. Various *M. incognita* stages were isolated from digested tomato 139 roots, as previously described (Zhao *et al.*, 2019). Surface-sterilised *Arabidopsis thaliana* seeds 140 (ecotype Col-0) were sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium in sterile conditions. After 141 germination, the plantlets were transplanted into pots containing soil and sand (1:1) and grown 142 at 21°C. The T-DNA mutant line for AtSAP12 (At3g28210) (SALK 014706) was obtained from 143 the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC, USA). Nicotiana benthamiana, Solanum 144 *lycopersicum* and *N. tabacum* plants were grown at 24°C (photoperiod, 16 h : 8 h, light : dark).

145

146 Sequence analysis, alignment and phylogenetic tree

147 MiPDI sequences were obtained from *Meloidogyne* genomic resources 148 (http://www6.inra.fr/meloidogyne_incognita/). Sequences were aligned with the MAFFT tool 149 on the EBI server (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/mafft/). The alignment obtained was used 150 as input for the IQTree Web server (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/) (Trifinopoulos *et al.*, 2016), 151 to generate the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree. The model chosen by the inbuilt model

- test was LG+F+I+G4. Support for the nodes was calculated with a hundred bootstrap replicates.
- 153 PpPDI was used as the outgroup. The tree was visualised in iTOL (<u>https://itol.embl.de/</u>).
- 154

155 RNA extraction and real-time quantitative RT-qPCR

A Dynabeads[®] mRNA DIRECTTM kit (Invitrogen, USA) was used to extract mRNA from M. 156 157 incognita. Arabidopsis, tomato and tobacco total RNA were extracted with TRIzol Reagent 158 (Invitrogen, USA). We synthesised cDNA with the reverse transcriptase SuperScript III 159 (Invitrogen, USA), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. RT-qPCR was 160 performed with an ABI Prism 7000 (Applied Biosystems, USA) real-time PCR system (the 161 primers are shown in Table S1). M. incognita GAPDH (Minc12412) and HK14 (Minc18753) or 162 A. thaliana OXA1 (AT5G62050) and UBP22 (AT5G10790) were used as internal controls for 163 the normalisation of RT-qPCR data. PCR was performed with SYBR Premix Ex Tag (TaKaRa, 164 Japan), as follows: 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 31 s, and 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s, and 95°C for 15 s. Data were analysed by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak 165 & Schmittgen, 2001). Three technical replicates for two to four independent biological 166 167 experiments were performed.

168

169 In situ hybridisation (ISH) of MiPDI1 and immunolocalisation in preparasitic J2s

170 ISH was performed on freshly hatched *M. incognita* preparasitic J2s (pre-J2s), as previously 171 described (Jaouannet et al., 2018). The MiPDII was amplified with the specific primers ISH-172 PDI-F and -R (Table S1). Immunolocalisation was performed as previously described (Jaubert 173 et al., 2002; Jaubert et al., 2005). A polyclonal antibody against MiPDI1 was produced with a 174 specific peptide (KEGSPPSENSLDDLVE). Western blotting was performed to check the 175 specificity of this antibody. Immunolocalisation was performed directly on *M. incognita* pre-176 parasitic J2s with the anti-MiPDI1 antibody (1:300) and the Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat 177 anti-rabbit antibody (1:500) (Molecular Probes, Eugene). As a negative control, nematodes 178 were incubated with pre-immune serum. Images were collected with a confocal microscope 179 (Zeiss LSM880, Germany).

180

181 Immunohistochemistry on tomato gall sections

182 Tomato galls were collected 5 and 10 days post infection (dpi) with *M. incognita*, cut into small 183 pieces with a razor blade in a Petri dish and fixed in 8% formaldehyde in 50 mM piperazine-N, N'-bis (ethanesulphonic acid) (PIPES) buffer (pH 6.9). The immunolocalisation procedure was 184 185 performed essentially as previously described (de Almeida Engler et al., 2004). Galls were 186 dehydrated and embedded in butyl-methylmethacrylate and sections were incubated in acetone 187 for 30 min to remove the butyl-methylmethacrylate. Slides containing 5 µm-sectioned galls 188 were then treated with a series of ethanol solutions and incubated in a blocking solution of 1% 189 BSA. Sections were subsequently incubated with anti-MiPDI1 antibody (1:300) at 4 °C 190 overnight and then at 37 °C for 2 hours in a damp box. As a negative control, gall sections were 191 incubated with pre-immune serum. Finally, slides were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-192 conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Molecular Probes, Eugene) and cell nuclei were stained 193 with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma, USA). Images were captured at an 194 excitation wavelength of 488 nm by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM880, Germany).

195

In planta RNAi, DEX-induced *MiPDI1* expressing Arabidopsis lines and RKN infection assay

198 MiPDI1 fragments (forward and reverse) were amplified with primers (Table S1) inserted 199 separately into the forward and backward sequences of the pSAT5 intron of the pSuper-RNAi 200 vector (Dafny-Yelin et al., 2007). The MiPDII coding sequence (cds) without the signal 201 peptide sequence for secretion (MiPDI1 woSP) was amplified (Table S1) and inserted into the 202 PTA7001 vector (a gift from Professor Wenxian Sun, China Agricultural University) to 203 generate PTA7001-MiPDI1-FLAG. These vectors were used to transform Agrobacterium 204 tumefaciens GV3101, which was in turn used to transform A. thaliana Col-0 (WT) by the floral 205 dip method (Zhang et al., 2006). Homozygous T3 plants from three pdi-Ri lines and two 206 MiPDI-FLAG lines were used. Lines were verified by western blotting after induction with 30

207 µM dexamethasone (DEX). The homozygous gfp-Ri T3 lines have been described elsewhere 208 (Zhao et al., 2019). Arabidopsis plants were inoculated with 300 pre-J2s of M. incognita. The 209 RNAi effect was assessed 10 dpi by RT-qPCR. Arabidopsis roots were then collected and 210 washed carefully at 35 dpi. Nematodes (parasitic juveniles at any stages and females) were 211 stained by the sodium hypochlorite-acid fuchsin method (Bybd et al., 1983). Nematodes, galls 212 and egg masses were counted under a stereomicroscope microscope (Olympus, Japan). Three 213 independent replicates were performed for each experiment, with counting on 30 plants of each 214 line in each replicate.

215

216 Subcellular localisation and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC)

217 MiPDI1 woSP was amplified by PCR with specific Gateway primers (Table S1) and inserted 218 into the pDONR207 donor vector. It was inserted into the pK7WGF2 (N-terminus eGFP) 219 vector by recombination, with Gateway technology (Invitrogen). The SICYP woSP and 220 SISAP12 ORFs were inserted into the pK7WGR2 (N-terminus RFP) vector by recombination. 221 For the BiFC assay, MiPDI1 was inserted into YFPn-, and SISAP12 and SICYP were inserted 222 into YFPc-vectors (Walter et al., 2004). The constructs were used to transform A. tumefaciens 223 strain GV3101 or GV3301. Leaves from three- to four-week-old N. tabacum plants were 224 subjected to agroinfiltration with recombinant strains of A. tumefaciens, as described by Zhao 225 et al. (2019). Images were captured by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM880, Germany) at an 226 excitation wavelength of 488 nm for GFP or YFP and 561 nm for RFP.

227

228 Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) and co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay

For the Y2H screens, MiPDI1 woSP or a mutated version, MiPDI1-mu (the first CGHC activation site mutated to CGHG), was amplified (Table S1) and inserted into pB27 as a Cterminal fusion to LexA. The constructs were verified by sequencing and used to transform a L40 Δ Gal4 (mat α) yeast strain. These baits were used to screen a random-primed cDNA library made from tomato roots infected with *M. incognita* and *Ralstonia solanacearum* in the Y187 (mat α) yeast strain (Hybrigenics Services, Paris, France), by a mating approach. Diploids 235 displaying interactions were selected on a medium lacking tryptophan, leucine and histidine. 236 The prey fragments of the positive clones were amplified by PCR and sequenced. The resulting 237 sequences were used to identify the tomato interacting proteins with the Sol Genomics Network 238 (https://solgenomics.net/) blast analysis tools. Pairwise Y2H assays were conducted following 239 the instruction of Clontech protocol (Clontech, USA). Briefly, the MiPDII coding region 240 without the predicted signal peptide sequence was cloned into the pGBKT7 vector as the bait. 241 The sequences encoding the SAP12 (SISAP12, NbSAP12 and AtSAP12) were cloned into the 242 pGADT7 vector as the preys. After co-transformation of yeast (Y2HGOLD) and screening on 243 SD/-Leu-Trp plates, positive clones were verified and selected to grow on SD/-Leu-Trp-His 244 medium with 0.5 mM 3-Amino-1,2,4-Triazole (3AT).

