

# Health risk assessment to dioxins, furans and PCBs in young children: The first French evaluation

Marion Hulin, Véronique Sirot, Paule Vasseur, Aurelie Mahe, Jean-Charles Leblanc, Julien Jean, Philippe Marchand, Anaïs Venisseau, Bruno Le Bizec, Gilles Rivière

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marion Hulin, Véronique Sirot, Paule Vasseur, Aurelie Mahe, Jean-Charles Leblanc, et al.. Health risk assessment to dioxins, furans and PCBs in young children: The first French evaluation. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 2020, 139, pp.111292. 10.1016/j.fct.2020.111292 . hal-03185155

# HAL Id: hal-03185155 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03185155

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Health risk assessment to dioxins, furans and PCBs in young children: the first French evaluation

Marion HULIN<sup>a</sup>, Véronique SIROT<sup>a</sup>, Paule VASSEUR<sup>b</sup>, Aurelie MAHE<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Charles LEBLANC<sup>a</sup>, Julien JEAN<sup>b</sup>, Philippe MARCHAND<sup>c</sup>, Anaïs VENISSEAU<sup>c</sup>, Bruno LE BIZEC<sup>c</sup>, Gilles RIVIERE<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ANSES, Risk Assessment Department (DER), 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, F-94701 Maisons-Alfort,

# France

<sup>b</sup> CNRS UMR 7360, University of Lorraine, F-57070 Metz, France

<sup>c</sup> LABERCA, Oniris, INRA, F-44300 Nantes, France

### Corresponding author:

Gilles RIVIERE, Risk Assessment Department (DER), French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), 14 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 94701 Maisons-Alfort, France – gilles.riviere@anses.fr

### Highlights

- A total diet study focusing on non-breastfed children under 3 years of age was conducted in France for the very first time
- This study aimed at evaluating the risk associated with exposure to chemical substances through food diet
- PCDD/Fs and PCBs were detected in a large portion of the target food samples (between 49 to 100 % of the 180 samples analyzed)
- Situation has been identified as a concern for PCDD/Fs and PCBs after 6 months of age
- Efforts should continue to reduce the exposure of the population

### Abstract

A total diet study (TDS) was conducted between 2010 and 2016 to characterize the health risk related to chemical residues in food of French not breastfed children under three years of age (infant TDS). Among the targeted substances, polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have been characterized as they accumulate through the food chain, especially in lipid-rich food items, and because they have been associated with a number of adverse effects in humans.

Food samples (n=180) were collected to be representative of the dioxins and PCB exposure through the whole diet of non-breastfed children from 1 to 36 months old and prepared as consumed (including cooking) prior to analysis.

Dietary exposure was then assessed for 705 representative children under 3 years of age based on their food consumptions recorded through a 3-consecutive-days record. Levels of PCDD/Fs and PCBs in infant food were lower than those observed in common food, leading to significant differences in exposure according to age groups. Mean exposures to PCDD/Fs ranged from 0.22 to 0.44 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (0.40 to 0.65 at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile), depending on the age group and the hypothesis considered to manage left-censored data. Mean exposure to non-dioxin-like PCBs ranged from 0.87 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (1.55 at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile) in the 1-4 months old children to 3.53 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> (5.44 at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile) in the 13-36 months old children. For dioxins and NDL-PCBs, the tolerable daily intake (TDI) was exceeded for some age groups, in particular for older ones.

Therefore, appropriate management measures must continue for reducing exposure; it concerns mainly common milk in youngest children, ultra-fresh dairy products and fish. For PCBs, recommendations on fish consumption should be reminded. Moreover, toxicity studies focusing on mixtures of dioxin-like compounds should be encouraged in order to take into account effect of mixtures.

### Keywords

Total Diet Study, PCDD/F and PCBs, exposure assessment, population exposure, contaminants, risk characterization

### 1 1. Introduction

2 Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) are generated 3 during thermal (fires, incinerations, etc.) or chemical processes, whereas polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) 4 have been produced and used until 1987 for their excellent electric insulators explaining their 5 extensive use as coolant fluids in power transformers and capacitors. PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs 6 regroup 75, 135 and 209 congeners respectively and are classified as persistent organic pollutants. 7 They accumulate along the food chain and are mainly stored in fatty tissues. Food appears to be the 8 main route of exposure of these substances for the general population with food representing more 9 than 90% of the total exposure (EFSA 2005, EFSA 2010, EFSA 2018). Certain congeners of the dioxin, furan, and polychlorinated biphenyl family are known as -dioxin-like- as a result of chemical 10 and toxic 11 structures, physico-chemical properties, responses similar to 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), the index chemical for dioxin-like compounds (also known 12 as Seveso dioxin). 13

The toxicity of PCBs varies significantly depending on the species and on the different congeners within the same species, the number and position of the chlorine atoms on the phenyl nuclei determining their toxicity. Based on structural and toxicological properties, PCBs are grouped into 2 categories, the "dioxin-like PCBs" (dl-PCBs) and the "non dioxin-like PCBs" (ndl-PCBs). The sum of 6 "non-dioxin-like" PCBs (28, 52, 101, 138, 153, 180) is generally considered as representing 50% of the total concentration of PCBs (AFSSA, 2007).

Besides the activation of the Ah (aryl hydrocarbon) receptor by dI-PCBs, several other receptors are involved in the PCB response for both categories: receptors of sexual steroids (estrogens, androgens), thyroid hormones, neurotransmitters or calcic receptors (RyR). The number of targets affected by a same congener and the multiplicity of congeners in each PCB mixtures resulting in pollution explain the diversity of adverse effects observed in contaminated individuals: cutaneous, hepatic, metabolic, immunologic, neurologic, endocrine disruption. Both human and animal studies

identified the developing brain as a vulnerable target of PCBs (Zoeller et al. 2000, Sagiv et al. 2010).
Recent studies suggested that the non-dioxin-like PCBs are primarily responsible for the
developmental neurotoxicity associated with PCBs (Klocke and Lein 2020).

29 The international agency for research on cancer (IARC) classified PCBs as carcinogenic to humans in 30 2013, so as TCDD (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin) already classified in 1997. Adverse non-31 cancer effects associated with oral TCDD exposure include hepatic, neurological, immunological, 32 reproductive, endocrine, and developmental effects. In the offspring of rat, effects on 33 reproduction and development as well as immunological effects were observed after administration 34 of TCDD (Seveso dioxin). The main mechanism of action of TCDD and dioxin-like compounds such as 35 PCDDs, PCDFs, and dl-PCBs, is their binding to the Ah receptor (EFSA 2018). Exposure of infants to 36 PCBs and PCDD/Fs which are neurodevelopmental toxicants is therefore a concern.

