

Perirenal Adipose Tissue Displays an Age-Dependent Inflammatory Signature Associated With Early Graft Dysfunction of Marginal Kidney Transplants

Romain Boissier, Pauline François, Bastien Gondran Tellier, Maïté Meunier, Luc Lyonnet, Stéphanie Simoncini, Jeremy Magalon, Tristan Legris, Laurent Arnaud, Laurent Giraudo, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Romain Boissier, Pauline François, Bastien Gondran Tellier, Maïté Meunier, Luc Lyonnet, et al.. Perirenal Adipose Tissue Displays an Age-Dependent Inflammatory Signature Associated With Early Graft Dysfunction of Marginal Kidney Transplants. Frontiers in Immunology, 2020, 11, 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00445. hal-03159421

HAL Id: hal-03159421 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03159421

Submitted on 9 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Perirenal Adipose Tissue Displays an Age-Dependent Inflammatory Signature Associated With Early Graft Dysfunction of Marginal Kidney Transplants

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Peter Schemmer, Medical University of Graz, Austria

Reviewed by:

Guido Moll, Charité Medical University of Berlin, Germany

*Correspondence:

Pascale Paul pascale.paul@univ-amu.fr

[†]ORCID:

Pascale Paul orcid.org/0000-0002-6734-4479

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Alloimmunity and Transplantation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

> Received: 17 October 2019 Accepted: 26 February 2020 Published: 17 March 2020

Citation:

Boissier R, François P, Gondran Tellier B, Meunier M, Lyonnet L, Simoncini S, Magalon J, Legris T, Arnaud L, Giraudo L, Dignat George F, Karsenty G, Burtey S, Lechevallier E, Sabatier F and Paul P (2020) Perirenal Adipose Tissue Displays an Age-Dependent Inflammatory Signature Associated With Early Graft Dysfunction of Marginal Kidney Transplants. Front. Immunol. 11:445. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00445 Romain Boissier^{1,2}, Pauline François^{2,3}, Bastien Gondran Tellier^{1,2}, Maité Meunier⁴, Luc Lyonnet⁵, Stephanie Simoncini², Jeremy Magalon^{2,3}, Tristan Legris⁴, Laurent Arnaud⁵, Laurent Giraudo³, Françoise Dignat George^{2,5}, Gilles Karsenty¹, Stéphane Burtey^{2,4}, Eric Lechevallier¹, Florence Sabatier^{2,3} and Pascale Paul^{2,5*†}

¹ Department of Urology and Renal Transplantation, La Conception University Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux Marseille (APHM), Aix-Marseille Univ., Marseille, France, ² C2VN, INSERM 1263, Aix-Marseille Univ, INRAE, Marseille, France, ³ Cell Therapy Department, La Conception University Hospital APHM, Aix-Marseille Univ., INSERM CIC 1409, Marseille, France, ⁴ Department of Nephrology and Renal Transplantation, La Conception University Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux Marseille (APHM), Aix-Marseille Univ., Marseille, France, ⁵ Department of Hematology and Vascular biology, La Conception University Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux Marseille (APHM), Aix Marseille Univ., Marseille, France

Background: Better understanding of the contribution of donor aging and comorbidity factors of expanded criteria donors (ECD) to the clinical outcome of a transplant is a challenge in kidney transplantation. We investigated whether the features of donor-derived stromal vascular fraction of perirenal adipose tissue (PRAT-SVF) could be indicative of the deleterious impact of the ECD microenvironment on a renal transplant.

Methods: A comparative analysis of cellular components, transcriptomic and vasculogenic profiles was performed in PRAT-SVF obtained from 22 optimal donors and 31 ECD deceased donors. We then investigated whether these parameters could be associated with donor aging and early allograft dysfunction.

Results: When compared with the PRAT-SVF of non-ECD donors, ECD PRAT-SVF displayed a lower proportion of stromal cells, a higher proportion of inflammatory NK cells. The global RNA sequencing approach indicated a differential molecular signature in the PRAT-SVF of ECD donors characterized by the over-expression of CXCL1 and IL1- β inflammatory transcripts. The vasculogenic activity of PRAT-SVF was highly variable but was not significantly affected in marginal donors. Periorgan recruitment of monocytes/macrophages and NK cells in PRAT-SVF was associated with donor aging. The presence of NK cell infiltrates was associated with lower PRAT-SVF angiogenic activity and with early allograft dysfunction evaluated on day 7 and at 1 month post-transplant.

1

Conclusions: Our results indicate that human NK cell subsets are differentially recruited in the periorgan environment of aging kidney transplants. We provide novel evidence that PRAT-SVF represents a non-invasive and timely source of donor material with potential value to assess inflammatory features that impact organ quality and function.

Keywords: marginal kidney donors, kidney transplantation, natural killer cells, endothelial inflammation, perirenal adipose tissue, kidney allograft dysfunction

INTRODUCTION

Increasing recipient demand combined with inadequate organ supply has led to the use of suboptimal marginal kidneys from expanded criteria donors (ECD) with cardiovascular risk factors (1–3). While the use of ECD kidney transplants enables more patients to benefit from renal transplantation, various studies have reported that marginal transplants from elderly donors are associated with an increased incidence of delayed graft function (DGF), slow graft function recovery (SGF) (3–6) and poorer long-term graft outcome (5, 7–9).

The underlying mechanisms which associate donor age and cardiovascular risk factors with a worsened outcome of these marginal transplants are not completely understood. Since graft endothelial cells constitute the critical interface between the donor and the recipient, pre-existing endothelial dysfunction of the donor could be considered as an initial checkpoint leading to deleterious recipient immune responses and vascular rejection (10–13). Several studies have highlighted the "higher immunological risk" of transplants from marginal donors resulting in stress-induced senescence mechanisms (14, 15) and induction of endothelial adhesion and inflammatory molecules (16–19).

The current challenge is therefore to delineate the donorrelated features that determine the capacity of transplant endothelium to resist further exposure to ischemia, oxidative, uremic and alloimmune inflammatory stresses associated with the transplant procedure. There is a lack of models that identify donor-related features that reflect the endothelial quality of aging ECD transplants (16).

Our study is based on the hypothesis that perirenal adipose tissue (PRAT), systematically discarded during the surgical preparation of a renal transplant, represents an easily accessible source of donor-derived material allowing assessment of the quantitative and functional features that characterize exposure of donor cells to the ECD microenvironment.

Indeed, adipose tissue (AT) can be enzymatically processed to yield stromal vascular fraction (SVF), a heterogeneous cellular mixture devoid of adipocytes that recapitulates the variety of cells that constitute the vasculature such as mesenchymal stem cells, pericytes, endothelial progenitor cells and leucocytes. Endothelial progenitor cells, also called endothelial colony forming cells (ECFC), have been recently identified in the vessel wall and SVF (20–22). We and others have reported that the phenotypic and angiogenic activity of ECFC can be altered in deceased contexts associated with cardiovascular risk factors or genetic or epigenetic determinants (23–25). Furthermore, it was recently demonstrated that donor age and comorbidities can alter the angiogenic and paracrine immunosuppressive properties of human bone marrow-derived stromal cells (BM-SC) obtained after *in vitro* cell culture expansion (26). It is thus likely that the ECD microenvironment can also alter the vascular potential of the various types of PRAT SVF-resident cells.

