

Mg isotope composition in beech forest ecosystems and variations induced by liming: insights from four experimental sites in Northern France

Mélanie Court, Gregory van Der Heijden, Pascale Louvat, Emile Bolou-Bi, Guillaume Caro, Julien Bouchez, Benoit Pollier, Serge Didier, Claude Nys,

Laurent Saint-andré, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Mélanie Court, Gregory van Der Heijden, Pascale Louvat, Emile Bolou-Bi, Guillaume Caro, et al.. Mg isotope composition in beech forest ecosystems and variations induced by liming: insights from four experimental sites in Northern France. Biogeochemistry, 2021, 153 (2), pp.115-134. 10.1007/s10533-021-00766-y . hal-03197670

HAL Id: hal-03197670 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03197670

Submitted on 26 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Mg isotope composition in beech forest ecosystems and variations induced

2 by liming: insights from four experimental sites in Northern France

3

Mélanie Court¹, Gregory van der Heijden¹, Pascale Louvat², Emile Bolou-Bi³, Guillaume
Caro⁴, Julien Bouchez², Benoit Pollier¹, Serge Didier¹, Claude Nys¹, Laurent Saint-André¹
and Arnaud Legout¹*

7

⁸ ¹: INRAE, BEF, F-54000 Nancy, France

9 ²: Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Equipe Géochimie des Enveloppes Externes (CNRS

10 - UMR 7154), 1 Rue Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

³: Université Felix-Houphouët Boigny d'Abidjan-Cocody, UFR des Sciences de la Terre et
 des Ressources Minières, BPV 34 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

⁴: Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CNRS - UPR 2300), 15 Rue Notre

14 Dame des Pauvres, 54501 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

15 * Corresponding author: arnaud.legout@inrae.fr

16

17 Abstract

Many forest soils are acidic and have very low plant-available pools of magnesium. Past 18 and present sylvicultural, nutritional and/or climatic pressures endured by forest ecosystems 19 can result in net losses of nutrients and ecosystem function losses. Liming with a carbonate 20 product is an alternative to counteract these degradations but the effects of liming on the 21 biogeochemical cycling of nutrients over time and the dynamics of Mg released from liming 22 products are still unclear. We studied the Mg isotopes composition in four paired-treatment 23 experimental beech forest ecosystems in northern France. At the sites where dolomitic lime 24 was applied, the variation in exchangeable and foliar δ^{26} Mg demonstrated the direct 25 contribution of dolomite-derived Mg to the replenishment of topsoil exchangeable pools and 26 to tree nutrition improvement: dolomite-derived Mg was incorporated into the biological 27 cycling which allows its retention on the mid to long term in the soil-plant system. At the sites 28 29 limed with calcium carbonate, the changes in exchangeable and foliar Mg contents and δ^{26} Mg observed on the long term suggest that the applied product contained a small amount of Mg 30 and/or that Mg cycling changed after liming, to cope in particular with the low Mg 31 availability. Lastly, our results highlight the high δ^{26} Mg of the organic layer (humus): 32

fractionation processes occurring within this layer (mineralization/ageing of organic matter, preferential retention of ²⁶Mg) could explain these singular signatures that could greatly influence the topsoil Mg exchangeable pools.

36

Keywords: forest ecosystem, liming, biogeochemical cycling, Mg isotopes, dolomite lime,
calcium carbonate

39

40 1. Introduction

Magnesium is an essential and major nutrient in forest ecosystems (Marschner 1995) which 41 42 plays an important role in plants (Bush 1995; Epstein 1956; Kirkby & Mengel 1976; Marschner 1995; Schulze et al. 2005) and soils by contributing to the pH buffer capacity, soil 43 44 structure and biological activity (Wolters 1991). In forest soils, plant-available pools of magnesium are assumed to be stored as exchangeable cations adsorbed on the cation 45 exchange complex. This exchangeable pool may change over time in relation to ecosystem 46 inputs (atmospheric deposition and mineral weathering) and outputs (leaching and storage in 47 tree biomass), particularly under the influence of external pressure. Indeed, decreasing 48 atmospheric inputs (Likens et al. 1996) or the intensification of biomass harvesting and 49 silvicultural practices (Achat et al. 2015; Pyttel et al. 2015; Ranger et al. 2011; Thiffault et al. 50 2015) may lead to forest soil fertility degradation, most particularly in low soil fertility forest 51 ecosystems which are expected to be the most sensitive (Jonard et al. 2015; Van der Heijden 52 et al. 2011). When the pressure endured is too intense, this degradation may lead to the 53 progressive losses of nutrients and ecosystem functions, forest decline being the final stage of 54 55 this process (Court et al. 2018).

To mitigate such issues, carbonate products (typically calcium carbonate or dolostone) may be 56 applied (liming operation) in order to decrease soil acidity, maintain or restore forest soil 57 fertility and improve tree nutrition (Court et al. 2018). Many studies of forest ecosystem 58 liming operations have been carried out to assess the effects on organic matter decomposition 59 (Baath et al. 1980; Geissen & Brümmer 1999; Marschner & Waldemar Wilczynski 1991; 60 Smolander et al. 1996; Vuorenmaa et al. 2017), soil biological activity and community 61 (Balland-Bolou-Bi & Poszwa 2012; Clivot et al. 2012; Lepleux et al. 2013; Moore 2014; 62 63 Moore et al. 2013), topsoil fertility and tree nutrition or growth at short (Burke & Raynal 1998; Huettl 1989; Meiwes et al. 2002; Moore & Ouimet 2014; Wilmot et al. 1996) and long 64 term time scales (Bakker 1998; Forey et al. 2015; Long et al. 2015; Moore et al. 2012). 65

However, because fewer studies have investigated these effects at the ecosystem scale (considering at the same time the mineral soil, the soil organic layer and the trees), the longterm dynamics and impacts of liming on the biogeochemical cycling of nutrients remains poorly understood.

Following liming operations using dolostone or calcium carbonate, the Mg concentrations in 70 the soil are expected to increase on the short-term from i) the dissolution of the dolostone (Mg 71 input) and/or ii) induced shifts of biogeochemical processes in the ecosystem (e.g. change in 72 organic matter decomposition, etc.). However, conventional approaches based on 73 74 measurements of nutrient contents in the ecosystem over time are not sufficient to i) distinguish precisely the native from the non-native (i.e. liming product) sources of Mg and ii) 75 determine the proportion of the input of Mg from the liming that remains in the ecosystem 76 over time. 77

78 The study of the natural variations of Mg stable isotope ratios coupled with conventional approaches have be proven to be a powerful tool to trace sources and processes in forest 79 80 ecosystems (Uhlig et al. 2017). In particular, Mg isotope ratios allowed to specify the dynamics of Mg in soil/plant systems and the study of isotopic fractionations of Mg has made 81 it possible to identify specific biological and/or physicochemical processes (Black et al. 2008; 82 Bolou-Bi et al. 2010; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012; Opfergelt et al. 2014; Schmitt et al. 2012; Tipper 83 et al. 2010). Despite Mg isotopic fractionation processes in the soil/plant systems and the 84 small range of isotopic variations at the scale of the globe (-4.6 to 0.8‰, Fig. 1), Mg isotope 85 ratios can, under certain conditions, be used to trace ecosystem sources (Bolou-Bi et al., 86 2012). A sufficient difference of Mg isotopic composition between the liming product and the 87 Mg pools in the forest ecosystem may allow for tracing the fate and distribution of the Mg 88 originating from the dissolution of the liming product, as demonstrated by Bolou-Bi et al. 89 (2016) on two paired forest catchments in the Vosges Mountains (one control and one limed). 90

In a previous study, Court et al (2018) studied with conventional approaches five permanent paired (limed and control) experimental beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) plots in Northern France to define and quantify the ecosystem response to liming. The objective of the present study was to investigate the variations of Mg stable isotopes in four of the five experimental sites studied by Court et al (2018) in order to i) better characterize the processes controlling the Mg cycle in forest ecosystems and ii) gain insight on the dynamics of Mg released from the liming product and the biogeochemical cycling changes induced by liming practices.

99 2. Material and Methods

100 **2.1. Study sites**

Four experimental liming sites in Northern France were selected for this study to cover a 101 102 range of soil and climatic conditions: Potées, Humont, Darney and Fougères. The sites were described in detail in a previous study (Court et al. 2018) and only a summarized description 103 104 is given here. The Fougères site is located in Brittany (oceanic climate), the Darney and Humont sites in the Vosges Mountains (semi-continental climate) and the Potées site in the 105 106 Ardennes (semi-continental climate). Precipitation was evenly distributed throughout the year for all sites and average annual precipitation calculated over the 1995–2016 period was close 107 108 to 1000 mm for all sites except Humont which was ca 1600 mm. Site elevation ranges from 185 m (Fougères) to 570 m (Humont). All sites are situated within state-owned forests and are 109 110 managed following the local forest management plan. The stands are composed of pure European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) and originated from the natural regeneration of the forest 111 at all sites except Potées (plantation in 1986). Bedrock at each site is sandstone in the Vosges 112 Mountains, shale in the Ardennes, and granodiorite in Brittany. The soils at the different sites 113 classified as Dystric or Luvic Cambisols (WRB 2006) and are acidic and nutrient poor. 114

- 115
- 116

5 **2.2. Liming experimental design**

117 At each liming assay site, a similar experimental design was set up prior to the liming 118 operation. Replicated plots were assigned for each treatment, depending on the sites: 2 119 Ca/MgCO₃ and 2 control plots at Potées and Humont; 1 CaCO₃ and 1 control plot at Darney 120 and 4 CaCO₃ and 4 control plots at Fougères. Sampling subplots were then defined within 121 each plot to avoid edge effects and all the measurements and samplings were done within 122 these subplots. More details are available in Court et al (2018).

The liming products and the applied amounts were adapted at each site in relation to the soil physical and chemical properties and tree nutrient requirements. The granulometry of the applied liming products was < 2 mm and the products were manually applied (2–3 cross passages to homogenize the product application).

127 At Fougères and Darney, the liming operation consisted of a single application of commercial 128 calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), applied in 1971 at Darney (1070 kg.ha⁻¹ Ca) and in 1973 at 129 Fougères (1070 kg.ha⁻¹ Ca). At Humont, the liming operation consisted of a single 130 application of dolomitic limestone applied in 1991 (541 kg.ha⁻¹ Ca; 228 kg.ha⁻¹ Mg). At Potées, a commercial product (Resilvert®, MEAC) mainly composed of dolomitic limestone
with P and K additives was applied in 1995 (543 kg.ha⁻¹ Ca; 120 kg.ha⁻¹ Mg).

