



HAL
open science

Fast at-line characterization of solid organic waste: Comparing analytical performance of different compact near infrared spectroscopic systems with different measurement configurations

Alexandre Mallet, Margaud Pérémé, Lorraine Awhangbo, Cyrille Charnier,
Jean-Michel Roger, Jean-Philippe Steyer, Éric Latrille, Ryad Bendoula

► To cite this version:

Alexandre Mallet, Margaud Pérémé, Lorraine Awhangbo, Cyrille Charnier, Jean-Michel Roger, et al.. Fast at-line characterization of solid organic waste: Comparing analytical performance of different compact near infrared spectroscopic systems with different measurement configurations. Waste Management, 2021, 126, pp.664-673. 10.1016/j.wasman.2021.03.045 . hal-03204372

HAL Id: hal-03204372

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03204372>

Submitted on 1 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0
International License

1 Fast at-line characterization of solid
2 organic waste: comparing analytical
3 performance of different compact near
4 infrared spectroscopic systems with
5 different measurement configurations

6
7 *Authors: Alexandre Mallet^{a,b,c,d,*}, Margaud Péréomé^{a,d}, Lorraine*
8 *Awhangbo^{a,d}, Cyrille Charnier^c, Jean-Michel Roger^{b,d}, Jean-*
9 *Philippe Steyer^a, Éric Latrille^{a,d}, Ryad Bendoula^b*

10 a) INRAE, Univ Montpellier, LBE, 102 Av des Etangs, Narbonne F-11100, France

11 b) INRAE, UMR ITAP, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France

12 c) Bioentech, F-11100 Narbonne, France

13 d) ChemHouse Research Group, Montpellier, France

14

* **Corresponding author**

E-mail address: alexandre.mallet@bioentech.eu

Full postal address: INRAE-LBE, 102, Avenue des Etangs, F-11100 Narbonne

15 Abstract

16 Fast characterization of solid organic waste using near infrared spectroscopy
17 py has been successfully developed in the last decade. However, its adoption in
18 biogas plants for monitoring the feeding substrates remains limited due to the lack
19 of applicability and high costs. Recent evolutions in the technology have given rise
20 to both more compact and more modular low-cost near infrared systems which
21 could allow a larger scale deployment. The current study investigates the rele-
22 vance of these new systems by evaluating four different Fourier transform near-
23 infrared spectroscopic systems with different compactness (laboratory, portable,
24 micro spectrometer) but also different measurement configurations (polarized light,
25 at distance, in contact). Though the conventional laboratory spectrometer showed
26 the best performance on the various biochemical parameters tested (carbohy-
27 drates, lipids, nitrogen, chemical oxygen demand, biochemical methane potential),
28 the compact systems provided very close results. Prediction of the biochemical
29 methane potential was possible using a low-cost micro spectrometer with an inde-
30 pendent validation set error of only $91 \text{ NmL}(\text{CH}_4)\cdot\text{gTS}^{-1}$ compared to 60
31 $\text{NmL}(\text{CH}_4)\cdot\text{gTS}^{-1}$ for a laboratory spectrometer. The differences in performance
32 were shown to result mainly from poorer spectral sampling; and not from instru-
33 ment characteristics such as spectral resolution. Regarding the measurement con-
34 figurations, none of the evaluated systems allowed a significant gain in robustness.
35 In particular, the polarized light system provided better results when using its multi-

36 scattered signal which brings further evidence of the importance of physical light-
37 scattering properties in the success of models built on solid organic waste.

38

39 Keywords

40 Near infrared spectroscopy; anaerobic digestion;
41 process monitoring; biochemical methane potential; compact systems; measure-
42 ment modes;

43 1. Introduction

44 In anaerobic digestion processes, different organic waste are often co-digested to
45 enhance the production of both biogas and fertilizers (Hagos et al., 2017). A tre-
46 mendous diversity of waste is concerned by these bioprocesses such as agricul-
47 tural residues (animal manure, crop stems/stalks, silage), food industry waste
48 (brewery, sugar refinery), urban solid waste, meat waste or catering waste. This
49 implies that these waste cover a large range of biochemical composition and phys-
50 ical properties. Moreover, such properties may fluctuate according to factors such
51 as crop seasonality, transport or storage. This brings important challenges for en-
52 suring the stability of the process and the efficiency of biogas production in digest-
53 ers (Wu et al., 2019). To answer this, online monitoring of the feeding substrate
54 quality could allow the direct adaptation of the feeding strategy to the feeding sub-
55 strate quality (Jacobi et al., 2011). However, up to today, this has only been shown

56 to be possible on digesters fed with a single type of substrate (like maize silage),
57 while the usefulness of such system appears greater with important variations of
58 substrate type and quality (Jacobi et al., 2012). In light of this, for co-digestion
59 plants, there is a need for the development of fast and reliable characterization
60 methods that are applicable on highly diverse organic waste.

61

62 Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), coupled with multivariate analysis techniques
63 (Næs and Martens, 1984), has been successfully used as a fast and robust char-
64 acterization method of solid organic waste (Skvaril et al., 2017). In the composting
65 process, NIRS was used to monitor the degradation phases of compost (Albrecht
66 et al., 2008), or to predict biochemical characteristics such as the carbon/nitrogen
67 ratio (Vergnoux et al., 2009). In the anaerobic digestion process, the technology
68 was initially used for in-situ monitoring of dry solids (DS), volatile solids (VS),
69 chemical oxygen demand (COD) and volatile fatty acids (VFA) in digesters (Jacobi
70 et al., 2009; Lomborg et al., 2009; Stockl and Lichti, 2018; Wolf et al., 2011). NIRS
71 was then proposed for the determination of biochemical methane potential (BMP)
72 on municipal solid waste (Lesteur et al., 2011), and has since been extended to
73 other types of waste (Doublet et al., 2013; Fitamo et al., 2017; Godin et al., 2015;
74 Triolo et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2021). Today, NIRS appears the most suitable
75 method for predicting BMP on various organic substrates (Rodrigues et al., 2019).
76 More recently, NIRS was used to estimate complementary characteristics such as
77 carbohydrates content, lipid content, nitrogen content, COD, and kinetic parame-
78 ters (Charnier et al., 2017). In terms of process monitoring, these developments

79 allow time-consuming reference measurements (which last typically one to two
80 months for a characteristic like BMP) to be available in less than five days. Today,
81 what limits the adoption of NIRS in full-scale biogas plants is its low applicability
82 and high costs (Wu et al., 2019). Indeed, freeze-drying and grinding steps are nec-
83 essary to avoid water and particle size effects in NIRS (Mallet et al., 2021), which
84 currently limits the online applicability of such system. Moreover, the high costs of
85 the spectrometer and the logistics involved in sending the sample at the laboratory
86 still limits a regular and exhaustive analysis of the feeding substrates. Whether
87 NIRS is applied directly on fresh waste or with a prior freeze-drying step, there is a
88 need to develop cheap and reliable instruments which can be used on a wide
89 range of substrate types in order to promote a greater adoption of NIRS in co-
90 digestion plants. This could be addressed by an at-site use of low-cost and com-
91 pact near infrared (NIR) systems.

