Modification of apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls by boiling in acid conditions: Common and specific responses

Xuwei Liu, Catherine M.G.C. Renard, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté, Sylvie Bureau, Carine Le Bourvellec

▶ To cite this version:

Xuwei Liu, Catherine M.G.C. Renard, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté, Sylvie Bureau, Carine Le Bourvellec. Modification of apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls by boiling in acid conditions: Common and specific responses. Food Hydrocolloids, 2021, 112, pp.106266. 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106266 . hal-03152561

HAL Id: hal-03152561
https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03152561

Submitted on 14 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0268005X20315289
Manuscript_1746ed8a640a328fcf94d44e78c1dace

Modification of apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls by boiling in acid conditions: common and specific responses

Xuwei Liu[a], Catherine M.G.C. Renard[a,b], Agnès Rolland-Sabaté[a], Sylvie Bureau[a], Carine Le Bourvellec[a]*

[a]INRAE, Avignon University, UMR SQPOV, F-84000 Avignon, France

[b]INRAE, TRANSFORM, F-44000 Nantes, France

Corresponding authors*

Carine Le Bourvellec (carine.le-bourvellec@inrae.fr)

INRAE, UMR408 SQPOV « Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale »

228 route de l'Aérodrome

CS 40509

F-84914 Avignon cedex 9

Tél: +33 (0)4 32 72 25 35

Others authors

Xuwei Liu: xuwei.liu@inrae.fr

Catherine M.G.C Renard: catherine.renard@inrae.fr

Agnès Rolland-Sabaté: agnes.rolland-sabate@inrae.fr

Sylvie Bureau: sylvie.bureau@inrae.fr

© 2020 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

1 **Abstract**

2 Cell wall (CW) degradation causes texture loss of plant-based products after
3 processing. However, these losses differ in intensity, which could be due to cell wall
4 structure or plant tissue internal pH conditions. To distinguish these two factors, CWs
5 isolated from apple, beet and kiwifruit were subjected to boiling at pH 2.0, 3.5 and 6.0.
6 Pectin depolymerization was the least pronounced at pH 3.5, while galacturonic acid
7 contents of all CWs decreased at pH 6.0 due to the β-elimination. Pectins were
8 solubilized, and their size decreased with increased pH during CW treatment. At pH
9 6.0, degrees of methylation decreased mostly in apple and beet CWs while galactose
10 decreased more in kiwifruit CW. At pH 2.0, arabinan was lost in apple and beet CW
11 due to acid hydrolysis. Apple CW was the most susceptible to degradation either at
12 pH 2.0 or 6.0, while beet CW was more degraded at pH 2.0. In contrast, kiwifruit CW
13 was the least susceptible to degradation whichever the pH. Acid hydrolysis and
14 β-elimination appeared to be common mechanisms that cause loss of neutral sugars,
15 often from pectin side chains, and galacturonic acid, respectively, but their effects
16 were of different intensities. This work has a guiding significance for improving
17 texture in the thermally canning process.

18 Keywords: *Malus x domestica* Borkh; *Beta vulgaris* L.; *Actinidia deliciosa*;
19 processing; pectin; molar mass

20 **Abbreviations:**

21 AIS, alcohol insoluble solids; ATR-FTIR, Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier
22 Transform Infrared Spectroscopy; CW, Cell Wall; DW, dry weight; HPSEC-MALLS,
23 High Performance Size-Exclusion Chromatography combined with Multi Angle Laser
24 Light Scattering; Solubilized Polysaccharides, SP; PCA, Principal Component
25 Analysis; SEM, Scanning Electron Microscopy.

26 ## 1 Introduction

27 Cell walls and their constituent polysaccharides play an important role in
28 plant-based foods, where they control the texture and its evolution during maturation
29 and processing (Ranganathan, Subramanian, & Shanmugam, 2016). Plant cell walls
30 are a complex, porous, polysaccharidic material composed of cellulose,
31 hemicelluloses and pectins. The relative amounts of these constituents are dependent
32 upon the species and maturity of plant tissue. Plant-based foods are commonly
33 processed, e.g. cooking and canning, before being consumed to improve palatability
34 and for a microbiological stability (M. Li, Ho, Hayes, & Ferruzzi, 2019). The first
35 steps of cell wall modification during processing, which involve the endogenous
36 pectinases and their synergies, have been extensively studied as a function of
37 temperature and pressure (Sila et al., 2009). However, it is difficult to control the
38 action of endogenous enzymes during the first phase of heating. Many articles also
39 describe texture loss of plant tissues after enzyme inactivation or thermal processing,
40 but each concerns a single plant material at its natural pH (from 3.0 – 6.5), such as
41 apple (Le Bourvellec, Watrelot, Ginies, Imberty, & Renard, 2012), apricot (Ella
42 Missang, Maingonnat, Renard, & Audergon, 2012), pear (Renard, 2005a), radish
43 (Ando, Hagiwara, & Nabetani, 2017; X. Li, Liu, Tu, Li, & Yan, 2019), potato (Zhao,
44 Shehzad, Yan, Li, & Wang, 2017) or asparagus (Peng, Song, Zhang, Pan, & Tu, 2019).
45 Different plant sources with their natural pH values may have specific responses to
46 processing, and common responses may occur at distinct extents. However, it is
47 difficult from the existing literature to ascertain whether these different responses are

48 due to the structure of the cell walls or to the conditions during fruit and vegetable
49 (F&V) processing. Notably, the natural internal pH is known to be a major driver for
50 the degradation of polysaccharides, and primarily of pectins. It is therefore important
51 to obtain a clear picture differentiating between the effects of the cell wall structure
52 and the pH conditions during F&V processing.

53 Non-enzymatic cell wall modifications during processing involve an increased
54 solubilization accompanied by a depolymerization of pectins (Fraeye et al., 2007).
55 Cellulose and hemicelluloses show minimal structural changes compared to pectins,
56 which therefore appear to be a key to texture loss (Houben, Jolie, Fraeye, Van Loey, &
57 Hendrickx, 2011). Many studies were done using purified pectins heated in model
58 solutions, at different pHs (Chen et al., 2015; Diaz, Anthon, & Barrett, 2007; Fraeye
59 et al., 2007), but we did not find any comparable model studies on complex cell wall
60 matrices. Moreover, work on the impact of thermal processing on the cell wall is
61 mainly focused on the entire plant tissue, but confounding parameters such as the cell
62 wall structure and degradation in pH conditions were not controlled (at natural pH)
63 (Renard, 2005a; Ella Missang et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2017; X. Li et al., 2019). The
64 chemical mechanisms vary with pH conditions. β-elimination, which is specific for
65 methoxylated pectins, cleaves the methoxylated homogalacturonan chains creating an
66 unsaturated bond (between C4 and C5 of a methoxylated uronic acid) with absorption
67 at 235 nm (Albersheim, Neukom, & Deuel, 1960). Pectins with a higher degree of
68 methylation (DM) are more sensitive to β-elimination (Fraeye et al., 2007).
69 β-elimination is in competition with saponification of methoxylated galacturonic acids,

70 which is favoured by high pH. As temperature increases, the rate of β-elimination
71 increases faster than that of demethylation, so that heating at a neutral to slightly
72 acidic pH (> 4.5) may lead to an extensive pectin depolymerization. During thermal
73 treatment in acidic conditions (pH < 3.0), acid hydrolysis occurs, leading to a loss of
74 pectin side-chains, starting with arabinans, and a cleavage in the rhamnogalacturonan
75 I regions of pectins, in the order of sensitivity of the glycosidic bonds in a following
76 order: Ara - Ara > Gal - Gal > Rha - GalA > GalA - Rha > GalA - GalA (Renard,
77 Crépeau, & Thibault, 1995). In this condition, the rate of hydrolysis of pectins with
78 low DM is faster (Fraeye et al., 2007). The β-elimination reaction and acid hydrolysis
79 may occur simultaneously when the heating is performed at a weakly acidic pH, with
80 the maximal stability of pectins being observed at circa pH 3.5. As the pH increases,
81 the reaction rates of β-elimination increase whereas they decrease for the acid
82 hydrolysis (Fraeye et al., 2007; Smidsrød et al., 1966). Therefore, in order to avoid
83 confounding factors like action of endogenous enzymes at the beginning of heating,
84 tissue structure and natural pH without control, three pH values (2.0, 3.5 and 6.0)
85 were chosen to explore how the balance between β-elimination and hydrolysis affects
86 pectins within the multi-scale structure of purified cell walls.

87 Two fruits (apple and kiwifruit) and one vegetable (beet) were chosen because the
88 structure and composition of their cell walls are different (Latorre, de Escalada Plá,
89 Rojas, & Gerschenson, 2013; Le Bourvellec et al., 2011; Redgwell, Melton, & Brasch,
90 1988), as well as their responses to processing and their internal pH values. Since
91 there is little information about the modification of the kiwifruit cell wall, we

92 separately compared the phenomenon at two maturity stages. To schematize, apple
93 cell walls are rich in highly methylated pectins, beet cell walls are rich in arabinans
94 and pectins of intermediate DM, while kiwifruit cell walls have few pectins with very
95 few side-chains. Apple rapidly loses its texture upon heating (less than 30 min, (Kebe,
96 Renard, El Maâtaoui, Amani, & Maingonnat, 2015)), while beet fails to soften
97 completely after several hours, which may be due to the ferulic acid cross-linking of
98 pectins (Waldron, Ng, Parker, & Parr, 1997). The texture loss of kiwifruit is also
99 limited but with different responses to processing according to ripe and overripe
100 stages, and show extensive swelling after ripening (Redgwell et al., 1997).

