



HAL
open science

Exploring interactions between pectins and procyanidins: Structure-function relationships

Xuwei Liu, Catherine M.G.C. Renard, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté, Carine Le Bourvellec

► **To cite this version:**

Xuwei Liu, Catherine M.G.C. Renard, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté, Carine Le Bourvellec. Exploring interactions between pectins and procyanidins: Structure-function relationships. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2021, 113, pp.106498. 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106498 . hal-03172374

HAL Id: hal-03172374

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03172374>

Submitted on 15 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Exploring interactions between pectins and procyanidins:

Structure-function relationships

Xuwei Liu^a, Catherine M.G.C. Renard^{a,b}, Agnès Rolland-Sabaté^a, Carine Le Bourvellec^{a*}

^aINRAE, Avignon University, UMR408 SQPOV, F-84000 Avignon, France

^bINRAE, TRANSFORM, F-44000 Nantes, France

Corresponding authors*

Carine Le Bourvellec (carine.le-bourvellec@inrae.fr)

INRAE, UMR408 SQPOV « Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale »

228 route de l'Aérodrome

CS 40509

F-84914 Avignon cedex 9

Tél: +33 (0)4 32 72 25 35

Fax: +33 (0)4 32 72 24 92

Others authors

Xuwei Liu: xuwei.liu@inrae.fr

Catherine M.G.C Renard: catherine.renard@inrae.fr

Agnès Rolland-Sabaté: agnes.rolland-sabate@inrae.fr

1 **Abstract**

2 During fruit and vegetable processing, procyanidins interact with cell walls and form
3 complexes, which further impact their potential health effects. Among cell wall
4 polysaccharides, pectins have the highest affinity for procyanidins. Binding of two
5 procyanidin fractions with twelve pectins of different linearity and size was
6 investigated by ITC, UV-Visible spectrophotometry and HPSEC-MALLS. Pectins
7 interacted preferentially with highly polymerized procyanidins except beet pectins
8 probably because of steric hindrance due to abundant feruloylated arabinans. Linear
9 pectins had higher affinity for procyanidins: this was verified both for comparison
10 between botanical origins (kiwifruit > apple > beet pectins) and between extraction
11 conditions. Debranched pectins, extracted at pH 2.0, had higher affinity and
12 aggregation capacities with procyanidins than those extracted at other pHs. However,
13 the factors affecting pectins of different origins seemed to be different. High molar
14 mass, intrinsic viscosity and hydrodynamic radius contributed more to increased
15 adsorption of procyanidins by apple and beet pectins. Highly linear kiwifruit pectins,
16 with high homogalacturonan content and lower branching ratio bound preferentially
17 to procyanidins. The enthalpy/entropy proportion of the interaction between kiwifruit
18 pectins and procyanidins was higher than that of apple and beet pectins, which
19 suggested more hydrogen bonding. Predominance of homogalacturonan regions and
20 high degree of methylation thus appeared key structural features of pectins for high
21 affinity for procyanidins, while high degree of branching was detrimental. These
22 findings provide the structural foundation for selectivity of interactions in
23 molecular-level.

24 **Keywords:** polyphenol, condensed tannins, polysaccharide, homogalacturonan
25 rhamnogalacturonan, methylation, association

26 **Abbreviations:**

27 HPSEC-MALLS, High Performance Size-Exclusion Chromatography combined with
28 Multi-Angle Laser Light Scattering; AIS, Alcohol Insoluble Solids; Isothermal
29 Titration Calorimetry, ITC; $[\eta]_z$, z-average intrinsic viscosity; \bar{R}_{Hz} , z-average

30 hydrodynamic radius; \bar{R}_{Hw} , weight-average hydrodynamic radius; \bar{M}_w ,
31 weight-average molar mass; \bar{d}_{Happ} , weight-average apparent molecular density;
32 homogalacturonan, HG; rhamnogalacturonan of type I, RG-I.

33 **1. Introduction**

34 In plant-based food systems (such as fruits, vegetables, and grains), secondary
35 metabolites (e.g., polyphenols) and macromolecules (e.g., proteins and
36 polysaccharides) coexist in strictly compartmented parts of the plant cells and
37 commonly come in contact with each other during processing, mastication and
38 digestion (Le Bourvellec et al., 2019; Le Bourvellec & Renard, 2012).
39 Structure-function relationships of the main non-digestible biologically active
40 components in plant-based functional foods, polysaccharides and polyphenols, may
41 be regulated by their interactions (Dobson et al., 2019; Kardum & Glibetic, 2018).
42 Dietary polysaccharide-polyphenol interactions might affect the physical properties of
43 polysaccharides, e.g., texture and stability, in food systems (Jin et al., 2020; Li, Liu,
44 Tu, Li, & Yan, 2019; Liu, Le Bourvellec, & Renard, 2020; Tudorache & Bordenave,
45 2019; Tudorache, McDonald, & Bordenave, 2020) as well as their biological activity
46 (Le Bourvellec et al., 2019). In addition, the bioaccessibility, bioavailability and
47 bioefficacy of polyphenols depends on their interaction with other food ingredients,
48 e.g., dietary fiber in particular (Ribas-Agustí, Martín-Belloso, Soliva-Fortuny, &
49 Elez-Martínez, 2018). Such dietary fibers, e.g., apple matrix, apple cell wall (Le
50 Bourvellec et al., 2019; Monfoulet et al., 2020) and pure cellulose (Phan et al., 2020),
51 can be used as a carrier for polyphenols to transport these antioxidants to the human
52 gut microbiota and further produce beneficial physiological effects after their
53 fermentation in small phenolic compounds (Loo, Howell, Chan, Zhang, & Ng, 2020;
54 Saura-Calixto, 2011).

55 Plant polyphenols have at least one aromatic ring and one or more hydroxyl
56 groups (phenol group), ranging from simple phenolic acids to complex flavonoids.
57 Procyanidins, also known as condensed tannins, are a type of proanthocyanidins and
58 in particular they have a high affinity for polysaccharides. They are polymers and
59 oligomers of catechin/epicatechin (flavan-3-ol units) and most commonly connected
60 by B-type bonds, namely C4-C8 or C4-C6 interflavanic linkages with a number
61 average degree of polymerization (\overline{DPn}) varying between 2 and more than 100
62 (Guyot, Marnet, & Drilleau, 2001). The interactions between macromolecules and
63 procyanidins are dependent on their structural characteristics, i.e. molar mass,
64 interflavanic bonds, the presence of galloyl groups and conformation (Le Bourvellec
65 & Renard, 2019; Liu et al., 2020). In general, their affinity for polysaccharides
66 increases with their \overline{DPn} and molar mass (Le Bourvellec, Guyot, & Renard, 2004;
67 Le Bourvellec & Renard, 2005, 2012; Mamet, Ge, Zhang, & Li, 2018; Renard, Baron,
68 Guyot, & Drilleau, 2001). For example, procyanidins have been demonstrated to bind
69 strongly to pectins, a major constituent of most fruit and vegetable plant cell walls (Le
70 Bourvellec & Renard, 2005; Liu et al., 2020; Renard et al., 2001). Increasing the level
71 of galloylation of procyanidins also enhances their affinity with pectins due to the
72 increased number of hydroxyl groups and aromatic rings (Le Bourvellec et al., 2004;
73 McManus et al., 1985; Tang, Covington, & Hancock, 2003). (+)-Catechin and
74 polymers composed mainly of (+)-catechin units bind more to polysaccharides than
75 (-)-epicatechin and polymer composed mainly of (-)-epicatechin due to the
76 stereochemistry of flavan-3-ols pyran rings (Le Bourvellec et al., 2004).

77 Pectins are complex polysaccharides widely found in primary plant cell walls,
78 and are acid hetero-polysaccharides. The pectic polysaccharides contain three main
79 structural units as follows. Homogalacturonan (HG) is a long and smooth chains of
80 linear α -1,4-linked D-galacturonic acid, in which most of the C-6 carboxyl groups are
81 methyl-esterified and some of the secondary alcohols at the O-2 and/or the O-3
82 positions may be acetyl-esterified (Caffall & Mohnen, 2009; Mohnen, 2008; Ridley,
83 O'Neill, & Mohnen, 2001). Rhamnogalacturonan-I (RG-I) is composed of a backbone
84 of repeating units of galacturonic acid and rhamnose linked by α -1,2 and α -1,4
85 glycosidic bonds: $[\rightarrow 4)\text{-}\alpha\text{-D-GalpA-(1}\rightarrow 2)\text{-}\alpha\text{-L-Rhap-(1}\rightarrow]$ and may be substituted at
86 the O-2 and/or the O-3 positions of α -L -rhamnose residues (Mohnen, 2008; Voragen,
87 Coenen, Verhoef, & Schols, 2009). Rhamnogalacturonan II (RG-II), making up ~10%
88 of pectins, is the most structurally complex and branched polysaccharide in this
89 family of pectic polysaccharides (Caffall & Mohnen, 2009; Ridley et al., 2001). The
90 highly complex polymer structure of RG-II is composed of an HG backbone of seven
91 to nine (and most probably more) 1,4-linked α -D-Gal-A residues including four side
92 chains clearly consisting of 12 different kinds of monosaccharides through more than
93 20 different linkages (Pérez, Rodríguez-Carvajal, & Doco, 2003). Pectins with high
94 degree of methylation (DM) display the strongest affinity for procyanidins due to
95 hydrophobic interactions, while highly branched pectins have more limited
96 interactions with procyanidins, probably due to steric hindrance (Watrelet, Le
97 Bourvellec, Imberty, & Renard, 2013, 2014). Pectins, especially HG, are susceptible
98 to various enzymatic and non-enzymatic conversion reactions during processing of

99 plant-based products, modifying their structure and, hence, their physicochemical
100 properties (Dongowski, 2001; Fraeye et al., 2007; Renard & Thibault, 1996), which in
101 turn may affect their interaction with procyanidins (Le Bourvellec, Watrelot, Ginies,
102 Imberty, & Renard, 2012).

