First detection of Mycoplasma wenyonii in France: Identification, evaluation of the clinical impact and development of a new specific detection assay

Laurent Nouvel, Marie-Claude Hygonenq, Guillaume Catays, Enrico Martinelli, Philippe Le Page, Éric Collin, Hisashi Inokuma, François Schelcher, Christine Citti, Renaud Maillard

1 **Title**

2 First detection of *Mycoplasma wenyonii* in France: identification, evaluation of the clinical

3 impact and development of a new specific detection assay.

4

5 **Authors**

6 Laurent X. Nouvel[a], Marie-Claude Hygonenq[a], Guillaume Catays[a], Enrico Martinelli[a],

7 Philippe Le Page[c], Éric Collin[d], Hisashi Inokuma[b], François Schelcher[a], Christine Citti[a],

8 Renaud Maillard[a].

9

10 **Authors' affiliations**

11 [a] IHAP, Pathogenesis of mycoplasma infections, University of Toulouse, INRA, ENVT, 23

12 chemin des Capelles, 31076 Toulouse Cedex, France.

13 [b] Department of Veterinary Clinical Science, Obihiro University of Agriculture and

14 Veterinary Medicine, Obihiro, Inada Cho, Hokkaido 080-8555, Japan.

15 [c] Lesvet, Veterinary practice, 3 place du Champ de Bataille, 29260 Lesneven, France.

16 [d] Veterinary practice, 30 rue des écoles, 22150 Ploeuc sur Lie, France.

17

18 **Corresponding author:** Renaud Maillard, ENVT, 23 chemin des Capelles, 31076 Toulouse

19 Cedex, France, phone: +33 561192316, Fax: +33 561193834, e-mail: r.maillard@envt.fr

20

21 **Abstract**

22 *Mycoplasma wenyonii*, a hemoplasma infecting cattle, was never detected in France. In 2014,

23 evocative inclusions were observed in erythrocytes from cattle presenting milk drops, anemia,

24 and edema in Brittany (France). A survey was then initiated to investigate the epidemiological

25 situation and correlate mycoplasma detection with clinical signs. For this purpose, a new PCR

assay targeting *polC* gene was designed. Comparative results with published PCR assays place this new one as more specific, allowing a one-step diagnosis without further sequencing. A total of 181 cows were included in this study and 4.97% (n=9) were positive, resulting in the first molecular identification of *M. wenyonii* in France. All positive animals presented anemia, edema and milk drop. When selecting animals presenting evocative clinical signs, the prevalence of *M. wenyonii* in Brittany was estimated to 25.6%. Further studies are needed to evaluate the importance of the infection, the implication of arthropods and the existence of asymptomatic carriers.

Key words: *Mycoplasma wenyonii*, *Mycoplasma ovis*, hemoplasma, cattle, anemia, *polC*.

Introduction:

After a period of confusion, *Haemobartonella* and *Eperythrozoon* species were reclassified as haemotropic mycoplasmas (hemoplasmas) based on molecular phylogenetic evidences (Neimark et al., 2001; Neimark et al. 2002). Haemoplasmas species are commonly infecting erythrocytes of a wide range of animals. Two species are suspected to infect cattle: *Mycoplasma wenyonii*, formerly known as *Eperythrozoon wenyonii*, and *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haemobos. Data on *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haemobos are very scarce (Niethammer et al., 2018) and most reports on cattle haemoplasmas only relate to *M. wenyonii* (Tagawa et al., 2008 and 2013).

M. wenyonii is an acknowledged pathogenic species infecting cattle worldwide. Blood smears reveal that this mycoplasma is found attached at the surface of red blood cells and/or free in the plasma (Neimlark et al., 2001). Co-infections have been demonstrated with *A. marginale*, *A. phagocytophilum*, *Babesia* spp. and *Theileria* spp. (Hofmnn et al., 2004). In cattle, the majority of *M. wenyonii* infections remains subclinical (Montes et al., 1994; Smith et al.,

51 1990), but signs such anemia, pyrexia, hind limb edema (hock), swollen teats, decreased milk

52 production and weight loss have been observed (Smith et al., 1990; Genova et al., 2011;

53 Collin et al., 2015). Chronical infection has also been reported in a Charolais bull in the USA

54 (Montes et al., 1994). The use of oxytetracycline is an effective therapeutics in most of the

55 cases. However, no antibiotic protocol has been proven to eliminate infection and infected

56 animals may remain carriers for life (Genova et al., 2011).