245 For Co-IP assays, the MiPDI1, SISAP12, and SICYP sequences were inserted into the PVX 246 vector pGR107 with a FLAG-tag fused at the N-terminus (FLAG-MiPDI1) or an HA-tag fused 247 at the C-terminus (SISAP12-HA and SICYP-HA) (Zhao et al., 2019) and the resulting 248 constructs were used to transform A. tumefaciens GV3101, which was then used to transform 249 N. tabacum leaves. Total proteins were extracted from N. tabacum leaves. Co-IP was 250 performed with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel resin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), according to the 251 manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the gel resin was washed twice with 1 ml ice-cold PBS. 252 We then immediately added 1 ml of protein solution and incubated the mixture at 4°C for 4 h. 253 The resin was thoroughly washed 5 times with 1 ml of ice-cold PBS, and then the proteins were 254 eluted for western blot analysis. Anti-FLAG antibody (1:5000) and anti-HA (1:5000) 255 antibodies (MBL, Japan) were incubated with the blot for protein detection.

256

257 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)

258 VIGS assays were performed on *N. benthamiana*. The *N. benthamiana* orthologues of *SISAP12*

- and SICYP were identified in https://solgenomics.net. Regions for targeted gene silencing of
- 260 *NbSAP12 Niben101Scf06280g06001/Niben101Scf06013g06013* and
- 261 *NbCYP Niben101Scf12813g00004* were identified with the Sol Genomics Network VIGS-Tool
- 262 (Fernandez-Pozo *et al.*, 2015). Specific fragments were amplified by PCR with the primer pairs

263 TRV2-SAP12-F/TRV2-SAP12-R, and TRV2-CYP-F/TRV2-CYP-R (Table S1). The PCR 264 products were digested with EcoRI and XhoI, and ligated to the tobacco rattle virus RNA 2 265 vector (TRV2) for transformation of the A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. VIGS assays were 266 performed as previously described, by the infiltration of agrobacterial strains containing RNA 1 267 vector (TRV1) or TRV2 into leaves (Velasquez et al., 2009; Lange et al., 2013). The phytoene 268 desaturase (PDS) gene was used as a positive control to check for successful gene silencing, 269 which results in typical photobleaching symptoms on young growing leaves. Empty TRV2 and 270 TRV1 were used as negative controls. One-week post-agroinfiltration, TRV-infected plants 271 were inoculated with 200 *M. incognita* J2s. One-week later, five plants were used for RT-qPCR 272 to assess the efficacy of gene silencing. Galls and females producing egg masses were counted 273 50 dpi.

274

275 Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE and western blotting

276 Total protein was extracted from agro-infiltrated N. tabacum leaves 2 dpi, with a protein extraction kit (Beijing ComWin Biotech Co., Ltd., China), according to the manufacturer's 277 278 instructions. Briefly, 0.1 g of plant material was ground in liquid nitrogen and added to 0.5 ml 279 of protein extraction buffer supplemented with the protease inhibitor PMSF (Sigma). Samples 280 were kept on ice for 30 min, centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, and the protein was 281 obtained in the supernatant. Proteins were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulphate 282 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). For western blotting, an anti-MiPDI1 primary 283 antibody was added to TBST (TBS with 0.1% Tween 20, 1% nonfat dry milk) at a ratio of 1: 284 6000. The membrane was then incubated with a secondary antibody directed against GFP 285 (ABclonal, China), a FLAG-HRP antibody or an HA-HRP antibody (MBL, Japan), at a dilution 286 of 1: 10000. Proteins were detected with the eECL Western Blot Kit (Beijing ComWin Biotech 287 Co., Ltd., China).

288

289 Statistical analysis

290 The data were subjected to one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test or two-

291 way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Statistical computations were carried out

using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA).

293

294 Accession numbers

All accession numbers are provided in Table S2. The GenBank accession numbers of *M. incognita* PDI sequences are MT370326 (MiPDI1), MT370324 (MiPDI2a) and MT370327
(MiPDI2b).

298

299 Results

300 Identification of secreted *M. incognita* PDIs

301 Using *M. incognita* genome and transcriptome datasets (Abad *et al.*, 2008; Nguyen *et al.*, 2018), 302 we identified MiPDI1 (Minc07853a / MT370326) and MiPDI2 (MiPDI2a / Minc12006 / 303 MT370324 and MiPDI2b / Minc15047 / MT370327, which are 99% identical). Sequence 304 analysis showed that MiPDI1 and MiPDI2s contained the PDI structures common to other PDI-305 like proteins: four thioredoxin (Trx) domains (two activation sites in the first and fourth 306 domains) and a N-terminal signal peptide (Fig. 1a). MiPDI1 was identified in previous M. 307 incognita J2 secretome and female proteome studies, whereas MiPDI2 was detected only 308 among female oesophageal gland proteins (Bellafiore et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012).

Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis were performed with PDI protein sequences from *M. incognita*, *M. graminicola* (MgPDI), *H. schachtii* (HsPDI), the free-living nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (CePDI1, CePDI2 and CePDI3), and the animal parasites *Brugia malayi* (BmPDI) and *Phytophthora parasitica* PpPDI1 (Fig. 1b, c). Two subgroups were identified in the tree; MiPDI2a/b was found to be more similar to the recently described HsPDI and MgPDI, whereas MiPDI1 formed a subgroup with CePDI1, CePDI2 and BmPDI (Fig. 1c). These results suggest that MiPDI1 is a new PDI-like protein that could potentially act as an

316 effector of *M. incognita*.

317

318 *MiPDI1* is expressed in the subventral oesophageal glands and upregulated in the parasitic

319 stages of M. incognita

The distribution of *MiPDI1* transcripts was investigated by ISH in preparasitic *M. incognita* J2s (pre-J2s). A specific signal was detected in subventral oesophageal gland cells after hybridisation with the digoxigenin-labelled MiPDI1 antisense probe (Fig. 2a; Fig. S1). No signal was detected in pre-J2s with sense negative controls (Fig. 2b).

324 The transcription of *MiPDI1* was analysed by RT-qPCR at various stages of *M. incognita*

- 325 development. *MiPDI1* was significantly more strongly expressed in parasitic juveniles (Par-J 5
- and 15 dpi) and females (Fig. 2c) than in pre-J2 and eggs. Together, these findings suggest that
- 327 *MiPDII* may play an important role in nematode parasitism in the plant.
- 328

329 MiPDI1 is secreted into plant tissues during parasitism

330 We performed immunolocalisation to determine whether and where MiPDI1 was secreted in 331 host roots. A specific anti-MiPDI1 antibody was produced and a single band was detected in 332 total protein samples from female pre-J2s, at the expected size of 57 kDa, corresponding to 333 MiPDI1 without its signal peptide, and from *N. tabacum* leaves expressing MiPDI1-FLAG (Fig. 334 S2). No signal was detected in protein samples from uninfected tomato roots, tomato leaves, N. 335 tabacum and N. benthamiana leaves (Fig. S2). Immunolocalisation showed that the MiPDI1 336 protein was present in the subventral glands of pre-J2s (Fig. 2d,e), consistent with the ISH 337 results. Immunohistochemistry on tomato gall sections at 5 and 10 dpi showed that MiPDI1 was 338 present in the parasitic juveniles, at the stylet tip, and in the apoplast at early stages of infection 339 (Fig. 2f,g; Fig. S3). In a number of gall sections containing nematodes, MiPDI1 was detected in 340 both the anterior part of the nematode, along the cell wall of adjacent giant cells and in the giant 341 cells (Fig. 2h,i,j; Fig. S3). No signal was observed in tomato gall sections incubated with pre-342 immune serum (Fig. S3). These results provide evidence for the secretion of the MiPDI1 343 effector in planta during parasitism.

344

345 Host-derived RNAi silencing and ectopic expression of *MiPDI1* shows the importance for 346 *M. incognita* parasitism

We investigated the role of *MiPDI1* in *M. incognita* parasitism, through the use of host-derived RNAi to silence *MiPDI1* in feeding nematodes. Three T3 homozygous pdi-Ri *Arabidopsis* lines (pdi-1, pdi-3 and pdi-5) transformed with the *MiPDI1* hairpin dsRNA were obtained and infected with *M. incognita*. RT-qPCR analysis of *MiPDI1* in parasitic juveniles (10 dpi) showed much lower levels of *MiPDI1* expression (about 60%) in the three T3 pdi-Ri lines than in the control (WT and gfp-Ri) lines (Fig. 3a). Four weeks post infection, pdi-Ri lines presented significantly smaller numbers of galls and parasitic nematodes in the roots (Fig. 3b,c).

