

Molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium isolates from diarrheal dairy calves in France

Mohamed Mammeri, Aurélie Chevillot, Ilham Chenafi, Myriam Thomas, Christine Julien, Isabelle Vallée, Bruno Polack, Jérôme Follet, Karim Tarik

Adjou

To cite this version:

Mohamed Mammeri, Aurélie Chevillot, Ilham Chenafi, Myriam Thomas, Christine Julien, et al.. Molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium isolates from diarrheal dairy calves in France. Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports, 2019, 18, pp.100323. 10.1016/j.vprsr.2019.100323. hal-03176056

HAL Id: hal-03176056 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03176056v1>

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

- 3 Mohamed Mammeri^{1, 2}, Aurélie Chevillot³, Ilham Chenafi¹, Christine Julien², Isabelle
- 4 Vallée³, Bruno Polack¹, Jérôme Follet^{4,5}, Karim Tarik Adjou^{1,*}
- 5¹ UMR BIPAR, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, ANSES, INRA, Université Paris-Est,
- Maisons-Alfort, F-94700, France.
- ²Phileo Lesaffre Animal Care, 137 rue Gabriel Péri, 59 700 Marcq-en-Barœul, France.
- 8³ UMR BIPAR, ANSES, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, INRA, Université Paris-Est,
- Animal Health Laboratory, Maisons-Alfort, F-94700, France.
- ⁴Université de Lille, CNRS, ISEN, UMR 8520- IEMN, Lille France 59000, France.
- ⁵ISA-YNCREA Hauts de France 59046 Lille Cedex, France.
- * Corresponding author
- E-mail address: karim.adjou@vet-alfort.fr

Address: Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, 7 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94704 Maisons-Alfort Cedex, France.

-
-

Abstract

Cryptosporidium is an obligate intracellular protist parasite infecting a wide range of vertebrate hosts and causes significant intestinal disease in both animals and humans, as some species are zoonotic. Cattle and especially calves have been identified as one of the most common reservoirs of this protist. However, little is known about the genetics of *Cryptosporidium* in calves in some regions of France. The aim of this study was to detect and 26 isolate *Cryptosporidium* spp. in faecal samples from naturally infected pre-weaned calves (\leq) 45 days-old) in France. A total of 35 diarrhoeic pre-weaned calf faecal samples were collected from 26 dairy cattle farms in six departments (French administrative provinces). *Cryptosporidium* presence was established by microscopically screening samples for oocytes with an immunofluorescent (DFA) staining method. DFA-positive samples were then analysed by PCR-RFLP and *18S rRNA* gene sequencing to determine species. *Cryptosporidium parvum-*positive samples were subtyped via nested PCR analysis of a partial fragment of the 60 kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene product. Data were then integrated into phylogenetic tree analysis. DFA revealed the presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in 31 out of 35 (88%) samples. Combined with *18S rRNA* gene analysis results, *C. parvum* was detected in 30 samples. Subtyping analysis in 27/30 samples (90%) of the *C. parvum* isolates revealed two zoonotic subtype families, IIa (24/27) and IId (3/27). Four subtypes were recognised within the subtype family IIa, including the hypertransmissible IIaA15G2R1 subtype that is the most frequently reported worldwide (21/27), IIaA17G3R1 (1/27), IIaA17G1R1 (1/27), and IIaA19G1R1 (1/27). Two subtypes were recognised within the IId subtype family including IIdA22G1 (2/27) and IIdA27G1 (1/27). These findings illustrate the high occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* in calves in dairy herds and increase the diversity of molecularly characterised *C. parvum* isolates with the first description of IIaA17G3R1, IIaA19G1R1, and IId subtypes in France. The presence of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtype

1. Introduction

Cryptosporidium is an obligate intracellular protist parasite infecting a wide range of vertebrate hosts, including humans (Bouzid et al., 2013). The clinical importance of *Cryptosporidium* spp. was highlighted when this parasite was associated with both waterborne and foodborne outbreaks (Efstratiou et al., 2017; Ryan et al., 2018). Currently, more than 30 species have been described and validated (Osman et al., 2017). However, due to strong inter-species similarities in microscopic size and shape, species within this genus require additional identification via genetic characterisation. Molecular biology techniques have enabled the description of species that are highly host-specific, as well as others that are capable of infecting many hosts. *Cryptosporidium parvum* is considered to be the most prevalent species worldwide and a major zoonotic transmission risk (Xiao, 2010). Using molecular approaches to genetically characterise *Cryptosporidium* spp. has facilitated an improved understanding of cryptosporidiosis epidemiology (Xiao, 2010). Subtype analysis using the *C. parvum* 60 kDa glycoprotein locus (*gp60*) has revealed both human- and zoonotic-specific subtypes (Sulaiman et al., 2005).

