



HAL
open science

Year-to-year crown condition poorly contributes to ring width variations of beech trees in French ICP level I network

Clara Tallieu, Vincent Badeau, Denis Allard, Louis-Michel Nageleisen,
Nathalie Bréda

► To cite this version:

Clara Tallieu, Vincent Badeau, Denis Allard, Louis-Michel Nageleisen, Nathalie Bréda. Year-to-year crown condition poorly contributes to ring width variations of beech trees in French ICP level I network. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 2020, 465, pp.118071. 10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118071 . hal-03197983

HAL Id: hal-03197983

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03197983>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Reference: FORECO 118071

Title: Year-to-year crown condition poorly contributes to ring width variations of beech trees in French ICP level I network

Authors:

1. Clara Tallieu : corresponding author
 - Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, INRAE, SILVA, F-54000 Nancy, France
 - clara.tallieu@inrae.fr
2. Vincent Badeau : Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, INRAE, SILVA, F-54000 Nancy, France
3. Denis Allard : Biostatistics and Spatial Processes (BioSP), INRAE, F-84914 Avignon, France
4. Louis-Michel Nageleisen : Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation et de la Forêt, Département Santé des Forêts, 54280 Champenoux, France
5. Nathalie Bréda : Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, INRAE, SILVA, F-54000 Nancy, France

Abstract:

Since the 1980-90's episodes of decline in Central European Forests, forest condition has been surveyed thanks to the trans-national network the International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests (ICP Forests). It has been traditionally accepted that leaf loss is directly related to impairment of physiological condition of the tree. A few studies tried to correlate crown condition and growth trends while others concentrated on linking annual growth with crown observation at one date clustered into fertility classes. However, none focussed on the high frequency synchronism between leaf loss from annual network observations and annual radial growth issued from dendrochronology. Therefore, we jointly studied annual leaf loss observations and tree-ring width measurements on 715 common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) trees distributed in the French part of the ICP monitoring network. Detrended inter-annual variations of leaf loss and tree-ring width index were used as response variables in the machine-learning algorithm Random Forest to investigate a common response to abiotic (current and lagged) and biotic hazards, to test the extent to which leaf loss helped to predict inter-annual variations in radial growth. Using Random Forest was effective to identify a common sensitivity to soil water deficit at different time lags. Previous-year climatic variables tended to control leaf loss while radial growth was more sensitive to current-year soil water deficit. Late frost damages were observed on crown condition in mountainous regions but no impact was detected on radial growth. Few significant biotic damages were observed on growth or leaf loss. Leaf loss series did not show a clear common signal among trees from a plot as did radial growth and captured fewer pointer years. Radial growth index did not fall below normal until a 20% leaf loss was reached. However, this threshold is driven by a few extreme leaf loss events. As shown by our joint analysis of leaf loss and radial growth pointer years, no relationship occurred in cases of slight or moderate defoliation. Crown condition is a poorer descriptor of tree vitality than radial growth.

Keywords: Dendrochronology; climate; drought; leaf loss; Random Forest; *Fagus sylvatica*; forest health monitoring; water balance.

1 1. Introduction

2 Forests have to cope with a variety of disturbances including acute stressors (climatic
3 events, insect outbreaks or fungal leaf diseases) and chronic pressures (atmospheric
4 pollution, root rots, adverse trends in temperatures) (Seidl et al., 2017). Since the 1980s,
5 forest decline in Europe has triggered a need for robust indicators to help to monitor forest
6 condition.

7 Diagnosing forest health has mainly been based on descriptive criteria related to primary
8 growth, summarized under the term "crown condition". These descriptors include crown
9 morphology, abnormal leaf discolouration and estimates of leaf deficit relative to a so-called
10 reference tree, later referred to as "leaf loss" (Nageleisen, 2005; Roloff, 1985).

11 Since the end of the 1970s, and the impressive episodes of decline in Central European
12 forests, several forest health monitoring networks have been set up. The first in France was
13 set up on a 16x1 km grid, only in the mountains all along the eastern border, where foresters
14 were concerned by a severe health decline in fir. Observations were performed from 1983 to
15 1993 to observe the recovery of coniferous tree health (Barthod, 1994). In 1985, a trans-
16 national network, the International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring
17 of Air Pollution Effects on Forests (ICP Forests), was created under the United Nations
18 Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) (Mueller-Edzards et al., 1997). Forest condition
19 has therefore been monitored since 1986/87 on a large scale, though at a low intensity
20 (Level I), thanks to a systematic network of plots located at the nodes of a 16x16 km grid in
21 all European forests. Annual visual observations of crown condition are carried out for 20
22 trees on these plots according to two criteria: leaf loss compared to a full folied "reference
23 tree" , and abnormal leaf colouration (Eichhorn et al., 2016).

24 Visual assessment of crown condition from the ground has historically raised the
25 methodological problem of the experts' objectivity (Innes et al., 1993). Despite this, many
26 studies have based their analyses on such data and used them to interpret inter-annual
27 variations and trends in crown condition as a function of environmental constraints. Through
28 a cross-sectional approach, van Leuween et al. (2000) proved the limited effect of air
29 pollution on crown condition compared to the effect of tree age and climate. Other studies,
30 based on cross-sectional mean-term and inter-annual resolution approaches, showed the
31 negative impact of drought events on tree crown condition (de Vries et al., 2014; Ferretti et
32 al., 2014; Popa et al., 2017; Seidling, 2007; Solberg, 2004).

33 In Europe, crown condition remains a widely-used forest condition indicator "within Criterion
34 2, 'Forest health and vitality'", one of the six criteria adopted by Forest Europe (formerly the

35 Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe – MCPFE) to provide
36 information for sustainable forest management in Europe¹”(Michel et al., 2018). ICP technical
37 and executive reports express damage results as the percentage of trees with more than
38 25% defoliation. However, to our knowledge, it has never been properly demonstrated that
39 this threshold is an appropriate breakpoint for deciding whether a tree is in good or poor
40 condition. Assessing the validity of this threshold was one goal of this study.

41 Radial growth, is also a well-established and widely-used indicator of tree health and
42 physiological status (Dobbertin, 2005). Research on the relationship between radial growth
43 and crown condition has shown no clear pattern. Authors discriminate tree populations
44 according to their growth performance and then retrieve characteristic leaf loss thresholds
45 that have a significant effect on radial growth *a posteriori*. However, the thresholds for growth
46 decline are species- and site-dependent (Becker, 1987; Bert et al., 1990).

47 Very few studies have investigated the connection between inter-annual variations in crown
48 condition and radial growth. Dittmar and Elling (2007) showed a decrease in increment with
49 leaf loss but their findings cannot be generalized because their study was restricted to only
50 12 trees. Seidling (2012) found a low correlation between inter-annual beech growth and leaf
51 loss and questioned using leaf loss to explain functional relationships between the two
52 indicators at the plot level. Solberg (1999) also found a weak correlation between tree-
53 specific long-term means for crown condition and growth.

54 This study focuses on common beech, one of the most important and widespread
55 broadleaved trees in France and Europe. In beech, each year, a new shoot grows from a
56 dormant bud at the end of the previous year's shoot. There are two types of shoots:
57 exploratory (long) and exploitation (short) shoots. The long, fast-growing exploratory shoots
58 extend into the space around the tree and are therefore located in the upper periphery of the
59 crown (Teissier Du Cros et al., 1981), where leaf loss is usually assessed. Therefore, leaf
60 loss as compared to a reference tree and assessed on the upper third of the crown, results
61 from abnormal primary development (short elongation, impaired crown development, bud
62 burst deficit), while cambial activity generates the secondary growth responsible for radial
63 increment.

64 There is solid knowledge of radial growth and crown development responses in beech to
65 climate. On one hand, water deficits impair twig elongation (Power, 1994; Stribley and
66 Ashmore, 2002) and reduce the number, rate of expansion and final size of the leaves
67 (Zahner, 1968). On the other hand, secondary growth in beech is sensitive to current

¹ https://www.foresteurope.org/docs/MC/MC_lisbon_resolution_annex1.pdf

68 summer water shortage as well as the previous year's climatic conditions. These
69 observations can be explained by the influence of climate on the carbon quantities allocated
70 to growth or storage (Barbaroux and Bréda, 2002) and by a possible trade-off between
71 growth and masting (Genet et al., 2009; Hacket-Pain et al., 2017, 2015). In beech, mild
72 winter temperatures induce the resumption of physiological processes leading to reactivation
73 of cambium and breaking of bud dormancy (Dittmar et al., 2003; Lebourgeois et al., 2005). It
74 has been shown that negative pointer years present in a growth series can be related to late
75 frost events, thus showing a sensitivity of beech to late winter temperatures, especially
76 during the critical stage of leaf unfolding (Dittmar et al., 2006, 2003).

77 A comprehensive view of the link between primary and secondary growth considers leaf loss
78 as a measure of the mid-term regulation of leaf mass (Bréda, 2008). This leaf loss can impair
79 carbon and water fluxes and, as a consequence, restrict primary and/or secondary radial
80 growth.

81 The aims of this paper are: 1/ to investigate whether the leaf loss (LL - monitored from 2001
82 to 2014) and radial growth at permanent plots in the French Level I ICP Forests network
83 respond to common biotic or abiotic hazards; and 2/ to test if annual leaf loss explains part
84 of the annual radial growth.

85 We compared the impact of climate constraints during the current and previous year on
86 radial growth and leaf loss, and interpreted the results in the light of the current knowledge of
87 beech ecophysiology and development.

88 The originality of our approach is twofold. First, typical dendrochronological analyses rely on
89 a bootstrapped response function requiring monthly climatic values as input (Biondi and
90 Waikul, 2004) and not considering any time-related cumulative impact. Such results are not
91 suited for a functional interpretation because the variables are disconnected from the
92 underlying gaseous exchanges and the growth and carbon-allocation processes. In contrast,
93 based on our knowledge of ecophysiology, we assume a posture that differs from classical
94 dendrochronology. We computed several drought indices retrospectively with the process-
95 based model Biljou©. The daily time resolution in Biljou© allowed us to take into account
96 changes in canopy conductance in response to soil water deficit. A daily calculation makes it
97 possible to integrate key phenological periods for beech water balance or carbon assimilation
98 and allocation. Through soil water balance modelling, responses to temperature, precipitation

99 - and more importantly for canopy processes - potential evapotranspiration, are integrated in
100 comprehensive process-based predictors. We can therefore avoid adding monthly
101 precipitation and temperature as done in function response models. Second, to handle the
102 diversity of the potential variables, the presence of nonlinear relationships and the possible
103 correlations among them, we chose to work with a nonparametric variable-selection method,
104 Random Forest (Breiman, 2001). Random Forest models often show a higher predictive
105 ability than classical regression (Muchlinski et al., 2016; Oliveira et al., 2012) and linear
106 response function models (Jevšenak et al., 2018a). Random Forest models are gaining
107 attention in different fields of ecology, including dendrochronology (Cutler et al., 2007;
108 Jevšenak et al., 2018a; Prasad et al., 2006). We emphasize that the objective of this work is
109 to interpret rather than predict results, even though Random Forest has proven to be a very
110 powerful predictive method in many contexts, including tree-ring analysis (Genuer et al.,
111 2015; Jevšenak et al., 2018b). Our aim is to take advantage of this powerful device to
112 disentangle possible non-linear, complex or redundant relationships between variables.