57 Modalities of dissemination are unknown but horizontal transmission by blood-sucking

58 arthropods has been basically accepted as the most common route for haemoplasma infection

59 (Hofmann-Lehmann et al., 2004). Abortion in association with *M. wenyonii* detection from

60 dam blood suggested that vertical transmission to the conceptus may be an alternative route

61 (Sasaoka et al., 2015). Some studies have reported that dam-to-fetus transmission is possible,

62 but the importance of this route for infection is unknown too (Hornok et al., 2011; Fujihara et

63 al., 2011). Vertical transmission seems possible for *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haemobos too

64 (Niethammer et al., 2018). Risk factors associated to infection have been poorly explored.

65 Housed cattle seem more susceptible to infection that grazing cattle and cattle between 1 and

66 3 years old appear to be the more susceptible to infection (Tagawa et al., 2012).

67 In cattle presenting clinical signs, the usual diagnostic method relies on blood smears stained

68 with Giemsa, but this technique shows low specificity and sensitivity (i.e. possible Howell-

69 Jolli bodies artifact) (Smith et al., 1990). More recently, methods developed for diagnosis

70 included qualitative PCR (McAuliffe et al., 2006), real-time PCR (Willi et al., 2009; Meli et

71 al., 2010), direct PCR from whole blood sample without DNA extraction (Tagawa et al.,

72 2012), and the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay (Song et al., 2013). Yet,

73 these methods have on main drawback in that they were unsatisfactory to discriminate easily

74 bovine hemoplasmas from other mycoplasmas of ruminant origin (i.e. *M. ovis*), which is an

75   important objective (Aktas and Ozubek, 2017). To overcome this issue, we developed a

76   highly specific PCR assay including a plasmid for positive control.

77   We report hereafter the first clinical cases due to *M. wenyonii* (MW) observed in a dairy herd

78   Brittany, France. Suspected cases, based on visual examination of blood smears or imprecise

79   PCR assays have been presented as oral presentation in cattle medicine congresses, but this is

80   subsequently the first identification of MW in France. The clinical signs consisted in

81   hyperthermia (up to 40°C), anemia, and lower limbs and teat edema, and the disease affected

82   up to 40% of the cows. Blood smears and molecular evidence using protocols as described by

83   Tagawa *et al.* (2008) and Sasaoka *et al.* (2015), confirmed the diagnosis. Our second

84   objective was to evaluate the feasibility and performances of an original one step detection

85   based on gene *polC*.

86

87   **Materials and Methods**

88   **DNA samples**

89   DNA was extracted from 200 µl of EDTA-blood samples collected from 2 cattle with clinical

90   signs and positive smear evocating infection by *Mycoplasma wenyonii* (sample 141145 and

91   141146, collected in France (Collin et al., 2015) using a QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit

92   (QIAGEN), eluted with 100 µl of buffer AE and stored at −20°C until use. DNA extract from

93   sample known as positive from previous investigation on *M. wenyonii* in Japan[16] was used

94   as positive control for PCR detection. For defining the specificity of the PCR assay, genomic

95   DNA from other mycoplasma species (*Mycoplasma ovis*, *M. fermentans*; *M. hominis*; *M.*

96   *orale*; *Achleplasma laidlawi*; *M. capricolum* subsp. *capricolum*; *M. mycoides* subsp. *capri*;

97   *M. leachi*;   *M. putrefaciens*;   *M. ovipneumoniae*;   *M. bovigenitalium*;   *M. conjunctivae*;

98   *M. arginini*; *M. gallisepticum*; *M. canis*; *U. diversum*; *M. bovis*; *M. agalactiae*) were used and

99   extracted from liquid culture as described elsewhere (Sambrook and Russel, 2001; Chen and

100 Kuo, 1993) except for *M. ovis* for which it was extracted from whole blood of a positive

101 animal. The *Mycoplasma* species have been chosen either for their renown existence in

102 ruminants (n=13) or for their existence in common domestic animals or humankind (n=5)

103 **PCR detection assays**

104 Four set of primers were used for PCR assays (Table 1). Primers for 16S_rRNA and primers

105 for rnpB were designed according to Tagawa et al.2008 and Sasaoka et al. 2015 respectively.

106 Based on the alignment *pol*C sequences available in databases for MW and MO, forward and

107 reverse primers were designed to amplify a 500 bp product. Using the Primer blast software,

108 these primers were predicted *in silico* to specifically amplify MW. The same strategy was

109 used to designed MO specific primers.

110 PCR assays were performed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep-Gradient thermocycler in 25

111 µL reaction mixtures containing 0.4 mM of each primer, 1X PCR reaction buffer (with

112 MgSO4, New England Biolabs [NEB], Evry, France), 200 mM dNTPs and 2.5 U Taq DNA

113 polymerase (NEB). Reaction mixtures were subjected to 2 min at 94°C, 30 cycles (except for

114 16S rRNA: 35) of 30s at 94 °C, 30s at 58°C (except for 16S rRNA: 62°C), 30s at 72 °C, and a

115 final elongation step of 5 min at 72°C. Annealing temperature was determined based on data

116 obtained from a gradient of temperature. PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis

117 in 2% agarose for 16S RNA (Tagawa et al.2008) and *rnpB* (Sasaoka et al. 2015) assays or in

118 1% agarose for MW-*polC* and MO-*polC* assays (Molecular weight standard: Smart Ladder,

119 Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium).