Two independent *Arabidopsis* lines ectopically expressing *MiPDI1* were generated, in which expression was induced by 30 μ M dexamethasone (DEX). *MiPDI1*-expressing leaves showed some necrosis spots after they were sprayed with DEX (Fig. S4). The susceptibility to nematode infection of these two transgenic *Arabidopsis* lines was then determined. Both these transgenic lines were more susceptible (P < 0.05) to *M. incognita* infection than wild-type *Arabidopsis* (WT) after 35 dpi (Fig. 3d). Thus, the data for MiPDI1 silencing and overexpression provide evidence for a key role of MiPDI1 in *M. incognita* parasitism.

361

362 MiPDI1 interacts with SISAP12, a stress-associated AN1-type zinc finger protein

363 We searched for host proteins interacting with MiPDI1 in two independent yeast two-hybrid 364 analyses. We used the MiPDI1 CDS without the signal peptide, or a form with a mutated first 365 active site as baits to screen a tomato root cDNA library. In total, 7.5 and 26.7 million 366 interactions were tested, respectively, leading to the identification of 13 proteins interacting 367 with MiPDI1 and six proteins interacting with the mutated form (Table S3). The preys captured 368 several times included two zinc finger proteins, a RING-type protein (Solyc03g026060), the 369 AN1-type Stress-Associated Protein 12 (SISAP12; Solyc02g087210), and two eukaryotic thiol 370 proteases or cysteine proteases displaying 72.4% identity (Solyc04g078540 and 371 Solyc12g088670). Interestingly, the SISAP12 protein and one cysteine protease 372 (Solyc04g078540, referred to hereafter as SICYP) were identified in both independent screens, 373 and were thus studied further. Reciprocal BLASTP showed that Solyc02g087210 was an 374 orthologue of AtSAP12. Both these small cysteine-rich proteins (10%) are AN1-type SAPs, 375 containing only two AN1 zinc-finger domains, and 16 conserved cysteine residues (Fig. S5). 376 Pairwise yeast two-hybrid assays with full-length proteins confirmed the interaction between 377 SISAP12 or SICYP and MiPDI1 in yeast (Fig. 4a). Subcellular localisation studies involving 378 agroinfiltration in N. tabacum showed a cytoplasmic localisation of RFP-SICYP and MiPDI1-379 GFP and a nucleo-cytoplasmic localisation of RFP-SISAP12 in epidermal cells (Fig. 4b). We 380 also investigated the interaction of MiPDI1 with SICYP and SISAP12 in planta in bimolecular 381 fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays. The co-expression of YFPn-MiPDI1 and 382 SISAP12-YFPc reconstituted YFP fluorescence signals in the cytoplasm of N. tabacum 383 epidermal cells (Fig. 4c, Fig. S6). We also performed co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) 384 experiments to check the interaction between MiPDI1 and SICYP or SISAP12. MiPDI1 385 interacted with SISAP12 in *N. tabacum*, further confirming the association of these two proteins in planta (Fig. 4d). By contrast, no interaction was detected between MiPDI1 and SICYP, by 386 387 either BiFC or Co-IP (Fig. 4c,d). These results confirm the specific interaction of MiPDI1 with 388 SISAP12 in plant cells.

389

390 SAP12 affects *M. incognita* parasitism

391 We further investigated the role of SAP12 in mediating the response to *M. incognita*, by 392 silencing the N. benthamiana SAP12 genes (Niben101Scf06280g06001 and Niben101Scf06013g06013 named NbSAP12s) or CYP (Niben101Scf12813g00004, named 393 394 NbCYP) by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) (Table S2, Fig. S7). Quantitative RT-PCR 395 analysis of the expression of the targeted homologous genes showed that NbSAP12s and 396 *NbCYP* were downregulated (55-60%) in the plants subjected to VIGS relative to control plants 397 (Fig. 5a; Fig. S7). NbSAP12 silencing led to an increase in the number of females producing 398 egg masses relative to the empty TRV2 control, whereas no significant effect was observed with NbCYP silencing (Fig. 5b). Finally, we tested a knockout T-DNA insertional mutant for 399 400 AtSAP12 (AT3G28210) (Fig. S8). The number of females producing egg masses and the 401 number of galls were larger in homozygous Arabidopsis knockout (KO) sap12 plants 402 (Salk 014706) than in wild-type plants (Fig. 5c). These results indicate that SAP12 proteins 403 may play a role in plant - M. incognita interaction in Solanaceae and Arabidopsis.

404

405 MiPDI1 expression in Arabidopsis affects stress- and defence-associated gene expression

406 We investigated the effects of *MiPDI1* expression and *sap12* mutation on stress tolerance in 407 Arabidopsis. We analysed genes involved in antioxidative functions (AtCSD1 and AtCSD2; (Ma 408 et al., 2015), and responses to abiotic (AtCOR47, AtRAB18 and AtDH1; (Kothari et al., 2016) 409 and biotic (AtEM6, AtPR1a, AtPDF1.2a, AtPR4 and AtPR1a; (Qiu et al., 2008; Aslam et al., 410 2009; Rodiuc et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2018) stresses in Arabidopsis. Four 411 genes (AtCSD2, AtEM6, AtRAB18 and the salicylic acid (SA) marker AtPR1a) were expressed 412 less strongly in MiPDI1-overexpressing Arabidopsis plants, whereas the levels of expression of 413 jasmonate (JA) and the ethylene markers PDF1.2a and PR4 were much higher in these plants (Fig. 5d). The expression of six genes (AtCSD1, AtCSD2, AtDH1, AtPDF1.2a, AtPR4 and 414 415 AtPR1a) was upregulated in the sap12 KO line, whereas the stress-related genes AtCOR47, 416 AtRAB18 and AtEM6 were downregulated (Fig. 5d). These results suggest that both MiPDI and 417 its target may be responsible for regulating the expression of genes involved in stress responses el. 418 to RKNs.

- 419

420 Discussion

421 The repertoire of putative nematode effectors is extremely large, and these molecules have been 422 shown to manipulate many host plant functions to orchestrate the suppression of plant defences 423 and the formation of specialised feeding cells (Mejias et al., 2019). However, few data are 424 available concerning the functions of effectors and few plant targets have been characterised, 425 particularly for RKN effectors. Analyses of the secretomes of plant-parasitic nematodes and 426 animal-parasitic nematodes have provided compelling evidence for the secretion of redox-427 regulated proteins, such as Trx, glutathione peroxidases, glutathione-S-transferases and PDIs 428 (Bellafiore et al., 2008; Hewitson et al., 2008). PDIs are involved in the oxidoreduction and 429 isomerisation of protein disulphide bonds, hydroxylation and protein deglutathionylation (Selles 430 et al., 2011; Ali Khan & Mutus, 2014). Recent studies have shown that H. schachtii and M.

431 graminicola PDI genes are expressed in the subventral glands of preparasitic J2s and 432 upregulated in parasitic J2s. Functional studies have shown that these PDIs, which belong to the 433 same subgroup as MiPDI2, play important roles in nematode parasitism through ROS 434 detoxification (Habash *et al.*, 2017; Tian *et al.*, 2019). We characterised the role of a secreted 435 *M. incognita* MiPDI1 effector identified in J2 secretome (Bellafiore *et al.*, 2008; Hewitson *et 436 al.*, 2008) and identified its target in plant-RKN interactions, a stress-associated protein.

437

438 MiPDI1 is secreted throughout parasitism and targets the giant cells *in planta*

439 MiPDI1 transcript abundance increased significantly throughout parasitic stages in planta (from 440 juveniles to females). We demonstrated that MiPDI1 was produced in the subventral 441 oesophageal gland and secreted *in planta*, both in the apoplast during nematode migration, but 442 also within the giant cells. Although the SvGs have been shown to be more active in the early 443 stage of parasitism (Davis et al., 2000), SvGs remain active in planta and produce effectors 444 showing an increase in transcript abundance in parasitic juvenile stages compared to 445 preparasitic J2s (Nguyen et al., 2018). The secretion of MiPDI1 by SvGs would thus allow its 446 production from the migration step to the formation of giant cells. Recent studies showed two 447 PDI-like proteins of PPNs, MgPDI and HsPDI, were localised to the apoplast when GFP 448 fusions were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana (Habash et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2019), 449 indicating their different functional mechanism during nematode parasitism. Despite the hundreds of effectors characterised (Mejias et al., 2019), few RKN effectors have been 450 451 demonstrated to be secreted *in planta* and to target giant cells. Example of effectors are the M. 452 incognita Mi-EFF1 (Jaouannet et al., 2012) and MiMIF-2 (Zhao et al., 2019), the M. javanica 453 MjNULG1a (Lin et al., 2016) and the M. graminicola MgGPP (Chen et al., 2017) and 454 Mg16820 (Naalden et al., 2018). We also showed that the silencing of MiPDI1 in planta 455 affected the number of galls and egg masses obtained and delayed nematode development. 456 Accordingly, ectopic *MiPDI1* expression in *Arabidopsis* increased susceptibility to *M*. 457 incognita. These results provide evidence that MiPDI1 is a novel plant-parasitic effector 458 playing an essential role in nematode parasitism. In light of essential roles of PDI-like proteins 459 in redox regulation and mediating pathogens entry in infectious disease (Parakh & Atkin, 2015),

460 MiPDI1 may protect parasitic nematode stages and feeding cells from oxidative stress. Indeed,

461 studies of antioxidant molecule depletion have shown that the control of plant cell redox status

462 is a key regulator of giant cell effectiveness (Baldacci-Cresp *et al.*, 2012).