Based on this knowledge, we postulated that donor PRAT-SVF could represent a relevant and non-invasive model to evaluate the ECD microenvironment factors that could contribute to the alteration of renal transplant quality. This study aimed to (1) provide a comprehensive view of cellular, transcriptomic, and angiogenic profiles that could characterize the peri-organ SVF obtained from marginal kidney donors, and (2) analyze whether the features of PRAT-SVF could be indicative of the deleterious impact of donor aging and cardiovascular risk factors on early kidney allograft dysfunction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Sample Collection

We conducted a monocentric prospective study involving 53 renal transplantation procedures performed in the Department of Urology and Renal transplantation, La Conception University Hospital in Marseille, France from 2016 to 2018. For each renal transplant, the stromal vascular fraction (PRAT-SVF) was isolated from the perirenal AT collected during kidney procurement and submitted to analysis of cellular components, transcriptomic profile and vasculogenic activity. The study was approved by the National Ethics Committee of the Agence de la Biomédecine (ABM), the National Ministry of Research and adhered to the Jardé Law on human investigation. All procedures were conducted in compliance with the Declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul. Data were prospectively and anonymously collected in a dedicated database for the exclusive access of the authorized authors.

Clinical Variables

The following demographic data were recorded for donors and recipients: sex, age, body mass index, blood group, serum creatinine, cardiovascular risk factors (history of smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease. Renal function (serum creatinine, glomerular filtration

Abbreviations: ABM, Agence de la Biomédecine; DGF, delayed graft function; ECD, expanded criteria donors; ECFC, endothelial colony forming cells; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; LD, living donor; NK, Natural Killer cells; Non-ECD, non-expanded criteria donors; PRAT, perirenal adipose tissue; SGF, slow graft function; SVF, stromal vascular fraction.

rate) were recorded at D7, M1, and M12 during renal transplantation follow-up. The CKD EPI formula was used to evaluate renal function in adults and the Schwartz formula was used in younger recipients (<18 years) (27).

Definition of Endpoints

ECD kidney transplants were defined as those from donors aged \geq 60 years or 50 to 59 years with 2 of the following comorbidities: hypertension, serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl, or death following cerebrovascular accident.

Delayed graft function (DGF) was defined as the use of dialysis within 7 days of the transplant (28). Slow graft function (SGF) was defined by serum creatinine > 250 umol/L (3.0 mg/dL) on postoperative day 7 (29).

Identification of Anti-HLA Antibodies

The detection of HLA-specific antibodies was performed using standard techniques. The presence of allograft- specific antibodies was screened through Luminex screening assays (LAScreen[®] mixed, One Lambda, Canoga Park, CA, USA) using Luminex flow beads (LAScanTM 100, Luminex, Austin, TX, USA). To determine their antibody specificity, all samples with a positive screening result were further evaluated using single-antigen flow bead assays according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol (LAScreen[®] Single Antigen class I or LAScreen[®] Single Antigen class II, One Lambda, Canoga Park, CA, USA). The percentage of HLA sensitization for the singleantigen assays were calculated according to the manufacturer's instructions as the percentage of positive bead reactions among the 99 class I beads and 97 class II beads.

Isolation of the Stromal Vascular Fraction From Donor Perirenal AT

Perirenal adipose tissue (at least 30 g) was collected under aseptic conditions during the multi-organ retrieval for cadaveric donor renal transplantation. Excised fat was manually sliced with scissors into units of $\sim 3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm. Enzymatic digestion was performed using 0.25UI/mL collagenase NB4 (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) for 1 h at 37°C under constant agitation. Three cycles of wash/centrifugation were performed to eliminate adipose and red blood cells. Freshly isolated PRAT-SVF was used for flow cytometry analysis and RNA extraction and cryopreserved at -180° c for delayed angiogenic assays performed on thawed samples.

Phenotypic Characterization of PRAT- SVF Cell Subsets

Multiparameter flow cytometry analysis was performed to compare the quantitative distribution of the CD45- and CD45+ cell subsets in the donor-derived PRAT-SVF in the non ECD and ECD groups.

Half a million cells per tube were suspended in 100 μ L of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Gibco[®], Life Technologies), stained 20 min at room temperature and protected from light with the DRAQ5 nuclear marker, the NucBlue viability marker and two pre-prepared antibody mixes or corresponding isotype controls in matched concentrations. The first monoclonal

antibody mix contained the following surface markers: CD146, CD34, and CD45, labeled respectively with the following fluorochromes: PE, ECD, and PC5. The second mix was composed of the following surface markers: CD14, CD34, CD45, CD56, CD3 labeled respectively with FITC, ECD, PC5, PC7 and APC-Alexa Fluor 750 fluorochromes (References in **Supplementary Table 1**). Flow cytometry was performed with a NAVIOS instrument (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA). Data files were analyzed using Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA). The gating strategy used to identify the various cell subsets is summarized in **Supplementary Figure 1**.

RNA Purification and RNAseq Gene Expression Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from PRAT-SVF using RNeasy mini kits (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) including a DNase I digestion step removing genomic DNA. RNAseq analysis of SVF profiles in ECD vs. non-ECD kidney donors was performed by HalioDX. Briefly, the purity and concentration of the samples were estimated by spectrophotometer. The integrity of the RNA (RIN > 8) was evaluated on an RNA 6000 Nano LabChiprun Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent technologies, Germany). Generation of libraries was performed using PerklinElmer technologies with the NEXTflex qRNA-Seq kit v2 after total RNA enrichment by NEXTflex Poly(A) beads following manufacturer's recommendations (PerkinElmer). RNA-seq library were sequenced on Illumina Nextseq sequencer. The generated reads were single-end and of 76-nt length. FastQC (version 0.11.5) was used to examine the read quality. Trimming of reads was performed using Trimmomatic (version 0.33) on the base of an average phred quality of 20. The raw single-ends reads were then mapped against the human genome (GRCh38.90) from the Ensembl database using STAR (version 2.5.3a) sequence mapper. The resulting BAM files were examined by Qualimap (version 2.2). Duplicates reads were removed using the function MarkDuplicates of picard tools (version 2.9.0). Unduplicated reads were used to count reads per gene with FfeatureCounts (version 1.5.2). Raw counts are converted in reads per Million (RPKM) and log transformed (log base 2) in order to help with distributional assumptions, linearity and consistency with PCR based methods for calculating the Fold Change. Genes of interest were filtered using a mean RPKM >25 and a coefficient of variation > 50% (1183 genes passed out).

R/Bioconductor packages including DESeq2 were used for gene expression analysis. Finally, we selected differentially expressed genes with a *P*-value <0.05 and a Fold Change (FC) of a least 1.5.