133

134 **2.3. Site monitoring: Sampling and elemental analysis**

Samples were collected at different dates after the application of the liming product. Pretreatment samples were only available at the Potées site. The sampled ecosystem compartment (organic layer, mineral soil and green leaves) and the corresponding sampling dates are detailed in Court et al (2018). The physical and chemical analysis of the different samples was performed directly after sampling.

140

141 2.3.1. Organic layer

The organic layer samples were collected using a 0.1 m² square frame. Three replicated samples were collected in each plot. The dry weight of the organic layer was measured for all replicates after oven-drying (35°C). Samples were milled and the three replicates were bulked together (one composite sample per plot) for further determinations. C and N contents were measured with a Thermo Quest NCS 2500. P, Mg, Ca and K contents were determined by ICP-AES after sample complete digestion in 50% nitric acid. Results represent the total amounts of nutrients in the organic layer.

149

150 2.3.2. Mineral soil

Mineral soil samples were collected at different depths with a soil auger (15 cm long helical 151 152 auger for soil samples collected before 2000 and 15 cm long, 8 cm diameter cylindrical auger for samples collected after 2000). Three replicated soil profiles were sampled in each plot and 153 154 a composite sample was generated for each sampled depth. Soil samples were air-dried and sieved (2 mm). Soil physical and chemical properties were analyzed by the INRA-ARRAS 155 laboratory: humidity (NF ISO 11465), soil granulometry distribution (NF X 31-107), pH_{water} 156 (soil water pH – 1:5 soil to water volume ratio) and pH_{KCl} (soil KCl pH – 1:5 soil to 0.1 157 mol.L⁻¹ KCl reagent volume ratio) (NF ISO 10390), C and N content, cationic exchange 158 capacity and exchangeable pools (Mg, Ca, K, Na, Al, H, and Mn) by cobaltihexamine (2.5 g 159 of soil in a 50 mL of a 50 mmol_c.L⁻¹ Co(NH₃)₆³⁺ solution) (Standard method NF X 31-130) 160 and soil total pools by hydrofluoric acid digestion (Mg, Ca, K, Na, Si, Al, Fe, Mn and P) 161 (Standard method NF X 31-147). 162

163

164 2.3.3. Green tree leaves

Green leaves were sampled using a rifle at the end of the vegetation season (late august) from 3 branches in the top of the canopy collected from 3 dominant trees. A composite sample was generated for each plot. The nutrient content in green leaves was measured after oven-drying (65°C) and sample milling: C and N content was measured with a Thermo Quest NCS 2500. P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Mn content was determined by ICP-AES after sample digestion with 50% nitric acid. Results represent the total amounts of nutrients in foliage.

The majority of samples and liming products were then archived at the "Biogéochimie des
Ecosystèmes Forestiers (INRAE)" laboratory.

173

174 **2.4. Magnesium isotopic composition analysis**

175 2.4.1. Sample preparation

The labware used during the treatment of the samples (*e.g.* pipette tips, tubes) was cleaned in an acid bath (2% HNO₃) before use for at least 24 hours and rinsed with ultrapure water (18.2 m Ω , PURELAB® Flex). The acids used were bidistilled (DST-1000 Savillex® distiller) to remove any impurities that may contaminate the samples. For sample acid digestion, Teflon® reactors were previously cleaned with concentrated acids in a scrubber (Analab®, ETC EVO II-ST). Sample processing and isotopic analysis were performed in a clean lab to avoid sample contamination.

183 The isotopic composition analysis were performed on a subset of the available samples. The 184 selection of samples was based on the following criteria:

- Sites and years for which samples from the three studied compartments (soil, humus
 and tree foliage) were available.
- The most extreme years (beginning and end of monitoring) and, when possible, an
 intermediate year were favored.
- If the three compartments were not sampled within the same year, sampling dates for
 each compartment were selected as close as possible.
- 191

The selected samples were prepared differently for the isotopic analysis according to theirtype:

- For organic samples (green leaves and humus), 200 mg of sample was digested in 6 ml of 69% bidistilled HNO₃ and heated in a microwave oven (Anton Paar® Multiwave 3000). The solution was evaporated to dryness and 2 ml of 69% bidistilled HNO₃ were added.
- For total soil mineralization, the samples were digested in 1 ml of 40% HF for 24 hours at room temperature and then 4 ml of 69% bidistilled HNO₃ was added and samples were heated at 120°C for 48 h. The solution was evaporated to dryness and 2 ml of 37% bidistilled HCl were added and heated for 4 hours at 120°C. Then, the solution was again evaporated to dryness and 2 ml of 69% bidistilled HNO₃ were added.
- For soil exchangeable pool extractions, 4 g of sample were placed in a 50 mL Falcon 204 tube with 40 ml of 1M ammonium acetate solution (Fractopur® NH₄OAc, Merck). 205 The solution was continuously shaken during 1 hour and then centrifuged during 20 206 minutes at 3000 rpm. The supernatant was recovered and the extraction was repeated 207 208 by adding 40 ml of 1M acetate ammonium solution, continuously shaking during 24h and centrifuging during 20 min at 3000 rpm. The exchangeable Mg extraction was 209 done three times for each sample and the three replicates were bulked together in order 210 to obtain a sufficient amount for isotope analysis, filtered (0.45 µm, nylon, 211 Millipore®) and evaporated to dryness. The ammonium acetate was then digested by 212 213 adding 5 mL of H_2O_2 (30% Suprapur®, Merck), evaporated to dryness and then taken up in 2 mL of 69% bi-distilled HNO₃. 214
- 215
- 216 2.4.2. Sample purification

Prior to Mg isotope composition measurements, each sample (soil and organic material digests and soil extracts) was purified according to a procedure adapted from Bolou-Bi et al. (2009). Purified Mg (\sim 30µg) samples were obtained by ion-chromatography using a combination of AG®-MP-1M and AG®-50W-X12 resins:

First the sample was eluted through an anion exchange resin (2mL AG®-MP-1M)
 using 7M HCl to elute cations with a high affinity for the anion exchange resin (e.g.
 Fe, Cu, Zn)

Then the sample was sequentially purified through two cation exchange resin columns
 (2mL and then 1mL AG®-50W-X12). The samples were eluted with HNO₃ 1M in the
 first column and with HCl 4M in the second.

227 The purified Mg solutions were evaporated to dryness.

228

229 2.4.3. Isotope ratio analysis

Mg stable isotope ratios (${}^{26}Mg/{}^{24}Mg$ and ${}^{25}Mg/{}^{24}Mg$) were analysed with a multi-collector, 230 inductively couple plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICPMS) instrument (Neptune Plus, 231 ThermoScientific), at the PARI analytical platform of the "Institut de Physique du Globe de 232 Paris" (IPGP, Paris, France). The samples were diluted to 300 μ g.L⁻¹ of Mg in 0.5M HNO₃ 233 and introduced into the mass spectrometer through an Apex HF (ESI) equipped with a PFA 234 concentric nebulizer (ESI, 50 µl.min⁻¹). The measurements were carried out with a medium 235 resolution and by block of 25 cycles of 8s. Instrumental mass bias was monitored and 236 corrected with the standard-sample bracketing technique using the DSM3 standard (Galy et al. 237 2003). Isotopic compositions are expressed in δ notation as the part per thousand (‰) 238 deviation from the DSM3 standard (Equation 1): 239

240 (1)
$$\delta^{x} Mg = \left(\frac{\frac{\chi_{Mg}}{24_{Mg}}(sample)}{\frac{\chi_{Mg}}{24_{Mg}}(DSM3)} - 1\right) \times 10^{3}$$

where x is either mass 26 or 25.

Each sample was measured in triplicate (Std-Smpl-Std-Smpl-Std-Smpl-Std). Uncertaintiesfrom replicates are reported as two standard deviations (2SD).

For Potées (1995 and 2004) and Darney (1995), 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm soil layers were analyzed separately and the isotopic composition of the 0-15cm was calculated (supplementary material Fig. S1). For the other sites, the topsoil layers (0-5cm and 5-15cm) and the deep soil layers (30-45cm and 45-60cm) were bulked together prior to analysis (0-15cm and 30-60cm respectively) to reduce the number of samples to analyze.

249

The difference of Mg isotopic composition between control and limed plots is expressed in Δ notation (Equation 2):

252 (2)
$$\Delta^{26} M g_{Limed-Ctl} = \delta^{26} M g_{Limed} - \delta^{26} M g_{Control} (\%)$$

In the same way, the difference of Mg isotopic composition between two compartments A and B is expressed in Δ notation (Equation 3):

255 (3)

$$\Delta^{26} M g_{A-B} = \delta^{26} M g_A - \delta^{26} M g_B \ (\%_0)$$

where A and B represent two different ecosystem compartments (e.g. Foliage (Fol), Organic layer (Org) or Exchangeable soil (Exch)).

258

259 2.4.4. Isotope ratio data validation

To assess measurement reproducibility, each sample was analysed several times in different 260 261 sample sequences, *i.e.* at different dates. To assess measurement accuracy, standard solutions and reference materials were analysed in each sample sequence. The pure Mg 'Cambridge' 262 standard was measured at the beginning and end of each sample sequence and was used as a 263 reference to validate the results of the sample sequence. When the Cambridge standard 264 measurements differed by more than 0.15‰ from the average value reported in the literature 265 (-2.60‰), the δ^{26} Mg and δ^{25} Mg values of the samples measured during the same sequence 266 were rejected. For the approved sample sequences, the Cambridge standard measurements 267 were on average -2.61±0.09 ‰ (2SD, n=314). The reference materials that were analysed 268 together with the samples were in good agreement with the accepted values: silicate rock 269 reference material GA (Granite, $\delta^{26}Mg = -0.43 \pm 0.08$ %, n=6), soil reference material Till1 270 (forest soil, $\delta^{26}Mg = -0.62 \pm 0.38$ ‰, n=7), vegetation reference material BCR 281 (Rye Grass, 271 δ^{26} Mg = -1.06±0.08 ‰, n=13) and sea water (BCR 403, δ^{26} Mg = -0.88±0.11 ‰, n=29), which 272 validates both the Mg extraction protocol and the MC-ICP-MS analysis. 273

274 In addition, two indicators were used to check individual sample measurements:

- 1. To check the linearity of instrumental mass bias drift during a sequence, the δ^{26} Mg variation between two successive measurements of the DSM3 standard solution ("Dead Sea Magnesium") was estimated. Individual sample measurements were rejected if at least one of the two DSM3 bracketing showed a variation exceeding 0.15‰.
- 280 2. To check for mass-dependent fractionation (and thus for absence of isobaric 281 interferences), each individual measurement was represented in a plot of Δ^{25} Mg' vs. 282 δ^{26} Mg' (Young & Galy 2004), where (Equation 4):

283 (4)
$$\delta^{X} M g' = 1000 \times \log\left(\frac{\delta^{X} M g + 1000}{1000}\right)$$

284 and

285

$$\Delta^{25}Mg' = \delta^{25}Mg' - 0.521 \times \delta^{26}Mg'$$

An individual measurement was rejected if, in this plot, the distance between the measurement and the kinetic (slope = 0.511) or equilibrium (slope = 0.521) theoretical mass fractionation line was greater than 0.01.