463

464 MiPDI1 targets the redox-regulated stress-associated SAP12 proteins in *Arabidopsis* and 465 Solanaceae

466 We further investigated the function of MiPDI1 in host cells, by searching for the proteins 467 interacting with MiPDI1 in tomato. The putative targets identified included cysteine proteases 468 and stress-associated zinc finger proteins, the activities of which are regulated by 469 thiols/cysteines. Interestingly, the two cysteine proteases (SlCYPs) displaying high levels of 470 sequence identity captured in our Y2H screen are orthologous to Arabidopis RD21a, a known 471 target of the *M. chitwoodi* effector Mc01194 (Davies et al., 2015). Cysteine proteases have a 472 thiol group in the active site of the enzyme and are known to interact with Trx proteins 473 (Montrichard et al., 2009). PDI-like proteins have been shown to regulate RD21a activity in 474 Arabidopsis (Andeme Ondzighi et al., 2008), but we were unable to confirm the interaction of 475 MiPDI1 with SICYP in plant cells. The silencing of NbCYP in N. benthamiana did not affect 476 plant susceptibility to *M. incognita*, suggesting that these molecules are not functional targets of 477 MiPDI1.

478 The interaction of MiPDI1 with the tomato stress-associated protein SISAP12, an AN1-type 479 zinc finger protein was confirmed by Y2H, BiFC in planta and co-immunoprecipitation 480 experiments. SISAP12 has been shown to be upregulated at later stages of gall formation in 481 tomato, in response to *M. incognita* attack (Shukla et al., 2018). The cysteine residues of zinc 482 finger proteins are involved in zinc binding. The association of cysteine residues with zinc may 483 therefore be affected by Trx, with consequences for protein activity (Carter & Ragsdale, 2014). 484 AtSAP12 is a protein that undergoes major reversible redox-dependent conformational changes, 485 facilitating a rapid response to changing environmental conditions (Stroher et al., 2009). Under 486 oxidising conditions (H₂O₂), oxidised SAP12 forms high-molecular mass aggregates. By 487 contrast, DTT and Trx reduce the oligomeric/dimeric form of SAP12 to the monomeric form 488 lacking intermolecular disulphide bridges. SAP12 acts as a redox sensor capable of undergoing 489 changes in its oligomeric conformation as a function of cellular redox potential, thereby 490 transmitting redox information to other cell components (Stroher *et al.*, 2009). MiPDI1, which 491 contains two Trx domains, could potentially regulate the activity of SAP12 by controlling its 492 oligomerisation state *in planta*.

493

494 SAP proteins play important roles in plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses

495 SAPs, which contain the AN1 and/or A20 zinc-finger domains in rice, are known to respond 496 rapidly to diverse abiotic stresses and to play important roles in plant responses to these stresses 497 (Krishna et al., 2003; Vij & Tyagi, 2008; Solanke et al., 2009; Stroher et al., 2009; Dixit et al., 498 2018). Thirteen SAP genes have been described in tomato (Solanke et al., 2009), 14 in 499 Arabidopsis (Stroher et al., 2009), 18 in rice (Vij & Tyagi, 2006) and 57 in Brassica napus (He 500 et al., 2019). Levels of AtSAP12 and SISAP12 expression increase immediately in response to various abiotic stresses (Solanke et al., 2009). Interestingly, the Arabidopsis microRNA 501 502 miR408, a key component of abiotic stress responses, is upregulated at 7 and 14 dpi in galls 503 induced by *M. incognita* (Medina *et al.*, 2018). Higher levels of miR408 expression are 504 associated with better tolerance to oxidative stress (Ma et al., 2015). Cellular antioxidant 505 capacity is enhanced in plants with high levels of miR408 expression, as demonstrated by the 506 lower levels of reactive oxygen species and the induction of genes associated with antioxidative 507 functions, such as SAP12 (Ma et al., 2015). In rice, OsiSAP8 confers tolerance to abiotic 508 stresses (Kanneganti & Gupta, 2008). Likewise, OsSAP1 plays important roles in the responses 509 to both abiotic and biotic stresses, by interacting with aminotransferase (OsAMTR1) and the 510 Pathogenesis-Related 1a Protein (OsSCP) (Tyagi et al., 2014; Kothari et al., 2016). AtSAP9 has 511 been shown to mediate ABA signalling in response to biotic and abiotic stresses, possibly via 512 the proteasome pathway (Kang et al., 2017). Meanwhile, the plant A20-AN1 protein acts as a 513 key hub, mediating antiviral immunity (Chang et al., 2018). However, the modes of action of 514 AN1-type proteins in plant pathogen responses remain largely unknown.

515 SISAP3 and SISAP4 have recently been shown to be positive regulators of immunity, to 516 Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Goldberger et al.) and Botrytis cinerea (Liu et al., 2019a; 517 Liu et al., 2019b). SISAP3 silencing decreased the Pst DC3000-induced expression of SA 518 signaling and defense genes and attenuated immunity to Pst DC3000, whereas SISAP3 519 overexpression in transgenic tomato increased them. We show here that SAP12 silencing or 520 knocking out SAP12, in N. benthamiana and in the sap12 Arabidopsis mutant, respectively 521 increased susceptibility to M. incognita. Moreover, the expression of some stress-associated 522 marker genes was decreased in MiPDI1-expressing lines, whereas most of the genes 523 investigated were upregulated in the sap12 mutant. Thus, a dual function of MiPDI1 can 524 hypothesized during plant-nematode interaction. MiPDI1 may contribute to M. incognita 525 parasitism by protecting nematodes from oxidative stress during migration *in planta* and by 526 interacting with SAP12 in the giant cells to fine-tune SAP12-mediated responses at the interface 527 of redox signalling, defence and stress acclimation. One of the challenges for the future will be 528 the establishment of assays for investigating the regulatory mechanism and showing how 529 MiPDI1 and SAP12 orchestrate downstream responses.

530

531 Acknowledgments

532 We wish to thank Hybrigenics Services (Paris, France) for providing the pB27 and pP6 vectors, 533 and the L40AGal4 and Y187 yeast strains, Dr Antony P. Page (University of Glasgow, UK) for 534 providing an anti-CePDI-2 antibody we used in preliminary experiments and INRA SPE for 535 financing Y2H library. J.M. benefits from a doctoral fellowship of the Ministère de 536 l'Enseignement Supérieur, de la Recherche et de l'Innovation (MENRT grant). P.A., B.F., and 537 M.Q. are supported by INRA and by the French Government (National Research Agency, 538 ANR) through the 'Investments for the Future' LabEx SIGNALIFE: program reference #ANR-539 11-LABX-0028-01. This research supported by supported by the National Key Research and 540 Development Program of China (No. 2017YFD0200601), the National Natural Science 541 Foundation of China (Nos. 31571987, 31772138), and the National Basic Research Program of 542 China (No. 2013CB127501). J.L.Z and C.Y.P got scholarships from China Scholarship Council