Genes up or downregulated were separately subjected to functional annotation analysis using the Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, david.ncifcrf.gov/) online tool to find significantly enriched genes biological functions and associated pathways. Gene Ontology: Biological Process and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway enrichment analysis was performed with a cut-off criteria for the threshold of EASE score < 0.05 (modified Fisher Exact *P*-value).

Real Time PCR Analysis of Transcripts

Total RNA (5 μ g) was converted to cDNA using 200U of M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR amplification was performed with the Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I Master kit (Roche). Cycling conditions were 10 min at 95°C (hot-start PCR), followed by 40 cycles, 10 s at 95°C (denaturation), 15 s at 62°C (annealing) and 20 s at 72°C (elongation). Melting curve analysis was performed to check the specificity of amplification. Reported values are relative numbers of specific transcripts detected per 10^6 *GAPDH* transcripts. The primers used for gene-specific amplification are described in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Tube Formation Assay

Tube formation assay was analyzed in vitro using PRAT-SVF in MatrigelTM (6 mg/ml) (Corning[®] Matrigel[®] Basement Membrane Matrix Growth Factor Reduced, Phenol Red Free, 356231) as described by Zakhari et al. (30). The PRAT-SVF from ECD and non-ECD donors were loaded at a density of 20,000 cells/well in a µ-slide angiogenesis (81506, IBIDI) system coated with 10 µl of growth factor-reduced MatrigelTM (6 mg/ml) (Corning[®] Matrigel[®] Basement Membrane Matrix Growth Factor Reduced, Phenol Red Free, 356231), previously polymerized for 30 min, and were maintained in endothelial basal cell culture medium-2 (EBM2) supplemented with MV SingleQuots (EGM2-MV) (Lonza, Clonetics, Walkersville, MD, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO2. Capillary-like structures were recorded after 72 h using a Leica DMI8 video-imaging inverted microscope equipped with an Incubator I8 at 5X magnification and were captured using Leica Application Suite X software (Las X 3.0.2.16120). As previously described (30), various parameters that reflect the relevant steps of SVF vasculogenic/angiogenic capacity in vitro, were quantified: the number of clusters, indicative of the capacity of the plated cells to self-assemble; the number of clusters with tip cells, indicative of the ability of cells to undergo specialization into cells able to migrate away from the cluster and initiate sprouting; the number of clusters with stalk cells that represent the capacity of cells to proliferate and elongate neovessels; and the number of branching points that provide information on the capacity of cells to develop as complex vascular networks. Cell clusters were automatically counted using Fiji software under cellular analysis with minimum object size set at 500 µm and a maximum object size set at $3,000 \,\mu$ m. These counts along with manual counts of tip cells, stalk cells and branch points were taken using still frames of both groups. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Spheroid-Based Sprouting Assay

Angiogenic sprouting was analyzed *in vitro* using PRAT-SVF in a collagen gel matrix as previously described by Korff et al. (31). The images were then analyzed using the Sprout Analysis plugin developed by Eglinger et al. (32) in the Fiji distribution of ImageJ, to evaluate the different vascular parameters, such as sprout length and branch points.

PRAT-SVF from ECD, non-ECD deceased donors and living donors were suspended in culture medium containing 0.2% (wt/vol) carboxymethylcellulose (M0512, Sigma, Munich, Germany), which was then seeded in non-adherent roundbottom 96-well plates (82.1582.001, Sartstedt), leading to the formation of spheroids with a defined cell number. After 72 h, the spheroids were collected and embedded in collagen gels (354236, Corning[®] Collagen I, Rat Tail). The spheroid containing gel was rapidly transferred into pre-warmed Labtek II slides (NUNC 54534, ThermoFisher) and allowed to polymerize (30 min), then 100 µl of EGM2-MV medium were added on the top of the gel. Following 24 h of culture in EGM2-MV medium, the spheroids were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature. After washing and permeabilization 2 h at 4°C with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA, the spheroids were immunolabeled overnight at 4°C with phalloidin coupled with Alexa-647 (A22287, ThermoFisher Scientific) (1/100), and nuclei were stained with 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (1/5000) diluted in PBS 1% BSA. After washing, we then captured a fluorescent optical image stack along the z-axis at 20X magnification using two lasers in sequential mode under a Leica DMI8 microscope (at least n = 10 spheroids per condition). Las X software was used during all image acquisition procedures. Image processing prior to image measurements was performed with Huygens Essential deconvolution software (Scientific Volume Imaging,) using up to 40 iterations of the classical maximum likelihood estimation algorithm, with a theoretical PSF and automatic background correction. The images were then analyzed using the Sprout Analysis plug-in developed by Eglinger et al. (32) in the Fiji distribution of ImageJ, to evaluate the different vascular parameters, such as sprout length and branch points.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis comparing continuous variables in 2 groups was performed using non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test and categorical variables with Chi2 test using Xlstat[®] version 2018.5 (Addinsoft, Paris, France) and Graphpad Prism[®] version 7 (GraphPad Software, California, USA). Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and continuous variables as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median and 10–90 or 25– 75 percentile according to the test of normal distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Spearman rank correlation was used to evaluate the associations between quantitative parameters analyzed in PRAT-SVF and age or creatinine or CKD evaluated in the recipient at D7 and M1 post-transplant. Only variables with P < 0.20 were considered. Significant differences were considered when the *P*-value was < 0.05. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate whether the PRAT-SVF parameters evaluated could discriminate the effect of aging (<59 years) or transplants that had good functional recovery from those with impaired graft function (based on the use of the 60 and 45 ml/ min per 1.73m² CKD eGFR cut-off values corresponding to moderate or mild CKD at M1). The AUC of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to define the threshold of quantitative variables that best predicted early dysfunction of the transplant at M1 post-transplant.

RESULTS

Donor and Recipient Characteristics

Fifty-three donors were included: 31 (49%) expanded criteria donors (ECD) and 22 (35%) non-expanded criteria donors (non-ECD). Donor characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Compared with non-ECD, the ECD group presented with higher age (71 vs. 42 years, P < 0.01) and a higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, dyslipidemia, vasculopathy, all P < 0.05) except for the prevalence of diabetes that did not reach significance Second transplant concerned 7% of the analyzed cohort. All the patients received the same induction therapy except for one patient in the non ECD donor group that was treated with anti IL2. Rabbit antithymocyte globulin (rATG) were administered on day 0 (1.25 g/kg/day) for 8 days. Prednisolone was administered on day 0 (initially 1 mg/kg/day), with subsequent tapering to achieve a targeted mean maintenance dose of 0.25 mg/kg/day at day 30 after transplant. As previously described (33), maintenance immunosuppressive therapy associated Tacrolimus/mycophenolate mofetil (FK/MMF, 64%) or ciclosporine/azathioprine (CSA/Aza, 36%) immunosuppressive combination. All recipients transplanted with an optimal kidney (non ECD) were treated with FK/MMF maintenance therapy, while the CSA/Aza immunosuppressive combination was used in 62 % of the recipients transplanted with an ECD kidney (Table 1).