92

93 In the past few years, the use of NIRS has developed out of laboratories,
94 thanks to important progress in the miniaturization of instruments. In particular,
95 handheld Fourier transform near infrared (FT-NIR) micro spectrometers have ap-
96 peared in the market, and make use of a micro-electro-mechanical systems-based
97 (MEMS) Michelson interferometer (Beć et al., 2021). While conventional Michelson
98 interferometers are made of discrete elements (including the moving mirror ac-
99 tioned by a motor, the fixed mirror, and beam splitter), MEMS technology enables a
100 monolithic integration of these elements on a single chip, with the particularity that
101 the moving mirror is operated by an electrical signal. Amongst the spectrometers

102 making use of this technology, the NeoSpectra instrument has shown good analyt-
103 ical performance results for soil organic and total carbon content characterization
104 (Sharififar et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2020), or authenticity screening in food (McVey
105 et al., 2021). These compact spectrometers allow the measurements to be per-
106 formed on site, thanks to their compactness, robustness and cost. However, these
107 compact portable spectrometers tend to have poorer instrument performances than
108 laboratory spectrometer, with lower resolution, spectral range, and signal-to-noise
109 ratio (Beć et al., 2020; Crocombe, 2018). Therefore, the suitability of such systems
110 for the characterization of diverse organic waste still needs to be assessed.

111

112 Another aspect of these compact systems concerns their modularity and the
113 possibility of testing different measurement configurations, in order to enhance the
114 measured signal. Indeed, in complex matter such as solid organic waste, the
115 Bouguer-Beer-Lambert law does not hold due to important light scattering (Dahm
116 and Dahm, 2004). To answer this, spectral pre-processing has been proposed to
117 remove both additive and multiplicative effects (Rabatel et al., 2020; Rinnan et al.,
118 2009; Zeaiter et al., 2005) and thus, make the problem linear again. However, oth-
119 er developments have rather focused on enhancing the measured signal directly. A
120 promising optical pre-processing method, based on polarized light spectroscopy
121 (Backman et al., 1999) has been proposed to improve the absorbance signal
122 measurement on such scattering samples (Bendoula et al., 2015; Gobrecht et al.,
123 2015; Xu et al., 2019). Such system has shown analytical performance improve-
124 ments for soils (Gobrecht et al., 2016), and more recently, for digestate (Awhangbo

125 et al., 2020), but has never been evaluated on solid organic waste. Still in the aim
126 of enhancing the measured spectra, time-resolved spectroscopy shows a promis-
127 ing future : applied to pharmaceutical tablets, collected photons with a particular
128 propagation time were shown to be most informative for quantification (Alayed and
129 Deen, 2017; Johansson et al., 2002). However, the cost of this technology still re-
130 mains prohibitive for the organic waste management sector. Finally, the measure-
131 ment mode (at distance or in contact, in reflectance or in interactance) also plays
132 an important role in the final accuracy for estimating biochemical properties
133 (Hemrattrakun et al., 2021; Khodabakhshian et al., 2019; Schaare and Fraser,
134 2000). Though current used laboratory spectrometers make use of a distance re-
135 flectance measurement, a contact immersed probe measurement has been shown
136 to be useful for prediction of parameters on digestates (Awhangbo et al., 2020).
137 Authors observe higher reflectance levels with less noise in the collected spectra,
138 as well as new chemical features which were not apparent in a remote probe con-
139 figuration. In light of this, it appears that the use of different measurement configu-
140 rations could enable the calibration of more accurate and more robust NIRS mod-
141 els on diverse solid organic waste.

142

143 As mentioned, the applicability of compact and low-cost spectroscopic systems
144 remains to be assessed for biochemical characterization of highly diverse solid or-
145 ganic waste. Moreover, the modularity offered by such compact systems is a
146 unique opportunity to evaluate whether the use of different measurement configu-
147 rations can help build more robust models. This study aims to assess these two

148 matters by comparing the analytical performances of four different NIRS systems:
149 a standard laboratory spectrometer, a portable spectrometer with two measure-
150 ment configurations (contact mode and polarized mode), and a micro-
151 spectrometer. For this purpose, measurements were acquired with each system on
152 a selection of solid organic waste. Then, for each spectroscopic system, prediction
153 models for five biochemical characteristics (carbohydrates, lipids, nitrogen, COD
154 and BMP) were calibrated and their performances were compared.

155 2. Materials and Methods

156 2.1. Sample preparation and reference analyses

157 Thirty-three substrates were selected amongst various waste types that have been
158 collected in rural, territorial and industrial anaerobic digestion plants in France.

159 These substrates cover a wide range of biochemical and physical properties: solid
160 cellulosic waste (like silage, cereals and corn cobs), liquid cellulosic suspensions
161 (such as manure), liquid fat suspensions (catering waste or biowaste), sweet emul-
162 sions (such as lactoserum), or protein and fat solid pastes (such as egg waste or
163 cacao butter). The visual aspect of some of these substrates in raw form is pre-
164 sented in Appendix A.

165 For spectral measurements, each substrate sample was freeze-dried and ground
166 to 1 mm. The dataset is fully described in a data paper [On-site substrate charac-
167 terization in the anaerobic digestion context: a dataset of NIR spectra acquired with

168 four different optical systems on freeze-dried and ground organic waste – this data
169 paper is submitted jointly with this article, reference will need an update after re-
170 viewing] and available online [<https://doi.org/10.15454/SQQTUU>].
171 Biochemical characterization of substrates was obtained by using a NIRS calibrat-
172 ed model (Charnier et al., 2017), with errors on independent test sets of 53
173 mg(O₂).gTS⁻¹ for carbohydrates content, 3.2*10⁻² g.gTS⁻¹ for lipids content, 8.6
174 mg.gTS⁻¹ for nitrogen content, and 83 mg(O₂).gTS⁻¹ for COD. The histograms of
175 obtained prediction values are presented in Figure 1.

