

First investigation of pathogenic bacteria, protozoa and viruses in rodents and shrews in context of forest-savannah-urban areas interface in the city of Franceville (Gabon)

Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lékana-Douki, Octavie Banga, Sydney Maghendji-Nzondo, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric M. Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov

▶ To cite this version:

Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lékana-Douki, Octavie Banga, Sydney Maghendji-Nzondo, et al.. First investigation of pathogenic bacteria, protozoa and viruses in rodents and shrews in context of forest-savannah-urban areas interface in the city of Franceville (Gabon). PLoS ONE, 2021, 16 (3), pp.e0248244. 10.1371/journal.pone.0248244. hal-03180884

HAL Id: hal-03180884 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03180884v1

Submitted on 25 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Citation: Mangombi JB, N'dilimabaka N, Lekana-Douki J-B, Banga O, Maghendji-Nzondo S, Bourgarel M, et al. (2021) First investigation of pathogenic bacteria, protozoa and viruses in rodents and shrews in context of forest-savannahurban areas interface in the city of Franceville (Gabon). PLoS ONE 16(3): e0248244. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244

Editor: Wanda Markotter, University of Pretoria, SOUTH AFRICA

Received: August 27, 2020

Accepted: February 23, 2021

Published: March 8, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Mangombi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: JBM 1: AUF- Agence universitaire de la Francophonie. https://www.auf.org/afriquecentrale-grands-lacs/nouvelles/actualites/listebeneficiaires-college-doctoral-regionalmathematiques-informatique-biosciencesgeosciences-de-lenvironnement-publiee/ or https:// RESEARCH ARTICLE

First investigation of pathogenic bacteria, protozoa and viruses in rodents and shrews in context of forest-savannah-urban areas interface in the city of Franceville (Gabon)

Joa Braïthe Mangombi^{1,2,3}, Nadine N'dilimabaka^{1,4}*, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki^{1,5}, Octavie Banga¹, Sydney Maghendji-Nzondo⁶, Mathieu Bourgarel^{7,8}, Eric Leroy^{1,9}, Florence Fenollar^{2,3}, Oleg Mediannikov^{3,10}

 Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherches Médicales de Franceville (CIRMF), Franceville, Gabon, 2 Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, AP-HM, Microbes, VITROME, Marseille, France, 3 IHU Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France, 4 Département de Biologie, Faculté des sciences, Université des Sciences et Techniques de Masuku (USTM), Franceville, Gabon, 5 Département de Parasitologie, Université des Sciences de la Santé (USS), Owendo, Libreville, 6 Département Epidémiologie-Biostatistique et Informatique Médicale (DEBIM), Université des Sciences de la Santé (USS), Owendo, Libreville, 7 CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Harare, Zimbabwe, 8 ASTRE, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier, France, 9 UMR MIVEGEC IRD-CNRS-UM, IRD, Montpellier, France, 10 Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, AP-HM, Microbes, MEPHI, Marseille, France

* nadinendilimabaka@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Rodents are reservoirs of numerous zoonotic diseases caused by bacteria, protozoans, or viruses. In Gabon, the circulation and maintenance of rodent-borne zoonotic infectious agents are poorly studied and are often limited to one type of pathogen. Among the three existing studies on this topic, two are focused on a zoonotic virus, and the third is focused on rodent Plasmodium. In this study, we searched for a wide range of bacteria, protozoa and viruses in different organs of rodents from the town of Franceville in Gabon. Samples from one hundred and ninety-eight (198) small mammals captured, including two invasive rodent species, five native rodent species and 19 shrews belonging to the Soricidae family, were screened. The investigated pathogens were bacteria from the Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae families, Mycoplasma spp., Bartonella spp., Borrelia spp., Orientia spp., Occidentia spp., Leptospira spp., Streptobacillus moniliformis, Coxiella burnetii, and Yersinia pestis; parasites from class Kinetoplastida spp. (Leishmania spp., Trypanosoma spp.), Piroplasmidae spp., and Toxoplasma gondii; and viruses from Paramyxoviridae, Hantaviridae, Flaviviridae and Mammarenavirus spp. We identified the following pathogenic bacteria: Anaplasma spp. (8.1%; 16/198), Bartonella spp. (6.6%; 13/198), Coxiella spp. (5.1%; 10/198) and Leptospira spp. (3.5%; 7/198); and protozoans: Piroplasma sp. (1%; 2/198), Toxoplasma gondii (0.5%; 1/198), and Trypanosoma sp. (7%; 14/198). None of the targeted viral genes were detected. These pathogens were found in Gabonese rodents, mainly Lophuromys sp., Lemniscomys striatus and Praomys sp. We also identified new genotypes: Candidatus Bartonella gabonensis and Uncultured Anaplasma spp. This study shows that rodents in Gabon harbor some human pathogenic bacteria and protozoans. It is necessary to determine whether the identified microorganisms are capable of undergoing zoonotic transmission

www.auf.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Listedes-doctorants-MATHINBIO.pdf. Number:

CDMATHINBIO 17872. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

from rodents to humans and if they may be responsible for human cases of febrile disease of unknown etiology in Gabon.

Introduction

For several decades, rodents have been recognized as reservoirs or hosts carrying zoonotic pathogens [1–4] that can have very dramatic impacts on the economy and public health [2]. These zoonoses include the plague [5, 6], Lassa hemorrhagic fever (LHF) [7], and hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome [8]. Even today, zoonotic diseases involving rodents may cause hundreds or even thousands of deaths worldwide [9–11]. Many of these rodent-borne diseases are often misdiagnosed. For example, leptospirosis cases can easily be misdiagnosed as dengue or malaria infection because of the similarity of the initial symptoms [12]. Such misdiagnosis is especially frequent in countries of sub-Saharan Africa, where access to the necessary diagnostic tools is limited [13, 14].

Countries of sub-Saharan Africa are experiencing a remarkable expansion of their urban agglomerations [15–18]. This growth of cities is so dramatic that it may exceed the absorption and management capacity of municipal environmental services, leading to the development of large informal areas characterized by particularly degraded socioenvironmental conditions (high human density, waste accumulation, precarious dwellings, etc.) [18–20]. Such living conditions are reported risk factors favorable to rodent infestations [21], leading to the assertion that the level of infestation of cities by rodents is correlated with the rapid growth of these cities [22]. Finally, when the density of a rodent population increases, contacts (direct or indirect) between rodents and humans will become more common, and the likelihood of disease transmission will increase [20, 23, 24].

In developing countries in sub-Saharan Africa, the contribution of rodents to human disease is very poorly understood [13, 14]. The relevant studies that have been reported to date have often focused on specific major pathogen agents such as Lassa virus, which causes thousands of cases and deaths each year in West Africa [25], or plague, which is widely studied in Madagascar, where new cases are still recorded every year [26]. However, West Africa is an exception to this situation. Indeed, numerous studies have been conducted in Senegalese rodents addressing topics ranging from rodent ecology to invasive rodents as well as the bacterial, parasitic and virus communities carried by these rodents [13, 27–35]. Similarly, studies on the ecology of rodents and rodent-borne diseases in urban areas are emerging in Niger [14, 36–38], Mali [39] and Benin [40–44].

In Gabon, located at the equator in the western portion of the Central Africa, there are many circulating pathogens. These pathogens include the etiologic agents responsible for viral hemorrhagic fever, examples Chikungunya fever, Dengue fever and Ebola hemorrhagic fever, reviewed by Bourgarel et *al*, [45]. Several diseases of parasitic origin are also reported in the region, such as toxoplasmosis [46] and malaria, which is the most common parasitosis in tropical Africa, [47–50] and shows no improvement compared to other countries in the region according to the most recent data [51]. Many such pathogens may be carried by rodents, but in Gabon, very few studies have focused on inventories or the identification of potentially zoo-notic infectious agents in these animals. The existing studies have focused on one virus at a time [52, 53] and one plasmodium parasite [54] in rodents. They do not provide sufficient data to reveal the diversity and abundance of infectious agents carried by rodents in Gabon.

Franceville is the third largest city in Gabon. It is located in the southeast of the country and is characterized by a spatial structure in which constructed, forest and savannah areas come into contact, referred to as a forest mosaic and savannah [55]. This heterogeneity of habitats

makes Franceville city an excellent model for the study of zoonoses since the human population is in close contact with both domestic and wild animals in this area.

In this study, we sought to identify a wide range of potential zoonotic bacteria, protozoans and viruses hosted by rodents in the city of Franceville. The aims were to (i) identify and characterize these pathogens and (ii) compare their distribution according to the different types of habitats encountered within the city. This is the first time such a study has been conducted on rodents in Gabon.

Materials and methods

Franceville, study area

Franceville is a Gabonese city located 500 km southeast of the capital, Libreville. Its population increased dramatically between 1993 and 2013, from 31,193 to 110,568 inhabitants [56, 57]. It continues to grow at a moderate rate; the current population is approximately 129,000 [58]. Franceville is an atypical city characterized by the presence of buildings and vegetation referred to as mosaic forest and savannah.

Rodents were captured during four trapping sessions in houses and small savannah and forest islands in 2014. Trapping took place in houses in six (6) districts of the city of Franceville (Fig 1), including four peripheral districts (Mangoungou, Mbaya Sable and Yéné) and two central districts (Ombélé and Potos). It should also be noted that these districts are located along the main access routes to the city (roads and railways). These districts display many of the dominant characteristics of the city as a whole in terms of the level of connectivity and the aggregation of buildings as well as the presence or absence of vegetation. Mbaya and Yéné are the two main entry points of the city, by road and railway, respectively. Sable and Mangoungou are more isolated districts. Mbaya is mainly industrial. Potos is the central trade district, including large storehouses and the main open market [59].

Rodent and organ sampling

Rodents were sampled according to a standardized live-trapping protocol as previously described [59]. Live-trapped rodents were brought back to our laboratory, euthanized, weighed, sexed, measured and autopsied. During autopsy, various organs and tissues, including the kidney, liver, brain, lungs, and spleen, were collected. All of these samples were stored





at -80°C. In this study, all bacteria and protozoa were screened on the liver, except *Leptospira* on the kidney and *Toxoplasma* on the brain. While, all viruses were screened in the spleen.

Ethics statements

Trapping campaigns were performed with prior (oral) agreement from local authorities (city Mayor, district chief and family heads). All sampling procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee named "Comité Nationale d'Ethique pour la Recherche" under the number: Prot n° 0020/2013/SG/CNE. Live-trapped rodents were brought back to our laboratory, euthanized with a halothane solution and autopsied in accordance with guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists [60]. None of the rodent species captured in the present study had a protected status (CITES lists and IUCN).

Specific identification of rodent species

Specific species identification was carried out according to the identification keys provided by Jean-Marc DUPLANTIER and Violaine NICOLAS following their studies on rodents in Gabon [61–69].

Rattus rattus was the only rat species identified in our study. Morphologically, it is easily distinguishable from *Rattus norvegicus*. In addition, the *Rattus* sample collected in this study was the subject of a population genetic study validating the identification of *R. rattus* [59]. Similarly, the *Mus musculus domesticus* specimens included in this study were genetically identified previously [53]. *Lemniscomys striatus* and *Lophuromys sikapusi* were identified to the species level by using morphological identification keys. *Praomys* and *Mus (Nannomys)* were identified to the subgenus level. Nevertheless, the determination of host species was performed only in pathogen-positive samples. A fragment of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA) was amplified as previously described [61] and sequenced under BigDyeTM terminator cycling conditions. All sequences from this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MT256376 to MT256385 for rodent host species and MT677677 to MT677695 for shrews host species.

DNA and RNA extraction from organs and tissues

Small pieces of the liver, kidney, spleen and brain of rodents were collected and placed individually in Eppendorf tubes. Total DNA or RNA was extracted with a BioRobot EZ1 system (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) using a commercial EZ1 DNA/RNA Tissue Kit (Qiagen) (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA and RNA were eluted in 100 μ l of TE buffer. DNA was stored at 4°C until being used for PCR amplification, while RNA was stored at -20°C.

Molecular detection of virus, bacterial and protozoan DNA in rodents and shrews

Virus molecular detection. The following virus families or species were screened by onestep RT-PCR in RNA extracts from rodent spleens: *Hantavirus* spp., *Mammarenavirus* spp., *Flavivirus*, *Paramyxovirus*, *Lymphocytic choriomeningitis mammarenavirus* (LCMV) and *Zika virus* (ZIKV). Methodological details and primer sequences are provided in Table 1.