The recipients of ECD kidney grafts were significantly older than the non-ECD recipients (median 67 vs. 39 years, P < 0.01). However, the prevalence and duration of dialysis before kidney transplantation were comparable in both groups. While most patients were negative for anti HLA panel reactive antibodies (PRA) evaluated before graft (63 %), HLA class I PRA sensitization was observed in 29% of the patients, anti HLA class II sensitization without Class I immunization in 2% of the patients and combined immunization against class I and Class II in 5% of kidney transplant recipients. None of the anti HLA antibodies detected before transplant were Donor specific antibodies (DSA) and transplant recipients analyzed in the study cohort did not develop de novo DSA during the first 3 months following transplant. The incidence of slow/delayed graft function (SDGF) was significantly higher in the recipients of ECD allografts (45% vs. 14%, P = 0.02). The average glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of ECD donor kidney grafts was significantly lower when compared to non-ECD kidney grafts on day 7 (D7) and at 1 month (M1) post-transplant (with eGFR of 25 vs. 67 ml/min/1.73m² on D7 and 41 vs. 82 mL/min/1.73m² at M1, all P < 0.05). Mean follow-up post-transplantation period was 12.7 months.

The Cell Subset Distribution of Perirenal SVF Is Altered in ECD Donors

High inter-individual variability in the distribution of SVF cell subsets was observed among the donors (**Figure 1**). The CD45+ leucocyte population was the most prevalent subset (median 61 %, 25–75 percentile range 47–74) and tended to be higher in the ECD donors (**Figure 1A**). The median percentage of stromal cells (13%, 25–75 percentile: 7–30%) was significantly

TABLE 1 | Donors and recipients characteristics.

	ECD	Non-ECD	P-value			
	<i>n</i> = 31	n = 22				
DONOR BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS						
Gender (M/F) %	55/45 %	59/41%	0.79			
Age, years (median, 25–75 IR)	71 [65–78]	42 [30–53]	< 0.01			
BMI, kg/m ² (median, 25–75 IR)	27 [24–29]	24 [22–27]	0.03			
DONORS RENAL FUNCTION						
Serum creatinine (micromoles/L)	78 [60–98]	67 [48–100]	0.39			
Proteinuria, g/L	0.2 [0.1–0.5]	0.2 [0.1–0.5]	0.65			
DONOR MEDICAL HISTORY						
Smoking %	7%	50%	< 0.01			
Hypertension %	61%	0%	< 0.01			
Dyslipidemia %	26%	0%	0.02			
Diabetes mellitus %	10%	5%	0.63			
Vasculopathy %	29%	0%	0.01			
Cold ischemia time, hours	12 [9–15]	13 [10–17]	0.33			
Side (Left/Right), %	89/11%	80/20%	0.63			
RECIPIENT BASELINE CHARACTERIS	TICS					
Gender (M/F) %	58/42%	82/18%	0.57			
Age, years (median, 25–75 IR)	67 [61–73]	39 [28–52]	<0.01			
BMI, kg/m ² (median, 25–75 IR)	25 [22–27]	23 [19–26]	0.07			
RECIPIENT MEDICAL HISTORY						
Hemodialysis %	84%	85%	0.99			
Dialysis duration, months	35 [17–47]	32 [2–53]	0.64			
Smoking %	33%	10%	0.08			
Hypertension %	92%	70%	0.11			
Dyslipidemia %	25%	20%	0.73			
Diabetes mellitus %	25%	5%	0.11			
Coronary heart disease %	29%	10%	0.15			
PRETRANSPLANT ASSESSMENT						
HLA class I and/or class II sensitization (%)	35%	39%	0.79			
% of HLA class I positive beads	5% [5–7]	5% [5–5]	0.99			
% of HLA class II positive beads	26% [13–39]	6% [6–6]	0.26			
Rank of renal transplantation >1	9%	5%	0.63			
Steroids/Tacrolimus/Mycofenolate Mofetil	38.5%	100%	<0.01			
Steroids/Ciclosporin/Azathioprine	61.5%	0%				
	011070	0,0				
Slow/delayed graft function %	45%	14%	0.02			
Graft function day 7 (D7)	1070	11/0	0.02			
Serum creatining (micromoles/L)	374 [146_573]	171 [66_178]	~0.01			
$eGER (ml /min/1 73m^2)$	25 [6_10]	67 [38_111]	~0.01			
Graft function at month 1 (M1)	20 [0-40]	07 [00-11]	<0.01			
	160 [111 100]	102 [70 100]	-0.01			
CED (mL (min (1.72m ²)))	102 [111-193]	00 [60 100]	< 0.01			
	41 [23-30]	02 [00-120]	<0.01			
iviean time Follow-up, months	13.5	11.6	0.62			

Values are reported as % or median [25-75 interquartile ranges].

lower in the ECD donors (9 %) when compared with the non-ECD donors (18%, p = 0.03) (Figure 1B). The quantitative distribution of endothelial cells (median 8.5 %, 25–75 percentile:



4–13%, **Figure 1C**) and pericytes (median 7%, 25–75 percentile: 2.4–22.1, **Figure 1D**) were comparable in PRAT-SVF from the two groups of kidney donors.

Comparative Analysis of PRAT-SVF the Angiogenic Activity of ECD and Non-ECD Donors

The SVF-dependent formation of capillary-like structures, evaluated in an *in vitro* MatrigelTM assay (**Figure 2A**) as the number of clusters, was similar in the ECD and non-ECD donors (**Figure 2B**). A trend toward a decrease in the tip cell (P = 0.07) as well as stalk cell (P = 0.07) percentages was observed for ECD PRAT-SVF, but did not reach significance (**Figure 2B**). In addition, the vessel complexity characterized by the Matrigel branching points was also preserved in the ECD donor PRAT-SVF (**Figure 2B**). In a 3D spheroid assay (**Figure 2C**), the sprout formation, total network length and the average number of junctions formed by sprouts presented a trend toward a decrease in ECD PRAT-SVF, when analyzed in reference to the non-ECD donor PRAT-SVF (**Figure 2D**).

Taken together, these data suggest a high interindividual heterogeneity in the angiogenic potential of donor PRAT-SVF but does not identify a significant impairment of the median angiogenic activity of PRAT-SVF derived from ECD donors, when compared to ECD donors.