289

290 **2.5. Modeling Mg isotopic variations**

291 In order to investigate the reasons underlying the isotopic differences between the limed and control plots, a simple pool and flux model taking into account isotopic fractionation 292 293 processes was developed (supplementary material Fig. S2). The numerical model simulates the annual change of the isotopic composition of the exchangeable pool of Mg in the 0-15 cm 294 295 soil layer as a function of 1) the input fluxes of Mg to this layer (atmospheric, mineral weathering and organic layer sources) and their respective isotopic composition and 2) the 296 isotopic fractionation processes that may occur through the output fluxes (root absorption, Mg 297 leaching). In the limed plots, the model takes into account the input of Mg from the liming 298 product and its isotopic composition and assumes that all lime-derived Mg is released within 299 300 the first year.

301 The model was then used to test different possible hypotheses for the Darney and Potées sites that are listed in supplementary material Table S3. Depending on the hypotheses tested, the 302 303 model was sequentially run for a range of input variables (e.g. tree uptake and leaching Mg flux, isotopic fractionation factors). For each set of input parameters, the model calculates 304 305 either the possible values for the isotopic fractionation factors or the possible values for the input sources in order to reproduce the isotopic composition of the Mg exchangeable pool in 306 307 both plots. This modelling approach could not be carried out for the Fougères and Humont 308 sites because there were too few isotope data at these sites.

At the Potées site, where the pre-treatment data was available for the limed plot and for more pools, and at which flux and isotope data were available for both treatment plots, the model simulated the isotopic composition of the soil exchangeable pool of Mg in the lime plot over the 1995 to 2004 period following the measured changes in the exchangeable Mg pool of the 0-15cm soil layer.

At the Darney site, less data was available. In order to overcome the lack of data on the Mg fluxes, their isotopic composition, and the associated isotopic fractionation processes, the model was run to simulate both the isotopic composition of the soil exchangeable Mg in the control and limed plots over the 1971 to 1995 period by 1) assuming that the exchangeable Mg pool in the control plot and its isotopic composition remained constant over the period (steady state), 2) assuming that model parameters related to Mg isotopic fractionation were the same for both plots, and finally 3) calculating the differences of Mg fluxes between plots in order to respect the measured change in Mg exchangeable pool in the limed plot.

322

323 **3. Results**

324 3.1. Comparison with previous published data on terrestrial ecosystems

The $\delta^{26}Mg$ values measured in the control and limed plots at each of the four forest 325 ecosystems were within the previously reported range of δ^{26} Mg variation (Fig. 1) for bulk 326 soil, soil exchangeable pool (-0.9 to -0.3‰ for the control plots; -1.4 to -0.7‰ for the limed 327 plots), organic layer and tree foliage (-1.4 to -0.4‰ for the control plots; -1.8 to -0.9‰ for the 328 limed plots) (Table 1). The δ^{26} Mg values of bulk soils (-0.8 to -0.6‰) were only measured at 329 Potées and were close to the lowest values observed in terrestrial ecosystems. The range of 330 δ^{26} Mg for organic layer samples measured in this study (-0.7 to -0.3%) for the control plots; -331 0.7 to -0.0% for the limed plots) was narrower than that of soil exchangeable pools and leaves 332 were close to previously published values (-0.54 to -0.20%). Unfortunately, not all the 333 applied liming products were archived and Mg isotope analyses was possible only for the 334 product applied at Potées ($\delta^{26}Mg = -1.60\%$) and Humont ($\delta^{26}Mg = -1.56\%$). This isotopic 335 composition was within the reported range of variation of dolomite (-2.2% to -1.1%). 336

337

338 3.2. Mg isotope compositions of green leaves, soils and organic layer in the control plots

In the control plots, differences between δ^{26} Mg values of different compartments were, on average, noticeable between sites and may be ranked as follow (Fig. 2):

342 - Potées > Humont > Fougères and Darney for green leaves

- Humont > Darney > Potées and Fougères for soil exchangeable pools
- Darney > Humont and Potées > Fougères for organic layer

345 The foliar δ^{26} Mg was lower than that of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil at all sites

- and years, except at Potées in 1995 (Ctl pre-treatment plot, $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch} = 0.05\%$; Ca/MgCO₃
- 347 pre-treatment plot, $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch} = 0.06\%$) and 2004 ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch} = 0.12\%$) (Table 2).

The organic layer was enriched in ²⁶Mg isotope compared to the foliage at all sites and years, except at Potées in 2004 ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Org} = 0.08\%$). At the four sites, the $\delta^{26}Mg$ of the organic layer was slightly higher than the $\delta^{26}Mg$ of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil, or very close ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Org-Exch}$ ranging from -0.11 to 0.18‰).

352

3533.3. Relationship between Mg concentration and Mg isotope composition in the354control plots

In the control plots, differences in the foliar Mg concentrations were, on average, noticeable 355 between sites and may be ranked as follow: Fougères > Potées > Humont > Darney (Table 1). 356 Across the sampling sites, a positive relationship was observed between Mg concentrations 357 and δ^{26} Mg in the foliage in the control plots (Fig. 3), with Potées having the highest foliar Mg 358 concentration and δ^{26} Mg values, and Darney the lowest foliar Mg concentrations and δ^{26} Mg 359 values. At Fougères, the intercept of the relation between foliar Mg concentrations and δ^{26} Mg 360 was different (with higher foliar Mg concentrations compared to the other sites) but the slope 361 of the trend was very similar. The lowest Mg concentration and δ^{26} Mg in leaves at Fougères 362 were observed in 2005 whereas the highest were recorded in 2014. 363

In the control plots, the differences of δ^{26} Mg values between the organic layer and foliage 364 $(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Org})$ and between the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil and foliage $(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Org})$ 365 Exch) were larger when the foliar Mg concentration was low (Table 2 and supplementary 366 material Fig. S4). For the Mg isotope differences between compartments ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Org}$ and 367 $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch}$), the sites were ranked as follow: Fougères and Potées < Humont < Darney. No 368 trend was observed between Mg concentrations and δ^{26} Mg or the Mg isotope differences 369 between compartments (Δ^{26} Mg) for the organic pool and the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of 370 the soil. 371

372

373 3.4. Mg isotope variations between limed and control plots

374 3.4.1. Foliage

For all sites foliage δ^{26} Mg of the limed plots were generally lower than those of the control plots. The foliar δ^{26} Mg of the limed plots were ranked as follow: Potées > Fougères > Humont > Darney (Fig. 2). Similar to the control plots, a high spatial and/or temporal variability of the foliar δ^{26} Mg values were observed throughout the study period (Table 1). As for the control plots, a positive relationship was observed between foliar Mg concentrations

and δ^{26} Mg values (Fig. 3). The foliar Δ^{26} Mg_{Limed-Ctl} was negative for all sites except Fougères 380 in 2005 (Δ^{26} Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = 0.08 ‰) (Fig. 4). It increased gradually over time at Potées and 381 Humont whereas it was stable over time at Fougères and Darney. As for the control plots, the 382 δ^{26} Mg of foliage was lower than that of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil in the 383 limed plots at all sites (Fig. 2 and Table 2), except at Potées in 2004 ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch} = 0.26\%$). 384 The trend between the Δ^{26} Mg_{Fol-Org} and foliar Mg concentration was not observed in the limed 385 plots (Table 2 and supplementary material Fig. S4). As for the control plots, the $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch}$ 386 was larger when the foliar Mg concentration was low in the limed plots, except at Potées in 387 2004. In terms of $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch}$, the sites were ranked as follow: Darney > Fougères > Potées 388 and Humont. 389

390

391 3.4.2. Organic layer

In the limed plots, the $\delta^{26}Mg$ values of the organic layer were ranked as follow: Humont > 392 Darney > Potées and Fougères (Fig. 2). The $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl}$ of the organic layer was close to 393 zero at Potées in 2004 and in 2016 ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl}$ = -0.06 ‰) and at Darney in 2016 394 $(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = 0.01 \%)$, slightly positive at Fougères in 2014 ($\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = 0.14 \%$), and 395 widely positive at Humont in 2016 (Δ^{26} Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = 0.40 ‰) (Fig. 4). As for the control plots, 396 the organic layer was enriched in ²⁶Mg compared to the foliage in limed plots but this 397 enrichment in ²⁶Mg was larger in the limed plots than in the control plots (Fig. 2 and Table 2). 398 No trend was observed between Mg concentration and δ^{26} Mg nor the Mg isotope differences 399 between compartments (Δ^{26} Mg) for the organic pool in limed plots. 400

401

402 3.4.3. Soils

In the limed plots, the δ^{26} Mg values of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil were 403 ranked as follow: Fougères and Darney > Potées > Humont (Fig. 2). Similar to the control 404 plots, high spatial and/or temporal variability of the δ^{26} Mg was also observed for the [0-15cm] 405 exchangeable pool of the soil at Potées throughout the study period (Table 1). The Δ^{26} Mg_{Limed} 406 _{Ctl} of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil were negative for all sites except Fougères 407 $(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = 0.07\% \text{ in 1994})$ (Fig. 4). Humont had the largest $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl}$ (-1.01‰, 25) 408 years after liming). At Potées, the Δ^{26} Mg_{Limed-Ctl} was highest in 2004 (-0.68‰) and decreased 409 to -0.34‰ in 2016. The $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl}$ were smaller at Darney (mean $\Delta^{26}Mg_{Limed-Ctl} = -0.3\%$), 410 and did not vary over time. The δ^{26} Mg of the organic layer was higher than that of the [0-411

412 15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil at all sites for the limed plots, and at Potées (2004) and 413 Darney for the control plot (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Like the control plots, no trend was observed 414 between Mg concentration and δ^{26} Mg or the Mg isotope differences between compartments 415 (Δ^{26} Mg) and the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the soil in limed plots.