101 Therefore, our aim was to identify whether common chemical mechanisms
102 (β-elimination and acid hydrolysis) or specific cell wall composition and structure had
103 a higher impact on the cell wall susceptibility to degradation. The originality of this
104 study is that purified cell walls from apple, beet and kiwifruit, were first isolated then
105 subjected to boiling (20 min) at pH 2.0, 3.5 and 6.0, allowing an independent
106 variation of cell wall structure and pH. The surface topography of the cell walls was
107 visualized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Native and modified cell walls
108 as well as extracted solubilized polysaccharide compositions were analyzed. The
109 extent of degradation of solubilized polysaccharides was studied using
110 HPSEC-MALLS. This work provides some implications for the fruit and vegetable
111 processing, such as the fine-tuning of pH conditions that may improve the quality of
112 thermally processed plant-based products.

113 **2 Materials and methods**

114 **2.1 Standards and Chemicals**

115 Ethanol and acetone were from Fisher Scientific (Strasbourg, France).
116 Acetonitrile of HPLC grade was obtained from VWR International (Radnor, USA).
117 Hexane, methanol, hydrochloric acid and acetic acid were from Merck (Darmstadt,
118 Germany). Sugar standards (arabinose, fucose, galactose, xylose, mannose and
119 rhamnose) and polygalacturonic acid were from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Formic
120 acid, benzyl mercaptan, sodium carbonate, sodium hydroxide, $NaBH_4$,
121 N-methylimidazole, acetic anhydride, lignin alkali, toluene-α-thiol, (+)-catechin,
122 (-)-epicatechin, inositol and galacturonic acid were provided from Sigma-Aldrich
123 (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). Methanol-d_3 was from Acros Organics (Geel,
124 Belgium).

125 **2.2 Plant Material**

126 Apple fruits (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.) from the 'Golden Delicious' cultivar,
127 beets (*Beta vulgaris* L.) from the round red beetroot type, and kiwifruits (*Actinidia*
128 *deliciosa)* from the 'Hayward Green' cultivar were purchased at commercial maturity
129 in September 2017 at the supermarket (Auchan, Avignon, France). For kiwifruits, two
130 maturity stages were studied: "Ripe" corresponding to kiwifruits at the date of
131 purchase and "Overripe" (described as "soft under the fingers") obtained after three
132 weeks at 10 °C followed by one week at room temperature (26 °C). To quantify this
133 evolution, the kiwifruit flesh firmness was measured using a multi-purpose TAplus
134 Texture Analyser (Ametek, Lloyd Instruments Ltd., Fareham, UK). Kiwifruits were

135 peeled in a 10 × 10 mm area on the upside and downside, placed on a stationary
136 steel plate and penetrated to depth of 50 mm with a 12.57 mm^2 probe at a speed of 5
137 mm/s. A batch of five fruits was constituted for each maturity stage. Two
138 determinations were conducted on the two opposite sides of each fruit. Clear texture
139 differences were obtained with respectively 1.4 ± 0.41 N (eating-ripe, soft) and 0.6 ±
140 0.31 N (overripe, very soft). All plant materials were peeled, apples were cored,
141 kiwifruits were cored and deseeded, and cortex tissues were used for the preparation
142 of cell wall materials.

143 **2.3 Preparation of cell wall**

144 Alcohol-insoluble solids (AIS) were prepared from apple (pH 3.8), beet (pH 5.0)
145 and kiwifruit (pH 3.4 for ripe and 3.3 for overripe) according to the method of Renard
146 (2005). More than 10 batches of 0.5 to 1.0 kg were processed for each plant material,
147 then mixed to obtain an uniform sample. The samples were named as apple native cell
148 wall (ACN), beet native cell wall (BCN), ripe kiwifruit native cell wall (KCRN) and
149 overripe kiwifruit native cell wall (KCON), respectively.

150 **2.4 Modification of cell walls by boiling at different pH values**

151 The cell walls (25 g/L) were separately incubated in a citrate/phosphate buffer
152 (pH 2.0, 3.5, and 6.0; 0.1 M) in sealed glass bottles in a boiling water bath for 20 min.
153 After treatments, the cell walls and the buffer solution were separated by hot filtration
154 under vacuum in a G0 sintered glass filter. The cell walls were dried by solvent

155 exchange using 96% ethanol (three times) and acetone (three times), then overnight in
156 an oven at 40 °C.

157 The cell wall samples after boiling at pH 2.0, 3.5, and 6.0 are designated (AC, BC,
158 KCR or KCO) - 2, (AC, BC, KCR or KCO) -3, and (AC, BC, KCR or KCO) - 6,
159 respectively.

160 The buffer solutions containing solubilized polysaccharides (SP) were dialyzed (a
161 dialysis tube of theoretical porosity of 12 kDa, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO,
162 USA) against 0.1 M NaCl during 48 h, and then against water before freeze-dring. SP
163 at pH 2.0, 3.5, and 6.0 are designated (AP, BP, KPR or KPO) - 2, (AP, BP, KPR or
164 KPO) -3, and (AP, BP, KPR or KPO) - 6, respectively (Fig. 1).



165

166 **Figure 1.** Scheme of AISs preparation, cell walls modification and solubilized polysaccharides
167 extraction at three pHs from apple, beet and kiwifruit. Abbreviations: A, Apple; B, Beet; K, Kiwifruit;
168 C, cell walls; P, solubilized polysaccharides; 2, pH 2.0; 3, pH 3.5; 6, pH 6.0; R, Ripe; O, Overripe.

169 **2.5 Surface morphology analysis by scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

170 Samples were mounted on SEM specimen stubs with a carbon double-sided,
171 carbon-conductive, adhesive tape prior to coating. Samples were then coated with 20
172 nm gold layers by ion sputtering using Balzers SCD 004 sputter coater (Balzers, Bal
173 Tec.AG, Furstentum, Lichtenstein) and subsequently photographed using a
174 PhilipsXL30 (FEI/Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) scanning electron
175 microscope operated at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

176 **2.6 ATR-FTIR spectra**

177 The spectral data of cell walls and solubilized polysaccharides were both acquired
178 with a Tensor 27 FTIR spectrometer (Bruker Optics®, Wissembourg, France). Native
179 cell walls and modified cell walls were stored in P_2O_5 atmosphere before analysis to
180 remove residual water. ATR-FTIR spectra were acquired at room temperature on
181 sample powder using a single-reflectance horizontal ATR cell (Golden Gate equipped
182 with a diamond crystal, Bruker Optics) as described by Bureau et al. (2012), by
183 scanning from 4000 to 600 cm^{-1} and correcting against the background spectrum of air.
184 Each sample was analyzed three times, and each spectrum was an average of 16 scans.
185 Spectral pre-processing and data treatment using multivariate analyses were
186 performed with Matlab 7.5 (Mathworks Inc. Natick, MA) software using SAISIR
187 package (Cordella & Bertrand, 2014). The spectral data were transformed with
188 baseline correction and standard normal variate (SNV) to correct multiplicative
189 interferences and variations in the baseline shift before any multivariate analysis. A
190 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied using FT-IR spectra in the range
191 between 2000 and 600 cm^{-1} to evaluate the distribution of the different samples

192 (species and pH treatments).

193 2.7 High-Performance Size-Exclusion Chromatography Coupled with 194 Multi-Angle Laser Light Scattering (HPSEC-MALLS)

195 The molar mass distribution of SP was determined using a HPSEC system 196 involving a Ultra Fast Liquid Chromatography Prominence system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, 197 Japan) including a LC-2OAD pump, a DGU-20A5 degasser, a SIL-20ACHT 198 autosampler, a CTO-20 AC column oven, a SPD-M20A diode array detector and a 199 RID-10A refractive index detector. In addition, a multi-angle laser light scattering 200 (MALLS) detector DAWN HELEOS 8+ (fitted with a K5 flow cell and a GaAs laser 201 at λ = 660 nm) from Wyatt Technology Corporation (Santa Barbara, CA) was coupled 202 with the HPSEC system. Separations were achieved at 40 °C using three HPSEC 203 columns in series (PolySep-GFC-P3000, P5000 and P6000 300 ×7.8 mm) and a guard 204 column from Phenomenex (Torrence, CA, USA). 100 μL of SP (2.5 mg/mL) solutions 205 were injected and eluted at 0.6 mL/min using a 0.1 M citrate/phosphate buffer at pH 206 3.8, previously filtered through a 0.1 μm, Omnipore™ membrane (Millipore, Milford, 207 USA) and degassed. Before injection, SP were solubilized under magnetic stirring in 208 the filtered eluant at 4°C overnight. The solutions were centrifuged 10 min at 8000 g 209 and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm hydrophilic PTFE syringe filter 210 (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) before injection. M_i, the molar mass at each slice 211 of the chromatogram, was determined using the concentration and the light scattering 212 signal from 5 angles (from 20.4° to 90°) and data extrapolation to zero angle using 213 the Zimm formalism with a one order polynomial fit (Rolland-Sabaté, Colonna,

214 Potocki-Véronèse, Monsan, & Planchot, 2004). Then, the weight-average ($\bar{M}_w$) was
215 calculated using the summations taken over the whole peaks using ASTRA® software
216 from Wyatt Technology Corporation (version 7.1.4 for PC) as previously described
217 (Rolland-Sabaté et al., 2004). A value of 0.146 mL/g was used as the refractive index
218 increment (dn/dc) for glucans and the normalization of photodiodes was achieved
219 using a low molar mass pullulan standard (P20) from Showa Denko K.K. (Tokyo,
220 Japan).