176 2.2. Spectroscopic systems

177 The four spectroscopic systems compared in this study are presented below. In
178 addition, spectral measurement protocols are compared in Table 1.

179 2.2.1. Laboratory spectroscopic system

180 The laboratory spectroscopic system consists of a NIR-Flex N-500 solids FT-NIR
181 spectrophotometer with a vial accessory (Buchi, Flawil, Switzerland), scanning in
182 reflectance mode with a spectral range of 4 000 cm⁻¹ to 10 000 cm⁻¹ (1000-2500
183 nm) and a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. An external white reference (Spectralon®) signal
184 $I_0(\lambda)$ is automatically taken every 10 minutes. For each sample, an intensity signal
185 $I(\lambda)$ was collected, and the pseudo-absorbance signal $A_{lab}(\lambda)$ was computed:

186

$$A_{lab}(\lambda) = -\log_{10}(R_{lab}(\lambda)) = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{I(\lambda)}{I_0(\lambda)}\right). \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

187

188 2.2.2. Portable spectrometer with immersed contact probe

189 The immersed contact probe system consists of a FT-NIR Rocket spectrometer
190 (Arcoptix, Neuchatel, Switzerland) scanning in reflectance mode with a spectral
191 range of 3 800 cm⁻¹ to 11 000 cm⁻¹ (900-2500 nm) and a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The
192 spectrometer was connected to two optical fibers (for illumination and signal collec-
193 tion) of 1000 μm core diameter and numerical aperture of 0.39 (BFY1000,
194 Thorlabs). A tungsten-halogen source (Ocean Optics HL-200-FHSA) was used for
195 illumination. For each sample, the intensity $I(\lambda)$ was collected. A white reference
196 (SRS99, Spectralon®) was scanned every hour during the measurements resulting
197 in $I_0(\lambda)$. Finally, a dark current signal $I_n(\lambda)$ corresponding to the instrumental noise
198 was recorded and subtracted to all spectra. A pseudo-absorbance signal $A_{ip}(\lambda)$
199 was thus calculated:

200

$$A_{ip}(\lambda) = -\log_{10}(R_{ip}(\lambda)) = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{I(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)}{I_0(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)}\right). \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

201

202 2.2.3. Portable spectrometer with polarized light spectroscopy

203

204 The polarized light system consists of the same elements (spectrometer, light
205 source, optical fibers) as the immersed contact probe system, however, measure-

206 ments were made at a distance of 5 cm from the samples, and a polarized light
 207 component (Awhangbo et al., 2020) was connected to the spectrometer. This
 208 component consisted in a wire-grid polarizer (Thorlabs WP25L-UB) to s-polarize
 209 the incident light; and a calcite Wolaston polarizer (Thorlabs WP10P) to split the
 210 reflected light in an s-polarized and p-polarized image, corresponding to parallel
 211 $I_{\parallel}(\lambda)$ and perpendicular $I_{\perp}(\lambda)$ light signals. As in the previous system, both the dark
 212 current signal $I_n(\lambda)$, and a white reference signal $I_0(\lambda) = I_{\parallel}(\lambda)_0 + I_{\perp}(\lambda)_0$ were col-
 213 lected. Three signals were then calculated following Bendoula et al. (2015) formula
 214 (Bendoula et al., 2015): the single scattering reflectance $R_{ss}(\lambda)$, the multiple scat-
 215 tering reflectance $R_{ms}(\lambda)$, and the total backscattering reflectance $R_{bs}(\lambda)$:

$$R_{ss}(\lambda) = \frac{(I_{\parallel}(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)) - (I_{\perp}(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda))}{I_0(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)}. \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

$$R_{ms}(\lambda) = \frac{2(I_{\perp}(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda))}{I_0(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)}. \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

$$R_{bs}(\lambda) = \frac{(I_{\parallel}(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)) + (I_{\perp}(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda))}{I_0(\lambda) - I_n(\lambda)}. \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

216

217 2.2.4. Handheld micro spectrometer

218 The micro spectrometer system consists of a MEMS FT-NIR NeoSpectra spec-
 219 trometer (Si-Ware, Cairo, Egypt) scanning in reflectance mode with a spectral
 220 range of $3\,921\text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $7\,407\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (1350-2550 nm) and a resolution of 66 cm^{-1} . A
 221 white reference (SRS99, Spectralon®) signal $I_0(\lambda)$ was collected before each

222 measurement. For each sample, an intensity signal $I(\lambda)$ was collected and the
223 pseudo-absorbance signal $A_\mu(\lambda)$ was computed:

$$A_\mu(\lambda) = -\log_{10}(R_\mu(\lambda)) = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{I(\lambda)}{I_0(\lambda)}\right). \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

224

225 2.3. Data analysis: model calibration

226 All the data analysis was performed using Python 3.6.5: data wrangling with Pan-
227 das 0.25.1, NumPy 1.17.3, SciPy 1.3.1, Scikit-learn 0.21.3, and plotting with Mat-
228 plotlib 2.2.2 (Harris et al., 2020; Hunter, 2007; McKinney, 2010; Pedregosa et al.,
229 2015; van Rossum and Drake, 2009; Virtanen et al., 2020).

230 Measurements of the 33 substrates on the four spectroscopic configurations
231 yielded six different matrices : the absorbance signal A_{lab} from the laboratory spec-
232 trometer, the absorbance signal A_{ip} from the immersed contact probe system, the
233 three reflectance signals R_{ss} , R_{ms} and R_{bs} (respectively single scattered, multiple
234 scattered, total back-scattered) from the polarized system, and finally the absorb-
235 ance signal A_μ from the micro spectrometer system.

236 For noise reduction and baseline correction, a selection of seven pretreatments
237 that have proven to be efficient in previous studies on organic waste (Charnier et
238 al., 2017; Lesteur et al., 2011) have been used: the standard normal variate
239 (Barnes et al., 1989) (SNV), the first-order detrend (Barnes et al., 1989) (DT1), the
240 first-order Savitzky-Golay (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) derivation (SG1), the sec-

241 ond-order Savitzky-Golay derivation (SG2), combinations of SNV and first-order
242 Savitzky-Golay derivation (SNV+SG1 or SG1+SNV) and finally, a weighted EMSC
243 with variable sorting for normalization (VSN) (Rabatel et al., 2020). The raw signal
244 was used directly as well, which resulted overall in testing eight different prepro-
245 cessing conditions.