37 Due to a number of regulatory measures since the 1980s, the emission of dioxins into the 38 environment and, consequently, human exposure to dioxins, have decreased significantly (Aylward 39 and Hays 2002, EFSA 2018). However, due to their high persistence and bioaccumulation and their 40 toxic potential, dietary dioxins and PCB exposure can be of concern. Different studies and evaluations 41 showed a general decrease in dietary exposure of the European population to dioxins and PCBs since 42 the 2000's However, exceedance of the reference values was still observed, toddlers and other 43 children being the most exposed groups. In 2012, Sirot et al. concluded that less than 4% of the 44 French population exceeded the health-based guidance value (HBGV) for PCDD/F+dl-PCBs set by 45 JECFA in 2001. For total PCB, it was estimated that 2.6 and 6.5% of, respectively, French adults and French children exceeded the health based guidance value set by Afssa in 2007 (Afssa 2007, Sirot et 46 47 al. 2012). However this study did not take into account children under 3 years old. This population is 48 known for being more susceptible to contaminants (Landrigan et al. 2003), especially during the 49 prenatal and postnatal period (Makri et al. 2004, Sly and Flack 2008, Diamanti Kandarakis et al. 2009). Moreover, they consume more food than adults in proportion to their body weight. As a 50

result, this population is considered more vulnerable than other groups to chemicals' exposure. There are few studies in Europe that have investigated non breasted infant and toddler exposure to PCDD/Fs and PCBs (Pandelova et al. 2011, EFSA 2012, De Filippis et al. 2014). More recently, in 2018, EFSA concluded that the exposure to PCDD/Fs and dl-PCBs was of concern for all age groups including infants and toddlers (EFSA 2018).

In our study, we aimed at evaluating the exposure of the French infants to PCBs and PCDD/Fs present in food as contaminants using the Total Diet Study (TDS) approach. TDSs aim at providing contamination data for food prepared as consumed by the population, and exposure data, in order to help risk managers in their decisions (EFSA et al. 2011). In 2010, the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (Anses) launched the first French infant TDS focusing on children under 3 years old. This paper aims at presenting the contamination and the exposure data generated during the course of this study for PCBs and PCDD/Fs.

63

### 64 2. Material and methods

### 65 2.1. <u>Consumption data and sample collection</u>

66 Consumption data are those from the cross-sectional survey on individual dietary consumption in children under 3 years conducted by the Syndicat Français des Aliments de l'Enfance et de la 67 Nutrition Clinique, © « Etude SOFRES 2005 / Université de Bourgogne - Pr M. Fantino pour le 68 69 Syndicat Français des Aliments de l'Enfance » (Fantino 2005, Fantino and Gourmet 2008). In this 70 survey, dietary consumption data of 705 French children aged from 1 month to 3 years were recorded between January and March 2005. Children were selected through proportionate quota 71 72 sampling based on the age, the occupation of the mother and the family socioeconomic category. 73 People who took care of the children have completed 3-consecutive-days records to describe all food 74 consumptions including brands, quantities and portion sizes. Breast-fed children, even partially, were 75 excluded from this survey. Information on the body weight was also recorded on the child's health 76 record.

77 The sampling plan has already been described (Hulin et al. 2014). Briefly, on the basis of the 78 consumption survey, the most consumed foods by the children in terms of quantity and/or consumer 79 rates were selected. In addition, some foods known to contribute significantly to the exposure to one 80 of the chemicals of interest were added to the list. Foods were sampled between July 2011 and July 81 2012. For each food item identified, 12 subsamples of equal weight were analysed: every month, one 82 subsample of each food item was bought and prepared "as consumed", according to the results of a specific on-line survey on food preparation (Hulin et al. 2014). After collection, the 12 subsamples 83 84 have been grouped, homogenized and frozen (-18°C) prior to analysis. In total, 5 484 food products 85 were purchased to produce 457 composite samples. Selected food items covered more than 97% of 86 the children diet.

87

### 88 2.2. <u>Sample analyses</u>

Among the 457 food samples, PCBs and PCDD/Fs were only analyzed in food items known or supposed to contain PCDD/Fs and PCBs and for which data were not available in our previous study (Sirot et al. 2012). In total, PCBs and PCDD/Fs concentrations were measured in 178 samples: 169 infant foods, i.e. products commercialized especially for infant and young children, and 9 common foods. LABERCA, that is the French Reference Laboratory for dioxin and PCB analysis in food, performed the analyses of the

95 samples using method already described elsewhere (Antignac et al. 2006, Costera et al. 2006).

96

97 Picograde<sup>®</sup> quality solvents were purchased from LGC Promochem (Wesel, Germany), and
98 dichloromethane was from Biosolve (Dieuze, France). Sulfuric acid was purchased from Panreac
99 Quimica (Barcelona, Spain). Silica gel and sodium sulfate were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany),
100 Florisil<sup>®</sup> and Carbopack<sup>™</sup> C from LGC Promochem (Wesel, Germany). <sup>13</sup>C-PCDD/Fs, <sup>13</sup>C-dl-PCB101 77/81/105/114/118/123/126/156/157/167/169/189, <sup>13</sup>C-ndl-PCB-28/52/101/138/153/180, were

purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, Ontario, Canada). All calibration standards and
 spiking solutions were prepared by serial dilutions in toluene.

Food samples were first freeze-dried before grinding. The <sup>13</sup>C-labeled internal standards were added to the samples before the extraction step. Lipids were extracted from lyophilised samples by Pressurised Liquid Extraction (Büchi, Rungis, France) using a toluene/acetone mixture (70:30, v/v), pressure set at 100 bar and temperature at 120 °C. The solvent was then evaporated and the lipid content of the sample was determined gravimetrically. Finally, before purification, the dried fat extract was redissolved in n-hexane.

After removal of fat on a silica gel column loaded with sulfuric acid, PCBs were separated from PCDD/Fs by means of a Florisil<sup>®</sup> column. The PCDD/F fraction was further cleaned up onto a column consisting of a mixture of Carbopack<sup>™</sup> C/Celite<sup>®</sup> 545. Separation of coplanar (nonortho) PCBs from non-planar PCBs was achieved on an activated mixture of Florisil<sup>®</sup>/Carbopack<sup>™</sup> C/Celite<sup>®</sup> 545 (overnight at 130°C). After addition of external standards for the recovery calculation (<sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-1,2,3,4-TCDD for the PCDD/Fs, <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-PCB111 for the PCBs), the final extract was reconstituted by addition of toluene in the 3 fractions.

117 Chromatographic separation of PCDD/F congeners was carried out on a DB-5MS column 118 ( $60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$  i.d.,  $0.25 \mu \text{m}$  film thickness; Agilent Technologies, USA), while for PCBs a HT8-PCB 119 column ( $60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$  i.d.,  $0.25 \mu \text{m}$  film thickness; SGE Analytical Science, UK) was used. PCDD/Fs 120 and PCBs were quantified by gas chromatography (7890A; Agilent Technologies, USA) coupled to 121 high-resolution mass spectrometry (double sector, JMS-700D and 800D; Jeol, Japan).

All the procedures integrated the necessary quality assurance parameters to fulfil the requirements of the European Commission Regulation (EU) N° 252/2012 of March 2012 laying down methods of sampling and analysis for the official control of levels of dioxins, dioxin-like PCBs and non-dioxin-like PCBs in certain foodstuffs. Analysis were performed

upon an accredited system ISO-17025. The method used have been validated and isaccredited ISO-17025.

To ensure the quality of the analysis, besides the use of appropriate internal standards in each sample, labelled standards were added at the end of each process in order to calculate recoveries. Moreover, cleaned laboratory glassware was rinsed with dichloromethane prior to use and the analyses were carried out in an over-pressurized room to minimise environmental contamination.