416

417 **4. Discussion**

418 419

4.1. Variations of the Mg isotope composition in forest ecosystems and possible controlling factors

420

4.1.1. Inter-site variability of weathering and atmospheric Mg input fluxes

The Mg isotopic composition of the 0-15cm exchangeable pool varied quite widely between 421 the different studied sites. This variability could be partly due to the inter-site variability of 422 the soil mineral weathering flux and isotopic composition. We do not have the δ^{26} Mg values 423 of the bedrocks underlaying the four sites studied here. However, Darney and Humont are 424 developed on Vosgian sandstone and Bolou-Bi et al. (2012) measured the δ^{26} Mg values of 425 this bedrock (+0.13‰). In the same study, Bolou-Bi et al. (2012) also reported δ^{26} Mg values 426 of the bulk soil that varied from -0.48‰ in the topsoil to +0.25‰ in the E horizon (30 cm 427 depth) and +0.00% in the C horizon (110 cm depth). No data in this study or in the literature 428 was available to characterize the isotopic signature of the loessic sedimentary deposits at 429 Fougères and Potées but fine-grained sedimentary rocks are generally enriched in the light 430 isotope ²⁴Mg compared to silicate rocks (Bolou-Bi et al. 2010). Under this assumption, the 431 Mg mineral weathering flux in the 0-15cm soil layer would be more enriched in ²⁴Mg at 432 Fougères and Potées compared to Darney and Humont which would contribute to explaining 433 the observed inter-site δ^{26} Mg differences. 434

Though the Mg isotopic composition of the ocean and of the seawater-derived component of atmospheric inputs is relatively constant (between -0.7 and -0.9 ‰), the Mg isotopic composition of atmospheric inputs may vary from -1.05 to -0.51‰ (Bedel 2015; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012; Pogge von Strandmann et al. 2008; Tipper et al. 2010). No data is available to conclude on this issue, but Mg atmospheric inputs and their isotopic composition may also contribute to explain the observed inter-site δ^{26} Mg differences.

441



4.1.2. Relation between Mg plant-availability and Mg isotope variation

Similar to the soil, notable differences in foliar δ^{26} Mg values were observed between the 443 control plots of the different studied sites. The range of variation of foliar δ^{26} Mg values was 444 broader than that of the soil exchangeable pool and the foliage compartment was 445 systematically enriched in ²⁴Mg compared to the soil exchangeable pool (except at Potées in 446 1995 and 2004). Previous studies on low-chemical fertility forest ecosystems have shown that 447 Mg exchangeable pools in the top layers of the soil profile represent the main source of Mg 448 for tree uptake (van der Heijden et al. 2015). Under this assumption, the δ^{26} Mg values of the 449 [0-15cm] exchangeable pool alone could not explain the foliar δ^{26} Mg values. Therefore, it is 450 most likely that isotopic fractionation processes occurring in the plant and/or between the soil 451 and the foliage explain the Δ^{26} Mg_{Fol-Exch} observed in our data set, as suggested previously by 452 several authors (Black et al. 2008; Bolou-Bi et al. 2010; Schmitt et al. 2012). 453

- The Δ^{26} Mg_{Fol-Exch} varied between sites and the greatest values were observed at Humont and 454 Darney where exchangeable Mg contents in the topsoil were amongst the lowest of the four 455 studied sites. The Δ^{26} Mg_{Fol-Exch} was positively related to the foliage Mg concentration 456 (supplementary material Fig. S4), which is commonly assumed to be a good indicator of the 457 availability of nutrients in the ecosystem (Bonneau 1995). When the Mg availability in the 458 soil decreases, the plant-internal recycling of Mg through the re-translocation processes 459 occurring prior to litterfall contributes increasingly to sustaining Mg nutrition. Re 460 translocation is known to fractionate Mg isotopes (Bedel 2015; Black et al. 2007; Bolou-Bi et 461 al. 2016; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012; Kimmig et al. 2018; Kraj 2015; Matile 2000; Moynier & Fujii 462 2017). However, it is unlikely that foliar re-translocation dynamics explain the relation 463 between Δ^{26} Mg_{Fol-Exch} and Mg concentration in foliage because it is ²⁶Mg that is preferentially 464 transferred from the leaf to the younger tissues and/or to storage and reproductive organs of 465 the tree (Bolou Bi et al, 2010). This suggests that low Mg availability in the soil may 466 influence the isotopic fractionation processes that occur along the Mg uptake and allocation 467 paths from the soil pools to the canopy. 468
- 469
- 470

471

4.1.3. Evidence of specific Mg isotope fractionation processes occurring in the organic layer and inheritance to the soil

472 Our results showed that the organic layer at each of the four sites was almost systematically 473 the most ²⁶Mg-enriched compartment in the ecosystem. To our knowledge, few studies have 474 investigated the Mg isotope composition of organic layers in forest ecosystems (Bolou Bi 475 2009; Mavromatis et al. 2014) and no mechanisms have yet been proposed to explain how the

organic layer becomes enriched in ²⁶Mg compared to the other compartments. In forest 476 ecosystems, the Mg pool in the organic layer originates mostly from leaf litter inputs and 477 atmospheric inputs that are retained on the cationic exchange capacity of the organic layer 478 (van der Heijden et al. 2014). The δ^{26} Mg values of atmospheric inputs ranges from -1.05 to -479 0.51‰ (Bedel 2015; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012; Pogge von Strandmann et al. 2008; Tipper et al. 480 2010) and was lower than the δ^{26} Mg values of organic layers at all sites (except at Fougères). 481 Furthermore, previous study in forest ecosystems highlight that leaf litter is slightly enriched 482 in ²⁴Mg compared to green leaves due to re-translocation of the foliar Mg during the growing 483 season (Bedel 2015; Black et al. 2007; Bolou-Bi et al. 2016; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012; Kimmig et 484 al. 2018; Kraj 2015; Matile 2000; Moynier & Fujii 2017), which would imply that the δ^{26} Mg 485 values of leaf litter at our four sites (not measured) was equal or below the measured foliar 486 δ^{26} Mg values. It would thus not be possible to obtain the δ^{26} Mg values measured in the 487 organic layer from the simple isotopic mixing of these two sources (i.e. leaf litter and 488 atmospheric inputs). 489

Therefore, to explain the observed ²⁶Mg enrichment in the organic layer, we hypothesized that 490 one or several processes fractionating Mg isotopes occur in this layer during the 491 mineralization and ageing of organic matter (*i.e.* leading to the preferential release of ²⁴Mg, 492 for example due the capacity of fungi to fractionate stable isotopes of magnesium when 493 mobilizing base cations from an organic substrate; Fahad et al., 2016; Pokharel et al., 2017) 494 495 and/or the transfer of atmospheric Mg inputs through the layer with a preferential adsorption/binding of ²⁶Mg on the cationic exchange capacity developed by the organic layer 496 (Bolou-Bi et al, 2010; Chang, 2004). Under this hypothesis, these isotopic fractionation 497 processes would most likely vary depending on the organic matter turnover rate and thus on 498 499 the climatic and edaphic conditions.

Interestingly, the sites with the highest organic layer δ^{26} Mg values (Darney and Humont) also have the highest δ^{26} Mg values of [0-15cm] exchangeable pools. In forest ecosystems, the annual Mg flux released from the decomposition of the organic layer may represent a large input flux to the topsoil compared to atmospheric inputs and mineral weathering. The Mg isotopic composition of the topsoil Mg exchangeable pool is thus most probably greatly influenced by the Mg isotopic signature of the organic layer.

506

507 **4.2. Effect of liming on Mg cycling and isotope composition**

Calcium carbonate was applied at Fougères and Darney while dolomitic limestone 508 (Ca/MgCO₃) was applied at Potées and Humont. We may consider that these products were 509 probably completely dissolved in the first years following the application, due to rainfall and 510 the high acidity of the organic and topsoil layers (pH<5). The isotopic composition of the 511 dolomitic limestone was close to that measured by Bolou-Bi et al. (2016) (-1.75‰) and within 512 the reported range of variation of dolomite 2.5% to -1% (Brenot et al. 2008; Jacobson et al. 513 2010). In contrast to dolomite lime, we were unable to perform analysis on the applied 514 calcium carbonate, but the Mg content of such products is generally low (MgCO₃< 5%) with 515 δ^{26} Mg values ranging from -5% to -4% (Galy et al. 2002). The δ^{26} Mg values of the [0-15cm] 516 exchangeable pool and organic layer of the control plots (-1% to -0.3%) were always higher 517 than that of applied products, while the range of variation for foliage δ^{26} Mg values sometimes 518 overlapped it slightly (- 1.4‰ to -0.4‰), without compromising the tracing of Mg originating 519 520 from the dissolution of the products.

- 521
- 522

4.2.1. Effect of dolomitic limestone (Ca/MgCO₃)

At the sites limed with dolomitic limestone, we observed lower δ^{26} Mg values and higher Mg 523 concentrations in the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool and foliage in the limed plots compared to 524 525 the control plots. The Mg isotopic approach provides here additional and useful information to distinguish the native from the non-native sources of Mg and our results strongly suggest 526 527 that a significant part of foliar Mg originated from the dissolved dolomite and that the dolomite-derived Mg was quite rapidly incorporated in the biological cycle. The biological 528 cycling of Mg then contributed to preserving the dolomitic Mg in the soil-plant system, and 529 thus improving tree nutrition on the mid to the long-term. Bolou-Bi et al. (2016) showed 530 531 similar patterns over a 10-years period after a dolomitic lime application in a limed forested catchment located in the Vosges Mountain. However, the efficiency of the biological cycle in 532 maintaining the dolomite-derived Mg in the topsoil seemed to be limited at Potées compared 533 to Humont: the δ^{26} Mg values of the topsoil exchangeable pools was significantly influenced 534 by dolomite-derived Mg at Humont more than 15 years after liming and to a much lesser 535 extent at Potées. Interestingly, the input-output budgets computed by Court et al. (2018) at 536 Potées and the Mg concentrations in the different ecosystem compartments showed a small 537 recovery of Mg released from the liming products (39% of Mg applied recovered in the 538 ecosystem in 2004 and 12% in 2016), with a null recovery for soil in 2016. Court et al. (2018) 539 hypothesized that a large fraction of the liming product was leached out of the ecosystem, but 540

the δ^{26} Mg values of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool was still lower in the limed plot than in the control plot 19 years after the product application. The modelling of the isotopic variations (supplementary material Table S3) corroborates the hypothesis of elevated leaching losses either by a leaching flush occurring in the first years after the application or by a leaching flux associated with an isotopic fractionation (-0.2 to -0.6 ‰: preferential leaching of ²⁴Mg).