221 **2.8 Chemical composition analysis**

222 **2.8.1 Hydrolysis**

223 For cell walls, approximately 10 mg of AIS were prehydrolyzed with 72%
224 sulfuric acid (250 μL) for 1 h at 26 °C (Saeman, Moore, Mitchell, & Millett, 1954),
225 before dilution to 1 M sulfuric acid by the addition of water and an internal standard
226 (inositol); simple hydrolysis was also carried out to estimate cellulose content by
227 difference. For SP, the sample was directly dissolved in 1 M sulfuric acid with internal
228 standard without prehydrolysis. All samples were hydolysed at 100 ° C for 3 hours.

229 **2.8.2 Neutral sugar composition**

230 Neutral sugars were analyzed as alditol acetates (Canteri, Renard, Le Bourvellec,
231 & Bureau, 2019; Englyst, Wiggins, & Cummings, 1982). The samples were injected
232 in a GC-FID HP 5890 Series II (Agilent, Inc., Palo Alto, USA) equipped with a
233 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. coated with DB225 MS, 0.25 μm film
234 thickness) using: split mode (1:25 ratio); injector temperature 250 °C; hydrogen as

235 carrier gas at 45 cm/s (215 °C); column flow 1.3 mL/min and oven temperature
236 215 °C (isothermal).

237 **2.8.3 Galacturonic acid content**

238 Uronic acids were measured by the meta-hydroxyl-diphenyl assay as described
239 by (Blumenkrantz & Asboe-Hansen, 1973; Canteri et al., 2019) after Saeman
240 hydrolysis (§ 2.8.1). The absorbance was read at 520 nm using a spectrophotometer
241 (V-530 Jasco, Tokyo, Japan), and concentrations were calculated against a calibration
242 curve with galacturonic acid as external standard.

243 **2.8.4 Methanol and degree of methylation**

244 The methanol content was measured by stable isotope dilution assay using
245 headspace-GC-MS (QP2010 Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) as described by Renard &
246 Ginies (2009) after saponification. The degree of methylation (DM) was calculated as
247 molar ratio of methanol to galacturonic acid.

248 **2.8.5 Lignin content**

249 Lignin was measured spectrophotometrically (V-530Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) as
250 described by Metaxas, Syros, Yupsanis, & Economou (2004) with alkali lignin as
251 external standard. Cell wall samples (15 mg) were digested in 1 mL 25 % acetyl
252 bromide in acetic acid containing 2.7% (v/v) perchloric acid and incubated for 30
253 minutes at 70 °C. The samples were cooled and 10 µL were transferred into a test tube,
254 then 570 µl of 17 % 2N NaOH and 83 % acetic acid were added, followed by 20 µL
255 of 7.5 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride to stop the reaction. The volume was corrected

256 to 2 mL with acetic acid and the absorbance was read at 280 nm using a
257 spectrophotometer V-530 (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). The amount of lignin was calculated
258 from a linear calibration curve with commercial alkali lignin as standard.

259 **2.8.6 Procyanidin content**

260 Procyanidins were measured by HPLC-DAD after thioacidolysis as described by
261 Guyot, Marnet, & Drilleau (2001). Their characterization and quantification were
262 performed using an Ultra Fast Liquid Chromatography Prominence system (Shimadzu,
263 Kyoto, Japan) controlled by the LabSolutions software (Version 5.57, Shimadzu,
264 Kyoto, Japan). Separation conditions, identification and quantification were
265 performed as described (Guyot et al., 2001; Le Bourvellec et al., 2011).

266 **2.8.7 Acetic acid content**

267 Acetic acid was released by saponification and quantified according to the acetic
268 acid assay kit (K-ACET, ACS Manual Format, Megazyme International, Ireland).
269 Samples (10 mg) were incubated in 5 ml NaOH 0.2 M for 3 h. 2 ml deionized water,
270 0.1 ml sample, 0.5 ml buffer (30mL, pH 8.4) plus L-malic acid and sodium azide
271 (0.02 % w/v), and 0.2 ml NAD+/ATP/PVP/CoA solution were added into cuvettes and
272 mixed. The absorption was read at 340 nm (A0) after approx. 3 min using a
273 spectrophotometer V-530 (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). Then the reaction was started by
274 addition of 20 μl L-malate dehydrogenase plus citrate synthase suspension. The
275 absorption was read at 340 nm (A1) after approx. 4 min and the reaction was started
276 again by addition of 20 μl acetyl-coenzyme a synthetase suspension. The reaction

277 misture was mixed and the absorbance of the solutions (A2) at the end of the reaction
278 (approx. 12 min) was read at 340 nm. The acetic acid content was calculated based on
279 an acetic acid standard curve using *Mega-Calc*™ software tool (Megazyme
280 International, Ireland).

281 **2.8.8 Ferulic acid content**

282 Ferulic acid was released by saponification according to the method of Micard,
283 Renard, & Thibault (1994). Samples (25 mg) were incubated in 25 mL of 2 M NaOH
284 at room temperature for 1 h under a stream of argon followed by two hours at 35 °C.
285 The extracts were filtered on G1 sintered glass filters, adjusted to pH 2.0 by fuming
286 hydrochloric acid and extracted by ethyl acetate (3 times). The upper organic phases
287 were recovered, dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4, filtered and evaporated. The dry
288 extracts were taken up in 1 mL of methanol and 20 µL were injected into the
289 HPLC-DAD system (§2.8.5) with detection at 320 nm.

290 **2.9 Statistical Analysis**

291 Results are presented as mean values of analytical triplicates and the
292 reproducibility of the results is expressed as pooled standard deviations (Pooled SD).
293 Pooled SD were calculated for each series of replicates using the sum of individual
294 variances weighted by the individual degrees of freedom (Box, Hunter, & Hunter,
295 1978). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Excelstat package of
296 Microsoft Excel. Spectral pre-processing and Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
297 were performed using MATLAB 7.5 (Mathworks Inc. Natick, MA) software using the

298 SAISIR package (Cordella & Bertrand, 2014).

299 3 Results and discussion

300 3.1 Surface morphology of cell walls

301 Apple, beet and kiwifruit cell wall preparations had thin cell walls and showed a
302 network of empty cells of irregular shape and size (Fig. 2). The kiwifruit cell wall
303 preparations exhibited the smallest cavities, non-porous and compact, with a wrinkled
304 appearance comparable to tree cuticles or the surface of mountain heavily eroded by
305 wind and sand. These preparations contained sieve tubes in xylem and phloem tissues
306 and thin rods, probably raphide crystals of calcium oxalate, as described previously in
307 kiwifruit (Redgwell et al., 1988). The surface of the apple cell walls was the flattest
308 and had large empty spaces, followed by beet cell walls. The tissular structure of beets
309 was similar to a honeycomb, with thin interconnected walls, matching earlier studies
310 (Dongowski, 2001).

311 All treatments, whichever the pH and cell wall, seemed to have similar effects on
312 the surface morphology of cell walls, i.e., all cell walls became more wrinkled and
313 crumpled. The appearance of cell walls treated at pH 3.5 was the most similar to those
314 of the initial cell walls. In apple and beet cell walls treated at pH 6.0, the cell walls
315 became less smooth and some regular patterns were faintly visible, so that a
316 substructure seemed to emerge, probably linked to the organization of the cellulose
317 microfibrils (McCann, Wells, & Roberts, 1990), but the treatment was not sufficient to
318 clearly reveal it. Rougher surfaces were obtained for kiwifruit cell walls, notably after

319 overripening. Another remarkable point was the persistence of calcium oxalate
320 crystals in the kiwifruit cell wall preparations after treatment at pH 3.5. Heating and
321 drying can modify the physical properties of the cell wall material by inducing
322 collapse of β-glucans and pectins (Le Bourvellec & Renard, 2005). However, in the
323 present case, all cell walls were subjected to hydrothermal treatment and gentle drying.
324 Therefore, less modifications were observed, compared with apple cell walls dried in
325 harsh conditions (Le Bourvellec & Renard, 2005).

326



327 Figure 2. Scanning Electron Microscopy showing the differences among native and three pH
328 treatments (2.0, 3.5 and 6.0) after boiling for 20 min in apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls.
329 Abbreviations: A, Apple; B, Beet; K, Kiwifruit; C, cell walls; P, solubilized polysaccharides; 2, pH

330 2.0; 3, pH 3.5; 6, pH 6.0; R, Ripe; O, Overripe.

Table 1. Yields (mg/g fresh weight), neutral sugars, galacturonic acid, lignin and ferulic acid compositions (mg/g cell walls) and ANOVA results of the different fruit flesh cell walls before and after modifications by boiling at different pH values.