Bacteria and protozoan molecular detection. The real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed to screen all rodent samples using previously reported primers and probes for *Bartonella* spp., *Anaplasmataceae, Coxiella burnetii, Borrelia* spp., *Rickettsiaceae, Mycoplasma* spp., *Orientia* spp., *Occidentia* spp., *Yersinia pestis, Leptospira* spp., *Streptobacillus moniliformis.*,

| Table 1. Method | of investigation of targ | eted viruses. | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------|------------|
| Virus familly | Target virus (group) | Technique | Target gene | Primer names | Sequences (5'-3') | Amplification | Amplicon | References |
| Arenaviridae | Mammarenavirus | *Nested PCR | S | ARS16V | GGCATWGANCCAAACTGATT | 95°C for 2min, then 40 cycles of | 640 bp | [20] |
| | | | | ARS1 | CGCACCGGGGATCCTAGGC | 95°C—30 S, 55°C-30 S and 72°C | | |
| | | | | ARS3V | CATGACKMTGAATTYTGTGACA | -1 min. Extension /2 C - 5min. Same for the both round | 460 bp | |
| | | | | ARS7C (modified) | ATRTGYCKRTGWGTTGG | | | |
| Arenaviridae | LCMV | qRT-PCR | GPC | LCMVS | GGGATCCTAGGCTTTTTGGAT | 95°C -20 sec, then 45cycles of | | [53] |
| | | | | LCMVAS | GCACAATAATGACAATGTTGAT | 95°C-3 sec 57°C- 30 sec | | |
| | | | | LCMVP- FAM | CCTCAAACATTGTCACAATCTGACCCAT | | | |
| Hantaviridae | Hantavirus | *Conventionnal | S | UHantaF2 | GGVCARACWGCHGAYTGG | $95^{\circ}C$ 2 m, then 45 cycles of $95^{\circ}C$ | 236 bp | [71] |
| | | PCR | | UHantaR2 | TCITCWGCYTTCATDSTDGA | -15 S, 52°C—30 S and 72°C -1m. 72°C -1 m | | |
| | | | Т | HAN-L-F2 | TGCWGATGCHACIAARTGGTC | 95°C- 5 m, then 45 cycles of | 388 bp | [72] |
| | | | | HAN-L-R2 | GCRTCRTCWGARTGRTGDGCAA | 96°C-30 S, 60°C- 35 S and 72°C -50 S. finish with 72°C- 5min. | | |
| Paramyxoviridae | Paramyxovirus | One step RT-PCR | Т | RMH-F1 | TCITTCTTTAGAACITTYGGNCAYCC | 60°C- 1 min for denaturing, 44 to | 497 bp | [73] |
| | (Respirovirus, | / semi-nested PCR | | RMH-F2 | GCCATATTTTGTGGGAATAATHATHAAYGG | 50°C- 30 min (for RT), 94°C- 2 | | |
| | Morbinivirus, Henipavirus) | | | RMH-R | CTCATTTTGTAIGTCATYTTNGCRAA | 15 s, 48 to 50°C—30 s, 72°C- 30 | | |
| | Paramyxovirus | One step RT-PCR | Т | AR-F1 | GGTTATCCTCATTTITTYGARTGGATHCA | s, and final extension 72°C-7 min | 250 bp | [73] |
| | (Avulavirus, | / semi-nested PCR | | AR-F2 | ACACTCTATGTIGGIGAICCNTTYAAYCC | For semi-nested: 94 [°] C- 2 min, and then 40 cycles of 94 [°] C- 15 s. | | |
| | Kubulavirus) | | | AR-R | GCAATTGCTTGATTITCICCYTGNAC | 48 to 50°C—30 s, 72°C- 30 s, and | | |
| Pneumoviridae | Paramyxovirus | One step RT-PCR | Т | PNE-F1 | GTGTAGGTAGIATGTTYGCNATGCARCC | final extension 72°C-7 min | 300 bp | [73] |
| | (Pneumovirinae) | / semi-nested PCR | | PNE-F2 | ACTGATCTIAGYAARTTYAAYCARGC | | | |
| | | | | PNE-R | GTCCCACAAITTTGRCACCANCCYTC | | | |
| Flaviviridae | Zika virus | One step RT- | NS5 | ZIKV 1086 | CCGCTGCCCAACACAG | 95°C -20 sec, then 45cycles of | 160 bp | [74] |
| | | qPCR | | ZIKV 1162c | CCACTAACGTTCTTTGCAGACAT | 95°C-3 sec 57°C- 30 sec | | |
| | | | | ZIKV 1107-FAM | AGCCTACCTTGACAAGCAGTCAGACACTCAA | | | |
| Flaviviridae | Flavivirus | One step RT-PCR | NS5 | PF1S | TGYRTBTAYAACATGATGGG | 45°C-20 min, 95°C- 2 min, | 280 bp | [75] |
| | | / semi-nested PCR | | PF3S | ATHTGGTWYATGTGGYTDGG | then45 cycles of 95°C- 25 sec, | 210 bp | |
| | | | | PF2Rbis | GTGTCCCAİCCNGCNGTRTC | 21 C-50 sec, 58 C- 50 sec. End 68°C-5 min Semi-nested: 94°C- 2 min,then45 cycles of 94°C- 25 sec, 5°C-30 sec, 72°C- 30 sec. End 72°C-5 min | | |
| Oligonucleotide se | equences of the primers | and probes used for v | virus detec | tion in rodent | spleens in this study. | | | |
| * Analyses were po | ertormed with cDNA us | sing Superscript III fol | llowing the | e manufacture | r's instructions. | | | |

PLOS ONE

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244 March 8, 2021

5/28

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.t001

Piroplasmida., Toxoplasma gondii., and *Kinetoplastida* (including the *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* genera). The sequences of the primers and probes are shown in <u>Table 2</u>. For all systems, any sample with a cycle threshold (Ct) value of less than 40 Ct was considered positive. Conventional PCR analysis was performed for all qPCR-positive samples using the primers and conditions described in <u>Table 2</u>. The amplification reaction was conducted in a final volume of 25 μ l containing 12.5 μ l of AmpliTaq Gold master mix, 0.75 μ l of each primer [20 μ M], 5 μ l of DNA template, and 6 μ l of water. The thermal cycling profile consisted of one incubation step at 95°C for 15 min, 45 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s to 1 min at the annealing temperature (Table 2) and 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. Successful amplification was confirmed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel, and the amplicons were completely sequenced on both strands.

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on the CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad) with the Roche LightCycler 480 Probes Master Mix PCR kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). For each assay, DNA extracts of the targeted bacteria or parasites were used as positive controls and distilled water as negative control (S1 Table). For the viral families *Bunyaviridae* and *Arenaviridae*, the positive controls used were plasmids, designed during the PREDICT project. For *Flaviviridae*, we used the Yellow fever virus RNA (vaccinal strain 17D) and RNA transcripts from mumps, measles, and respiratory syncytial viruses, for *Paramyxoviridae*. Conventional PCR was performed in an automated DNA thermal cycler (GeneAmp PCR Systems Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). Sequencing analyses were performed on the ABI Prism 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, France) using the DNA sequencing BigDye Terminator V3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA, Perkin-Elmer) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The BigDye products were purified on Sefadex G-50 Superfine gel filtration resin (Cytiva, Formerly GE Healthcare Life Science, Sweden).

The sequences were compared to sequences available in the GenBank database using the BLAST algorithm (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Multispacer sequence typing (MST) genotyping of *Coxiella burnetii*. The multispacer sequence typing (MST) method was used for *Coxiella burnetii* genotyping. For this purpose, five (5) different spacers, which were previously described [80], were selected and amplified (Cox 2, 5, 18, 22, 37). Conventional PCR was performed as described below with a hybridization temperature of 59°C. Then, the web-based MST database (https://ifr48.timone.univ-mrs.fr/mst/coxiella_burnetii/groups.html) was used for MST identification.

Phylogenetic and statistical analyses

Phylogenetic analysis. The obtained sequences were analyzed using ChromasPro version 1.3 (Technelysium Pty, Ltd., Tewantin, Queensland, Australia) for assembly and were aligned with other sequences of targeted bacteria or parasite species from GenBank using CLUS-TALW, implemented in BioEdit v7.2 [89]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with MEGA software v.7 [90]. The maximum likelihood method based on the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model (HKY) was used to infer the phylogenetic analysis with 500 bootstrap replicates.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with R software V3.2.5 [91] using chi-square/Fisher's exact tests for data comparisons between the prevalence of infected rodents for all parasites according to habitat type. A *p*-value ≤ 0.05 was considered to be significant.

General linear mixed models (GLMMs) run using the lme4 package [92] were also employed to examine the potential determinants of parasite richness (number of parasite species in a host), as reported in a previous similar study [78]. We assumed a Poisson distribution for the parasite richness data. The sampling site was considered a random factor, and other