246 In order to evaluate the models built on each spectroscopic system, a validation
247 test set was constituted. With the aim of producing a representative validation test
248 set, the Duplex algorithm (Snee, 1977) was run for each reference characteristic
249 (carbohydrates content, lipid content, total nitrogen content, COD, BMP). This re-
250 sulted in a training set of 22 substrates, and a validation test set of 11 substrates.
251 To assess the representativeness of the validation test set in terms of spectral var-
252 iability, a principal components analysis (Cordella, 2012) was done, and obtained
253 scores were plot in Figure 2 and Appendix C.

254 Models were built using a partial least squares regression (PLS1-R) with NI-
255 PALS algorithm (Næs and Martens, 1984; WOLD, 1973). To determine the number
256 of latent variables, a cross-validation was done using a repeated randomized
257 group-k-fold cross-validation with $k = 5$ the fold number and $n_repeats = 30$ the
258 repetition number. Sample triplicates were always kept within one fold to ensure
259 independence. For each cross-validation run, various metrics were then calculated:
260 the root-mean-square error (RMSE), the mean absolute error (MAE) (Willmott and
261 Matsuura, 2005), the coefficient of determination (R^2), and B-coefficients metrics
262 which are the Durbin-Watson statistic (DW) and the variance (Rutledge and
263 Barros, 2002). The choice of the number of latent variables was made by analyzing

264 all these metrics together (i.e. choosing the minimal number of latent variables
265 while minimizing RMSE and MAE, maximizing R^2 , and detecting rate increase of
266 DW and variance of B-coefficients).

267 Spectral range was also optimized for each of the signal types. This was done
268 by calibrating a first model, analyzing its B-coefficients (available in Appendix E),
269 and shrinking the spectral range adequately before recalibrating the model.

270 The final performances of the obtained models were evaluated on the validation
271 test set, based on the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and the coefficient of de-
272 termination (R^2).

273 To assess prediction repeatability of a given model, the variance of each sam-
274 ple's triplicate spectra predictions was calculated:

275

$$s_r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{y}_i - \bar{\hat{y}})^2, \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

276

277 and the global prediction repeatability standard deviation was calculated as the
278 quadratic mean of each s_r :

279

$$S_r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{test_samples}} s_{r_i}^2}. \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

280

281 3. Results & Discussion

282 3.1. Data overview

283 3.1.1. Training set and validation test set

284 For each reference characteristic, train and test set distributions (respectively in
285 blue and orange) are presented as histograms in Figure 1. Very similar distribu-
286 tions (same mean, same standard deviation) for all characteristics show that the
287 Duplex algorithm succeeded in obtaining a representative test set in terms of bio-
288 chemical composition. To complete this analysis, the representativeness in terms
289 of signal is assessed by looking at the scores of train and test sets for each signal
290 type in Figure 2 (only the first and second component scores are displayed, but
291 scores up to the tenth component were checked). As shown, the test set signals (in
292 orange) cover most of the range covered by train set signals. However, in some
293 cases, the variability of train set signals is not fully well represented in the test set.
294 For example, in the upper left score plot representing the laboratory spectrometer
295 configuration signal A_{lab} , no test set signal (orange square) is found in the far right
296 plot where there are two train set signals (blue triangles). This is consistent with the
297 fact that the Duplex algorithm was run on the reference values and not on the
298 spectral values, so there is no guarantee for test set spectral representativeness.
299 Although this is not optimal for evaluating calibration models alone, such method-

300 ology appeared to be the best to compare different spectroscopic systems on iden-
301 tical samples without bias.

302

303 3.1.2. Raw spectra analysis

304

305 Figure 3 presents the raw reflectance signals obtained with each spectroscopic
306 system. In all signals, the main peaks found in organic waste were apparent: the
307 CH, CH₂ and CH₃ combination bands particularly present in fat (1731 nm, 1764
308 nm, 2310 nm, 2350 nm), the OH bands present in simple sugars (1436 nm, 1932
309 nm), the OH combination bands in starch or cellulose (2092 nm), and the NH com-
310 bination bands present in proteins (2180 nm) (Williams and Antoniszyn, 2019;
311 Workman Jr. and Weyer, 2012). However, the relative amplitude of these peaks
312 seems to differ. For example, in the micro spectrometer signal (R_{μ}) the CH₂ combi-
313 nation bands at 2310 nm and 2350 nm seem much less sharp than in the laborato-
314 ry spectrometer signal (R_{lab}). This can be well explained by the lower resolution of
315 the micro-spectrometer (66 cm^{-1}) compared to the laboratory spectrometer (4 cm^{-1}).
316 A consequence of this is that the models built on compact systems such as the
317 micro spectrometer will be based on more simple features, which could lead them
318 to be less accurate models but potentially also more robust.

319 In addition, the sensitivity with respect to the spectral range appears to differ from
320 one spectrometer to another. For the micro spectrometer, the measured signal be-
321 low 1600 nm seems much noisier than in other systems. Such sharp peaks are

322 not, *a priori*, expected in NIR spectra of complex matter. Similarly, for the im-
323 mersed probe contact (R_{ip}) or polarized signals (R_{ss} , R_{ms} , R_{bs}), it seems the meas-
324 ured signals below 1200 nm and over 2240 nm are as well very noisy. For this rea-
325 son, these spectral regions were later removed from the calibration of the built
326 models.

327 Another point of comparison concerns the observed reflectance levels (Figure 4).
328 The reflectance levels of R_{lab} , R_{bs} , and R_{μ} are much higher (with 75% of the values
329 that range respectively between 0.46 and 0.73, 0.43 and 0.66, and 0.60 and 0.90),
330 than the reflectance levels of R_{ip} (with 75% of the values that range between 0.15
331 and 0.35). This is mostly related to the way the signal is acquired (i.e. the meas-
332 urement configuration). Indeed, reflectance levels are the result of both the absorp-
333 tion level (dependent of chemical composition) and the scattering level (modifica-
334 tions of light optical path-length, and photon leakage (Gobrecht et al., 2014)).
335 Therefore, the chosen measurement configuration might favour one or the other,
336 leading to differences in the measured reflectance levels. Results show here that
337 the distant mode systems (i.e. R_{lab} , R_{bs} , and R_{μ}) collect much more scattering pho-
338 tons than the contact mode system (i.e. R_{ip}). Regarding the advantage of one
339 measurement mode over the other, this will mostly be dependent on the character-
340 istic to be predicted, and its dependency on physical properties.