Transcriptomic Analysis of PRAT-SVF Identified Inflammatory Profiles Specific to ECD Donors

A comparative RNAseq transcriptomic analysis was performed to compare the PRAT-SVF molecular transcripts in ECD and non-ECD donors (**Supplementary Table 3**). Volcano plot distinguished a significant differential gene expression profile based on the comparison of ECD and non-ECD patients (**Figure 3A**). Overall, differential expression analysis revealed 245 genes showing fold change (FC) values ≥ 1.5 (111 genes overexpressed in ECD vs. non-ECD, **Supplementary Table 4**) and FC ≤ -1.5 (134 genes under-expressed in ECD vs. non-ECD) with $P \leq 0.05$ (**Supplementary Table 5**). To provide a cohesive view of the biological functions associated with the changes in the ECD-SVF gene expression profile, we conducted a gene ontology analysis using the DAVID database. The up-regulated genes showed a strong association with the inflammatory response and cytokine secretion as well



Supplementary Figure 2 (D) Quantitative analysis of number of sprouts, branch points, and total network length per spheroid as well as average sprout length was compared in PRAT-SVF from the ECD and non ECD donors. For each experiment, at least 10 spheroids were analyzed.

as circulatory system development **Supplementary Table 6**, whereas the categories enriched among the down-regulated genes were associated with the regulation of metabolic processes and the regulation of the circulatory system development (**Figure 3B** and **Supplementary Table 7**). Moreover, KEGG pathway analysis revealed differential inflammatory pathways, as "chemokine pathway," "NF-kappa B pathway" or "TNF signaling pathway," as well as "Graft-versus-host disease" (**Figure 3C** and **Supplementary Tables 8**, **9**).

Based on their previous involvement in graft rejection or angiogenesis and the FC in their differential expression between ECD and non-ECD patients, five genes were selected (*CXCL1, VWA7, CCL4, IL1-\beta, IFN-\gamma*) for further quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) validation in the PRAT-SVF samples used to perform transcriptomic analysis (**Supplementary Table 10**). Analysis of an extended number of PRAT-SVF samples derived from 12 non-ECD and 13 ECD additional PRAT-SVF samples showed highly variable transcript expression among donors and confirmed the enhanced levels of CXCL1, IL1- β transcripts in ECD donor PRAT-SVF (**Figure 3D**). Relative transcript levels of CCL4 tended to be higher in ECD PRAT-SVF (p = 0.07, **Figure 3D**). Thus, these data identified an enrichment of genes involved in the control of inflammatory responses.

Donor Aging Is Associated With Inflammatory Profile in PRAT-SVF

Transcriptomic data prompted further analysis of the distribution of inflammatory cells within CD45+ cell compartment of PRAT-SVF. While the distribution of CD45+CD14+ macrophages/monocytes (Figure 4A), CD45+CD14- neutrophils (Figure 4B), and CD45+CD3+ T lymphocyte subsets (Figure 4C) was comparable among the two groups, the percentage of CD45+CD3-CD56+ NK cells was significantly higher in ECD PRAT-SVF (median value: 2.8%, 25-75 percentile: 1.3-5.1%) compared to non ECD PRAT-SVF (0.97%, 0.4-2.1%, p = 0.01) (Figure 4D). Interestingly, the percentage of NK cells was further associated with the level of transcripts encoding INF-y inflammatory cytokines and the activating NKG2D receptor (Table 2). Enhanced levels of NK cell infiltrates were also associated with parameters indicative of endothelial dysfunction such as lowered angiogenesis scores and FGFR2 transcript levels (Table 2).

Donor age was also statistically associated with an inflammatory profile characterized by a significantly higher percentage of NK cells in PRAT-SVF. Stratification of donors according to the 59-year median value observed in the cohort confirmed the increased percentage of NK and T cell lymphocytes in the PRAT-SVF of donors \geq 59 years (**Figure 5**). Donor-related



factors other than age could not be significantly associated with PRAT-SVF inflammatory profile.

The NK Inflammatory Profile of PRAT SVF Is Associated With Early Allograft Dysfunction

We then investigated whether parameters evaluated in PRAT-SVF could relate to allograft dysfunction during the first month following transplantation. Creatinine levels on D7 and eGFR at M1 post-transplant were significantly correlated with donor age, but did not correlate with cold ischemia time in the studied cohort (Table 3). Early graft dysfunction, as defined by creatinine levels at day 7 and values of eGFR<45 mL/min at one-month (M1) after transplantation, were also correlated with the proportion of PRAT-SVF NK inflammatory cells and monocytes/macrophages (Table 3 and Figure 6) in univariate analysis. We used ROC curve analysis to set a 1.5% NK cell threshold in PRAT-SVF associated with lower CKD at M1 (area under ROC curve = 0.82, sensitivity 100%, specificity 75%). Interestingly, logistic regression models further showed that a percentage of NK cells > to this 1.5 threshold value of NK cells observed in pre-transplant donor PRAT-SVF, was an independent factor associated with lowered graft function recovery (eGFR < 45 or 60 at 1-month post-transplant), regardless of the HTA status of the donor (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Taking advantage of the accessibility of donor perirenal adipose tissue, our study is the first to evidence significant changes in the cellular and molecular features that characterize the PRAT-SVF of marginal donors. Compared with optimal donors, marginal donors exhibited significant alterations in the cellular composition of PRAT-SVF that notably comprised an increase in immune-cell infiltrates and levels of transcripts encoding inflammatory chemokines/cytokines. This molecular inflammatory signature was impacted by donor age and could be further associated with early graft dysfunction.

The cell subset distribution in PRAT-SVF of ECD transplants indicated an imbalance between pro and anti-inflammatory cells. ECD donor PRAT-SVF showed a lowered proportion of stromal/mesenchymal cells, recently identified as an immunomodulatory cell compartment of the perirenal adipose tissue (34), and a higher proportion of immune NK lymphocyte infiltrates. Interestingly, enhanced representation of NK and T cells in PRAT-SVF was identified as an age-related specific feature. These results are in line with experimental studies suggesting that kidneys from older donors are more immunogenic and induce increased T-cell responses than kidneys from young donors (24).



the gating strategy illustrated in **Supplementary Figure 1**. Results on the graphs are reported as box and whiskers plots representative of median values, and 25–75 interquartile ranges (Boxes) and error bars indicative of 10–90 percentile ranges. (A) CD14+ Monocyte/Macrophage subset. (B) CD14-neutrophil subset. (C) CD3+ T lymphocytes. (D) CD3–CD56+ NK cells. (E) Histograms illustrate the gating and % of CD3+ T cells and CD3-CD56+ NK cells observed when analyzing PRAT-SVF form an ECD donor (0.43% of NK cells) or (F) PRAT-SVF from an ECD donor (9.47% of NK cells).

Consistently, major changes in the transcriptomic signature of ECD donors were found to be related to upregulation of inflammatory pathways. Among the most up-regulated genes was CXCL1, which is also known as GROa. CXCL1 is a pro-inflammatory chemokine that binds to CXCR2 to promote neutrophil chemotaxis. CXCL1-dependent neutrophil accumulation in a kidney transplant after reperfusion is an important predictor of delayed graft function (35, 36). CXCL1 has also been associated with various inflammatory kidney diseases such acute kidney ischemia and glomerulonephritis (37) and progression of chronic kidney disease (38). Inhibition of CXCR2 prevents kidney graft function deterioration owing to ischemia/reperfusion (39). Our transcriptional analysis also demonstrated that upregulation of the CCL4 chemokine and IL1beta were associated with the ECD profile. This upregulation was consistent with an enhanced proportion of NK cells infiltrating ECD donor PRAT-SVF and previous data reporting the activation of a CCL4 and IFN-y dependent pathway in patients with kidney graft rejection (40). Although extrapolation of these observations in donor PRAT-SVF could not be matched with those occurring in the pre-transplant biopsy, these results corroborate previous findings that identify the NKG2D activating receptor as a candidate marker of kidney graft quality in pretransplant biopsy specimens from donors over 55 years (41).