Within this context, cattle—particularly pre-weaned dairy calves—are recognised as common hosts of *Cryptosporidium* spp., with both high infection rates and extremely high rates of oocyst excretion in the faeces (Thomson et al., 2017). Cryptosporidiosis in neonatal calves is mainly due to *C. parvum* infection, and is characterised by diarrhoea, dehydration, delayed growth, and weight loss, resulting in considerable economic losses associated with calf morbidity and mortality (Olson et al., 2004; Thomson et al., 2017). In addition, young calves have been considered as a potential source of human cryptosporidiosis in several outbreaks (Xiao, 2010). Besides *C. parvum*, cattle can also be infected by bovine specific species including *C. bovis*, *C. andersoni* and *C. ryanae* with a marked aged-related pattern (Fayer et al., 2006; Santín et al., 2008). Asymptomatic adult cattle can also be sporadically infected by other species including *C. felis* (Cardona et al., 2015). It is well known that not all *Cryptosporidium* species excreted by cattle are zoonotic. Consequently, the molecular characterization of these species is vital to better understanding cattle cryptosporidiosis epidemiology and the zoonotic potential of specific *Cryptosporidium* isolates (Fayer et al., 2000).

Since the first report of the prevalence of bovine cryptosporidiosis in suckling (50-95%) and dairy calves (16.8-51,8%) in France in 1999 (Naciri et al., 1999), many cryptosporidiosis epidemiology studies have been solely based on faecal sample microscopy reporting different prevalences: 41.5 % (Delafosse et al., 2015) and 17.9 % (Lefay et al., 2000). However, these traditional staining or flotation screening methods can lead to suboptimal *Cryptosporidium* detection especially with reduced oocyst shedding intensity thus underestimating the real parasitic prevalence in herds. Thus far, only a few studies have used molecular tools to characterise *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cattle in 15 of the 95 metropolitan French departments (administrative regions): Allier, Côtes-d'Armor, Ille-et-Vilaine, Landes, Mayenne, Morbihan, Moselle, Orne, Pas-de-Calais, Puy-de-Dôme, Pyrénées-Atlantiques, Hautes-Pyrénées, Deux-Sèvres, Tarn, and Vendée (Follet et al., 2011; Ngouanesavanh et al., 2006; Rieux et al., 2014, 2013b, 2013c, 2013a). Little is known about which *Cryptosporidium* spp. infects calves in other French departments. However, subtype analysis solely on the basis of *C. parvum gp60* amplicons is insufficient (Follet et al., 2011; Rieux et al., 2014, 2013c, 2013a), thus there is a strong need for more molecular epidemiological data on French bovine cryptosporidiosis to fully determine the breadth of *C. parvum* genetic diversity. Thus, the aim of this study was to characterise *Cryptosporidium* isolates in calves from different French departments: Allier, Ardèche, Côte-d'Or, Moselle, Saône-et-Loire, and Yonne. Furthermore, through genetic characterization, this study led the authors to investigate the potential of calves as a zoonotic reservoir for human infection.

Materials and methods

1.1. Sample collection and study design

In order to generate a global understanding of the different *Cryptosporidium* species and *C. parvum* genotypes in calf populations, mostly from uninvestigated French departments, a total 121 of 35 unique faecal samples were collected from pre-weaned calves (\leq 45 days-old) on 26 dairy farms (with or without high density breeding, and/or the presence of diarrhoea), located in six departments, between November 2017 and April 2018, with one sample per studied animal. In order to perform anonymous sampling, farms were arbitrarily numbered from E1 to E26 and collected faecal samples were labelled B1 to B35. A map (**Figure 1**) representing the origin of the samples was edited using *Cartes et Données-* ® Articque (https://www.articque.com/solutions/cartes-et-donnees/). Samples were directly collected from the rectum using plastic gloves and transferred into a sterile plastic container. Samples were maintained at 4°C for a maximum of 48 h prior to processing and analysis. For each animal, the sampling date, animal identification number, age, and faecal score were recorded. Faecal consistency was scored on a scale from 0 to 4 (0: normal without mucus; 1: pasty and thick, formed or not, viscous 2: creamy; 3: semi-fluid; 4: liquid) as modified from Koudela and Jirí (1997). The apparent occurrence (percentage of *Cryptosporidium* infection) was calculated by dividing the number of *Cryptosporidium*-positive calves as assessed with different techniques by the total number of tested animals, multiplied by 100.

1.2. Microscopy

One gram of faeces was either suspended in 10 mL of distilled water or concentrated using the diethyl ether-PBS concentration method as previously described (Castro-Hermida et al., 2005). The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in distilled water up to 500 µL. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were detected from 20 µL of both concentrated and non-concentrated oocyst solutions via direct immunofluorescence assay (DFA) using the commercial Merifluor *Cryptosporidium/Giardia* immunofluorescence assay (Meridian Diagnostics, Inc., Milano, Italy) as indicated by the manufacturer, and including previously described modifications (Mammeri et al., 2018). Stained slides were observed with a Leica fluorescent microscope using the Leica Application Suite software (version 4.5.0; Leica Microsystems; Inc., Switzerland) at x40 magnification. The number of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts per gram of faeces (OPG) was obtained by multiplying the total number of oocysts by the dilution factor.