120 **PCR sensitivity and design of replicative plasmid as positive controls**

121 PCR products were purified (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France)

122 and cloned into pGEMT-easy plasmid vector (Promega, Charbonnières les bains, France).

123 Recombinant plasmid vectors were transformed in *Escherichia coli* strain DH5a

124 (Invitrogen/Life-Technologies, Courtaboeuf, France) grown in LB medium supplemented

125   with ampicillin, and purified with QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (QIAGEN). DNA fragment

126   insertion was monitored by PCR with the appropriate specific primers, followed by

127   sequencing. Plasmid concentration was determined by Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation

128   (ThermoFischer, Villebon sur Yvette, France). PCR assays were performed with serial

129   dilution of the purified plasmid as templates to determine the minimal concentration for which

130   amplification is detected. Each experiment was repeated three times to assess repeatability

131   and we obtained every time the same results. For comparison, the PCR assay was run in

132   parallel with serial dilution of positive samples.

133   **DNA sequencing**

134   Sequences of PCR product and plasmid were obtained by Sanger direct sequencing method

135   using specific primers at the sequencing facility of UMR 5165 (CNRS, UPS, CHU Purpan,

136   Toulouse, France). Sequence analysis was done with Chromas lite (available at

137   http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas_lite.html) and NCBI blast tools

138   (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

139   **Case detection study**

140   A case detection study was implemented in 2016 with the help of veterinarians in French

141   Brittany. Cases were included in the study on the basis of cattle presenting: anemia without

142   hemoglobinuria, edema of the limbs and/or the udder, transient milk drop, excluding

143   anaplasmosis and babesiosis, medical history was recorded. A total of 181 cows in 6 herds

144   were included in the study: 34 suspected clinical cases were collected and 147 samples from

145   animals without clinical signs were also collected at the same time and from the same region.

146   Animal blood samples were collected (5 mL, EDTA) for blood-smear primary detection using

147   Diff Quick® coloration and, DNA extraction (QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit, Qiagen) for

148   PCR detection using MW-*polC* amplification.

149   **Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**.

150   Nucleotide sequences have been submitted to GenBank under accession numbers MH001426,

151   MH001427, MH001428 and MH001429 respectively.

152

153   **Results**

154   **First detection of hemoplasmas in French ruminants**

155   Using the PCR assay developed by Tagawa *et al.* in 2008, the presence of MW was detected

156   in 9 blood samples (i) collected in France. We selected only 2 after the sequencing process

157   (due to the 100% level of similarity between the 9) from two cows suspected to be infected

158   by MW, namely 141145 and 141146, (see Material and methods) and (ii) from an animal

159   known as being infected by MW, as positive control. More specifically, with these samples, a

160   PCR product of the expected size, 193-bp, was detected. Regardless of the stringency of the

161   PCR conditions, the same PCR assay yielded a similar size product with DNA extracted from

162   *M. bovis*, another ruminant mycoplasma species known to infect ruminant and initially

163   included in our study as a negative control (Figure 1A). The 193-bp PCR product of 141145,

164   141146 and *M. bovis* were sequenced. Data showed that 141145 and 141146 sequences are

165   identical and closely matched sequences belonging to the 16s rRNA of MW and MO, with 0

166   to 2 SNPs, depending on the strains used for comparison (Figure 1B). For *M. bovis*, the

167   sequence was identical to the of *M. bovis* 16s rRNA. All together these data suggested that the

168   PCR developed by Tagawa *et al.* (2008), while detecting hemoplasmas, does not discriminate

169   between MO, MW and MB. When we tested the PCR assay developed by Sasaoka *et al.*

170   (2015), which one targets the *rnpB* gene, *M. bovis* DNA gave a negative result while 141145

171   and 141146 yield the expected size product which sequences match specifically the MW. Yet,

172   MO was positive (Figure 1C).

173

174   **Development of a specific PCR for MW and MO**

175   Overall, these results reflect the lack of a proper diagnostic assay that would allow

176   unambiguously the detection of MW in one single step. To fill this gap, we designed a PCR

177   assay targeting the *pol*C gene to amplify specifically a 500 bp product. This was further

178   confirmed *in vitro* using genomic DNA extracted from MW, and MB with a 55°C optimum