| Image: constraint of the sequency of t | Primer names SEQUE | VCES (5'-3') A | Annealing | Amplicon | Reference |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Amplementational Internatione Internatione International International International Intern | | Te | emperature | | |
| Thun, R Thun, R CERAMOGENEECCEAAACCEAAAACCEAAAACCEAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAACCEAAAAAA | TtAna_F TGACAGCG | ACCTTTTGCAT | 55 | 190 bp | [76] |
| Inductor Than ERM-Contribution Than Remutality Amasshifts Amasshifts Amasshifts Amasshifts Amasshifts Amasshifts Amasshifts Sequentian | TtAna_R GTAACAGG | TCGGTCCTCCA | | | |
| Runoling Runoling AniSi 212F AniSi 212F AniSi 212F AniSi 2015 AniSi 2015< | TtAna_P 6FAM- GGATT | GACCCGAAACCAAG | | | |
| International problem Ama135/53R Ama23/53R Conditional production of the sequencing in SiGN production between the second contronactor control of the sequencing in SiGN producting prod | Ana23S-212F ATAAGCTG0 | GGGGAATTGTC | 58 | 650 bp | [76] |
| Internetia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of (67-23)Ana35-906 Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of (67-23)Ana35-906 Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Spuerel 3Ana353-906 Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Casta Austonicia of Spuerel 3Ana323-906 Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Dispuerel a Partonicia of Burtonicia of Dispuerel a Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Dispuerel a Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Dispuerel a Burtonicia of Burtonicia of Burtonici | Ana23S-753R TGCAAAAGGTACGCTG | TCAC(for sequencing | | | |
| Burtonflasy Ifs (ningmin local range qCR local second detarrate) Ruto ITS3 local range details Burto ITS3 local random | Ana23S-908r GTAACAGG | TCGGTCCTA | | | |
| International form Intern | Barto_ITS3_F GATGCCGG | GGAGGTTTC | 60 | 104 bp | [77] |
| Image: constant of the | Barto_ITS3_R GCCTGGGA(| GACTTGAACCT | | | |
| Kond-range Unbartol CarcoCorrectonant Creation Solution Coviella burneti ISIIIA Broad-range PCR LIbarto2 CarcoCorrectonant Creation Solution Kond-range PCR ISIIII J0066 CASABAACCTACCCGARTEAATC Solution Solution ISIIIA Broad-range PCR CBJSIIII J0066 CARABAACCTACCGGARGAACAATCBAGGGCGG Solution ISIIA Broad-range PCR CBJSIIII J0066 CARABAACCTACCGGARGAACAATCBAGGGCGG Solution ISIIA Broad-range PCR CBJSIII J0066 CRAABAACCTACCGGARGAACAATCBAGGCGGGG Solution ISIA Broad-range PCR CBJSIII J0066 FFAM- CCGAGTTCGGARGCGAACGAATCBAGGGCGG Solution Spacer Specific PCR CARAB CARAGCTCTGAACAAATCBAGGCGGG Solution Solution Spacer Specific PCR CARAB CARABCCTGGARGCGAACAATCBAGGGCGG Solution Solution Spacer Specific PCR CARAB CARABCACCTGGARGGCGGG Solution Solution Spacer Specific PCR CARAB CARABCACCACGARGGCGGGG Solution Solution Spacer Specific PCR CARAB CARABAC | Barto_ITS3_P 6FAM- GCGCGC | GCTTGATAAGCGTG | | | |
| Image: control in the integration of the integ | Urbartol CTTCGTTT | CTCTTTCA | 50 | 733 bp | [78] |
| Cwiella burneti ISIIIA Road-range QCR CB_SIIII_0706F CAAGAAACGTATCGCTGGGC Mod ISIIIA Broad-range qPCR CB_SIIII_0706F CAAGAAACGTATCGCTGGGC 90 ISIAA Broad-range qPCR CB_SIIII_0706F CAAGAAACGTATCGGTGGGCGG 90 ISIAA Broad-range qPCR CB_SIAA_3F CCAAGAAACGTATCGGTGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG | Urbarto2 CTTCTTT | ACAATTCCAAT | | , | |
| Non-state CB_SI111_0706R CACAGAGCCACCGTATGAATCC R_301A Broud-range qPCR CB_S30A_3F CACAGAGCCACCGTATGAATCC R_30A Broud-range qPCR CB_S30A_3F CACAGAGCCACCGTATGAATCACGGCGCG 00 R_30A Broud-range qPCR CB_S30A_3F CACAGAGCCACCGTATGAATCACGGGCGG 00 R_30A Broud-range qPCR CAS1 CCAGAGAGCCACCGTATGAATCACGGGGGG 00 R_30A_3F Spacer 3 Spacer 3 Spacer 3 Spacer 3 00 R_30A_3F Spacer 3 Spacer 3 Spacer 3 00 00 00 R_30A_3F Spacer 3 Spacer 3 Spacer 3 00 0 | CB_IS1111_0706F CAAGAAACG | IATCGCTGTGGC | 60 | 154 bp | [79] |
| Image: Normanian state in the image of the ima | CB_IS1111_0706R CACAGAGCC | ACCGTATGAATC | | | |
| Isoact Broad-range qPCR CB_ISOA_3F CAAGAAGGTATGGGTGG 60 R50A CB_ISOA_3R CCAGAAGGTATGGATGGGC 60 Spacer 2 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAAAGGTATGGAGGGGA 99 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAGAGCTGGTAATAGGGGGGA 99 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAGAGCTGGTAATAGGGGGGA 99 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAGAGCAACTGAATAGGGGGA 99 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAGAGAATTAGGGGGA 99 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Co.2F CAGAGAGGAATTAGGCGAACG 99 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Co.2F Co.2F GGGAAAATTGGGCGAACG 99 Spacer 17 Species-specific PCR Co.2F Co.2F CGCGAAGGAACGTGGGAACGGGAACGGGAACGGAACGGA | CB_IS1111_0706P 6FAM- CCGAGTTC | GAAACAATGAGGGCTG | | | |
| Result CB_ISIO_JR CACAGAGCCACCGTATGAATC Spacer 2 | CB_IS30A_3F CAAGAAACG | IATCGCTGTGGC | 60 | 154 bp | [77] |
| Additional CB_ISIOL_3P 6FAM- CGGAGTTGGAAACAATGGGGGTG 59 Spacer 2 Species-specific PCR Cox2 F CAAACCCTGAAAACAATGGGGGTG 59 Spacer 3 Species-specific PCR Cox2 F CAAACCCTGAAAACAATGGGGGTG 59 Spacer 1 Species-specific PCR Cox3 F CGAAGCAATTGAACGGGGTA 59 Spacer 1 Species-specific PCR Cox1 F TGGGAAGCATTGAACGCAAGCG 59 Spacer 3 Species-specific PCR Cox1 F CGCAGAATTGCGAAGCGAAGCG 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox3 F GGGGAAATTGCGAAGCGAAGCG 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox3 F GGGGAAATTGCGAAGGGAAGCGAAGCGAAGCGAAGCGAA | CB_IS30A_3R CACAGAGCC | ACCGTATGAATC | | I | |
| Spacer 2 Species-specific PCR Cox2 F CAAGCCTGAATAGCCGGGGA 59 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Cox5 F Cox5 F CaaGAGCTTGATGGCGGGGA 59 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Cox5 F Cox5 F CaaGAGCTAGCAAGCGGGGA 59 Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Cox1 F CaaGAGCTAGAATGCCGGGGA 59 Spacer 1 Species-specific PCR Cox1 F CaaGAGCAAGCAAGCGAAGCGAAGCAAGCAAGCAAGCAAG | CB_IS30A_3P 6FAM- CCGAGTTC | GAAACAATGAGGGCTG | | | |
| | Cox2 F CAACCTG | ATACCCAAGGA | 59 | 358 bp | [80] |
| Spacer 5 Species-specific PCR Cox5 F CadGaGaCAAGCTGAATGGG 59 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Cox18 F TGGTATGAATGGCAATG 59 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Cox18 F TTGGATGAATGGCAATG 59 Spacer 18 Species-specific PCR Cox18 F TTGGATGAATGGCAATG 59 Spacer 19 Species-specific PCR Cox18 F TTGGAAATTGGGGAATTGGCAATG 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox18 F Coc18 F 59 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox21 F Cox22 F 56 59 Lepuspina 50 Ids Species-specific PCR Cox37 F Coc37 F 59 59 Lepuspina 50 Ids Species-specific PCR Cox37 F Coc37 F 59 59 Lepuspina 50 Ids Species-specific PCR Cox37 F Coc37 F 59 Lepuspina 50 Ids Lepus F Coc37 F 70 58 Lepuspina 50 Ids Lepus F Coc37 F 58 | Cox2 R GAAGCTTC | GATAGGCGGGA | | | |
| Non-state Cost R Cost R TGGTAAGACCCGTCATG Spectarie Spacer 18 Speciesspecific PCR Cox18 R TTGGAAATTAGCCAATC Speciesspecific PCR Speciesspecific PCR Cox18 R TTGGAAGATTAGCCAATC Speciesspecific PCR Speciesspecific PCR Speciesspecific PCR Cox18 R TTGGAAGAATTAGCCAATC Speciesspecific PCR Speciesspecies PCR Specie | Cox5 F Cox5 F CAGGAGCA | GCTTGAATGCG | 59 | 344 bp | [80] |
| Spacer 18Species-specific PCRCox18 FCGCAGAGGAATTAGCCAATC59Spacer 12Species-specific PCRCox18 RTTCGAAGAGATTAGGGACTAGCCAAT59Spacer 22Species-specific PCRCox27 FGGGAATAAGAGAGTTAGGGACGACGAC59Spacer 37Species-specific PCRCox27 FCGCAAAATTGGGGACAGACCA59Spacer 37Species-specific PCRCox27 FCGCAAAATTGGGCACAGAC59Spacer 37Species-specific PCRCox27 FCGCAAAATTGGGCACAGACG59Lepusprins p16SBroad-range PCRLepto.FCCCGGGGCACAGGGGACCACAGC58Lepusprins p16SBroad-range Lipto.PCCCGGGGCACAGGGGGACGGACGCACAGC58Lip132Broad-range Lipto.PCFAM- CTCACCAGGGGACGGGACGGCACGC58Lip132Broad-range Lip132 FACCACCAGGGAACTGACGGCACAGC58Borelia sp235Broad-range Lip132 FACCACCAGGGAACTGACGC58Mycoplama p17823 FCGAAACCAGGGAACGACGACGGAACG56Mycoplama p178CGAAACCAGGGAACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGA | Cox5 R TGGTATGA | AACCCGTCATG | | | |
| Nonline Cox18 R TTGATGATGCATGGCTT Nonline Spacer 22 Species-specific PCR Cox22 F GGGAATTAGGAGTTAGCTCA 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox27 F GGGGAATTAGGAGCTGA 59 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox37 F CoccAATTGGGGAGCTGATAGC 59 Leptospin sp I/65 Broad-range QPCR Lepto_F CocCACGGGAGCGAAGGCAAGGCGAAGGCGAAGGCAAGGCGAAGGCGAAGGCGAAGGCA | Cox18 F Cox18 F CGCAGACG | ATTAGCCAATC | 59 | 556 bp | [80] |
| Space 12 Space 32 Space 37 GGGAATAGGGGAGGGCTCA 59 Space 37 Space 37 Cox22 R GGGAATTCGGGAGGGCCGGAGGC 59 Space 37 Space 37 Cox27 R Cox27 R GGCAATTCGGGACGGACGC 59 Space 37 Species-specific PCR Cox37 R Cox37 R CGCAAATTCGGGACGGCGCGC 59 Space 37 Species-specific PCR Cox37 R Cox37 R CGCCAGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGC 59 Leptospina p 16S Broad-range qPCR Lepto_F CCCCGCGCGCGACGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGC | Cox18 R TTCGATGA | CCGATGGCCTT | | | |
| Non- Cox22 R Cox22 R Coc22 R Coc23 F Coc23 F Coc33 F Coc35 F Coc35 F Coc35 F Coc35 F Coc37 F | Cox22 F GGGAATAAG | AGAGTTAGCTCA | 59 | 340 bp | [80] |
| Spacer 37 Spacer 37 Species-specific PCR Cox37 F GGGTTGTTGGGGAGCTTGGTTAAC 59 Leptospira sp 16S Broad-range qPCR Lepto_F Cox37 R ATTCGGGGAGCTTGGTTAAC 58 Leptospira sp 16S Broad-range qPCR Lepto_F CocGGGTCGGAGCGTGGGAGCGATAGC 58 Leptospira sp LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F ATTCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG | Cox22 R CGCAAATT' | CGGCACAGACC | | | |
| Leptospira sp I6S Cox37 R ATTCGGGGACCTGGTAAG 58 Leptospira sp I6S Broad-range qPCR Lepto_F CCCGGGTCGATAG 58 Leptospira sp Lepto_F Lepto_F CCCGGGTCGATAG 58 LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F 6FAM- CTCACCAAGGGGAGCGATGGTAGC 58 Usin LipL33 Broad-range LipL32 F 0 0 58 Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR LipL32 F 0 0 58 Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR TTB235 F 0 0 58 Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR TTB235 F 0 0 58 Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 0 0 60 Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 0 0 60 6 MycopIasma sp ITS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MycopIASM sp ITS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Cox37 F GGCTTGTC | GGTGTAACTGT | 59 | 322 bp | [80] |
| LeptospiraspI6SBroad-range qPCRLepto_FLepto_FCCCGGGGTCGATTAG58Lepto_FLepto_R $Epto_R$ $Epto_R$ $ErcArrGTGGCGGACGGACGGACGGAGGGAGGGAGGGAGGGAGGGA$ | Cox37 R ATTCCGGG | CCTTCGTTAAC | | | |
| Hepto_R Lepto_R TCCATTGFGGCGGRAGC LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F 6FAM- CTCACCTGTGGCGAGGATGGTAGC LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F 6FAM- CTCACCTATGGCGAGGATGGTAGC Borrelia sp 235 Broad-range qPCR LipL32 R 0 Borrelia sp 235 Broad-range qPCR TTB23 F 0 0 Mycoplasma sp 175 6-FAM-TTGATTGTCTAGGGAAGGAAGG 60 Mycoplasma sp 175 6-FAM-TTGATTGTCTAGGGGAAGGAAGG 60 Mycoplasma sp 175 6-FAM-TTGATTGTCTAGGGGAAGGAAGG 60 | Lepto_F CCCGCG | rccgattag | 58 | 88 bp | [81] |
| Hertol Lepto_P 6FAM- CTCACCAAGGGGATGGGTAGC 58 LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F 6FAM- CTCACCAAGGGGAAGTGGC 58 Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR TTB23 F ACCATCATCATCATCGTCGC 58 Mycoplasma sp 13S Broad-range qPCR TTB23S F CGATACCAGGGAAGTGAAC 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TS Broad-range qPCR TTB23S F 6-FAM-TTTGATTTTTTTTTTTTCTCAGGGAAGTGAAC 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 6-FAM-TTTGATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | Lepto_R TCCATTG | GGCCGRACAC | | | |
| LipL32 Broad-range LipL32 F ATCTCCGTTGCACTCTTGC 58 Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR LipL32 R ATCATCATCATCGTCAC 60 Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR TTB23s F CGATACCAGGGAAGTGAAC 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TS Broad-range qPCR TTB23s F 6-FAM-TTTGATTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | Lepto_P 6FAM- CTCACCAA | GGCGACGATCGGTAGC | | | |
| Borrelia sp conventional PCR LipL32 R ACCATCATCATCATCGTCGA Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR TTB23s F ACCATCATCATCGTCAACG 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TS Broad-range qPCR TTB23sR 6-FAM-TTTGATTTTTCCTCAGGGAAGGGAAGGGAAGGGAAGGGA | LipL32 F ATCTCCGT | GCACTCTTTGC | 58 | 474 bp | [82] |
| Borrelia sp 23S Broad-range qPCR TTB23s F CGATACCAGGGAAGTGAAC 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TB TTB23sF 6-FAM-TTTGATTTCTTTTCCTCAGGG-TAMRA 60 Mycoplasma sp 1TS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 6-FAM-TTTGATTTCTTTTCCTCAGGG-TAMRA 60 | LipL32 R ACCATCAT | ATCATCGTCCA | | | |
| Mycoplasma sp ITB23sR TTB23sR ACAACCCYMTAATGCAACG Mycoplasma sp ITS TTB23sP 6-FAM-TTTGATTTCTTTTCCCAGGG-TAMRA Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 6-GGGAGCTGGTAATACCCAAGGT Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_R 6-CAATCCCCAGGGTAATACCCAAGGT 60 | TTB238 F CGATACCA | GGAAGTGAAC | 60 | 148 bp | [78, 83] |
| Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR TTB23SP 6-FAM-TTTGTTTTCTTAGGG-TAMRA Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F 66GGGGGGGGAGGTAGGT 60 | TTB23sR ACAACCCY | TAAATGCAACG | | | |
| Mycoplasma sp ITS Broad-range qPCR Mycop_ITS_F GGGAGCTGGTATACCCAAGGT 60 Mycoplasma sp CCATCCCCACGTTCTCGTAG 60 6 | TTB23SP 6-FAM-TTTGATTTC | TTTCCTCAGGG-TAMRA | | | |
| Mycop_ITS_R CCATCCCCAGGTTCTCGTAG M ITS_D 6BNM_CCCCAACGTTCTCCACCT | Mycop_ITS_F GGGAGCTGG | AATACCCAAAGT | 60 | 114 bp | [84] |
| | Mycop_ITS_R CCATCCC | CGTTCTCGTAG | | | |
| | Mycop_ITS_P 6FAM- GCCTAAGG | AGGACTGGTGACTGGGG | | | |

| Target Organism | Target gene | Technique | Primer names | SEQUENCES (5'-3') | Annealing Temperature | Amplicon | Reference |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Rickettsia sp | gltA (CS) | Broad-range qPCR | RKND03_F | GTGAATGAAGATTACACTATTTAT | 60 | 166 bp | [77, 79] |
| | | | RKND03_R | GTATCTTAGCAATCATTCTAATAGC | | | |
| | | | RKND03 P | 6-FAM- CTATTATGCTTGCGGCTGTCGGTTC | | | |
| Orientia_Occidentia sp | 23S | Broad-range qPCR | OcOr23S-F | TGGGTGTTGGAGATTTGAGA | 55 | 140 | This |
| | | | OcOr23S-R | TGGACGTACCTATGGTGTACCA | | | study |
| | | - | OcOr23S-P | FAM-GCTTAGATGCATTCAGCAGTT | | | |
| Occidentia sp | sca | Broad-range qPCR | OMscaA-F | AGTTTAAAATTCCCTGAACCACAATT | 55 | 240 | [78] |
| | | | OMscaA-R | ACTTCCAAACACTCCTGAAACTATACTTG | | | |
| | | - | OMscaA-P | FAM-TGAAGTTGAAGATGTCCCTAATAGT | | | |
| Streptobacillus | gyrB | Broad-range qPCR | Smoni-gyrB-F | AGTTTAAAATTCCCTGAACCACAATT | 60 | 96 bp | [85] |
| moniliformis | | | Smoni-gyrB-R | ACTTCCAAACACTCCTGAAACTATACTTG | | | |
| | | | Smoni-gyrB-P | 6FAM-TCACAAACTAAGGCAAAACTTGGTTCATCTGAG | | | |
| Yersina pestis | caf1 | species-specific qPCR | YPcaf-S | TACGGTTACGGTTACAGCAT | 45 | 240bp | [86] |
| | | | YPcaf-A | GGTGATCCCATGTACTAACA | | | |
| | | | YPcaf-1 | 6-FAM-ACCTGCTGCAAGTTTACCGCCTTTGG | | | |
| Toxoplasma gondii | ITSI | Broad-range qPCR | Tgon_ITS1_F | GATTTGCATTCAAGAAGCGTGATAGTA | 60 | 333 bp | [87] |
| | | | Tgon_ITS1_R | AGTTTAGGAAGCAATCTGAAAGCACATC | | | |
| | | | Tgon_ITS1_P | 6-FAM-CTGCGCTGCTTCCAATATTGG | | | |
| Piroplasma sp | 5,8S | Broad-range qPCR | 5,8s-F5 | AYYKTYAGCGRTGGATGTC | 60 | 40 bp | [78] |
| | | | 5,8s-R | TCGCAGRAGTCTKCAAGTC | | | |
| | | | 5,8s-S | 6-FAM-TTYGCTGCGTCCTTCATCGTTGT | | | |
| | 185 | Broad-range | piro18S-F3 | GTAGGGTATTGGCCTACCG | 58 | 969 bp | |
| | | conventional PCR | piro18S-R3 | AGGACTACGACGGTATCTGA | | | |
| Leishmania sp | 18S SSU | Broad-range qPCR | Н | GGTTTAGTGCGTCCGGTG | 60 | 75 bp | 88 |
| | | | R | ACGCCCCAGTACGTTCTCC | | | |
| | | | Probe leish S | FAM- CGGCCGTAACGCCTTTTCAACTCA | | | |
| Kinetoplastidea | 28S LSU (24 | Broad-range qPCR | F LSU 24a | AGTATTGAGCCAAAGGAGG | 60 | 200 bp | [88] |
| | alpha) | | R LSU 24a | TTGTCACGACTTCAGGTTCTAT | | | |
| | | | P LSU 24a | 6FAM- TAGGAAGACCGATAGCGAACAAGTAG | | | |
| | | Broad-range | F2 28S | ACCAAGGAGTCAAACAGACG | 58 | ~ 550 bp | [88] |
| | | conventional PCR | R1 28S | ACGCCACATATCCCTAAG | | | |
| Trypanosoma sp | 5.8 S rRNA | Broad-range qPCR | F 5,8S | CAACGTGCGCGATGGATGA | 60 | 83 bp | 88 |
| | | | R 5,8S | ATTCTGCAATTGATACCACTTATC | | | |
| | | | P 5,8S | 6-FAM-GTTGAAGAACGCAGCAAGGCGAT | | | |
| | 28S | Broad-range | F2 28S | ACCAAGGAGTCAAACAGACG | 58 | ~ 550 bp | [88] |
| | | conventional PCR | R1 28S | ACGCCACATATCCCTAAG | | | |
| Oliøonucleotide sequence: | s of nrimers and nr | obes used for real-time P | CR and conventions | al DCR to screen hacteria and nrotozoans in this study | | | |
| Oligonucleotide sequence. | s of primers and pr | ohes used for real-time P | CR and conventions | al PCR to screen bacteria and protozoans in this study | | | |

factors, including host factors (species, sex, weight, body mass), status (native vs. invasive), trap location (inside vs. outside the door), habitat type (central districts, peripheral districts, vegetal areas) and seasons (dry season and rainy season), were considered fixed effects (S_2 and S_3 Tables). The significance of the interactions of different effects was estimated by using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) for model selection. AIC changes were evaluated when model parameters were modified (added or removed). Full-model averages, available in the MuMIn package [93], were used for AIC estimation. The best model showed a null Δ AICC.