103 Interactions of procyanidins has been studied with pectins of different
104 commercial origins (apple or citrus) or with pectin structural units. Higher affinities
105 were recorded for citrus pectins or for highly methylated homogalacturonans
106 (Watrelot et al., 2013), while type I rhamnogalacturonans with different side-chains
107 (Watrelot et al., 2014) or arabinans (Fernandes et al., 2020) had lower affinities. Also,
108 different pectin components will interact in a complex manner determining the fine
109 structure of the biopolymer (e.g., hydrogen, hydrophobic, or ionic bonding) and its
110 spatial conformation (Janaswamy & Chandrasekaran, 2005; Pérez, Mazeau, & Hervé
111 du Penhoat, 2000) that will further affect their interaction with procyanidins (Watrelot
112 et al., 2014). However, there is little systematic information on the effect of native
113 pectin's structural features (both composition and spatial conformation) on their
114 interaction with procyanidins, particularly involving combinations of light scattering
115 and spectroscopy techniques, and together with thermodynamics. Therefore, the
116 determination of the impact of conformational properties and composition of pectins
117 is crucial. To do this, pectins differing for their main structural features, i.e. molar
118 mass, size, proportion of branching, length and content of HG, HG / RG ratio and
119 degrees of methylation and acetylation, were used to gain in-depth understanding of
120 the structure/function relationships that govern their interactions with procyanidins.

121 For this, a series of twelve pectins with different HG / RG ratios, side-chains and
122 esterifications was prepared by extraction from apple, beet and kiwifruit (two
123 maturities for kiwifruit) cell walls at pH 2.0, 3.5, and 6.0. These twelve different
124 pectins were incubated with procyanidins solutions of intermediate and high \overline{DPn} ,
125 DP9 and DP79, respectively. Interactions were characterized by aggregates formation
126 using UV–visible spectroscopy and by isothermal titration calorimetry. The pectin
127 macromolecular characteristics before and after interactions were determined by
128 size-exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle laser light scattering and
129 viscometric detections to better understand the selectivity of their interactions with
130 procyanidins. A deep understanding of the molecular mechanisms that drive the
131 interaction between pectins and procyanidins can enable us to better bridge the gap
132 between food processing and the bioavailability of commensal microbiota
133 fermentation products of pectin and procyanidins. Further, this promotes the design of
134 more rational processing conditions and healthier and more nutritious foods.

135 **2. Materials and methods**

136 **2.1. Standards and Chemicals**

137 Ethanol and acetone were provided from Fisher Scientific (Strasbourg, France).
138 Acetonitrile, methanol of HPLC grade were obtained from VWR International
139 (Radnor, USA). Hexane was from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Sugar standards
140 (arabinose, fucose, galactose, xylose, mannose and rhamnose) were from Fluka
141 (Buchs, Switzerland). Methanol-d₃ was from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Formic

142 acid, chlorogenic acid, benzyl mercaptan, sodium carbonate, sodium hydroxide,
143 NaBH₄, N-methylimidazole, acetic anhydride, toluene- α -thiol, (+)-catechin and
144 (-)-epicatechin were from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France).
145 4-Coumaric acid was obtained from Extrasynthese (Lyon, France). Phloridzin was
146 obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland).

147 **2.2. Extraction, purification and characterization of procyanidins**

148 **2.2.1. Plant material**

149 Apple fruits (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.) from the ‘Marie Menard’ and ‘Avrolles’
150 cider cultivars were harvested at maturity (after starch regression) in the experimental
151 orchard of the Institut Français des Productions Cidricoles (Sées, Orne, France). Fruits
152 were mechanically cored and a formic acid solution (10 mL/L) was sprayed on the
153 fresh material to avoid phenolic oxidation. Cortex tissues were then frozen,
154 freeze-dried, and stored at -20 °C until used.

155 **2.2.2. Procyanidin extraction and purification**

156 Apple polyphenols were extracted from the freeze-dried apple powder (150 g)
157 sequentially by hexane, methanol and aqueous acetone according to a procedure
158 described by (Guyot et al., 2001). Hexane and methanol extracts were discarded as
159 they did not contain the required procyanidin fractions and to eliminate lipids, sugars,
160 organic acids and phenolic compounds of low molar mass. Aqueous acetone extracts
161 containing procyanidins were pooled and concentrated on a rotary evaporator prior to
162 freeze-drying. The freeze-dried aqueous acetone extracts were dissolved (100 g/L) in

163 water acidified with formic acid (99.9:0.1, v/v), centrifuged (16 800 x g, 15 min) and
164 then filtered. They were injected on a 20x5 cm column of LiChrospher 100 RP-18 (12
165 µm, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and purified as described by [Brahem, Renard,](#)
166 [Bureau, Watrelot, & Le Bourvellec \(2019\)](#). Procyanidin fractions were concentrated
167 on a rotary evaporator then freeze-dried and stored under vacuum at -80 °C until used.
168 The purified procyanidin fractions are designated as DP9 (from ‘Marie Ménard’) and
169 DP79 (from ‘Avrolles’).

170 **2.2.3. Procyanidin characterizations**

171 Procyanidins were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
172 with diode array detection (DAD) with or without thioacidolysis as described by
173 [Guyot et al. \(2001\)](#). Analysis were performed using the ultra-fast liquid
174 chromatography and controlled by LC Solution software (Shimadzu Prominence
175 system, Kyoto, Japan). The system was operated by two LC-20AD pumps
176 Prominence LC UFLC, a DGU-20A5 Prominence degasser, a SIL-20A8HT
177 Prominence autosampler, a CTO-20AC Prominence column oven, an SPD-M20A
178 Prominence diode array detector and a CBM-20A Prominence communication bus
179 module. Separations were achieved as described in [Le Bourvellec et al. \(2011\)](#). The
180 average degree of polymerization of procyanidins (\overline{DP}_n) was calculated as the molar
181 ratio of all flavan-3-ol units (thioether adduct plus terminal units minus (+)-catechin
182 and (-)-epicatechin naturally present in the samples and determined by analysis of the
183 samples without thiolysis) to (+)-catechin and (-)-epicatechin corresponding to the
184 terminal units minus (+)-catechin and (-)-epicatechin naturally present in the samples

185 and determined by analysis of the samples without thiolysis.

186 **2.3. Preparation of pectin fractions**

187 Pectins from apple (A-), beet (B-) and kiwifruits (K-) (ripe R- and overripe O-)
188 were prepared as described by (Liu, Renard, Rolland-Sabaté, Bureau, & Le
189 Bourvellec, 2021). Cell walls were isolated from the parenchyma of the different
190 edible plant materials as alcohol insoluble solids. Subsequently, pectins were
191 extracted from each cell wall material by boiling for 20 min in a citrate-phosphate
192 solution (0.1 M) at three pH values: 2.0, 3.5 and 6.0. Thus, twelve pectin fractions
193 were obtained. That is, apple, beet, kiwifruit (ripe) and kiwifruit (overripe) pectins
194 extracted at pH 2.0/3.5/6.0 were designated AP2/3/6, BP2/3/6, KPR2/3/6 and
195 KPO2/3/6, respectively. The purpose of this step is to obtain pectins of different
196 compositions and structures.

197 **2.4. Initial and free pectin macromolecular characteristics**

198 The pectins (2.5 g/L) were analyzed by High Performance Size-Exclusion
199 Chromatography coupled with Multi-Angle Laser Light Scattering (HPSEC-MALLS)
200 after being filtered as described by Liu et al. (2021). Samples (100 µL) were injected
201 and the mobile phase was 0.1 M citrate/phosphate buffer with pH 3.8, and eluted at
202 0.6 mL/min. The system comprised three HPSEC columns (PolySep-GFC-P3000,
203 P5000 and P6000, 300 ×7.8 mm) and a guard column from Phenomenex (Le Pecq,
204 France) maintained at 40 °C, a MALLS detector (DAWN HELEOS 8+ fitted with a
205 K5 flow cell and a GaAs laser ($\lambda = 660$ nm), a Viscostar III viscometer, both from

206 Wyatt Technology Corporation (Santa Barbara, CA, USA), a diode array detector
207 (SPD-M20A) and a fluorescence detector (RF-20A) set at 360 nm (280 nm excitation)
208 and a refractive index detector (RID-10A) from Shimadzu (Shimadzu Prominence
209 system, Kyoto, Japan).

210 M_i and R_{Gi} , the molar mass and the radius of gyration at each slice of the
211 chromatogram, was determined using the concentration (calculated from the
212 refractometric signal) and the light scattering signal from 5 angles (from 20.4° to 90°)
213 and data extrapolation to zero angle using the Zimm formalism with a one order
214 polynomial fit (Rolland-Sabaté, Colonna, Potocki-Véronèse, Monsan, & Planchot,
215 2004) using ASTRA® software from Wyatt Technology Corporation (version 7.1.4 for
216 PC). R_{hi} , the viscometric hydrodynamic radius at each slice of the chromatogram for
217 the equivalent sphere, was calculated by combining viscosity and molar mass
218 measurements using the following equation derived from the Einstein and Simha
219 relation (Einstein, 1906, 1911; Simha, 1940):

$$220 \quad [\eta]_i M_i = \gamma N_A V_{hi} = \frac{10\pi}{3} N_A R_{hi}^3 \quad (1)$$

221 where $[\eta]_i$ and V_{hi} are the intrinsic viscosity and the hydrodynamic volume at
222 each slice of the chromatogram, $\gamma = 2.5$ for spheres and N_A the Avogadro number.

223 The z-average intrinsic viscosity ($[\overline{\eta}]_z$), z-average and weight average viscometric
224 hydrodynamic radii (\overline{R}_{Hz} and \overline{R}_{Hw}) and weight-average molar mass (\overline{M}_w) were
225 established using the averaging described in Rolland-Sabaté *et al.* (Rolland-Sabaté *et*
226 *al.*, 2004; Rolland-Sabaté, Mendez-Montevalvo, Colonna, & Planchot, 2008) on the
227 whole peaks. A value of 0.146 mL/g was used as the refractive index increment (dn/dc)

228 for glycans and the normalization of photodiodes was achieved using a low molar mass
229 pullulan standard (P20) from Showa Denko K.K. (Tokyo, Japan). The average apparent
230 molecular density (\bar{d}_{Happ}) was calculated using the following equation:

$$231 \quad \bar{d}_{Happ} = \bar{M}_w / (4\pi/3) * \bar{R}_{Hw}^3 \quad (2)$$

232 The log-log plot of hydrodynamic radius versus the molar mass and the
233 Mark-Houwink-Sakurada plot were established for each sample by using the data
234 taken at each slice of the chromatogram. The power law exponent (α) can be
235 calculated according to the following equations:

$$236 \quad [\eta]_i = K_a M_i^\alpha \quad (3)$$

237 where K_a is a constant and α is the hydrodynamic coefficient which depends on the
238 polymer shape in the solvent.