113 2. Materials and methods

114 2.1. Sampled plots

115 The French part of the ICP Forests “Level I” transnational monitoring network includes 112
116 plots with at least one common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) tree. For this study, 97 plots, with
117 a total of 715 beech trees, were selected based on three criteria: 1/ the plot contained at
118 least five beech trees, 2/ crown condition observations were available from 1989 to 2014,
119 and 3/ the plots were distributed as widely as possible within the beech distribution range in
120 France. The French national territory is divided into eight ecological regions, referred to as
121 GRECOs (‘Large Ecological Regions’), with similar bio-climates, bedrock formations and
122 topography, as defined by the French NFI (National Forest Inventory). The eight GRECOs
123 are as follows: three lowland regions in the west, north and east; three mid-mountain areas in
124 the Massif Central, Vosges and Jura; and two high-mountain regions in the Pyrenees and
125 Alps. For statistical reasons, and based on climatic similarities, we grouped together the plots

126 in the western and northern regions (Northern lowlands), and the plots in the Jura and
127 Vosges (Vosges-Jura) mountains, for a total of six ecological regions.

128 2.2. Crown condition data

129 Assessing crown condition is central to monitoring in the ICP Forests. The currently used
130 assessment methods were developed in the mid-1980s. Crown condition is evaluated by
131 visually assessing leaf loss (LL) from the ground and a standardised method presented in a
132 manual for crown condition assessment is followed (Eichhorn et al., 2016). Every year from
133 July 1st to August 31st, trained observers assess LL in 5% incremental steps on 20
134 numbered, dominant or co-dominant trees. Leaf loss in the crown of the sampled trees is
135 visually compared to a virtual local “reference tree”. This reference is a conceptual tree,
136 optimally foliated under the specific local site conditions and of a similar age and social
137 status to the average tree in the stand. Leaf loss series are available from 1989 to the
138 present.

139 Age is known to be the factor most correlated to crown condition (Seidling and Mues, 2005).
140 Because we wanted to identify signals in relation to climate, we needed to remove any trends
141 caused by other factors. Furthermore, we hoped to explain the inter-annual variations in leaf
142 loss and to keep only high-frequency inter-annual signals. We therefore standardized leaf-
143 loss series at the tree level to create two indices. For the first leaf-loss series index (LLd1),
144 we fitted the long-term trend with a linear regression of time for a given tree, then, for each
145 year, used the ratio method to compute a de-trended signal (Cook et al., 1990; Douglass,
146 1936; Dyer and Fritts, 1978).

147 2.3. Radial growth data

148 Each assessed tree was cored to the pith at breast height for dendrochronological analysis.
149 We measured tree-ring from the bark to the pith to the nearest 1/100 mm with a microscope
150 connected to a computer running the SAISIE program (Bert et al., 1990). Ring-reading
151 mistakes were checked for and corrected by cross-dating the tree ring series, according to

152 the procedure described in Becker et al. (1994). During the cross-dating step, each individual
153 chronology was compared to an average chronology for the corresponding ecological region
154 to identify regional pointer years. Then, each ring-series was checked for erroneous dating
155 with the INTERDAT computer program (Becker and Dupouey, unpublished). This program
156 depends on pointer years calculated with the method proposed by Becker (1989): a year is
157 considered characteristic when at least 75% of the trees in a stand have the same sign for
158 change in growth with an absolute variation in growth of at least 10% from the previous year.

159 Plots were chosen according to a systematic grid. Therefore, trees of different ages, social
160 status and stand management were cored to the pith. To investigate year-to-year tree-ring
161 variations, we removed non-climatic signals (trends related to age, competition, stand
162 management, long term trend due to climate change): each individual tree-ring series was
163 transformed into a growth index which kept only the annual growth signal, i.e. inter-annual
164 climatic variations and annual pest and disease damage (Fig. 1b). We used the statistical
165 freeware R (R Core Team, 2016) with the “dplR” package (Bunn, 2008) to standardize each
166 individual tree-ring series and to isolate the inter-annual signal thanks to a smoothing spline
167 with a frequency response of 50% at 30 years (Cook and Peters, 1981), thus yielding
168 dimensionless de-trended ring widths (rwi). With this de-trending method, we kept high
169 frequency variations, the signals that we sought to interpret. In addition, because we were
170 interested in the relation between leaf loss and tree-ring increment, we did not remove the
171 autocorrelation. Indeed, both leaf loss and tree-ring increment can have legacy effects from
172 the previous year through amounts of non-structural carbohydrates or bud preformation.

173 2.4. Predictors

174 In order to characterize inter-annual variations in growth and in leaf loss, the selected
175 predictors were related to plot (mainly climatic) or individual tree characteristics (annual leaf
176 damage). For each plot, daily climatic variables were extracted from the mesoscale SAFRAN
177 reanalysis, which covers France with an 8 km x 8 km grid (Quintana-Seguí et al., 2008).
178 These climatic variables were then summarized into seasonal variables known to influence

179 crown condition and/or growth (Nevalainen et al., 2010; Seidling, 2007; Seidling et al., 2012)
 180 over the reference period 2001-2014. Based on temperature functions, the budburst date
 181 (BBD) was also modelled for each plot (Dufrêne et al., 2005). As beech is a diffuse-porous
 182 species, its radial growth starts just after budburst and ends sometime in August (Barbaroux
 183 and Bréda, 2002; Michelot et al., 2012). For this reason, we used the modelled budburst date
 184 to define the start of the growing season for all our plots (Table 1) and we aggregated mean
 185 temperatures from April to August (TM.AA).

186 One-year-lagged climatic variables were also computed as potential predictors. However, no
 187 significant trends were observed on the series.

188

189 Table 1. Target and explanatory variables

Variables	Code	Description	Type	Min	Median	Max
Target variables						
Defoliation	LL	Raw leaf loss (5% steps)	numeric	0	20	95
	LLd1	De-trended leaf loss (indices around 1)	numeric	0	0.9	8
	LLd2	De-trended leaf loss index centred on the mean (% of leaf loss)	numeric	0	22.54	95.17
Growth	rwl	Raw ring-width (1/100 mm)	numeric	6	124	894
	rwi	Growth index	numeric	0.10	0.98	2.62
Explanatory variables						
Climate	TM.AA	Mean temperature from April to August	numeric	5.79	14.5	19.42
	late.chill	Sum of maximum temperatures < 0°C from March to May	numeric	-116.50	0	0
	chill	Sum of maximum temperatures < 0°C from previous-year October to current February	numeric	-352.40	-25.80	0
	BBD	Bud burst day modelled according to Dufrêne et al.(2005)	numeric	96	114	154
Water balance	DI	Soil water deficit intensity from budburst to leaf-fall	numeric	0	30	120
	DI.JJ	Soil water deficit intensity from June to July	numeric	0	7.58	58.76
Leaf symptoms	symptom	Identification of leaf damage according to:	Categorical			
	WHITE	Whitish coloration				

BRON	Bronze coloration
CONS	Consumption
COLOR	Coloration
ENTO	Insects present
DEFORM	Deformation
FRUC	Fructification
YELLOW	Light green to yellow coloration
MISS	Missing without any trace of consumption
MICR	Microphyllly
MORT	Mortality
MYCO	Fungus
NECR	Necrosis
RED	Reddening to browning

190

191 The ICP Forests network underwent two soil surveys during the study period. A soil
 192 description (soil type, depth, root distribution) took place between 1994 and 1995
 193 (Vanmechelen et al., 1997) and soil properties (bulk density, texture, carbon content) were
 194 measured between 2005 and 2008 through the BioSoil-Biodiversity project (Lacarce et al.,
 195 2009). These soil data were used to compute soil extractable water (in mm) for each plot.


196 For each plot, ecophysiologicaly-based drought indices were computed thanks to the forest
 197 water balance model Biljou © (Granier et al., 1999). The model simulates the water fluxes
 198 between the atmosphere, the canopy and the soil for a given plot. The soil water content is
 199 computed daily as a function of precipitation, rainfall interception, tree transpiration, soil
 200 evaporation and drainage. The model represents the regulation of canopy gaseous
 201 exchanges when the soil water deficit drops below a threshold of 0.4, which induces stomatal
 202 closure (Granier et al., 1999, Bréda and Granier, 1996). Below this threshold, canopy
 203 conductance and GPP (gross primary production) decreases, as we demonstrated in beech
 204 stands (Granier et al., 2007). The calculation of potential evapotranspiration integrates
 205 elementary variables into radiative and convective components, which are the drivers for
 206 canopy exchanges with the atmosphere. The daily meteorological variables required are

207 rainfall, air temperature and humidity, global radiation and wind speed. Soil descriptions and
208 properties at each plot (rooting pattern, extractable water, soil bulk density) were used to
209 calculate water balance. The output variable characterizing drought events was soil water
210 deficit intensity from June to July (maximum growth rate, Michelot et al., 2012) or from
211 budburst to leaf fall (Table 1).

212 Since 2000, in addition to rating leaf loss, the ICP reports have required annual descriptions
213 of damaging agents for each sampled tree. Damage assessment consists of describing the
214 symptoms and determining the cause, if possible. A symptom is defined as an indicator of
215 the presence of harmful agents having had a significant impact on any part of the tree
216 (Eichhorn et al., 2016). Only the symptoms observed on leaves were kept in our analysis.
217 The symptom variable indicates the presence or absence of a symptom on the leaves. The
218 symptom variable is declined into different categories identifying the symptom observed on
219 the leaves.

220 2.5. Data sets

221 Our objective was to work on continuous leaf-loss series that were homogeneous in quality
222 while maximizing the number of trees for analysis. To avoid (1) biases due to the change in
223 the leaf loss assessment protocol that occurred between 1994 and 1996 (Landmann et al.,
224 1999, 1998) and (2) the disturbances related to the 1999 storms (Lothar and Martin,
225 depending on the region), we selected homogeneous leaf-loss series starting in 2001. Due to
226 the joint analysis of leaf loss and radial growth series, the end of the study period was the
227 year of the last available tree-ring width data, i.e. 2014. Therefore, we worked over the
228 common period 2001 to 2014.



229

230 Figure 1. Distribution of (a) leaf loss according to 5% classes (LL) and (b) tree-ring width index (rwi) over the
 231 period 2001-2014.