179   temperature (Figure 1D). The same strategy was used to designed MO specific primers. The

180   specificity of these PCR assays, further designated as MW-*polC* or MO-*polC*, was then tested

181   against 19 *Mycoplasma* species, of which 15 are known in ruminants (Table 2). Results

182   shown in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 1 were compared to PCR assays previously

183   developed. All species but two, *M. canis* and *U. diversum*, were detected in our hands with the

184   assay targeting the 16S rRNA (Tagawa et al; 2008). The PCR assay developed by Sasaoka *et*

185   *al.* (2015) was more specific; yet amplification was obtained with MO. Overall, in our hands,

186   comparison of the different PCR assays currently available points towards MW-*polC* as being

187   the more specific for MW detection.

188 **Development of molecular positive controls**

189   Since MW and MO are not cultivable, the use of a field sample as positive control which

190   availability is limited poses the question of the reproducibility and of the estimation of the

191   sensitivity of the assay. To address these issues, we engineered a set of 4 replicative plasmids

192   carrying sequences targeted by the PCR assays and corresponding to: the MW- or MO- 530

193   bp or 545 bp *polC* sequence respectively, the 189 bp MW *rnpB* sequence and the MW 16s

194   rRNA. Replicates of PCR assays using serial dilution of known plasmid concentration

195   indicates that MW-*polC* is less sensitive than other PCR assays, with that targeting the two

196   16s rRNA gene copies being as expected the most sensitive (Table 3 and Figure 2A).

197   Replicates of PCR assays using serial dilution of a field sample (Figure 2B) permit to confirm

198   these sensitivity differences and estimate the bacterial load of a clinically infected animal to

199 10^7 bacteria/ml of blood assessing that the sensitivity of MW-*polC* assay is sufficient enough

200 to detect clinical cases.

Case detection study

202 Cases were recruited on basis of clinical signs evocating infection by *M. wenyonii* as detected

203 by veterinarians in Brittany. Animals without clinical signs were also sampled at the same

204 time. A total of 34 samples from adult dairy cattle presenting clinical signs were collected and

205 147 from animals without clinical signs. All were analyzed with MW-*polC* PCR assay. Of the

206 34 animals presenting signs evocating *M. wenyonii* infection, 9 were positive while the 147

207 samples from asymptomatic animals were all negative (Figure 3).

Discussion

209 This study presents the molecular and clinical identification of a hemoplasma in cattle in

210 France. To the authors´ knowledge, this is the first report of a molecular investigation of *M.*

211 *wenyonii* in this country. Hemoplasma organisms (named before 2001 *Eperythrozoon*) have

212 been identified in a variety of mammals (including cattle) and the infection by these

213 organisms has been associated with extravascular hemolytic anemia, reproductive disorders,

214 milk drop syndrome, edema of dependent parts, growth retardation… of varying intensity:

215 subclinical infection seems common (Messik, 2004). In Brittany (France), evocative

216 inclusions in bovine erythrocytes have been observed for the first time in 2014, associated

217 with clinical signs in adult cows (Collin et al., 2015).

218 Our study confirms the presence of *Mycoplasma wenyonii* in France. Surprisingly, in

219 our survey 'Candidatus M. haemobos' was not detected unlike in other European countries

220 (Ade et al., 2018; Niethammer et al. 2018), but this species is even less documented that MW.

221 As expected, the number of infected animals by MW is much higher when selecting them on

222 the basis of a clinical suspicion (9/34: 25.6 %) than when sampling them at random in the

223 same area (9/181: 4.9 %). The selected significant clinical signs were: anemia without

224 hemoglobinuria, edema of the limbs and/or the udder, transient milk drop. Of course clinical

225 anaplasmosis and babesiosis were excluded by optical microscopy and PCR (data not shown).

226 No coinfection was observed in our study but is likely to occur from time to time since their

227 potential vectors share the same biotope (Hornok et al., 2011, Messik, 2004).

228   Additionally, the purpose of our study was to address the specificity of previously

229 published PCR assays, and to develop a specific molecular diagnosis a one-step assay,

230 without any subsequent amplification. We demonstrated that no other assay is as discriminant

231 as the one we developed: using MW-*polC* amplification (with the probes we developed)

232 allows detecting only *Mycoplasma wenyonii,* and no other mycoplasma of ruminant origin

233 (Figures 4 and 5). Moreover, the amplicon size is Mw-specific and quite different from *M.*

234 *ovis* amplicons, an important objective (Aktas and Ozubek, 2017) we fulfilled. At first, the

235 suitability of this assay in a real-time PCR was not the purpose of this study.