Further, a continuous monitoring of the analytical procedure was implemented through procedural blanks. As the analytical contamination is fully under control, blank control was not deducted. Reproducibility was assessed using quality control samples (QC) regularly characterised over years. The accuracy of the analytical method is further ensured by regular participation of the laboratory to proficiency tests organized by the European Reference Laboratory (EURL) for POPs.

139

#### 140

### 2.3. Contamination data, exposure calculation and risk assessment

141 In order to evaluate the risk associated with exposure to PCDD/Fs, World Health Organization toxic 142 equivalency factors (WHO TEF) were used to express exposure in the toxic equivalents (TEQs) (Afssa 143 2005)Therefore, for PCDD/Fs, the sum of the 17 congeners (2.3.7.8 - TCDD, 1.2.3.7.8 - PeCDD, 144 1.2.3.4.7.8 - HxCDD, 1.2.3.6.7.8 - HxCDD, 1.2.3.7.8.9 - HxCDD, 1.2.3.4.6.7.8 - HpCDD, OCDD, 2.3.7.8 -145 TCDF, 1.2.3.7.8 - PeCDF, 2.3.4.7.8 - PeCDF, 1.2.3.4.7.8 - HxCDF, 1.2.3.6.7.8 - HxCDF, 1.2.3.7.8.9 -146 HxCDF, 2.3.4.6.7.8 - HxCDF, 1.2.3.4.6.7.8 -HpCDF, 1.2.3.4.7.8.9 -HpCDF, OCDF) was calculated by 147 multiplying the analytical concentration of each congener by their individual TEFs (Van den Berg et al. 148 2006, EFSA 2012) named TEFWHO05. Exposure to PCBs was measured by the mean of 6 ndl-PCBs

(28, 52, 101, 138, 153, 180), as their sum represents 50% of the total concentration of PCBs (AFSSA,
2007)

151 Censored data (results below the limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ)) were processed 152 according to a substitution method based on the WHO recommendations (GEMS/Food-EURO. 2013). 153 It involved framing the actual level using the lowest (lower-bound (LB)) and highest (upper-bound 154 (UB)) values possible. The LB was calculated by assuming that all values below the LOD were equal to 155 zero and those between the LOD and the LOQ were equal to the LOD. The UB was calculated by 156 assuming that all values below the LOD were equal to the LOD and those between the LOD and the 157 LOQ were equal to the LOQ. Exposure data were then estimated according to both the LB and UB 158 hypotheses. For the 6 ndl-PCB, as all samples were detected, concentration was the same for LB and 159 UB hypothesis. Therefore only one result was presented.

160 In order to complete the diet coverage, some additional regional and national data from French TDS2 161 have been used for contamination of common food as the present study focused on infant foods 162 (Sirot et al. 2012). These data were based on a sampling of 1 319 food samples collected in the whole 163 metropolitan French territory divided into eight regions between 2007 and 2009. For each food 164 samples, 15 subsamples representative of the population food consumption have been bought and 165 prepared as consumed by the population prior to analyses, according to the general TDS 166 methodology and as it was done in the present study.

For each food items, the analytic result obtained on the pooled sample was associated with the individual consumption values, based on a deterministic approach as suggested by EFSA, FAO and WHO for TDS approach (EFSA et al. 2011). When available, the allocation of those concentrations to a food consumed by an individual took into account its home region. If no regional data was available, national data were used. For infant formulae that are diluted prior to use, the type and brand of water used to reconstitute the products were also considered (Hulin et al. 2014).

173 Exposure was therefore assessed individually, for each child of the consumption survey, according to174 the following formula:

175 
$$\mathbf{E}_{i,j} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{i,k} \times L_{k,j}}{BW_i}$$

176

177 where  $E_{i,j}$  is the mean daily exposure to contaminant j of individual i, n is the number of foods in the 178 diet,  $C_{i,k}$  is the daily consumption of food k by individual i,  $L_{k,j}$  is the concentration of contaminant j in 179 food k, and BW<sub>i</sub> is the body weight of individual i.

Mean, standard deviation, and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (P90) of exposure were then calculated for the population divided into four age groups: 1-4 months (N=124), 5-6 months (N=127), 7-12 months (N=195) and 13-36 months (N=259). Total exposure levels (LB-UB) to PCDD/Fs, dl-PCBs + PCDD/Fs and to the 6 ndl-PCBs of children under 1 year according to the type of milk consumed have also been calculated. In order to study the impact of milk consumption on exposure, student tests have been performed between the type of consumers.

186 The food contribution to the mean exposure has been assessed as the percentage of the total 187 exposure due to the consumption of each food group.

188 The health risk associated with the dietary exposure to each chemical has been assessed by 189 calculating, for each age group, the percentage of children over the health-based guidance value and 190 its 95% confidence interval (Cl<sub>95%</sub>). PCDD/Fs and PCBs have been evaluated separately in this study in order to facilitate the implementation of management measures as sources of each compound's 191 192 family are different. Additionally, exposure to the sum of PCDDs, PCDFs and dl-PCBs was estimated to 193 make it possible to compare this exposure with the health-based guidance value set by Efsa in 2018 (EFSA 2018). For PCDD/Fs, the toxicological reference value of US-EPA (US-EPA 2012) of 0.7 pg 194 TEQ.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> has been considered; for PCB a tolerable daily intake (TDI) of 10 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> has 195 196 been considered to evaluate the risk associated with the 6 NDL-PCB, mostly found in foodstuffs and

197	which represent 50% of all PCB congeners in food (Afssa 2007). For the sum of PCDD/Fs and dl-PCBs,
198	the health-based guidance value set at 2 pg TEQ.kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .week <sup>-1</sup> by Efsa (EFSA 2018) on the basis of a
199	decrease of the sperm quality in 9 years old infants exposed during childhood was considered to
200	evaluate the risk.

# 201 2.4. <u>Collective appraisal</u>

The collective assessment of the risk linked to PCDD/F and PCB exposures has been conducted withthe expert panel dealing with chemical contaminants in food.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

### 206 3.1. Food contamination and exposure

207 Most analyses were performed on infant foods, as data on common foods were available elsewhere 208 (Sirot et al. 2012). The percentages of detection and concentration data are shown in table 1. The 209 highest mean concentrations of PCDD/Fs were measured in the food category "infant milk-based desserts" (0.4 pg TEQ<sub>wH005</sub>g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight under LB-UB). However, the most contaminated infant 210 food item was a pool of jars of salmon cooked with sorrel with a concentration of 0.025 pg 211 212 TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, followed by two samples of infant biscuits (0.062-0.010 and 0.041-0.010 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight according to LB-UB hypothesis, Table 1). For both dl and ndl-PCBs, the 213 214 highest levels in infant foods were found in the food category "meat or fish based ready-to-eat 215 meals" and "milk-based desserts". For ndl-PCB concentrations of 41.5 and 40.3 ng.kg<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively, (corresponding to 2150 ng.kg<sup>-1</sup> and 1679 ng.kg<sup>-1</sup> on fat basis, Table 1) were measured. 216 217 For dl-PCB, concentrations were respectively of 0.004-0.005 pg TEQ<sub>wH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight according to LB-UB hypothesis for "meat and fish based ready-to-eat meals" and 0.008 pg TEQ<sub>wH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> fresh 218 weight for "milk-based dessert". For these substances, concentrations in infant foods were generally 219 220 low compared with common foods. Details on concentrations by congeners for each food items 221 analyzed in this study are available online (https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/datasets/donnees-etude-de-222 lalimentation-totale-infantile/).