341 In the polarized light system, a clear difference of reflectance level can also be ob-
342 served: 75% of the values of the multiple scattering signal R_{ms} range between 0.52
343 and 0.76, against 0.05 and 0.11 for the single scattering signal R_{ss} . This is con-

344 sistent with the sole principle of polarized spectroscopy where R_{ss} corresponds to
345 single scattering photons with low penetration in the media while R_{ms} corresponds
346 to multiple scattering photons with a longer optical path length in the media due to
347 refraction events. This further confirms the efficiency of polarized spectroscopy as
348 an optical method to remove the scattering effects in the measured signal
349 (Bendoula et al., 2015; Gobrecht et al., 2016). However, the impacts on the subse-
350 quent models built on such signals remain to be studied.

351 3.2. Model performances

352 For the five reference characteristics that were studied, the best selected models
353 obtained on each of the six signals are presented in Table 2.

354 For prediction models built using the laboratory spectrometer system, the errors
355 obtained on the test set (RMSEP) were of 0.108 g.gTS^{-1} for carbohydrates content,
356 5.8 mg.gTS^{-1} for nitrogen content, 0.034 g.gTS^{-1} for lipids content, 0.060
357 $\text{NL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$ for BMP, and $136.4 \text{ mg}(\text{O}_2).\text{gTS}^{-1}$ for COD. These are all con-
358 sistent to the performances of reference models (Charnier et al., 2017). The slightly
359 lower performances obtained can be explained by the more limited number of
360 samples on which these models were built (22 samples) compared to the original
361 models (about 80 samples).

362 For all predicted characteristics, the laboratory system (A_{lab}) showed better analyti-
363 cal performance results than the compact systems (A_{ip} , A_{μ} , R_{ss} , R_{ms} , R_{bs}). Howev-
364 er, in many cases, these latter systems showed similar performances to the labora-

365 tory system. For example, for BMP prediction, the prediction error (RMSEP) of the
366 model obtained with the laboratory spectrometer signal A_{lab} was $60 \text{ mL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$.
367 In comparison, for the polarized system signals R_{ss} , R_{ms} , R_{bs} , the model prediction
368 errors were of respectively $115 \text{ mL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$, $111 \text{ mL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$ and 100
369 $\text{mL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$, while for the micro spectrometer signal A_{μ} the model's error was of
370 only $91 \text{ mL}(\text{CH}_4).\text{gTS}^{-1}$. Similarly, for carbohydrates content prediction, while the
371 prediction error for the laboratory spectrometer was 0.108 g.gTS^{-1} , the errors for
372 the micro-spectrometer and the immersed probe system were of only 0.134 g.gTS^{-1}
373 and 0.104 g.gTS^{-1} . Such observation can be made for all the other characteristics
374 studied. This is very promising because these models have acceptable errors
375 compared to the laboratory spectrometer. This means that the models built on or-
376 ganic waste rely on sufficiently simple features so that the lower spectral resolution
377 of compact spectrometers does not affect too much the performances. Such result
378 is consistent with similar studies on low-cost compact NIR spectrometers with lim-
379 ited spectral range that are applied to herbaceous feedstock such as corn stover or
380 sorghum (Wolfrum et al., 2020). Knowing that these spectrometers are low-cost
381 (while the laboratory spectrometer costs about 50 000€, the immersed contact
382 probe and polarized systems each cost about 20 000€ and the micro spectrometer
383 only costs about 3 000 €), and can be used at-site, this holds great promises re-
384 garding an increased adoption of NIRS for robust solid organic waste characteriza-
385 tion in anaerobic digestion plants.

386

387 Models built on the three signals R_{ss} , R_{ms} , R_{bs} obtained using the polarized spec-
388 troscopy system show different performances. While R_{bs} is a signal very similar to
389 those obtained from the other set-ups because it includes information from all the
390 backscattering light, R_{ss} and R_{ms} differ in terms of type of photons that are captured
391 by the spectrometer (respectively single scattering photons, and multiple scattering
392 photons). For all characteristics, models built on R_{bs} signal show better perfor-
393 mances than models built on the R_{ss} and R_{ms} signals. For example, for COD pre-
394 diction, while the prediction error (RMSEP) of the model using the total back-
395 scattering signal (R_{bs}) is of $129.2 \text{ mg(O}_2\text{).gTS}^{-1}$, it is of $147.8 \text{ mg(O}_2\text{).gTS}^{-1}$ using
396 R_{ms} and $273.9 \text{ mg(O}_2\text{).gTS}^{-1}$ using R_{ss} . This suggests that the use of polarized
397 spectroscopy for predicting these characteristics on organic waste may not be par-
398 ticularly recommended.

399 In fact, for lipids content, COD and nitrogen content, the models built with R_{ss} sig-
400 nals show much greater errors than models built with R_{ms} signals (respectively
401 0.124 g.gTS^{-1} greater than 0.068 g.gTS^{-1} , $273.9 \text{ mg(O}_2\text{).gTS}^{-1}$ greater than 147.8
402 $\text{mg(O}_2\text{).gTS}^{-1}$, and 21.4 mg.gTS^{-1} greater than 12.1 mg.gTS^{-1}). This came as a
403 surprise, as the single scattering signal is theoretically supposed to be more relat-
404 ed to absorbing constituents and less impacted by scattering effects (Gobrecht et
405 al., 2015). This can be explained by the fact that for a dataset with such diverse
406 solid organic substrates, the biochemical composition is closely related to the phys-
407 ical properties. For example, high lipid content substrates (which also correspond
408 to substrates with high COD) tend to form liquid transparent solutions (like oil),
409 which transmit light much more than low lipid content substrates which usually form

410 highly scattering porous media. This relatively poor performance obtained with the
411 single scattering signal is consistent with results obtained on digestates where
412 physical structure appeared determinant (Awhangbo et al., 2020). One additional
413 reason can be found in the measurement technique itself: the intensity captured for
414 the single scattering signal is much more limited than a classical total backscatter-
415 ing signal, leading to higher signal-to-noise ratios. Further investigations could
416 concentrate on the use of a multi-block approach combining these three comple-
417 mentary signals, as proposed on digestates (Awhangbo et al., 2020).