In kidney transplant recipients, innate NK cells have recently been identified as a key effector mechanism regulating the level of endothelial lesion and repair as well as vascular rejection and allograft vasculopathy (11, 25, 42-44). NK cells have also been reported to contribute to immune senescence in kidney transplant candidates (45). Our observations suggest that PRAT-SVF recruitment of donor NK cells could also promote proinflammatory signals that affect the vascular homeostasis of a marginal transplant prior transplantation. While the quantitative distribution of PRAT-SVF endothelial cells was comparable in ECD and non-ECD donors, we observed a high inter-individual variability in PRAT-SVF angiogenic activity, that did not reach significance between the ECD and non-ECD groups analyzed in the present study. Such heterogeneity among donors has already been reported for mesenchymal stem cells (26). However, in line with this result, the study by Aird et al. did not evidence major changes in the angiogenic potential of AT-SVF with aging except a delayed phase of neovessels maturation in vivo (46). However,

Inflammatory Profiles of Marginal Donors

TABLE 2 | Analysis of the link between quantitative parameters evaluated in PRAT-SVF and % of PRAT-SVF NK cells.

% CD3- CD56+ NK cell PRAT-SVF	Spearman r	P-value
DONOR CHARACTERISTICS		
Donor age	0.6228	0.0007***
PRAT SVF IMMUNE CELLS		
% CD3+ T cell PRAT-SVF	0.4845	0.0121*
% CD14+ Mono/macro PRAT-SVF	0.4216	0.0319*
PRAT-SVF TRANSCRIPTS		
PRAT-SVF NKG2D transcript Levels	0.676	0.0021**
PRAT-SVF FGFR2 transcript levels	-0.624	0.0098**
PRAT-SVF IFN- γ transcript levels	0.5611	0.0101*
ANGIOGENESIS		
Spheroid total network length	-0.5368	0.0178*
Spheroid average junction per sprout	-0.5035	0.028*
Spheroid number of sprouts	-0.4906	0.033*
Matrigel number of clusters	-0.4719	0.0413*

BMI, Body Mass Index; PRAT, PeriRenal Adipose Tissue; SVF, Stromal Vascular Fraction. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.



(PRAT-SVF) in young vs. aged donors. PRAT-SVF was analyzed according to donor age when stratified in aging donors (\geq 59 years, n = 29) and younger donors (<59 years, n = 24). Percentages in stromal and endothelial cells were not different between the aging and younger donors. However, the aging donors presented a trend for increased representation of the CD45+ CD14+ monocyte macrophage cell subset and a significantly higher percentage of T and NK cells.

we observed that the percentage of NK cells in PRAT-SVF was inversely correlated with the angiogenic potential, suggesting that, at an individual level, donor-dependent NK cell activation could also provide an inflammatory environment that favors endothelial vulnerability prior to transplantation.

Importantly, among the parameters analyzed with PRAT-SVF, the proportion of NK cells was identified as associated to graft dysfunction evaluated at 7 days and 1-month post transplantation, indicating a potential impact on the clinical **TABLE 3** | Analysis of parameters correlating with early graft function at Day 7 (D7) and 1 month (M1) post-transplant.

	Serum creatinine Day 7		eGFR M1		
PRAT-SVF donor	Spearman	r P-value	Spearman r	P-value	
DONOR CHARACTERISTIC	S				
Donor Age	0.3725	0.006	-0.5371	0.0001	
Cold Ischemia time (hours)	0.2666	0.0802	ns	ns	
PRAT-SVF IMMUNE CELLS INFILTRATION					
% CD45+CD14+ Monocytes/ macrophages	0.4461	0.0289	-0.4874	0.0214	
% CD3-CD56+ NK cells	0.3991	0.0533	-0.4485	0.0363	
% CD3+ T cells	0.34	0.104	ns	ns	

PRAT, PeriRenal Adipose Tissue; SVF, Stromal Vascular Fraction; GFR, Glomerular Filtration Rate; Ns, Non-significant.



(PRAT-SVF) with lower recovery of graft persisting at M1 post-transplant. Donors were split into two groups according to graft recovery persisting at M1 post-transplant: lower recovery (eGFR M1 < 45) and normal recovery (eGFR > 45). The proportion of cell subsets was analyzed according to this splitting. The eGFR M1 < 45 presented a significantly higher percentage of monocyte/macrophage subset and NK cells. Other cells were similarly distributed between the two groups.

outcome of marginal transplants from aging donors. These findings make it possible to speculate that the heterogeneity of inflammatory cytokine overexpression and age-dependent NK cell activation in ECD transplants could contribute to shaping allograft immunogenicity by perpetuating immune cell recruitment and activation, thereby rendering the endothelial cells of the graft more vulnerable to further exposure to ischemia/reperfusion, uremic, and alloimmune inflammatory stresses. These markers could thus be regarded as potential molecular targets for strategies enabling to reduce inflammation in ECD transplants.

Our study presents limitations since the unavailability of pretransplant renal biopsies did not enable evaluation of the specific features that characterized PRAT-SVF in marginal donors which

TABLE 4 | Logistic regression models linking the presence of PRAT-SVF NK cell infiltrates to lowered allograft function evaluated 1-month (M1) post-transplantation.

	Odds Ratio	Std. Err.	z	р	[95% Conf. Interval]
Predictive facto	ors of CKD<45	mL/min N	11		
NK SVF $\geq 1.5\%$	25.6	33.5	2.48	0.01	[1.9-332.4]
Donor HTA	6.7	10.1	1.26	0.21	[0.3–130.2]
Predictive facto	ors of CKDM1	<60 mL/mi	n M1		
NK SVF $\geq 1.5\%$	10.6	11.2	2.2	0.03	[1.3-84.8]
Donor HTA	4.4	5.9	1.1	0.27	[0.3–61.7]

could be extended to the renal parenchyma (47). However, these data provided evidence that tissue recruitment of donor NK cells may per se participate in the pre-conditioning of transplant vulnerability and quality and prompt further investigation of the clinical relevance of such biomarkers in larger cohorts. This work could introduce PRAT-SVF as an innovative and less invasive approach with added value in terms of feasibility compared with pre-implantation biopsies and also document the specific features that characterize perirenal fat (47, 48). Another limitation is that the RNAseq and the functional analysis of the angiogenic capacity of donor-derived cells were performed on the whole PRAT-SVF and not on individual SVF sorted cell types. This experimental design allowed to integrate the cellular crosstalk between SVF cell subsets and prevented a potential bias associated with cell subset isolation and expansion in vitro. However, it did not allow to define if the observed changes resulted from alteration of PRAT-SVF composition or from a specific imprint of the ECD microenvironment on a given cell type. These data call for a more in-depth analysis using a single cell approach characterizing the transcriptomic profile of PRAT-SVF specific to the microenvironment of the ECD donor and the specific study of mesenchymal and endothelial cells purified from perirenal SVF. Future single cell analysis approaches and comparative analyses of purified endothelial and mesenchymal cells isolated from PRAT-SVF ECD and optimal donors would be of value to provide additional mechanistic clues.