223 Based on these data and the ones measured in the previous French TDS (Sirot et al. 2012), exposure 224 of children was estimated for different age groups according to food diversification (Table 2). For 225 PCDD/Fs as well as PCBs, exposure levels were higher for 13-36 months than for 1-4 months. Mean PCDD/F exposures ranged between 0.22 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>in 1-4 months and 0.38 pg 226 TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> in 13-36 months under LB hypothesis. Under UB, mean exposure ranged from 227 0.29 and 0.44 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>. When considering PCDD/Fs and dl-PCBs, mean exposure of 13-228 36 months reached 1.07 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> in UB hypothesis and 1.61 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> for 229 P90. Mean exposure to ndl-PCBs (UB=LB) ranged from 0.87 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> in the 1-4 months old 230

children to 3.53 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> in the 13-36 months old children. P90 ranged from 1.55 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> 231 to 3.53 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>. Starting at the age of 7 months, milk, ultra-fresh dairy products and cheese 232 233 contributed to the exposure of PCCD/Fs and PCBs between 30 to 60 % (Figure 1). High differences 234 were indeed observed in exposure according to the type of milk consumed by children. Among our 235 study population, three children (2 among the 1-4 months and 1 among the 13-36 months) appeared to be highly exposed to the PCDD/Fs (between 1.03 and 1.40 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> under the UB 236 237 hypothesis). These children have consumed during the three days of the dietary survey a high 238 quantity of common milk (cow milk, 700 to 1 068 g.d<sup>-1</sup>) as well as cream for the oldest child. Children 239 consuming exclusively common cow milk were indeed exposed at least 2 fold more than the ones consuming infant formulae (p<0.03 to p<0.001, Table 4). For older children, fish appeared to be a 240 241 main contributor of PCB in highest exposed children between 13 and 36 months. Details on food 242 groups' contribution for each age groups are available in supplementary materials (Table S1).

243 Our results on PCDD/Fs and dl-PCBs were in the same range as those observed in previous studies 244 (Pandelova et al. 2011, EFSA 2012, De Filippis et al. 2014). In an European study (Pandelova et al. 245 2011), the authors estimated that non breast-fed children under 9 months were exposed at levels between 0.14 and 2.79 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> depending on the age and the type of infant formula 246 247 they consumed (milk, soy or hypoallergenic). However, for the 6 ndl-PCBs, concentrations of infant 248 and baby foods with fish or meat were higher than ours (10 900 ng.kg<sup>-1</sup>on fat basis for the mean and 17 300 ng.kg<sup>-1</sup>for the p99) as well as exposure (between 8.5 and 25.7 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> under UB and up 249 250 to 53.5 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>for the most exposed children) (EFSA 2012). This can be explained either by a 251 difference of contamination of fish and meat or of the quantity of these ingredients in food 252 composition. Concerning infant formulae, our results were in the same order of magnitude as some 253 found in previous studies for both contaminant families (Loran et al. 2009, Pandelova et al. 2011). 254 Due to a decreasing food consumption in proportion to body weight with ages, we expected to have 255 higher exposure levels in young children compared to older ones. However, exposure in 1-4 months 256 children was lower than 13-16 months ones. Moreover, 13-36 months children were exposed in the same range of magnitude than 3-6 years old children from the second French TDS for PCDD/Fs, but lower for the 6 ndl-PCBs (Sirot et al. 2012). This can be explained by the gradual introduction of foods, in conjunction with diversification, that are more contaminated than infant formulae. Cow milk consumption instead of infant formulae lead also to higher exposure in young children. These observations reinforce the importance of following recommendations on food diversification and not consuming common cow milk before the age of one year as already recommended based on nutritional needs. .

The data used for exposure assessment covered 68% of the total diet and 96% of the diet 264 265 theoretically contributing to the exposure. That means that 96% of foods consumed by children and 266 known to contribute to PCDD/Fs and PCBs exposure have been taken into account in the exposure 267 estimation. This estimation is therefore a good representation of young children dietary exposure. 268 However, this study did not take into account breastfed children and breastmilk is known to be more 269 contaminated that infant formulae. Data on European countries as analysed in the frame of WHO coordinated studies showed concentration of PCDD/F between 2.40 and 15.9 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> on fat 270 271 basis (EFSA 2018) whereas we found concentration of 0.059 and 0.0102 pg TEQ<sub>WH005</sub>.g<sup>-1</sup> for 272 respectively infant and follow-up formulaeTherefore, it would be important to have a global view on 273 exposure of young children to take into account PCDD/Fs and PCBs burden in breastmilk and to 274 consider the risk/benefit of breastfeeding. However, data on consumption and contamination of 275 human milk is indeed very difficult to obtain. In France, the breastfeeding rate is quite low compared 276 to other OECD countries (OECD 2012): only two out of three newborns are breastfed immediately 277 after birth and only 42% of these infants are still breastfed at the end of the 4<sup>th</sup> month (Bonet et al. 278 2013). However, it is now recognised that some contaminants, especially persistent organic 279 pollutants, are found in high concentrations in human milk and lead to a potential risk for newborns 280 (EFSA 2011, Ulaszewska et al. 2011). Therefore, collecting data on breast milk contamination in 281 France would be valuable for estimating exposure in this particular population.

282

### 283 3.2. <u>Risk assessment</u>

284 Based on exposure assessment, the percentages of children exceeding the health-based guidance 285 value (HBGV) were estimated (Table 2). In children over seven months of age, the TDI proposed by 286 the US EPA in 2012 for PCDD/Fs (i.e.  $0.7 \text{ pg.kg bw}^{-1}$ .d<sup>-1</sup>) was observed to be significantly exceeded by 287 4.5% of children from 7 to 12 months, and by 5.1 to 7.4% in the 13-36 months old children, 288 depending on the hypothesis of management of the censored data (LB-UB). In children under six 289 months of age, it was not possible to precisely estimate the percentage of exceedance, as less than 5 290 children exceeded the HBGV, and they were considered as non-representative cases. The cases of 291 exceedance of HBGV were mainly explained by higher consumption of common milk (or cow milk, 292 the single main contributor in children under six months), fish and ultra-fresh dairy products, than in 293 consumers as a whole. When comparing our results on PCDD/F + dI-PCBs with the recent TWI offset 294 by EFSA (EFSA 2018), between 48.9% and 99.6% of children exceed the HBGV according to age 295 groups and the censorship hypothesis.

For the 6 ndl-PCBs, the cases in which the TDI selected (10 ng.kg bw<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup>) was exceeded were significant from the age of one year (2.7% Cl95% [1.2; 4.1]). The limits were not exceeded for children under six months of age. Between seven months and one year, once again it was not possible to estimate precisely the extent to which the limits were exceeded, given the limited number of children concerned (n<5). In children exceeding the heath-based guidance value, 82% of the exposure was from fish consumption. They had all consumed high quantity of salmon in the 3 day of the consumption survey: 21 g.d<sup>-1</sup> for those children vs. 12 g.d<sup>-1</sup> for all children.