| 543 | (No. 201606350083 and No. 201806350108) for studying in INRA, France. |
|------------|---|
| 544 | |
| 545 | Author contributions |
| 546 | JZ, JM and MQ designed, performed experiments and analysed the data. YC, ZM, QS, QL, BX |
| 547 | performed experiments. JAE gave guidance for immunostaining. MQ, BX and PA supervised |
| 548 | some of this work and provided input and expertise. HJ and BF were responsible for the |
| 549 | development and guidance of the project. JZ, MQ, PA, BF and HJ wrote the manuscript with |
| 550 | input from all co-authors. |
| 551 | |
| 552 | References |
| 553 | Abad P. Gouzy J. Aury JM. Castagnone-Sereno P. Danchin E.G. Deleury F. Perfus- |
| 554 | Barbeoch L. Anthouard V. Artiguenave F. Blok VC. et al. 2008. Genome sequence |
| 555 | of the metazoan plant-parasitic nematode <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> . <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> |
| 556 | 26 (8): 909-915. |
| 557 | Achour YB, Chenik M, Louzir H, Dellagi K. 2002. Identification of a Disulfide Isomerase |
| 558 | Protein of Leishmania major as a Putative Virulence Factor. Infection and Immunity |
| 559 | 70 (7): 3576-3585. |
| 560 | Ali Khan H, Mutus B. 2014. Protein disulfide isomerase a multifunctional protein with |
| 561 | multiple physiological roles. Frontiers in Chemistry 2: 70. |
| 562 | Andeme Ondzighi C, Christopher DA, Cho EJ, Chang SC, Staehelin LA. 2008. |
| 563 | Arabidopsis protein disulfide isomerase-5 inhibits cysteine proteases during trafficking |
| 564 | to vacuoles before programmed cell death of the endothelium in developing seeds. Plant |
| 565 | <i>Cell</i> 20 (8): 2205-2220. |
| 566 | Aslam SN, Erbs G, Morrissey KL, Newman MA, Chinchilla D, Boller T, Molinaro A, |
| 567 | Jackson RW, Cooper RM. 2009. Microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) |
| 568 | signatures, synergy, size and charge: influences on perception or mobility and host |
| 569 | defence responses. <i>Molecular Plant Pathology</i> 10(3): 375-387. |
| 5/0 571 | Baldacci-Cresp F, Chang C, Maucourt M, Deborde C, Hopkins J, Lecomte P, Bernillon S, |
| 5/1 | Brouquisse R, Moing A, Abad P, et al. 2012. (Homo)glutatione deficiency impairs |
| 572 573 | e1002471 |
| 574 | Rellatione S Shen Z Rosso MN Abad P Shih P Briggs SP 2008 Direct identification of |
| 575 | the Meloidogyne incognita secretome reveals proteins with host cell reprogramming |
| 576 | notential <i>PLoS Pathogens</i> 4(10): e1000192 |
| 577 | Blok VC. Jones JT. Phillips MS. Trudgill DL. 2008. Parasitism genes and host range |
| 578 | disparities in biotrophic nematodes: the conundrum of polyphagy versus specialisation. |
| 579 | Bioessays 30 (3): 249-259. |

580 Bybd DW, Kirkpatrick T, Barker KR. 1983. An improved technique for clearing and 581 staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. Journal of Nematology 15(1): 142-143. 582 Carter EL, Ragsdale SW. 2014. Modulation of nuclear receptor function by cellular redox 583 poise. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 133: 92-103. 584 Chang L, Chang HH, Chang JC, Lu HC, Wang TT, Hsu DW, Tzean Y, Cheng AP, Chiu 585 YS, Yeh HH. 2018. Plant A20/AN1 protein serves as the important hub to mediate 586 antiviral immunity. PLoS Pathogens 14(9): e1007288. 587 Chen J, Hu L, Sun L, Lin B, Huang K, Zhuo K, Liao J. 2018. A novel Meloidogyne 588 graminicola effector, MgMO237, interacts with multiple host defence-related proteins to manipulate plant basal immunity and promote parasitism. Molecular Plant Pathology. 589 590 Chen J, Lin B, Huang Q, Hu L, Zhuo K, Liao J. 2017. A novel Meloidogyne graminicola 591 effector, MgGPP, is secreted into host cells and undergoes glycosylation in concert with 592 proteolysis to suppress plant defenses and promote parasitism. PLoS Pathogens 13(4): 593 e1006301. 594 Dafny-Yelin M, Chung SM, Frankman EL, Tzfira T. 2007. pSAT RNA interference vectors: 595 a modular series for multiple gene down-regulation in plants. *Plant Physiology* 145(4): 596 1272-1281. 597 Davies LJ, Elling AA, Zhang L. 2015. The Arabidopsis thaliana papain-like cysteine protease 598 RD21 interacts with a root-knot nematode effector protein. Nematology 17(6): 655-666. 599 Davis EL, Hussey RS, Baum TJ, Bakker J, Schots A, Rosso MN, Abad P. 2000. Nematode 600 Parasitism Genes. Annual Review of Phytopathology 38: 365-396. 601 de Almeida Engler J, Van Poucke K, Karimi M, De Groodt R, Gheysen G, Engler G, 602 Gheysen G. 2004. Dynamic cytoskeleton rearrangements in giant cells and syncytia of 603 nematode-infected roots. The Plant Journal 38(1): 12-26. 604 Dixit A, Tomar P, Vaine E, Abdullah H, Hazen S, Dhankher OP. 2018. A stress-associated 605 protein, AtSAP13, from Arabidopsis thaliana provides tolerance to multiple abiotic 606 stresses. Plant, Cell & Environment 41(5): 1171-1185. 607 Favery B, Quentin M, Jaubert-Possamai S, Abad P. 2016. Gall-forming root-knot nematodes 608 hijack key plant cellular functions to induce multinucleate and hypertrophied feeding 609 cells. Journal of Insect Physiology 84: 60-69. 610 Fernandez-Pozo N, Menda N, Edwards JD, Saha S, Tecle IY, Strickler SR, Bombarely A, 611 Fisher-York T, Pujar A, Foerster H, et al. 2015. The Sol Genomics Network (SGN)--612 from genotype to phenotype to breeding. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**(Database issue): 613 D1036-1041. 614 Goldberger RF, Epstein CJ, Anfinsen CB. 1963. Acceleration of reactivation of reduced 615 bovine pancreatic ribonuclease by a microsomal system from rat liver. Journal of 616 Biological Chemistry 238: 628-635. 617 Habash SS, Sobczak M, Siddique S, Voigt B, Elashry A, Grundler FMW. 2017. 618 Identification and characterization of a putative protein disulfide isomerase (HsPDI) as 619 an alleged effector of Heterodera schachtii. Scientific Reports 7(1): 13536. 620 Han H, Dong H, Zhu S, Zhao Q, Jiang L, Wang Y, Li L, Wu Y, Huang B. 2014. Molecular 621 characterization and analysis of a novel protein disulfide isomerase-like protein of

622 *Eimeria tenella*. *PloS One* **9**(6): e99914. He X, Xie S, Xie P, Yao M, Liu W, Qin L, Liu Z, Zheng M, Liu H, Guan M, et al. 2019. 623 624 Genome-wide identification of stress-associated proteins (SAP) with A20/AN1 zinc 625 finger domains associated with abiotic stresses responses in Brassica napus. 626 Environmental and Experimental Botany 165: 108-119. 627 Hewitson JP, Harcus YM, Curwen RS, Dowle AA, Atmadja AK, Ashton PD, Wilson A, 628 Maizels RM. 2008. The secretome of the filarial parasite, Brugia malayi: proteomic 629 profile of adult excretory-secretory products. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 630 **160**(1): 8-21. 631 Huang G, Dong R, Allen R, Davis EL, Baum TJ, Hussey RS. 2006. A root-knot nematode 632 secretory peptide functions as a ligand for a plant transcription factor. Molecular Plant-633 Microbe Interactions 19(5): 463-470. 634 Jaouannet M, Nguyen C-N, Quentin M, Jaubert-Possamai S, Rosso M-N, Favery B. 2018. 635 In situ Hybridization (ISH) in Preparasitic and Parasitic Stages of the Plant-parasitic 636 Nematode *Meloidogyne* spp. *Bio-Protocol* **8**(6). 637 Jaouannet M, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Deleury E, Magliano M, Engler G, Vieira P, Danchin 638 EG, Da Rocha M, Coquillard P, Abad P, et al. 2012. A root-knot nematode-secreted 639 protein is injected into giant cells and targeted to the nuclei. New Phytologist 194(4): 640 924-931. 641 Jaubert S, Ledger TN, Laffaire JB, Piotte C, Abad P, Rosso MN. 2002. Direct identification 642 of stylet secreted proteins from root-knot nematodes by a proteomic approach. 643 Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 121(2): 205-211. 644 Jaubert S, Milac AL, Petrescu AJ, de Almeida-Engler J, Abad P, Rosso MN. 2005. In 645 planta secretion of a calreticulin by migratory and sedentary stages of root-knot 646 nematode. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 18(12): 1277-1284. 647 Kang M, Lee S, Abdelmageed H, Reichert A, Lee HK, Fokar M, Mysore KS, Allen RD. 648 2017. Arabidopsis stress associated protein 9 mediates biotic and abiotic stress 649 responsive ABA signaling via the proteasome pathway. Plant, Cell & Environment 650 **40**(5): 702-716. 651 Kanneganti V, Gupta AK. 2008. Overexpression of OsiSAP8, a member of stress associated 652 protein (SAP) gene family of rice confers tolerance to salt, drought and cold stress in 653 transgenic tobacco and rice. *Plant Molecular Biology* **66**(5): 445-462. 654 Kothari KS, Dansana PK, Giri J, Tyagi AK. 2016. Rice Stress Associated Protein 1 655 (OsSAP1) Interacts with Aminotransferase (OsAMTR1) and Pathogenesis-Related 1a 656 Protein (OsSCP) and Regulates Abiotic Stress Responses. Frontiers in Plant Science 7: 657 1057. 658 Krishna SS, Majumdar I, Grishin NV. 2003. Structural classification of zinc fingers: survey 659 and summary. Nucleic Acids Research 31(2): 532-550. 660 Lange M, Yellina AL, Orashakova S, Becker A. 2013. Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) 661 in plants: an overview of target species and the virus-derived vector systems. Methods in 662 Molecular Biology 975: 1-14. 663 Li Y, Williams B, Dickman M. 2017. Arabidopsis B-cell lymphoma2 (Bcl-2)-associated