Although the immediate implications of PRAT-SVF are not compatible with the current clinical setting of transplantation, our work may open perspectives to target inflammatory pathways in order to reduce donor-related inflammation before transplantation during the dynamic hypothermic machine perfusion process with the aim to optimize transplant quality.

CONCLUSION

Our results argue in favor of a donor-dependent inflammationdriven alteration of pre-transplant allograft quality and

REFERENCES

 Ojo AO, Hanson JA, Meier-Kriesche H, Okechukwu CN, Wolfe RA, Leichtman AB, et al. Survival in recipients of marginal cadaveric donor kidneys compared with other recipients and wait-listed transplant candidates. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2001) 12:589–97. identify NK cells as potential effectors of pro-inflammatory remodeling mechanisms that can affect the function of marginal elderly transplants.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher. The RNAseq data has been submitted to the GEO repository under accession numbers GSE140122.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The study was approved by the National Ethics Committee of the Agence de Biomédecine (ABM), the National Ministry of Research and adhered to the Jardé Law on human investigation. All procedures were conducted in compliance with the Declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul. Data were prospectively and anonymously collected in a dedicated database for the exclusive access of the authorized authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RB, PP, GK, EL, and FS contributed to the conception and design of the study. RB, GK, MM, EL, BG, TL, and SB enrolled subjects into the study, collected primary data. LL, PF, BG, SS, JM, LG, and LA performed the experiments. PP reviewed the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript. FD and FS wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and read and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This project was funded in part by the Fondation de l'Avenir, APM 2015 Paris, France and the Association Française d'Urologie, Paris, France and INSERM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the tissue donors and clinicians, particularly urologists, and nephrologists that contributed to collection of peri-renal adipose tissue.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu. 2020.00445/full#supplementary-material

- 2. Rosengard BR, Feng S, Alfrey EJ, Zaroff JG, Emond JC, Henry ML, et al. Report of the Crystal City meeting to maximize the use of organs recovered from the cadaver donor. *Am J Transplant.* (2002) 2:701–11. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-6143.2002.20804.x
- Bozkurt B, Kiliç M. Marginal donors in renal transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* (2015) 47:1273–81. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2015.04.006

- Stevenson RP, Shapter O, Aitken E, Stevenson K, Shiels PG, Kingsmore DB. Has the Expansion in extended criteria deceased donors led to a different type of delayed graft function and poorer outcomes? *Transplant Proc.* (2018) 50:3160–4. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.07.022
- Schröppel B, Legendre C. Delayed kidney graft function: from mechanism to translation. *Kidney International*. (2014) 86:251–8. doi: 10.1038/ki.2014.18
- Oikawa M, Hatakeyama S, Narita T, Yamamoto H, Hosogoe S, Imai A, et al. Safety and effectiveness of marginal donor in living kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* (2016) 48:701–5. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2015.09.067
- Hariharan S, McBride MA, Cherikh WS, Tolleris CB, Bresnahan BA, Johnson CP. Post-transplant renal function in the first year predicts long-term kidney transplant survival. *Kidney Int.* (2002) 62:311–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.00424.x
- Audard V, Matignon M, Dahan K, Lang P, Grimbert P. Renal transplantation from extended criteria cadaveric donors: problems and perspectives overview. *Transpl Int.* (2008) 21:11–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-2277.2007.00543.x
- Siedlecki A, Irish W, Brennan DC. Delayed graft function in the kidney transplant. Am J Transplant. (2011) 11:2279– 96. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03754.x
- Reinders MEJ, Rabelink TJ, Briscoe DM. Angiogenesis and endothelial cell repair in renal disease and allograft rejection. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2006) 17:932–42. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2005121250
- 11. Todorova D, Sabatier F, Doria E, Lyonnet L, Vacher Coponat H, Robert S, et al. Fractalkine expression induces endothelial progenitor cell lysis by natural killer cells. *PLoS ONE.* (2011) 6:e26663. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026663
- Bussolati B, Hauser PV, Carvalhosa R, Camussi G. Contribution of stem cells to kidney repair. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2009) 4:2– 8. doi: 10.2174/157488809787169129
- Sagban TA, Baur B, Schelzig H, Grabitz K, Duran M. Vascular challenges in renal transplantation. *Ann Transplant.* (2014) 19:464–71. doi: 10.12659/AOT.890893
- Huang Y, Tilea A, Gillespie B, Shahinian V, Banerjee T, Grubbs V, et al. Understanding trends in kidney function 1 year after kidney transplant in the United States. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2017) 28:2498– 510. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016050543
- Schaenman JM, Rossetti M, Lum E, Abdalla B, Bunnapradist S, Pham T-P, et al. Differences in gene expression in older compared with younger kidney transplant recipients. *Transplant Direct.* (2019) 5:e436. doi: 10.1097/TXD.00000000000870
- Danovitch GM, Cohen DJ, Weir MR, Stock PG, Bennett WM, Christensen LL, et al. Current status of kidney and pancreas transplantation in the United States, 1994-2003. Am J Transplant. (2005) 5:904–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6135.2005.00835.x
- Perico N, Casiraghi F, Todeschini M, Cortinovis M, Gotti E, Portalupi V, et al. Long-term clinical and immunological profile of kidney transplant patients given mesenchymal stromal cell immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1359. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01359
- Xu-Dubois Y-C, Peltier J, Brocheriou I, Suberbielle-Boissel C, Djamali A, Reese S, et al. Markers of endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition: evidence for antibody-endothelium interaction during antibodymediated rejection in kidney recipients. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2016) 27:324–32. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2014070679
- Dragun D, Hoff U, Park JK, Qun Y, Schneider W, Luft FC, et al. Prolonged cold preservation augments vascular injury independent of renal transplant immunogenicity and function. *Kidney Int.* (2001) 60:1173– 81. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.2001.0600031173.x
- Psaltis PJ, Simari RD. Vascular wall progenitor cells in health and disease. *Circ Res.* (2015) 116:1392–412. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116. 305368
- Lin R-Z, Moreno-Luna R, Muñoz-Hernandez R, Li D, Jaminet S-CS, Greene AK, et al. Human white adipose tissue vasculature contains endothelial colony-forming cells with robust *in vivo* vasculogenic potential. *Angiogenesis*. (2013) 16:735–44. doi: 10.1007/s10456-013-9350-0
- 22. Szöke K, Reinisch A, Østrup E, Reinholt FP, Brinchmann JE. Autologous cell sources in therapeutic vasculogenesis: *In vitro* and *in vivo* comparison of endothelial colony-forming cells from peripheral blood and endothelial cells isolated from adipose tissue. *Cytotherapy*. (2016) 18:242–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2015.10.009