239 **2.5. Phase Diagram**

240 The formation of aggregates was analyzed by spectrophotometry during the
241 pectin-procyanidin interactions as described by [WatreLOT et al. \(2013\)](#). All
242 measurements were done in duplicates. The turbidity measurements were carried out
243 with a SAFAS flx-Xenius XM spectrofluorimeter (SAFAS, Monaco) at 650 nm on a
244 96-well microplate at 25 °C. A serial procyanidin solutions (0, 0.03, 0.06, 0.12, 0.24,
245 0.47, 0.94, 1.875, 3.75, 7.5, 15 and 30 mmol/L (-)-epicatechin equivalent for
246 'Avrolles'; 0, 0.06, 0.12, 0.24, 0.46, 0.94, 1.875, 3.75, 7.5, 15, 30 and 60 mmol/L
247 (-)-epicatechin equivalent for 'Marie Ménard') and pectins (0, 0.015, 0.03, 0.06, 0.24,
248 0.94, 3.75 and 15 mmol/L galacturonic acid equivalent for 'Avrolles'; 0, 0.03, 0.06,
249 0.24, 0.94, 3.75, 15 and 30 mmol/L galacturonic acid equivalent for 'Marie Ménard')

250 were prepared along the lines and columns, respectively. Solutions were prepared in
251 citrate/phosphate buffer at pH 3.8, 0.1 M ionic strength. Equal amounts (50 μ L) of
252 pectins and procyanidins solutions were mixed and stirred for 20 s before each
253 measurement. Controls were a line or column containing only procyanidins or pectins
254 in buffer. After spectra were collected, microplates were centrifuged 10 min at 2100 x
255 g. Supernatants of control wells (pectins at 15/30 mmol/L in buffer, named S1A) and
256 (procyanidins at a concentration of 30/60 mmol/L, named S1B) and supernatants of
257 wells containing procyanidins at a concentration of 30/60 mmol/L with pectin at a
258 concentration of 15/30 mmol/L (named S2) were analyzed using High-Performance
259 Liquid Chromatography with Diode Array Detection (HPLC-DAD) and High
260 Performance Size-exclusion Chromatography coupled with Multi-Angle Laser Light
261 Scattering (HPSEC-MALLS). ($\Delta M_w = S2-S1A$) and ($\Delta DP_n = S2-S1B$) were used to
262 define qualitative changes in weight-average molar mass of pectins and in number
263 average degree of polymerization of procyanidins, respectively.

264 **2.6. Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)**

265 The entropy and enthalpy changes caused by the interactions between
266 procyanidins and pectins were determined by ITC, using TAM III microcalorimeter
267 (TA instruments, New Castle, USA). Purified procyanidins (60 mmol/L in
268 (-)-epicatechin equivalent) and pectins (7.5 mmol/L galacturonic acid equivalent)
269 were dissolved in the same citrate/phosphate buffer pH 3.8, 0.1 M ionic strength. The
270 reference cell was filled by water. All solutions were degassed prior to measurements.
271 The pectin solution was placed in the 850 mL sample cell of the calorimeter and the

272 procyanidin solution was loaded into the injection syringe and titrated into the sample
273 cell by 50 injections of 5 μL . Each injection lasted 5 s, with separating delay of 20
274 min. The content of the sample cell was stirred throughout the experiment at 90
275 rev/min. The raw ITC data as a plot of heat flow (microjoules per second) against
276 time (minutes) were then integrated peak-by peak and normalized to obtain a plot of
277 observed enthalpy change per mole of injectant (ΔH , kJ/mol) against the molar ratio
278 (epicatechin/galacturonic acid). Peak integration was performed and the experimental
279 data were fitted to a theoretical titration curve using the instrument software
280 (NanoAnalyze 3.10.0). Control experiments include the titration of procyanidin
281 fractions into buffer and are subtracted from titration experiments. The
282 thermodynamic parameters including binding stoichiometry (n), binding constant (K_a),
283 enthalpy (ΔH) and entropy (ΔS), the 'S' shape curve as adjustable parameters.
284 Experiments were carried out in duplicates.

285 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

286 Results were expressed as mean values, and their reproducibility was presented as
287 the pooled standard deviation (Pooled SD). For each series of repeated samples, the
288 pooled SDs were calculated using the sum of their respective variances multiplied by
289 their respective degrees of freedom (Box, Hunter, & Hunter, 1978). Principal
290 Component Analysis (PCA) was realized using the functions of the library
291 FactoMineR and Factoextra in R statistical software (R Core Team., 2014). Heatmap
292 was performed with Python 3.5 software using the Seaborn package (Waskom, 2014).

293 **3. Results**

294 **3.1. Structure and composition of fractions**

295 **3.1.1. Procyanidins**

296 The two apple varieties ‘Marie Ménéard’ and ‘Avrolles’ were chosen to obtain two
297 purified fractions of intermediate and high degree of polymerization, respectively (Le
298 Bourvellec, Guyot, & Renard, 2009). The composition of isolated apple phenolic
299 fractions is shown in Table 1. The purified extracts from ‘Marie Ménéard’ and
300 ‘Avrolles’ contained ca. 700 mg/g of polyphenols, mainly procyanidins plus traces of
301 flavan-3-ol monomer i.e. (-)-epicatechin, hydroxycinnamic acids, i.e.
302 5'-caffeoylquinic acid and *p*-coumaroylquinic acid, dihydrochalcones, i.e. phloretin
303 xyloglucoside and phloridzine, and a mix of flavonols. ‘Marie Ménéard’ and ‘Avrolles’
304 procyanidins were characterized by $\overline{DP}n = 9$ and 79, respectively. Both were
305 constituted by more than 98 % (-)-epicatechin units and contained a homologous
306 structure differing by their degree of polymerization. All the results were consistent
307 with Le Bourvellec et al. (2004) and (2012).

308 **3.1.2. Pectins**

309 Detailed compositions of the pectins are available in Liu et al. (2021) and the
310 sugar ratios based on the sugar content and macromolecular characteristic for pectins
311 are calculated in Table 1. HPSEC chromatograms and molar mass distributions of the
312 pectins are presented in Fig. 1. Structural diversity was obtained on two major
313 parameters, namely (i) the nature and composition of side-chains and (ii)

314 macromolecular characteristics, which varied independently in this series of pectins
315 (as described by principal component analysis loading and sample plots in
316 [Supplementary Fig. 1](#)). Concerning the neutral sugar side chain abundance and
317 composition, apple pectins were characterized by high xylose, signaling presence of
318 xylogalacturonans (Schols, Bakx, Schipper, & Voragen, 1995). Only beet pectins
319 contained detectable ferulic acid, and they had the highest content of neutral sugars,
320 notably arabinose, and highest degree of acetylation. Kiwifruit pectins appeared to be
321 the richest in homogalacturonans, with the lowest arabinose content and arabinose to
322 galactose ratio. All these characteristics were further modulated by the pH used for
323 pectin solubilization, with pectins solubilized at pH 2.0 displaying the most extreme
324 characteristics for their respective origins. Unsaturated double bonds resulting from
325 β -elimination have been detected in AP6, BP6, KPR6 and KPO6 (Liu et al., 2021),
326 leading to reduction of the HG chain length and its potential binding sites after pH 6.0
327 treatment.

328 Further information on pectin conformations in solution, calculated by plotting
329 the molar mass versus the intrinsic viscosity obtained with HPSEC-MALLS, is given
330 in [Table 2](#). Two regions were used to determine the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada
331 conformation parameters at different peaks. In the main peak, the exponent α varied
332 between 0.96 and 1.32 indicating an organization close to stiff coils in a good solvent
333 (Flory, 1953), with various chain flexibility in agreement with literature data
334 (Fishman, Chau, Kolpak, & Brady, 2001), excepted for AP2 ($\alpha = 0.55$) which
335 exhibited a value corresponding to random coil conformation in a θ solvent. Most

336 pectins (except AP2) also presented a less important fraction (generally shoulder in
337 the chromatogram from 20 – 23 mL, [Fig. 1](#)) exhibiting a spheroidal or denser
338 conformation ([Table 2](#)) (α between 0 and 0.41) that could correspond to branched
339 aggregates or more folded conformation ([Alba, Bingham, Gunning, Wilde, &](#)
340 [Kontogiorgos, 2018](#); [Lopez-Torrez, Nigen, Williams, Doco, & Sanchez, 2015](#)). This
341 minor fraction represented a higher proportion in AP6 and KPRs ([Fig. 1](#)) and seemed
342 to correspond to the main peak in AP2. The lower values of the exponent α (0.55)
343 thus obtained for the main peak in AP2 could be due to a more folded molecule, and
344 this intermediate value (between the sphere and the rod) was most probably caused by
345 the presence of two populations under the main peak, which produced artificially one
346 lower exponent instead of two exponents. Meanwhile, the population of these peaks
347 will undergo some modifications after interaction with procyanidins. Detailed
348 information will be given in the interaction section.

349 Good diversities and variabilities were obtained in this sample set for pectin
350 linearity, length of side chains, arabinans / galactans ratio, degree of acetylation,
351 molar mass and conformation. No pectins with a low degree of methylation ($DM < 30$)
352 were present. This should not affect the investigation of the subsequent interactions as
353 it has been proved that the affinity of low methylated pectins to procyanidins is very
354 low ([WatreLOT et al., 2013](#)).

355 **3.2. Interactions with procyanidins of DP9**

356 **3.2.1. Isothermal titration calorimetry**

357 Thermodynamic parameters from ITC titration of pectins by procyanidins DP9
358 are shown in [Table 3A](#). Typical thermograms were obtained for AP2/3, BP2,
359 KPR2/3/6 and KPO2 (7.5 mM galacturonic acid equivalent) titrated by procyanidin
360 DP9 (60 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent) with strong exothermic peaks (data not
361 shown). In contrast, no titration could be observed for AP6, BP3/6 and KPO3/6 by
362 procyanidin DP9. Stoichiometry (defined as ratio of (-)-epicatechin/galacturonic acid)
363 was ca. 0.1 for AP2/3, BP2 and KPO2 (1 molecule of (-)-epicatechin bound 10 units
364 of galacturonic acid) and ca. 0.14 for KPR2 (1 molecule of (-)-epicatechin bound 7
365 units of galacturonic acid) using a one-site model.