1.3. DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 μL of concentrated oocysts using the QIAmp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, France) according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were suspended in lysis buffer and the oocysts were disrupted by subjecting them to an additional step of 3 freeze-thaw cycles (freezing in liquid nitrogen for 1 min followed by heating in a 90˚C water bath for 1 min) before DNA extraction. DNA samples were then stored at -20°C until molecular analysis.

1.4. *Cryptosporidium* **genotyping with nested** *18S rRNA* **and** *gp60* **PCR**

Cryptosporidium species were further analysed in DFA-positive samples with nested PCR which amplified a 847 bp fragment from the *18S rRNA* gene (Xiao et al., 1999). Amplification products were subsequently visualised by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL). *Cryptosporidium* species were identified by performing restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis with *SspI* and *MboII* endonucleases on *18S rRNA* PCR products (New England BioLabs, France) as previously described (Feng et al., 2007). Fragments were subsequently visualised by electrophoresis in 3% MetaPhor Agarose (Ozyme, France) gels stained with ethidium bromide solution (0.5 µg/mL). For example, in the case of *C. parvum*, *SspI* and *MboII* would generate three bands (449, 267, and 108 bp) and two bands (771 and 769 bp), respectively (Feng et al., 2007).

C. parvum samples were subtyped by nested PCR-sequence analysis of the partial *gp60* gene sequence as previously described (Gatei et al., 2006). Amplification products were subsequently visualised by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide.

In each PCR reaction, both positive and negative control samples were included. The positive 171 control consisted of DNA extracted from 10^6 C. parvum Iowa strain oocysts (Waterborne Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana, USA) while the negative control was purified water. In addition, Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) was added to all PCR mixtures at the final concentration of 400 ng/µL as described before (Jiang et al., 2005).

1.5. DNA sequence analysis

PCR products of the two target genes (*18S rRNA* and *gp60*) were sequenced on both strands using internal primer sets by Eurofins Genomics (France) and Genoscreen (France), respectively. Consensus sequences were edited using the BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor software and compared with published GenBank sequences using the freely-available Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Representative nucleotide consensus sequences generated in this study were deposited into the GenBank database under accession numbers as indicated in **Table 1**. *C. parvum* subtypes were recognised based on the number of trinucleotide repeats (TCA or TCG) or rare (R) repeats in the *gp60* sequence as previously described (Sulaiman et al., 2005).

1.6. Statistical analysis

The Pearson correlation test was used to analyse data by comparing infection occurrence with animal age, faecal score, and *C. parvum* subtypes. The results were considered statistically significant when the *P*-value was < 0.05.

2. Results

2.1. DFA screening

Among the 35 samples included in this study, microscopic examinations revealed the presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in 88.5% of the samples from pre-weaned calves (31/35) (**Table 1**).

195 Immunofluorescent-positive samples indicated that calves excreted from 10^4 to $3.41x10^6$ OPG 196 via direct oocyst detection, or from 1.25×10^2 to 2.23×10^6 OPG following oocyst sample concentration (**Figure. 2**). Results indicated oocyst loss in 20 of 30 (66.66%) of the samples (the small amount of faeces from the B26 sample was concentrated and used to perform DFA and PCRs). No correlation existed between age and infection intensity when DFA was used to 200 directly detect oocysts in faeces $(P = 0.68)$, whereas a significant correlation was observed 201 between the faecal consistency score and infection intensity using this method $(P = 0.03)$.

2.2. Molecular analysis of the *18S rRNA* **gene**

The *18S rRNA* gene fragment was amplified from concentrated oocysts for all 31 DFA-positive samples (100%). *C. parvum* was identified in 29 of 31 (93.54%) DNA samples by sequence analyses of the *18S rRNA* PCR products, as sequencing results were not usable for the two remaining samples (B11 and B16). *C. parvum* was the only species identified in 29 of 31 (93.54%) samples by *18S rRNA* PCR-RFLP, and no mixed infections were observed. PCR amplification failed for two samples (B16 and B30). Combining *18 S rRNA* sequence analysis and PCR-RFLP results indicated that *C. parvum* was detected in 30 of 31 samples (96.7%). Both techniques returned negative results for the B16 sample.

2.3. Molecular analysis of *gp60* **gene**

Of the 30 *Cryptosporidium 18S rRNA* gene-positive samples, all isolates yielded a *gp60* PCR product. Successful sequencing analysis of the *gp60* gene in 27 (90%) *Cryptosporidium* isolates identified two subtype families: IIa and IId (**Table 1**). Twenty-four out of 27 isolates (88.8%) belonged to subtype family IIa and the remaining three isolates (11.2%) belonged to IId.

Four subtypes were recognised within the IIa subtype family including IIaA15G2R1 (21/27), IIaA17G3R1 (1/27), IIaA17G1R1 (1/27), and IIaA19G1R1 (1/27); while two subtypes were identified as part of the IId subtype family, including IIdA22G1 (2/27) and IIdA27G1 (1/27).