236 Sensitivity of the existing and new test has been evaluated. The detection threshold is as low

237 as $1.7 \; 10^6$ copies of the genome per mL total blood, but it is less sensitive than PCR assays

238 targeting the 16S rRNA gene copies which are, as expected, the most sensitive. The future

239 development of a real-time PCR will be the way to increase the sensitivity of the detection.

240 Sensitivity study was made possible thanks to the engineering of recombinant plasmids. These

241 have several advantages over positive controls from the field infected animals whose

242 reproducibility is poor and/or variable: they can be produce in large amount and offer

243 reproducible and comparative data across labs.

244 Further studies are needed to evaluate the importance of MW infection. The systematic

245 exploration of anemia in cattle could be the first step, as this symptom appears to be the more

246 consistent in cattle of all ages. Studies on coinfections with *Theileria* spp., *Babesia* spp.,

247 *Anaplasma* spp. and *Bartonella* spp. are to be initiated too, because infectivity and disease

248 could be associated with coinfection, apart from other factors to determine. Correlations of

249 MW infection with productivity and growth might be evaluated subsequently.

250 As there is no clear consensus either on the potential vectors, or on transmission, arthropod

251 trapping and investigation on asymptomatic or latent and chronic carriers are needed too.

252 The importance of vertical transmission is unknown too, and sampling calves before ingestion

253 of colostral antibodies could help to specify the importance of this phenomenon.

254 The tools we developed can contribute to target these objectives.

255

256 **Conflict of interest statement**

257 None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or

258 organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper

259 **Authorship**

260 LX. Nouvel and MC. Hygonenq carried out DNA extraction and PCR design and analysis. E.

261 Martinelli and G. Catays carried out part of DNA extraction and PCR analysis. Ph. Lepage

262 and E. Collin carried out animal sampling and case story collection. H.Inokuma provided

263 positive controls and PCR protocols. C. Citti, LX. Nouvel and R. Maillard drafted the

264 manuscript. LX. Nouvel, F. Schelcher, C. Citti and R. Maillard conceived and participated in

265 the design of the study, which was coordinated by R. Maillard. All authors read and approved

266 the final manuscript.

267

268 **Declarations of interest:**

269 None

270

271 **Acknowledgments**

274

275

References

1. Ade J, Niethammer F, Schade B, Schilling T, Hoelzle K, Hoelzle LE. Quantitative analysis of Mycoplasma wenyonii and 'Candidatus Mycoplasma haemobos" infections in cattle using novel gapN-based realtime PCR assays. Vet Microbiol. 2018 Jul;220:1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.04.028. Epub 2018 Apr 22.

2. Aktas M, Ozubek S. 2017. A molecular survey of small ruminant hemotropic mycoplasmosis in Turkey, including first laboratory confirmed clinical cases caused by Mycoplasma ovis. Vet MicrobiolSep; 208:217-222.

3. Chen W.P., Kuo T.T. 1993. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of gram-negative bacterial genomic DNA, Nucleic Acids Res. 21, 2260.

4. Collin E., Schelcher F., Allain M., Pinchon C., Robic C. Infection of a dairy herd by Mycoplasma (ex Eperythrozoon) wenyonii: first description in France, in: European Buiatrics Forum, Société Française de Buiatrie ed., Roma, 2015, 25 .

5. Fujihara Y., Sasaoka F., Suzuki J., Watanabe Y., Fujihara M., Ooshita K., Ano H., Harasawa R. 2011. Prevalence of hemoplasma infection among cattle in the western part of Japan, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 73, 1653–1655.

6. Genova S.G., Streeter R.N., Velguth K.E., Snider T.A., Kocan K.M., Simpson K.M.. 2011. Severe anemia associated with Mycoplasma wenyonii infection in a mature cow, Can. Vet. J. Rev. Veterinaire Can. 52, 1018–1021.

7. Hofmann-Lehmann R.2009. Development and application of a universal Hemoplasma screening assay based on the SYBR green PCR principle, J. Clin. Microbiol. 47, 4049–4054. doi:10.1128/JCM.01478-09.

8. Hofmann-Lehmann R., Meli M.L., Dreher U.M., Gönczi E., Deplazes P., Braun U., Engels M., Schüpbach J., Jörger K., Thoma R., Griot C.,. Stärk K.D.C, Willi B., Schmidt J., Kocan K.M., Lutz H. 2004. Concurrent infections with vector-borne

301   pathogens associated with fatal hemolytic anemia in a cattle herd in Switzerland, J.

302   Clin. Microbiol. 42, 3775–3780. doi:10.1128/JCM.42.8.3775-3780.2004.

303 9. Hornok S., Micsutka A., Meli M.L., Lutz H., Hofmann-Lehmann R., 2011. Molecular

304   investigation of transplacental and vector-borne transmission of bovine

305   haemoplasmas. 2011. Vet. Microbiol. 152, 411–414.