366 The association constant ranged between $2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and
367 increased in the following order: AP3 \approx BP2 < KPR3 \approx KPR6 \approx AP2 \ll KPR2 \lll
368 KPO2 ([Table 3A](#)). KPO2 with the highest Gal A/Rha ratio (91) had the highest
369 affinity for procyanidin DP9, showing a strong positive impact of pectin linearity on
370 their ability to interact with procyanidins. Analysis of the thermodynamic
371 contributions ($\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$) related to the exothermic reactions indicated a strong
372 entropy contribution ($-T\Delta S$ from -18 to -14 kJ/mol) showing that the interactions were
373 mostly driven by entropy, i.e. by hydrophobic interactions and the release of water
374 molecules ([Le Bourvellec & Renard, 2012](#); [Poncet-Legrand, Gautier, Cheynier, &](#)
375 [Imberty, 2007](#); [Watrelet et al., 2013, 2014](#)). The enthalpy contributions were very
376 limited for AP3, AP2 and KPR3 (ΔH from -1 to -4 kJ/mol) and higher for AP2,
377 KPR2/6 and KPO2 (ΔH from -6 to -5 kJ/mol) indicating that interactions also
378 involved hydrogen bonds. The proportion of enthalpy for KPR/Os was significantly

379 higher than that of AP3 and BP2 (Table 3A) due to hydrogen bonds which increased
380 their affinity for procyanidin DP9.

381 **3.2.2. Phase diagram**

382 Fig. 2A, B present the heat-map of turbidity at 650 nm. Turbidity of all pectin
383 mixtures with procyanidins DP9 rose with increasing pectin concentrations (Fig. 2A).
384 This increase was more marked at 30 mM galacturonic acid equivalent for KPR2 and
385 KPO2, with absorbance values of 1.03 and 0.92, respectively, than for other pectins
386 (absorbance from 0.21 to 0.48) at the same concentration. These results were
387 consistent with the results of ITC. The interactions between highly linear pectins and
388 procyanidin DP9 led to formation of aggregates with marked turbidity. For APs,
389 BP3/6, KPR2/3 and KPO2/3, the absorbances at 650 nm increased slightly at a
390 concentration of 30 mM galacturonic acid equivalent. After 3.75 mM pectin
391 concentration, the absorbance of BP2 stabilized around at 0.2. However, AP6, BP3/6
392 and KPO3/6 also showed increased turbidity while no interactions had been detected
393 by ITC. This result may indicate that the resulting released or absorbed heat during
394 the interaction stayed below the limit of detection of the nanocalorimetric method.
395 Absorbance of all pectins at 30 mM (-)-galacturonic acids equivalent rose with
396 increased procyanidins DP9 concentrations (Fig. 2B), which was consistent with the
397 trend of Fig. 2A.

398 **3.2.3. Characterization of unbound pectin and procyanidins**

399 The free pectins and procyanidins remaining in the wells after interactions with

400 30 mM galacturonic acid and 60 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalents were investigated to
401 further understand the impact of the interactions on their macromolecular structure
402 (Fig. 1, Table 4). Fig. 1 showed the chromatograms obtained by HPSEC-MALLS of
403 the initial pectic compounds in buffer (S1A) and the free pectin compounds (at 30
404 mM in galacturonic acid equivalent) after binding with procyanidins (S2, i.e. pectic
405 compounds that had not formed aggregates). Table 4 showed the corresponding pectin
406 macromolecular characteristics.

407 After interaction, only 2% -5% of pectins remained in the supernatant. More
408 pectin remained free for AP6, BP6 and KPO/R6 than for AP2/3, BP2/3 and KPO/R2/3,
409 respectively (Table 4). On the one hand, the \bar{M}_w of free pectins after binding with
410 procyanidins was lower than the \bar{M}_w of initial pectin sample (Table 4). Procyanidin
411 DP9 may have strong selectivity for the bigger molecules of pectin fractions,
412 especially AP2 (ΔM_w : -266), KPR2 (ΔM_w : -216) and KPR3 (ΔM_w : -223). On the
413 other hand, the \overline{DP}_n of free procyanidins after interaction with pectins was lower
414 than the \overline{DP}_n of initial procyanidin sample, whatever pHs and species (Table 4),
415 confirming that pectins preferentially interacted with highly polymerized
416 procyanidins.

417 In comparison with the initial pectin solutions, the main peaks of free APs, BPs,
418 KPRs and KPOs in the solutions slightly shifted to higher elution volumes indicating
419 a decrease in hydrodynamic volume (Fig. 1). Moreover, the shoulder at a lower
420 elution time (corresponding to the high molar mass fraction) in the main peak of AP6
421 and KPR/Os decreased after interaction due to complexation with procyanidins. The

422 molecules that remained in supernatants after pectin-procyanidin interactions were
423 smaller procyanidins DP9 and smaller pectins. The large-sized pectins and the larger
424 procyanidins were co-aggregated, or the aggregates contained procyanidins of higher
425 \overline{DP}_n and pectins with larger hydrodynamic volume. Nevertheless, it was challenging
426 to use the α value obtained from Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation to determine
427 which pectin conformation was more conducive to interaction as this value did not
428 show clear trend probably because it only provided some general structural
429 information on these pectins (Table 2).

430 **3.3. Interactions with procyanidins of DP79**

431 **3.3.1. Isothermal titration calorimetry**

432 Titration of all pectins by procyanidins DP79 showed complex curves
433 characterized by strong exothermic peaks. Thermodynamic parameters are shown in
434 Table 3B. Stoichiometry (n) results, suggested that approximately 1 (-)-epicatechin
435 constitutive unit bound to 5 units of galacturonic acid for high linearity KPO2. For
436 AP3, KPR3/6 and KPO3/6 with medium linearity, approximately 1 (-)-epicatechin
437 constitutive unit bound to 10 units of galacturonic acid. While, the stoichiometry for
438 AP2 with high molar mass and size, and BP2/3 with high arabinans side chains were
439 ca. 0.5.

440 The association constant K_a between pectins and procyanidins DP79 ranged from
441 $0.3 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ to $1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in the order $\text{BP2} \approx \text{BP3} \approx \text{BP6} \ll \text{KPR3} < \text{KPR6}$
442 $< \text{AP6} < \text{AP3} \ll \text{AP2} < \text{KPO3} < \text{KPO6} \ll \text{KPR2} < \text{KPO2}$. In particular, the BP2, AP2,

443 KPR2 and KPO2 pectins were the most different from our sample set ([Supplementary](#)
444 [Fig. 1](#)) and cover a wide range of affinity. Regarding the interactions between all
445 pectins and procyanidins DP79, contribution of entropy ($-T\Delta S$ from -12 to -19 kJ/mol)
446 related to the exothermic reactions, indicated that the interactions were mostly driven
447 by entropy indicating hydrophobic interactions and water released. Moreover, an
448 enthalpy contribution especially for KPR2 ($\Delta H = - 6.0$ kJ/mol) and KPO2 ($\Delta H = - 8.0$
449 kJ/mol) was also observed indicating that hydrogen bonds were also involved.

450 **3.3.2. Phase diagram**

451 More precipitation was obtained with procyanidins of DP79 ([Fig 3. A, B](#)) than of
452 DP 9, regardless of pectin type. Turbidity increased dramatically with the increase of
453 concentration of galacturonic acid and procyanidins for AP2, BP2, KPR2 and KPO2,
454 indicating strong interactions, which confirmed the result of ITC. The turbidity for
455 AP2, BP2, KPR2 and KPO2 with procyanidins DP79 at 15 mM galacturonic acid
456 equivalent or 30 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent increased in the following the order:
457 $KPO6 < KPR6 < AP6 < KPR3 \approx BP2 < AP2 < BP3 < BP6 < KPO3 < KPR2 < AP3$
458 $< KPO2$.

459 **3.3.3. Characterization of unbound pectin and procyanidins**

460 After interactions between pectins (30 mM galacturonic acid equivalent) and
461 procyanidin DP79 (60 mM epicatechin equivalent), 4% - 11% of the pectins remained
462 in the supernatants ([Table 4](#)). Similarly, more pectins remained in solution for AP6,
463 BP6 and KPO/R6 than for AP2/3, BP2/3 and KPO/R2/3, respectively. \bar{M}_w of all

464 pectins and \overline{DP}_n of procyanidin DP79 obviously decreased after interactions (Table
465 4). The ΔM_w obtained between APs, BPs, KPR/Os and procyanidins DP79 were
466 higher than the ones obtained with procyanidin DP9, especially for AP2. Procyanidin
467 DP79 gave a higher ΔDP_n for all pectins ranging from - 56 to - 38. This high decrease
468 of the degree of polymerization and molar mass indicated that highly polymerized
469 procyanidins of fraction DP79 associated selectively with pectins of higher molar
470 mass. HPSEC-MALLS chromatograms of the pectins also support this conclusion.
471 Like with procyanidin DP9, the main population of free APs, BPs, KPRs and KPOs
472 was slightly shifted to lower sizes after complexation/aggregation and the largest
473 population disappeared (Fig. 3). This suggested that the large-sized pectin aggregates
474 from the largest population preferentially interact with large-sized procyanidins DP79
475 and produce precipitates (Alba et al., 2018; Carn et al., 2012). However, this
476 specificity can not be distinguished in different conformations of pectins, because α
477 values of large populations are all in the range of 0 - 0.41 representing large-sized
478 folded structures or branched aggregates did not show clear trend (Table 2).

479 **4. Discussion**

480 **4.1. Comparison of calorimetry and turbidity methods**

481 Using both isothermal titration calorimetry and turbidity, pectins with different
482 linearity (or side-chain abundance) and macromolecular characteristics were shown to
483 interact with procyanidins (DP9 and DP79). These interactions were mainly driven by
484 entropy, which may be caused by hydrophobic interactions, or changes in solvation
485 and conformation (Leavitt & Freire, 2001; Poncet-Legrand et al., 2007). Enthalpy

486 contributions were also observed indicating that interactions also involved some
487 hydrogen bonds. Haze formation was observed for all pectins with procyanidins DP9,
488 while for some pectin fractions, AP6, BP3/6, and KPO3/6, the interactions were
489 below the detection limit for nano calorimetry. The reason may be that the ITC signal
490 generated by these pectin-procyanidin interactions (exothermic) is masked by the
491 concurrent endothermic signal from chain-chain interaction during pectin aggregation.
492 In addition, the pectins for which no titration could be detected were generally
493 characterized by higher arabinose contents: although procyanidins do interact with
494 arabinans (Fernandes et al., 2020), this polymer appeared to lead to less intense
495 binding. This was not observed with procyanidins DP79, which had higher energies of
496 interactions and generally higher affinities than DP9. Turbidity appeared to be more
497 sensitive than ITC for detection of interactions. Haze formation is a complex process
498 which involves the modification of intra-molecular and molecule-solvent interactions.
499 A limit to measuring turbidity however is that it must be observed under static
500 conditions, and the lack of stirring during the complete measurement may result in
501 uneven cloudiness / aggregate formation (WatreLOT, Renard, & Le Bourvellec, 2015).
502 Moreover, procyanidins, and notably the larger procyanidins, can auto-aggregate
503 (Carn et al., 2012) and their sedimentation increased with $\overline{DP}n$. The turbidity
504 measurement provided information on the formation of insoluble complexes, but it
505 cannot provide information on the mechanism and binding sites. These two methods
506 are complementary, allowing higher sensitivity for detection of the interactions (haze
507 formation) on the one hand and access to stoichiometric ratio and binding enthalpy

508 (ITC) on the other hand.