Results

Rodents sampled for this study

A total of 198 small mammals were captured including 49 in Mbaya, 19 in Mangoungou, 19 in Ombélé, 15 in Potos, 25 in Sable, 18 in Yéné and 53 in vegetative areas (S2 and S3 Tables). The captured animals included two (2) invasive species of rodents, *Rattus rattus* (N = 54) and *Mus musculus domesticus* (N = 29), five (5) native rodent taxa, *Lophuromys* sp. (N = 27), *Lemniscomys striatus* (N = 27), *Praomys* sp. (N = 17), *Mus (Nannomys)* sp. (N = 22) and *Cricetomys* sp. (N = 3), and shrews (N = 19).

According to the three types of established habitats, small mammals were distributed as follows 29 rodents (3 *Lemniscomys striatus*, 2 *M. m. domesticus*, 1 *Praomys* sp., 3 *Mus (Nannomys)* sp. and 20 *R. rattus*) and 5 shrews in central districts; 102 rodents (3 *Cricetomys* sp, 8 *Le. striatus*, 7 *Lophuromys* sp, 27 *M. m. domesticus*, 17 *Mus (Nannomys)* sp., 6 *Praomys* sp., 34 *R. rattus*) and 9 shrews in peripheral districts and 48 rodents (16 *Le. striatus*, 20 *Lo. sikapusis*, 2 *Mus* (*Nannomys*) sp., 10 *Praomys* sp.) and 5 shrews in forest-savannah areas (Table 3).

Bacterial, protozoan and viral nucleic acids detected in rodents and shrews

All rodents were found negative for all viral pathogens screened in the spleen by conventional PCR and qPCR, including *Hantavirus* spp., *Mammarenavirus* spp., *Flavivirus*, *Paramyxovirus*, *Lymphocytic choriomeningitis mammarenavirus* (LCMV) and *Zika virus* (ZIKV). Similarly, all rodents were found negative by qPCR on tissues for several bacteria and protozoa, specifically *Borrelia* sp, *Leishmania* sp, *Mycoplasma* sp, *Orientia* sp, *Occidentia* sp, *Streptobacillus moniliformis*, *Rickettsia* sp and *Yersinia pestis*. In contrast, 49/198 (24,7%) rodents were positive for 8 of the 16 pathogens (bacteria and protozoans) tested via qPCR. In total, 7 genera of pathogenic microorganisms were identified, including bacterial *Anaplasma* spp. (8.1%; 16/198), *Bartonella* spp. (6.6%; 13/198), *Coxiella burnetii* (5.1%; 10/198), and *Leptospira* spp. (3.5%; 7/198). The protozoans that we identified included *Trypanosoma* sp (7%; 14/198), *Piroplasma* sp (1%; 2/198) and *Toxoplasma gondii* (0.5%; 1/198) (Table 3). All microorganisms were detected in the liver samples except for *Leptospira* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii*, which were only detected in the kidney and brain, respectively.

Multiple infections (i.e., many infectious agents in the same organ of an individual rodent) were found in 11 rodents (5.5%), including 10 double infections (5%) and one triple infection (0.5%). *Rattus rattus* and *Le. striatus* presented the highest carriage rate for all of the identified pathogens, including 5 out of 7 infectious agents, while *M. m. domesticus* appeared to harbor the fewest pathogens (1/7). Other species carried between 3 and 4 pathogens (Table 3).

Phylogenetic analysis for the taxonomic description of detected pathogens

Bartonella. The sequencing of 733 bp of the ITS gene from the DNA extracts of 13 qPCRpositive individuals revealed five sequences of *Bartonella* ranging from 690 to 722 bp (Gen-Bank: MN968369 to MN968373). BLAST analysis of three sequences obtained from

| | Pathogen | Genotype founded | Rodent specie | 28 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | screening (qPCR positive individual number) | | Cricetomys sp. (N = 3) | Lemniscomys striatus (N = 27) | <i>Lophuromys</i> sp. (N = 27) | Mus m. domesticus [∓] (N = 29) | Mus Nannomys sp. (N = 22) | Praomys sp. (N = 17) | Rattus rattusT(N = 54) | Shrews (N = 19) |
| Central districts (Potos and Ombélé districts) N1 = 34 | Bartonella spp. (1) | Bartonella elizabethae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1/54 (1.8%) | 0 |
| | Anaplasma spp. (1) | <i>Candidatus</i> Anaplasma gabonensis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/54 (1.8%) | 0 |
| | Coxiella burnetii | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Leptospira spp. | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Piroplasma | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Trypanosoma spp. (8) | Trypanosoma congolensis riverine forest / Trypanosoma brucei brucei / Trypanosoma otospermophili | 0 | 2/27 (7.4%) | 0 | 0 | 1/22 (4.55%) | 0 | 5/54 (9.3%) | 0 |
| Peripheral districts (Mang*-Mbaya- Yéné and Sable districts) N2 = 111 | Toxoplasma gondi (1) | Toxoplasma gondi | 0 | 1/27 (3.7%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Bartonella spp. (3) | Bartonella massiliensis | 2/3 (67%) | 0 | 1/27 (3.7%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Anaplasma spp. (8) | <i>Candidatus</i> Anaplasma gabonense | 0 | 4/27 (14.8%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/17 (5.88%) | 2/54 (3.7%) | 1/19 (5.3%) |
| N2 = 111 | Coxiella burnetii (3) | <i>Coxiella burnetii</i> MST group 20 | 0 | 1/27 (3.7%) | 0 | 0 | 1/22 (4.55%) | 0 | 1/54 (1.8%) | 0 |
| | Leptospira spp. (3) | Lepstospira kirschneri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/54 (1.8%) | 2/19 (10.6%) |
| | Piroplasma (1) | Theileria sp. | 0 | 1/27 (3.7%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Trypanosoma spp. (6) | <i>Trypanosoma</i> <i>congolensis</i> riverine forest | 1/3 (33%) | 0 | 0 | 1/29 (3.45%) | 1/22 (4.55%) | 0 | 3/54 (5.6%) | 0 |
| | Toxoplasma gondi | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vegetation areas (Forest and savannah fragments) N3 = 53 | Bartonella spp. (9) | <i>Candidatus</i> Bartonella gabonensis | 0 | 0 | 9/27 (33.3%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Anaplasma spp. (7) | <i>Candidatus</i> Anaplasma gabonense | 0 | 4/27 (14.8%) | 1/27 (3.7%) | 0 | 0 | 2/17 (11.8%) | 0 | 0 |
| | Coxiella burnetii (7) | Coxiella burnetii | 0 | 4/27 (14.8%) | 2/27 (7.4%) | 0 | 0 | 1/17 (5.88%) | 0 | 0 |
| | Leptospira spp. (4) | L. borgpetersenii | 0 | 0 | 4/27 (14.8%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Piroplasma (1) | Theileria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/17 (5.88%) | 0 | 0 |
| | <i>Trypanosoma</i> spp. | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Toxoplasma gondi | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3. Bacteria and protozoa identified in Franceville rodents.

The infectious agents identified and described in this study and the rodents associated with them. One hundred and ninety-eight (198) rodents, collected in N1, N2 and

N3 were analyzed by qPCR.

[†] indicates invasive rodents.

 * Mang represents the Mangoungou district.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.t003

Lophuromys sp. hosts showed that the most closely related species was *Bartonella queenslandensis* (GenBank: EU111769.1), which presented the highest score and a percentage of identity of 84–86% (611/721, 546/634, 550/635). This percentage of identity below 95% and the fact that all these three sequences were grouped in the same cluster (with 99% of identity between each other) in the phylogenetic tree suggested that the obtained *Bartonella* pathogen represented a new species, an undescribed species. We provisionally named this probable new genotype *Candidatus* Bartonella gabonensis. The fourth sequence obtained from a *Cricetomys* sp. rodent matched the *B. massiliensis* OS23 and OS09 strains (HM636450 and HM636449) with 96.7% (699/723) and 96.1% (700/728) identity, respectively. The last sequence, obtained from *R. rattus*, matched *Bartonella* sp. '*Tel Aviv*' of the *Bartonella elizabethae complex* (GenBank: CP031843.2) with 100% (690/690) identity. It is referred to here as *B. elizabethae* (Fig 2).

Anaplasma. Among 16 qPCR-positive individuals, six sequences ranging from 623 to 683 bp (GenBank: MT269268 to MT269273) were obtained after the sequencing of the *Anaplasma* 23S rRNA gene [76, 94]. BLAST analysis of these sequences showed identities with *A. phagocy-tophilum* (KM021418) ranging from 91% to 92% (578/633, 606/659, 607/659, 606/660, 607/659, 607/659). The percentage of identity below 95% suggests that the obtained pathogen is a new or undescribed species, with similarity to *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. However, the dissimilarity between the *Anaplasma* sequences, as shown in our data (Fig 3), could also suggest



0.10

Fig 2. Taxonomic tree and description of the identified *Bartonella*. Phylogenetic tree of *Bartonella* spp. identified in rodents in Franceville. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model. The analysis involved 36 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 189 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. Sequences obtained in this study are indicated in bold. The hosts are indicated after the underscore.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.g002



0.050

Fig 3. Taxonomic tree and description of the identified *Candidatus* **Anaplasma gabonense.** Phylogenetic tree of *Anaplasma* species identified in rodents of Franceville. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model. The analysis involved 24 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 420 positions in the final dataset. Sequences obtained in this study are indicated in bold. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. The hosts are indicated after the underscore. *Ls, Lemniscomys striatus; Rr, Rattus rattus.*

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.g003

that the amplified genetic material would come from organisms of a different genus. Finally, not being able to conclude on the basis of our results, we refer to it here as Uncultured *Anaplasma* sp.

Coxiella burnetti. The genotyping of *Coxiella burnetii* from 10 qPCR-positive individuals via MST analysis showed the following profile allele codes: 3–2–6–5–4, corresponding to Cox 2—Cox5—Cox 18- Cox22—Cox37, respectively. This profile identified MST group 20. This genotype has been found in Europe and the United States [80] and is associated with human and animal disease. The same genotype, MST20 was also found on domestic animal ticks in Ethiopia in Africa [95]. In Franceville, *C. burnetii* MST group 20 was found in all the samples tested from the five rodent species mentioned *Praomys* sp, *R. rattus, Mus Nannomys* sp, *Le. striatus* and *Lo. sikapusi*.

Leptospira. Seven samples were positive in qPCR screening of the *16S* rRNA gene of *Leptospira* sp. The sequencing of a portion of the *LipL* 32 gene from the DNA extracts of 7 qPCR-positive individuals revealed two *Leptospira* sequences (MT274303 and MT274304). Sequence MT274303 was 99.1% (442/446; 444/448) similar to both *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar Hardjo (CP033440.1) and *Leptospira weilii* (AY461930.1), identified from *Lophuromys* sp. Sequence MT274304 was 100% (474/474) similar to both *Leptospira kirshneri* (JN683896.1) and *Leptospira interrogans* (KC800991.1), identified in the *Crocidura goliath* shrew (MT256384.1).

Trypanosoma. Five sequences of *Trypanosoma* ranging from 464 to 571 bp were obtained (GenBank: MT271793 to MT271797) after the sequencing of 550bp of the *28 S* gene from 14 qPCR-positive individuals identified when screening for *Trypanosoma* spp. (10 positive individuals) and the *Kinetoplastidae* class (4 positive individuals). Two presented as *T. congolense riverine/forest-type* (U22319) (2/198) with 99% (570/571) of identity from *Le. striatus* and

Cricetomys sp; one, from *Praomys* sp (1/198), showed 100% (522/522) identity to both *T. brucei brucei* (XR_002989635) and *T. brucei* gambiense (FN554966.1); and two others from *R. rattus* and *Mus Nannomys* (AB190228) (2/198) were identified as *T. otospermophili*, with 97% (453/467) identity (Fig 4).

Theileria. The two samples that were positive according to the pan-*Piroplasma* 5.8S qPCR analysis and were sequenced (GenBank: MT269266 and MT269267) were shown 98% (869/ 883, 868/881) identity to *Theileria* sp strain HaD-2019a (MK484070.1) found in Senegalese rodents (Fig 5). These two infected rodents were *Le. striatus* and *Praomys* sp.

Toxoplasma gondii. Of the tested brain samples, only one (0.5%, N = 198) was positive in the qPCR screening of *T. gondii* according to the *ITS*1 gene, with a Ct value of 35.1. However, it could not be identified; the sample came from an *Le. striatus* rodent.

Habitats and pathogens in rodents

We categorized the sampling areas into three groups as follows: central districts (Ombélé, Potos), peripheral districts (Mbaya, Yéné, Sable, and Mangoungou) and non urban areas of vegetation (savannah-forest) (Fig 6). The prevalence of infection by the pathogens in each group was 32.3% (11/32), 21.6% (24/111) and 52.8% (28/53) for the central districts, peripheral districts and vegetated areas, respectively.