Results on risk assessment for PCDD/Fs or PCBs in young children differ according to studies (Loran et al. 2009, Pandelova et al. 2011, Karjalainen et al. 2012, De Filippis et al. 2014, Morales-Suarez-Varela et al. 2018). The differences can be explained by methodological choices. In our study for example, we were not able to cover all specific consumptions or populations. There may therefore be various 307 uncertainties regarding the representativeness of the subjects and their consumption regarding the 308 population under consideration, i.e. infants and young children living in metropolitan France. 309 Moreover, the use of a food diary has already been associated with reporting biases that may lead to 310 (Lioret et al. 2011, Berta Vanrullen et al. 2014). Thus, certain food intakes are likely to be unrecorded, 311 particularly food ingested between the main meals within a day. This uncertainty may lead to an 312 underestimation of the risk. Finally, the consumption study used to estimate chronic intakes and exposures is based on a collection of intakes over 3 days. This short period leads to a high variability 313 314 compared to a longer collection period and may lead to uncertainty in the estimates in relation to 315 the exposure period considered (from a few months to 2 years depending on the age groups considered). Nevertheless, EFSA recommends 2 non-consecutive 24<sup>th</sup>-recalls for food consumption 316 317 data collection (EFSA 2014). Even if it was consecutive day, in our study we had recalls on 3 days. It 318 has to be known that there are statistical methods to reduce this uncertainty, known as intra-319 individual variance reduction methods, but these have not been used in the present work. This 320 choice is protective because it will tend to overestimate high exposures (the mean will be correctly 321 estimated), and thus overestimate the possible risk (Mancini et al. 2015).

322 As already explained, the TDS approach in the present study ensured to have a good representation of the whole diet. Nonetheless, milk and milk products as well as fish were the main contributors in 323 324 all studies. Concerning fish, the contamination data in our study only focused on a limited number of 325 species, but the literature showed that other species can contain PCB concentrations equal to or 326 higher than salmon (Marchand et al. 2006). In their study, Morales-Suarez-Varela and al. showed that 327 even if fish is under the regulatory levels in most samples, daily intake in consumers of large 328 quantities of fish were exceeding toxicological reference values for ndl-PCBs (Morales-Suarez-Varela 329 et al. 2018). Therefore, even though PCB levels in fish have been falling over the past few years 330 (Anses 2015), recommendations on fish consumption have to be reminded. Moreover, according to 331 our results and previous ones, a debate should be conducted on the maximum values laid down by 332 the regulations and the choice of matrices to be regulated for both substance families. Special

attention should be given to the youngest age groups due to their special vulnerability and higherexposure.

335 In terms of health risks, it has also to be reminded that exposures estimated in this study correspond 336 to exposures over a given period of time. On one hand, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), as PCBs 337 and PCDD/Fs can accumulate in the body: thus the dose to which an individual is actually exposed at a given time corresponds not only to the ingested dose but also to the dose present in the body as a 338 339 result of previous exposure. However, for infants, the body burden due to exposure during the first 340 months of life, in particular through breastfeeding, is limited in time. However, exposure during fetal 341 life, via maternal feeding, can lead to a significant body burden. On the other hand in the case of 342 substances for which infants are not more sensitive than adults and where effects are associated 343 with a long period of exposure, exceedances observed over a limited time period do not necessarily 344 lead to a health risk. In the second French TDS, exceedance was also observed in children and young 345 adults (Sirot et al. 2012). Moreover, based on an integrative risk assessment approach on French 346 contamination data, Béchaux et al. predicted the body burden of the French population in 2030, 347 assuming that the dietary exposure will remain stable at the 2009 level (Bechaux et al. 2014). 348 Therefore, in order to conclude on health risk, it will be of interest to estimate long-life exposure by 349 combining food consumption and data contamination at different life steps as shown in the case of 350 cadmium by Pruvost-Couvreur et al. (Pruvost-Couvreur et al. 2020). This evaluation has been 351 conducted separately for PCB and PCDD/F exposure levels, in view of risk management since sources 352 of pollution for these two groups of substances are different. Even if such approach appears 353 appropriate from a health point of view, the method for risk characterization of cumulated exposure can be discussed: (1) other components having "dioxin-like" mechanism could also be considered 354 355 such as certain brominated flame retardants and (2) some substances present concomitantly with 356 dioxins and furans can show antagonistic effects that are not taken into account (De Waard et al. 357 2008). To better assess the risk associated to "dioxin-like" effects as a whole, it would be necessary to conduct toxicity studies on mixture effects. 358

### **359 4.** Conclusion and recommendations

Dietary exposure to PCDD/Fs and PCBs was identified as a concern for children above 6 months. Exposure, mainly via common food products making a major contribution to exposure of the most exposed children to these compounds should therefore be reduced, i.e. milk, ultra-fresh dairy products and fish. These data allowed to complete the exposure assessment of French population focusing on a sensitive population and strengthen the recommendations on diversification and fish consumption.

366

367 Through their diet, individuals are exposed to a multitude of contaminants from diverse chemical 368 classes. Phenomena of competition, additivity or synergy can occur between several substances, which may lead to the organism responding in an unexpected way with regard to the known 369 370 toxicological effects for each substance. This question of mixtures cannot be limited solely to a 371 mixture of compounds belonging to the same chemical class. It is therefore necessary to identify 372 mixtures (or "cocktails") of substances that are relevant in health terms and realistic from the point 373 of view of population exposure. Data collected in this study are a real opportunity for identifying the 374 cocktails to which children are actually exposed, and could therefore provide input for studies of the 375 potential associations between these cocktails of substances and the health effects.

# Table 1. Levels PCDD/F, dl-PCB (a) and 6 ndl-PCB (b) in food consumed by French infants and toddlers

## 379 а.

	N		0/	Fresh weight						Fat basis								
		% dotostion	LB				U	В		LB				UB				
		detection	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
	PCDD/F (pg TEQ <sub>wHo05</sub> ,g <sup>-1</sup> )																	
Milk-based beverage	8	77.9	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.062	0.013	0.041	0.080	0.102	0.018	0.065	0.119
Cereals-based food	5	64.7	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.001	0.010	0.068	0.059	0.021	0.162	0.219	0.207	0.059	0.480
Milk-based dessert	6	83.3	0.004	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.005	0.146	0.042	0.076	0.199	0.174	0.021	0.150	0.206
Growing-up milk	9	65.4	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.150	0.121	0.036	0.358	0.213	0.110	0.095	0.405
Soup, puree	11	88.2	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.105	0.031	0.066	0.164	0.139	0.041	0.083	0.204
Fruit purée	4	55.9	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.166	0.090	0.062	0.278	0.441	0.200	0.252	0.723
Vegetable-based ready-	20	63.5	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.093	0.068	0.034	0.347	0.205	0.096	0.109	0.528
Meat/fish based ready- to-eat meal	45	82.5	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.025	0.003	0.004	0.001	0.025	0.145	0.181	0.041	1.127	0.197	0.195	0.068	1.127
Infant formula	28	82.1	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.059	0.046	0.017	0.239	0.088	0.050	0.044	0.239
Follow-on formula	33	89.3	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.102	0.058	0.044	0.327	0.122	0.057	0.067	0.328
								dl-I	PCB (pg TI	Q <sub>WHO05</sub> .g	-1)							
Milk-based beverage	8	59.4	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.028	0.015	0.002	0.049	0.046	0.010	0.037	0.063
Cereals-based food	5	28.3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.005	0.007	0.014	0.000	0.033	0.080	0.059	0.029	0.151
Milk-based dessert	6	87.5	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.013	0.008	0.003	0.005	0.013	0.316	0.109	0.247	0.531	0.321	0.106	0.249	0.531
Growing-up milk	9	92.6	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.008	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.008	0.217	0.207	0.022	0.564	0.219	0.206	0.025	0.564
Soup, puree	11	62.1	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.089	0.060	0.029	0.235	0.100	0.058	0.040	0.236
Fruit purée	4	64.6	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.181	0.068	0.126	0.274	0.203	0.051	0.156	0.275
Vegetable-based ready- to-eat meal	20	87.5	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.149	0.080	0.046	0.413	0.162	0.076	0.077	0.413
Meat/fish based ready- to-eat meal	45	80.4	0.004	0.012	0.000	0.081	0.005	0.012	0.000	0.081	0.235	0.553	0.002	3.641	0.243	0.551	0.020	3.641
Infant formula	28	76.8	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.033	0.061	0.001	0.307	0.039	0.061	0.010	0.307
Follow-on formula	33	79.0	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.008	0.070	0.120	0.012	0.625	0.075	0.118	0.016	0.625
380					•							•				1		