Sample	pH	Maturity	Yields[b]	Rha	Fuc	Ara	Xyl	Man	Gal	CGlc	NCGlc	Gal A	Ac. A	MeOH	DM (%)	Lig	FA
ACN	Native[a]	Ripe	14.3	14	16	66	65	16	65	286	13	206	21	31	82	26	-
AC2	2.0	Ripe	-	12	14	27	75	19	65	347	5	191	19	30	86	26	-
AC3	3.5	Ripe	-	13	15	57	67	16	63	302	15	140	19	24	89	20	-
AC6	6.0	Ripe	-	12	16	66	74	18	67	332	16	120	18	13	60	18	-
BCN	Native	Ripe	31.4	15	7	178	10	17	52	220	2	225	45	27	65	46	7.0
BC2	2.0	Ripe	-	14	5	111	13	20	57	292	9	205	41	21	58	44	7.7
BC3	3.5	Ripe	-	15	4	174	11	17	54	255	6	153	39	20	72	47	9.6
BC6	6.0	Ripe	-	13	4	189	11	18	54	262	6	141	32	11	42	45	8.8
KCRN	Native	Ripe	13.3	6	5	10	47	23	51	241	21	210	11	26	67	49	-
KCR2	2.0	Ripe	-	4	5	7	63	30	41	334	18	133	12	15	62	55	-
KCR3	3.5	Ripe	-	4	5	9	60	25	43	279	26	98	11	12	70	72	-
KCR6	6.0	Ripe	-	3	4	7	62	29	38	329	24	58	11	7	69	56	-
KCON	Native	Overripe	13.6	4	7	8	52	22	25	223	16	173	11	22	72	26	-
KCO2	2.0	Overripe	-	2	4	5	66	31	24	335	11	73	13	9	58	46	-
KCO3	3.5	Overripe	-	2	4	6	62	30	22	316	17	74	11	9	65	49	-
KCO6	6.0	Overripe	-	2	4	5	60	30	21	332	14	53	11	5	55	37	-
		Pooled SD		0.7	0.8	2.1	2.0	0.9	1.0	13.2	1.0	8.7	0.8	0.4	4.1	3.8	0.2
ANOVA																	
CW	Species			170***	159***	4401***	898***	674***	401***	79***	241***	38***	1319***	628***	21***	91***	99***
	pH			5**	2	173***	13***	65***	6**	73***	9***	79***	26***	1072***	10***	19***	2
	Species*pH			0.1	1	52***	3**	8***	14***	3*	8***	3*	14***	43***	4*	4**	3*

CW-K	pH	40***	6**	14***	28***	16***	38***	14***	11***	148***	3	603***	2	56***	10**
	Maturity	158***	1	34***	2	3	1284***	0.2	91***	37***	0.3	136***	2	17***	37***
	pH*Maturity	6**	4*	1	1	1	16***	1	2	4*	0.1	7**	2	2	1

333 Fisher's Value F value, P≤0.05: *, P≤0.01: **, P≤0.001: ***. Pooled SD: pooled standard deviation (degree of freedom: 32 except for ferulic acid, DF = 8). a: Natural pH values, Apple (pH 3.8);
334 beet (pH 5.0); kiwifruit (pH 3.4 for ripe and 3.3 for overripe). b: Yields (mg/g fresh weight). Rha: rhamnose, Fuc: fucose, Ara: arabinose, Xyl: xylose, Man: mannose, Gal: galactose, Glc:
335 glucose, Gal A: galacturonic acid, CGlc: glucose from cellulose, NCGlc: glucose from non-cellulose, Ac.A: acetic acid, MeOH: methanol, DM: degree of methylation, FA: ferulic Acid, Lig:
336 lignin. CW: Apple, beet, kiwifruit cell walls at ripe stage. CW-K: Kiwifruit cell walls at ripe and overripe stages. Abbreviations: A, Apple; B, Beet; K, Kiwifruit; C, cell walls; 2, pH 2.0; 3, pH
337 3.5; 6, pH 6.0; R, Ripe; O, Overripe.
338



339

340 **Figure 3.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of (A) Apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls using
341 mid-infrared spectral data between 2000 to 600 cm^{-1} and (B) score loadings of PC1 and PC2.
342 Abbreviations: A, Apple; B, Beet; K, Kiwifruit; C, cell walls; 2, pH 2.0; 3, pH 3.5; 6, pH 6.0; R,
343 Ripe; O, Overripe.

344 3.2 Characterization of native and modified cell walls after boiling at three 345 different pHs

346 3.2.1 Global characterization by FTIR spectra

347 A principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out using the spectral data
348 (Fig. 3) to highlight the distribution of all AIS samples. The first two axes (PC1 and
349 PC2) explained more than 82% of the total variance and allowed the discrimination of
350 the three species, apple, beet and kiwifruit (Fig. 3A). Since the composition of apple
351 and beet cell walls are very different (Latorre et al., 2013; Le Bourvellec et al., 2011),
352 one would have expected the samples according to their spectra to be separated on the
353 PC1 x PC2 plane. However, this was not the case, indicating that the complex internal
354 cross-linking type in cell walls may also influence the spectral data and not only
355 chemical composition *per se*. Concerning the treatments (at pH 2.0, 3.5 and 6.0), the
356 samples were also separated in four groups (one for each treatment plus the non
357 treated cell walls) for each species (Fig. 3A). PC1 discriminated cell walls at pH 2.0

358 on the left and pH 6.0 on the right in each species group, highlighting a common
359 impact. Samples treated at pH 3.5 were close to the native cell walls, at least along
360 PC1. The study of eigenvectors (Fig 3B) and especially of PC1 showed two negative
361 peaks at 1740 and 1028 cm^{-1} which could be due to galacturonic acid and its ester
362 carbonyl group absorptions whereas the positive peak at 1633 cm^{-1} could be due to its
363 free carbonyl group (Gnanasambandam, Proctor, 2000; Kohn, 1975;
364 Szymanska-Chargot & Zdunek, 2013). The positive peak at 1526 cm^{-1}, characteristic
365 of kiwifruit cell walls, might be due to lignin (Huck, 2015). PC1 thus differentiated
366 apple and beet cell walls (pectin-rich) from kiwifruit cell walls (pectin-poor), and
367 within each species group common mechanisms, probably also linked to the pectic
368 backbone.

369 PC2 only expressed 10% of the variability and presented bands linked to
370 galacturonic acid and neutral sugars, but sample discrimination was more variable per
371 species. Two kinds of samples stood out along PC2, namely apple cell walls treated at
372 pH 2.0 (lower) and kiwifruit cell walls treated at pH 3.5 (higher than the initial cell
373 walls). For apple and beet cell walls, all the treatments resulted in a shift in the same
374 direction along PC2, but not for the kiwifruit cell walls. This PC could thus be more
375 related to specific effects.

376 **3.2.2 Native cell wall yields**

377 The cell walls of the apples, beets and kiwifruits were pure white, reddish gray
378 and light grayish white, respectively. The beet cell wall yields (Table 1) were twice

379 those of apple and kiwifruit. The cell wall yields were comparable to, though
380 generally slightly lower than, those reported earlier: for apple 17.2 to 27 mg/g (FW,
381 fresh weight) (Le Bourvellec et al. (2011), 12 cultivars); for beet 30.4 mg/g
382 (Dongowski (2001) and 36 mg/g (FW) (Latorre et al. (2013), after 10 min boiling);
383 for kiwifruit 32.1 mg/g FW with whole, unpeeled kiwifruits (Sauvageau, Hinkley,
384 Carnachan, & Sims, 2010), and 16 mg/g FW with outer parenchyma (Fischer,
385 Wegryzn, Hallett, & Redgwell, 1996).

386 **3.2.3 Compositions of native and modified cell walls**

387 Native cell wall compositions were in agreement with literature data (Le
388 Bourvellec et al., 2011; Redgwell et al., 1988; Renard & Thibault, 1993). Concerning
389 polysaccharides, the cell walls of all three species were rich in glucose and
390 galacturonic acid (Table 1). Glucose was mainly derived from cellulose. Contents of
391 mannose and galactose were very similar in all cell walls, though differences were
392 still statistically significant. Rhamnose and fucose were minor components (5-16
393 mg/g CW).

394 One marked difference between the cell walls was the arabinose content,
395 particularly high in the cell walls from beet, while it was very low in the cell walls of
396 kiwifruit (10 mg/g CW). The pectic substances of apple were more highly methylated
397 (DM 82) than those of beet or kiwifruit, while acetyl groups were higher in beet,
398 giving apparent degrees of acetylation (for pectins) > 100, and higher than reported in
399 beet varieties used for sugar productions (DAc from 66 to 69 %) (Renard & Jarvis,

400 1999; Renard & Thibault, 1993). It should be mentioned that most cell wall polymers
401 can be acetylated, especially hemicelluloses and pectins (Gille & Pauly, 2012), and
402 that reporting acetylation relative only to galacturonic acid might lead to
403 overestimated degree of acetylation.