Three PCR product for sequencing of the *gp60* loci from isolate which was successfully identified as *C. parvum* by PCR-RFLP of the *18S rRNA* (isolate B11), sequence analyses of the *18S rRNA* PCR products (isolate B30), or both techniques (B20), were not usable.

Several samples could not be used for all analyses; isolate B11 with successful *C. parvum* identification via *18S rRNA* PCR-RFLP had a failed *gp60* PCR; sequencing of *18S rRNA* PCRs could not be performed on isolate B30; and neither technique could be performed on the B20 isolate.

Among the five farms infected with *C. parvum* and which contained more than two calves in this study, three farms carried a single subtype (E1, E2, E17), which in this case was IIaA15G2R1. For the E4 herd (B10 and B11 samples), one of the samples was linked to the subtype IIdA22G1, while the other sample could not be associated with a specific subtype as it likely carried a mixed infection of at least two subtypes. In herd E23, two circulating subtypes were identified from samples B31 and B32, corresponding to subtypes IIaA17G1R1 and IIaA15G2R1, respectively.

No correlation was reported between *C. parvum* subtype and age (*P* = 0.33), faecal score 235 $(P=0.4)$, or infection intensity $(P=0.8)$.

3. Discussion

Several different techniques currently exist to detect *Cryptosporidium* infection in animal and/or human faecal samples (Chalmers and Katzer, 2013). In the present study, DFA screening was used to detect the presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. This technique is recommended as a screening method in epidemiological studies (Thompson and Ash, 2016) due to its high sensitivity and rapidity, however a major disadvantage is that it is unable to differentiate between *Cryptosporidium* species (Amar et al., 2004). In our study, the apparent occurrence was estimated at 88.6% using DFA as a diagnostic screening technique. This high value suggests that *Cryptosporidium* is a common parasite in pre-weaned calves in France, and is also similar to that seen in other French epidemiological studies. In the Brittany region (north-west France), 70.4% (100/142) of studied calves were reported to be infected by *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Follet et al., 2011) using *18S rRNA* nested-PCR techniques. In the Deux-Sèvres department, a 92-100% occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves was reported using DFA (Rieux et al., 2014). High *Cryptosporidium* occurrence in calves has also been reported in other countries (e.g. the USA and Vietnam) (Nguyen et al., 2007; Santín et al., 2004). However, a relatively low occurrence was recently described in western France where only 402 out of the 968 (41.5%) analysed samples were positive using the Ziehl-Neelsen fuchsin staining method (Delafosse et al., 2015). A worldwide *Cryptosporidium* occurrence ranging from 3.4 to 96.6% has been reported in calves as previously reviewed (Thomson et al., 2017). This extraordinarily broad variation in *Cryptosporidium* infection occurrence with extreme dissimilarities between countries may be due to geographical distribution (location of study farms), climatic conditions, and different farm management practices, but also may relate to study design (number of specimens collected, number of studied farms, specimen collection season, the diagnostic method used, etc.). Some of these factors may also influence *Cryptosporidium* transmission between animals (Bamaiyi and Redhuan, 2016; Causapé et al., 2002; Maurya et al., 2013; Mohammed et al., 1999; Ranjbar and Fattahi, 2017). In addition to substantial differences in farm management, the lower average age of infected calves in the present study might account for the higher occurrence, since *Cryptosporidium* tends to be more frequently present in younger calves aged less than one month (Santín et al., 2004).

Our results also indicated that four samples out of 35 (11.4%) were DFA-negative for the presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts despite reported neonatal diarrhoea. This could be due to the presence of other diarrhoea-causing enteropathogens (infection by *Escherichia coli*, Rotavirus, Coronavirus, or Coccidia) (Thomson et al., 2017).

DFA-positive faecal samples indicated that calves excreted from between $1x10^4$ to $3.41x10^6$ oocysts when DFA was performed directly on faeces. This high level of oocyst excretion reflects that of other studies performed in France which report that oocyst excretion intensity 273 can reach $8x10^6$ oocysts per gram of faeces in some calves (Rieux et al., 2013b). When we compared our DFA method *with* oocyst concentration to that *without*, we observed oocyst loss in 65.5% of samples, which has previously been reported for concentration steps. However, these purification techniques are still acceptable for use in young ruminants because they excrete such a large number of oocysts (Fayer et al., 2000). On the other hand, oocyst concentration may facilitate PCR detection of *Cryptosporidium* by eliminating naturally-occurring PCR inhibitors from faeces (Elwin et al., 2012).

We reported a strong correlation between the faecal consistency score and infection intensity, as estimated by DFA performed directly on faeces. This result is in accordance with previous studies (El-Khodery and Osman, 2008; Trotz-Williams et al., 2007) which have reported a strong correlation between *Cryptosporidium* oocyst shedding and calf diarrhoea. Nevertheless, it is important to compare infection intensity (oocyst shedding) with the species or subtypes detected by PCR, and faecal consistency, when generating inferences about the clinical impact of cryptosporidiosis. DFA results highlighted the absence of any correlation between calf age, oocyst shedding intensity, and *C. parvum* subtypes. This observation could be explained by the fact that animals were not all infected at the same time, at the same age, and the infectious dose may not have been the same. In addition, when the intestinal epithelium is severely damaged by *Cryptosporidium* infection, parasitic reproduction is impaired, even though marked diarrhoeal clinical signs are observed.