418

419 Apart from the single scattering signal, all signals allowed to build satisfactory
420 models for the biochemical characterization of organic waste. However, no spec-
421 troscopic system allowed to surpass the analytical performance of the laboratory
422 spectrometer system. Figure 5 presents the observed and prediction plots for each
423 signal for the prediction of biochemical potential. In A_{lab} (upper left subplot), the
424 predictions for each of the three replicate spectra do not differ (for one observed
425 value, the prediction values are overlaid on the graph); while for the other spectro-
426 scopic systems, the predictions for each of the three replicate spectra are very dif-
427 ferent. For example, the sample with a BMP of $0.63 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$ has predictions
428 that vary for A_{lab} between $0.869 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$ and $0.870 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$, while the
429 predictions for A_{ip} and A_{μ} vary respectively between $0.863 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$ and
430 $0.940 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$ and between $0.709 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$ and $0.771 \text{ NL}(\text{CH}_4)\text{g.TS}^{-1}$.
431 This is observed for all the other characteristics as shown in Appendix D. It ap-
432 pears that in all the compact systems, the replicate spectra vary much more from

433 each other than with the laboratory spectrometer. This could explain the greater
434 errors obtained using the compact systems. The following result may be investi-
435 gated more quantitatively by calculating the global repeatability standard deviations
436 as presented in Figure 6. Indeed, for all characteristics, the compact systems show
437 much higher repeatability standard deviations than the laboratory spectrometer (in
438 red). Such differences are due to the way the spectral measurements are acquired.
439 As detailed in Table 1, the systems do not have the same number of scans and
440 sampling surface. While for one measurement, the laboratory spectrometer collects
441 scans during a full rotation of the sampling cup, the other systems only collect
442 scans on a fixed point of the sample's surface. This means that in
443 compact systems the spectral measurement is much less representative of the to-
444 tal sample. It appears that the performance of compact systems could be en-
445 hanced by optimizing the way the spectra are taken: increasing the number of
446 scans and the number of replicates to ensure a better stability of the measure-
447 ments.

448

449 While the suitability of the compact and low-cost spectrometers has been demon-
450 strated, some challenges remain. Though models could be calibrated on the com-
451 pact systems' signals directly as in this study, it is most probable that models will
452 remain being built and maintained on standard laboratory spectrometers, with
453 transfer functions being built between the laboratory spectrometer (referred as the
454 "master" spectrometer) and the compact spectrometers (referred as the "slave"
455 spectrometers). This transfer approach has already been proven to be successful

456 between a laboratory spectrometer and an online spectrometer for in situ monitor-
457 ing of anaerobic digestion (Krapf et al., 2013). However, the robustness of these
458 transfer functions applied to compact systems still needs to be assessed.

459 4. Conclusions

460 Results have shown that compact and low-cost systems including a hand-held mi-
461 cro spectrometer are suitable for online characterization of diverse solid organic
462 waste. However, the use of new measurement configurations such as the polarized
463 mode was not shown to be an effective way to enhance the quality of predictive
464 models. This suggests that the physical scattering properties of the substrates are
465 the main determinant of analytical performance of NIRS calibration models built on
466 such highly diverse solid organic waste. Keys for the improvement of the compact
467 systems appear to lie in further optimization of the sampling protocol. These results
468 set the path to a new era of low-cost and on-site NIRS analysis of the feeding sub-
469 strates in co-digestion plants.

470 Acknowledgements

471 Financial support from the National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and
472 Environment (INRAE), the French Agency of National Research and Technology
473 (ANRT) [grant number 2018/0461] and the Biogaz-RIO platform [FEDER-FSE
474 Languedoc Roussillon 2014-2020] is hereby acknowledged.

475 References

- 476 Alayed, M., Deen, M.J., 2017. Time-resolved diffuse optical spectroscopy and imaging
477 using solid-state detectors: Characteristics, present status, and research challenges.
478 *Sensors (Switzerland)* 17, 2115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17092115>
- 479 Albrecht, R., Joffre, R., Gros, R., Le Petit, J., Terrom, G., Périssol, C., 2008. Efficiency of
480 near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy to assess and predict the stage of
481 transformation of organic matter in the composting process. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99,
482 448–455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.12.019>
- 483 Awhangbo, L., Bendoula, R., Roger, J.M., Béline, F., 2020. Multi-block SO-PLS approach
484 based on infrared spectroscopy for anaerobic digestion process monitoring. *Chemom.*
485 *Intell. Lab. Syst.* 196, 103905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.103905>
- 486 Backman, V., Gurjar, R., Badizadegan, K., Itzkan, I., Dasari, R.R., Perelman, L.T., Feld,
487 M.S., 1999. Polarized light scattering spectroscopy for quantitative measurement of
488 epithelial cellular structures in situ. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 5, 1019–
489 1026. <https://doi.org/10.1109/2944.796325>
- 490 Barnes, R.J., Dhanoa, M.S., Lister, S.J., 1989. Standard normal variate transformation and
491 de-trending of near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 43, 772–
492 777. <https://doi.org/10.1366/0003702894202201>
- 493 Beć, K.B., Grabska, J., Huck, C.W., 2021. Principles and Applications of Miniaturized
494 Near-Infrared (NIR) Spectrometers. *Chem. - A Eur. J.* 27, 1514–1532.
495 <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002838>
- 496 Beć, K.B., Grabska, J., Siesler, H.W., Huck, C.W., 2020. Handheld near-infrared
497 spectrometers: Where are we heading? *NIR news* 31, 28–35.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0960336020916815>

499 Bendoula, R., Gobrecht, A., Moulin, B., Roger, J.M., Bellon-Maurel, V., 2015. Improvement
500 of the chemical content prediction of a model powder system by reducing multiple
501 scattering using polarized light spectroscopy. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 69, 95–102.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1366/14-07539>

503 Charnier, C., Latrille, E., Jimenez, J., Lemoine, M., Boulet, J.C., Miroux, J., Steyer, J.P.,
504 2017. Fast characterization of solid organic waste content with near infrared
505 spectroscopy in anaerobic digestion. *Waste Manag.* 59, 140–148.
506 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.10.029>

507 Cordella, C.B.Y., 2012. PCA: The Basic Building Block of Chemometrics, in: Krull, I.S.
508 (Ed.), *Analytical Chemistry*. IntechOpen, pp. 1–46. <https://doi.org/10.5772/51429>

509 Crocombe, R.A., 2018. Portable Spectroscopy. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 72, 1701–1751.
510 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003702818809719>

511 Dahm, K.D., Dahm, D.J., 2004. Relation of representative layer theory to other theories of
512 diffuse reflection. *J. Near Infrared Spectrosc.* 12, 189–198.
513 <https://doi.org/10.1255/jnirs.426>

514 Doublet, J., Boulanger, A., Ponthieux, A., Laroche, C., Poitrenaud, M., Cacho Rivero, J.A.,
515 2013. Predicting the biochemical methane potential of wide range of organic
516 substrates by near infrared spectroscopy. *Bioresour. Technol.* 128, 252–258.
517 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.044>

518 Fitamo, T., Triolo, J.M., Boldrin, A., Scheutz, C., 2017. Rapid biochemical methane
519 potential prediction of urban organic waste with near-infrared reflectance
520 spectroscopy. *Water Res.* 119, 242–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.051>

521 Gobrecht, A., Bendoula, R., Roger, J.M., Bellon-Maurel, V., 2016. A new optical method
522 coupling light polarization and Vis-NIR spectroscopy to improve the measurement of
523 soil carbon content. *Soil Tillage Res.* 155, 461–470.