In terms of overall prevalence, a significant difference was found between the average prevalence in the infected rodents in the three habitat types (X-squared = 16.659, df = 2, P < 0.0002413). The residual value of the X-squared test showed that the difference was attributed to the vegetated areas. The rodents from vegetated areas showed the highest infection



0.050

Fig 4. Taxonomic tree and description of the identified *Trypanosoma* sp. Maximum likelihood tree of *Trypanosoma* species identified in rodents in Franceville. Sequences obtained in this study are indicated in bold. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model. The analysis involved 30 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 415 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. The hosts are indicated after the underscore. *Ls, Lemniscomys striatus*; *C.* sp., *Cricetomys* sp.; *MN, Mus Nannomys* sp.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.g004



Fig 5. Taxonomic tree and description of the identified *Theileria* **sp.** Phylogenetic tree of *Theileria* species identified in rodents in Franceville. Sequences obtained in this study are indicated in bold. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model. The analysis involved 36 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 749 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. *Ls, Lemniscomys striatus.*

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.g005

prevalence in Franceville. Similarly, at the pathogen group scale (only for pathogens found in more than 5 rodents), the rodents from vegetated areas showed the highest prevalence of



Fig 6. Histogram of the prevalence of infections. Richness of pathogens in Franceville rodents by habitat type. Three habitat types were identified in this study: the central district with little vegetation, peripheral districts with abundant vegetation around dwellings and vegetation areas (mixture of only savannah and forest). * indicates significantly different richness at p < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.g006

infection by *Bartonella* sp (P < 0.001) and *C. burneti* (P < 0.004) pathogens (Fig 6). However, a different result was obtained in rodents from central districts, which showed a significantly higher rate of infection by *Trypanosoma* pathogens than the rodents coming from the 2 other habitats (P < 0.002).

Host factors and pathogens in rodents

GLMM analysis revealed a strong association effect between the parasite richness and body mass of host rodents (*t*-value = 0.791, *P*< 0.0449) (Table 4). Parasitic richness was positively correlated with the weight of the rodents. Conversely, no association could be identified between parasitic richness and the other factors tested among the rodents in Franceville.

Discussion

Rodents are hosts of numerous zoonotic diseases caused by bacteria, protozoans, or viruses all around the world [96]. Luis et *al.*, 2013 [1] noted the importance of paying serious attention to rodents because they are the most diverse mammals and carry many pathogens responsible for emerging viral zoonoses. In Gabon, over the last five years, some studies have focused specifically on rodent viruses [52, 53] and plasmodium parasites [54]. Herein, we broaden the spectrum of these studies by investigating a wide range of bacteria, protozoa and viruses in the rodents of Franceville in Gabon.

We did not identify the presence of viruses in these rodents. Several hypotheses can be put forth to explain this result. We suggest that a low viral load could explain the failure to detect the targeted viral fragments. In such instances, the use of high-throughput sequencing, particularly next generation sequencing methods [97], could be more effective and efficient, as reported by Diagne et *al.*, 2017 [27]. Another hypothesis is that the failure to detect pathogens may be due to the absence in our sample of rodent species that are reservoirs for the targeted pathogens. For example, *Mastomys natalensis* and *Mastomys erythroleucus*, which are LHF reservoirs whose distribution area includes Gabon, were missing from our sample. Nevertheless, *R. rattus* and *M. m. domesticus* are reservoirs of many pathogens, including *Hantavirus* and *Lymphocytic choromeningite virus* (LCMV), respectively, but we did not succeed in identifying

| Fixed effects: | Estimate | SE | t -value | 2.5% | 97.5% | p-value |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|----------|--------|-------|---------|
| (Intercept) | 0.321 | 0.146 | 2.192 | 0.034 | 0.608 | 0.030 |
| Sex ^a | 0.007 | 0.081 | 0.083 | -0.152 | 0.166 | 0.934 |
| Trap location ^b | -0.128 | 0.119 | -1.070 | -0.362 | 0.106 | 0.286 |
| Season ^c | -0.158 | 0.087 | -1.821 | -0.327 | 0.012 | 0.070 |
| Weight | 0.001 | 0.000 | 2.019 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.045 |
| Species status ^d | 0.092 | 0.117 | 0.791 | -0.136 | 0.321 | 0.430 |
| Habitat types ^e | 0.082 | 0.142 | 0.576 | -0.197 | 0.360 | 0.586 |

Table 4. Factors and parasitic richness association.

Evaluation of the Poisson generalized linear mixed models fitted to estimate the host factors and parasitic richness association.

The reference categories corresponding to: ^a male, ^b indoor, ^c rainy season, ^d native and ^e vegetal areas.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248244.t004

these viruses. Nevertheless, this hypothesis is supported by the fact that these two species are invasive rodents that may lose associated viruses in new environments, referred to as the "enemy release" effect [98]. The third hypothesis that may explain the lack of virus detection is that Franceville rodents are secondary hosts for the screened viruses [99]. The studied rodents may, however, harbor many other viruses that may or may not be related to the viral species targeted in our study. Negative results in such cases can be explained by insufficiently broad primer specificity.

To obtain support for this hypothesis, serological analyses would be appropriate for determining whether the pathogen continues to circulate when nucleic acids are absent. Indeed, serological diagnoses of circulating viral infectious agents have already been carried out and shown to be effective in other studies conducted in Africa [13, 100, 101].

Our study included the first detection and description of several bacterial and protozoan species in rodent populations in Gabon. Our results revealed an overall prevalence of 25.7% (51/198) for the 7 identified microparasites. This diversity of pathogens is not surprising. Due to the location of Gabon in the Congo basin, it is expected to be a biodiversity hotspot including various pathogens [45]. In Senegal, a well-diversified bacterial community of 12 zoonotic OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Unit) was identified despite the Sahelian context and the use of different detection methods. The difference in the composition of these microparasitic communities shows the need to expand investigations to improve our knowledge of them, especially in Africa, where most studies focus on a single zoonotic agent [42, 102], except when investigating the helminth community [28, 30].

Studies on infectious agents hosted by rodents are very limited in many developing countries in sub-Saharan Africa [102], particularly in Central Africa. Existing studies have previously revealed the presence in African rodents of the bacteria and protozoans that we detected in our study. These organisms include *Bartonella* spp. [103–105], *Coxiella burnetii* [102, 106], *Anaplasma* sp. [107], *Theileria* sp. [78], *Trypanosoma* sp. [42], *Toxoplasma gondii* [108] and *Leptospira* sp. [14, 40]. The presence of these bacterial genera shows the need to explore and implement surveillance in host rodents in Gabon. These bacterial genera include species that can act as zoonotic pathogens capable of inducing severe diseases that are often misdiagnosed in Africa [79, 109, 110].

Indeed, the detected species of pathogens included *C. burnetii*, which constitutes a monospecific genus and is the causative agent of Q fever, which is a disease found worldwide. Zoonotic Q fever can be acute or chronic and exhibits a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations. The natural cycle of *C. burnetii* is not reported to include humans, who are considered incidental hosts [111]. *Coxiella burnetii* is associated with sylvatic or domestic transmission cycles, with rodents being suspected to link the two transmission cycles [102]. Consequently, human infections with *C. burnetii* are systematically associated with infected livestock and ticks. Nevertheless, Q fever outbreaks associated with contact with infected rodents were recently reported in Zambia [102]. We identified the *C. burnetii* pathogenic genotype MST 20, which was detected for the first time in central Africa but has previously been reported in an East African country, Ethiopia [95], in the United States and Europe [80]. Other known genotypes of *C. burnetii* in Africa are: MST 6, 19, 35 and 36 [109], discovered on a wide range of hosts, including ruminants and human febrile patients.

Leptospira borgpetersenii, *L. kirschneri*, *L. interrogans* and *L. weilii* are highly pathogenic leptospires, which are agents of leptospirosis, an emerging zoonotic disease that affects both animals and humans worldwide [112]. Based on phylogenetic analyses of DNA-DNA hybridization (DDH) and 16S rRNA data, the *Leptospira* genus has been divided into three distinct clades. The pathogenic leptospire clade includes 10 pathogens that can infect and cause disease in humans and animals [113]. In another clade, the intermediate clade, there are five leptospires that have been isolated from humans and animals that may cause various mild clinical manifestations of leptospirosis. In the third clade, the so-called saprophytes, there are seven leptospires that are unable to cause disease [112, 114]. Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. colonize the proximal renal tubules of reservoir hosts and are excreted through urine into the external environment, where they can survive in water for several months [115].

For some time now, the incidence of tick-borne diseases in humans and animals has been increasing due to several factors that together favor the chance of contact among wild animals, their ectoparasites, domestic animals and humans [107]. The detected bacteria of the genera Anaplasma and Bartonella as well as Theileria sp. protozoa are responsible for tick-borne diseases. Theileriosis and anaplasmosis are mostly diseases of domestic ruminants that are responsible for economic losses to varying degrees depending on the species of the pathogen and the region of the epidemic [76, 116]. However, an increasing number of human cases of anaplasmosis (human granulocytic anaplasmosis, HGA) with A. phagocytophilum have been reported, including some fatal cases [117]. It is this species in particular that is mostly found in rodents [118-120]. Although rodents are suspected to be potential reservoirs of this bacterium, their role in the epidemiologic cycles affecting domestic and wild animals as well as humans has not been demonstrated [119]. In Africa, rodents infected with Ehrlichia sp. have been detected [94, 107, 121]. The most recent study revealed the probable presence of a new species of Anaplasmatacae infecting rodents in Senegal: Candidatus Ehrlichia senegalensis [78]. Among the 16 animals that were qPCR positive for Anaplasmatacae, six sequences were identified by 23S rRNA gene amplification, two of which came from R. rattus and four from Le. striatus. The 6 sequences were almost identical (98.72% to 99.99%). Based on the phylogenetic tree topology and the percentage of identity (91%) after BLAST analysis, our results suggest that the sequences obtained by 23S rRNA gene amplification represent an organism not yet described, close to A. phagocytophylum and could be a new species. We refer to it here as Uncultured Anaplasma spp. The pathogenicity of this new genotype in humans and animals is unknown.

For piroplasms, two samples were positive by qPCR, which were successfully amplified from the rodents *Le. striatus* and *Praomys* sp. The obtained sequences were closely related to an isolated sequence from the rodent *Arvicanthis niloticus* in Senegal designated *Candidatus* Theileria senegalensis, with 98% identity [78]. Here, we refer to it as *Theileria* sp. This is presumably the same species of bacteria isolated from different rodents, suggesting that they are not reservoirs of this bacterium; however, it could be that the source of infection is either a tick or a ruminant. To date, no human case of theileriosis has been reported; in contrast *Babesia*, particularly *Babesia microti*, has been shown to be responsible for human babesiosis, with rodents serving as hots [2, 122]. *Babesia* and *Theileria* are closely related genera within the *Piroplasmida* order.

Conversely, in the *Bartonella* genus, there are many species responsible for human diseases, such as *B. henselae*, causing cat scratch disease, *B. quintana*, causing trench fever, and *B. bacilliformis*, causing Carrión disease [123]. Indeed, among the 53 *Bartonella* species currently described [124], more than twenty are associated with rodents. These are the species that usually cause human infections [103]. We found three different genotypes of *Bartonella*, which were identified as *Bartonella elizabethae* from *R. rattus*; these genotypes were closely related to *Bartonella massiliensis* recently described [125] from *Cricetomys* sp. as well as a new genotype proposed as *Candidatus* Bartonella gabonensis from *Lo. sikapusi*. Thus, we identified 13 (6,6%) positive individuals with the qPCR system targeting 16S-23S rRNA, including one from *R. rattus*, two from *Cricetomys sp* and ten from *Lophuromys sikapusi*. Among the three genotypes that we have highlighted, the pathogenicity of two, *B. massiliensis* and *Candidatus* B. gabonensis, is not yet known. *B. elizabethae* is known to cause febrile human diseases [126],

usually resulting from close contact between humans and rodents. Here, *B. elizabethae* was described in *R. rattus* captured inside a house, which implies close contact with the human inhabitant of this house and therefore an increased risk of infection with *Bartonella*, as reported [127].

Trypanosoma species are flagellated protozoan parasites including species that are highly pathogenic to humans, such as T. cruzi, responsible for American Chagas disease, and T. brucei, which is the causal agent of human African trypanosomiasis (HAT), also known as African sleeping sickness. Both are transmitted to humans by biting insects (Triatominae and tsetse flies, respectively [128]. In rodents, many studies have revealed the presence of T. lewisi, for which rats were initially considered the only specific hosts [129]. However, T. lewisi has also been found in other rodents [38] and even in insectivores [130]. This parasite is transmissible to humans, although instances of lethal human infection have been reported in both Asia and Africa [131, 132]. In the present study, we did not find *T. lewisi*. However, among the 14 individuals that tested positive for *Trypanosoma* spp. by qPCR, we successful amplification was achieved from 6. Two of these sequences were identical to a T. congolense riverine/forest-type from Le. striatus and Cricetomys sp.; one was identical to both T. brucei brucei and T. brucei gambiense from Praomys sp.; and the last two were identical to T. otospermophili from R. rattus and Mus (Nannomys) sp. Trypanosoma congolense riverine/forest-type trypanosomes are the most economically important trypanosomes causing African animal trypanosomiasis (AAT) and losses in domestic animals (cattle, goats, sheep, horses, pigs and dogs) in sub-Saharan Africa [133, 134]. Trypanosoma congolense has been classified as savannah, riverine-forest and Kilifi types, which are morphologically identical but genetically heterogeneous types that vary in their virulence, pathogenicity, vectors and geographical distribution [135]. Nevertheless, studies have frequently identified coinfections of different T. congolense types in livestock and tsetse flies [136]. On the other hand, Trypanosoma otospermophili is a species hosted by rodents that is very poorly studied [137]. Trypanosoma brucei brucei is the only subspecies among the three that is not infectious to humans. T. brucei gambiense and T. brucei rhodesiense cause a chronic form and an acute form of sleeping sickness, respectively [128]. The identification of *T.brucei gambiense* reveals the risk of transmission of this pathogen from rodents to humans in Franceville. Nevertheless, a specific analysis (another gene or more variable regions) would be necessary to determine precisely which species is described here, T. brucei brucei or T. brucei gambiense.