## 381 b.

	NI	%	Fat basis								
	IN	detection	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	
	6 ndl-PCBs (ng.kg <sup>-1</sup> )										
Milk-based beverage	8	100	5.4	1.8	3.6	8.1	260.7	97.6	160.7	437.6	
Cereals-based food	5	100	6.6	8.3	0.8	19.8	277.8	214.0	117.5	602.9	
Milk-based dessert	6	100	40.3	25.7	23.1	91.2	1679.3	1001.0	968.1	3687.1	
Growing-up milk	9	100	15.2	10.9	3.5	30.1	929.3	827.4	213.9	2450.7	
Soup, puree	11	100	12.9	16.8	2.5	60.9	701.8	599.4	309.4	2363.6	
Fruit purée	4	100	7.6	3.2	4.6	10.8	1230.2	132.2	1060.9	1384.0	
Vegetable-based ready-	20	100	11.6	4.6	3.4	21.4	885.6	409.6	578.2	2373.1	
to-eat meal											
Meat/fish based ready-	45	100	41.5	128.4	2.1	852.7	2149.6	5821.5	197.8	38383.	
to-eat meal										3	
Infant formula	28	100	4.5	3.8	1.8	16.6	200.7	193.8	68.5	951.7	
Follow-on formula	33	100	8.9	6.8	2.6	31.7	464.1	443.5	104.5	2578.9	

382 N: number of composite samples analysed

383 LB: Lower bound hypothesis; UB: Upper bound hypothesis. For the 6 ndl-PCBs, concentrations were equal under the LB and UB hypothesis.

# Table 2. Exposure levels (LB-UB<sup>1</sup>) to PCDD/Fs, dl-PCBs + PCDD/Fs and 6 ndl-PCBs of children under 3 years of age and percentage of exceedance of the

# 386 health-based guidance value

	Mean	+/- SD	P90 ex	posure	% children exceeding the health-based guidance value				
	LB	UB	LB	UB	LB	UB			
PCDD/F		(pg TEQ <sub>who05</sub> .kg	; bw⁻¹.d⁻¹)		Reference dose = 0.7pg TEQ <sub>WH005</sub> .kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> (USEPA, 2012)				
1-4 months	0.218 +/-0.117	0.318 +/-0.131	0.400	0.488	NC*	NC*			
5-6 months	0.239 +/-0.066	0.292 +/-0.071	0.410	0.454	0	NC*			
7-12 months	0.288 +/-0.121 0.347 +/-0.136		0.491 0.574		4.5 [0.7 ; 8.2]	4.5 [0.7 ; 8.2]			
13-36 months	0.383 +/-0.239 0.444 +/-0.254		0.579	0.651	5.1 [3.1 ; 7.0]	7.4 [5.0 ; 9.7]			
dl-PCB + PCDD/F	'F(pg TEQ WHO_who05.kg bw^-1.d^-1)Reference dose = 0.3 pg $_{WHO05}.kg bw^{-1}.d^{-1}$					<sub>005</sub> .kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> (EFSA, 2018)			
1-4 months	0.366 +/-0.356	0.484 +/-0.378	0.600	0.69	48.9 [37.9 ; 60.0]	82.9 [74.6 ; 91.3]			
5-6 months	0.458 +/-0.325	0.524 +/-0.336	1.00	1.04	64.9 [50.0 ; 79.7]	82.7 [70.9 ; 94.4]			
7-12 months	0.675 +/-0.477	0.747 +/-0.499	1.18 1.32		84.0 [77.3 ; 90.6]	92.6 [87.8 ; 97.3]			
13-36 months	0.989 +/-0.529	1.067 +/-0.540	1.52	1.61	99.2 [98.4 ; 100.0]	99.6 [99.1 ; 100.0]			
6 ndl-PCB	(ng.kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )				TDI = 10 ng.kg bw <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> (AFSSA, 2007)			
1-4 months	0.874 +	/-0.861	1.	55	-				
5-6 months	1.40 +/-0.550		2.77		-				
7-12 months	2.28 +	/-1.99	3.	74	NC*				
13-36 months	3.53 +	/-4.13	5.	45	2.7 [1.2 ; 4.2]				

387 Mean +/- SD correspond to the mean of exposure and associated standard deviation. [] correspond to the 95% confidence interval.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> LB= Lower bound – UB = Upper bound

- 388 LB: Lower bound hypothesis; UB: Upper bound hypothesis \* NC: not calculated as less than 5 children exceeded the HBGV Table 3. Contributions under LB hypothesis
- 389 (expressed as %)<sup>2</sup> of the main food groups to the mean exposure (total population) and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (highly exposed population) exposure for PCDD/F
- 390 (3a), dl-PCB + PCDD/F (3b) and 6 ndl-PCBs (3c)
- 391 3a. Contribution to PCDD/F exposure



392

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In this table a "." signify that the contribution of the food groups for the related age groups has not been considered as any product consumed has been analyzed (low consumption rate and not a major contributors)

## 394 3b. Contribution to dl-PCB + PCDD/F exposure



395

396 3c. Contribution to 6 ndl-PCB exposure



399 Only food groups contributing to more than 10% are represented individually

400 Table 4. Total exposure levels (LB) to PCDD/Fs and 6 ndl-PCBs of children under 1 year according to the type of milk consumed, common cow milk (C), infant

401 formulae (IA) and the regimen

402

	1-4 months		5-6 months			7-12 months		
Type of milk consumed	С	IA	С	C+IA	IA	С	C+IA	IA
N (%)	3 (2.4%)	121 (97.6%)	11 (8.7%)	9 (7.1%)	107 (84.2%)	107 (84.2%)	17 (8.7%)	156 (80%)
Exposure to PCDD/Fs (pgTEQ wHOO5.kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )	0.74	0.20	0.43ª	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.21 <sup>c</sup>	0.46ª	0.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>c</sup>
Exposure to PCDD/Fs+dl-PCBs (pgTEQ wH005.kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )	1.76	0.33	1.09ª	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.37 <sup>c</sup>	1.19ª	0.73ª	0.57ª
Exposure to PCBs ? 6 ndl-PCBs?	6.16	0.72	3.45 <sup>ª</sup>	1.77 <sup>b</sup>	1.14 <sup>c,</sup>	3.87ª	2.37ª	1.98 <sup>b</sup>
(ng.kg bw <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )								

403 a,b,c: on a same line between the different types of consumers, and separately for the age groups, the values with a different index letter are significantly

404 different p<0.05 (Student test). Due to small sample size, statistical comparison has not been performed for 1-4 months.