- Aran D, Camarda R, Odegaard J, Paik H, Oskotsky B, Krings G, et al. Comprehensive analysis of normal adjacent to tumor transcriptomes. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:1077. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01027-z
- Reutzel-Selke A, Jurisch A, Denecke C, Pascher A, Martins PNA, Kessler H, et al. Donor age intensifies the early immune response after transplantation. *Kidney Int*. (2007) 71:629–36. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5002098
- Yazdani S, Callemeyn J, Gazut S, Lerut E, de Loor H, Wevers M, et al. Natural killer cell infiltration is discriminative for antibody-mediated rejection and predicts outcome after kidney transplantation. *Kidney Int.* (2019) 95:188– 98. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2018.08.027
- 26. Andrzejewska A, Catar R, Schoon J, Qazi TH, Sass FA, Jacobi D, et al. Multi-parameter analysis of biobanked human bone marrow stromal cells shows little influence for donor age and mild comorbidities on phenotypic and functional properties. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:2474. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02474
- 27. Schwartz GJ, Haycock GB, Edelmann CM, Spitzer A. A simple estimate of glomerular filtration rate in children derived from body length and plasma creatinine. *Pediatrics*. (1976) 58:259–63.
- Yarlagadda SG, Coca SG, Garg AX, Doshi M, Poggio E, Marcus RJ, et al. Marked variation in the definition and diagnosis of delayed graft function: a systematic review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. (2008) 23:2995– 3003. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfn158
- Dolegowska B, Błogowski W, Domanski L. Clinical evidence of the association between serum perioperative changes in xanthine metabolizing enzymes activity and early post-transplant kidney allograft function. J Am Coll Surg. (2010) 211:587–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.06.391
- Zakhari JS, Zabonick J, Gettler B, Williams SK. Vasculogenic and angiogenic potential of adipose stromal vascular fraction cell populations *in vitro*. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim*. (2018) 54:32–40. doi: 10.1007/s11626-017-0213-7
- Korff T, Kimmina S, Martiny-Baron G, Augustin HG. Blood vessel maturation in a 3-dimensional spheroidal coculture model: direct contact with smooth muscle cells regulates endothelial cell quiescence and abrogates VEGF responsiveness. FASEB J. (2001) 15:447–57. doi: 10.1096/fj.00-0139com
- Eglinger J, Karsjens H, Lammert E. Quantitative assessment of angiogenesis and pericyte coverage in human cell-derived vascular sprouts. *Inflamm Regen*. (2017) 37:2. doi: 10.1186/s41232-016-0033-2
- Vacher-Coponat H, Moal V, Indreies M, Purgus R, Loundou A, Burtey S, et al. A randomized trial with steroids and antithymocyte globulins comparing cyclosporine/azathioprine versus tacrolimus/mycophenolate mofetil (CATM2) in renal transplantation. *Transplantation*. (2012) 93:437–43. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31824215b7
- 34. Baer PC, Koch B, Hickmann E, Schubert R, Cinatl J, Hauser IA, et al. Isolation, characterization, differentiation and immunomodulatory capacity of mesenchymal stromal/stem cells from human perirenal adipose tissue. *Cells*. (2019) 8:1346. doi: 10.3390/cells8111346
- Turunen AJ, Lindgren L, Salmela KT, Kyllönen LE, Mäkisalo H, Siitonen SM, et al. Association of graft neutrophil sequestration with delayed graft function in clinical renal transplantation. *Transplantation*. (2004) 77:1821– 6. doi: 10.1097/01.TP.0000122231.43653.CC
- Stadtmann A, Zarbock A. CXCR2: From Bench to Bedside. Front Immunol. (2012) 3:263. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00263
- 37. van Gemst JJ, Kouwenberg M, Rops ALWMM, van Kuppevelt TH, Berden JH, Rabelink TJ, et al. Differential binding of chemokines CXCL1, CXCL2 and CCL2 to mouse glomerular endothelial cells reveals specificity for distinct heparan sulfate domains. *PLoS ONE*. (2018) 13:e0201560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201560
- Rudnicki M, Perco P, D Haene B, Leierer J, Heinzel A, Mühlberger I, et al. Renal microRNA- and RNA-profiles in progressive chronic kidney disease. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2016) 46:213–26. doi: 10.1111/eci. 12585
- Cugini D, Azzollini N, Gagliardini E, Cassis P, Bertini R, Colotta F, et al. Inhibition of the chemokine receptor CXCR2 prevents kidney graft function deterioration due to ischemia/reperfusion. *Kidney Int.* (2005) 67:1753– 61. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00272.x
- Halloran PF, Venner JM, Madill-Thomsen KS, Einecke G, Parkes MD, Hidalgo LG, et al. Review: The transcripts associated with organ allograft rejection. *Am J Transplant*. (2018) 18:785–95. doi: 10.1111/ajt.14600

- Günther J, Resch T, Hackl H, Sattler A, Ebner S, Ritschl PV, et al. Identification of the activating cytotoxicity receptor NKG2D as a senescence marker in zerohour kidney biopsies is indicative for clinical outcome. *Kidney Int.* (2017) 91:1447–63. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2016.12.018
- Parkes MD, Halloran PF, Hidalgo LG. Evidence for CD16a-Mediated NK Cell Stimulation in Antibody-Mediated Kidney Transplant Rejection. *Transplantation*. (2017) 101:e102–11. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001586
- 43. Legris T, Picard C, Todorova D, Lyonnet L, Laporte C, Dumoulin C, et al. Antibody-dependent NK cell activation is associated with late kidney allograft dysfunction and the complement-independent alloreactive potential of donor-specific antibodies. *Front Immunol.* (2016) 7:288. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00288
- Kildey K, Francis RS, Hultin S, Harfield M, Giuliani K, Law BMP, et al. Specialized roles of human natural killer cell subsets in kidney transplant rejection. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1877. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01877
- DeWolfe D, Aid M, McGann K, Ghofrani J, Geiger E, Helzer C, et al. NK cells contribute to the immune risk profile in kidney transplant candidates. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1890. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01890
- Aird AL, Nevitt CD, Christian K, Williams SK, Hoying JB, LeBlanc AJ. Adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction cells isolated from old animals

exhibit reduced capacity to support the formation of microvascular networks. *Exp Gerontol.* (2015) 63:18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2015.01.044

- Wang CJ, Wetmore JB, Crary GS, Kasiske BL. The donor kidney biopsy and its implications in predicting graft outcomes: a systematic review. Am J Transplant. (2015) 15:1903–14. doi: 10.1111/ajt.13213
- Naesens M. Zero-time renal transplant biopsies: a comprehensive review. *Transplantation*. (2016) 100:1425–39. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001018

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Boissier, François, Gondran Tellier, Meunier, Lyonnet, Simoncini, Magalon, Legris, Arnaud, Giraudo, Dignat George, Karsenty, Burtey, Lechevallier, Sabatier and Paul. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.