524 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.06.003>

525 Gobrecht, A., Bendoula, R., Roger, J.M., Bellon-Maurel, V., 2015. Combining linear
526 polarization spectroscopy and the Representative Layer Theory to measure the Beer-
527 Lambert law absorbance of highly scattering materials. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 853, 486–
528 494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2014.10.014>

529 Gobrecht, A., Roger, J.M., Bellon-Maurel, V., 2014. Major Issues of Diffuse Reflectance
530 NIR Spectroscopy in the Specific Context of Soil Carbon Content Estimation. A
531 Review., *Advances in Agronomy*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420225-2.00004-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420225-2.00004-2)
532 2

533 Godin, B., Mayer, F., Agneessens, R., Gerin, P., Dardenne, P., Delfosse, P., Delcarte, J.,
534 2015. Biochemical methane potential prediction of plant biomasses: Comparing
535 chemical composition versus near infrared methods and linear versus non-linear
536 models. *Bioresour. Technol.* 175, 382–390.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.115>

538 Hagos, K., Zong, J., Li, D., Liu, C., Lu, X., 2017. Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas
539 production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 76,
540 1485–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.184>

541 Harris, C.R., Millman, K.J., van der Walt, S.J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D.,
542 Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N.J., Kern, R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., van
543 Kerkwijk, M.H., Brett, M., Haldane, A., del Río, J.F., Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., Gérard-
544 Marchant, P., Sheppard, K., Reddy, T., Weckesser, W., Abbasi, H., Gohlke, C.,
545 Oliphant, T.E., 2020. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature* 585, 357–362.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>

547 Hemrattrakun, P., Nakano, K., Boonyakiat, D., Ohashi, S., Maniwaru, P., Theanjumol, P.,
548 Seehanam, P., 2021. Comparison of Reflectance and Interactance Modes of Visible

549 and Near-Infrared Spectroscopy for Predicting Persimmon Fruit Quality. *Food Anal.*
550 *Methods* 14, 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-020-01853-w>

551 Hunter, J.D., 2007. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* 9, 90–95.
552 <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>

553 Jacobi, H.F., Moschner, C.R., Hartung, E., 2011. Use of near infrared spectroscopy in
554 online-monitoring of feeding substrate quality in anaerobic digestion. *Bioresour.*
555 *Technol.* 102, 4688–4696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.01.035>

556 Jacobi, H.F., Moschner, C.R., Hartung, E., 2009. Use of near infrared spectroscopy in
557 monitoring of volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion. *Water Sci. Technol.* 60, 339–
558 346. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.345>

559 Jacobi, H.F., Ohl, S., Thiessen, E., Hartung, E., 2012. NIRS-aided monitoring and
560 prediction of biogas yields from maize silage at a full-scale biogas plant applying
561 lumped kinetics. *Bioresour. Technol.* 103, 162–172.
562 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.10.012>

563 Johansson, J., Folestad, S., Josefson, M., Sparen, A., Abrahamsson, C., Andersson-
564 Engels, S., Svanberg, S., 2002. Time-resolved NIR/vis spectroscopy for analysis of
565 solids: Pharmaceutical tablets. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 56, 725–731.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1366/000370202760077676>

567 Khodabakhshian, R., Emadi, B., Khojastehpour, M., Golzarian, M.R., 2019. A comparative
568 study of reflectance and transmittance modes of Vis/NIR spectroscopy used in
569 determining internal quality attributes in pomegranate fruits. *J. Food Meas. Charact.*
570 13, 3130–3139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-019-00235-z>

571 Krapf, L.C., Nast, D., Gronauer, A., Schmidhalter, U., Heuwinkel, H., 2013. Transfer of a
572 near infrared spectroscopy laboratory application to an online process analyser for in
573 situ monitoring of anaerobic digestion. *Bioresour. Technol.* 129, 39–50.

574 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.027>

575 Lesteur, M., Latrille, E., Maurel, V.B., Roger, J.M., Gonzalez, C., Junqua, G., Steyer, J.P.,
576 2011. First step towards a fast analytical method for the determination of Biochemical
577 Methane Potential of solid wastes by near infrared spectroscopy. *Bioresour. Technol.*
578 102, 2280–2288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.10.044>

579 Lomborg, C.J., Holm-Nielsen, J.B., Oleskowicz-Popiel, P., Esbensen, K.H., 2009. Near
580 infrared and acoustic chemometrics monitoring of volatile fatty acids and dry matter
581 during co-digestion of manure and maize silage. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100, 1711–
582 1719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.09.043>

583 Mallet, A., Charnier, C., Latrille, É., Bendoula, R., Steyer, J.-P., Roger, J.-M., 2021.
584 Unveiling non-linear water effects in near infrared spectroscopy: A study on organic
585 wastes during drying using chemometrics. *Waste Manag.* 122, 36–48.
586 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.12.019>

587 McKinney, W., 2010. Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python, in: *Proceedings*
588 *of the 9th Python in Science Conference.* pp. 56–61. [https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-](https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-92bf1922-00a)
589 [92bf1922-00a](https://doi.org/10.25080/majora-92bf1922-00a)

590 McVey, C., McGrath, T.F., Haughey, S.A., Elliott, C.T., 2021. A rapid food chain approach
591 for authenticity screening: The development, validation and transferability of a
592 chemometric model using two handheld near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) devices.
593 *Talanta* 222, 121533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121533>

594 Næs, T., Martens, H., 1984. Multivariate calibration. II. Chemometric methods. *Trends*
595 *Anal. Chem.* 3, 266–271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-9936\(84\)80044-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-9936(84)80044-8)

596 Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Buitinck, L., Louppe, G., Grisel, O., Mueller, A., 2015.
597 *Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python.* *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 19, 29–33.