Despite positive microscopic identification of oocysts in samples, PCR analysis of the two *18S rRNA* and *gp60* target genes was only positive in 93.5% and 90% samples, respectively. Although the nested PCR method has been described as very sensitive and specific (Bhat et al., 2014), this failure to yield PCR products was due to the unsuccessful PCR amplification. These false negative PCR results could be explained by many factors: low numbers of oocysts in some samples, the presence of PCR inhibitors in faecal samples (haemoglobin, bilirubin, and bile acids), failed extraction procedures, failed oocyst disruption and lysis, insufficient DNA collected, or nucleic acid degradation (Johnson et al., 1995; Lantz et al., 1997; McLauchlin et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2009). Thus far, four common *Cryptosporidium* species have been identified in cattle: *C. parvum*, *C. bovis*, *C. ryanae*, and *C. andersoni,* but only *C.*

parvum is associated with clinical disease in neonatal calves, as older animals (> 6 weeks) exhibit asymptomatic oocyst shedding (Silverlås et al., 2013). The recent observation of *C. hominis* in symptomatic and asymptomatic calves in France (Razakandrainibe et al., 2018) emphasises the importance of identifying which *Cryptosporidium* species is shed by calves. The calves included in this study were all younger than 45 days, thus the finding that 100% of animals were infected with *C. parvum* via *18S rRNA* gene based-PCR-RFLP was similar to previous studies demonstrating high *C. parvum* occurrence in pre-weaned calves (Kvác et al., 2006; Santín et al., 2004). Our data also confirms that neonatal cryptosporidial diarrhoea in calves is primarily caused by *C. parvum* (de Graaf et al., 1999; Santín et al., 2004). In contrast to a previous reports (Björkman et al., 2015), no mixed infections were detected in the present study, which could be attributed to the significant *C. parvum* presence as opposed to other *Cryptosporidium* species which may represent smaller subpopulations. Species-specific multiplex PCR or real-time PCR could be used to detect any low-level infections (Tanriverdi et al., 2003).

Current guidelines suggest that genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates should be based on two genetic loci and include at least one conserved *18S rRNA* gene, thus in our study, the *18S rRNA* and *gp60* genes were targeted (Cacciò et al., 2005). Concordant results were obtained for the majority of isolates, with only three exceptions where one or both PCRs failed, possibly due to the above-cited reasons.

Sequence analysis of the *gp60* gene showed that four *C. parvum* IIa subgenotype groups exist; IIaA15G2R1, IIaA17G3R1, IIaA17G1R1, and IIaA19G1R1. These results concur with other studies demonstrating that *C. parvum* IIa is a common subtype family in humans as well as calves. Thus, this subtype family is considered to be potentially zoonotic and transmissible from livestock (Xiao, 2010).

The predominant IIaA15G2R1 subtype has previously been reported as the most prevalent in

calves and humans in many countries (Aita et al., 2015; Alves et al., 2006; Danišová et al., 2016; Díaz et al., 2013; Mawly et al., 2015; Soba and Logar, 2008; Wielinga et al., 2008; Xiao, 2010), including France (Follet et al., 2011; Rieux et al., 2014, 2013c, 2013a), thus highlighting the zoonotic potential of calf reservoirs. It seems that the IIaA15G2R1 *C. parvum* subtype is hypertransmissible, which may explain its predominance (Feng et al., 2018). Future studies are needed to determine whether this subtype demonstrates greater infectivity and to what degree, or whether subtype predominance is due to a restricted available host.

Genotyping enabled the identification of relatively less dominant subtypes (IIaA17G1R1 in the Allier department, IIaA17G3R1 in the Moselle, and IIaA19G1R1 in the Ardèche). The IIaA17G1R1 subtype has previously been described in French beef cattle calves (Follet et al., 2011), as well as in many other countries such as Argentina (Tomazic et al., 2013), Estonia (Santoro et al., 2018), and the USA (Xiao et al., 2007), for example. Our study is the first to report the presence of IIaA17G3R1 and IIaA19G1R1 subtypes in French calves.

Only two *C. parvum* subtypes belonged to the IId family group (IIdA22G1 and IIdA27G1). In contrast to results from China (Cai et al., 2017; Feng and Xiao, 2017), the occurrence of this zoonotic IId family group in calves is rare in Europe and seems likely to have spread from Western Asia to other regions including France (Wang et al., 2014). This subtype family was described in European countries, such as in calves from Italy (Díaz et al., 2018), Belgium (Geurden et al., 2007), but never previously reported in France. Thus, the present study is the first to report the presence of the IId subtype in French calves. It appears that this subtype family is not restricted to a few farms, but could be easily transmitted to other animals (predominantly young ruminants) or to humans (Wang et al., 2014; Xiao, 2010).