306 10. McAuliffe L., Lawes J., Bell S., Barlow A., Ayling R., Nicholas R.2006. The

307   detection of Mycoplasma (formerly Eperythrozoon) wenyonii by 16S rDNA PCR and

308   denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, Vet. Microbiol. 117, 292–296.

309   doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.06.011.

310 11. Messick J.B.2004. Hemotrophic mycoplasmas (hemoplasmas): a review and new

311   insights into pathogenic potential, Vet. Clin. Pathol. 33, 2–13.

312 12. Montes A.J., Wolfe D.F., Welles E.G., Tyler J.W., Tepe E.1994. Infertility associated

313   with Eperythrozoon wenyonii infection in a bull, J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 204, 261–

314   263.

315 13. Niethammer F.M., Ade J., Hoelzle L.E., Schade B. Hemotrophic mycoplasma in

316   Simmental cattle in Bavaria: prevalence, blood parameters, and transplacental

317   transmission of 'Candidatus Mycoplasma haemobos' and Mycoplasma wenyonii. Acta

318   Vet Scand. 2018 Nov 16;60(1):74. doi: 10.1186/s13028-018-0428-y.

319 14. Tagawa M., Matsumoto K., Inokuma H. 2008. Molecular detection of Mycoplasma

320   wenyonii and "Candidatus Mycoplasma haemobos" in cattle in Hokkaido, Japan, Vet.

321   Microbiol. 132, 177–180. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.05.006.

322 15. Neimark H., Johansson K.E., Rikihisa Y., Tully J.G., 2001. Proposal to transfer some

323   members of the genera Haemobartonella and Eperythrozoon to the genus Mycoplasma

324   with descriptions of "Candidatus Mycoplasma haemofelis", "Candidatus Mycoplasma

325   haemomuris", "Candidatus Mycoplasma haemosuis" and "Candidatus Mycoplasma

326   wenyonii," Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51, 891–899. doi:10.1099/00207713-51-3-
327   891.

328   16. Neimark H., Johansson K.-E., Rikihisa Y., Tully J.G., 2002. Revision of haemotrophic
329   Mycoplasma species names, Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52 , 683.
330   doi:10.1099/00207713-52-2-683.

331   17. Sasaoka F., Suzuki J., Hirata T.-I., Ichijo T., Furuhama K., Harasawa R., Satoh H.,
332   2015. Vertical transmission of Mycoplasma wenyonii in cattle, supported by analysis
333   of the ribonuclease P RNA gene - Short communication, Acta Vet. Hung. 63, 271–
334   274. doi:10.1556/004.2015.025.

335   18. Sambrook J., Russell D.W. 2001.Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 3rd ed, Cold
336   Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

337   19. Smith J.A., Thrall M.A., Smith J.L., Salman M.D., Ching S.V., Collins J.K., 1990.
338   Eperythrozoon wenyonii infection in dairy cattle, J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 196, 1244–
339   1250.

340   20. Song Q., Wang L., Fang R., Khan M.K., Zhou Y., Zhao J. 2013.   Detection of
341   Mycoplasma wenyonii in cattle and transmission vectors by the loop-mediated
342   isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay, Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 45, 247–250.
343   doi:10.1007/s11250-012-0197-y.

344   21. Tagawa M., Matsumoto K., Inokuma H. 2008. Molecular detection of Mycoplasma
345   wenyonii and "Candidatus Mycoplasma haemobos" in cattle in Hokkaido, Japan, Vet.
346   Microbiol. 132 (2008) 177–180. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.05.006.

347   22. Tagawa M., Ybanez A.P., Matsumoto K., Yokoyama N., Inokuma H. 2012.
348   Prevalence and risk factor analysis of bovine hemoplasma infection by direct PCR in
349   Eastern Hokkaido, Japan, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 74, 1171–1176.

350   23. Tagawa M., Yamakawa K., Aoki T., Matsumoto K., Ishii M., Inokuma H. 2013. Effect

351   of chronic hemoplasma infection on cattle productivity, J. Vet. Med. Sci. 75, 1271–

352   1275.

353   24. Willi B., Meli M.L., Lüthy R., Honegger H., Wengi N., Hoelzle L.E., Reusch C.E.,

354   Lutz H.,

355   25. Meli M.L., Willi B., Dreher U.M., Cattori V., Knubben-Schweizer G., Nuss K., Braun

356   U., Lutz H., Hofmann-Lehmann R. 2010. Identification, molecular characterization,

357   and occurrence of two bovine hemoplasma species in Swiss cattle and development of

358   real-time TaqMan quantitative PCR assays for diagnosis of bovine hemoplasma

359   infections, J. Clin. Microbiol. 48, 3563–3568. doi:10.1128/JCM.02224-09.