Toxoplasma gondii is an intracellular protozoan responsible for toxoplasmosis, which is an anthropozoonosis that is widely distributed around the world. Domestic or wild felids are the definitive hosts of this parasite, and a wide range of terrestrial or marine mammals and birds, including rodents, are intermediate hosts [138]. We found one individual positive for *Toxoplasma* from *Le. striatus* by qPCR, but amplification to describe the genotype was unsuccessful. Indeed, *T. gondii* is a monospecific genus; however, it presents several strains whose virulence profiles are variable according to the host species [108]. This result reflects the lack of specific PCR amplification tools, which are currently being developed following the recommendations of various collaborators.

Furthermore, our results show that the prevalence of pathogens is higher in native rodents, notably species such as *Lemniscomys* sp. (55%), *Lophuromys* sp. (62%) and *Praomys* sp. that are associated with vegetation, compared to that found in the commensal invasive species *R*. *rattus* (23%) and *M. m. domesticus* (3.4%) (Table 3). This situation is similar to what was recently highlighted in Senegal [78]. It would be difficult to speculate here about the involvement of these microparasite communities in the invasion of the black rat or even the domestic mouse, as described, for example, in Senegal [27]. We do not have enough data to make such inferences.

Otherwise, the pathogen species detected and described according to phylogenetic trees (Figs 2–5) are pathogens associated with rural areas, peridomestic areas or even plants. With the exception of *Leptospira* species, *B. elizabaethae* and *T. gondii*, the other pathogens identified here, including the *Trypanosoma* species, are not infectious to humans but cause disease in domestic animals [139]. Therefore, these results highlight the relationship between vegetation and pathogens, more specifically, the implication of interactions between wildlife and domestic fauna in the circulation of infectious agents and their transmission to humans. Our results also highlight multihost pathogens, particularly *T. otospermophili* (Fig 4) and *Candidatus* Anaplasma gabonensis (Fig 3), which infect both *R. rattus* and *Mus* (*Nannomys*) sp. or both *R. rattus* Praomys sp. and *Le. striatus*, respectively [140].

Conversely, pathogenic agents of monospecific hosts have also been detected, as indicated by the phylogenetic tree of *Bartonella* species. The probable presence of a new species, referred to as *Candidatus* Bartonella gabonensis, was observed in the rodent species *Lo. sikapusi*. In addition, our results provide evidence of the circulation of new bacterial genotypes: *Candidatus* Bartonella gabonensis and Uncultured *Anaplasma* spp.

Our results concerning the pathogens *C. burnetii* and *Anaplasma* spp. could also correspond to the spill back hypothesis [141] because these pathogens associated with forest and savannah rodents are found in the invasive rodent *R. rattus*. Additional data would be required to confirm this assumption.

None of the factors analyzed here as potential determinants of parasitic richness in Franceville rodents can be questioned except for animal weight, where we found that the heaviest rodents were the most infected (Table 4), as previously reported [27, 78, 142]. Larger individuals may have a larger home range, which increases their frequency of contact with parasites [143]. In addition, since body mass can be considered an indicator of host age, the generally positive correlation between infection and body mass may reflect the longer duration of exposure in older rodents [27].

This study is the first epidemiological investigation of infectious agents carried by rodents in Franceville and thus contributes to the identification and taxonomic description of infectious agents circulating in Gabon. It highlights the presence of 7 kinds of infectious agents, including several pathogenic agents, particularly *Coxiella burnetii*, *Leptospira* spp., *Bartonella elizabethae* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in Gabonese rodents native to the forest and the savannah rodents *Lophuromys* sp, *Le. striatus* and *Praomys* sp. as well as the invasive rodent *R. rattus*. These results show that many infectious agents that are pathogenic to humans are in circulation and reveal the need for systematic detection methods for these infectious agents in humans. Indeed, in Africa, many febrile diseases of unknown etiologies can be attributed to these agents. Our results also reveal the need for further studies to establish the zoonotic risks associated with these new potential species of circulating pathogens, particularly Uncultured *Anaplasma* spp, *Candidatus* Bartonella gabonense and *Theileria* sp., to determine whether these agents (new and already known) could be responsible for human cases of febrile diseases of unknown etiology in Gabon.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Positive DNA and RNA controls used in this study. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Summary of the total number of rodents captured and prevalence of infections in each district. (DOCX)

(- - /

S3 Table. Details of small mammal species sampled in six districts and vegetation areas of the city.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank the Association pour la recherche en infectiologie (APRI) for his support in finalizing this study. We thank the authorities of the city of Franceville as well as the leaders of the districts where rodent sampling took place. We also express our deep gratitude to those individuals who have kindly given us access to their homes. We also thank Mr AWOUNDJA Lauriano for assistance with the trapping of rodents and the interpretation of local languages and Randy Lyn ESSONO for his help in mapping. Finally, this acknowledgements section would be incomplete without conveying our gratitude to Dr Célia BOUMBANDA KOYO, Handi DAHMANA and Marielle BEDOTTO-BUFFET for their help with molecular biology analyses in the laboratory and Dr Serge DIBAKOU for his support in statistical analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy.

Data curation: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki.

- Formal analysis: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Sydney Maghendji-Nzondo, Eric Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov.
- **Investigation:** Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Octavie Banga, Mathieu Bourgarel.
- Methodology: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Octavie Banga, Sydney Maghendji-Nzondo, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov.
- Project administration: Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy.
- Supervision: Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov.
- Validation: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov.
- Writing original draft: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Eric Leroy, Oleg Mediannikov.
- Writing review & editing: Joa Braïthe Mangombi, Nadine N'dilimabaka, Jean-Bernard Lekana-Douki, Mathieu Bourgarel, Eric Leroy, Florence Fenollar, Oleg Mediannikov.

References

- Luis AD, Hayman DTS, O'Shea TJ, Cryan PM, Gilbert AT, Pulliam JRC, et al. A comparison of bats and rodents as reservoirs of zoonotic viruses: are bats special? Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2013; 280 (1756). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.2753 PMID: 23378666
- Meerburg BG, Singleton GR, Kijlstra A. Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for public health. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2009;(November 2008):1–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410802636017 PMID: 19514906
- 3. Mills J. N. The role of rodents in emerging human disease: examples from the hantaviruses and arenaviruses. Ecological. Singleton GR, Hinds LA, Leirs H, Zhang Z, editors. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, Australia.; 1999. 134–160 p.

- 4. Gratz N. Rodents as carriers of disease. In: Buckle A, Smith R, editors. Rodent pests and their control. Oxford, CAB International; 1994. p. 85.
- Keeling MJ, Gilligan CA. Metapopulation dynamics of bubonic plague. Nature. 2000; 407(6806):903– 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/35038073 PMID: 11057668
- Perry RD, Fetherston JD. Yersinia pestis etiologic agent of plague. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997 Jan; 10 (1):35–66. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.10.1.35-66.1997 PMID: 8993858
- Olayemi A, Cadar D, Magassouba N, Obadare A, Kourouma F, Oyeyiola A, et al. New Hosts of The Lassa Virus. Sci Rep. 2016 May 3; 6(1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-016-0001-8 PMID: 28442746
- Jiang H, Du H, Wang LM, Wang PZ, Bai XF. Hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome: Pathogenesis and clinical picture. Vol. 6, Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2016.
- Rabaan AA, Al-Ahmed SH, Alsuliman SA, Aldrazi FA, Alfouzan WA, Haque S. The rise of pneumonic plague in Madagascar: Current plague outbreak breaks usual seasonal mould. Vol. 68, Journal of Medical Microbiology. Microbiology Society; 2019. p. 292–302. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000915 PMID: 30632956
- 10. Health Organisation World. Lassa Fever–Nigeria Disease outbreak news. 2019.
- 11. Mofolorunsho KC. Outbreak of lassa fever in nigeria: Measures for prevention and control. Pan Afr Med J. 2016; 23:2–4. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2016.23.2.8451 PMID: 27200112
- Salmón-Mulanovich G, Powell AR, Hartinger-Peña SM, Schwarz L, Bausch DG, Paz-Soldán VA. Community perceptions of health and rodent-borne diseases along the Inter-Oceanic Highway in Madre de Dios, Peru. BMC Public Health. 2016; 16(1):1–10.
- Diagne CA, Charbonnel N, Henttonen H, Sironen T, Brouat C. Serological Survey of Zoonotic Viruses in Invasive and Native Commensal Rodents in Senegal, West Africa. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis [Internet]. 2017; 17(10):730–3. Available from: http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/vbz.2017.2135 PMID: 28873024
- Dobigny G, Garba M, Tatard C, Loiseau A, Galan M, Kadaouré I, et al. Urban Market Gardening and Rodent-Borne Pathogenic Leptospira in Arid Zones: A Case Study in Niamey, Niger. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2015; 9(10):e0004097. Available from: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004097 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004097 PMID: 26437456
- 15. Aliyu A, Amadu L. Urbanization, cities, and health: The challenges to Nigeria—A review. Vol. 16, Annals of African Medicine. Medknow Publications; 2017. p. 149–58.
- Neiderud C-J. How urbanization affects the epidemiology of emerging infectious diseases. Infect Ecol Epidemiol [Internet]. 2015; 5:27060. Available from: http://www.infectionecologyandepidemiology.net/ index.php/iee/article/view/27060/xml_6 https://doi.org/10.3402/iee.v5.27060 PMID: 26112265
- 17. Hove M, Ngwerume ET, Muchemwa C. The urban crisis in Sub-Saharan Africa: A threat to human security and sustainable development. Stability. 2013 Mar 11; 2(1).
- Alirol E, Getaz L, Stoll B, Chappuis F, Loutan L. Urbanisation and infectious diseases in a globalised world. Lancet Infect Dis [Internet]. 2011; 11(2):131–41. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(10)70223-1 PMID: 21272793
- Cohen B. Urbanization in developing countries: Current trends, future projections, and key challenges for sustainability. Technol Soc. 2006; 28(1–2):63–80.
- **20.** Gratz NG. Urbanization, arthropod and rodent pests and human health. Proc 3rd Int Conf urban pests. 1999;51–8.
- Bonner PC, Schmidt WP, Belmain SR, Oshin B, Baglole D, Borchert M. Poor housing quality increases risk of rodent infestation and lassa fever in refugee camps of sierra leone. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 77(1):169–75. PMID: <u>17620650</u>
- 22. Feng AYT, Himsworth CG. The secret life of the city rat: A review of the ecology of urban Norway and black rats (Rattus norvegicus and Rattus rattus). Urban Ecosyst. 2014; 17(1):149–62.
- Ahmed S, Dávila JD, Allen A, Haklay M (MUKI), Tacoli C, Fèvre EM. Does urbanization make emergence of zoonosis more likely? Evidence, myths and gaps. Environ Urban [Internet]. 2019 Oct 14 [cited 2020 Mar 11]; 31(2):443–60. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0956247819866124 PMID: 31656370
- Tong M, Hansen A, Hanson-Easey S, Cameron S, Xiang J, Liu Q, et al. Infectious Diseases, Urbanization and Climate Change: Challenges in Future China. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2015; 12(9):11025–36. Available from: http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/9/11025/ https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120911025 PMID: 26371017
- Olayemi A, Obadare A, Oyeyiola A, Igbokwe J, Fasogbon A, Igbahenah F, et al. Arenavirus Diversity and Phylogeography of Mastomys natalensis Rodents, Nigeria. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016; 22(4):13–6. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2204.150155 PMID: 26982388

- Andrianaivoarimanana V, Piola P, Wagner DM, Rakotomanana F, Maheriniaina V, Andrianalimanana S, et al. Trends of human plague, madagascar, 1998–2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019 Feb 1; 25(2):220– 8. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2502.171974 PMID: 30666930
- Diagne C, Galan M, Tamisier L, D'Ambrosio J, Dalecky A, Bâ K, et al. Ecological and sanitary impacts of bacterial communities associated to biological invasions in African commensal rodent communities. Sci Rep. 2017 Dec; 7(1):14995. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14880-1 PMID: 29101373
- Diagne C, Ribas A, Charbonnel N, Dalecky A, Tatard C, Gauthier P, et al. Parasites and invasions: changes in gastrointestinal helminth assemblages in invasive and native rodents in Senegal. Int J Parasitol. 2016 Dec; 46(13–14):857–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.07.007 PMID: 27670366
- Dalecky A, Bâ K, Piry S, Lippens C, Diagne C a., Kane M, et al. Range expansion of the invasive house mouse Mus musculus domesticus in Senegal, West Africa: a synthesis of trapping data over three decades, 1983–2014. Mamm Rev [Internet]. 2015; 45(3):176–90. Available from: http://doi. wiley.com/10.1111/mam.12043
- Brouat C, Duplantier J-M. Host habitat patchiness and the distance decay of similarity among gastrointestinal nematode communities in two species of Mastomys (southeastern Senegal). Oecologia [Internet]. 2007 Jul [cited 2014 Dec 17]; 152(4):715–20. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17351796 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0680-8 PMID: 17351796
- Brouat C, Loiseau A, Kane M, Bâ K, Duplantier J-M. Population genetic structure of two ecologically distinct multimammate rats: the commensal Mastomys natalensis and the wild Mastomys erythroleucus in southeastern Senegal. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2007 Jul [cited 2014 Oct 12]; 16(14):2985–97. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17614912 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007. 03353.x PMID: 17614912
- Brouat C, Kane M, Diouf M, Ba K, Sall-Drame R, Duplantier J-M. Host ecology and variation in helminth community structure in Mastomys rodents from Senegal. Parasitology. 2006; <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200600151X PMID: 17076921</u>
- Duplantier J-M, Sene M. 24 Rodents as definitive hosts of Schistosoma, with special reference to S. mansoni transmission. In: Morand S, Krasnov BR, Poulin R, editors. Micromammals and Macroparasites [Internet]. Springer-V. 2006. p. 527–43. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-36025-4_24
- 34. Duplantier J-M, Sene M. Rodents as reservoir hosts in the transmission of Schistosoma mansoni in Richard-Toll, Senegal, west Africa. J Helminthol. 2000; 74:129–135. PMID: 10881283
- **35.** Duplantier J, Delta S. Swimming ability in six West-African rodent species under laboratory conditions. African Small Mamm. 1994;
- Dobigny G, Poirier P, Hima K, Cabaret O, Gauthier P, Tatard C, et al. Molecular survey of rodentborne Trypanosoma in Niger with special emphasis on T. lewisi imported by invasive black rats. Acta Trop [Internet]. 2011; 117(3):183–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.11.004 PMID: 21126503
- 37. Garba M, Dalecky A, Kadaoure I, Kane M, Hima K, Veran S, et al. Spatial Segregation between Invasive and Native Commensal Rodents in an Urban Environment: A Case Study in Niamey, Niger. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014; 9(11):e110666. Available from: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110666 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110666 PMID: 25379785
- Tatard C, Garba M, Gauthier P, Hima K, Artige E, Dossou DKHJ, et al. Rodent-borne Trypanosoma from cities and villages of Niger and Nigeria: A special role for the invasive genus Rattus? Acta Trop [Internet]. 2017; 171:151–8. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.03.027</u> PMID: 28373037
- Schwan TG, Lopez JE, Safronetz D, Anderson JM, Fischer RJ, Maïga O, et al. Fleas and trypanosomes of peridomestic small mammals in sub-Saharan Mali. Parasit Vectors [Internet]. 2016 Dec 11 [cited 2020 Apr 1]; 9(1):541. Available from: <u>http://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.</u> 1186/s13071-016-1818-5 PMID: 27724960
- 40. Houemenou G, Ahmed A, Libois R, Hartskeerl RA. Leptospira spp. Prevalence in Small Mammal Populations in Cotonou, Benin. ISRN Epidemiol. 2013; 2013:1–8.
- **41.** Houemenou G, Libois BKR. Ecologie, diversité spécifique et abondance des petits mammifères de la ville de Cotonou au Bénin (Afrique de l'Ouest). Int J Biol Chem Sci. 2014; 8(3):1202–13.
- 42. Dobigny G, Gauthier P, Houéménou G, Dossou HJ, Badou S, Etougbétché J, et al. Spatio-temporal survey of small mammal-borne Trypanosoma lewisi in Cotonou, Benin, and the potential risk of human infection. Infect Genet Evol. 2019; 75(July).
- **43.** Hima K, Houémenou G, Badou S, Garba M, Dossou HJ, Etougbétché J, et al. Native and invasive small mammals in urban habitats along the commercial axis connecting Benin and Niger, West Africa. Diversity. 2019; 11(12):1–20.
- Houéménou Gauthier, Etougbeétché Badou, Dossou Agossou, et al. Pathogenic Leptospira in Commensal Small Mammals from the Extensively Urbanized Coastal Benin. Urban Sci. 2019; 3(3):99.