In our study, only two farms were infected with more than one *C. parvum* family subtype. Mixed *C. parvum* subtype infections have previously been reported in studies with high sampling numbers. In fact, it is possible that a range of *C. parvum* subtypes were circulating in the same farm, but remained undetected when only one sampling was performed from each animal (Mawly et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2007). Similar studies on a larger geographic scale, with greater numbers and horizontal sampling, are necessary to increase our understanding of cryptosporidiosis transmission dynamics in calves.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that young calves are a potential reservoir for different *C. parvum* subtypes. The high occurrence of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtype family infections (IIa, IId) in pre-weaned French calves confirms that calves should be considered as a real source of infection and a potential zoonotic reservoir for human infections. Our results also demonstrate that the *Cryptosporidium* population detected in France is more diverse than previous studies would suggest. As a consequence, molecular studies in other regions, including in calves and small ruminants, are needed to improve our understanding of cryptosporidiosis epidemiology and *C. parvum* subtype diversity in France.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicting interests.

Acknowledgements

Mohamed Mammeri is the grateful recipient of a Cifre (Industrial Research Training Agreement) grant. He would like to thank Phileo (Lesaffre Animal Care, France) and the

- ANRT (National Association for Technical Research), Ministry of Research (France).
- The authors are grateful to Aurélie Heckmann and Amandine Blaizot for their help in creating
- the map, and to Dr Grégory Karadjian for help with submitting sequences to NCBI.
- The authors are especially grateful for all the veterinarians who participated in the collection
- of faecal samples: Dr Valérie Wolgust, Dr Catherine Bardot, Dr Caroline Pagneux, Dr
- Edwige Bornot, Dr Radu Blaga, and Dr Typhaine Hebert.

References

- Cacciò, S.M., Thompson, R.C.A., McLauchlin, J., Smith, H.V., 2005. Unravelling
- *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* epidemiology. Trends Parasitol. 21, 430–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2005.06.013
- Cai, M., Guo, Y., Pan, B., Li, N., Wang, X., Tang, C., Feng, Y., Xiao, L., 2017. Longitudinal monitoring of *Cryptosporidium* species in pre-weaned dairy calves on five farms in
- Shanghai, China. Vet. Parasitol. 241, 14–19.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.05.005
- Cardona, G.A., de Lucio, A., Bailo, B., Cano, L., de Fuentes, I., Carmena, D., 2015.
- Unexpected finding of feline-specific *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage F and
- *Cryptosporidium felis* in asymptomatic adult cattle in Northern Spain. Vet. Parasitol.
- 209, 258–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.02.028
- Castro-Hermida, J.A., Pors, I., Poupin, B., Ares-Mazás, E., Chartier, C., 2005. Prevalence of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* in goat kids in western France.
- Small Rumin. Res. 56, 259–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2004.06.007
- Causapé, A.C., Quílez, J., Sánchez-Acedo, C., del Cacho, E., López-Bernad, F., 2002.
- Prevalence and analysis of potential risk factors for *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection
- in lambs in Zaragoza (northeastern Spain). Vet. Parasitol. 104, 287–298.
- Chalmers, R.M., Katzer, F., 2013. Looking for *Cryptosporidium*: the application of advances
- in detection and diagnosis. Trends Parasitol. 29, 237–251.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2013.03.001
- Danišová, O., Valenčáková, A., Petrincová, A., 2016. Detection and identification of six
- *Cryptospordium* species in livestock in Slovakia by amplification of SSU and GP60
- genes with the use of PCR analysis. Ann. Agric. Environ. Med. 23, 254–258.
- https://doi.org/10.5604/12321966.1203886
- de Graaf, D.C., Vanopdenbosch, E., Ortega-Mora, L.M., Abbassi, H., Peeters, J.E., 1999. A review of the importance of cryptosporidiosis in farm animals. Int. J. Parasitol. 29, 1269–1287.
- Delafosse, A., Chartier, C., Dupuy, M.C., Dumoulin, M., Pors, I., Paraud, C., 2015.
- *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection and associated risk factors in dairy calves in
- western France. Prev. Vet. Med. 118, 406–412.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.01.005
- Dereeper, A., Audic, S., Claverie, J.-M., Blanc, G., 2010. BLAST-EXPLORER helps you
- building datasets for phylogenetic analysis. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, 8.
- https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-8
- Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., Dufayard, J.-F.,
- Guindon, S., Lefort, V., Lescot, M., Claverie, J.-M., Gascuel, O., 2008. Phylogeny.fr:
- robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, W465-469.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn180
- Díaz, P., Rota, S., Marchesi, B., López, C., Panadero, R., Fernández, G., Díez-Baños, P.,
- Morrondo, P., Poglayen, G., 2013. *Cryptosporidium* in pet snakes from Italy:
- Molecular characterization and zoonotic implications. Vet. Parasitol. 197, 68–73.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.04.028
- Díaz, P., Varcasia, A., Pipia, A.P., Tamponi, C., Sanna, G., Prieto, A., Ruiu, A., Spissu, P.,
- Díez-Baños, P., Morrondo, P., Scala, A., 2018. Molecular characterisation and risk
- factor analysis of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in calves from Italy. Parasitol. Res.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-018-6000-x
- Efstratiou, A., Ongerth, J., Karanis, P., 2017. Evolution of monitoring for *Giardia* and
- *Cryptosporidium* in water. Water Res. 123, 96–112.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.042
- El-Khodery, S.A., Osman, S.A., 2008. Cryptosporidiosis in buffalo calves (*Bubalus bubalis*): prevalence and potential risk factors. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 40, 419–426. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-007-9113-2
- Elwin, K., Robinson, G., Hadfield, S.J., Fairclough, H.V., Iturriza-Gómara, M., Chalmers,
- R.M., 2012. A comparison of two approaches to extracting *Cryptosporidium* DNA
- from human stools as measured by a real-time PCR assay. J. Microbiol. Methods 89,