- 546
- 547

4.2.2. Effect of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃)

At Darney, the δ^{26} Mg values and the Mg content of the [0-15cm] exchangeable pool were 548 lower in the limed plot than in the control plot over the study period. Although these 549 differences could be due to spatial variability between the limed and control plots (the 550 available data was insufficient to fully dismiss such an effect), the lower δ^{26} Mg values in the 551 [0-15cm] exchangeable pool of the limed plot may be related to a shift in the biogeochemical 552 cycling of Mg occurring after the lime application. Several related hypotheses were tested 553 with the numerical modelling approach (supplementary material Table S3) and the results 554 show that the lower δ^{26} Mg values in the topsoil in the limed plot is most likely explained by a 555 small amount of Mg contained in the applied calcium carbonate, with an isotope signature of 556 δ^{26} Mg ~ 4.5‰. The lower foliar δ^{26} Mg values observed in the limed plot compared to the 557 control plot supports this hypothesis and may be partly associated to the δ^{26} Mg values 558 variations of the exchangeable pool at this site. 559

At Fougères, no difference in terms of exchangeable δ^{26} Mg values was observed between the 560 limed and the control plots, most likely because the calcium carbonate applied at the soil 561 surface did not contain any significant amount of Mg. It is unlikely that the change in foliar 562 δ^{26} Mg values observed in the limed plot at this site may be explained by an input of Mg 563 originating from the liming product. Court et al. (2018) showed that liming with calcium 564 carbonate induced on the long term (> 40 years) at Fougères and Darney a decrease in 565 exchangeable Mg content in the topsoil and foliar Mg concentrations. We may hypothesize 566 567 that a change in tree nutrition strategy occurred after liming (uptake distribution within the soil profile) and/or, as discussed previously (see section 4.1.2), that the decreasing availability 568 of Mg in the soil changed the isotope fractionation processes in the plant, resulting in a 569 decrease of foliar δ^{26} Mg values at both sites (supplementary material Fig. S4). 570

571

572 4.2.3. Organic layer Mg isotope variations induced by liming

The only noticeable difference of δ^{26} Mg values between limed and control plots for the 573 organic layer was observed at the Humont site, with larger δ^{26} Mg values in the limed plot 25 574 years after liming ($\Delta_{\text{Limed-Ctrl}}^{26}$ Mg = 0.39‰ in 2016). We previously hypothesized that isotope 575 fractionation processes may occur within the organic layer (see section 4.1.3), leading to an 576 enrichment of this layer in ²⁶Mg. The enhancement of the organic matter mineralization 577 induced by liming (Court et al. 2018; Forey et al. 2015) may have resulted in an enrichment of 578 the organic layer in ²⁶Mg (through the preferential release of ²⁴Mg). This hypothesis is 579 supported by the strong decrease of the organic layer dry weight at Humont (strongest 580 decrease among all four sites, Court et al. (2018)) in the limed plot (2.09 kg.m⁻²) compared to 581 the control plot (5.55 kg.m⁻²) which suggests that liming strongly impacted the dynamics of 582 organic matter decomposition at this site. 583

For the other sites, given the lower foliar δ^{26} Mg values and exchangeable δ^{26} Mg values (Potées and Darney) and the lower organic layer dry weight (Fougères and Darney) in the limed plot compared to the control plot, we may have expected to observe a difference in the δ^{26} Mg values of the organic layer between limed and control plots. The changes in biogeochemical cycling induced by liming (increase of the mineralization rate of organic matter and of soil pH, Mg retranslocation before leaf abscission...) could partly explain the stability of the organic layer δ^{26} Mg values in the limed plot at these sites over time.

591

592 **5. Conclusion**

Biological cycling has been shown to have a great impact on stable Mg isotope ratio 593 variations in terrestrial ecosystems and it has been argued that the range of Mg isotopic 594 variations related to biotic processes is wider than the range related to abiotic processes. The 595 δ^{26} Mg data from the present study also suggests that observed Mg isotopic variations in forest 596 ecosystems are strongly controlled/influenced by biotic processes along the biological cycle 597 (plant uptake and plant internal cycling, litterfall and organic matter decomposition). Our 598 results also highlight the high δ^{26} Mg values of the organic layer, most likely explained by Mg 599 600 isotope fractionation processes occurring within this layer (mineralization/ageing of organic matter, preferential retention/binding of ²⁶Mg). The results of this study show that future 601 602 research should focus on understanding Mg isotope dynamics and their controlling factors in two key components of the biogeochemical cycle of Mg: the uptake and transport of Mg from 603 604 the roots to the foliage and the supply, the storage and the release of Mg in the organic layer.

At plots where dolomitic lime was applied, the Mg content in the soil exchangeable pool, 605 leaves foliage and organic layer increased in the 10 to 15 years after liming and then 606 decreased slowly. The results from this isotopic tracing approach concur with the temporal 607 pattern of response to liming observed through conventional elemental approaches: dolomite-608 derived Mg contributed to increase foliar concentrations and plant-available pools in the 609 topsoil during the 10 to 15 years after the liming which then gradually decreased. However, 610 magnesium isotopic variations also enable to demonstrate the incorporation of dolomite-611 derived Mg in the biological cycling and its retention on the mid to long term in the soil-plant 612 613 system (at least 15 to 25 years after liming). At the sites limed with calcium carbonate, the conventional approaches showed a decrease in exchangeable Mg content in the topsoil and 614 foliar Mg concentrations on the long term (30 to 45 years after liming). In the same time, a 615 decrease of exchangeable and foliar δ^{26} Mg values was observed in the limed plots, suggesting 616 that the applied product contained a small amount of Mg and/or that Mg cycling changed after 617 liming, to cope in particular with the low Mg availability through shifts in soil organic matter 618 619 mineralisation rates or in tree nutrition strategy.

The results of this study also highlight that, because carbonate liming has multiple effects on 620 soil processes, reservoirs and nutrient cycling, conventional approaches (based on 621 measurements of the content of Mg and of other nutrients such as Ca in the ecosystem over 622 time) are most often insufficient to identify the direct effects of liming on the Mg cycle (i.e. 623 the release of Mg contained in the liming product) from the indirect effects (*i.e.* the release 624 and/or displacement of native Mg induced by liming). The isotopic approach coupled with 625 numerical modelling tools provides additional information on the fate of the Mg released by 626 liming products in the ecosystem. Because the difference in Mg isotopic signature between 627 carbonate rocks and Mg pools in forest ecosystems is most often sufficiently big, the Mg 628 released from the liming product can be traced in the ecosystem and its contribution to the 629 different pools of Mg in the ecosystem can be quantified. 630

631

632 6. Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from the Office National des
Forêts, the Région Lorraine, GIP ECOFOR and the LTSER Zone Atelier Bassin Moselle.

We thank the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, « Géochimie des Enveloppes Externes »
team for its welcome. MC-ICP-MS isotope analyses presented in this work were enabled by

- the IPGP multidisciplinary program PARI and by the Region Île-de-France SESAME Grant
- 638 no. <u>12015908</u>.
- 639 The UR-1138 INRAE Biogéochimie des Ecosystèmes Forestiers is supported by a grant
- 640 overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the "Investissement
- d'Avenir" program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01, Lab of Excellence ARBRE)
- 642

643 **References**

- 644
- Achat D, Deleuze C, Landmann G, Pousse N, Ranger J, Augusto L (2015) Quantifying consequences of
 removing harvesting residues on forest soils and tree growth–A meta-analysis. Forest Ecology and
 Management 348: 124-141
- Baath E, Berg B, Lohm U, Lundgren B, Lundkvist H, Rosswall T, Soderstrom B, Wirén A (1980) Effects of
 experimental acidification and liming on soil organisms and decomposition in a Scots pine forest.
 Pedobiologia 20(2): 85-100
- Bakker M (1998) Effet des amendements calciques sur les racines fines de chêne (Quercus petraea et rohur) :
 conséquences des changements dans la rhizosphère.
- Balland-Bolou-Bi C, Poszwa A (2012) Effect of calco-magnesian amendment on the mineral weathering abilities
 of bacterial communities in acidic and silicate-rich soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 50: 108-117
- Bedel L (2015) Approche isotopique pour tracer la dynamique des éléments dans deux écosystèmes forestiers du
 plateau lorrain développés sur des sols polycycliques. In.
- Bizzarro M, Baker JA, Haack H, Lundgaard KL (2005) Rapid timescales for accretion and melting of
 differentiated planetesimals inferred from 26Al-26Mg chronometry. The Astrophysical Journal Letters
 632(1): L41
- Black JR, Epstein E, Rains WD, Yin QZ, Casey WH (2008) Magnesium-isotope Fractionation During Plant
 Growth. Environmental Science and Technology 42(21): 7831-7836
- Black JR, Yin Q-z, Rustad JR, Casey WH (2007) Magnesium isotopic equilibrium in chlorophylls. Journal of the
 American Chemical Society 129(28): 8690-8691
- Bolou-Bi BE, Dambrine E, Angeli N, Pollier B, Nys C, Guerold F, Legout A (2016) Magnesium Isotope
 Variations to Trace Liming Input to Terrestrial Ecosystems: A Case Study in the Vosges Mountains. Journal
 of Environmental Quality 45(1): 276-284
- Bolou-Bi E, Poszwa A, Leyval C, Vigier N (2010) Experimental determination of magnesium isotope
 fractionation during higher plant growth. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74(9): 2523-2537
- Bolou-Bi E, Vigier N, Brenot A, Poszwa A (2009) Magnesium Isotope Compositions of Natural Reference
 Materials. Geostand. Geoanal. Res. 33(1): 95-109
- Bolou-Bi EB, Vigier N, Poszwa A, Boudot J-P, Dambrine E (2012) Effects of biogeochemical processes on
 magnesium isotope variations in a forested catchment in the Vosges Mountains (France). Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta 87: 341-355
- Bolou Bi E (2009) Etude du rôle de la végétation dans le cycle biogéochimique du magnésium: approche
 isotopique. Université Henri Poincaré, Nancy 286:
- 676 Bonneau M (1995) Fertilisation des forêts dans les Pays tempérés. ENGREF, Nancy. Francia:
- Brenot A, Cloquet C, Vigier N, Carignan J, France-Lanord C (2008) Magnesium isotope systematics of the
 lithologically varied Moselle river basin, France. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 72(20): 5070-5089
- Burke MK, Raynal DJ (1998) Liming influences growth and nutrient balances in sugar maple (Acer saccharum)
 seedlings on an acidic forest soil. Environmental and Experimental Botany 39(2): 105-116
- Bush DS (1995) Calcium regulation in plant cells and its role in signaling. Annual review of plant biology 46(1):
 95-122
- 683 Clivot H, Pagnout C, Aran D, Devin S, Bauda P, Poupin P, Guérold F (2012) Changes in soil bacterial
 684 communities following liming of acidified forests. Applied Soil Ecology 59: 116-123
- Court M, van der Heijden G, Didier S, Nys C, Richter C, Pousse N, Saint-André L, Legout A (2018) Long-term
 effects of forest liming on mineral soil, organic layer and foliage chemistry: Insights from multiple beech
 experimental sites in Northern France. Forest Ecology and Management 409: 872-889
- De Villiers S, Dickson J, Ellam R (2005) The composition of the continental river weathering flux deduced from
 seawater Mg isotopes. Chemical Geology 216(1): 133-142
- 690 Epstein E (1956) Mineral nutrition of plants: mechanisms of uptake and transport. Annual Review of Plant