232 Two different data sets were created for the analyses, according to their objectives.

233 Our first objective was to analyse inter-annual variations in leaf loss, observed in July or
 234 August. The response variable was the de-trended annual leaf loss (LLd1) of each tree
 235 covering the period from 2001 to 2014 (Fig. 1, Table 2). The predictors used were current-
 236 and previous-year annual climatic variables, water balance variables and the presence of
 237 damage symptoms. Current-year soil water deficit from budburst to leaf fall and water deficit
 238 duration were eliminated because these variables aggregate events that may have
 239 happened after the observation of leaf loss (in July-August) and may therefore have had no
 240 influence on current-year crown condition estimates. Two symptoms with a possible delayed
 241 impact on leaf loss were introduced with a one-year lag: fructification and microphyllly.

242

243 Table 2. Number of sampled trees and plots (in brackets) composing each data set by ecological region.

Ecological regions	Site code	Radial growth	Leaf loss	Common samples
Alps	ALPS	107 (14)	103 (14)	103 (14)
Northern lowlands	CNO	92 (18)	81 (17)	70 (17)
Eastern lowlands	EAST	147 (23)	103 (20)	66 (15)

Massif central	MC	142 (16)	135 (16)	135 (16)
Vosges-Jura mountains	VJ	134(18)	126 (18)	121 (18)
Pyrenees	PYR	94 (8)	93 (8)	88 (8)
National	NAT	716 (97)	641 (93)	583 (88)

244

245 Our second objective was to analyse the influence of leaf loss on radial growth. We therefore
 246 created a second data set of 583 trees with a continuous series for leaf loss and growth
 247 index from 2001 to 2014 (Fig. 1, Table 2). The response variable was the annual ring-width
 248 index (rwi) and the same predictors were used as in the previously described analyses (i.e.
 249 current- and previous-year annual climatic variables, water balance variables and presence
 250 of damage symptoms).

251 Because we expected the average level of leaf loss to influence inter-annual variations in
 252 radial growth, we calculated a second index, LLd2.

253 For a given tree, i in year y , the new index $LLd2_{iy}$ was:

$$254 \quad LLd2_{iy} = LLd1_{iy} \times \overline{LL}_i$$

255 where \overline{LL}_i is the average leaf loss for tree i for the period 2001-2014.

256 The index LLd2 is centred on the average leaf loss of the tree and is expressed as a
 257 percentage.

258 In the second objective, the de-trended leaf loss (LLd2) of the previous and current year was
 259 introduced as an additional predictor of radial growth.

260 2.6. Statistics

261 To provide deeper understanding of the relationship between leaf loss and tree-ring width,
 262 pointer years were detected on raw tree-ring and leaf-loss series. Analysing pointer years is
 263 typical in dendrochronological studies (Becker et al., 1994; Schweingruber et al., 1990).

264 Pointer years represent a growth reaction to abrupt changes in environmental conditions and
265 are usually expressed as a relative change in growth, in percentage. Usually, pointer years
266 correspond to a calendar year when, at least, 75% of the trees have the same sign of change
267 with rings at least 10% narrower, or wider, than the previous year (Becker et al., 1994). By
268 testing different thresholds, it is possible to distinguish very characteristic years from less
269 characteristic years. We transposed this technique to our leaf-loss series. However, because
270 no pointer years were detected with the above-mentioned thresholds, we defined pointer
271 years for radial growth and leaf loss as years when at least 60% of the trees shared a
272 variation of at least 10% with the previous year (Becker et al., 1994; Mérian and
273 Lebourgeois, 2011).

274 We calculated the Gini coefficient (GINI) of the de-trended leaf loss (LLd1) and growth index
275 (rwi) series to compare growth sensitivity to climate. GINI is a relative index, quantifying
276 sensitivity scaled by mean and sample size (Biondi and Qeadan, 2008). We calculated GINI
277 for each tree and then averaged the GINI values in a second step. We used the
278 Gleichläufigkeit index (GLK) (Schweingruber, 1987) to check for a similarity in signal
279 between the series, in other words, their synchronization.

280 We chose to apply the machine-learning algorithm Random Forest (RF) (Breiman, 2001), a
281 nonparametric technique for classification or regression. RF is based on the Classification
282 And Regression Tree (CART) algorithm (Breiman et al., 1984). CART builds a decision tree
283 by finding the dichotomy at each iteration that minimizes a goodness-of-fit criterion among all
284 predictors. CART is known to be very sensitive to small changes in the data set (Genuer and
285 Poggi, 2019). RF is a tree-based ensemble method, which overcomes these limitations by
286 averaging regression over a great number of randomized variants of the decision trees
287 obtained with CART. The number of trees, *ntree*, is usually set between 500 and 2000.
288 Variants are obtained from bootstrap samples of the learning set (*the bagging*) and random
289 subsets of variables. Breiman (2001) showed, both theoretically and empirically, that these
290 averaged trees have fewer expected errors than do single trees. Because random subsets of

291 variables are selected at each node (control parameter *mtry*), the collinearity of the predictors
292 is reduced.

293 RF therefore has two interesting features: it is able to model nonlinear relationships and it
294 can handle redundancy in the variables. RF has proven to be a very efficient method, usually
295 ranked among the most efficient machine-learning methods (Genuer et al., 2015), both for
296 classification and for regression.

297 In addition to these advantages over regressions, RF makes it possible to rank the
298 importance of the predictor variables and to evaluate their marginal effect on the response
299 variable, without any a priori assumptions. The bagging process makes it possible to predict
300 the “out-of-bag” (OOB) samples from the “in-the-bag” ones. The importance of the variables
301 is then measured as the averaged increase of squared OOB residuals when the predictor is
302 permuted (Genuer et al., 2015).

303 Variable importance provides a measure to compare predictors within a dataset but does not
304 provide a threshold to select the most relevant ones. The R package “VSURF” (Genuer et
305 al., 2015) selects variables in two ways: for interpretative purposes, it can select all the
306 relevant predictors related to the variable under study (there can be redundancies); and, for
307 predictive purposes, it can select the smallest set of predictors that explains most of the
308 variability for the variable. Since we wanted to select predictors for functional interpretation
309 and not for prediction, we will only discuss the first type of selection in this paper. As a first
310 step, VSURF eliminated certain predictors based on the standard deviation of the variable’s
311 importance (averaged over 50 runs of RF). The remaining variables were used to construct
312 nested RFs starting with the single most important variable and finishing with all the
313 important predictors. The predictors leading to the smallest OOB error were kept. After some
314 exploratory analysis (not reported here), VSURF was implemented with *ntree*= 2000 and
315 *mtry*= $p/3$ (p , being the number of variables involved in the model). Most of the time, the
316 cross-validated optimised parameters were very close to these values; and even when they
317 were not, predictions were not significantly different from those obtained with the above

318 setting. We randomly sampled 70% of the data set to train the RF and kept the remaining
319 30% to test prediction-error. For each model, the pseudo- R^2 predictive accuracy metric was
320 computed on the test set as calculated in the “randomForest” package (Liaw and Wiener,
321 2002). This metric is defined as the proportion of total variation in the outcome explained by
322 the model (or forest); values range between 0 and 1.

323 Strobl et al. (2008) report that variable importance metrics can be biased towards correlated
324 variables in some situations and propose an improved method in order to take this into
325 account. The method requires implementing a conditional permutation scheme within a
326 group that can potentially contain large sets of covariables. Genuer et al. (2010) specifically
327 studied RF variable importance in the presence of groups of highly correlated explanatory
328 variables. They did not diagnose any such bias for variable selection. In our case, we
329 performed preliminary exploratory analyses with the “party” package (Strobl et al., 2009). We
330 consistently obtained extremely low importance scores for all variables (results not reported
331 here), making it completely impossible to come up with any interpretation. Strobl et al. (2008)
332 and Genuer et al. (2010) agree on the fact that, despite possible overestimation of the
333 importance score, the order of the variables is usually preserved.


334 We used the “PDP” package (Greenwell, 2017) to describe the relationships between the
335 selected predictors and the variables of interest and illustrated the results with partial
336 dependence plots. A partial dependence plot (PDP) shows if the relationship between the
337 response and the predictor is linear, monotonous or more complex. For a given predictor
338 value, the PDP tells what the average marginal effect on the prediction is. We used the R
339 3.3.2 statistical software for all of our statistical analyses.

340 3. Results

341 3.1. Leaf-loss and radial-growth series

342 On the overall period (2001-2014), beech presented a mean defoliation of 24%
343 (median=20%). We calculated the Gini (GINI) and the “Gleichlaufigkeit” (GLK) coefficients to


344 compare the year-to-year variability and the strength of the common signal between the trees
345 (Table A.1). The GINI coefficient was higher for LLd1 (0.22) than for rwi (0.18), and
346 quantified a wider year-to-year variability for leaf loss. Inversely, the GLK coefficients were
347 weaker for LLd1 (0.52) than for rwi (0.58), suggesting a weaker common signal for leaf loss,
348 as shown in Fig.2.



349

350 Figure 2: Mean yearly a) leaf loss (LL) and b) tree-ring width index (rwi) for each plot from 2001 to 2014 with
351 dashed lines representing positive or negative pointer years.

352 In order to check if the high year-to-year variability in LL among trees could be attributed to
353 the quality of the visual assessment, we took into account the changes in observers at a
354 given plot during the observation period (information available in the ICP database). There
355 was no clear continuous pattern between the GINI coefficient and the number of pairs of LL
356 observers. The GINI coefficient did increase when there was more than one pair of observers
357 during the visual assessment period; however, it did not increase with increasing numbers of
358 pairs (Fig. 3).



359

360 Figure 3: Boxplots of the relationship between the GINI coefficients calculated on the de-trended leaf-loss series
 361 (LLd1) for the period 2001-2014 and the number of pairs of observers on the same period. In brackets, the
 362 number of observations.

363 Looking only at positive pointer years for leaf loss (i.e. significant increase in leaf loss) and
 364 negative pointer years for radial growth (i.e. significant decrease in radial growth) for the
 365 period 2001-2014, pointer years were less frequent for leaf loss than for radial growth,
 366 confirming the lower sensitivity of leaf loss to climatic events.

367 At the national scale, we detected only one pointer year (2004) common to both high leaf
 368 loss and low radial growth, while two other national-scale negative pointer years for radial
 369 growth were observed in 2002 and 2009 (Table 3). At this scale, no negative pointer year
 370 was detected for LL (i.e. a significant decrease in leaf loss), while radial growth had two
 371 positive pointer years (i.e. significant increase in radial growth) in 2007 and 2012 (Table 3).
 372 At the ecological-region scale, each positive pointer year found for the leaf loss series
 373 corresponded to a negative pointer year for radial growth, in 2004 and 2011 (Table A.2).

374

375 Table 3. Negative and positive pointer years (PY) for radial growth and positive pointer years for leaf loss at the
 376 national scale, with their relative variation (LL_RV and RWI_RV, respectively) and abnormal climatic events that
 377 might be related.