38–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.02.006

- Fayer, R., Morgan, U., Upton, S.J., 2000. Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium*: transmission, detection and identification. Int. J. Parasitol. 30, 1305–1322.
- Fayer, R., Santín, M., Trout, J.M., Greiner, E., 2006. Prevalence of species and genotypes of
- *Cryptosporidium* found in 1–2-year-old dairy cattle in the eastern United States. Vet.

Parasitol. 135, 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2005.08.003

- Feng, Y., Ortega, Y., He, G., Das, P., Xu, M., Zhang, X., Fayer, R., Gatei, W., Cama, V.,
- Xiao, L., 2007. Wide geographic distribution of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and the deer-
- like genotype in bovines. Vet. Parasitol. 144, 1–9.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.10.001
- Feng, Y., Ryan, U.M., Xiao, L., 2018. Genetic diversity and population structure of
- *Cryptosporidium*. Trends Parasitol. 0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.07.009
- Feng, Y., Xiao, L., 2017. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in China. Front.

Microbiol. 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01701

- Follet, J., Guyot, K., Leruste, H., Follet-Dumoulin, A., Hammouma-Ghelboun, O., Certad, G.,
- Dei-Cas, E., Halama, P., 2011. *Cryptosporidium* infection in a veal calf cohort in
- France: molecular characterization of species in a longitudinal study. Vet. Res. 42,
- 116. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-42-116

- sample preparation prior to PCR. J. Microbiol. Methods 28, 159–167.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(97)00979-2
- Lefay, D., Naciri, M., Poirier, P., Chermette, R., 2000. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves in France. Vet. Parasitol. 89, 1–9.
- Mammeri, M., Chevillot, A., Thomas, M., Polack, B., Julien, C., Marden, J.-P., Auclair, E.,
- Vallée, I., Adjou, K.T., 2018. Efficacy of chitosan, a natural polysaccharide, against
- *Cryptosporidium parvum in vitro* and *in vivo* in neonatal mice. Exp. Parasitol. 194, 1– 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2018.09.003
- Maurya, P.S., Rakesh, R.L., Pradeep, B., Kumar, S., Kundu, K., Garg, R., Ram, H., Kumar,
- A., Banerjee, P.S., 2013. Prevalence and risk factors associated with *Cryptosporidium*
- spp. infection in young domestic livestock in India. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 45,

941–946. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-012-0311-1

- Mawly, J.A., Grinberg, A., Velathanthiri, N., French, N., 2015. Cross sectional study of
- prevalence, genetic diversity and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium parvum*
- cycling in New Zealand dairy farms. Parasit. Vectors 8, 240.
- https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0855-9
- McLauchlin, J., Pedraza-Díaz, S., Amar-Hoetzeneder, C., Nichols, G.L., 1999. Genetic
- characterization of *Cryptosporidium* strains from 218 patients with diarrhea diagnosed
- as having sporadic cryptosporidiosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37, 3153–3158.
- Mohammed, H.O., Wade, S.E., Schaaf, S., 1999. Risk factors associated with
- *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in dairy cattle in southeastern New York State.
- Vet. Parasitol. 83, 1–13.
- Naciri, M., Paul Lefay, M., Mancassola, R., Poirier, P., Chermette, R., 1999. Role of
- *Cryptosporidium parvum* as a pathogen in neonatal diarrhoea complex in suckling and