404 Cell walls can contain polyphenols or be contaminated by intracellular
405 polyphenols, and these patterns were different per species. All three native cell walls
406 contained some low amounts of lignin, with higher concentrations in the kiwifruit cell
407 wall. These were the only phenolic compounds detected in the kiwifruit cell wall.
408 Beet cell walls contained ester-bound phenolic acids, primarily ferulic acid (7.0 mg/g
409 CW) lower than reported earlier (13-20 mg/g CW) (Renard & Jarvis, 1999; Renard &
410 Thibault, 1993). Ferulic acid is an intrinsic component of beet cell walls, where it is
411 bound to arabinans and galactan side chains of pectins (Fry, 1986). The native apple
412 cell wall was slightly contaminated by vacuolar procyanidins (0.26 mg/g CW) of high
413 $\overline{DP}_{n}$ (15). These procyanidins became non detectable in the modified apple cell walls
414 perhaps due to their removal with soluble polysaccharides as procyanidins have a high
415 affinity, among polysaccharides, for pectins (Le Bourvellec, Bouchet, & Renard,
416 2005). The AIS preparation used here includes a specific step for elimination of this
417 artefact, the efficiency of which was validated.

418 What about their common and specific responses after modification? For all cell
419 walls, all the treatments led to a marked loss of arabinose and galacturonic acid, and
420 by balance a relative increase in xylose, mannose and cellulose (Table. 1). Whichever

421 the treatment and the species, xylose and mannose from hemicelluloses and glucose
422 from cellulose were not affected by acid hydrolysis nor β-elimination reactions, so
423 that their contents increased. The rhamnose and galactose contents were stable, so that
424 their losses appeared to be close to the average cell wall loss. Intensity of loss of the
425 different sugars appeared to depend primarily on pH, then on species. The least
426 pronounced pectin depolymerization was observed at pH 3.5, that is, the neutral sugar,
427 galacturonic acid, lignin contents were intermediate between values obtained in pH
428 2.0 and 6.0. This is a common response for all cell walls. It suggested that the
429 β-elimination reaction and acid hydrolysis occur simultaneously at pH 3.5, but both
430 had a low intensity. This is consistent with Ella Missang et al. (2012) who reported the
431 composition of the cell wall material from cooked apricot at natural pH (c.a. 4.0) for
432 10 min did not change significantly, compared with the cell wall isolated from fresh
433 apricot fruit. The same result was also observed in the pear cell walls (cooked at 85 °
434 C for 20 min) (Renard, 2005). Therefore, thermal processing at this pH can protect
435 fruits and vegetables by reducing the pectin degradation reaction rates by both
436 β-elimination and hydrolysis.

437 At pH 2.0, the most marked difference concerned arabinose, while the
438 galacturonic acid was mostly retained, in accordance to the order of sensitivity to
439 hydrolysis of glycosidic linkages. Arabinose is in the furanose form in the cell walls,
440 and bonds involving furanose sugars are particularly susceptible to acid hydrolysis
441 (Fry, 1988). Moreover, significant specific responses were observed in the degree of
442 arabinose loss in different cell walls at this pH. The percentages of arabinose loss for

443 AC2, BC2 and KCR2 were 59 %, 38 % and 30 %, respectively. Therefore, the
444 arabinose in the apple cell walls was the most sensitive to acid hydrolysis. At this pH,
445 the content of ferulic acid in the beet cell walls was also relatively low, which is
446 attributed to the degradation of arabinan side chains. Ferulic acid can participate in
447 intra-/inter- molecular crosslinking with arabinan chains (Morris & Ralet, 2012;
448 Wefers, Gmeiner, Tyl, & Bunzel, 2015) and limit beet texture loss (Waldron et al.,
449 1997). Therefore a more acidic pH, by enhancing arabinan degradation and loss of
450 ferulic cross-links, might favour softening of beets. Li, Liu, Tu, Li, & Yan (2019) also
451 confirmed that the addition of ferulic acid led to better preservation of the cell wall
452 structure of cooked radish. This may due to ferulic acid reaction with neutral sugar
453 side chains, preventing the leaching of pectins from the cell wall matrix. Compared
454 with the other two pH treatments and untreated cell walls, pH 2.0 processing
455 increased the pectin linearity (GalA/Rha molar ratio) and decreased the RG-I
456 branching ((Ara+Gal)/Rha molar ratio) in all cell walls.

457 With regard to treatment at pH 6.0, the galacturonic acid content decreased
458 significantly after treatment in all cell walls, especially the kiwifruit cell walls, due to
459 β-elimination. The percentage of galacturonic acid loss for KCR6, AC6 and BC6 was
460 72 %, 42 % and 37 % in order. The galactose in AC6 and BC6 was not significantly
461 different from the untreated cell walls, while KCR6 lost 25 %. The common response
462 under this condition is that the modified cell walls had the lowest pectin linearity and
463 the highest RG-I content. The cell walls also had significantly lower methanol and
464 slightly lower lignin contents. The acetyl groups of the cell walls were more stable in

465 apple and kiwifruit cell walls than beet cell walls, and also more stable than
466 methyl-esters during the processing (Broxterman, Picouet, & Schols, 2017), probably
467 because some O-acetyl-substituents were carried by other cell wall constituents (Gille
468 & Pauly, 2012). Lower acetic acid content of beet cell walls after treatment at pH 6.0
469 may be due to the initial presence of acetylated pectins and loss during treatment.
470 Acid hydrolysis and β-elimination appeared to be common mechanisms that cause
471 loss of neutral sugars, often from pectin side chains, and galacturonic acid,
472 respectively, but their effects were of different intensities.

473 This was confirmed by the ANOVA (Table 1): while the species was the most
474 significant factor, pH values and ripeness (for kiwifruit) had also significant effects.
475 Only fucose was not significantly modified by treatment at different pHs, while the
476 effect on galactose and rhamnose was less marked than for all other parameters. The
477 interaction 'species × pH' influenced significantly acetic acid, methanol and neutral
478 sugars such as arabinose, mannose, galactose and non-cellulosic glucose. This
479 confirmed the existence of common effects on galacturonic acid (and absence of
480 effect on cellulose) and more specific effects on pectic substituents and some neutral
481 sugars.

482 **3.2.4 Comparison of kiwifruit cell walls at two maturity stage**

483 After harvest, the kiwifruit underwent a marked softening. As is shown in Table 1,
484 the neutral sugars, galacturonic acid, acetic acid, methanol and lignin contents were
485 reduced from KCRs to KCOs, and the most relevant differences with maturity

486 concerned rhamnose, arabinose, galactose, glucose and galacturonic acid in
487 accordance with literature data on fruit softening: ripening involves hydrolysis of
488 neutral sugars from pectin side chains, depolymerization and increased solubilization
489 of pectins and hemicelluloses (Brummell, 2006). The degrees of methylation and
490 acetylation of pectins increased, signaling potential preferential degradation of the
491 less methylated and acetylated pectins during overripening. The cell walls of ripe
492 kiwifruit were more sensitive to the treatment at different pHs than that of overripe as
493 the main modifications had occurred during ripening. The interaction 'pH × maturity'
494 influenced significantly galactose.

Table 2. Yields (mg/g cell wall), neutral sugars, galacturonic acid and ferulic acid compositions (mg/g solubilized polysaccharide), macromolecular characteristics and ANOVA results of solubilized polysaccharides from the different cell walls before and after modifications by boiling at different pHs.

Sample	pH	Maturity	Yields	Rha	Fuc	Ara	Xyl	Man	Gal	NCGlc	Gal A	Ac. A	MeOH	DM (%)	DAc (%)	FA	$\overline{M}_w$ ($\times10^3$ g·mol^{-1})	M_p ($\times10^3$ g·mol^{-1})
AP2	2.0	Ripe	9.6	13	4	89	26	3	55	18	456	17	71	86	17	-	431	541
AP3	3.5	Ripe	16.1	10	6	63	27	3	40	13	419	14	59	77	15	-	149	82
AP6	6.0	Ripe	16.5	14	4	56	16	2	35	10	452	15	78	95	16	-	217	32
BP2	2.0	Ripe	17.3	6	1	187	2	3	27	3	325	47	49	83	67	8.1	147	120
BP3	3.5	Ripe	9.9	6	2	96	1	4	19	4	402	64	66	90	73	4.3	117	112
BP6	6.0	Ripe	15.4	9	3	54	1	4	19	3	491	71	73	81	67	2.2	65	38
KPR2	2.0	Ripe	13.9	7	2	13	10	3	73	51	473	5	65	73	5	-	287	88
KPR3	3.5	Ripe	11.2	8	3	16	6	3	67	19	616	4	89	75	3	-	285	74
KPR6	6.0	Ripe	22.1	11	2	16	6	3	75	20	449	6	67	79	6	-	161	37
KPO2	2.0	Overripe	14.4	4	3	10	12	4	23	34	465	2	72	82	2	-	80	28
KPO3	3.5	Overripe	16.4	7	4	13	12	4	28	28	567	8	89	82	7	-	137	31
KPO6	6.0	Overripe	18.3	9	3	11	9	4	26	15	465	4	72	81	4	-	79	15
		Pooled SD	-	0.6	0.3	2.7	1.5	0.2	1.8	2.1	16.1	1.1	2.2	3.3	1.3	0.1	11.3	6.4
ANOVA																		
PC	Species			55***	45***	724***	127***	9**	441***	1229***	43***	3046***	22***	9**	1940***	4128***	394***	1076***
	pH			21***	7**	235***	7**	5*	20***	345***	15***	53***	25***	2	0.4	507***	279***	1994***
	Species*pH			2	6**	132***	4*	5**	7***	215***	30***	62***	36***	4*	4*	507***	123***	1183***
PC-K	pH			56***	56***	47***	87***	15***	4*	39***	28***	0.04	67***	0.312	0.4	-	602***	305***
	Maturity			26***	134***	239***	183***	97***	3447***	3	1	3	7*	5	2	-	90***	65***
	pH*Maturity			3	5*	3	23***	6*	21***	10**	1	6	1	1	8*	-	35***	18***