PY	LL_RV	RWI_RV	Abnormal climatic events

2002	-14.99		
2004	122.63	-32.15	lag effects from the extreme summer drought and heat wave in 2003
2007	45.3		High precipitation distributed equally over the vegetation period
2009	-15.38		Hot, dry summer and August 2008 drought with a lag effect
2012	55.94		Autumn precipitation

378

379 3.2. Common explanatory variables of the inter-annual variations in leaf loss and radial
380 growth

381 We selected variables with an important relation to LLd1 or rwi for interpretative, not
382 predictive, purposes; consequently, our selected variables present redundancies. The
383 interpretation of the selection should not be seen as an additive model but rather as a list of
384 the most important variables to select when modelling inter-annual variability in leaf loss and
385 radial growth.



386

387 Figure 4: Variable importance plot generated by the Random Forest (VSURF) algorithm for inter-annual variations
388 in de-trended leaf-loss series (LLd1, 2001-2016) at the national scale, and the ranking of the six ecological
389 regions: East, North (CNO), Alps, Massif Central (MC), Vosges-Jura mountains (VJ) and Pyrenees (PYR). The
390 predictors are ranked by the variable's importance measured as the average increase in squared OOB residuals
391 when the predictor was permuted (Genuer et al., 2015). The coloured variables were selected from the
392 interpretation step of VSURF procedure. For each model, the variance explained is computed as pseudo R-
393 squared: $1 - \text{mse} / \text{Var}(y)$. For the retained predictors: mean temperature from April to August (TM.AA), sum of
394 maximum temperatures $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ from March to May (late.chill), sum of maximum temperatures $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ from previous-
395 year October to current February (chill), modelled bud burst day (BBD), soil water deficit intensity from budburst to


396 leaf-fall (DI), soil water deficit intensity from June to July (DI.JJ), leaf damage assessment (symptom), de-trended
397 leaf loss index centred on the mean LLd2). Lag.1= previous year.

398 From partial dependence plots (PDPs) and the importance of the selected variables shown in
399 Fig. 4 and Fig.5, we can describe the relationship between rwi or LLd1 and the predictors. A
400 PDP helps visualize the relationship between a predictor and the response variable of
401 interest, by keeping the other predictors constant. PDPs highlight the existence of non-linear
402 relationships between predictors and the variables of interest rwi and LLd1 (Fig.B.1 and
403 Fig.B.2).

404 The Random Forest model explained 47% of the growth index. For the period 2001-2014,
405 both the current and previous years' climatic variables controlled the tree-ring width index
406 (Fig. 5). For LLd1, the Random Forest model explained 45% of the inter-annual variability in
407 leaf loss. The inter-annual variations in LLd1 were mainly predicted by the previous year's
408 climatic variables (Fig. 4). Seven of the explanatory variables selected for the interpretation
409 of the inter-annual variations were common to LLd1 and rwi. The ranking of the variables
410 shown in Fig. 4 and 5 is independent of whether they are common or not, meaning that the
411 most important explanatory variable for leaf loss could be the least important one for radial
412 growth.

413 For LLd1, lagged climatic variables had a major impact (Fig. 4). LLd1 mainly responded to
414 summer soil water deficit and temperature surplus with a 1-year lag. Leaf loss increased with
415 the previous year's soil water deficit. LLd1 also responded to temperature variables (bud
416 burst date, chill, TM.AA). An early budburst in the year before crown condition assessment
417 sometimes resulted in reduced leaf loss (i.e. a better crown condition). LLd1 tended to
418 increase with the accumulation of negative maximum temperatures during the winter (from
419 October of the previous year to February of the current year) and with mean temperatures
420 above 18°C during the growing season (TM.AA).

421




422

423 Figure 5: Variable importance plot generated by the Random Forest (VSURF) algorithm for inter-annual variations
 424 of tree-ring width index (rwi, 2001-2014) at the national scale, and the ranking of the six ecological regions East,
 425 North (CNO), Alps, Massif Central, Vosges-Jura mountains and Pyrenees. The predictor is ranked by the
 426 variable's importance measured as the average increase in squared OOB residuals when the predictor was
 427 permuted (Genuer et al., 2015). The variables are identified in Tab.1. The coloured variables were selected from
 428 the interpretation step of the VSURF procedure. For each model, the variance explained is computed as pseudo
 429 R-squared: $1 - \text{mse} / \text{Var}(y)$. For the retained predictors: mean temperature from April to August (TM.AA), sum of
 430 maximum temperatures < 0°C from March to May (late.chill), sum of maximum temperatures < 0°C from previous-
 431 year October to current February (chill), modelled bud burst day (BBD), soil water deficit intensity from budburst to
 432 leaf-fall (DI), soil water deficit intensity from June to July (DI.JJ), leaf damage assessment (symptom), de-trended
 433 leaf loss index centred on the mean LLd2). Lag.1= previous year.

434 The accumulation of cold temperatures from the previous October to the current February
 435 seems to be a particularly important predictor, with a negative impact on radial growth (Fig.
 436 5), meaning that a cold winter inhibits radial growth. The second most important explanatory
 437 variable for the tree-ring index (rwi) is the previous year's growth index, known as a first-
 438 order autocorrelation in dendrochronology, which was not removed with the de-trending
 439 method we applied. Soil water deficit reduces tree-ring width since summer drought affects
 440 the current year's growth and a long and/or severe drought event impairs next year's growth.
 441 In addition to the influence of water deficit on radial growth, the model highlights the negative
 442 impact on rwi of increasing April-to-August temperatures between the previous and current
 443 year. The model also suggests that the date of bud burst, modelled as a temperature
 444 function, influences radial growth in two possible ways: an early bud burst will benefit next
 445 year's growth; however, it can reduce current year's radial growth.

446 3.3. Are the variables controlling inter-annual variations in leaf loss and tree-ring index
 447 constant across ecological regions?

448 Working on smaller ecological regions highlighted specific responses of leaf loss and radial
 449 growth (Fig.4 and 5). As opposed to other regions, the northern lowlands and the Pyrenean
 450 mountains were more sensitive to temperatures than to previous year's soil water deficit.
 451 Leaf loss increased with the accumulation of cold temperatures between March and May of
 452 the current year in three regions: the Alps, Vosges-Jura mountains and the Pyrenees.
 453 Except in the Alps, northern lowlands and Eastern ecological regions, no observed
 454 symptoms of leaf damage induced a significant increase in leaf loss, nor did fructification
 455 (Fig. 4).




456

457 Figure 6: Partial dependence plots for the observed symptoms on leaves (categorical variable) and fructification,
 458 showing the marginal effect on the de-trended leaf-loss series (LLd1, 2001-2014) for the three affected ecological
 459 regions. The black dashed line represents a threshold above which the observed damage increased leaf loss.

460 The same three regions presented high enough microphyllly to increase LLd1. Leaf loss also
 461 increased with high fructification in the Eastern and northern lowlands. Necrosis symptoms
 462 were only found in the Alps (insect consumption and leaf deformation - (Fig. 6)). Leaves in
 463 the northern plains presented colouring symptoms (yellowing, reddening, whitish coloration).
 464 The northern lowlands stands out as the only case in the models where LLd2 was a relatively
 465 important variable contributing to radial growth, ranking third (Fig. 5). Otherwise, like results
 466 at the national scale, LLd2 was the least important variable explaining radial growth
 467 variations in three other regions (East, Vosges-Jura, Alps). In the Massif Central and the
 468 Pyrenees (Fig. 5), LLd2 did not influence growth.

469 3.4. Leaf-loss as a predictor of inter-annual variability in radial growth

470 Leaf loss (LLd2) appeared to be the least important variable predicting tree-ring width
471 variations at the national and regional scale, except in the northern lowlands (CNO) where
472 LLd2 was in third position (Fig. 5). However, the partial dependence plots seem to show a
473 decrease in rwi with increasing LL (Fig. 7). When analysing the residuals of the models, we
474 identified two years, corresponding to the two pointer years, with extreme leaf loss in the
475 northern lowlands (2004 and 2011), one year (2004) in the Vosges-Jura mountains, and four
476 years (2002, 2004, 2011 and 2014) in the Eastern lowlands. Removing these points
477 decreased LLd2 importance as well as the slope of the partial dependence plots (Fig. 7). The
478 tree-ring width index reached a value below 1 for leaf loss with a range of 9-34 %.



479

480 Figure 7 : Partial dependence plots showing the marginal effect of de-trended leaf loss indices centred on the
 481 average (LLd2) on modelled common-beech tree-ring indices (rwi, 2001-2014) using all combinations of the other
 482 predictor variables for all regression trees in the forest. The plain black line shows the relationship between rwi
 483 and LLd2, and the dotted line shows the relationship between rwi and LLd2 without leaf-loss positive pointer
 484 years. At the national scale (a), in the northern lowlands, (b) in the Vosges-Jura mountains (c), in the Eastern
 485 lowlands and (d) in the Alps. Coloured lines show the relation without the positive pointer year 2004 (red), 2011
 486 (blue), 2004 and 2011 (purple), 2002 (green) and 2014 (orange).

487 4. Discussion

488 4.1. Beech health status in the French network and in Europe as a whole

489 From 2001 to 2014, beech trees in the French part of the ICP network showed slightly higher
 490 leaf loss than all the ICP European beech trees (average defoliation of 26.5% in France
 491 compared to 20.39% in Europe). During the same period, according to the ICP Forests

492 defoliation classes (Eichhorn et al., 2016), 23% of French beech trees presented no
493 defoliation (class 0), 39% slight defoliation (class 1), 35 % moderate defoliation (class 2),
494 only 2 % presented severe defoliation (class 3) and none were observed with 100% leaf loss.
495 According to the European criteria, French beech forests overall were in good condition.

496 4.2. Are inter-annual variations in leaf-loss and radial growth controlled by the same
497 climatic variables?

498 The systematic forest health monitoring network allowed us to work on a set of plots
499 representative of the species in the regions or on the whole territory. The various growing
500 conditions and ecological sites were taken into account through the calculation of specific
501 bio- and pedo-climatic variables for each plot. Our approach resulted in a robust growth and
502 leaf loss signal for each region.

503 Over the period 2001-2014, we observed that several variables had an effect on both radial
504 growth and crown condition. Most of these variables likely reflect ecophysiological
505 processes. Previous year's (n-1) climatic variables mainly control LL inter-annual variations,
506 whereas radial growth is more sensitive to the climatic parameters of the current year (n).
507 This observation is in line with previous studies on crown development, radial growth and
508 their relationships with climate (Power, 1994; Thabeet et al., 2009) and confirm our
509 hypothesis that climatic factors during the previous year control inter-annual variations in leaf
510 loss.

511 Whether the effect of soil water deficit occurs on primary or secondary growth depends
512 mostly on when the stress occurs (Power, 1994).