- 691 Physiology 7(1): 1-24
- Fahad ZA, Bolou-Bi EB, Köhler SJ, Finlay RD, Mahmood S (2016) Fractionation and assimilation of Mg
 isotopes by fungi is species dependent. Environmental microbiology reports 8(6): 956-965
- Forey E, Trap J, Aubert M (2015) Liming impacts Fagus sylvatica leaf traits and litter decomposition 25 years
 after amendment. Forest Ecology and Management 353: 67-76
- Galy A, Bar-Matthews M, Halicz L, O'Nions RK (2002) Mg isotopic composition of carbonate: insight from
 speleothem formation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 201(1): 105-115
- Galy A, Yoffe O, Janney PE, Williams RW, Cloquet C, Alard O, Halicz L, Wadhwa M, Hutcheon ID, Ramon E,
 Carignan J (2003) Magnesium isotope heterogeneity of the isotopic standard SRM980 and new reference
 materials for magnesium-isotope-ratio measurements. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 18(11): 1352-1356
- Geissen V, Brümmer GW (1999) Decomposition rates and feeding activities of soil fauna in deciduous forest
 soils in relation to soil chemical parameters following liming and fertilization. Biology and Fertility of Soils
 29(4): 335-342
- Huettl RF (1989) Liming and fertilization as mitigation tools in declining forest ecosystems. Water, Air, and Soil
 Pollution 44(1): 93-118
- Jacobson AD, Zhang Z, Lundstrom C, Huang F (2010) Behavior of Mg isotopes during dedolomitization in the
 Madison Aquifer, South Dakota. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 297(3-4): 446-452
- Jonard M, Fürst A, Verstraeten A, Thimonier A, Timmermann V, Potočić N, Waldner P, Benham S, Hansen K,
 Merilä P, Ponette Q, de la Cruz AC, Roskams P, Nicolas M, Croisé L, Ingerslev M, Matteucci G, Decinti B,
 Bascietto M, Rautio P (2015) Tree mineral nutrition is deteriorating in Europe. Glob Change Biol 21(1): 418430
- Kimmig SR, Holmden C, Bélanger N (2018) Biogeochemical cycling of Mg and its isotopes in a sugar maple
 forest in Québec. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta:
- Kirkby EA, Mengel K (1976) The role of magnesium in plant nutrition. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und
 Bodenkunde 139(2): 209-222
- Kraj W (2015) Chlorophyll degradation and the activity of chlorophyllase and Mg-dechelatase during leaf
 senescence in Fagus sylvatica. Dendrobiology 74:
- Lepleux C, Uroz S, Collignon C, Churin JL, Turpault MP, Frey-Klett P (2013) A short-term mineral amendment
 impacts the mineral weathering bacterial communities in an acidic forest soil. Research in Microbiology
 164(7): 729-739
- Likens GE, Driscoll CT, Buso DC (1996) Long-term effects of acid rain: response and recovery of a forest
 ecosystem. Science 272(5259): 244
- Long RP, Bailey SW, Horsley SB, Hall TJ, Swistock BR, DeWalle DR (2015) Long-Term Effects of Forest
 Liming on Soil, Soil Leachate, and Foliage Chemistry in Northern Pennsylvania. Soil Science Society of
 America Journal 79(4): 1223-1236
- Marschner B, Waldemar Wilczynski A (1991) The effect of liming on quantity and chemical composition of soil
 organic matter in a pine forest in Berlin, Germany. Plant and Soil 137(2): 229-236
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral nutrition of higher plants. In: Marschner H (ed) Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants
 (Second Edition). Academic Press, London. p v-vi
- Matile P (2000) Biochemistry of Indian summer: physiology of autumnal leaf coloration. Experimental
 gerontology 35(2): 145-158
- Mavromatis V, Prokushkin AS, Pokrovsky OS, Viers J, Korets MA (2014) Magnesium isotopes in permafrost dominated Central Siberian larch forest watersheds. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 147(Supplement C):
 76-89
- Meiwes KJ, Mindrup M, Khanna PK (2002) Retention of Ca and Mg in the forest floor of a spruce stand after
 application of various liming materials. Forest Ecology and Management 159(1–2): 27-36
- Moore JD (2014) Short-term effect of forest liming on eastern red-backed salamander (Plethodon cinereus).
 Forest Ecology and Management 318: 270-273
- Moore JD, Ouimet R (2014) Effects of two types of Ca fertilizer on sugar maple nutrition, vigor and growth after
 740 7 years. Forest Ecology and Management 320: 1-5
- Moore JD, Ouimet R, Bohlen PJ (2013) Effects of liming on survival and reproduction of two potentially
 invasive earthworm species in a northern forest Podzol. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 64: 174-180
- Moore JD, Ouimet R, Duchesne L (2012) Soil and sugar maple response 15 years after dolomitic lime
 application. Forest Ecology and Management 281: 130-139
- Moynier F, Fujii T (2017) Theoretical isotopic fractionation of magnesium between chlorophylls. Sci Rep 7(1):
 6973
- Opfergelt S, Burton KW, Georg RB, West AJ, Guicharnaud RA, Sigfusson B, Siebert C, Gislason SR, Halliday
 AN (2014) Magnesium retention on the soil exchange complex controlling Mg isotope variations in soils, soil
 solutions and vegetation in volcanic soils, Iceland. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 125: 110-130
- 750 Pogge von Strandmann PAE, James RH, van Calsteren P, Gislason SR, Burton KW (2008) Lithium, magnesium

- and uranium isotope behaviour in the estuarine environment of basaltic islands. Earth and Planetary Science
 Letters 274(3-4): 462-471
- Pokharel R, Gerrits R, Schuessler JA, Floor GH, Gorbushina AA, von Blanckenburg F (2017) Mg Isotope
 Fractionation during Uptake by a Rock-Inhabiting, Model Microcolonial Fungus Knufia petricola at Acidic
 and Neutral pH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51(17): 9691-9699
- Pyttel PL, Kohn M, Bauhus J (2015) Effects of different harvesting intensities on the macro nutrient pools in
 aged oak coppice forests. Forest Ecology and Management 349: 94-105
- Ranger J, Augusto L, BERTHELOT A, BOUCHON J, CACOT E, DAMBRINE E, GAVALAND A, LACLAU
 J-P, LEGOUT A, NICOLAS M, NYS C, PONETTE Q, OTTORINI J-M, SAINT-ANDRE L (2011)
 Sylviculture et protection des sols. Ecole nationale du génie rural, des eaux et des forêts, Nancy, FRANCE
- Schmitt A-D, Vigier N, Lemarchand D, Millot R, Stille P, Chabaux F (2012) Processes controlling the stable
 isotope compositions of Li, B, Mg and Ca in plants, soils and waters: A review. Comptes Rendus Geoscience
 344(11): 704-722
- 764 Schulze E-D, Beck E, Müller-Hohenstein K (2005) Plant Ecology.
- Smolander A, Kitunen V, Paavolainen L, Mälkönen E (1996) Decomposition of Norway spruce and Scots pine
 needles: Effects of liming. Plant and Soil 179(1): 1-7
- Teng F-Z, Wadhwa M, Helz RT (2007) Investigation of magnesium isotope fractionation during basalt
 differentiation: Implications for a chondritic composition of the terrestrial mantle. Earth and Planetary
 Science Letters 261(1–2): 84-92
- Thiffault E, Bechard A, Pare D, Allen D (2015) Recovery rate of harvest residues for bioenergy in boreal and
 temperate forests: A review. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Energy Environ. 4(5): 429-451
- Tipper ET, Gaillardet J, Louvat P, Capmas F, White AF (2010) Mg isotope constraints on soil pore-fluid
 chemistry: evidence from Santa Cruz, California. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74(14): 3883-3896
- Uhlig D, Schuessler JA, Bouchez J, Dixon JL, von Blanckenburg F (2017) Quantifying nutrient uptake as driver
 of rock weathering in forest ecosystems by magnesium stable isotopes. Biogeosciences 14(12): 3111-3128
- van der Heijden G, Dambrine E, Pollier B, Zeller B, Ranger J, Legout A (2015) Mg and Ca uptake by roots in
 relation to depth and allocation to aboveground tissues: results from an isotopic labeling study in a beech
 forest on base-poor soil. Biogeochemistry 122(2-3): 375-393
- Van der Heijden G, Legout A, Nicolas M, Ulrich E, Johnson DW, Dambrine E (2011) Long-term sustainability
 of forest ecosystems on sandstone in the Vosges Mountains (France) facing atmospheric deposition and
 silvicultural change. Forest Ecol Manag 261(3): 730-740
- Vuorenmaa J, Augustaitis A, Beudert B, Clarke N, de Wit HA, Dirnböck T, Frey J, Forsius M, Indriksone I,
 Kleemola S (2017) Long-term sulphate and inorganic nitrogen mass balance budgets in European ICP
 Integrated Monitoring catchments (1990–2012). Ecological Indicators 76: 15-29
- Wiechert U, Halliday AN (2007) Non-chondritic magnesium and the origins of the inner terrestrial planets. Earth
 and Planetary Science Letters 256(3): 360-371
- Wilmot TR, Ellsworth DS, Tyree MT (1996) Base cation fertilization and liming effects on nutrition and growth
 of Vermont sugar maple stands. Forest Ecology and Management 84(1): 123-134
- Wolters V (1991) Soil Invertebrates-Effects on Nutrient Turnover and Soil Structure-A Review. Journal of Plant
 Nutrition and Soil Science 154(6): 389-402
- 791 WRB (2006) World reference base for soil resources 2006. World Soil Resources Reports No. 103(FAO, Rome):
- Young ED, Galy A (2004) The isotope geochemistry and cosmochemistry of magnesium. In: Johnson CM,
- Beard BL & Albarede F (eds) Geochemistry of Non-Traditional Stable Isotopes. Reviews in Mineralogy &
 Geochemistry. Mineralogical Soc America, Washington. p 197-230
- 795
- 796

797 Figure captions

798

Fig.1. Range of δ^{26} Mg variations in compartments of terrestrial ecosystems. Literature data* are presented for atmospheric deposition (dark blue), vegetation (green), soils (red), waters (light blue) and rocks (brown); data of this study are presented in white (control plots) and black (limed plots).