### 405 Acknowledgments

406 The authors are grateful to the Anses expert committee panel in charge of assessing the chemical risk in food and the experts from the infant TDS scientific committee and the analytic methods in food, 407 408 namely: Jean-Pierre Cravédi, Claude Atgié, Pierre-Marie Badot, Jacques Bélégaud, Catherine 409 Bennetau-Pelissero, Emmanuelle Bichon, Valérie Camel, Martine Claw, Christophe Cordella, 410 Guillaume Duflos, Camille Dumat, Cyril Feidt, Jean-Marc Fremy, Jérôme Gay-Queheillard, Philippe 411 Glorennec, Konrad Grob, Thierry Guérin, Laurence Guldner, Nicole Hagen–Picard, Dary Inthavong, 412 Florence Lacoste, Laïla Lakhal, Béatrice Lalère, Claude Lambré, Michel, Laurentie, Raphaëlle Le Garrec, Catherine Leclercq, Eric Marchioni, César Mattéi, André Mazur, Sakina Mhaouty-Kodja, 413 Fabrice Nesslany, Laurent Noel, Alain-Claude Roudot, Patrick Sauvegrain, Rémy Slama, Karine Tack, 414 415 Eric Verdon and Jean-Paul Vernoux.

The infant TDS was supported by the Ministry for food, agriculture and fisheries, the Ministry for health the Ministry for ecology and sustainable development and the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES).

419

- 421 References
- 422

- 424 Afssa (2005). Rapport de l'Afssa relatif aux dioxines, furanes et PCB de type dioxine : Evaluation de
- 425 l'exposition de la population française. Maisons-Alfort, France, Afssa: 57 p.
- 426 Afssa (2007). Avis de l'Afssa relatif à l'établissement de teneurs maximales pertinentes en
- 427 polychlorobiphényles qui ne sont pas de type dioxine (PCB "non dioxin-like", PCB-NDL) dans divers
  428 aliments. (saisine n°2006-SA-0305). Maisons-Alfort, Afssa: 28 p.
- 429 Anses (2015). Avis de l'Anses et rapport d'expertise collective relatifs à la consommation de poissons
- 430 d'eau douce et PCB : aspects réglementaires, méthodologiques et sanitaires. (saisines n°2014-SA-
- 431 0122 et 2011-SA-0039). Maisons-Alfort, Anses: 107 p.
- 432 Antignac, J. P., P. Marchand, C. Gade, G. Matayron, M. Qannari el, B. Le Bizec and F. Andre (2006).
- 433 "Studying variations in the PCDD/PCDF profile across various food products using multivariate
- 434 statistical analysis." <u>Anal Bioanal Chem</u> **384**(1): 271-279.
- 435 Aylward, L. L. and S. M. Hays (2002). "Temporal trends in human TCDD body burden: decreases over
- three decades and implications for exposure levels." <u>J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol</u> **12**(5): 319-328.
- 437 Bechaux, C., M. Zeilmaker, M. Merlo, B. Bokkers and A. Crepet (2014). "An integrative risk
- assessment approach for persistent chemicals: a case study on dioxins, furans and dioxin-like PCBs in
   France." <u>Regul Toxicol Pharmacol</u> **70**(1): 261-269.
- 440 Berta Vanrullen, I., J. L. Volatier, A. Bertaut, A. Dufour and J. Dallongeville (2014). "Characteristics of 441 energy intake under-reporting in French adults." <u>Br J Nutr</u> **111**(7): 1292-1302.
- 442 Bonet, M., L. Marchand, M. Kaminski, A. Fohran, A. Betoko, M. A. Charles and B. Blondel (2013).
- "Breastfeeding duration, social and occupational characteristics of mothers in the French 'EDEN
  mother-child' cohort." <u>Matern Child Health J</u> 17(4): 714-722.
- Bramwell, L., D. Mortimer, M. Rose, A. Fernandes, S. Harrad and T. Pless-Mulloli (2017). "UK dietary
- 446 exposure to PCDD/Fs, PCBs, PBDD/Fs, PBBs and PBDEs: comparison of results from 24-h duplicate
- 447 diets and total diet studies." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess</u> **34**(1): 65448 77.
- 449 Costera, A., C. Feidt, P. Marchand, B. Le Bizec and G. Rychen (2006). "PCDD/F and PCB transfer to
- 450 milk in goats exposed to a long-term intake of contaminated hay." <u>Chemosphere</u> **64**(4): 650-657.
- 451 De Filippis, S. P., G. Brambilla, E. Dellatte, F. Corrado and M. Esposito (2014). "Exposure to
- 452 polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs), dioxin-like
- 453 polychlorinated biphenyls (DL-PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) through the
- 454 consumption of prepared meals in Italy." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk</u>
- 455 <u>Assess</u> **31**(6): 1114-1126.
- 456 De Waard, W. J., J. Aarts, A. Peijnenburg, T. M. De Kok, F.-J. Van Schooten and L. Hoogenboom
- 457 (2008). "Ah receptor agonist activity in frequently consumed food items." <u>Food Additives and</u>
- 458 <u>Contaminants</u> **25**(6): 779-787.
- Diamanti Kandarakis, E., J. P. Bourguignon, L. C. Guidice, R. Huauser, G. S. Prins, A. M. Soto, T. Zoeller
  and A. C. Gore (2009). "Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an endocrine society scientific statement."
  Endocrine reviews **30**(4): 293-342.
- 462 EFSA (2005). Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on a request from the
- 463 Commission related to the presence of non dioxin-like polychlorinated bisphenyls (PCB) in feed and
- 464 food. <u>The EFSA journal</u>. Parma, EFSA. **284**.
- 465 EFSA (2010). Results of the monitoring of dioxin levels in food and feed. EFSA Scientific report.
- 466 Parma, EFSA. **8**.