509 **4.2. Pectin linearity**

510 The highest affinities for procyanidins DP9/79 were obtained for kiwifruit pectins
511 KPO2 and KPR2, with both the highest K_a and the most marked aggregate formation,
512 and the lowest affinities were observed for beet pectins. KPR/Os exhibited the highest
513 linearity, HG and galactans contents, and lower RG-I content (Table 1 and
514 Supplementary Fig. 1). Ripening involves a decrease in arabinose and a loss of pectic
515 side chains (Liu et al., 2021) resulting in even higher linearity and higher HG ratio in
516 KPO2, which strengthen the binding to procyanidins. Brahem et al. (2019) also
517 reported that cell walls from pear at an overripe stage have a higher affinity for
518 procyanidins than those from the ripe stage, due to removal of arabinan and galactan
519 pectin side chains during ripening, allowing better access to galacturonic acid-rich
520 molecules. Moreover, the adsorption of anthocyanins on blueberry linear
521 chelator-soluble pectins is four times higher than on the highly branched
522 water-soluble pectin (Koh, Xu, & Wicker, 2020). On the other hand, Watrelot et al.
523 (2014) described that the affinity of procyanidin DP30 to pectic compounds increases
524 in the following order: arabinans < arabinans + galactans II < galactan I. This may be
525 due to the length and the flexibility of galactan side chains, while arabino-galactan
526 side chains are short, more branched and stiff (M'sakni et al., 2006). Highly branched
527 arabinans have more globular structures which limit their interactions with
528 polyphenols (Fernandes et al., 2020). KPR/Os contained more galactan side chains,
529 while BPs contained more arabinans, followed by APs. Therefore, structure of the

530 side-chains may also have contributed to the higher affinity of KPR/Os for
531 procyanidins DP79. Interactions between BPs and procyanidin DP79 showed
532 association constants of the order of 10^2 M^{-1} . The differences in affinity constants
533 between the different pH fractions were very limited, ranging between 0.3×10^2
534 M^{-1} (BP2) and $0.4 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (BP3/6). The low affinity performance of BPs may be
535 not only due to their complex arabinan side chain structures, but also to the presence
536 of ferulic acid covalently linked to arabinans, and to their acetylation. Ferulic acid
537 cross-linking, by further rigidifying the arabinan side-chains, might lower interactions
538 with procyanidins due to steric hindrance. This is consistent with [Fernandes et al.](#)
539 [\(2020\)](#) who reported that the branched arabinan side chains in pectin limit their
540 interactions with polyphenols.

541 **4.3. Impact of pectins molar mass on interactions**

542 AP2, with higher molar mass, intrinsic viscosity, hydrodynamic radius and lower
543 density, had higher affinity for procyanidin DP9/79 than AP3 and AP6; this was also
544 true when comparing KPR2 or KPO2 to KPR3/6 and KPO3/6. This was the same
545 relation as with corn silk polysaccharides, for which the binding capacity to
546 flavonoids increases with molar mass ([Guo, Ma, Xue, Gao, & Chen, 2018](#)). However,
547 this relationship only appeared true within a series with otherwise similar structural
548 features, as KPR2 and KPO2 had lower molar masses but higher affinities than AP2.
549 This suggests that increasing the molar mass of pectins alone may not increase their
550 adsorption capacity. The linear structure of pectins was more important than their
551 molar mass for binding to procyanidins.

552 Higher molar mass pectins have more glycosidic bonds, therefore more potential
553 binding sites, which contribute to the adsorption of polyphenols. Moreover, a larger
554 hydrodynamic radius and lower density may also mean that there was more space for
555 procyanidins to interact with the polysaccharide molecule. Meanwhile, a larger space
556 for bending and turning, a larger effective volume, a larger flow resistance, and a
557 higher frequency of collisions between segments produce high intrinsic viscosity
558 (BeMiller & Whistler, 1996). Pectins with higher intrinsic viscosity, that is existing as
559 expanded chains in solution, might better interact with procyanidins (Fig. 4A). In
560 addition, most carboxyl groups in pectins are ionized when the pH is higher than their
561 pKa, which was the case in the experiments (pH 3.8 > pKa 3.5). This ionization leads
562 to coulomb repulsion between the consecutive free carboxyls on a pectin molecule,
563 increasing its stiffness (Stoddart, Spires, & Tipton, 1969), and preventing spatial
564 proximity between segments of two pectin molecules (Fig. 4B). This causes the chain
565 to stretch, which may result in more space to adsorption.

566 **4.4. Substitution of the galacturonic acids**

567 The DM of pectin has already been demonstrated to be a very important factor for
568 interactions. However, all the pectins used here had high degrees of methylation (>
569 70), allowing strong interactions with procyanidins (WatreLOT et al. (2013). Although
570 KPR/Os were less methylated than APs and BPs, they still had a high DM (> 73),
571 which did not limit their high affinity for procyanidins. Moreover, the intensity of the
572 interaction seems to decrease with increasing acetylation. KPR/Os have the lowest
573 degree of acetylation with a high affinity for procyanidins, while BPs have the highest

574 degree of acetylation with the lowest affinity. However, a simple comparison may be
575 misleading as there are confounding factors which should be considered, e.g., pectin
576 linearity.

577 We noted that the overall enthalpy of interactions with KPR/Os were significantly
578 higher than with APs and BPs, especially KPR/O2 ([Table 3](#)), suggesting more
579 hydrogen bonds. Most previous studies focused on commercial pectin (usually not
580 acetylated and sometimes demethylated), purified HG or RG. [WatreLOT et al. \(2013\)](#)
581 reported that interactions between commercial apple and citrus pectins and
582 procyanidins is also mostly driven by entropy. However, the interactions between
583 rhamnogalacturonans and hairy regions of pectins and procyanidins could be driven
584 by either enthalpy or entropy or both ([WatreLOT et al., 2014](#)). Similarly, the interactions
585 between apple pectins extracted at pH 3.8 (from 'Ariane' cultivar) and procyanidins
586 (DP9) are entropy-driven ([Le Bourvellec et al., 2012](#)). Most pectin-procyanidin
587 interactions are driven by both entropy and enthalpy, but their proportions may be
588 regulated by the degree of esterification of pectins.

589 **4.5. Degree of polymerization of procyanidins**

590 As expected, the interactions were higher with the procyanidins of the highest
591 degree of polymerization. With procyanidins DP79, marked aggregation appeared for
592 all pectin fractions (absorbance > 0.9) at the maximum concentration of both, while
593 with procyanidins DP9 formation of cloud or aggregate were relatively lower.
594 However, two trends were observed for ITC results. APs, BP3/6, KPR2 and KPRs had
595 a higher affinity with DP79 than DP9 by ITC, but BP2 and KPR3/6 had a lower

596 affinity with DP79 than DP9, especially BP2, the affinity of which was lower by one
597 order of magnitude. This may be due to the conformational constraints of the two
598 molecules that limit their interactions with each other. BP2 had low pectin linearity
599 and HG content, together with the highest neutral sugar side-chains content of the
600 twelve pectins, especially arabinans, and high ferulic acid content. On the one hand,
601 these structures might cross-link the chains and limit the available binding sites for
602 complexation with procyanidins. On the other hand, procyanidins DP79 may exhibit
603 longer length and larger size, and are less uniform than procyanidins DP30 and DP9.
604 Using the Fisher-Burford model to fit the SAXS spectrum, [Vernhet, Carrillo, &](#)
605 [Poncet-Legrand \(2014\)](#) reported that the apple proanthocyanidins of DP69 and DP80
606 have a more dense shape with branches. [Zanchi et al. \(2009\)](#) described polymeric
607 proanthocyanidins containing about 6% ramifications. Therefore, high DP
608 procyanidins may induce intramolecular hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen
609 bonds resulting in more aggregated and less extended structure. [WatreLOT et al. \(2013,](#)
610 [2014\)](#) showed that pectins interact preferentially with highly polymerized
611 procyanidins DP30, and that structure and size of procyanidins DP30 facilitates
612 aggregates formation. Using larger procyanidins facilitated detection of the selectivity
613 of various pectins, DP79 being less favorable with some specific structures due to its
614 conformation.

615 Each polysaccharide has its own unique chemical composition, structure,
616 molecular architecture or conformation, and these factors interact with each other to
617 influence their adsorption to procyanidins. The interaction between these factors

618 remains complex, and more work will be needed to clarify their internal relationship.

619 **5. Conclusions**

620 Procyanidins showed different binding selectivity to apple, beet and kiwifruit
621 pectins depending on the compositions and macromolecular features of the pectins
622 and degree of polymerization of procyanidins. High molar mass and low density
623 contributed to procyanidin adsorption. Pectins with high linearity and HG content,
624 and low arabinan branching had highest interaction with procyanidins. On the
625 contrary, high RG-I branching and ferulic acid content limited the affinity to
626 procyanidins. Higher number average DP of procyanidins might hinder the adsorption
627 of pectins with high side chain branching. The importance of factors affecting pectin
628 selectivity were linearity (proportion of side-chains) > molar mass > density \approx
629 hydrodynamic radius, with high branching and density being detrimental to
630 interaction while high molar mass was favorable. Combining different factors,
631 capacity of association between procyanidin DP79 and pectins could be ranked:
632 KPO2 > KPR2 > AP2 > BP2 (Fig. 5).

633 Consequently, a deep understanding of various processing-structures-binding
634 capacity of pectins to procyanidins aids food workers customize the functional
635 characteristics of plant-derived products and provide effective guidance for processing.
636 Systematic variation of pectin structural features thus allows to better understand
637 polyphenol affinity and may pave the way to anticipate the variability of retention of
638 polyphenols in different fruits and vegetables.

639 **Acknowledgements**

640 LIU Xuwei would like to acknowledge China Scholarship Council (CSC) and
641 Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation, et l'Environnement
642 (INRAE) for financial support to his PhD study. The authors thank the various CPER
643 Platform 3A funders for the support to the HPSEC-MALLS measures as well as the
644 related financial support from the European Union with the European Regional
645 Development Fund, the State, the Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur Region, the
646 Departmental Council of Vaucluse and the Urban Community of Greater Avignon.