513 Soil water deficit in the **previous** year increases leaf loss. This a consequence of the climatic
514 constraints on leaf preformation during the previous year. Cochard et al. (2005) suggest a
515 correlation between primary growth and secondary growth through xylem hydraulic
516 conductance. The shoots developing in year n depend on the number of leaf primordia in the
517 bud, which themselves depend on the outermost tree-ring of year n-1. Finally, the soil water

518 deficit of the previous year may increase the number of short shoots. In England (Stribley
519 and Ashmore, 2002), the annual growth of both the leader, or primary, shoots and the lateral,
520 or secondary, shoots were suppressed in drought years. A one-year delayed response of
521 crown condition to soil water deficit is a common observation throughout Europe (Bréda and
522 Badeau, 2008; Power, 1994; Seidling, 2007; Seidling et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2008; Zierl,
523 2004).

524 Previous- and current-year soil water deficit controls tree-ring width.

525 In our study, tree-ring width decreased with increasing **current** summer (June-July) soil
526 water deficit (SWD). High evaporative demand and low precipitation during summer increase
527 soil water deficit, reducing canopy conductance (Lemoine et al., 2002) and gross primary
528 production (GPP) in beech stands (Granier et al., 2008, 2007). Ultimately, this can affect
529 carbon storage and lead to dieback (Bréda et al., 2006). GPP depends on 1/ the duration
530 and intensity of the water deficit cumulated over the growing season, and 2/ the growing
531 season length, i.e. the period of carbon uptake by the stand (Granier et al., 2008).

532 The negative effect of the previous year's water deficit can be explained by a dysfunction in
533 carbon storage. Skomarkova et al. (2006) showed that 20% of the ring-width in corresponds
534 to carbon stored during the previous year. The previous year's level of growth may therefore
535 explain part of the ongoing year's growth. However, in addition to a delayed effect of the non-
536 structural carbohydrate amount on radial growth, beech trees may sacrifice growth to sustain
537 allocation to carbon storage. In young beech trees that had been submitted to an extremely
538 long and intense drought period, Chuste et al. (2019) observed that carbon was allocated in
539 storage at the expense of primary and secondary growth, that were severely reduced.

540

541 The sensitivity of both LL and rwi to soil water deficit and mean April-to-August temperature
542 could be a result of carbon partitioning among secondary and primary growth and
543 reproduction (Drobyshev et al., 2010; Innes, 1994; Mund et al., 2010; Seidling, 2007).

544 Leaf and flower production depend on carbon concentrations during the previous year
545 (Cochard et al., 2005; Hoch et al., 2013; Miyazaki, 2013). Several authors show that after a
546 hot dry summer, beeches can sometimes produce a large number of flowers (Etzold et al.,
547 2016; Hackett-Pain et al., 2017; Nussbaumer et al., 2018; Piovesan and Adams, 2002;
548 Vacchiano et al., 2018). This may lead to a trade-off between leaf production and
549 reproduction. In addition, heavy fruit production can also decrease the number of leaves per
550 ground area because primordial leaf buds are replaced by flower buds (Müller-Haubold et al.,
551 2015). Microphyllly can also lead to an abnormally high assessment of leaf loss. The
552 observer may confuse leaf loss and a more transparent crown with smaller leaves.

553 Fruit development depends on newly assimilated carbon and this can lead to a competition
554 for carbon between growth and reproduction (Hackett-Pain et al., 2017; Hoch, 2005; Hoch et
555 al., 2013; Miyazaki, 2013). In our study, however, when we added the presence or absence
556 of fructification, we found no relationship between reproduction and radial growth. However,
557 fructification, the product of flowering, did significantly increase leaf loss (i.e. primary growth
558 and leaf mass) in two ecological regions (Northern and Eastern lowlands). However, the fact
559 that fructification observations were not mandatory or systematic for the ICP Network data
560 we used may have caused an underestimation of the effect of fructification on growth and/or
561 leaf loss in our study.

562 Both rwi and LL responded to current- and previous-year bud burst date (estimated through
563 modelling). While an earlier bud burst date induces cambium activation and lengthens the
564 growing season (Davi et al., 2006), it also exposes the tree to a higher risk of late frost
565 damage in April. In our study, an early leaf unfolding in the previous year appeared to be an
566 advantage for both secondary and primary growth. Granier et al. (2008) observed that
567 canopy photosynthesis (GPP) depended on growing season length, i.e. the period of carbon
568 uptake. A high assimilation rate increases C storage, allows wider tree rings in twigs, and
569 enables buds to preformat a large number of leaf primordia. Indeed, the width of the
570 outermost ring is positively correlated to xylem conductance to the buds (Cochard et al.,

571 2005). For beech, radial growth initiation is synchronous with leaf unfolding (Barbaroux and
572 Bréda, 2002) and is closely dependent on leaf photosynthesis (Granier et al., 2008, Michelot
573 et al., 2012). If previous-year leaf unfolding occurs early and there is no late frost damage,
574 the growing season is longer and there is therefore more assimilated carbon available for the
575 preformation of next year's leaves and tree growth. For the current year, an early bud burst
576 reduces radial growth without any deleterious effects to crown condition. However, we
577 observed an effect of late frost on crown condition in mountainous regions. This agrees with
578 other studies of frost damage on current growth and leaf loss (Dittmar et al., 2006; Menzel et
579 al., 2015; Nolè et al., 2018; Príncipe et al., 2017); the intensity and frequency of late frosts
580 increase with altitude (Cailleret and Davi, 2011). The newly formed leaves are damaged and
581 the tree needs to mobilize more stored carbohydrates to create new foliation. The second
582 foliation is nitrogen-depleted and therefore less efficient; furthermore, there is less carbon
583 available to preform next year's leaves (Augspurger, 2009; Awaya et al., 2009; Bascietto et
584 al., 2018).

585 As opposed to previous findings, we observed that the accumulation of negative daily
586 maximum temperatures during winter impaired radial growth. As mentioned earlier, a
587 reduction in growth is usually interpreted as the result of damage to buds or young leaves
588 after a late frost. Oddly, the negative influence we observed on beech occurred for cold
589 temperatures accumulated during the dormancy period. Indeed, the reduction in growth with
590 winter temperatures cannot be attributed to bud damage. Winter buds have a high tolerance
591 to cold temperatures, though this tolerance decreases as budburst approaches (Gömöry and
592 Paule, 2011; Menzel et al., 2015). Lenz et al. (2016) demonstrated high frost resistance in
593 the upper parts of the tree, with temperatures of -40°C damaging only 50% of the buds.
594 However, as in previous, more local dendrochronological studies (Di Filippo et al., 2007;
595 Dittmar et al., 2003; Weigel et al., 2018), we observed a relationship between winter
596 temperatures and growth at national and regional scales. Power (1994) reported a significant
597 impact of winter temperatures from December to February on apical growth, i.e. primary

598 growth, on twigs of declining beech trees. This negative impact could lead to increased leaf
599 loss the following summer.

600 A deficiency in the frost hardening process is one possible explanation for beech growth
601 sensitivity to negative temperatures during winter. Converting starch to soluble sugars is
602 essential for hardening; this is observed through a decrease in starch and an increase in
603 soluble sugar content during winter (Barbaroux and Bréda, 2002; Charra-Vaskou et al.,
604 2012). If a harsh winter is preceded by a summer drought or any event that could impair
605 carbon storage, reserves may not be fully restored by the time hardening begins, thus
606 leading to less efficient protection against freezing temperatures. These observations
607 encourage us to deepen our understanding of the processes responsible for this result at
608 different compartmental levels (crown, trunk, roots).

609 Thanks to data provided by the French Forest Health Department (DSF) on the causes of
610 observed leaf symptoms, we were able to explain most of the significant symptoms we found
611 on beech leaves in our study. In the Northern region, leaf loss increased with leaf coloration
612 (yellow, red), and a high proportion of abnormal leaf coloration occurred in the years
613 following the exceptionally early drought in 2011. Constantly increasing leaf consumption by
614 phyllophagous insects in the Alps has been observed since 2005 (data not shown here), thus
615 explaining the increase in leaf loss. Unfortunately, the insects causing the consumption have
616 not been clearly identified. Beech weevils have been recorded since 2011; however, their
617 impact remains unknown. Finally, the leaf necrosis we observed was the result of a frost in
618 2012.

619 4.3. Assessment of the relationship between rwi and LL

620 4.3.1. Leaf loss is a poor predictor of radial growth inter-annual variability

621 At the national scale and in the different ecological regions, there was a slight though
622 continuous decrease in radial growth with leaf loss. The typical vertical canopy profile and
623 differentiated leaves of the beech tree can explain our result. Leaf area is mostly distributed

624 in the upper and middle part of the crown (Holdaway et al., 2008) with small, thick sun-
625 exposed leaves at the top, and thinner larger leaves in the middle and at the bottom of the
626 canopy (Hagemeier and Leuschner, 2019). The sun-exposed leaves have higher
627 photosynthetic rates (higher maximum CO₂ assimilation rates, maximum stomatal
628 conductance) than do the shaded leaves (Scartazza et al., 2016; Urban et al., 2007). Only
629 the upper third of the crown is assessed (Eichhorn et al., 2016) where the photosynthesis
630 rate is maximum. Therefore, annual recorded leaf loss corresponds to a potential decrease in
631 carbon assimilation rate. However, leaf loss in the sun-exposed upper crown also induces a
632 reduction in transpiration. Thanks to a trade-off between transpiration and assimilation, leaf
633 loss should therefore have a limited impact on radial growth thanks to a more limited soil
634 water shortage.

635 However, even though we observed slowed radial growth starting from 5% leaf loss, values
636 did not fall below normal ($rwi=1$) until a 20% leaf loss was reached. This threshold must be
637 interpreted with precaution. While we did find a relationship between LL and rwi, LL ranked
638 low. This is because the correlation between growth and leaf loss only occurs in case of
639 extreme events, as shown by our joint analysis of leaf loss and radial growth pointer years;
640 no relationship occurs in cases of slight or moderate defoliation. Almost all of the pointer
641 years were due to climatic factors accounting for both the small radial increment and high
642 leaf loss (Table A.2). Often, severe soil water deficits were involved (lag effects from 2003's
643 extreme summer drought and heat wave, a direct impact of the early drought event in spring
644 of 2011). In fact, in the regions where LL appeared to predict radial growth, removing the
645 common pointer years for the two indicators decreased the ability of LL to explain the
646 variation in radial growth (rwi above 1). Drobyshev et al.'s (2007) and Sánchez-Salguero et
647 al.'s (2013) results are in complete accordance with our findings. In their study, more
648 significant differences in increment were observed between healthy crowns (class 1, < 25 %) and
649 heavily declining crowns (class 3, > 60 %) than between other crown classes. Therefore,
650 leaf loss is a good indicator of growth potential only in case of extreme events and when leaf

651 loss is high. As supported by Seidling et al. (2012), leaf loss and radial growth are only
652 weakly correlated.

653 4.3.2. Crown condition, a poorer descriptor of tree vitality than radial growth

654 Leaf loss showed fewer significant relationships with the selected climate variables than did
655 radial growth. The LL series of common beech did not show as clear a common signal as did
656 rwi, as indicated by high between-tree variability in the leaf loss series and by a smaller
657 “Gleichläufigkeit” coefficient (year-to-year agreement between the series). In accordance with
658 earlier studies, we confirm that tree-ring series reflect exogenous signals more clearly for
659 common beech (Dittmar et al., 2003).