360

361   **Figure captions**

362   **Figure 1: *M. wenyonii* PCR assays**

363   Princeps cases detection targeting 16S rRNA (A) or polC (C) genes, and assays specificity
364   testing (B). T+: MW for 16S rRNA, *rnpB* and MW-*polC*; MO for MO-polC; M: Smart ladder
365   Eurogentec; 1: *Mycoplasma fermentans*; 2: *Achleplasma laidlawi*; 3: *M. capricolum* subsp.
366   *capricolum*; 4: *M. leachi*; 5: *M. putrefaciens*; 6: *M. ovipneumoniae*; 7: *M. mycoides* subsp.
367   *capri*; 8: *M. bovigenitalium*; 9: *M. conjunctivae*; 10: *M. arginini*; 11: *M. canis*; 12:
368   *U. diversum 310*[#]; 13: *U. diversum 246*[#£]; 14: *M. bovis*; 15: *M. agalactiae**; 16: *M. wenyonii*;
369   (*: DNA extract of blood from positive animal, [#]: culture after PK lysis, [£]: no culture growth)

370   **Figure 1C:** 16S and *polC* sequences from isolates 14-1145 and 14-1146

371

372   **Figure 2: Sensitivity testing of *M. wenyonii* PCR assays**

373   (A) 16S rRNA, *rnpB,* MW-*polC and* MO-*polC* PCR with specific plasmid in serial dilution
374   corresponding to 10 to 10^{10} gene copy as template (B) Quantification of gene copy in positive
375   sample 14-1145: 16S rRNA, *rnpB,* MW-*polC* PCR with serial dilution of DNA extract as
376   template.

377   **Figure 3: Global survey results**

378   Detection results in all animals or when selecting animal on clinical signs

379 **Figure 4:** *polC* gel *Mycoplasma wenyonii* specific

380

381 **Figure 5:** *polC* phylogenetic tree

382

383 **Tables**

384   **Table 1: Primers and PCR conditions**

Targeted gene	Primers	Ta*	Expected amplicon size		References
			M. wenyonii	*M. ovis*	
16S_rRNA	16S-F2 (5'-GGCCCATATTCCTRCGGGAAG-3') 16S-R2 (5'-ACRGGATTACTAGTGATTCCA-3')	62°C	193 bp	176 and 193 bp	Tagawa *et al.* [2008]
rnpB	rnpB-F (5'-AGTCTGAGATGACTRTAGTG-3') rnpB-R (5'-TRCTTGMGGGGTTTGCCTCG-3')	58°C	189 bp	187 bp	Sasaoka *et al.* [2015]
MW_polC	MW-PolCF1 (5'-GTAGTACCACCACCAGAGCAG-3') MW-PolCR1 (5'-ACTACCTCGTGCCCAAGTG-3')	58°C	530 bp	-	This study
MO_polC	MO-PolCF1 (5'-GGACAGTGAGCGGAGATGG-3') MO-PolCR1 (5'-AAGAGTGGGGCTTGAATGGG-3')	58°C	-	545 bp	This study

385   *: temperature of annealing

Table 2: Specificity of the PCR assays targeting the detection of MW

Species and reference of the strain or isolate	Host	PCR assay			
		16S rRNA	r*npB*	MW-*polC*	MO-*polC*
M. wenyonii Isolate 14-1146 this study	Ruminants	+	+	+	-*
M. ovis isolate 10-373, this study	Ruminants	+*	+	-*	+
M. fermentans type strain PG 12	Human	+	-	-	-
M. hominis type strain PG21	Human	+	-	-	-
M. orale type strain CH 19299	Human	+	-	-*	-*
A. laidlawii type strain PG8	Free	+	-	-	-*
M. capricolum subsp. *Capricolum* type strain California kid	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. mycoides subsp. *Capri* type strain Y goat	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. leachii type strain PG50	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. putrefaciens type strain KST	Ruminants	+	-	-	-

M. ovipneumoniae type strain Y98	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. bovigenitalium type strain PG 11	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. conjunctivae type strain HRC581	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. arginini type strain G230	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. gallisepticum type strain PG31	Poultry	+	+f	-	-
M. canis type strain PG14	Ruminants	-	-	-	-
U. diversum type strain A417	Ruminants	-	-	-	-
M. bovis type strain PG45	Ruminants	+	-	-	-
M. agalactiae type strain PG2	Ruminants	+	-	-	-

387   +: amplicon at expected size; -: no amplification detected; -*: weak signal at a different
388   size than expected; +f: positive weak signal at the expected size