497 Fisher's Value F value, P≤0.05: *, P≤0.01: **, P≤0.001: ***. Pooled SD: pooled standard deviation (degree of freedom: 24). PC: Apple, beet, kiwifruit solubilized polysaccharides at ripe stage.
498 PC-K: Kiwifruit solubilized polysaccharides at ripe and overripe stages. Species: Apple, beet and kiwifruit; pH treatments: 2.0, 3.5 and 6.0; Maturity: Ripe and overripe stages. Rha: rhamnose,
499 Fuc: fucose, Ara: arabinose, Xyl: xylose, Man: mannose, Gal: galactose, Glc: glucose, Gal A: galacturonic acid, NCGlc: glucose from non-cellulose, Ac. A: acetic acid, DAc: degree of
500 acetylation, MeOH: methanol, DM: degree of methylation, FA: ferulic Acid. $\bar{M}_w$: weight average molar mass; M_p: molar mass at the apex of the major peak. Abbreviations: A, Apple; B, Beet; K,
501 Kiwifruit; P, solubilized polysaccharides; 2, pH 2.0; 3, pH 3.5; 6, pH 6.0; R, Ripe; O, Overripe.

502 **3.3 Characterization of solubilized polysaccharides**

503 Solubilized polysaccharides represented a minor part of the original cell walls,
504 with recoveries between 9.6 and 22% of the original cell walls, however, they were of
505 interest as indicators of involved mechanisms.

506 **3.3.1 Composition of the solubilized polysaccharides**

507 Similar to cell walls, solubilized polysaccharides (SP) from different cell walls or
508 after treamtents at different pHs also showed both common and specific features.
509 Galacturonic acid was the main component in all SP, which were thus clearly mainly
510 of pectic nature, but significant differences were observed between the various pH
511 treatments and species (Table 2). Beet solubilized polysaccharides (BPs) had the
512 highest arabinose content with less xylose, galactose and galacturonic acid than apple
513 and kiwifruit. They were also the richest in glucose and acetic acid. Kiwifruit
514 solubilized polysaccharides (KPs) had the highest galactose and glucose contents, and
515 apple solubilized polysaccharides (APs) the highest rhamnose and xylose contents.
516 For all species, rhamnose content rose with increasing pH of the treatment. For apple
517 and beet cell walls treated at pH 6.0 SP were relatively enriched in galacturonic acid.
518 This could be linked to a larger amount of galacturonic acid solubilized from the cell
519 wall at pH 6.0. In addition, for APs and BPs, more acidic pH led to more extraction of
520 arabinose (Ara) and, for BPs, ferulic acid (FA), with a constant ratio of Ara/FA
521 indicating that there was no specific extraction nor degradation of feruloylated
522 moieties during extraction. In the case of kiwifruit, the highest content of galacturonic

523 acid was at pH 3.5, and there were less differences as a function of pH. The Gal
524 A/(Rha+Ara+Gal) ratio of KPs (from 3.9 to 10.9) was larger than APs (from 2.4 to 3.5)
525 and BPs (from 1.1 to 4.7) indicating KPs were less ramified. The DM showed
526 differences between the various species and pH in Table 2. For the ANOVA result of
527 apple, beet, kiwifruit solubilized polysaccharides, arabinose, galactose, glucose, acetic
528 acid and ferulic acids were the most relevant compounds for differentiating pH values
529 (Table 2). The interaction 'species × pH' influenced significantly arabinose, acetic
530 acid and methanol.

531 Less arabinose, galactose and galacturonic acid were solubilized in SP from
532 overripe kiwifruit cell walls, probably due to preliminary degradation of pectins by
533 endogenous enzymes during overripening (Table 2). The most statistically significant
534 differences that distinguished maturity were rhamnose, fucose, arabinose, xylose,
535 mannose and galactose contents. The interaction 'pH × maturity' influenced
536 significantly xylose and galactose (Table 2).

537 **3.3.2 Molar mass distribution of the solubilized polysaccharides**

538 HPSEC-MALLS chromatograms showed generally one main peak at 20-26 mL
539 with shoulders, representing high molar mass polymers, and a peak around 29-30 mL
540 which could be attributed to oligomers (Fig. 4a, b, e and f). With increasing pH value,
541 the main peaks of APs, BPs, KPRs and KPOs shifted to higher elution volumes
542 indicating a decrease in hydrodynamic volume, which was generally accompanied by
543 a decrease of the molar mass of the main fraction, M_p (Table 2).



Figure 4. HPSEC-MALLS chromatograms (RID and DAD signals) and molar mass *vs* elution volume of the solubilized polysaccharides samples. a, c: Apple; b, d: Beet; e, g: Kiwifruit at ripe stage; f, h: Kiwifruit at overripe stage. a, b, e and f: —— pH 2.0; ——pH 3.5; —— pH 6.0 represent normalized chain concentration and ▬▬ pH 2.0; ▬▬ pH 3.5; ▬▬ pH 6.0 represent molar mass. c, d, g and h: —— pH 2.0; ——pH 3.5; —— pH 6.0 represent UV signal at 235 nm and – – – pH 2.0; – – –pH 3.5; – – – pH 6.0 represent the UV signal at 320 nm.

APs had higher $\overline{M}_w$ than BPs, KPRs and KPOs especially at pH 2.0 (AP2) (Table 2). The difference of molar mass and size between AP2 and AP3 (Table 2, Fig. 4a, b, e

553 and f) was much more marked than that of the three series of three pHs for beet and
554 kiwifruit, while all pectins extracted at pH 6.0 were clearly differentiated. Apple cell
555 walls thus appeared to react differently from beet and kiwifruit upon acidic treatment,
556 which was also coherent with the analysis of their infrared spectra (Fig. 3).

557 For AP2 and BP2, there were two distinct populations of SP, one major peaking at
558 20-22 mL with high molar masses, and a minor population peaking at 27-28 mL
559 corresponding to lower molar masses probably arising acid hydrolysis (Fig. 4a and b).
560 In BP2, these two fractions were also marked by relatively intense UV signals at 235
561 and 320 nm, which can be attributed to the presence of ferulic acid covalently
562 bounded to SP molecules (Fig. 4d). Moreover, the highest UV signals at 235 and 320
563 nm were found for low molar mass fractions of BP extracted at pH 2.0 (Fig. 4d),
564 mainly produced by acid hydrolysis. As ferulic acid is known to link preferentially to
565 arabinose side chains of pectins, this may indicate that this low molar mass fraction is
566 richer in arabinans. The higher extraction of Ara observed at pH 2.0 for BP and AP
567 might then be due to higher hydrolysis of arabinan during acid extraction in line with
568 the higher sensitivity of Ara-Ara linkages to acid hydrolysis (Renard et al., 1995). KPs
569 chromatograms exhibited one main peak with a shoulder at a lower elution volume
570 (24 mL) than APs and BPs, i.e. lower sized SP, but no peak at 27-28 mL, even for
571 KPR2. Moreover, even if the shoulder in the main peak diminished when pH
572 increased, the molar mass observed for KPR2 and KPR3 were not significantly
573 different (Table 2), this indicates that very low acid hydrolysis occurred during
574 extraction at pH 2.0, probably because KPs contain fewer neutral sugars (Table 2).

575 AP2, BP2 and KPR2 exhibited a higher $\overline{M}_{w}$ than their homologs extracted at higher
576 pHs (Table 2), which suggested that larger SP were extracted at pH 2.0 even if a small
577 amount of hydrolysis was observed as well. Interestingly, the specific extraction of
578 high molar mass pectins from apple cell walls might explain the preferential industrial
579 use of apples for pectin extraction, and imply the existence of specific, acid-labile
580 bonds retaining pectins in apple cell walls.

581 After extraction at pH 6.0, all SP absorbed at 235 nm indicating β-elimination.
582 APs size and molar mass distributions were broader and tighter for the others (Fig. 4a,
583 b, e and f). This suggests that apple SP were the most sensitive to pH treatment. This
584 may be attributed to the origin of the cell walls, i.e., to the intrinsic 3D cell wall
585 network and especially to the intermolecular interactions of the wall polysaccharides.
586 In particular, the pectin populations in the cell walls can interact with cellulose or
587 hemicelluloses, with variation between different species (Broxterman & Schols, 2018).
588 These more or less strong interactions between the polysaccharides of the cell wall
589 drive the response to the different pH extraction conditions. For KPs in particular,
590 when treatment pH increased the shoulder observed at 20 mL generally decreased.
591 These results are in agreement with previous observations on SP extraction by heating
592 at pH 6.0 which showed that the extraction led to one pectic fraction for beet and two
593 pectic fractions for apple: one of high hydrodynamic volume, rich in neutral sugars,
594 and one of low hydrodynamic volume rich in galacturonic acid (Renard & Thibault,
595 1993). The main fraction of AP6 peaking at 3.2×10^{4} g/mol might then be enriched in
596 galacturonic acid. In addition to the molar mass decrease, a signal at 235 nm was

597 obvious in the middle molecular size part of the chromatograms (elution volume
598 around 24-27 mL) for all the SP extracted at pH 6.0 (Fig. 4c, d, g and h), indicating
599 the presence of unsaturated double bonds such as produced by β-elimination. As for
600 APs, KPRs and KPOs extracted at pH 2.0 and pH 3.5 absorbances at 235 nm were
601 quite minors, this confirmed that the degradation of pectic fractions mainly occurred
602 through β-elimination at pH 6.0 for APs, KPRs and KPOs. Nevertheless, for BPs UV
603 signals at 235 nm were present for all pHs: at pH 2.0 and 3.5 they closely followed
604 those at 320 nm, suggesting minor absorption from ferulic acid. At pH 6.0 however,
605 the two bands were not superimposable, with a shoulder at higher elution volumes for
606 the signal at 235 nm, closely following the RI signal and again suggesting extraction
607 of homogalacturonan-rich pectins after β-elimination.