*(Bedel 2015; Bizzarro et al. 2005; Bolou-Bi et al. 2016; Bolou-Bi et al. 2009; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012;
Brenot et al. 2008; De Villiers et al. 2005; Kimmig et al. 2018; Opfergelt et al. 2014; Teng et al.
2007; Wiechert & Halliday 2007; Young & Galy 2004).

806

Fig.2. δ^{26} Mg variations in the different ecosystem compartments of the control (blue) and limed (dark red) plots of the studied sites: Potées (a), Humont (b), Fougères (c) and Darney (d).

810

Fig.3. Relationship between δ^{26} Mg and Mg foliar concentration in the control (blue) and limed (red) plots of the four study sites: Regression lines are presented in dashed lines for control plots (blue), limed plots (red) and control + limed plots (black).

814

Fig.4. Differences in Mg concentration (empty markers) and δ^{26} Mg (filled markers) between limed and control plots ($\Delta_{\text{Limed-Control}}^{26}$ Mg) as a function of time after liming for the different ecosystem compartments of the four sites: [0-15cm] exchangeable soils (a), organic layers (b) and green leaves (c).

820 Fig.1





826 Fig.3







831 Table captions

Table 1. K, Ca and Mg concentrations and δ^{26} Mg of the leaves (a), organic layer (b) and [0-

- 15cm] exchangeable soil pools (c) for each studied site. Slope, N and n values refer to the
- 834 δ^{26} Mg analysis: N = number of sample sequences, n = number of validated replicates, n.a =
- not analysed, * = calculated values (see supplementary Fig. S1).
- 836

837 **Table 2.** Isotopic composition difference (Δ^{26} Mg) between the different ecosystem 838 compartments (leaves, organic layer and [0-15cm] exchangeable soils) for the control and 839 limed plots of the studied sites.

Table 1 (a)

							Leaves					
Sites	Treatments	Years	к	Ca	Mg	δ ²⁶ Mg _{DSM3}		δ ²⁵ Mg _{DSM3}		Slope	Ν	n
			(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(‰)		(‰)				
		1995	6273	4378	728	-0.88	0.13	-0.45	0.06	0.509	4	11
		1996	6482	4490	852	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Control	2004	8001	3523	966	-0.40	0.11	-0.20	0.05	0.508	4	9
		2007	6705	4832	820	-0.92	0.13	-0.46	0.06	0.504	2	5
Potées		2014	5437	4646	1083	-0.57	0.16	-0.29	0.09	0.511	4	9
Folees	Pre-treatment	1995	6218	4910	782	-0.92	0.04	-0.46	0.02	0.499	3	7
		1996	5978	5996	1033	-0.90	0.07	-0.45	0.04	0.501	3	8
		2004	7943	5541	1569	-0.94	0.12	-0.48	0.06	0.511	6	15
	Ca/MgCO ₃	2007	7894	7453	1310	-1.30	0.14	-0.67	0.05	0.517	3	7
		2014	7398	7986	1380	-1.17	0.04	-0.60	0.02	0.515	3	7
		2006	8165	1834	695	-1.14	0.04	-0.59	0.05	0.514	3	9
	Control	2009	10366	1622	612	-1.01	0.06	-0.52	0.03	0.519	2	5
Humont		2014	8337	2115	792	-1.07	0.06	-0.55	0.03	0.514	2	4
numont	$Ca/MgCO_3$	2006	5728	5973	1551	-1.37	0.05	-0.72	0.04	0.518	2	6
		2009	9084	6170	1162	-1.58	0.05	-0.82	0.03	0.517	3	6
		2014	7316	5371	1489	-1.36	0.06	-0.70	0.02	0.513	2	5
		1993	8627	3315	1147	-1.15	0.09	-0.59	0.04	0.514	4	11
	Control	1994	8537	3826	1018	-1.36	0.07	-0.69	0.04	0.513	3	9
		2005	8796	2404	683	-1.42	0.10	-0.73	0.05	0.513	2	6
Fougères		2014	7025	4930	1322	-0.93	0.18	-0.47	0.08	0.509	3	8
rougeres		1993	7519	6980	1032	-1.32	0.03	-0.68	0.02	0.517	3	9
	CaCO-	1994	8342	7348	1030	-1.56	0.09	-0.80	0.05	0.515	3	9
	caco ₃	2005	6348	6271	557	-1.34	0.07	-0.68	0.04	0.512	2	5
		2014	7254	7023	973	-1.15	0.05	-0.60	0.02	0.515	2	4
		1994	8850	3500	600	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Control	2005	9789	4589	661	-1.12	0.10	-0.57	0.06	0.512	3	8
Darney		2014	11044	3074	410	-1.42	0.09	-0.72	0.05	0.504	2	6
Durney		1994	8900	7500	300	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	CaCO ₃	2005	10375	7067	433	-1.60	0.09	-0.80	0.05	0.508	2	6
		2014	10295	4867	277	-1.82	0.06	-0.93	0.04	0.511	2	6
	Control	1995	7243	4100	914	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Coat-an-Hav		2014	6837	4610	1278	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Coat-an-may		1995	6428	4192	1052	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Carivige U3	2014	8238	5550	1481	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Table 1 (b)

		Organic layer										
Sites	Treatments	Years	к	Са	Mg	δ ²⁶ Mg _{DSM3}	δ ²⁵ Mg _{DSM3}			Slope	Ν	n
			(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(‰)		(‰)				
		1995	4035	2861	1649	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Control	2004	2216	5088	732	-0.48	0.08	-0.25	0.03	0.517	2	5
	Control	2007	2646	6112	910	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Potées		2016	1689	7630	1031	-0.43	0.01	-0.22	Sosm3 Slope a) n.a. n.a. n. n.a. n.a. 25 0.03 0.517 n. n.a. n.a. 22 0.01 0.509 n. n.a. n.a. 22 0.01 0.509 n. n.a. n.a. 28 0.05 0.518 n. n.a. n.a. 34 0.05 0.510 20 0.01 0.502 01 0.06 0.893 n. n.a. n.a. 34 0.05 0.510 20 0.01 0.502 01 0.06 0.893 n. n.a. n.a. 28 0.06 0.538 19 0.04 0.521 17 n.a. n.a. n. n.a. n.a.	1	2	
Follees	Pre-treatment	1995	3179	3737	1483	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		2004	2022	7815	1103	-0.54	0.09	-0.28	0.05	0.518	5	10
	Ca/MgCO ₃	2007	2880	9027	1264	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		2016	1920	10023	1188	-0.66	0.11	-0.34	0.05	0.510	2	5
llument	Control	2016	2104	3802	1065	-0.40	0.02	-0.20	0.01	0.502	3	8
Humont	$Ca/MgCO_3$	2016	3264	4512	1699	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.06	0.893	3	9
	Control	1994	1242	4291	1058	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a. n.a.		n.a.	n.a.
Fougèros	Control	2014	2441	5325	1422	-0.66	0.11	-0.34	0.05	0.510	2	5
rougeres	0.02	1994	1368	8211	933	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	CacO ₃	2014	1970	8973	1462	-0.52	0.13	-0.28	0.06	0.538	2	4
Dawaas	Control	2016	3356	4613	944	-0.35	0.12	-0.19	0.04	0.521	3	6
Darney	CaCO ₃	2016	1790	4049	636	-0.34	0.14	-0.17	0.08	0.517	4	8
Cost on Hou	Control	2014	1583	7632	2042	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
соас-ап-нау	$Ca/MgCO_3$	2014	1481	10156	2142	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Table 1 (c)

		Exchangeable soils (0-15cm)										
Sites	Treatments	Years	к	Ca	Mg	δ ²⁶ Mg _{DSM3}	δ ²⁵ Mg _{DSM3}			Slope	N	n
			(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(‰)		(‰)				
		1995	100	57	20	-0,93	0,05	-0,48	0,03	0,516	2+2*	6+5*
	Control	2004	66	30	10	-0,52	0,01	(U-15cm) δ ²⁵ Mg _{05M3} (%) -0,48 0, -0,27 0, n.a. n. -0,16 0, -0,50 0, -0,50 0, -0,50 0, -0,62 0, n.a. n. -0,34 0, n.a. n. -0,18 0, n.a. n. -0,68 0, -0,47 0, n.a. n. -0,68 0, -0,47 0, n.a. n. -0,68 0, -0,26 0, -0,27 0, -0,26 0, -0,27 0, -0,41 0, -0,44 0, n.a. n. -0,44 0, n.a. n.	0,01	0,519	1+1*	2+3*
	control	2007	71	88	21	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Botéss		2016	53	39	12	-0,32	0,03	-0,16	0,02	0,513	2	5
Potees	Pre-treatment	1995	99	59	19	-0,98	0,03	-0,50	0,02	0,510	1+2*	2+3*
		2004	64	210	30	-1,20	0,09	-0,62	0,05	0,517	3+2*	4+6*
	Ca/MgCO ₃	2007	109	557	59	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		2016	43	62	11	-0,66	0,00	-0,34	0,00	0,515	3	3
	Control	2007	118	29	23	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Humont		2016	87	18	16	-0,34	0,11	-0,18	0,07	0,540	4	10
	Ca/MgCO ₃	2007	119	426	73	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		2016	83	130	34	-1,35	0,04	-0,68	0,03	0,510	2	5
	Control	1994	103	75	37	-0,91	0,10	-0,47	0,06	0,511	3	8
Fougères		2014	72	75	34	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
rougeres	0.00	1994	88	278	33	-0,91	0,01	-0,48	0,00	0,528	2	4
	caco3	2014	34	24	13	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Control	1995	103	32	17	-0,50	0,05	-0,26	0,02	0,520	2+2*	8+3*
Darney		2016	78	20	12	-0,53	0,04	-0,27	0,04	0,506	3	6
Damey	CaCO.	1995	104	92	12	-0,80	0,07	-0,41	0,04	0,512	1+1*	3+4*
	caco3	2016	65	26	8	-0,84	0,02	-0,44	0,01	0,521	2	4
	Control	1995	92	76	40	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Coat-an-Hav		2014	59	50	17	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
coat-all-hay	Ca/MgCO.	1995	81	61	28	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	CarivigCO ₃	2014	54	153	35	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Table 2