660 Describing crown condition and morphology enables forest managers to detect severe tree
661 dysfunction through discoloration, abnormal leaf loss or branch mortality (Innes, 1998; Ling
662 et al., 1993; Power, 1994). However, the multiple factors influencing inter-annual variations in
663 leaf deficiency are challenging to disentangle (Innes et al., 1993). Our surprisingly strong
664 GINI results for leaf loss, indicating a higher year-to-year variability than for growth, first led
665 us to question the reliability of the observations made by the pairs of operators. We
666 investigated this issue but found no clear relationship imputable to operator inconsistency.
667 Nevertheless, the GINI coefficient clearly increased when more than one pair of operators
668 assessed a given plot. Even though overall assessment quality has recently improved
669 (Ferretti et al., 2014; Meining et al., 2016), it is important to keep in mind that one of the main
670 weaknesses in the ICP monitoring network is operator subjectivity, which can lead to
671 inconsistency among assessments related to different sky conditions, observation years and
672 countries.

673 5. Conclusions

674 Using the machine-learning algorithm Random Forest to identify the response of inter-annual
675 leaf loss or radial growth to biotic and abiotic constraints proved to be effective. The climatic
676 drivers of radial growth we found through this approach are in accordance with previous

677 studies. Several have an effect on both radial growth and crown condition, though previous-
678 year climatic variables tend to control leaf loss while radial growth is more sensitive to
679 current-year climate. There is a common sensitivity to soil water deficit but with different time
680 lags. Primary and secondary growth are related to each other through hydraulic structure or
681 resource partitioning. With regard to its use as an indicator of tree health, leaf loss captured
682 fewer pointer years than did radial growth. Leaf loss only explained a reduction in radial
683 growth in the case of extreme leaf loss events. Furthermore, radial growth, unlike leaf loss,
684 presented a strong common signal among trees, allowing us to study tree response to abiotic
685 factors with more assurance. Radial growth also allowed us to carry out a retrospective
686 analysis of living conditions thanks to more reliable measurements. A rigorous
687 dendrochronological approach including coring to the pith can help to accurately measure the
688 effect of age and is possibly more cost-effective than estimating a poor quality indicator like
689 leaf loss every year. A study comparing our results on beech with other species, including
690 coniferous trees, is underway in order to conclusively assess the performance of annual
691 visual estimations of leaf loss.

692 **References**

- 693 Augspurger, C.K., 2009. Spring 2007 warmth and frost: Phenology, damage and refoliation in
694 a temperate deciduous forest. *Funct. Ecol.* 23, 1031–1039.
695 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01587.x>
- 696 Awaya, Y., Tanaka, K., Kodani, E., Nishizono, T., 2009. Responses of a beech (*Fagus*
697 *crenata* Blume) stand to late spring frost damage in Morioka, Japan. *For. Ecol. Manage.*
698 257, 2359–2369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.03.028>
- 699 Barbaroux, C., Bréda, N., 2002. Contrasting distribution and seasonal dynamics of
700 carbohydrate reserves in stem wood of adult ring-porous sessile oak and diffuse-porous
701 beech trees. *Tree Physiol.* 22, 1201–1210. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.17.1201>
- 702 Barthod, C., 1994. Le système de surveillance de l'état sanitaire de la forêt en France. *Rev.*
703 *For. Française* 564. <https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/26585>
- 704 Bascietto, M., Bajocco, S., Mazzenga, F., Matteucci, G., 2018. Assessing spring frost effects
705 on beech forests in Central Apennines from remotely-sensed data. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*
706 248, 240–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.10.007>
- 707 Becker, M., 1989. The role of climate on present and past vitality of silver fir forests in the
708 Vosges mountains of northeastern France. *Can. J. For. Res.* 19, 1110–1117.
709 <https://doi.org/10.1139/x89-168>
- 710 Becker, M., 1987. Bilan de santé actuel et rétrospectif du sapin (*Abies alba* Mill.) dans les
711 Vosges: étude écologique et dendrochronologique (in French, with English summary).
712 *Ann. des Sci. For.* 44, 379–401. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19870401>
- 713 Becker, M., Nieminen, T.M., Geremia, F., 1994. Short-term variations and long-term changes
714 in oak productivity in northeastern France. The role of climate and atmospheric CO₂.
715 *Ann. des Sci. For.* 51, 477–492. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19940504>
- 716 Bert, G., Becker, H., Schipfer, R., 1990. Vitalité actuelle et passée du sapin (*Abies alba* Mill)
717 dans le Jura. Étude dendroécologique. *Ann. des Sci. For.* 47, 395–412.
718 <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19900501>
- 719 Biondi, F., Qeadan, F., 2008. Inequality in paleorecords. *Ecology* 89, 1056–1067.
720 <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0783.1>
- 721 Biondi, F., Waikul, K., 2004. DENDROCLIM2002: A C++ program for statistical calibration of
722 climate signals in tree-ring chronologies. *Comput. Geosci.* 30, 303–311.
723 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2003.11.004>
- 724 Bréda, N., Badeau, V., 2008. Forest tree responses to extreme drought and some biotic
725 events: Towards a selection according to hazard tolerance? *Comptes Rendus - Geosci.*
726 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2008.08.003>
- 727 Bréda, N., Granier, A., 1996. Intra- and interannual variations of transpiration, leaf area index
728 and radial growth of a sessile oak stand (*Quercus petraea*). *Ann. des Sci. For.* 53, 521–
729 536. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19960232>
- 730 Bréda, N., Huc, R., Granier, A., Dreyer, E., 2006. Temperate forest trees and stands under
731 severe drought: A review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and
732 long-term consequences. *Ann. For. Sci.* 63, 625–644.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2006042>
- 734 Bréda, N.J.J., 2008. Leaf Area Index, in: Jørgensen, S.E. (Editor-in-Chief), Fath, B.D. (Eds.),
735 *Encyclopedia of Ecology, Five-Volume Set*. Elsevier Inc., Oxford, pp. 2148–2154.

- 736 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045405-4.00849-1>
- 737 Breiman, L., 2001. Random forests. *Mach. Learn.* 45, 5–32.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324>
- 739 Breiman, L., Friedman, J., Stone, C.J., Olshen, R.A., 1984. Classification and Regression
740 Trees.
- 741 Bunn, A.G., 2008. A dendrochronology program library in R (dplR). *Dendrochronologia* 26,
742 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2008.01.002>
- 743 Cailleret, M., Davi, H., 2011. Effects of climate on diameter growth of co-occurring *Fagus*
744 *sylvatica* and *Abies alba* along an altitudinal gradient. *Trees - Struct. Funct.* 25, 265–
745 276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-010-0503-0>
- 746 Charra-Vaskou, K., Charrier, G., Wortemann, R., Beikircher, B., Cochard, H., Ameglio, T.,
747 Mayr, S., 2012. Drought and frost resistance of trees: A comparison of four species at
748 different sites and altitudes. *Ann. For. Sci.* 69, 325–333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-011-0160-5>
749
- 750 Chuste, P.A., Maillard, P., Bréda, N., Levillain, J., Thirion, E., Wortemann, R., Massonnet, C.,
751 2019. Sacrificing growth and maintaining a dynamic carbohydrate storage are key
752 processes for promoting beech survival under prolonged drought conditions. *Trees -*
753 *Struct. Funct.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-019-01923-5>
- 754 Cochard, H., Coste, S., Chanson, B., Guehl, J.M., Nicolini, E., 2005. Hydraulic architecture
755 correlates with bud organogenesis and primary shoot growth in beech (*Fagus sylvatica*).
756 *Tree Physiol.* 25, 1545–1552. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/25.12.1545>
- 757 Cook, E., Briffa, K., Shiyatov, S., Mazepa, V., 1990. Tree-Ring Standardization and Growth-
758 Trend Estimation, *Methods of Dendrochronology.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697\(91\)90076-q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(91)90076-q)
759
- 760 Cook, E.R., Peters, K., 1981. The Smoothing Spline: A New Approach to Standardizing
761 Forest Interior Tree-Ring Width Series for Dendroclimatic Studies. *Tree-Ring Bull.*
- 762 Cutler, D.R., Edwards, T.C., Beard, K.H., Cutler, A., Hess, K.T., Gibson, J., Lawler, J.J.,
763 2007. Random forests for classification in ecology. *Ecology* 88, 2783–2792.
764 <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0539.1>
- 765 Davi, H., Dufrêne, E., Francois, C., Le Maire, G., Loustau, D., Bosc, A., Rambal, S., Granier,
766 A., Moors, E., 2006. Sensitivity of water and carbon fluxes to climate changes from 1960
767 to 2100 in European forest ecosystems. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 141, 35–56.
768 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.09.003>
- 769 de Vries, W., Dobbertin, M.H., Solberg, S., van Dobben, H.F., Schaub, M., 2014. Impacts of
770 acid deposition, ozone exposure and weather conditions on forest ecosystems in
771 Europe: An overview. *Plant Soil.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2056-2>
- 772 Di Filippo, A., Biondi, F., Čufar, K., De Luis, M., Grabner, M., Maugeri, M., Presutti Saba, E.,
773 Schirone, B., Piovesan, G., 2007. Bioclimatology of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in the
774 Eastern Alps: Spatial and altitudinal climatic signals identified through a tree-ring
775 network. *J. Biogeogr.* 34, 1873–1892. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01747.x>
- 776 Dittmar, C., Elling, W., 2007. Dendroecological investigation of the vitality of Common Beech
777 (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in mixed mountain forests of the Northern Alps (South Bavaria).
778 *Dendrochronologia* 25, 37–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2007.01.003>
- 779 Dittmar, C., Fricke, W., Elling, W., 2006. Impact of late frost events on radial growth of
780 common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in Southern Germany. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 125, 249–