608 All SP from overripe kiwifruit were markedly smaller than their analogs from
609 ripe kiwifruit ($\overline{M}_w$ of 6.4 x 10^4 g/mol and 1.53 x 10^5 g/mol for KPO6 and KPR6
610 respectively, Table 2) confirming SP degradation during maturation as a result of
611 endogeneous enzymic process (Matsumoto, Obara, & Luh, 1983). Nevertheless, the
612 variation of molar mass observed between the extraction at different pHs occurred in
613 the same proportions for KPs (Table 2) whatever their maturity.

614 **4 Conclusions**

615 We explored the interactions between cell wall structures, proxied by plant origin,
616 and pH in a model system miming F&V processing. Cell wall modifications and
617 polysaccharide solubilization occurring in commonly used fruits (apple and kiwifruit)

618 and vegetable (beet) revealed some common features but also some striking
619 differences for the same pH. In all cases, pectins were clearly the polysaccharides the
620 most impacted, highlighted either from sugar loss in the modified cell walls or the
621 composition of the solubilized polysaccharides. The acid treatment at pH 2.0 removed
622 the arabinan side chains but the solubilized polysaccharides had a higher
623 hydrodynamic volume, especially in apple. Galactose in kiwifruit cell walls was the
624 most sensitive to boiling treatment, especially at pH 6.0. The main skeleton of pectins
625 was significantly degraded after treatments at pH 6.0 by β-elimination reaction,
626 leading to a higher extraction of smaller molecules, especially from kiwifruit cell
627 walls. Under this condition, the molar mass of solubilized polysaccharides with more
628 unsaturated double bonds was the smallest. The effectiveness of the pH treatments on
629 different fruits and vegetables was different, and this was particularly marked for
630 apple.

631 This study improved the understanding of structure/processing relationships and
632 pointed out important differences concerning the behavior of individual species. This
633 aspect means that each fruit or vegetable species should be regarded to have
634 putatively some specificities in relation to their modified cell walls. The knowledge of
635 such specificities in different natural sources can improve the quality of canned fruit
636 and vegetable processing and open up prospects for their fine-tuning of functional
637 characteristics.

638 **Acknowledgements**

639 LIU Xuwei would like to acknowledge China Scholarship Council (CSC) and Institut
640 National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation, et l'Environnement
641 (INRAE) for financial support to his PhD study. The authors thank Line Touloumet,
642 Patrice Reling, Caroline Garcia, Romain Bott and Marielle Boge (INRAE, UMR408
643 SQPOV) for their technical help. And thank the TERSYS Federative Research
644 Structure for the support to the HPSEC-MALLS measures as well as the related
645 financial support from Avignon University, the French government, the department of
646 Vaucluse, PACA region, European funds for regional development, INRAE and
647 CNRS.

648 **5. References**

649 Albersheim, P., Neukom, H., & Deuel, H. (1960). Splitting of pectin chain molecules
650 in neutral solutions. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, *90*(1), 46–51.
651 https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(60)90609-3
652 Ando, Y., Hagiwara, S., & Nabetani, H. (2017). Thermal inactivation kinetics of
653 pectin methylesterase and the impact of thermal treatment on the texture,
654 electrical impedance characteristics and cell wall structure of Japanese radish
655 (Raphanus sativus L.). *Journal of Food Engineering*, *199*, 9–18.
656 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.12.001
657 Blumenkrantz, N., & Asboe-Hansen, G. (1973). New method for quantitative
658 determination of uronic acids. *Analytical Biochemistry*, *54*(2), 484–489.
659 https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(73)90377-1
660 Box, G. E., Hunter, W. G., & Hunter, J. S. (1978). *An introduction to design, data*
661 *analysis, and model building*. *John Wiley & Sons New York, NY*.
662 Brahem, M., Renard, C. M. G. C., Bureau, S., Watrelot, A. A., & Le Bourvellec, C.
663 (2019). Pear ripeness and tissue type impact procyanidin-cell wall interactions.

664 *Food Chemistry*, *275*, 754–762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.156
665 Broxterman, S. E., Picouet, P., & Schols, H. A. (2017). Acetylated pectins in raw and
666 heat processed carrots. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *177*, 58–66.
667 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.08.118
668 Broxterman, S. E., & Schols, H. A. (2018). Interactions between pectin and cellulose
669 in primary plant cell walls. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *192*, 263–272.
670 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.070
671 Brummell, D. A. (2006). Cell wall disassembly in ripening fruit. *Functional Plant*
672 *Biology*, *33*(2), 103–119. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP05234
673 Bureau, S., Ścibisz, I., Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2012). Effect of
674 sample preparation on the measurement of sugars, organic acids, and
675 polyphenols in apple fruit by mid-infrared spectroscopy. *Journal of Agricultural*
676 *and Food Chemistry*, *60*(14), 3551–3563. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf204785w
677 Canteri, M. H. G., Renard, C. M. G. C., Le Bourvellec, C., & Bureau, S. (2019).
678 ATR-FTIR spectroscopy to determine cell wall composition: Application on a
679 large diversity of fruits and vegetables. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *212*, 186–196.
680 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.02.021
681 Chen, J., Liu, W., Liu, C. M., Li, T., Liang, R. H., & Luo, S. J. (2015). Pectin
682 Modifications: A Review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*,
683 *55*(12), 1684–1698. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.718722
684 Cordella, C. B. Y., & Bertrand, D. (2014). SAISIR: A new general chemometric
685 toolbox. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, *54*, 75–82.
686 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2013.10.009
687 Diaz, J. V., Anthon, G. E., & Barrett, D. M. (2007). Nonenzymatic degradation of
688 citrus pectin and pectate during prolonged heating: Effects of pH, temperature,
689 and degree of methyl esterification. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
690 *55*(13), 5131–5136. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0701483
691 Dongowski, G. (2001). Enzymatic degradation studies of pectin and cellulose from
692 red beets. *Nahrung - Food*, *45*(5), 324–331.

693 https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803(20011001)45:5<324::AID-FOOD324>3.0.C
694 O;2-C
695 Ella Missang, C., Maingonnat, J. F., Renard, C. M. G. C., & Audergon, J. M. (2012).
696 Apricot cell wall composition: Relation with the intra-fruit texture heterogeneity
697 and impact of cooking. *Food Chemistry*, *133*(1), 45–54.
698 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.12.059
699 Englyst, H., Wiggins, H. S., & Cummings, J. H. (1982). Determination of the
700 non-starch polysaccharides in plant foods by gas-liquid chromatography of
701 constituent sugars as alditol acetates. *The Analyst*, *107*(1272), 307–318.
702 https://doi.org/10.1039/an9820700307
703 Filippov, M. P., & Kohn, R. (1975). Determination of the esterification degree of
704 carboxyl groups of pectin with methanol by means of infrared spectroscopy.
705 *Chem. Zvesti*, *29*(1), 88–91.
706 Fischer, M., Wegryzn, T. F., Hallett, I. C., & Redgwell, R. J. (1996). Chemical and
707 structural features of kiwifruit cell walls: Comparison of fruit and
708 suspension-cultured cells. *Carbohydrate Research*, *295*, 195–208.
709 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6215(96)00234-0
710 Fraeye, I., De Roeck, A., Duvetter, T., Verlent, I., Hendrickx, M., & Van Loey, A.
711 (2007). Influence of pectin properties and processing conditions on thermal
712 pectin degradation. *Food Chemistry*, *105*(2), 555–563.
713 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.04.009
714 Fry, S. C. (1988). The growing plant cell wall: chemical and metabolic analysis. *New
715 York*, *203*, 333. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2003.00980.x
716 Gille, S., & Pauly, M. (2012). O-acetylation of plant cell wall polysaccharides.
717 *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *3*, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00012
718 Gnanasambandam, R., Proctor, A. (2000). Determination of pectin degree of
719 esterification by diffuse reflectance, *68*, 327–332.
720 Guyot, S., Marnet, N., & Drilleau, J. F. (2001). Thiolysis - HPLC characterization of
721 apple procyanidins covering a large range of polymerization states. *Journal of*