athanogene 7 (BAG7)-mediated heat tolerance requires translocation, sumoylation and 664 binding to WRKY29. New Phytol 214(2): 695-705. 665 666 Liao M, Hatta T, Umemiya R, Huang P, Jia H, Gong H, Zhou J, Nishikawa Y, Xuan X, 667 Fujisaki K. 2007. Identification of three protein disulfide isomerase members from 668 Haemaphysalis longicornis tick. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 37(7): 641-669 654. 670 Lin B, Zhuo K, Chen S, Hu L, Sun L, Wang X, Zhang LH, Liao J. 2016. A novel nematode 671 effector suppresses plant immunity by activating host reactive oxygen species-672 scavenging system. New Phytologist 209(3): 1159-1173. 673 Liu S, Wang J, Jiang S, Wang H, Gao Y, Zhang H, Li D, Song F. 2019a. Tomato SISAP3, a 674 member of the stress-associated protein family, is a positive regulator of immunity 675 against Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000. Molecular Plant Pathology 20(6): 676 815-830. 677 Liu S, Yuan X, Wang Y, Wang H, Wang J, Shen Z, Gao Y, Cai J, Li D, Song F. 2019b. 678 Tomato Stress-Associated Protein 4 Contributes Positively to Immunity Against 679 Necrotrophic Fungus Botrytis cinerea. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 32(5): 680 566-582. 681 Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time 682 quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25(4): 402-408. 683 Ma C, Burd S, Lers A. 2015. miR408 is involved in abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. 684 the Plant Journal 84(1): 169-187. 685 Mahajan B, Noiva R, Yadava A, Zheng H, Majam V, Mohan KV, Moch JK, Haynes JD, 686 Nakhasi H, Kumar S. 2006. Protein disulfide isomerase assisted protein folding in 687 malaria parasites. International Journal for Parasitology 36(9): 1037-1048. 688 Medina C, da Rocha M, Magliano M, Raptopoulo A, Marteu N, Lebrigand K, Abad P, 689 Favery B, Jaubert-Possamai S. 2018. Characterization of siRNAs clusters in 690 Arabidopsis thaliana galls induced by the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. 691 *BMC Genomics* **19**(1): 943. 692 Mejias J, Truong NM, Abad P, Favery B, Quentin M. 2019. Plant Proteins and Processes 693 Targeted by Parasitic Nematode Effectors. Frontiers in Plant Science 10: 970. 694 Meng Y, Zhang O, Zhang M, Gu B, Huang G, Wang O, Shan W. 2015. The protein 695 disulfide isomerase 1 of *Phytophthora parasitica* (PpPDI1) is associated with the 696 haustoria-like structures and contributes to plant infection. Frontiers in Plant Science 6: 697 632. 698 Montrichard F, Alkhalfioui F, Yano H, Vensel WH, Hurkman WJ, Buchanan BB. 2009. 699 Thioredoxin targets in plants: the first 30 years. Journal of Proteomics 72(3): 452-474. 700 Naalden D, Haegeman A, de Almeida-Engler J, Birhane Eshetu F, Bauters L, Gheysen G. 701 2018. The Meloidogyne graminicola effector Mg16820 is secreted in the apoplast and 702 cytoplasm to suppress plant host defense responses. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **19**(11): 703 2416-2430. 704 Nguyen CN, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Quentin M, Zhao J, Magliano M, Marteu N, Da Rocha 705 M, Nottet N, Abad P, Favery B. 2018. A root-knot nematode small glycine and 706 cysteine-rich secreted effector, MiSGCR1, is involved in plant parasitism. New 707 Phytologist 217(2): 687-699. Parakh S, Atkin JD. 2015. Novel roles for protein disulphide isomerase in disease states: a 708 709 double edged sword? Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 3: 30. 710 Qiu JL, Zhou L, Yun BW, Nielsen HB, Fiil BK, Petersen K, Mackinlay J, Loake GJ, 711 Mundy J. Morris PC. 2008. Arabidopsis mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases 712 MKK1 and MKK2 have overlapping functions in defense signaling mediated by 713 MEKK1, MPK4, and MKS1. Plant Physiology 148(1): 212-222. 714 Rodiuc N, Barlet X, Hok S, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Allasia V, Engler G, Seassau A, Marteu N, 715 de Almeida-Engler J, Panabieres F, et al. 2016. Evolutionarily distant pathogens 716 require the Arabidopsis phytosulfokine signalling pathway to establish disease. Plant, 717 Cell & Environment 39(7): 1396-1407. 718 Selles B, Jacquot JP, Rouhier N. 2011. Comparative genomic study of protein disulfide 719 isomerases from photosynthetic organisms. Genomics 97(1): 37-50. 720 Shukla N, Yadav R, Kaur P, Rasmussen S, Goel S, Agarwal M, Jagannath A, Gupta R, 721 Kumar A. 2018. Transcriptome analysis of root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne 722 incognita)-infected tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) roots reveals complex gene 723 expression profiles and metabolic networks of both host and nematode during 724 susceptible and resistance responses. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **19**(3): 615-633. 725 Solanke AU, Sharma MK, Tyagi AK, Sharma AK. 2009. Characterization and phylogenetic 726 analysis of environmental stress-responsive SAP gene family encoding A20/AN1 zinc 727 finger proteins in tomato. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 282(2): 153-164. 728 Stroher E, Wang XJ, Roloff N, Klein P, Husemann A, Dietz KJ. 2009. Redox-dependent 729 regulation of the stress-induced zinc-finger protein SAP12 in Arabidopsis thaliana. 730 *Molecular Plant* **2**(2): 357-367. 731 Tian ZL, Wang ZH, Maria M, Qu N, Zheng JW. 2019. Meloidogyne graminicola protein 732 disulfide isomerase may be a nematode effector and is involved in protection against 733 oxidative damage. Scientific Reports 9(1): 11949. 734 Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online 735 phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44(W1): 736 W232-235. 737 Tyagi H, Jha S, Sharma M, Giri J, Tyagi AK. 2014. Rice SAPs are responsive to multiple 738 biotic stresses and overexpression of OsSAP1, an A20/AN1 zinc-finger protein, 739 enhances the basal resistance against pathogen infection in tobacco. *Plant Science* 225: 740 68-76. 741 Velasquez AC, Chakravarthy S, Martin GB. 2009. Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in Nicotiana benthamiana and tomato. Jove-Journal of Visualized Experiments(28). 742 743 Vieira P, Gleason C. 2019. Plant-parasitic nematode effectors - insights into their diversity and 744 new tools for their identification. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 50: 37-43. 745 Vij S, Tyagi AK. 2006. Genome-wide analysis of the stress associated protein (SAP) gene 746 family containing A20/AN1 zinc-finger(s) in rice and their phylogenetic relationship 747 with Arabidopsis. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 276(6): 565-575.

- Vij S, Tyagi AK. 2008. A20/AN1 zinc-finger domain-containing proteins in plants and animals
 represent common elements in stress response. *Functional & Integrative Genomics* 8(3):
 301-307.
- Walter M, Chaban C, Schutze K, Batistic O, Weckermann K, Nake C, Blazevic D, Grefen
 C, Schumacher K, Oecking C, et al. 2004. Visualization of protein interactions in
 living plant cells using bimolecular fluorescence complementation. *The Plant Journal* 40(3): 428-438.
- Wang XR, Moreno YA, Wu HR, Ma C, Li YF, Zhang JA, Yang C, Sun S, Ma WJ, Geary
 TG. 2012. Proteomic profiles of soluble proteins from the esophageal gland in female
 Meloidogyne incognita. International Journal for Parasitology 42(13-14): 1177-1183.
- Winter AD, Page AP. 2000. Prolyl 4-hydroxylase is an essential procollagen-modifying
 enzyme required for exoskeleton formation and the maintenance of body shape in the
 nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 20(11): 4084-4093.
- 761 Zhang X, Henriques R, Lin SS, Niu QW, Chua NH. 2006. Agrobacterium-mediated
 762 transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* using the floral dip method. *Nature Protocols*763 1(2): 641-646.
- Zhao J, Li L, Liu Q, Liu P, Li S, Yang D, Chen Y, Pagnotta S, Favery B, Abad P, et al.
 2019. A MIF-like effector suppresses plant immunity and facilitates nematode parasitism by interacting with plant annexins. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 70(20): 5943-5958.