647 **References**

- 648 Alba, K., Bingham, R. J., Gunning, P. A., Wilde, P. J., & Kontogiorgos, V. (2018).
649 Pectin Conformation in Solution. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 122(29),
650 7286–7294. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b04790>
- 651 BeMiller, J. N., & Whistler, R. L. (1996). Carbohydrates. In O. R. Fennema. (Ed.),
652 *Food Chemistry* (pp. 157–225). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- 653 Box, G. E., Hunter, W. G., & Hunter, J. S. (1978). *Statistics for Experimenters, an*
654 *Introduction to Design, Data Analysis and Model Building*. New-York, Wiley and
655 *Sons*.
- 656 Brahem, M., Renard, C. M. G. C., Bureau, S., Watrelot, A. A., & Le Bourvellec, C.
657 (2019). Pear ripeness and tissue type impact procyanidin-cell wall interactions.
658 *Food Chemistry*, 275, 754–762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.156>
- 659 Caffall, K. H., & Mohnen, D. (2009). The structure, function, and biosynthesis of
660 plant cell wall pectic polysaccharides. *Carbohydrate Research*, 344(14), 1879–
661 1900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2009.05.021>
- 662 Carn, F., Guyot, S., Baron, A., Pérez, J., Buhler, E., & Zanchi, D. (2012). Structural
663 properties of colloidal complexes between condensed tannins and polysaccharide
664 hyaluronan. *Biomacromolecules*, 13(3), 751–759.
665 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm201674n>
- 666 Dobson, C. C., Mottawea, W., Rodrigue, A., Buzati Pereira, B. L., Hammami, R.,
667 Power, K. A., & Bordenave, N. (2019). Impact of molecular interactions with
668 phenolic compounds on food polysaccharides functionality. In I. C. F. R. Ferreira
669 & L. Barros (Eds.), *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* (Vol. 90, pp. 135–
670 181). Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2019.02.010>
- 671 Dongowski, G. (2001). Enzymatic degradation studies of pectin and cellulose from
672 red beets. *Nahrung - Food*, 45(5), 324–331.
673 [https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803\(20011001\)45:5<324::AID-FOOD324>3.0.C](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803(20011001)45:5<324::AID-FOOD324>3.0.CO;2-C)
674 [O;2-C](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803(20011001)45:5<324::AID-FOOD324>3.0.CO;2-C)
- 675 Einstein, A. (1906). Eine neue Bestimmung der Moleküldimensionen. *Annalen Der*

676 *Physik*, 324(2), 289–306.

677 Einstein, A. (1911). Berichtigung zu meiner Arbeit: Eine neue Bestimmung der
678 Moleküldimensionen” . *Annalen Der Physik*, 339(3), 591–592.

679 Fernandes, P. A. R., Le Bourvellec, C., Renard, C. M. G. C., Wessel, D. F., Cardoso,
680 S. M., & Coimbra, M. A. (2020). Interactions of arabinan-rich pectic
681 polysaccharides with polyphenols. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 230, 115–644.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.115644>

683 Fishman, M. L., Chau, H. K., Kolpak, F., & Brady, J. (2001). Solvent effects on the
684 molecular properties of pectins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
685 49(9), 4494–4501. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0013171>

686 Flory, P. J. (1953). Molecular configuration of polyelectrolytes. *The Journal of*
687 *Chemical Physics*, 21(1), 162–163.

688 Fraeye, I., De Roeck, A., Duvetter, T., Verlent, I., Hendrickx, M., & Van Loey, A.
689 (2007). Influence of pectin properties and processing conditions on thermal
690 pectin degradation. *Food Chemistry*, 105(2), 555–563.
691 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.04.009>

692 Guo, Q., Ma, Q., Xue, Z., Gao, X., & Chen, H. (2018). Studies on the binding
693 characteristics of three polysaccharides with different molecular weight and
694 flavonoids from corn silk (*Maydis stigma*). *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 198, 581–
695 588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.06.120>

696 Guyot, S., Marnet, N., & Drilleau, J. F. (2001). Thiolysis - HPLC characterization of
697 apple procyanidins covering a large range of polymerization states. *Journal of*
698 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49(1), 14–20.
699 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000814z>

700 Janaswamy, S., & Chandrasekaran, R. (2005). Polysaccharide structures from powder
701 diffraction data: Molecular models of arabinan. *Carbohydrate Research*, 340(5),
702 835–839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2004.12.035>

703 Jin, W., Xiang, L., Peng, D., Liu, G., He, J., Cheng, S., ... Huang, Q. (2020). Study
704 on the coupling progress of thermo-induced anthocyanins degradation and

705 polysaccharides gelation. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 105, 105822.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105822>

707 Kardum, N., & Glibetic, M. (2018). Polyphenols and their interactions with other
708 dietary compounds: implications for human health. In *Advances in Food and*
709 *Nutrition Research* (Vol. 84, pp. 103–144). Elsevier Inc.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2017.12.001>

711 Koh, J., Xu, Z., & Wicker, L. (2020). Binding kinetics of blueberry
712 pectin-anthocyanins and stabilization by non-covalent interactions. *Food*
713 *Hydrocolloids*, 99, 105–354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105354>

714 Le Bourvellec, C., Boas, P. B. V., Lepercq, P., Comtet-Marre, S., Auffret, P., Ruiz,
715 P., ... Mosoni, P. (2019). Procyanidin—cell wall interactions within apple
716 matrices decrease the metabolization of procyanidins by the human gut
717 microbiota and the anti-inflammatory effect of the resulting microbial
718 metabolome in vitro. *Nutrients*, 11(3), 664. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11030664>

719 Le Bourvellec, C., Bouzerzour, K., Ginies, C., Regis, S., Plé, Y., & Renard, C. M. G.
720 C. (2011). Phenolic and polysaccharidic composition of applesauce is close to
721 that of apple flesh. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 24(4–5), 537–547.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.12.012>

723 Le Bourvellec, C., Guyot, S., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2004). Non-covalent interaction
724 between procyanidins and apple cell wall material: Part I. Effect of some
725 environmental parameters. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects*,
726 1672(3), 192–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2004.04.001>

727 Le Bourvellec, C., Guyot, S., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2009). Interactions between
728 apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.) polyphenols and cell walls modulate the
729 extractability of polysaccharides. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 75(2), 251–261.
730 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.07.010>

731 Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2005). Non-covalent interaction between
732 procyanidins and apple cell wall material. Part II: Quantification and impact of
733 cell wall drying. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects*, 1725(1), 1–9.

734 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2005.06.003>

735 Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2012). Interactions between polyphenols
736 and macromolecules: Quantification methods and mechanisms. *Critical Reviews*
737 *in Food Science and Nutrition*, 52(3), 213–248.

738 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.499808>

739 Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2019). Interactions between polyphenols
740 and macromolecules: Effect of tannin structure. In L. Melton, F. Shahidi, & P.
741 Varelis (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Food Chemistry* (pp. 515–521). Elsevier.

742 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100596-5.21486-8>

743 Le Bourvellec, C., Watrelot, A. A., Ginies, C., Imberty, A., & Renard, C. M. G. C.
744 (2012). Impact of processing on the noncovalent interactions between
745 procyanidin and apple cell wall. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
746 60(37), 9484–9494. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3015975>

747 Leavitt, S., & Freire, E. (2001). Direct measurement of protein binding energetics by
748 isothermal titration calorimetry. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 11(5),
749 560–566. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X\(00\)00248-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X(00)00248-7)

750 Li, X., Liu, G., Tu, Y., Li, J., & Yan, S. (2019). Ferulic acid pretreatment alleviates
751 the decrease in hardness of cooked Chinese radish (*Raphanus sativus* L. var.
752 longipinnatus Bailey). *Food Chemistry*, 278, 502–508.

753 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.086>

754 Liu, X., Le Bourvellec, C., & Renard, M. G. C. C. (2020). Interactions between cell
755 wall polysaccharides and polyphenols: Effect of molecular internal structure.
756 *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*.

757 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12632>

758 Liu, X., Renard, C. M. G. C., Rolland-Sabaté, A., Bureau, S., & Le Bourvellec, C.
759 (2021). Modification of apple, beet and kiwifruit cell walls by boiling in acid
760 conditions: common and specific responses. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 112, 106266.

761 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106266>

762 Loo, Y. T., Howell, K., Chan, M., Zhang, P., & Ng, K. (2020). Modulation of the

763 human gut microbiota by phenolics and phenolic fiber-rich foods.
764 *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 19(4), 1268–1298.
765 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12563>

766 Lopez-Torrez, L., Nigen, M., Williams, P., Doco, T., & Sanchez, C. (2015). Acacia
767 senegal vs. Acacia seyal gums - Part 1: Composition and structure of
768 hyperbranched plant exudates. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 51, 41–53.
769 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.04.019>

770 M'sakni, N. H., Majdoub, H., Roudesli, S., Picton, L., Le Cerf, D., Rihouey, C., &
771 Morvan, C. (2006). Composition, structure and solution properties of
772 polysaccharides extracted from leaves of *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*.
773 *European Polymer Journal*, 42(4), 786–795.
774 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2005.09.014>

775 Mamet, T., Ge, Z. zhen, Zhang, Y., & Li, C. mei. (2018). Interactions between highly
776 galloylated persimmon tannins and pectins. *International Journal of Biological*
777 *Macromolecules*, 106, 410–417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.08.039>

778 McManus, J. P., Davis, K. G., Beart, J. E., Gaffney, S. H., Lilley, T. H., & Haslam, E.
779 (1985). Polyphenol interactions. Part 1. Introduction; some observations on the
780 reversible complexation of polyphenols with proteins and polysaccharides.
781 *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*, 28(9), 1429.
782 <https://doi.org/10.1039/p29850001429>

783 Mohnen, D. (2008). Pectin structure and biosynthesis. *Current Opinion in Plant*
784 *Biology*, 11(3), 266–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.006>

785 Monfoulet, L.-E., Buffière, C., Istas, G., Dufour, C., Le Bourvellec, C., Mercier,
786 S., ... Morand, C. (2020). Effects of the apple matrix on the postprandial
787 bioavailability of flavan-3-ols and nutrigenomic response of apple polyphenols
788 in minipigs challenged with a high fat meal. *Food and Function*, 6(11), 5077–
789 5090. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0fo00346h>

790 Pérez, S., Mazeau, K., & Hervé du Penhoat, C. (2000). The three-dimensional
791 structures of the pectic polysaccharides. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*,

792 38(1–2), 37–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0981-9428\(00\)00169-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0981-9428(00)00169-8)

793 Pérez, S., Rodríguez-Carvajal, M. A., & Doco, T. (2003). A complex plant cell wall
794 polysaccharide: Rhamnogalacturonan II. A structure in quest of a function.
795 *Biochimie*, 85(1–2), 109–121. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9084\(03\)00053-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9084(03)00053-1)

796 Phan, A. D. T., Williams, B. A., Netzel, G., Mikkelsen, D., D’Arcy, B. R., & Gidley,
797 M. J. (2020). Independent fermentation and metabolism of dietary polyphenols
798 associated with a plant cell wall model. *Food and Function*, 11(3), 2218–2230.
799 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9fo02987g>

800 Poncet-Legrand, C., Gautier, C., Cheynier, V., & Imberty, A. (2007). Interactions
801 between flavan-3-ols and poly(L-proline) studied by isothermal titration
802 calorimetry: Effect of the tannin structure. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
803 *Chemistry*, 55(22), 9235–9240. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf071297o>

804 R Core Team. (2014). A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R*
805 *Foundation for Statistical Computing*, 2. Retrieved from
806 <http://www.r-project.org>

807 Renard, C. M. G. C., Baron, A., Guyot, S., & Drilleau, J. F. (2001). Interactions
808 between apple cell walls and native palle polyphenols/quantification and some
809 consequences. *International Journal of Biology Macromolecules*, 29, 115–125.