389

390   Table 3: Sensitivity of the PCR assays

	Plasmid insert target	Detection threshold (genome/µL blood)
16S rRNA	MW	30
rnpB	MW	200
	MO	90
MW-*polC*	MW	1700
MO-*polC*	MO	240

391

392   MO: *Mycoplasma ovis*; MW: *Mycoplasma wenyonii*

A

>Seq1 [Mycoplasma wenyonii] M.wenyonii[14_1145]partial16S

ACGAAAGTCTGATGGAGCAATACCACGTGAACGATGAAGGTCTTCTGATTGTAAAGTTCTTTTATTTAGGAAA
AAAAGCGTGCTAGGAAATGAGCGCGCCTTGATGGTACTAATTGAATAAGTGACAGCTAACTATGTGCCAGCAG
CTGCGGTAAAACATAGGTCACGAGCATTATCCGGATTTATTGGGCGT

>Seq2 [Mycoplasma wenyonii] M.wenyonii[14_1146]partial16S

ACGAAAGTCTGATGGAGCAATACCACGTGAACGATGAAGGTCTTCTGATTGTAAAGTTCTTTTATTTAGGAAA
AAAAGCGTGCTAGGAAATGAGCGCGCCTTGATGGTACTAATTGAATAAGTGACAGCTAACTATGTGCCAGCAG
CTGCGGTAAAACATAGGTCACGAGCATTATCCGGATTTATTGGGCGT

> Seq3 [Mycoplasma wenyonii] M.wenyonii[14_1145]polC

GTAGTACCACcACCAGAGCAGATACTAGAGGAAGATGTAACTGTTAAGGAAGCCCCTTTAATAGCAAAAGAGA
CAGAAGTATTGGGAGCAATACAAACTATTGACTCTAAGGTAAAAGGTATTTTCAAGCTCTATCTCCTAGAAGAG
ATAGATAGCTACAGTTACAGAGGTCAGAGTATGTATAAGGTAGCTTTTACTAACTTCTCTGATCATTACATATTA
CTACTAGGTAGATTAATTACTCCAgAAAAGAAAGCAGAATATCTAAAGATACTAAAAGTTGAAGAGTGATTTG
AGATAGAAATTCAACTAGATGTAAAGAGTTCTACTAGTTCAAAAACTCTATCTAACTATGATGGTTGACTAATT
AGTTGTGCTTCAGTTGATTATCCAAAGGGATTAAGTCtAGAAGATAACTCACCAAAGAAAGCCTTTTCCTTAAAT
GTATATACCAAATACTCTAGCTTTGATGGGTTATTTAACTCAGATCAATGAGCAGAAAAAGCTAAAGCACTTGG
GCACGAGGTAGT

>Seq4 [Mycoplasma wenyonii] M.wenyonii[14-1146]polC

GTAGTACCACCACCAGAGCAGATACTAGAGGAAGATGTAACTGTTAAGGAAGCCCCTTTAATAGCAAAAGAG
ACAGAAGTATTGGGAGCAATACAAACTATTGACTCTAAGGTAAAAGGTATTTTCAAGCTCTATCTCCTAGAAGA
GATAGATAGCTACAGTTACAGAGGTCAGAGTATGTATAAGGTAGCTTTTACTAACTTCTCTGATCATTACATAT
TACTACTAGGTAGATTAATTACTCCAGAAAAGAAAGCAGAATATCTAAAGATACTAAAAGTTGAAGAGTGATT
TGAGATAGAAATTCAACTAGATGTAAAGAGTTCTACTAGTTCAAAAACTCTATCTAACTATGATGGTTGACTAA
TTAGTTGTGCTTCAGTTGATTATCCAAAGGGATTAAGTCTAGAAGATAACTCACCAAAGAAAGCCTTTTCCTTA
AATGTATATACCAAATACTCTAGCTTTGATGGGTTATTTAACTCAGATCAATGAGCAGAAAAAGCTAAAGCACT
TGGGCACGAGGTAGT

**Figure 4 : 16S and *PolC* sequences of isolates 14-1145 et 14-1146**

B

C

MW-polC

A

Number of plasmid copy

	10^{10}	10^9	10^8	10^7	10^6	10^5	10^4	10^3	10^2	10	H2O

16S

rnpB

MW-polC

MO-polC

B Extract **14-1145**

	10^{-1}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}	10^{-7}
16S							
rnpB							
MW-polC							

10^7 cp

	survey		clinical cases
positive	9	positive	9
negative	172	negative	25

survey

clinical cases

polC Mwen (2016-09-29 11hr16min)

Phylogentic tree based on *polC* gene sequence

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura-Nei model [1]. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-22940.9572) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 7 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 4202 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 [2].

1. Tamura K. and Nei M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 10:512-526.
2. Kumar S., Stecher G., and Tamura K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets.Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:1870-1874.