Fig. 1 Primary structure of MiPDIs. (a) Functional domains of MiPDI1 and MiPDI2. MiPDI1 and MiPDI2 have an N-terminal signal peptide for secretion, four thioredoxin domains (a, b, b', a') predicted by an NCBI conserved domain search, and two catalytic domains containing characteristic CGHC active sites in the a and a' domains. (b) A ClustalW2 alignment of the PDI-like proteins MiPDI1 and MiPDI2 (from *Meloidogyne incognita*), CePDI (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), HsPDI (*Heterodera schachtii*), MgPDI (*Meloidogyne graminicola*), BmPDI (*Brugia malayi*) and PpPDI (*Phytophthora parasitica*). Identical and highly similar (>75%) amino-acid residues are highlighted against black background shading, similar (>50%) amino-acid residues are shown in grey. Yellow background shading indicates the peptide used in the production of the polyclonal anti-MiPDI1 antibody. The sequences for active site CGHC motif are shown in the red frame. The four thioredoxin (TRX) domains are indicated. (c) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of PDI sequences presented in (b). Support for the nodes was calculated with a hundred bootstrap replicates. PpPDI was used as the outgroup.

Fig. 2 Localisation and temporal expression pattern of MiPDI. (a) *In situ* hybridisation (ISH) of digoxigenin-labelled antisense *MiPDI1* probe to pre-parasitic *M. incognita* J2s, showing transcripts expressed in the subventral oesophageal gland (SvG). The stylet and the metacarpus are indicated. (b) ISH with the *MiPDI1* sense probe gave no signal. (c) Temporal pattern of *MiPDI1* expression. The relative level of *MiPDI1* expression throughout nematode development was quantified by RT-qPCR for five different *M. incognita* stages relative to the egg stage (means ±SE). Data were analysed by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Different letters indicate statistically significant difference between each column using two-way ANOVA following Tukey's multiple comparisons test (*P*<0.05). Pre-J2: preparasitic second-stage juvenile; par-J: parasitic juveniles (15 dpi). dpi: days post infection. (d-e) Immunolocalisation with the anti-MiPDI1 antibody showed that the MiPDI1 protein was present in the subventral oesophageal glands (SvG). (d) Overlay of images of the differential interference contrast and Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence. (e) Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence image. Bar: 20 μm. (f) Localisation of the

secreted MiPDI1 protein in the nematode anterior part (black arrow) and at the tip of the stylet (red arrow). (g) Localisation of the secreted MiPDI1 protein in the tip of the stylet and in plant tissue (red arrow). (h-j) MiPDI1 protein accumulated in the nematode anterior part (black arrow), the giant or plant cell wall (red arrows) and in the giant cell (yellow arrow). Micrographs f-j are overlays of images of the DIC, DAPI-stained nuclei and Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence images. Individual images are presented in Figure S3. N, nematode; *, giant cell. m, metacorpus; Scale bar, 20 µm.

Fig. 3 The effect of host-derived RNA interference (RNAi) and ectopic expression of M. incognita MiPDI1 in Arabidopsis on RKN infection. (a) MiPDI1 expression in three independent, homozygous pdi-Ri lines, two gfp-RNAi lines and the wild type (WT) were determined 10 dpi by RT-qPCR. The data shown are means \pm SE from three independent biological replicates. (b) Phenotypes of nematodes in different Arabidopsis line roots. Acid fuchsin was used to stain Arabidopsis roots after M. incognita infection 35 days. For each line, at least 10 roots were observed, and the experiment was repeated three times. Bars represent 300 µm. (c) In vivo RNAi of MiPDI1 in Arabidopsis reduced the level of M. incognita infection. Mean numbers of galls and nematodes (parasitic juveniles at any stage and females) were determined at 35 dpi in various Arabidopsis lines. Data are presented as means ±SD $(n \ge 30)$. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. One representative experiment is shown. (d) MiPDI1 expression led to a reproducible increase in Arabidopsis susceptibility to M. incognita. Total numbers of egg masses in two independent MiPDII transgenic lines were counted at 35 dpi. Two independent experiments were conducted for each line, and 30 plants were analysed per line. (a, c, d) Different letters indicate statistically significant difference in two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05).

Fig. 4 MiPDI1 interacts with *Arabidopsis* and Solanaceae stress-associated proteins SAP12. (a) Pairwise yeast two-hybrid tests were performed to investigate the interactions between MiPDI1 and cysteine proteinase (SICYP) or SAP12 proteins from *S. lycopersicum* (SISAP12), *N.*

benthamiana (NbSAP12) and A. thaliana (AtSAP12). Left column, yeast cell growth carrying the baits (in pGBKT7 vector) and preys (in pGADT7) grown on SD/-trp-leu medium indicating successful transformation of the yeast with both plasmids; right column, yeast cell growth on the selective triple dropout medium (SD/-trp-leu-his) following the addition of 3-amino-1,2,4triazole (3AT) indicating protein interaction. Yeast cells containing p53 and SV40 were used as positive control. (b) Subcellular localisation of MiPDI1, GFP-SICYP and SISAP12 in N. benthamiana. MiPDI1-eGFP, eGFP, SICYP-RFP and SISAP12-RFP were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves. Signals were detected 48 h after infiltration. Images were captured by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 880, Germany). Scale bar, 20 µm. (c) Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) visualisation of the interaction between MiPDI1 and SISAP12. N. benthamiana leaves were transformed with YFPn-MiPDI1 and SISAP12-YFPc or SICYP-YFPc. Images were obtained 36 h after co-expression. Signals were observed in the cytoplasm in leaves co-infiltrated with YFPn-MiPDI1 and SISAP12-YFPc. (b, c) At least ten cells from three leaves of three different plants were observed with similar results. YFP, yellow fluorescent protein. Scale bar, 20 µm or 50 µm. (d) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) analysis of MiPDI1 interacting with SISAP12. FLAG-MiPDI1 or FLAG-GFP was transiently co-expressed with SISAP12-HA or SICYP-HA in tobacco leaves. Co-IP was performed with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel resin (Sigma-Aldrich), and the isolated protein was detected by western blotting with an anti-FLAG antibody to detect MiPDI1 or eGFP, and an anti-HA antibody to detect SISAP12 or SICYP. eGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein.

Fig. 5 Effect on susceptibility to *M. incognita* of virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of *NbSAP12s* and *NbCYP* in *N. benthamiana*, and of the *A. thaliana sap12* knockout mutant. (a) Levels of *NbSAP12s* and *NbCYP* transcripts in *N. benthamiana* following silencing, as assessed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Error bars represent the standard errors for 10 biological replicates, and the results of two independent experiments were presented. (b) *N. benthamiana* plants in which *NbSAP12s* was silenced were more susceptible to *M. incognita*, whereas those in which *NbCYP* was silenced were not significantly different from the wild type

in terms of susceptibility, as indicated by the mean numbers of egg masses on plant roots. Error bars represent the mean \pm SD ($n \ge 15$). All experiments were performed twice, and at least 15 plants were analysed per treatment. (c) The sap12 mutant line (SALK 014706) was more susceptible to *M. incognita*, as shown by the mean numbers of egg masses and galls in roots. Error bars represent the mean \pm SD ($n \ge 20$). Two independent experiments were conducted and yielded similar results, with at least 30 plants analysed per treatment. (a, b, c) Different letters indicate statistically significant difference in two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05). (d) Levels of expression for stress- and defence-related genes in MiPDI1-expressing lines (MiPDI1-1 and MiPDI1-2), the sap12 mutant line (SALK 014706) and the wild type (WT). The genes considered were AtCSD1 (cytosolic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase), AtCSD2 (chloroplastic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase), AtCOR47 and AtRAB18 (from the dehydrin protein family), AtADH1 (catalysing the reduction of acetaldehyde with NADH as reductant), AtEM6 (stress-induced protein), AtNPR1 and AtPR1a (SA-mediated defence response marker gene), AtPDF1.2a (encoding ethylene- and jasmonate-responsive plant defences), AtPR4 (ethylene-responsive pathogenesis-related protein). AtOXA1 (AT5G62050) and AtUBP22 (AT5G10790) were used as internal controls. Expression levels were measured by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and the data shown are means \pm SD (n = 4). Asterisks indicate significant differences for single stress- and defence-related gene among different plant lines by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (*P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** *P* <0.001).

Supporting information

- 768 **Table S1** Primers used in this study.
- 769 **Table S2** Accession numbers used in this study.
- 770 **Table S3** Yeast-two hybrid clones obtained using MiPDI1-mu or MiPDI1 as bait and
- corresponding gene expression in galls induced by *M. incognita*.
- Fig. S1 MiPDI1 probe used for *in situ* hybridization (ISH).
- **Fig. S2** Western blot analysis verified specificity of MiPDI1 antibody.