810 Renard, C. M. G. C., & Thibault, J. F. (1996). Pectins in mild alkaline conditions:
811 β -elimination and kinetics of demethylation. *A.G.J. Voragen, J. Visser (Eds.),*
812 *Progress in Biotechnology: 14 Pectins and Pectinases*, Elsevier, Amsterdam,
813 14(C), 603–608. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-0423\(96\)80292-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-0423(96)80292-9)

814 Ribas-Agustí, A., Martín-Belloso, O., Soliva-Fortuny, R., & Elez-Martínez, P. (2018).
815 Food processing strategies to enhance phenolic compounds bioaccessibility and
816 bioavailability in plant-based foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and*
817 *Nutrition*, 58(15), 2531–2548. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1331200>

818 Ridley, B. L., O’Neill, M. A., & Mohnen, D. (2001). Pectins: Structure, biosynthesis,
819 and oligogalacturonide-related signaling. *Phytochemistry*, 57(6), 929–967.
820 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(01\)00113-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(01)00113-3)

821 Rolland-Sabaté, A., Colonna, P., Potocki-Véronèse, G., Monsan, P., & Planchot, V.
822 (2004). Elongation and insolubilisation of α -glucans by the action of *Neisseria*
823 *polysaccharea amylosucrase*. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *40*(1), 17–30.
824 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2004.04.001>

825 Rolland-Sabaté, A., Mendez-Montevalvo, M. G., Colonna, P., & Planchot, V. (2008).
826 Online determination of structural properties and observation of deviations from
827 power law behavior. *Biomacromolecules*, *9*(7), 1719–1730.
828 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm7013119>

829 Saura-Calixto, F. (2011). Dietary fiber as a carrier of dietary antioxidants: An
830 essential physiological function. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
831 *59*(1), 43–49. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf1036596>

832 Schols, H. A., Bakx, E. J., Schipper, D., & Voragen, A. G. J. (1995). A
833 xylogalacturonan subunit present in the modified hairy regions of apple pectin.
834 *Carbohydrate Research*, *279*, 265–279.
835 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215\(95\)00287-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(95)00287-1)

836 Simha, R. (1940). The Influence of Brownian Movement on the Viscosity of
837 Solutions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, *44*(1), 25–34.

838 Stoddart, R. W., Spires, I. P., & Tipton, K. F. (1969). Solution properties of
839 polygalacturonic acid. *The Biochemical Journal*, *114*(4), 863–870.
840 <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj1140863>

841 Tang, H. R., Covington, A. D., & Hancock, R. A. (2003). Structure-Activity
842 Relationships in the Hydrophobic Interactions of Polyphenols with Cellulose and
843 Collagen. *Biopolymers*, *70*(3), 403–413. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.10499>

844 Tudorache, M., & Bordenave, N. (2019). Phenolic compounds mediate aggregation of
845 water-soluble polysaccharides and change their rheological properties: Effect of
846 different phenolic compounds. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *97*, 1–6.
847 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105193>

848 Tudorache, M., McDonald, J.-L., & Bordenave, N. (2020). Gallic acid reduces the
849 viscosity and water binding capacity of soluble dietary fibers. *Food and Function*,

850 11, 5866–5874. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0fo01200a>

851 Vernhet, A., Carrillo, S., & Poncet-Legrand, C. (2014). Condensed tannin changes
852 induced by autoxidation: Effect of the initial degree of polymerization and
853 concentration. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 62(31), 7833–7842.
854 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf501441j>

855 Voragen, A. G. J., Coenen, G. J., Verhoef, R. P., & Schols, H. A. (2009). Pectin, a
856 versatile polysaccharide present in plant cell walls. *Structural Chemistry*, 20(2),
857 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11224-009-9442-z>

858 Waskom, M. (2014). Seaborn: Statistical Data Visualization. Retrieved from
859 <http://stanford.edu/~mwaskom/software/seaborn/>

860 Watrelot, A. A., Le Bourvellec, C., Imberty, A., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2013).
861 Interactions between pectic compounds and procyanidins are influenced by
862 methylation degree and chain length. *Biomacromolecules*, 14(3), 709–718.
863 <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm301796y>

864 Watrelot, A. A., Le Bourvellec, C., Imberty, A., & Renard, C. M. G. C. (2014).
865 Neutral sugar side chains of pectins limit interactions with procyanidins.
866 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 99, 527–536.
867 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.08.094>

868 Watrelot, A. A., Renard, C. M. G. C., & Le Bourvellec, C. (2015). Comparison of
869 microcalorimetry and haze formation to quantify the association of B-type
870 procyanidins to poly-l-proline and bovine serum albumin. *LWT - Food Science
871 and Technology*, 63(1), 376–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.03.064>

872 Zanchi, D., Konarev, P. V., Tribet, C., Baron, A., Svergun, D. I., & Guyot, S. (2009).
873 Rigidity, conformation, and solvation of native and oxidized tannin
874 macromolecules in water-ethanol solution. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 130(24).
875 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3156020>

876


877 **Table 1.** Chemical characteristics of the procyanidins and pectins. A) Composition (mg/g dry matter) of purified acetic fraction from ‘Marie Ménard’ and ‘Avrolles’ apple.

878 B) Composition ratios and pectin region % based on the mol % quantifiable neutral sugars, galacturonic acid and pectin macromolecular characteristics.

A											
	PCA	\overline{DP}_n	Purified PCA constitutive units (%)			EC	DHC	CQA	PCQ	FLV	Total phenolics
			CAT _t	EC _t	EC _{ext}						
Marie Ménard	680	9	1.5	9.1	89.4	19.4	8.6	34.8	0.5	7.5	751
Avrolles	723	79	0.1	1.2	98.7	0	2.2	6.1	1.3	0	733
<i>Pooled SD</i>	<i>7.9</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.004</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>0.02</i>	<i>0.05</i>	<i>8.6</i>
B											
	Gal A/Rha	(Ara+Gal)/Rha	Ara/Gal	Xyl* (mg/g)	FA* (mg/g)	DM* (%)	DAC* (%)	\overline{M}_w^* ($\times 10^3$ g/mol)	$[\eta]_z$ (mL/g)	\overline{R}_{Hz} (nm)	\overline{d}_{Happ} (g/mol/nm ³)
AP2	28.8	11.2	2.0	19.3	-	86	17	431	1018	50.4	3.1
AP3	34.6	10.5	2.0	20.6	-	77	15	149	635	31.9	6.2
AP6	27.0	6.6	2.0	11.9	-	95	16	217	265	34.6	21.7
BP2	43.5	37.0	8.4	1.4	8.1	83	67	147	462	28.5	6.7
BP3	55.1	20.4	6.1	1.1	4.3	90	73	117	470	23.9	5.3
BP6	45.3	8.6	3.5	1.1	2.2	81	67	65	198	18.4	18.7
KPR2	56.5	11.6	0.2	7.8	-	73	5	287	426	37.4	9.1
KPR3	66.7	10.2	0.3	4.5	-	75	3	285	500	43.8	8.8
KPR6	35.4	8.1	0.3	4.3	-	79	6	161	195	27.6	23.1
KPO2	91.0	7.3	0.5	9.1	-	82	2	80	258	21.8	20.7
KPO3	68.8	5.8	0.5	8.8	-	82	7	137	378	37.2	22.4
KPO6	42.5	3.9	0.5	6.5	-	81	4	79	289	36.7	56.0
<i>Pooled SD</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>1.1</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>11.3</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>1.1</i>	<i>0.5</i>

879 PCA: procyanidins, \overline{DP}_n : number-average degree of polymerization of procyanidins, CAT_t: terminal (+)-catechin units, EC_t: terminal (-)-epicatechin units, EC_{ext}: extension

880 (-)-epicatechin units, EC: (-)-epicatechin as flavan-3-ol monomer, DHC: dihydrochalcones, CQA: 5'-caffeoylquinic acid, PCQ, p-coumaroylquinic acid, FLV: flavonols.
881 Ratios are calculated using the yields of neutral sugar expressed in mol%. The ratio between different sugars can contribute to understand information on polymer levels. The
882 ratios of Gal A / Rha, (Ara+Gal) / Rha and Ara / Gal are characteristic for pectin backbone homogalacturonan / rhamnogalacturonan contribution, the branching of RG and
883 the proportion of arabinans / galactans, respectively. Xyl and FA are indicators for the presence of xylogalacturonans and ferulic acids, respectively. DM and DAc are the
884 degree of methylation and acetylation, respectively. \bar{M}_w , weight-average molar mass. $[\eta]_z$, z-average intrinsic viscosity. \bar{R}_{Hz} , z-average hydrodynamic radius.
885 $\bar{d}_{Happ} = \bar{M}_w / (4\pi/3) * \bar{R}_{Hw}^3$, average apparent molecular density. AP: pectins from apple cell wall, BP: pectins from beet cell wall, KP: pectins from kiwifruit cell wall, Gal A:
886 galacturonic acid, Rha: rhamnose, Ara: arabinose, Gal: galactose, pH values-: 2: pH 2.0, 3: pH 3.5, 6: pH 6.0. Maturity-: R: -Ripe, O: -Overripe. * data adapted from (Liu et
887 al., 2021). Pooled SD: pooled standard deviation.