722 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *49*(1), 14–20.
723 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000814z
724 Houben, K., Jolie, R. P., Fraeye, I., Van Loey, A. M., & Hendrickx, M. E. (2011).
725 Comparative study of the cell wall composition of broccoli, carrot, and tomato:
726 Structural characterization of the extractable pectins and hemicelluloses.
727 *Carbohydrate Research*, *346*(9), 1105–1111.
728 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.04.014
729 Huck, C. W. (2015). Advances of infrared spectroscopy in natural product research.
730 *Phytochemistry Letters*, *11*, 384–393.
731 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2014.10.026
732 Kebe, M., Renard, C. M. G. C., El Maâtaoui, M., Amani, G. N. G., & Maingonnat, J.
733 F. (2015). Leaching of polyphenols from apple parenchyma tissue as influenced
734 by thermal treatments. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *166*, 237–246.
735 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2015.05.037
736 Latorre, M. E., de Escalada Plá, M. F., Rojas, A. M., & Gerschenson, L. N. (2013).
737 Blanching of red beet (Beta vulgaris L. var. conditiva) root. Effect of hot water
738 or microwave radiation on cell wall characteristics. *LWT - Food Science and*
739 *Technology*, *50*(1), 193–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.06.004
740 Le Bourvellec, C., Bouchet, B., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2005). Non-covalent
741 interaction between procyanidins and apple cell wall material. Part III: Study on
742 model polysaccharides. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects*,
743 *1725*(1), 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2005.06.004
744 Le Bourvellec, C., Bouzerzour, K., Ginies, C., Regis, S., Plé, Y., & Renard, C. M. G.
745 C. (2011). Phenolic and polysaccharidic composition of applesauce is close to
746 that of apple flesh. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, *24*(4–5), 537–547.
747 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.12.012
748 Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2005). Non-covalent interaction between
749 procyanidins and apple cell wall material. Part II: Quantification and impact of
750 cell wall drying. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects*, *1725*(1), 1–9.

751 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2005.06.003
752 Le Bourvellec, C., Watrelot, A. A., Ginies, C., Imberty, A., & Renard, C. M. G. C.
753 (2012). Impact of processing on the noncovalent interactions between
754 procyanidin and apple cell wall. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
755 *60*(37), 9484–9494. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3015975
756 Li, M., Ho, K. K. H. Y., Hayes, M., & Ferruzzi, M. G. (2019). The Roles of Food
757 Processing in Translation of Dietary Guidance for Whole Grains, Fruits, and
758 Vegetables. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, *10*(1), 569–596.
759 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-032818-121330
760 Li, X., Liu, G., Tu, Y., Li, J., & Yan, S. (2019). Ferulic acid pretreatment alleviates
761 the decrease in hardness of cooked Chinese radish (Raphanus sativus L. var.
762 longipinnatus Bailey). *Food Chemistry*, *278*, 502–508.
763 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.086
764 Matsumoto, S., Obara, T., & Luh, B. S. (1983). Changes in Chemical Constituents of
765 Kiwifruit During Post-Harvest Ripening. *Journal of Food Science*, *48*(2), 607–
766 611. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1983.tb10800.x
767 McCann, M. C., Wells, B., & Roberts, K. (1990). Direct visualization of cross-links in
768 the primary plant cell wall. *Journal of Cell Science*, *96*(2), 323–334.
769 Metaxas, D. J., Syros, T. D., Yupsanis, T., & Economou, A. S. (2004). Peroxidases
770 during adventitious rooting in cuttings of Arbutus unedo and Taxus baccata as
771 affected by plant genotype and growth regulator treatment. *Plant Growth*
772 *Regulation*, *44*(3), 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-004-5931-7
773 Micard, V., Renard, C. M. G. C., & Thibault, J. F. (1994). Studies on Enzymic
774 Release of Ferulic Acid from Sugar-Beet Pulp. *LWT - Food Science and*
775 *Technology*, *27*(1), 59–66. https://doi.org/10.1006/FSTL.1994.1013
776 Morris, G. A., & Ralet, M. C. (2012). A copolymer analysis approach to estimate the
777 neutral sugar distribution of sugar beet pectin using size exclusion
778 chromatography. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *87*(2), 1139–1143.
779 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.08.077

780 Peng, J., Song, Y., Zhang, X., Pan, L., & Tu, K. (2019). Calcium absorption in
781 asparagus during thermal processing: Different forms of calcium ion and cell
782 integrity in relation to texture. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, *111*, 889–
783 895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.05.095
784 Ranganathan, K., Subramanian, V., & Shanmugam, N. (2016). Effect of Thermal and
785 Nonthermal Processing on Textural Quality of Plant Tissues. *Critical Reviews in*
786 *Food Science and Nutrition*, *56*(16), 2665–2694.
787 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.908348
788 Redgwell, R. J., MacRae, E., Hallett, I., Fischer, M., Perry, J., & Harker, R. (1997). In
789 vivo and in vitro swelling of cell walls during fruit ripening. *Planta*, *203*(2),
790 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250050178
791 Redgwell, R. J., Melton, L. D., & Brasch, D. J. (1988). Cell-wall polysaccharides of
792 kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa): Chemical features in different tissue zones of the
793 fruit at harvest. *Carbohydrate Research*, *182*(2), 241–258.
794 https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(88)84006-0
795 Renard, C. M. G. C. (2005a). Effects of conventional boiling on the polyphenols and
796 cell walls of pears. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *85*(2), 310–
797 318. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.1987
798 Renard, C. M. G. C. (2005b). Variability in cell wall preparations: Quantification and
799 comparison of common methods. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *60*(4), 515–522.
800 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.03.002
801 Renard, C. M. G. C., Crépeau, M. J., & Thibault, J. F. (1995). Structure of the
802 repeating units in the rhamnogalacturonic backbone of apple, beet and citrus
803 pectins. *Carbohydrate Research*, *275*(1), 155–165.
804 https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(95)00140-O
805 Renard, C. M. G. C., & Ginies, C. (2009). Comparison of the cell wall composition
806 for flesh and skin from five different plums. *Food Chemistry*, *114*(3), 1042–1049.
807 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.10.073
808 Renard, C. M. G. C., & Jarvis, M. C. (1999). A Cross-Polarization,

809 Magic-Angle-Spinning, 13 C-Nuclear-Magnetic-Resonance Study of
810 Polysaccharides in Sugar Beet Cell Walls. *Plant Physiology*, *119*(4), 1315–1322.
811 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.119.4.1315
812 Renard, C. M. G. C., & Thibault, J. F. (1993). Structure and properties of apple and
813 sugar-beet pectins extracted by chelating agents. *Carbohydrate Research*, *244*(1),
814 99–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(93)80007-2
815 Rolland-Sabaté, A., Colonna, P., Potocki-Véronèse, G., Monsan, P., & Planchot, V.
816 (2004). Elongation and insolubilisation of α-glucans by the action of Neisseria
817 polysaccharea amylosucrase. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *40*(1), 17–30.
818 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2004.04.001
819 Saeman, J. F., Moore, W. E., Mitchell, R. L., & Millett, M. A. (1954). Techniques for
820 the determination of pulp constituents by quantitiative paper chromatography.
821 *Tappi Journal*, *37*(8), 336–343.
822 Sauvageau, J., Hinkley, S. F., Carnachan, S. M., & Sims, I. M. (2010).
823 Characterisation of polysaccharides from gold kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis
824 Planch. 'Hort16A'). *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *82*(4), 1110–1115.
825 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.06.039
826 Sila, D. N., Van Buggenhout, S., Duvetter, T., Fraeye, I., De Roeck, A., Van Loey, A.,
827 & Hendrickx, M. (2009). Pectins in processed fruits and vegetables: Part II -
828 Structure-function relationships. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and*
829 *Food Safety*, *8*(2), 86–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2009.00071.x
830 Smidsrød, O., Haug, A., Larsen, B., von Hofsten, B., Williams, D. H., Bunnenberg,
831 E., … Records, R. (1966). The Influence of pH on the Rate of Hydrolysis of
832 Acidic Polysaccharides. *Acta Chemica Scandinavica*, *20*, 1026–1034.
833 https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.20-1026
834 Szymanska-Chargot, M., & Zdunek, A. (2013). Use of FT-IR Spectra and PCA to the
835 Bulk Characterization of Cell Wall Residues of Fruits and Vegetables Along a
836 Fraction Process. *Food Biophysics*, *8*(1), 29–42.
837 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11483-012-9279-7

838 Waldron, K. W., Ng, A., Parker, M. L., & Parr, A. J. (1997). Ferulic acid
839 dehydrodimers in the cell walls of Beta vulgaris and their possible role in texture.
840 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *74*(2), 221–228.
841 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199706)74:2<221::AID-JSFA792>3.0.
842 CO;2-Q
843 Wefers, D., Gmeiner, B. M., Tyl, C. E., & Bunzel, M. (2015). Characterization of
844 diferuloylated pectic polysaccharides from quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa
845 WILLD.). *Phytochemistry*, *116*(1), 320–328.
846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.04.009
847 Zhao, W., Shehzad, H., Yan, S., Li, J., & Wang, Q. (2017). Acetic acid pretreatment
848 improves the hardness of cooked potato slices. *Food Chemistry*, *228*, 204–210.
849 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.156
850



Mw
Gal A
Arabinan
methyl
Apple
pHs
Kiwifruit
Beet
acid hydrolysis
β-elimination
Same mechanisms
Specific responses?
Common response?
F&V processing