- 781 259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-005-0098-y>
- 782 Dittmar, C., Zech, W., Elling, W., 2003. Growth variations of Common beech (*Fagus sylvatica*
783 L.) under different climatic and environmental conditions in Europe - A dendroecological
784 study. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 173, 63–78. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(01\)00816-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00816-7)
- 785 Dobbertin, M., 2005. Tree growth as indicator of tree vitality and of tree reaction to
786 environmental stress: A review. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 124, 319–333.
787 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-005-0085-3>
- 788 Douglass, A.E., 1936. Climatic Cycles and Tree-growth, Carnegie Institution of Washington
789 publication. Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- 790 Drobyshev, I., Karlsson, M., Niklasson, M., Saygin, I., Övergaard, R., Hickler, T., Sykes,
791 M.T., 2010. Masting behaviour and dendrochronology of European beech (*Fagus*
792 *sylvatica* L.) in southern Sweden. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 259, 2160–2171.
793 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.01.037>
- 794 Drobyshev, I., Linderson, H., Sonesson, K., 2007. Relationship between crown condition and
795 tree diameter growth in southern Swedish oaks. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 128, 61–73.
796 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-9415-2>
- 797 Dufrêne, E., Davi, H., François, C., Le Maire, G., Le Dantec, V., Granier, A., 2005. Modelling
798 carbon and water cycles in a beech forest. Part I: Model description and uncertainty
799 analysis on modelled NEE. *Ecol. Modell.* 185, 407–436.
800 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.01.004>
- 801 Dyer, T.G.J., Fritts, H.C., 1978. Tree Rings and Climate. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 15, 339.
802 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2402947>
- 803 Eichhorn, J., Roskams, P., Potocic, N., Timmermann, V., Ferretti, M., Mues, V., Szepesi, A.,
804 Durrant, D., Seletcovic, I., H-W, S., S, N., , Bussotti F, Garcia P, W.S., 2016. Visual
805 Assessment of Crown Condition and Damaging Agents, in: *MANUAL on Methods and*
806 *Criteria for Harmonized Sampling, Assessment, Monitoring and Analysis of the Effects*
807 *of Air Pollution on Forests.* Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, p. 54.
- 808 Etzold, S., Sioen, G., Ukonmaanaho, L., Skudnik, M., Nussbaumer, A., Wauer, A., Rautio, P.,
809 Waldner, P., Jørgensen, B.B., Timmermann, V., Gessler, A., Apuhtin, V., Benham, S.,
810 Verstraeten, A., Thomsen, I.M., Braun, S., 2016. Patterns of mast fruiting of common
811 beech, sessile and common oak, Norway spruce and Scots pine in Central and
812 Northern Europe. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 363, 237–251.
813 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.12.033>
- 814 Ferretti, M., Nicolas, M., Bacaro, G., Brunialti, G., Calderisi, M., Croisé, L., Frati, L., Lanier,
815 M., Maccherini, S., Santi, E., Ulrich, E., 2014. Plot-scale modelling to detect size, extent,
816 and correlates of changes in tree defoliation in French high forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.*
817 311, 56–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.05.009>
- 818 Genet, H., Bréda, N., Dufrêne, E., 2009. Age-related variation in carbon allocation at tree and
819 stand scales in beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.)
820 Liebl.) using a chronosequence approach. *Tree Physiol.* 30, 177–192.
821 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpp105>
- 822 Genuer, R., Poggi, J.-M., 2019. Les forêts aléatoires avec R.
- 823 Genuer, R., Poggi, J.M., Tuleau-Malot, C., 2015. VSURF: An R package for variable
824 selection using random forests. *R J.* 7, 19–33.
- 825 Genuer, R., Poggi, J.M., Tuleau-Malot, C., 2010. Variable selection using random forests.
826 *Pattern Recognit. Lett.* 31, 2225–2236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2010.03.014>

- 827 Gömöry, D., Paule, L., 2011. Trade-off between height growth and spring flushing in common
828 beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). *Ann. For. Sci.* 68, 975–984. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-011-0103-1)
829 011-0103-1
- 830 Granier, A., Bréda, N., Biron, P., Villette, S., 1999. A lumped water balance model to
831 evaluate duration and intensity of drought constraints in forest stands. *Ecol. Modell.* 116,
832 269–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(98\)00205-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00205-1)
- 833 Granier, A., Bréda, N., Longdoz, B., Gross, P., Ngao, J., 2008. Ten years of fluxes and stand
834 growth in a young beech forest at Hesse, North-eastern France. *Ann. For. Sci.* 65, 704–
835 704. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2008052>
- 836 Granier, A., Reichstein, M., Bréda, N., Janssens, I.A., Falge, E., Ciais, P., Grünwald, T.,
837 Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Facini, O., Grassi, G.,
838 Heinesch, B., Ilvesniemi, H., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Köstner, B., Lagergren, F.,
839 Lindroth, A., Longdoz, B., Loustau, D., Mateus, J., Montagnani, L., Nys, C., Moors, E.,
840 Papale, D., Peiffer, M., Pilegaard, K., Pita, G., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C.,
841 Rodrigues, A., Seufert, G., Tenhunen, J., Vesala, T., Wang, Q., 2007. Evidence for soil
842 water control on carbon and water dynamics in European forests during the extremely
843 dry year: 2003. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 143, 123–145.
844 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.12.004>
- 845 Greenwell, B.M., 2017. pdp: An R package for constructing partial dependence plots. *R J.* 9,
846 421–436. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-016>
- 847 Hacket-Pain, A.J., Friend, A.D., Lageard, J.G.A., Thomas, P.A., 2015. The influence of
848 masting phenomenon on growth-climate relationships in trees: Explaining the influence
849 of previous summers' climate on ring width. *Tree Physiol.* 35, 319–330.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv007>
- 851 Hacket-Pain, A.J., Lageard, J.G.A., Thomas, P.A., 2017. Drought and reproductive effort
852 interact to control growth of a temperate broadleaved tree species (*Fagus sylvatica*).
853 *Tree Physiol.* 37, 744–754. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx025>
- 854 Hagemeyer, M., Leuschner, C., 2019. Functional crown architecture of five temperate
855 broadleaf tree species: Vertical gradients in leaf morphology, leaf angle, and leaf area
856 density. *Forests* 10, 265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f10030265>
- 857 Hoch, G., 2005. Fruit-bearing branchlets are carbon autonomous in mature broad-leaved
858 temperate forest trees. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 28, 651–659. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01311.x)
859 3040.2004.01311.x
- 860 Hoch, G., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Keel, S.G., Körner, C., Han, Q., 2013. Fruit production in three
861 masting tree species does not rely on stored carbon reserves. *Oecologia* 171, 653–662.
862 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2579-2>
- 863 Holdaway, R.J., Allen, R.B., Clinton, P.W., Davis, M.R., Coomes, D.A., 2008. Intraspecific
864 changes in forest canopy allometries during self-thinning. *Funct. Ecol.* 22, 460–469.
865 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01388.x>
- 866 Innes, J.L., 1998. An assessment of the use of crown structure for the determination of the
867 health of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). *Forestry* 71, 113–130.
868 <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/71.2.113>
- 869 Innes, J.L., 1994. The occurrence of flowering and fruiting on individual trees over 3 years
870 and their effects on subsequent crown condition. *Trees* 8, 139–150.
871 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00196638>
- 872 Innes, J.L., Landmann, G., Mettendorf, B., 1993. Consistency of observations of forest tree
873 defoliation in three European countries. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 25, 29–40.

- 874 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00549790>
- 875 Jevšenak, J., Džeroski, S., Zavadlav, S., Levanič, T., 2018a. A Machine Learning Approach
876 to Analyzing the Relationship Between Temperatures and Multi-Proxy Tree-Ring
877 Records. *Tree-Ring Res.* 74, 210–224. <https://doi.org/10.3959/1536-1098-74.2.210>
- 878 Jevšenak, J., Džeroski, S., Zavadlav, S., Levanič, T., 2018b. A Machine Learning Approach
879 to Analyzing the Relationship Between Temperatures and Multi-Proxy Tree-Ring
880 Records. *Tree-Ring Res.* 74, 210–224. <https://doi.org/10.3959/1536-1098-74.2.210>
- 881 Lacarce, E., Le Bas, C., Cousin, J.L., Pesty, B., Toutain, B., Houston Durrant, T.,
882 Montanarella, L., 2009. Data management for monitoring forest soils in Europe for the
883 BioSoil project. *Soil Use Manag.* 25, 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2009.00194.x>
- 885 Landmann, G., Nageleisen, L.-M., Ulrich, E., 1998. De nouveaux éléments en faveur d'un
886 glissement récent d'origine méthodologique dans l'appréciation visuelle des cimes des
887 feuillus. *Les Cah. DSF* 74–76.
- 888 Landmann, G., Pierrat, J., Nageleisen, L.-M., 1999. 1995–97: période de réajustement
889 à la hausse de la notation de l'état des cimes des arbres forestiers en France. *Les Cah.*
890 *DSF* 66–66.
- 891 Lebourgeois, F., Bréda, N., Ulrich, E., Granier, A., 2005. Climate-tree-growth relationships of
892 European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in the French Permanent Plot Network
893 (RENECOFOR). *Trees - Struct. Funct.* 19, 385–401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-004-0397-9>
- 895 Lemoine, D., Cochard, H., Granier, A., 2002. Within crown variation in hydraulic architecture
896 in beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.): evidence for a stomatal control of xylem embolism. *Ann.*
897 *For. Sci.* 59, 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2001002>
- 898 Lenz, A., Hoch, G., Vitasse, Y., 2016. Fast acclimation of freezing resistance suggests no
899 influence of winter minimum temperature on the range limit of European beech. *Tree*
900 *Physiol.* 36, 490–501. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv147>
- 901 Liaw, A., Wiener, M., 2002. Classification and Regression by randomForest. *R News* 2, 18–
902 22.
- 903 Ling, K.A., Power, S.A., Ashmore, M.R., 1993. A Survey of the Health of *Fagus sylvatica* in
904 Southern Britain. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 30, 295. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2404631>
- 905 Meining, S., Morgenstern, Y., Wellbrock, N., Eickenscheidt, N., 2016. Results of the
906 European Photo International Cross-comparison Course as part of the quality
907 assurance of the crown condition assessment 2015 (Photo ICC 2015).
908 <https://doi.org/10.3220/WP1469775066000>
- 909 Menzel, A., Helm, R., Zang, C., 2015. Patterns of late spring frost leaf damage and recovery
910 in a European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) stand in south-eastern Germany based on
911 repeated digital photographs. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 110.
912 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00110>
- 913 Mérian, P., Lebourgeois, F., 2011. Size-mediated climate-growth relationships in temperate
914 forests: A multi-species analysis. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 261, 1382–1391.
915 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.019>
- 916 Michel, A., Seidling, W., Prescher, A.-K., 2018. Forest Condition in Europe: 2018 Technical
917 Report of ICP Forests. Report under the UNECE Convention on Long-Range
918 Transboundary Air Pollution (Air Convention). Vienna.