- 774 Fig. S3 Localization of MiPDI1 in tomato root gall sections during *M. incognita* parasitism.
- 775 Fig. S4 Verification of homozygous MiPDI1 ectopic expressing Arabidopsis lines by RT-PCR
- 776 and western blot.
- 777 Fig. S5 Structure of AtSAP12, NbSAP12 and SISAP12 proteins.
- Fig. S6 MiPDI1 could not interact with SICYP in planta by using BiFC. 778
- 779 Fig. S7 Characteristics of SAP12 and CYP genes, and VIGS phenotypes.

Fig. S8 Verification of homozygous T-DNA insertion mutants of AtSAP12.



Fig. 1 Primary structure of MiPDIs. (a) Functional domains of MiPDI1 and MiPDI2. MiPDI1 and MiPDI2 have an N-terminal signal peptide for secretion, four thioredoxin domains (a, b, b', a') predicted by an NCBI conserved domain search, and two catalytic domains containing characteristic CGHC active sites in the a and a' domains. (b) A ClustalW2 alignment of the PDI-like proteins MiPDI1 and MiPDI2 (from Meloidogyne incognita), CePDI (Caenorhabditis elegans), HsPDI (Heterodera schachtii), MgPDI (Meloidogyne graminicola), BmPDI (Brugia malayi) and PpPDI (Phytophthora parasitica). Identical and highly similar (>75%) amino-acid residues are highlighted against black background shading, similar (>50%) amino-acid residues are shown in grey. Yellow background shading indicates the peptide used in the production of the polyclonal anti-MiPDI1 antibody. The sequences for active site CGHC motif are shown in the red frame. The four thioredoxin (TRX) domains are indicated. (c) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of PDI sequences presented in (b). Support for the nodes was calculated with a hundred bootstrap replicates. PpPDI was used as the outgroup.

160x228mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Page 33 of 36



Fig. 2 Localisation and temporal expression pattern of MiPDI. (a) In situ hybridisation (ISH) of digoxigeninlabelled antisense MiPDI1 probe to pre-parasitic M. incognita J2s, showing transcripts expressed in the subventral oesophageal gland (SvG). The stylet and the metacarpus are indicated. (b) ISH with the MiPDI1 sense probe gave no signal. (c) Temporal pattern of MiPDI1 expression. The relative level of MiPDI1 expression throughout nematode development was quantified by RT-qPCR for five different M. incognita stages relative to the egg stage (means \pm SE). Data were analysed by the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. Different letters indicate statistically significant difference between each column using two-way ANOVA following Tukey's multiple comparisons test (P<0.05). Pre-J2: preparasitic second-stage juvenile; par-J: parasitic juveniles, including parasitic second-stage juveniles (5 dpi) and parasitic third- and fourth-stage juveniles (15 dpi). dpi: days post infection. (d-e) Immunolocalisation with the anti-MiPDI1 antibody showed that the MiPDI1 protein was present in the subventral oesophageal glands (SvG). (d) Overlay of images of the differential interference contrast and Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence. (e) Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence image. Bar: 20 µm. (f) Localisation of the secreted MiPDI1 protein in the nematode anterior part (black arrow) and at the tip of the stylet (red arrow). (g) Localisation of the secreted MiPDI1 protein in the tip of the stylet and in plant tissue (red arrow). (h-j) MiPDI1 protein accumulated in the nematode anterior part (black arrow), the giant or plant cell wall (red arrows) and in the giant cell (yellow arrow). Micrographs f-j are overlays of images of the DIC, DAPI-stained nuclei and Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence images. Individual images are presented in Figure S3. N, nematode; *, giant cell. m, metacorpus; Scale bar, 20 µm.

160x163mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Page 35 of 36



Fig. 3 The effect of host-derived RNA interference (RNAi) and ectopic expression of M. incognita MiPDI1 in Arabidopsis on RKN infection. (a) MiPDI1 expression in three independent, homozygous pdi-Ri lines, two gfp-RNAi lines and the wild type (WT) were determined 10 dpi by RT-qPCR. The data shown are means \pm SE from three independent biological replicates. (b) Phenotypes of nematodes in different Arabidopsis line roots. Acid fuchsin was used to stain Arabidopsis roots after M. incognita infection 35 days. For each line, at least 10 roots were observed, and the experiment was repeated three times. Bars represent 300 μ m. (c) In vivo RNAi of MiPDI1 in Arabidopsis reduced the level of M. incognita infection. Mean numbers of galls and nematodes (parasitic juveniles at any stage and females) were determined at 35 dpi in various Arabidopsis lines. Data are presented as means \pm SD ($n \ge 30$). Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. One representative experiment is shown. (d) MiPDI1 expression led to a reproducible increase in Arabidopsis susceptibility to M. incognita. Total numbers of egg masses in two independent MiPDI1 transgenic lines were counted at 35 dpi. Two independent experiments were conducted for each line, and 30 plants were analysed per line. (a, c, d) Different letters indicate statistically significant difference in two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (P<0.05). 80x106mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig. 4 MiPDI1 interacts with Arabidopsis and Solanaceae stress-associated proteins SAP12. (a) Pairwise yeast two-hybrid tests were performed to investigate the interactions between MiPDI1 and cysteine proteinase (SICYP) or SAP12 proteins from S. lycopersicum (SISAP12), N. benthamiana (NbSAP12) and A. thaliana (AtSAP12). Left column, yeast cell growth carrying the baits (in pGBKT7 vector) and preys (in pGADT7) grown on SD/-trp-leu medium indicating successful transformation of the yeast with both plasmids; right column, yeast cell growth on the selective triple dropout medium (SD/-trp-leu-his) following the addition of 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3AT) indicating protein interaction. Yeast cells containing p53 and SV40 were used as positive control. (b) Subcellular localisation of MiPDI1, GFP-SICYP and SISAP12 in N. benthamiana leaves. Signals were detected 48 h after infiltration. Images were captured by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 880, Germany). Scale bar, 20 μm. (c) Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) visualisation of the interaction between MiPDI1 and SISAP12. N. benthamiana leaves were transformed with YFPn-MiPDI1 and SISAP12-YFPc or SICYP-YFPc. Images were obtained 36 h after co-expression. Signals were observed in the cytoplasm in leaves co-infiltrated with YFPn-MiPDI1 and SISAP12-

YFPc. (b, c) At least ten cells from three leaves of three different plants were observed with similar results. YFP, yellow fluorescent protein. Scale bar, 20 µm or 50 µm. (d) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) analysis of MiPDI1 interacting with SISAP12. FLAG-MiPDI1 or FLAG-GFP was transiently co-expressed with SISAP12-HA or SICYP-HA in tobacco leaves. Co-IP was performed with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel resin (Sigma-Aldrich), and the isolated protein was detected by western blotting with an anti-FLAG antibody to detect MiPDI1 or eGFP, and an anti-HA antibody to detect SISAP12 or SICYP. eGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein.



Fig. 5 Effect on susceptibility to M. incognita of virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of NbSAP12s and NbCYP in N. benthamiana, and of the A. thaliana sap12 knockout mutant. (a) Levels of NbSAP12s and NbCYP transcripts in N. benthamiana following silencing, as assessed by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Error bars represent the standard errors for 10 biological replicates, and the results of two independent experiments were presented. (b) N. benthamiana plants in which NbSAP12s was silenced were more susceptible to M. incognita, whereas those in which NbCYP was silenced were not significantly different from the wild type in terms of susceptibility, as indicated by the mean numbers of egg masses on plant roots. Error bars represent the mean \pm SD (n \geq 15). All experiments were performed twice, and at least 15 plants were analysed per treatment. (c) The sap12 mutant line (SALK_014706) was more susceptible to M. incognita, as shown by the mean numbers of egg masses and galls in roots. Error bars represent the mean \pm SD (n \geq 20). Two independent experiments were conducted and yielded similar results, with at least 30 plants analysed per treatment. (a, b, c) Different letters indicate statistically significant difference in twoway ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05). (d) Levels of expression for stress- and defence-related genes in MiPDI1-expressing lines (MiPDI1-1 and MiPDI1-2), the sap12 mutant line (SALK_014706) and the wild type (WT). The genes considered were AtCSD1 (cytosolic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase), AtCSD2 (chloroplastic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase), AtCOR47 and AtRAB18 (from the dehydrin protein family), AtADH1 (catalysing the reduction of acetaldehyde with NADH as reductant), AtEM6 (stressinduced protein), AtNPR1 and AtPR1a (SA-mediated defence response marker gene), AtPDF1.2a (encoding ethylene- and jasmonate-responsive plant defences), AtPR4 (ethylene-responsive pathogenesis-related protein). AtOXA1 (AT5G62050) and AtUBP22 (AT5G10790) were used as internal controls. Expression levels were measured by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and the data shown are means \pm SD (n = 4).

Asterisks indicate significant differences for single stress- and defence-related gene among different plant lines by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (*P < 0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P <0.001).

160x160mm (300 x 300 DPI)