Compartments	Sites	Treatment	Years	$\Delta_{compartments}^{26}Mg$	$\Delta_{compartments}^{25}Mg$				
				(‰)	(‰)				
		Control	2004	0.08	0.05				
	Potées		2014	-0.14	-0.07				
	Totees		2004	-0.40	-0.20				
Leaves			2014	-0.68	-0.34				
-	Humont	Control	2014	-0.67	-0.35				
Organic layer		$Ca/MgCO_3$	2014	-1.36	-0.71				
$(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Org})$	Fougàros	Control	2014	-0.27	-0.13				
J. J	rougeres	CaCO ₃	2014	-0.63	-0.32				
		Control	2014	-1.07	-0.53				
	Darney	CaCO ₃	2014	-1.48	-0.76				
			1995	0.05	0.03				
		Control	2004	0.12	0.07				
	Potées		2016	-0.25	-0.13				
	1 otees	Pre-treatment	1995	0.06	0.04				
Leaves			2004	0.26	0.14				
-			2016	-0.51	-0.26				
Exchangeable soil (0-15cm)	Humont	Control	2016	-0.73	-0.37				
$(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Fol-Exch})$	Ca/MgCO ₃	2016	-0.01	-0.02					
	Fougàros	Control	1994	-0.45	-0.22				
	Tougeres	CaCO ₃	1994	-0.65	-0.32				
	Demesi	Control	2016	-0.89	-0.45				
	Damey	CaCO₃	2016	-0.98	0.05 -0.07 -0.20 -0.34 -0.35 -0.71 -0.13 -0.32 -0.53 -0.76 0.03 0.07 -0.13 0.04 0.14 -0.26 -0.37 -0.22 -0.32 -0.32 -0.45 -0.45 -0.49 0.02 -0.22 -0.49 0.02 -0.22 -0.32				
		Control	2004	0.04	0.02				
	Potóoc	Control	2016	-0.11	-0.06				
Organic layer	Folees		2004	0.66	0.34				
-			2016	0.17	0.08				
Exchangeable soil (0-15cm)	Humont	Control	2016	-0.06	-0.02				
$(\Delta^{26}Mg_{Org-Exch})$		$Ca/MgCO_3$	2016	1.35	0.69				
-		Control	2016	0.18	0.08				
	Darney	CaCO ₃	2016	0.50	0.27				

850 Electronic Supplementary material

- **Fig. S1.** Description of the methodology to calculated the isotopic composition (δ^{26} Mg) of the
- 0-15cm soil layer from the measured values of the 0-5 and 5-15 cm soil layers at Potées (1995
- and 2004) and Darney (1995) sites.
- Fig. S2. Schematic description of the Mg pools and fluxes taken into account in the model.
- The fluxes with no isotopic fractionation are in black while isotopic fractionating fluxes are in
- red (alpha values represent the respective isotopic fractionation factor).
- Table S3. Description of the different hypotheses tested and results obtained with the numerical modelling approach.
- **Fig. S4.** Relationship between Mg concentration in leaves and $\Delta_{compartments}^{26}$ Mg. Studied
- 860 control plots are in blue, studied limed plots in red and literature data in black*.
- 861 * (Bedel 2015; Bolou-Bi et al. 2012).
- 862

- 863 Fig. S1.
 - 1) Calculation of the ²⁶Mg and ²⁴Mg ratio for DSM3 (mean on all sessions) :

$$\frac{2^{6}Mg}{2^{4}Mg}(DSM3) = 0.1592887$$
 n = 788

2) Calculation of the ²⁶Mg and ²⁴Mg ratio for each soil layer sample :

$$\frac{{}^{26}Mg}{{}^{24}Mg}(sample) = \left(\left(\frac{\delta^{26}Mg \ (sample)}{1000} \right) + 1 \right) \times \frac{{}^{26}Mg}{{}^{24}Mg} (DSM3)$$

3) Calculation of the amount of ²⁶Mg for each soil layer sample :

$$\%^{26}Mg (sample) = \frac{\frac{2^{5}Mg}{2^{4}Mg}(sample)}{\left(\left(1 + \frac{2^{5}Mg}{2^{4}Mg}(DSM3)\right) + \frac{2^{6}Mg}{2^{4}Mg}(sample)\right)}$$

.....

with $\frac{{}^{25}Mg}{{}^{24}Mg}(DSM3) = 0.126742$

4) Calculation of the amount of ^{26}Mg for the 0-15 cm soil layer :

$$\%^{26}Mg\ (0-15cm) = \frac{\left(\left(\%^{26}Mg_{(0-5cm)} \times e_{(0-5cm)} \times [Mg]_{(0-5cm)}\right) + \left(\%^{26}Mg_{(5-15cm)} \times e_{(5-15cm)} \times [Mg]_{(5-15cm)}\right)\right)}{\left(\left(e_{(0-5cm)} \times [Mg]_{(0-5cm)}\right) + \left(e_{(5-15cm)} \times [Mg]_{(5-15cm)}\right)\right)}$$

with e = thickness of soil layer

[Mg] = Mg concentration of soil layer

5) Calculation of the ²⁶Mg and ²⁴Mg ratio for the 0-15 cm soil layer :

$$\frac{{}^{26}Mg}{{}^{24}Mg}(0-15cm) = \frac{\left({}^{\%^{26}}Mg_{(0-15cm)} \times \left(1 + \frac{{}^{25}Mg}{{}^{24}Mg}(DSM3) \right) \right)}{\left(1 - {}^{\%^{26}}Mg_{(0-15cm)} \right)}$$

6) Calculation of the δ^{26} Mg for the 0-15 cm soil layer :

$$\delta^{26} Mg_{(0-15cm)} = \left(\left(\frac{\frac{2^{26} Mg}{2^{4} Mg} (0 - 15cm)}{\frac{2^{6} Mg}{2^{4} Mg} (DSM3)} \right) - 1 \right) \times 1000$$



Table S3.

Site	Hypothesis	Description/details	Range of input variables tested	Range of simulated parameters	Hypothesis rejected?	Explanation
	The isotopic fractionation during Mg uptake by roots (preferential uptake of 26Mg) explains the differences between limed and control plots	The Mg uptake flux in the limed plot increased after the liming compared to the control (the exchangeable Mg pool was lower in the limed plot) and caused a δ 26Mg signature shift in the exchangeable Mg pool.	Mg Uptake: [0.1 : 2.0] kg.ha ^{.1} .yr ^{.1}	α_{Uptake} : [+ 5 : + 10] ‰	YES	The simulated fractionation factors are greater than the Mg isotopic variation at the terrestrial scale and no such fractionation during root adsorption has been reported.
Darney	The isotopic mixing of native exchangeable Mg in the $[0-15cm]$ layer with an input source of Mg with a very low $\delta 26$ Mg signature explains differences between limed and control plots The input source of Mg originates from the mineralization of the organic layer the mineralization of		Mg organic layer: 5 kg.ha ⁻¹ α _{Uptake} : + 0.5 ‰ and +1.0 ‰ Mg Uptake: 0.5 kg.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹ 1.0 kg.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹	δ ²⁶ Mg organic layer source: [-2.4 : -1.5]‰ [-4.1 : -2.9]‰	YES	The simulated δ^{26} Mg of the organic layer source is much lower than the lowest δ^{26} Mg reported for organic layers (\approx -0.5 ‰)
	The input source of Mg originates from the Ca carbonate (reported δ^{26} Mg values for Ca carbonate rocks range from -5‰ to -4‰)		α _{Uptake} : + 0.5 ‰ and +1.0 ‰ Mg Uptake: 0.5 kg.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹ 1.0 kg.ha ⁻¹ .yr ⁻¹	Mg input source: [1.9 : 3.0] kg.ha ^{.1} [3.3 : 5.2] kg.ha ^{.1}	NO	To obtain the simulated contributions of a Mg carbonate source the applied carbonate (2500 kg.ha ⁻¹) would need to contain between 0.13% and 0.34% MgO which is possible
	The large fraction of liming product, which was not found in soil (111 kg.ha ⁻¹), was immobilized in the biomass.		Not modelled		YES	The input-output budgets only show a slight increase of the Mg stock in the biomass in the limed plots (+5 kg.ha ⁻¹) over the 1995-2004 period.
ies	The large fraction of liming product, which was not found in soil, was immobilized in the organic layer.		Not modelled		YES	The input-output budgets only shows a slight increase of the Mg stock in the organic layer (+3 kg.ha ⁻¹) over the 1995-2004 period.
Les Poté	A large fraction of the Mg released from the liming product was leached below 15cm depth	A fraction of the liming product was very rapidly (within the 1 st year after liming) leached below 15 cm depth and did not isotopically mix with the 0- 15cm soil layer	α _{uptake} : + 0.5 ‰ and +1.0 ‰ Lime Mg leaching: [0 – 120] kg.ha ^{.1}	Preferential leaching of dolomitic Mg: [85% : 89%]	NO	The simulated proportion of dolomitic Mg leached below 15cm in only one year agrees with the calculated recovery of dolomitic Mg in 2004 (14 kg.ha ⁻¹)
		The Mg leaching was associated to an isotopic fractionation	 α_{Uptake}: + 0.5 ‰ and +1.0 ‰ Leaching scenarios: All dolomitic Mg leaching occurs in the first year after application The leaching of dolomitic Mg is evenly spread over the 10 year period 	α _{Leaching} : - 0.6 ‰ and -0.4 ‰ - 0.35 ‰ and -0.25 ‰	NO	Though isotopic fractionation during Mg leaching is not well characterized in the literature, the simulated isotopic fractionation factors seem realistic

Fig. S4.