- 45. Bourgarel M, Wauquier N, Gonzalez JP. Emerging viral threats in Gabon: Health capacities and response to the risk of emerging zoonotic diseases in Central Africa—Emerging zoonotic viral threats in Gabon. Emerg Health Threats J. 2010; 3(1). https://doi.org/10.3134/ehtj.10.163 PMID: 22460397
- Mercier A, Devillard S, Ngoubangoye B, Bonnabau H, Banule A-L, Durand P, et al. Additional Haplogroups of Toxoplasma gondii out of Africa: Population Structure and Mouse-Virulence of Strains from Gabon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010; 4(11):e876. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000876</u> PMID: 21072237
- Richard-Lenoble D, Kombila M, Chandenier J, Engohan E, Gannier M, Dubourg C. Malaria in Gabon I. Study of 500 children with fever in Libreville. Bull Soc Pathol Exot Filiales. 1986 Jan 1; 79(2):284–7. PMID: 3524881
- 48. Richard-Lenoble D, Kombila M, Chandenier J, Gay F, Billiault X, Nguiri C, et al. Malaria in Gabon 2. Evaluation of the qualitative and quantitative prevalence of parasites in the total school and preschool population of the country. Bull Soc Pathol Exot Filiales. 1987 Jan 1; 80:532–42. PMID: 3690800
- 49. Borrmann S, Binder RK, Adegnika AA, Missinou MA, Issifou S, Ramharter M, et al. Reassessment of the resistance of Plasmodium falciparum to chloroquine in Gabon: implications for the validity of tests in vitro vs. in vivo. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2002 Nov 1 [cited 2020 Apr 30]; 96(6):660–3. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/trstmh/article-lookup/doi/10.1016/S0035-9203(02)90345-7 PMID: 12625146
- Bouyou-Akotet MK, Mawili-Mboumba DP, Kendjo E, Mabika-Mamfoumbi M, Ngoungou EB, Dzeing-Ella A, et al. Evidence of decline of malaria in the general hospital of Libreville, Gabon from 2000 to 2008. Malar J. 2009; 8(1):300. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-8-300 PMID: 20017905
- Assele V, Ndoh GE, Nkoghe D, Fandeur T. No evidence of decline in malaria burden from 2006 to 2013 in a rural Province of Gabon: implications for public health policy. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2015; 15(1):1–8. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/15/81
- Mombo IM, Suquet E, Boundenga L, Mveang-Nzoghe A, Maganga-Mboga C, Arnathau C, et al. Detection of novel astroviruses among rodents of Gabon, Central Africa. Infect Genet Evol. 2019 Mar; 68:43–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.12.003 PMID: 30529088
- N'Dilimabaka N, Berthet N, Rougeron V, Mangombi JB, Durand P, Maganga GD, et al. Evidence of Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus (LCMV) in Domestic Mice in Gabon: Risk of Emergence of LCMV Encephalitis in Central Africa. J Virol [Internet]. 2015; 89(2):1456–60. Available from: <u>http://jvi.asm.</u> org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.01009-14 PMID: 25378495
- Boundenga L, Ngoubangoye B, Ntie S, Moukodoum N-D, Renaud F, Rougeron V, et al. Rodent malaria in Gabon: Diversity and host range. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. 2019 Dec; 10:117–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.07.010 PMID: 31453086
- 55. Laporte N. Géographie des Relations Ville—Forêt en Afrique Centrale: Approche Régionale (Volume II) [Internet]. Vol. II, Rapport au Biodiversité Support Program, Whashington DC, Décembre 16, 1999. 1999. Available from: http://www.befac.net/pdf/Report-LaPorte1999-AA.pdf%5Cnhttp://carpe.umd.edu/Documents/1999/report-laporte1999-e.pdf%5Cnhttp://carpe.umd.edu/Documents/1999/report-laporte1999-b.pdf
- 56. website of the municipality of Franceville. http://www.franceville.ga/. 2012.
- 57. Direction de la statistique. Recensement général de la population et des habitats de 2013. 2015.
- 58. Population Data.net. https://www.populationdata.net/pays/gabon/. 2020.
- Mangombi JB, Brouat C, Loiseau A, Banga O, Leroy EM, Bourgarel M, et al. Urban population genetics of the invasive black rats in Franceville, Gabon. J Zool. 2016; 299(3):183–90.
- Sikes RS, Gannon WL, Mammalogists T animal care and use commitee of the AS of. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. J Mammal. 2011; 92 (1):235–53.
- 61. Nicolas V, Schaeffer B, Missoup AD, Kennis J, Colyn M, Denys C, et al. Assessment of three mitochondrial genes (16S, Cytb, CO1) for identifying species in the Praomyini tribe (Rodentia: Muridae). PLoS One [Internet]. 2012 Jan [cited 2013 Oct 31]; 7(5):e36586. Available from: http://www. pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3344912&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036586 PMID: 22574186
- Nicolas V, Mboumba J-F, Verheyen E, Denys C, Lecompte E, Olayemi A, et al. Phylogeographic structure and regional history of Lemniscomys striatus (Rodentia: Muridae) in tropical Africa. J Biogeogr [Internet]. 2008 Nov [cited 2013 Oct 31]; 35(11):2074–89. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10. 1111/j.1365-2699.2008.01950.x
- Nicolas V, Colyn M. Seasonal variations in population and community structure of small rodents in a tropical forest of Gabon. NRC Res Press. 2003; 1046:1034–46.

- 64. Nicolas V. Population structure and reproduction of Heimyscus fumosus in south-western Gabon. Rev Ecol (Terre Vie). 2003;58.
- Nicolas V. Geographical distribution and morphometry of Heimyscus fumosus. Rev D'Écologie (Terre Vie). 2003;58.
- 66. Nicolas V, Barrière P, Guimondou S, Colyn M. Variabilite structurale des peuplements forestiers de rongeurs (Muridae) et musaraignes (Soricidae) dans les Monts Doudou, Gabon. 2002.
- 67. Duplantier J. Les rongeurs myomorphes forestiers du Nord-est du Gabon: structure du peuplement, démographie, domaines vitaux. Rev Ecol (Terre Vie). 1989; 44:329–46.
- 68. Duplantier J-M. Critères d'identification des principales espèces Gabon. 1987.
- 69. Duplanrier J. Les rongeurs myomorphes forestiers du nord-est du Gabon: peuplements, utilisation de l'espace et des ressources alimentaires rôle dans la dispersion et la germination des graines. 1982.
- 70. Levett PN. Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol. 2001; 14(2):296–326.
- Aitichou M, Saleh SS, McElroy AK, Schmaljohn C, Ibrahim MS. Identification of Dobrava, Hantaan, Seoul, and Puumala viruses by one-step real-time RT-PCR. J Virol Methods. 2005 Mar; 124(1–2):21– 6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.10.004 PMID: 15664046
- Klempa B, Fichet-calvet E, Lecompte E, Auste B, Aniskin V, Meisel H, et al. Hantavirus in African Wood Mouse, Guinea. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006; 12(5):838–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1205.051487</u> PMID: 16704849
- 73. Tong S, Chern SWW, Li Y, Pallansch MA, Anderson LJ. Sensitive and broadly reactive reverse transcription-PCR assays to detect novel paramyxoviruses. J Clin Microbiol. 2008 Aug; 46(8):2652–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00192-08 PMID: 18579717
- Lanciotti RS, Kosoy OL, Laven JJ, Velez JO, Lambert AJ, Johnson AJ, et al. Genetic and serologic properties of Zika virus associated with an epidemic, Yap State, Micronesia, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008 Aug; 14(8):1232–9. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1408.080287 PMID: 18680646
- 75. Moureau G, Temmam S, Gonzalez JP, Charrel RN, Grard G, de Lamballerie X. A real-time RT-PCR method for the universal detection and identification of flaviviruses. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2007; 7 (4):467–77. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2007.0206 PMID: 18020965
- 76. Dahmani M, Davoust B, Tahir D, Raoult D, Fenollar F, Mediannikov O. Molecular investigation and phylogeny of Anaplasmataceae species infecting domestic animals and ticks in Corsica, France. Parasites and Vectors. 2017 Jun 23; 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2233-2 PMID: 28645313
- Mediannikov O, Fenollar F. Looking in ticks for human bacterial pathogens. Microb Pathog [Internet]. 2014; 77:142–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2014.09.008 PMID: 25229617
- Dahmana H, Granjon L, Diagne C, Davoust B, Fenollar F, Mediannikov O. Rodents as Hosts of Pathogens and Related Zoonotic Disease Risk. Pathogens [Internet]. 2020 Mar 10 [cited 2020 Mar 25]; 9 (3):202. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32164206 https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens9030202 PMID: 32164206
- 79. Sokhna C, Mediannikov O, Fenollar F, Bassene H, Diatta G, Tall A, et al. Point-of-Care Laboratory of Pathogen Diagnosis in Rural Senegal. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013; 7(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001999 PMID: 23350001</u>
- Glazunova O, Roux V, Freylikman O, Sekeyova Z, Fournous G, Tyczka J, et al. Coxiella burnetii Genotyping. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005; 11(8):1211–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1108.041354</u> PMID: 16102309
- Smythe LD, Smith IL, Smith GA, Dohnt MF, Symonds ML, Barnett LJ, et al. A quantitative PCR (Taq-Man) assay for pathogenic Leptospira spp. BMC Infect Dis. 2002 Jul 8; 2:13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2334-2-13 PMID: 12100734</u>
- Ahmed N, Manjulata Devi S, de los Á Valverde M, Vijayachari P, Machang'u RS, Ellis WA, et al. Multilocus sequence typing method for identification and genotypic classification of pathogenic Leptospira species. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2006; 5:28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-0711-5-28</u> PMID: 17121682
- Subramanian G, Sekeyova Z, Raoult D, Mediannikov O. Multiple tick-associated bacteria in Ixodes ricinus from Slovakia. Ticks Tick Borne Dis [Internet]. 2012 Dec [cited 2020 Apr 3]; 3(5–6):406–10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23182274 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2012.10. 001 PMID: 23182274
- Bittar F, Keita MB, Lagier JC, Peeters M, Delaporte E, Raoult D. Gorilla gorilla gorilla gut: A potential reservoir of pathogenic bacteria as revealed using culturomics and molecular tools. Sci Rep. 2014 Nov 24;4. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07174 PMID: 25417711
- Kara K. Mitchell TP, Passaretti T, Mitchell KK, Huth P, Smith G, Davidson A. Detection of Streptobacillus moniliformis in whole blood by real-time PCR and review of clinical cases 2004–2015 in New York State. J Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017 Jun 1; 7(2):88–92.