- 467 EFSA (2011). Scientific Opinion on Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Food. <u>EFSA Panel on</u>
   468 <u>Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM)</u>. Parma, Italy, EFSA.
- 469 EFSA (2012). Scientific Opinion of the EFSA panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on the presence
- of dioxins (PCDD/Fs) and dioxin-like PCBs (DL-PCBs) in commercially available foods for infants and
  young children. <u>The EFSA journal</u>. Parma, EFSA. **10**.
- 472 EFSA (2014). Guidance on the EU Menu Methodology. . <u>The EFSA journal</u>. Parma, EFSA. **12:** 3944.
- 473 EFSA (2018). Scientific opinion of the EFSA panel on risk for animal and human health related to the
- 474 presence of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in feed and food. <u>The EFSA journal</u>. Parma, EFSA. **16**.
- 475 EFSA, FAO and WHO (2011). Joint guidance of EFSA, FAO, WHO. Towards a harmonised Total Diet
- 476 Study approach: a guidance document. <u>The EFSA journal</u>. Parma, Rome, Geneva, EFSA, FAO, WHO. **9**.
- 477 Fantino, M. (2005). "[Etude SFAE sur la consommation alimentaire des nourrissons et enfants en bas
  478 âge français de 1 mois à 36 mois Analyse des données nutritionnelles (non publié)]."
- Fantino, M. and E. Gourmet (2008). "Apports nutritionnels en France en 2005 chez les enfants non allaités âgés de moins de 36 mois." <u>Arch Pediatr</u> 15(4): 446-455.
- 481 GEMS/Food-EURO. (2013). ADDENDUM 2013 Second Workshop on Reliable Evaluation of Low-Level
- 482 Contamination of Food. <u>Workshop in the frame of GEMS/Food-EURO. Technical report</u>. Kulmbach,
- 483 Germany, WHO.
- 484 Hulin, M., N. Bemrah, A. Nougadère, J. L. Volatier, V. Sirot and J. C. Leblanc (2014). "Assessment of
- infant exposure to food chemicals: the French Total Diet Study design." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A</u>
   <u>Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess</u> **31**(7): 1226-1239.
- 487 Karjalainen, A. K., T. Hirvonen, H. Kiviranta, H. Sinkko, C. Kronberg-Kippila, S. M. Virtanen, A.
- 488 Hallikainen, O. Leino, M. Knip, R. Veijola, O. Simell and J. T. Tuomisto (2012). "Long-term daily intake
- 489 estimates of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and furans, polychlorinated biphenyls and
- 490 polybrominated diphenylethers from food in Finnish children: risk assessment implications." <u>Food</u>
  491 Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess **29**(9): 1475-1488.
- 492 Klocke, C. and P. J. Lein (2020). "Evidence Implicating Non-Dioxin-Like Congeners as the Key
- 493 Mediators of Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Developmental Neurotoxicity." Int J Mol Sci **21**(3).
- 494 Landrigan, P. J., C. A. Kimmel, A. Correa and B. Eskenazi (2003). "Children's Health and the
- 495 Envrionment: Public Health Issues and Challenges for Risk Assessment." <u>Environ Health Perspect</u>
  496 **12**(2): 257-265.
- 497 Lioret, S., M. Touvier, M. Balin, I. Huybrechts, C. Dubuisson, A. Dufour, M. Bertin, B. Maire and L.
- 498 Lafay (2011). "Characteristics of energy under-reporting in children and adolescents." <u>Br J Nutr</u>
- **105**(11): 1671-1680.
- Loran, S., P. Conchello, S. Bayarri and A. Herrera (2009). "Evaluation of daily intake of PCDD/Fs and
- indicator PCBs in formula-fed Spanish children." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo</u>
   <u>Risk Assess</u> 26(10): 1421-1431.
- 503 Makri, A., M. Goveia, J. Balbus and R. Parkin (2004). "Children's susceptibility to chemicals: a review 504 by developmental stage." <u>J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev</u> **7**(6): 417-435.
- 505 Malisch, R. and A. Kotz (2014). "Dioxins and PCBs in feed and food--review from European
- 506 perspective." <u>Sci Total Environ</u> **491-492**: 2-10.
- 507 Mancini, F. R., V. Sirot, L. Busani, J. L. Volatier and M. Hulin (2015). "Use and impact of usual intake
- 508 models on dietary exposure estimate and risk assessment of chemical substances: a practical
- example for cadmium, acrylamide and sulphites." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo</u>
  Risk Assess **32**(7): 1065-1074.
- 511 Marchand, P., J. P. Antignac, A. Brosseau, C. Gade, A. Venisseau, M.-R. Sabatie, V. Sirot, A. Tard, J. L.
- 512 Volatier, J. C. Leblanc, F. André and B. Le Bizec (2006). "Factors (trophic levels, fish specie, habitat, fat
- 513 content...) influencing PCDD/F, PCB and PBDE concentration in fish retailed in France."
- 514 Organohalogen Compounds 68: 608-611.
- 515 Morales-Suarez-Varela, M., N. Lopez Santana, P. Marti Requena, M. I. Beser Santos, I. Peraita-Costa
- and A. Llopis-Gonzalez (2018). "Estimation of daily intake of polychlorinated biphenyls not similar to
- 517 dioxins (NDL-PCB) from fish consumption in Spain in different population groups." Public Health Nutr
- 518 **21**(16): 2959-2968.

- 519 OECD (2012). OECD Family Database. C01.5 : Breastfeeding rates. OECD. Paris.
- 520 Pandelova, M., R. Piccinelli, W. L. Lopez, B. Henkelmann, J. M. Molina-Molina, J. P. Arrebola, N. Olea,
- 521 C. Leclercq and K. W. Schramm (2011). "Assessment of PCDD/F, PCB, OCP and BPA dietary exposure
- 522 of non-breast-fed European infants." <u>Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess</u>
- 523 **28**(8): 1110-1122.
- Pruvost-Couvreur, M., B. Le Bizec, C. Bechaux and G. Riviere (2020). "A method to assess lifetime
  dietary risk: Example of cadmium exposure." <u>Food Chem Toxicol</u> **137**: 111130.
- 526 Sagiv, S. K., S. W. Thurston, D. C. Bellinger, P. E. Tolbert, L. M. Altshul and S. A. Korrick (2010).
- 527 "Prenatal organochlorine exposure and behaviors associated with attention deficit hyperactivity
- 528 disorder in school-aged children." <u>Am J Epidemiol</u> **171**(5): 593-601.
- 529 Sirot, V., A. Tard, A. Venisseau, A. Brosseaud, P. Marchand, B. Le Bizec and J. C. Leblanc (2012).
- 530 "Dietary exposure to polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, polychlorinated dibenzofurans and
- polychlorinated biphenyls of the French population: Results of the second French Total Diet Study."
   <u>Chemosphere</u> 88(4): 492-500.
- Sly, P. D. and F. Flack (2008). "Susceptibility of children to environmental pollutants." <u>Ann N Y Acad</u>
   <u>Sci</u> 1140: 163-183.
- 535 Ulaszewska, M. M., E. Zuccato and E. Davoli (2011). "PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs in human milk and
- estimation of infants' daily intake: a review." <u>Chemosphere</u> **83**(6): 774-782.
- 537 US-EPA (2012). EPA's reanalysis of key issues related to dioxin toxicity and response to NAS
- 538 comments. Rome. Italy. **1. EPA/600/R-10/038F**.
- Van den Berg, M., L. S. Birnbaum, M. Denison, M. De Vito, W. Farland, M. Feeley, H. Fiedler, H.
- 540 Hakansson, A. Hanberg, L. Haws, M. Rose, S. Safe, D. Schrenk, C. Tohyama, A. Tritscher, J. Tuomisto,
- 541 M. Tysklind, N. Walker and R. E. Peterson (2006). "The 2005 World Health Organization reevaluation
- of human and Mammalian toxic equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds." <u>Toxicol</u>
   <u>Sci</u> 93(2): 223-241.
- 544 Zoeller, R. T., A. L. Dowling and A. A. Vas (2000). "Developmental exposure to polychlorinated
- 545 biphenyls exerts thyroid hormone-like effects on the expression of RC3/neurogranin and myelin basic
- 546 protein messenger ribonucleic acids in the developing rat brain." <u>Endocrinology</u> **141**(1): 181-189.