- 919 Michelot, A., Simard, S., Rathgeber, C., Dufrêne, E., Damesin, C., 2012. Comparing the
920 intra-annual wood formation of three European species (*Fagus sylvatica*, *Quercus*
921 *petraea* and *Pinus sylvestris*) as related to leaf phenology and non-structural
922 carbohydrate dynamics. *Tree Physiol.* 32, 1033–1045.
923 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tps052>
- 924 Miyazaki, Y., 2013. Dynamics of internal carbon resources during masting behavior in trees.
925 *Ecol. Res.* 28, 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-011-0892-6>
- 926 Muchlinski, D., Siroky, D., He, J., Kocher, M., 2016. Comparing random forest with logistic
927 regression for predicting class-imbalanced civil war onset data. *Polit. Anal.* 24, 87–103.
928 <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpv024>
- 929 Mueller-Edzards, C., Vries, W. de, Erisman, J.W. (eds. ., 1997. Ten years of monitoring
930 forest condition in Europe. Studies on temporal development, spatial distribution and
931 impacts of natural and anthropogenic stress factors.
- 932 Müller-Haubold, H., Hertel, D., Leuschner, C., 2015. Climatic Drivers of Mast Fruiting in
933 European Beech and Resulting C and N Allocation Shifts. *Ecosystems* 18, 1083–1100.
934 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9885-6>
- 935 Mund, M., Kutsch, W.L., Wirth, C., Kahl, T., Knohl, A., Skomarkova, M. V., Schulze, E.-D.,
936 Wirth, C., Kutsch, W.L., Mund, M., Skomarkova, M. V., Knohl, A., Kahl, T., Kutsch, W.L.,
937 Wirth, C., Kahl, T., Knohl, A., Skomarkova, M. V., Schulze, E.-D., 2010. The influence of
938 climate and fructification on the inter-annual variability of stem growth and net primary
939 productivity in an old-growth, mixed beech forest. *Tree Physiol.* 30, 689–704.
940 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq027>
- 941 Nageleisen, L.M., 2005. Dépérissement du hêtre: Présentation d'une méthode
942 symptomatologique de suivi. *Rev. For. Fr.* <https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/5041>
- 943 Nevalainen, S., Lindgren, M., Pouttu, A., Heinonen, J., Hongisto, M., Neuvonen, S., 2010.
944 Extensive tree health monitoring networks are useful in revealing the impacts of
945 widespread biotic damage in boreal forests. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 168, 159–171.
946 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-1100-9>
- 947 Nolè, A., Rita, A., Ferrara, A.M.S., Borghetti, M., 2018. Effects of a large-scale late spring
948 frost on a beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) dominated Mediterranean mountain forest derived
949 from the spatio-temporal variations of NDVI. *Ann. For. Sci.* 75, 83.
950 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0763-1>
- 951 Nussbaumer, A., Waldner, P., Apuhtin, V., Aytar, F., Benham, S., Bussotti, F., Eichhorn, J.,
952 Eickenscheidt, N., Fabianek, P., Falkenried, L., Leca, S., Lindgren, M., Manzano
953 Serrano, M.J., Neagu, S., Nevalainen, S., Pajtik, J., Potočić, N., Rautio, P., Sioen, G.,
954 Stakénas, V., Tasdemir, C., Thomsen, I.M., Timmermann, V., Ukonmaanaho, L.,
955 Verstraeten, A., Wulff, S., Gessler, A., 2018. Impact of weather cues and resource
956 dynamics on mast occurrence in the main forest tree species in Europe. *For. Ecol.*
957 *Manage.* 429, 336–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.07.011>
- 958 Oliveira, S., Oehler, F., San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., Camia, A., Pereira, J.M.C., 2012. Modeling
959 spatial patterns of fire occurrence in Mediterranean Europe using Multiple Regression
960 and Random Forest. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 275, 117–129.
961 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.03.003>
- 962 Piovesan, G., Adams, J.M., 2002. Masting behaviour in beech: linking reproduction and
963 climatic variation. *Can. J. Bot.* 79, 1039–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-79-9-1039>
- 964 Popa, I., Badea, O., Silaghi, D., 2017. Influence of climate on tree health evaluated by
965 defoliation in the ICP level I network (Romania). *IForest* 10, 554–560.

- 966 <https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor2202-009>
- 967 Power, S.A., 1994. Temporal trends in twig growth of *Fagus sylvatica* L. and their
968 relationships with environmental factors. *Forestry* 67, 13–30.
969 <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/67.1.13>
- 970 Prasad, A.M., Iverson, L.R., Liaw, A., 2006. Newer classification and regression tree
971 techniques: Bagging and random forests for ecological prediction. *Ecosystems* 9, 181–
972 199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-005-0054-1>
- 973 Príncipe, A., van der Maaten, E., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., Struwe, T., Wilmking, M.,
974 Kreyling, J., 2017. Low resistance but high resilience in growth of a major deciduous
975 forest tree (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in response to late spring frost in southern Germany.
976 *Trees - Struct. Funct.* 31, 743–751. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-016-1505-3>
- 977 Quintana-Seguí, P., Le Moigne, P., Durand, Y., Martin, E., Habets, F., Baillon, M., Canellas,
978 C., Franchisteguy, L., Morel, S., 2008. Analysis of near-surface atmospheric variables:
979 Validation of the SAFRAN analysis over France. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.* 47, 92–
980 107. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1636.1>
- 981 R Core Team, 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- 982 Roloff, A., 1985. Morphologie der Kronentwicklung von *Fagus sylvatica* L.(Rotbuche) unter
983 besonderer Berücksichtigung möglicherweise neareriger Veränderungen. Ph. D. Thesis,
984 Göttingen Univ., Göttingen, Germany.
- 985 Sánchez-Salguero, R., Camarero, J.J., Dobbertin, M., Fernández-Cancio, Á., Vilà-Cabrera,
986 A., Manzanedo, R.D., Zavala, M.A., Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., 2013. Contrasting
987 vulnerability and resilience to drought-induced decline of densely planted vs. natural
988 rear-edge *Pinus nigra* forests. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 310, 956–967.
989 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.09.050>
- 990 Scartazza, A., Di Baccio, D., Bertolotto, P., Gavrichkova, O., Matteucci, G., 2016.
991 Investigating the European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) leaf characteristics along the
992 vertical canopy profile: Leaf structure, photosynthetic capacity, light energy dissipation
993 and photoprotection mechanisms. *Tree Physiol.* 36, 1060–1076.
994 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw038>
- 995 Schweingruber, F.H., 1987. *Tree Rings: Basics and Applications of Dendrochronology*. The
996 Netherlands: D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht.
- 997 Schweingruber, F.H., Eckstein, D., Serre-Bachet, F., Bräker, O.U., 1990. Identification,
998 presentation and interpretation of event years and pointer years in dendrochronology.
999 *Dendrochronologia* 8, 9–38.
- 1000 Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J.,
1001 Ascoli, D., Petr, M., Honkaniemi, J., Lexer, M.J., Trotsiuk, V., Mairota, P., Svoboda, M.,
1002 Fabrika, M., Nagel, T.A., Reyer, C.P.O., 2017. Forest disturbances under climate
1003 change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3303>
- 1004 Seidling, W., 2007. Signals of summer drought in crown condition data from the German
1005 Level I network. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 126, 529–544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-007-0174-6>
- 1006
- 1007 Seidling, W., Mues, V., 2005. Statistical and geostatistical modelling of preliminarily adjusted
1008 defoliation on an European scale. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 101, 223–247.
1009 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-005-9304-0>
- 1010 Seidling, W., Ziche, D., Beck, W., 2012. Climate responses and interrelations of stem
1011 increment and crown transparency in Norway spruce, Scots pine, and common beech.

- 1012 For. Ecol. Manage. 284, 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.07.015>
- 1013 Skomarkova, M. V., Vaganov, E.A., Mund, M., Knohl, A., Linke, P., Boerner, A., Schulze,
1014 E.D., 2006. Inter-annual and seasonal variability of radial growth, wood density and
1015 carbon isotope ratios in tree rings of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) growing in Germany and
1016 Italy. *Trees - Struct. Funct.* 20, 571–586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-006-0072-4>
- 1017 Solberg, S., 2004. Summer drought: a driver for crown condition and mortality of Norway
1018 spruce in Norway. *For. Pathol.* 34, 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.2004.00351.x>
- 1020 Solberg, S., 1999. Crown Condition and Growth Relationships within Stands of *Picea abies*.
1021 *Scand. J. For. Res.* 14, 320–327.
- 1022 Stribley, G.H., Ashmore, M.R., 2002. Quantitative changes in twig growth pattern of young
1023 woodland beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in relation to climate and ozone pollution over 10
1024 years. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 157, 191–204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(00\)00665-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00665-4)
- 1026 Strobl, C., Boulesteix, A.L., Kneib, T., Augustin, T., Zeileis, A., 2008. Conditional variable
1027 importance for random forests. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9, 1–11.
1028 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-307>
- 1029 Strobl, C., Hothorn, T., Zeileis, A., 2009. Party on! A new, conditional variable importance
1030 measure available in the party package. *R J.* 14–17.
- 1031 Teissier Du Cros, E., Le Tacon, F., Nepveu, G., Pardé, J., Perrin, R., Timbal, J., 1981. Le
1032 hêtre. *Quae*.
- 1033 Thabeet, A., Vennetier, M., Gadbin-Henry, C., Denelle, N., Roux, M., Caraglio, Y., Vila, B.,
1034 2009. Response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. to recent climatic events in the French
1035 Mediterranean region. *Trees - Struct. Funct.* 23, 843–853.
1036 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-009-0326-z>
- 1037 Urban, O., Kořvancová, M., Marek, M. V., Lichtenthaler, H.K., 2007. Induction of
1038 photosynthesis and importance of limitations during the induction phase in sun and
1039 shade leaves of five ecologically contrasting tree species from the temperate zone. *Tree*
1040 *Physiol.* 27, 1207–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.8.1207>
- 1041 Vacchiano, G., Ascoli, D., Berzaghi, F., Lucas-Borja, M.E., Caignard, T., Collalti, A., Mairota,
1042 P., Palaghianu, C., Reyer, C.P.O., Sanders, T.G.M., Schermer, E., Wohlgemuth, T.,
1043 Hacket-Pain, A., 2018. Reproducing reproduction: How to simulate mast seeding in
1044 forest models. *Ecol. Modell.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2018.03.004>
- 1045 Van Leeuwen, E.P., Hendriks, K.C., Klap, J.M., de Vries, W., de Jong, E., Erisman, J.W.,
1046 2000. Effects of environmental stress on forest crown condition in Europe. Part II:
1047 Estimation of stress induced by meteorology and air pollutants. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*
1048 119, 335–362. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005157208701>
- 1049 Vanmechelen, L., Groenemans, R., Van Ranst, E., 1997. Forest soil condition in Europe:
1050 Results of a large-scale soil survey. EC-UN/ECE, Brussels, Geneva.
- 1051 Weigel, R., Muffler, L., Klisz, M., Kreyling, J., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., Wilmking, M.,
1052 van der Maaten, E., 2018. Winter matters: Sensitivity to winter climate and cold events
1053 increases towards the cold distribution margin of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.).
1054 *J. Biogeogr.* 45, 2779–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13444>
- 1055 Wilson, S., Broadmeadow, M., Sanders, T.G., Pitman, R., 2008. Effect of summer drought on
1056 the increment of beech trees in southern England. *Q. J. For.* 102, 111.

- 1057 Zahner, R., 1968. Water deficits and growth of trees. *Water deficits and plant growth* 2, 191–
1058 254.
- 1059 Zierl, B., 2004. A simulation study to analyse the relations between crown condition and
1060 drought in Switzerland. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 188, 25–38.
1061 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2003.07.019>
- 1062