- asymptomatic and symptomatic calves in France. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 12, e0006355. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006355
- Rieux, A., Chartier, C., Pors, I., Delafosse, A., Paraud, C., 2013a. Molecular characterization
- of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from high-excreting young dairy calves in dairy cattle
- herds in Western France. Parasitol. Res. 112, 3423–3431.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3520-2
- Rieux, A., Chartier, C., Pors, I., Paraud, C., 2013b. Dynamics of excretion and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates in pre-weaned French beef calves. Vet.
- Parasitol. 195, 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.043
- Rieux, A., Paraud, C., Pors, I., Chartier, C., 2014. Molecular characterization of
- *Cryptosporidium* isolates from beef calves under one month of age over three
- successive years in one herd in western France. Vet. Parasitol. 202, 171–179.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.03.004
- Rieux, A., Paraud, C., Pors, I., Chartier, C., 2013c. Molecular characterization of
- *Cryptosporidium* isolates from pre-weaned calves in western France in relation to age.
- Vet. Parasitol. 197, 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.05.001
- Ryan, U., Hijjawi, N., Xiao, L., 2018. Foodborne cryptosporidiosis. Int. J. Parasitol. 48, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.09.004
- Santín, M., Trout, J.M., Fayer, R., 2008. A longitudinal study of cryptosporidiosis in dairy
- cattle from birth to 2 years of age. Vet. Parasitol. 155, 15–23.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.04.018
- Santín, M., Trout, J.M., Xiao, L., Zhou, L., Greiner, E., Fayer, R., 2004. Prevalence and age-
- related variation of *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes in dairy calves. Vet.
- Parasitol. 122, 103–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.03.020

- Thompson, R.C.A., Ash, A., 2016. Molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* infections. Infect. Genet. Evol. 40, 315–323.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.09.028
- Thomson, S., Hamilton, C.A., Hope, J.C., Katzer, F., Mabbott, N.A., Morrison, L.J., Innes,
- E.A., 2017. Bovine cryptosporidiosis: impact, host-parasite interaction and control strategies. Vet. Res. 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-017-0447-0
- Tomazic, M.L., Maidana, J., Dominguez, M., Uriarte, E.L., Galarza, R., Garro, C., Florin-
- Christensen, M., Schnittger, L., 2013. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium*
- isolates from calves in Argentina. Vet. Parasitol. 198, 382–386.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.09.022
- Trotz-Williams, L.A., Wayne Martin, S., Leslie, K.E., Duffield, T., Nydam, D.V., Peregrine,
- A.S., 2007. Calf-level risk factors for neonatal diarrhea and shedding of
- *Cryptosporidium parvum* in Ontario dairy calves. Prev. Vet. Med. 82, 12–28.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2007.05.003
- Wang, R., Zhang, L., Axén, C., Bjorkman, C., Jian, F., Amer, S., Liu, A., Feng, Y., Li, G., Lv,
- C., Zhao, Z., Qi, M., Dong, H., Wang, H., Sun, Y., Ning, C., Xiao, L., 2014.
- *Cryptosporidium parvum* IId family: clonal population and dispersal from Western
- Asia to other geographical regions. Sci. Rep. 4, 4208.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04208
- Widmer, G., Sullivan, S., 2012. Genomics and population biology of *Cryptosporidium*
- species. Parasite Immunol. 34, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 3024.2011.01301.x
- Wielinga, P.R., de Vries, A., van der Goot, T.H., Mank, T., Mars, M.H., Kortbeek, L.M., van der Giessen, J.W.B., 2008. Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in humans
- and cattle in The Netherlands. Int. J. Parasitol. 38, 809–817.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.014
- Xiao, L., 2010. Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: an update. Exp. Parasitol. 124, 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.03.018
- Xiao, L., Morgan, U.M., Limor, J., Escalante, A., Arrowood, M., Shulaw, W., Thompson,
- R.C.A., Fayer, R., Lal, A.A., 1999. Genetic diversity within *Cryptosporidium parvum* and related *Cryptosporidium* species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 3386–3391.
- Xiao, L., Zhou, L., Santin, M., Yang, W., Fayer, R., 2007. Distribution of *Cryptosporidium*
- *parvum* subtypes in calves in eastern United States. Parasitol. Res. 100, 701–706.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0337-2
- Yu, J.-R., Lee, S.-U., Park, W.-Y., 2009. Comparative sensitivity of PCR primer sets for
- detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. Korean J. Parasitol. 47, 293–297.
- https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2009.47.3.293
-

Figures

Figure 1. Geographical map of pre-weaned calf faecal sampling locations in French departments. The number of samples collected from each department (administrative department number-department name) was: 03-Allier: n=18, 07-Ardèche: n= 2, 21-Côte-d'Or: n=6, 57-Moselle: n=1, 71-Saône-et-Loire: n=1, and 89-Yonne: n=7.

Figure 2. Pre-weaned calf oocyst excretion. Samples are classified from the youngest calves (4 days-old) to the oldest calf (45 days-old). DFA: Immunofluorescence Assay. OC: oocyst concentration. OPG: oocysts per gram of faeces.

Table 1. Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* **from clinically-affected pre**weaned French calves, including age and faecal consistency data. n=total number of samples; 18S=18S ribosomal RNA; gp60=60kDa glycoprotein; NA=No Amplification; NU= Non-Usable; ND=not done. Department number: 03: Allier, 07: Ardèche, 21: Côte-d'Or, 57: Moselle, 71: Saône-et-Loire, 89: Yonne.