- Tomaso H, Jacob D, Eickhoff M, Scholz HC, Al Dahouk S, Kattar MM, et al. Preliminary validation of real-time PCR assays for the identification of Yersinia pestis. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2008; 46(9):1239– 44. https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2008.251 PMID: 18783342
- Jauregui LH, Higgins J, Zarlenga D, Dubey JP, Lunney JK. Development of a Real-Time PCR Assay for Detection of Toxoplasma gondii in Pig and Mouse Tissues. J Clin Microbiol [Internet]. 2001 Jun 1 [cited 2020 Mar 4]; 39(6):2065–71. Available from: <u>http://jcm.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JCM.39.6.2065-</u> 2071.2001 PMID: 11376036
- Medkour H, Varloud M, Davoust B, Mediannikov O. New Molecular Approach for the Detection of Kinetoplastida Parasites of Medical and Veterinary Interest. Microorganisms [Internet]. 2020 Mar 2 [cited 2020 Mar 4]; 8(3):356. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2607/8/3/356 https://doi.org/10. 3390/microorganisms8030356 PMID: 32131458
- Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. 1999; 41:95–8.
- 90. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016 Jul; 33(7):1870–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054</u> PMID: 27004904
- 91. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2007; Available from: http://www.r-project.org/.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. J Stat Softw. 2015; 67(1).
- 93. Barton K. Mu-MIn: Multi-model inference. http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/mumin/. 2020.
- 94. Benevenute JL, Dumler JS, Ogrzewalska M, Roque ALR, Mello VVC, de Sousa KCM, et al. Assessment of a quantitative 5' nuclease real-time polymerase chain reaction using groEL gene for Ehrlichia and Anaplasma species in rodents in Brazil. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2017 Jun 1; 8(4):646–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2017.04.011 PMID: 28457822
- Kumsa B, Socolovschi C, Almeras L, Raoult D, Parola P. Occurrence and genotyping of coxiella burnetii in ixodid ticks in oromia, Ethiopia. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet]. 2015 Nov 4 [cited 2020 Nov 5]; 93(5):1074–81. Available from: http://www.ajtmh.org/content/journals/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0758 https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0758 PMID: 26392155
- 96. Han BA, Schmidt JP, Bowden SE, Drake JM. Rodent reservoirs of future zoonotic diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2015; 112(22):201501598. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10. 1073/pnas.1501598112%5Cnhttp://www.pnas.org/content/112/22/7039 PMID: 26038558
- 97. Gu W, Miller S, Chiu CY. Clinical Metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing for Pathogen Detection. Annu Rev Pathol Mech Dis. 2019 Jan 24; 14(1):319–38. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpathmechdis-012418-012751 PMID: 30355154
- **98.** Colautti RI, Ricciardi A, Grigorovich I a., MacIsaac HJ. Is invasion success explained by the enemy release hypothesis? Ecol Lett. 2004; 7(8):721–33.
- N'dilimabaka N, Mangombi JB, Maganga G, Banga OL, Simo H, Boundenga L, et al. Absence of arenavirus RNA among animal's samples from potential reservoirs in Gabonof. SPG BioMed. 2020;
- Klempa B, Koivogui L, Sylla O, Koulemou K, Auste B, Krüger DH, et al. Serological evidence of human hantavirus infections in Guinea, West Africa. J Infect Dis. 2010; 201(7):1031–4. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1086/651169</u> PMID: 20187741
- 101. Saluzzo JF, Digoutte JP, Adam F, Bauer SP, McCormick JB. Serological evidence for Hantaan-related virus infection in rodents and man in Senegal. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1985; 79:874–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(85)90145-2 PMID: 2870570
- 102. Chitanga S, Simulundu E, Simuunza MC, Changula K, Qiu Y, Kajihara M, et al. First molecular detection and genetic characterization of Coxiella burnetii in Zambian dogs and rodents. Parasites and Vectors. 2018; 11(1):2–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2577-7 PMID: 29295716
- 103. Theonest NO, Carter RW, Amani N, Doherty SL, Hugho E, Keyyu JD, et al. Molecular detection and genetic characterization of Bartonella species from rodents and their associated ectoparasites from northern Tanzania. PLoS One. 2019; 14(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223667 PMID: 31613914
- 104. Dahmani M, Diatta G, Labas N, Diop A, Bassene H, Raoult D, et al. Noncontiguous finished genome sequence and description of Bartonella mastomydis sp. nov. New Microbes New Infect. 2018; 25:60–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2018.03.005 PMID: 30128156
- 105. Billeter S a, Borchert JN, Atiku L a, Mpanga JT, Gage KL, Kosoy MY. Bartonella species in invasive rats and indigenous rodents from Uganda. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis [Internet]. 2014 Mar [cited 2014 Dec 18]; 14(3):182–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24575846 https://doi.org/ 10.1089/vbz.2013.1375 PMID: 24575846

- 106. Vanderburg S, Rubach MP, Halliday JEB, Cleaveland S, Reddy EA, Crump JA. Epidemiology of Coxiella burnetii Infection in Africa: A OneHealth Systematic Review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002787 PMID: 24722554
- 107. André MR. Diversity of Anaplasma and Ehrlichia/Neoehrlichia Agents in terrestrial wild carnivores worldwide: Implications for human and domestic animal health and wildlife conservation. Vol. 5, Frontiers in Veterinary Science. Frontiers Media S.A.; 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2018.00293</u> PMID: 30533417
- 108. Galal L, Schares G, Stragier C, Vignoles P, Brouat C, Cuny T, et al. Diversity of Toxoplasma gondii strains shaped by commensal communities of small mammals. Int J Parasitol. 2018 Dec 1; 49(3–4):267–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.11.004 PMID: 30578812
- 109. Angelakis E, Mediannikov O, Socolovschi C, Mouffok N, Bassene H, Tall A, et al. Coxiella burnetii-positive PCR in febrile patients in rural and urban Africa. Int J Infect Dis. 2014 Nov; 28:107–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.05.029</u> PMID: 25245003
- 110. Socolovschi C, Mediannikov O, Sokhna C, Tall A, Diatta G, Bassene H, et al. Rickettsia felisassociated uneruptive fever, Senegal. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet]. 2010 Jul [cited 2013 Nov 28]; 16(7):1140–2. Available from: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid= 3321914&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1607.100070 PMID: 20587190
- 111. Mediannikov O, Fenollar F, Socolovschi C, Diatta G, Bassene H, Molez J-F, et al. Coxiella burnetii in Humans and Ticks in Rural Senegal. Small PL, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2010 Apr 6 [cited 2020 Mar 4]; 4(4):e654. Available from: http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000654 https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000654 PMID: 20386603
- 112. Picardeau M. Virulence of the zoonotic agent of leptospirosis: Still terra incognita? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017; 15(5):297–307. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.5 PMID: 28260786
- 113. Xu Y, Zhu Y, Wang Y, Chang YF, Zhang Y, Jiang X, et al. Whole genome sequencing revealed host adaptation-focused genomic plasticity of pathogenic Leptospira. Sci Rep. 2016 Feb 2;6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20020 PMID: 26833181</u>
- 114. Brenner DJ, Kaufmann AF, Sulzer KR, Steigerwalt AG, Rogers FC, Weyant RS. Further determination of DNA relatedness between serogroups and serovars in the family Leptospiraceae with a proposal for Leptospira alexanderi sp. nov. and four new Leptospira genomospecies. Int J Syst Bacteriol [Internet]. 1999 Apr [cited 2020 Apr 12]; 49(2):839–58. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 10319510 https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-49-2-839 PMID: 10319510
- 115. Andre-Fontaine G, Aviat F, Thorin C. Waterborne Leptospirosis: Survival and Preservation of the Virulence of Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. in Fresh Water. Curr Microbiol. 2015 Jul 8; 71(1):136–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-015-0836-4 PMID: 26003629
- **116.** Gharbi M, Mhadhbi M, Darghouth MA. Diagnostic de la theilériose tropicale du bœuf (infection par Theileria annulata) en Afrique du Nord. Rev Med Vet (Toulouse). 2012; 163(12):563–71.
- 117. Dumler JS, Choi KS, Garcia-Garcia JC, Barat NS, Scorpio DG, Garyu JW, et al. Human granulocytic anaplasmosis and Anaplasma phagocytophilum. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005; 11(12):1828–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1112.050898</u> PMID: 16485466
- 118. Rosso F, Tagliapietra V, Baráková I, Derdáková M, Konečný A, Hauffe HC, et al. Prevalence and genetic variability of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in wild rodents from the Italian alps. Parasit Vectors. 2017 Jun 14; 10(1):293. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2221-6 PMID: 28615038
- 119. Chastagner A, Moinet M, Perez G, Roy E, McCoy KD, Plantard O, et al. Prevalence of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in small rodents in France. Ticks Tick Borne Dis [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2020 Apr 14]; 7(5):988–91. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877959X16300784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2016.05.005 PMID: 27270190
- Zhan L, Cao WC, Jiang JF, Zhang XA, Liu YX, Wu XM, et al. Anaplasma phagocytophilum from rodents and sheep, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010; 16(5):764–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1605.</u> 021293 PMID: 20409364
- Murphy DS, Lee X, Larson SR, Johnson DKH, Loo T, Paskewitz SM. Prevalence and Distribution of Human and Tick Infections with the Ehrlichia muris-Like Agent and Anaplasma phagocytophilum in Wisconsin, 2009–2015. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis [Internet]. 2017 Apr 1 [cited 2020 Apr 15]; 17 (4):229–36. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28055326 https://doi.org/10.1089/ vbz.2016.2055 PMID: 28055326
- Beck R, Vojta L, Ćurković S, Mrljak V, Margaletić J, Habrun B. Molecular survey of babesia microti in wild rodents in central croatia. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis [Internet]. 2011 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Apr 16]; 11 (1):81–3. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20553109 https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz. 2009.0260 PMID: 20553109

- 123. Angelakis E, Billeter SA, Breitschwerdt EB, Chomel BB, Raoult D. Potential for Tick-borne Bartonelloses. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet]. 2010 Mar [cited 2020 Apr 14]; 16(3):385–91. Available from: www. cdc.gov/eid https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1603.081685 PMID: 20202411
- 124. Parte AC. LPSN—List of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature (Bacterio.net), 20 years on. Vol. 68, International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology. Microbiology Society; 2018. p. 1825–9.
- 125. Medkour H, Lo CI, Anani H, Fenollar F, Mediannikov O. Bartonella massiliensis sp. nov., a new bacterial species isolated from an Ornithodoros sonrai tick from Senegal. New Microbes New Infect. 2019 Nov 1;32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2019.100596 PMID: 31719993
- 126. Kosoy M, Bai Y, Sheff K, Morway C, Baggett H, Maloney SA, et al. Identification of Bartonella infections in febrile human patients from Thailand and their potential animal reservoirs. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2010 Jun 4; 82(6):1140–5. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0778 PMID: 20519614
- 127. Martin-Alonso A, Houemenou G, Abreu-Yanes E, Valladares B, Feliu C, Foronda P. Bartonella spp. in Small Mammals, Benin. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2016; 16(4):229–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.</u> 2015.1838 PMID: 26910412
- 128. Barrett MP, Burchmore RJS, Stich A, Lazzari JO, Frasch AC, Cazzulo JJ, et al. The trypanosomiases. In: Lancet. Elsevier Limited; 2003. p. 1469–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14694-6</u> PMID: 14602444
- 129. Linardi PM, Botelho JR. Prevalence of Trypanosoma lewisi in Rattus norvegicus from Belo Horizonte, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2002; 97(3):411–4. https://doi.org/10.1590/ s0074-02762002000300024 PMID: 12048574
- 130. Pumhom P, Pognon D, Yangtara S, Thaprathorn N, Milocco C, Douangboupha B, et al. Molecular prevalence of Trypanosoma spp. in wild rodents of Southeast Asia: Influence of human settlement habitat. Epidemiol Infect [Internet]. 2014 Jun [cited 2020 Apr 17]; 142(6):1221–30. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025128 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268813002161 PMID: 24025128
- 131. Truc P, Büscher P, Cuny G, Gonzatti MI, Jannin J, Joshi P, et al. Atypical Human Infections by Animal Trypanosomes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013; 7(9). <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002256 PMID:</u> 24069464
- Verma A, Manchanda S, Kumar N, Sharma A, Goel M, Banerjee PS, et al. Case report: Trypanosoma lewisi or T. lewisi-like infection in a 37-day-old Indian infant. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2011 Aug 1; 85 (2):221–4. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2011.11-0002 PMID: 21813838
- 133. Nagagi YP, Temba V, Silayo RS, Kweka EJ. Vector-Borne Diseases & Treatment Salient features of Trypanosoma congolense in African Animal Trypanoso- miasis in the sub-Saharan Africa. In: Vector-Borne Diseases & Treatment. 2018.
- 134. Reifenberg JM, Solano P, Duvallet G, Cuisance D, Simpore J, Cuny G. Molecular characterization of trypanosome isolates from naturally infected domestic animals in Burkina Faso. Vet Parasitol. 1997; 71(4):251–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4017(97)00011-3 PMID: 9299694
- 135. Auty HK, Torr SJ, M T, Jayaraman S, Morrison LJ. Cattle trypanosomosis: the diversity of trypanosomes and implications for disease epidemiology and control Trypanosome species of relevance to cattle. Rev sci tech Off int Epiz [Internet]. 2015; 4(2):587–98. Available from: www.tritrypdb.
- 136. Rodrigues AC, Ortiz PA, Costa-Martins AG, Neves L, Garcia HA, Alves JMP, et al. Congopain genes diverged to become specific to Savannah, Forest and Kilifi subgroups of Trypanosoma congolense, and are valuable for diagnosis, genotyping and phylogenetic inferences. Infect Genet Evol [Internet]. 2014; 23:20–31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.01.012 PMID: 24480052
- 137. Hilton DFJ. Prevalence of trypanosoma otospermophili (protozoa: Trypanosomatidae) in five species of spermophilus (Rodentia: Sciuridae). Parasitology. 1972; 65(3):427–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u> s0031182000044048 PMID: 4641489
- 138. Mercier A. Approche écologique, épidémiologique et génétique de la biodiversité de Toxoplasma gondii en zone tropicale humide: exemples du Gabon et de la Guyane Française [Internet]. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Limoges; 2010. Available from: http://www.doyoubuzz.com/var/f/fx/At/ fxAt4paWh7mXRHe5IgoV-zLq132_uyFJBsNOGSUKcrC6k8YZ0M.pdf
- Wang Y, Utzinger J, Saric J, Li J V., Burckhardt J, Dirnhofer S, et al. Global metabolic responses of mice to Trypanosoma brucei brucei infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Apr 22; 105(16):6127– 32. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801777105 PMID: 18413599
- Cleaveland S, Laurenson MK, Taylor LH. Diseases of humans and their domestic mammals: Pathogen characteristics, host range and the risk of emergence. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2001 Jul; 356(1411):991–9.
- Kelly D, Paterson R, Townsend C, Poulin R, Tompkins D. Parasite spillback: A neglected concept in invasion ecology? Ecology. 2009; 90(8):2047–56. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1085.1 PMID: 19739367

- 142. Mills JN, Childs JE. Ecologic studies of rodent reservoirs: Their relevance for human health. Emerg Infect Dis. 1998; 4(4):529–37. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0404.980403 PMID: 9866729
- 143. Boyer N, Réale D, Marmet J, Pisanu B, Chapuis J-L. Personality, space use and tick load in an introduced population of Siberian chipmunks *Tamias sibiricus*. J Anim Ecol [Internet]. 2010 May 1 [cited 2020 Apr 18]; 79(3):538–47. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01659.x PMID: 20202009