888

889 **Fig. 1.** HPSEC-MALLS chromatograms and molar mass vs elution volume of the pectin samples. A, B and C: AP2, AP3 and AP6, respectively; D, E and F: BP2, BP3 and
 890 BP6, respectively; G, H and I: KPR2, KPR3 and KPR6, respectively; J, K and L: KPO2, KPO3 and KPO6, respectively. Thin orange solid line —: normalized chain
 891 concentration of pectins before interaction; Thin green solid line —: normalized chain concentration of free pectins after interaction with DP9; Thin blue solid line —:
 892 normalized chain concentration of free pectins after interaction with DP79. Orange thick solid line —: molar mass of pectins before interaction; Green thick solid line
 893 —: molar mass of free pectins after interaction with DP9; Blue thick solid line —: molar mass of free pectins after interaction with DP79.

894 **Table 2** Relationships between molar masses and intrinsic viscosity.

Samples	Main peak		HMM region	
	M_i range (g/mol)	α	M_i range (g/mol)	α
AP2	$2 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0.55	NA	NA
AP3	$2 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	1.17	$2 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0.34
AP6	$2 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	1.07	$5 \times 10^5 - 5 \times 10^6$	0
BP2	$5 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	1.04	$2 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0.12
BP3	$5 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	1.16	$10^5 - 10^6$	0.41
BP6	$10^4 - 10^5$	0.96	$10^5 - 10^6$	0.17
KPR2	$10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	NA	$2 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0
KPR3	$10^4 - 2 \times 10^5$	NA	$2 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0
KPR6	$2 \times 10^4 - 8 \times 10^4$	1.16	$10^5 - 2 \times 10^6$	0
KPO2	$10^4 - 7 \times 10^4$	1.02	$10^5 - 10^6$	0.41
KPO3	$2 \times 10^4 - 7 \times 10^4$	1.32	$10^5 - 10^6$	0.21
KPO6	$10^4 - 6 \times 10^4$	0.94	$6 \times 10^4 - 10^6$	0.17


895 HMM: high molar mass component. α : hydrodynamic coefficient for a given polymer calculated from
 896 the Mark-Houwink -Sakurada equation ($[\eta]_i = K_a M_i^\alpha$) for pectin samples in citrate/phosphate buffer
 897 at pH 3.8, 0.1 M ionic strength. $\alpha = 0$: Spheres, 0.5-0.8: Random coils, 1.0: Stiff coils, 2.0: Rods. NA:
 898 Not applicable.

899 **Table 3.** Thermodynamic parameters of interactions between pectins (7.5 mM galacturonic acid
900 equivalent) and procyanidins DP9 (A) and DP79 (60 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent) (B) measured by
901 Isothermal Titration Microcalorimetry (ITC).

A								
DP9	n	K _a (M ⁻¹)	ΔH (kJ/mol)	ΔS (J/mol/K)	ΔG (kJ/mol)	-TΔS (kJ/mol)	Enthalpy (%)	Entropy (%)
AP2	0.081	4801	-5.18	53	-21	-16	25	75
AP3	0.108	2035	-1.32	59	-19	-18	7	93
AP6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BP2	0.088	2177	-1.88	58	-19	-17	10	90
BP3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BP6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KPR2	0.137	8160	-6.64	53	-22	-16	30	70
KPR3	0.071	4506	-3.34	59	-21	-18	16	84
KPR6	0.065	4554	-6.64	47	-21	-14	32	68
KPO2	0.111	12060	-6.20	57	-23	-17	27	73
KPO3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KPO6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pooled</i>	<i>0.02</i>	<i>242</i>	<i>0.55</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.6</i>	-	-
<i>SD</i>								
B								
DP79	n	K _a (M ⁻¹)	ΔH (kJ/mol)	ΔS (J/mol/K)	ΔG (kJ/mol)	-TΔS (kJ/mol)	Enthalpy (%)	Entropy (%)
AP2	0.442	5588	-2.248	64	-21	-19	11	89
AP3	0.106	3582	-1.422	63	-20	-19	7	93
AP6	0.273	3183	-0.582	65	-20	-19	3	97
BP2	0.356	339	-1.861	42	-14	-13	13	87
BP3	0.614	351	-1.351	44	-15	-13	9	91
BP6	0.161	351	-2.278	39	-15	-12	15	85
KPR2	0.196	10649	-5.944	56	-23	-17	26	74
KPR3	0.100	2125	-2.187	56	-19	-18	12	88
KPR6	0.069	2715	-4.182	51	-19	-15	22	78
KPO2	0.210	12214	-8.019	51	-23	-15	35	65
KPO3	0.145	7090	-1.044	70	-22	-21	5	95
KPO6	0.093	7527	-3.893	61	-22	-18	18	82
<i>Pooled</i>	<i>0.04</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>0.35</i>	<i>6.3</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.4</i>	-	-
<i>SD</i>								

902 Average of duplicates for each. n: stoichiometry, K_a: affinity level, ΔH: enthalpy, ΔS: entropy, ΔG:
903 free enthalpy, T: temperature. Enthalpy (%) = ΔH / (ΔH - TΔS) × 100%; Entropy (%) = - TΔS / (ΔH -
904 TΔS) × 100%. Pooled SD: pooled standard deviation.

905
906



907

908


909

910

911

912

Fig. 2. Heat map of the turbidity characteristics of pectin-procyanidin DP9 interactions. Absorbance at 650 nm after interactions in 0.1 M citrate/phosphate buffer pH 3.8 (in triplicates). (A) Variation of absorbance of pectins at different concentrations (galacturonic acid equivalent) with procyanidins DP9 (60 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent). (B) Variation of absorbance of procyanidins DP9 ((-)-epicatechin equivalent) at different concentrations with pectins (30 mM galacturonic acid).



913

914

915

916

917


918

Fig. 3. Heat map of the turbidity characteristics of pectin-procyanidin DP79 interactions. Absorbance at 650 nm after interactions in 0.1 M citrate/phosphate buffer pH 3.8 (in triplicates). (A) Variation of absorbance of pectins at different concentrations (galacturonic acid equivalent) with procyanidins DP79 (30 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent). (B) Variation of absorbance of procyanidins DP79 ((-)-epicatechin equivalent) at different concentrations with pectins (15 mM galacturonic acid).

919 **Table 4.** Changes in the molecular mass and concentrations of pectins and the degree of polymerization of procyanidins before and after interactions between pectic
 920 fractions and procyanidins DP9/79 (30/60 mM (-)-epicatechin equivalent).

Sample	Initial pectins	Unbound pectins	Unbound pectins	Unbound PCA	Unbound pectins	Unbound pectins	Unbound PCA DP79
	\bar{M}_w *	with PCA DP9	with PCA DP9	DP9 with pectins	with PCA DP79	with PCA DP79	with pectins
	($\times 10^3$ g·mol ⁻¹)	Concentration (g/l)	\bar{M}_w ($\times 10^3$ g·mol ⁻¹)	\overline{DP}_n of free PCA	Concentration (g/l)	\bar{M}_w ($\times 10^3$ g·mol ⁻¹)	\overline{DP}_n of free PCA
AP2	431	0.27 (2% ^a)	165 (-266 ^b)	6 (-3 ^c)	0.44 (7% ^a)	139 (-292 ^b)	39 (-40 ^c)
AP3	149	0.36 (3%)	104 (-45)	7 (-2)	0.39 (6%)	78 (-71)	39 (-40)
AP6	217	0.65 (5%)	37 (-180)	6 (-3)	0.60 (9%)	24 (-193)	41 (-38)
BP2	147	0.58 (3%)	99 (-48)	6 (-3)	0.58 (6%)	63 (-84)	33 (-46)
BP3	117	0.45 (3%)	75 (-42)	7 (-2)	0.52 (7%)	72 (-45)	39 (-40)
BP6	65	0.58 (5%)	22 (-43)	7 (-2)	0.50 (8%)	21 (-44)	27 (-52)
KPR2	287	0.51 (4%)	71 (-216)	7 (-2)	0.45 (7%)	49 (-238)	23 (-56)
KPR3	285	0.34 (4%)	62 (-223)	6 (-3)	0.20 (4%)	53 (-232)	26 (-53)
KPR6	161	0.62 (5%)	29 (-132)	7 (-2)	0.55 (9%)	29 (-132)	33 (-46)
KPO2	80	0.37 (3%)	32 (-48)	7 (-2)	0.51 (8%)	31 (-49)	31 (-48)
KPO3	137	0.57 (6%)	49 (-88)	5 (-4)	0.44 (9%)	36 (-101)	41 (-38)
KPO6	79	0.72 (6%)	35 (-44)	7 (-2)	0.69 (11%)	22 (-57)	40 (-39)
<i>Pooled SD</i>	<i>11.3</i>	<i>0.06</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.09</i>	<i>11.5</i>	<i>1.8</i>

921 *data adapted from Liu et al. (2020). Average of duplicates for each. \bar{M}_w : weight-average molar mass. \overline{DP}_n : number-average degree of polymerization. ^a Pectin retention (%)
 922 = Unbound pectin concentration / Initial pectin concentration; ^b ΔM_w : difference of weight-average molar mass between pectic fractions unbound to procyanidin solutions
 923 after interaction with procyanidins and initial pectic fractions in buffer; ^c ΔDP_n : difference of degree of polymerization between procyanidins unbound to pectic fractions after
 924 interaction with pectins and initial procyanidins in buffer.




925

926

927


Fig. 4. (A) High and low intrinsic viscosity pectin chains, (B) Conformations of pectin chain extended ($\text{pH} > \text{pKa}$) and compact ($\text{pH} < \text{pKa}$).




928

929 **Fig. 5.** Schematic representation of four populations of pectins adsorption of procyanidins DP79 and
 930 the corresponding local details based on chemical composition and macromolecular characteristic data
 931 (molar mass and hydrodynamic radius). Representation of KPO2 a linear polymer chain and less
 932 branched polymer structures with KPR2 less long-chain branches, AP2 moderate RG content with
 933 long/short-chain mixture branches, and BP2 both much RG region with short-chain and long-chain
 934 branches, and some covalently bound ferulic acid.


Kiwifruit pectin extracted at pH 2.0



Apple pectin extracted at pH 2.0




$R_H = 22 \text{ nm}$



KPO2

(Mw=80 kDa)


$R_H = 37 \text{ nm}$



KPR2

(Mw=287 kDa)


$R_H = 50 \text{ nm}$



AP2


(Mw=431 kDa)

$R_H = 29 \text{ nm}$




BP2

(Mw=147 kDa)



Kiwifruit pectin extracted at pH 2.0



Beet pectin extracted at pH 2.0

-  Arabinans
-  Galactans
-  Rhamnose
-  Galacturonic acid
-  Ferulic acids
-  Procyanidins (DP79)
-  Acetylated hydroxyl group
-  Methylated carboxyl group