598 Rabatel, G., Marini, F., Walczak, B., Roger, J.M., 2020. VSN: Variable sorting for

599 normalization. *J. Chemom.* 34, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.3164>

600 Rinnan, Å., Berg, F. van den, Engelsen, S.B., 2009. Review of the most common pre-
601 processing techniques for near-infrared spectra. *TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.* 28,
602 1201–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2009.07.007>

603 Rodrigues, R.P., Rodrigues, D.P., Klepacz-Smolka, A., Martins, R.C., Quina, M.J., 2019.
604 Comparative analysis of methods and models for predicting biochemical methane
605 potential of various organic substrates. *Sci. Total Environ.* 649, 1599–1608.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.270>

607 Rutledge, D.N., Barros, A.S., 2002. Durbin-Watson statistic as a morphological estimator
608 of information content. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 454, 277–295.
609 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(01\)01555-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)01555-0)

610 Savitzky, A., Golay, M.J.E., 1964. Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified
611 Least Squares Procedures. *Anal. Chem.* 36, 1627–1639.
612 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60214a047>

613 Schaare, P.N., Fraser, D.G., 2000. Comparison of reflectance, interactance and
614 transmission modes of visible-near infrared spectroscopy for measuring internal
615 properties of kiwifruit (*Actinidia chinensis*). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 20, 175–184.
616 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214\(00\)00130-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00130-7)

617 Sharififar, A., Singh, K., Jones, E., Ginting, F.I., Minasny, B., 2019. Evaluating a low-cost
618 portable NIR spectrometer for the prediction of soil organic and total carbon using
619 different calibration models. *Soil Use Manag.* 35, 607–616.
620 <https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12537>

621 Skvaril, J., Kyprianidis, K.G., Dahlquist, E., 2017. Applications of near-infrared
622 spectroscopy (NIRS) in biomass energy conversion processes: A review. *Appl.*
623 *Spectrosc. Rev.* 52, 675–728. <https://doi.org/10.1080/05704928.2017.1289471>

624 Snee, R.D., 1977. Validation of Regression Models: Methods and Examples.
625 Technometrics 19, 415–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1977.10489581>

626 Stockl, A., Lichti, F., 2018. Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) for a real time monitoring of
627 the biogas process. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 1249–1252.
628 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.173>

629 Tang, Y., Jones, E., Minasny, B., 2020. Evaluating low-cost portable near infrared sensors
630 for rapid analysis of soils from South Eastern Australia. Geoderma Reg. 20, e00240.
631 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2019.e00240>

632 Triolo, J.M., Ward, A.J., Pedersen, L., Løkke, M.M., Qu, H., Sommer, S.G., 2014. Near
633 Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS) for rapid determination of biochemical
634 methane potential of plant biomass. Appl. Energy 116, 52–57.
635 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.11.006>

636 van Rossum, G., Drake, F.L., 2009. Python 3 Reference Manual, CreateSpace. Scotts
637 Valley, CA. <https://doi.org/10.5555/1593511>

638 Vergnoux, A., Guiliano, M., Le Dréau, Y., Kister, J., Dupuy, N., Doumenq, P., 2009.
639 Monitoring of the evolution of an industrial compost and prediction of some compost
640 properties by NIR spectroscopy. Sci. Total Environ. 407, 2390–2403.
641 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.12.033>

642 Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T.E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D.,
643 Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, S.J., Brett, M.,
644 Wilson, J., Millman, K.J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A.R.J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E.,
645 Carey, C.J., Polat, İ., Feng, Y., Moore, E.W., VanderPlas, J., Laxalde, D., Perktold, J.,
646 Cimrman, R., Henriksen, I., Quintero, E.A., Harris, C.R., Archibald, A.M., Ribeiro,
647 A.H., Pedregosa, F., van Mulbregt, P., Vijaykumar, A., Bardelli, A. Pietro, Rothberg,
648 A., Hilboll, A., Kloeckner, A., Scopatz, A., Lee, A., Rokem, A., Woods, C.N., Fulton,

649 C., Masson, C., Häggström, C., Fitzgerald, C., Nicholson, D.A., Hagen, D.R.,
650 Pasechnik, D. V., Olivetti, E., Martin, E., Wieser, E., Silva, F., Lenders, F., Wilhelm,
651 F., Young, G., Price, G.A., Ingold, G.L., Allen, G.E., Lee, G.R., Audren, H., Probst, I.,
652 Dietrich, J.P., Silterra, J., Webber, J.T., Slavič, J., Nothman, J., Buchner, J., Kulick, J.,
653 Schönberger, J.L., de Miranda Cardoso, J.V., Reimer, J., Harrington, J., Rodríguez,
654 J.L.C., Nunez-Iglesias, J., Kuczynski, J., Tritz, K., Thoma, M., Newville, M.,
655 Kümmerer, M., Bolingbroke, M., Tartre, M., Pak, M., Smith, N.J., Nowaczyk, N.,
656 Shebanov, N., Pavlyk, O., Brodtkorb, P.A., Lee, P., McGibbon, R.T., Feldbauer, R.,
657 Lewis, S., Tygier, S., Sievert, S., Vigna, S., Peterson, S., More, S., Pudlik, T.,
658 Oshima, T., Pingel, T.J., Robitaille, T.P., Spura, T., Jones, T.R., Cera, T., Leslie, T.,
659 Zito, T., Krauss, T., Upadhyay, U., Halchenko, Y.O., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., 2020. SciPy
660 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* 17, 261–
661 272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2>

662 Williams, P., Antoniszyn, J., 2019. Near-infrared Technology: Getting the best out of light.
663 African Sun Media. <https://doi.org/10.18820/9781928480310>

664 Willmott, C.J., Matsuura, K., 2005. Advantages of the mean absolute error (MAE) over the
665 root mean square error (RMSE) in assessing average model performance. *Clim. Res.*
666 30, 79–82. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr030079>

667 WOLD, H., 1973. Nonlinear Iterative Partial Least Squares (NIPALS) Modelling: Some
668 Current Developments, *Multivariate Analysis–III*. Academic press, Inc.
669 <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-426653-7.50032-6>

670 Wolf, D., von Canstein, H., Schröder, C., 2011. Optimisation of biogas production by
671 infrared spectroscopy-based process control. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* 3, 625–632.
672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2011.07.006>

673 Wolfrum, E.J., Payne, C., Schwartz, A., Jacobs, J., Kressin, R.W., 2020. A Performance

674 Comparison of Low-Cost Near-Infrared (NIR) Spectrometers to a Conventional
675 Laboratory Spectrometer for Rapid Biomass Compositional Analysis. *Bioenergy Res.*
676 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-020-10135-6>

677 Workman Jr., J., Weyer, L., 2012. *Practical Guide and Spectral Atlas for Interpretive Near-*
678 *Infrared Spectroscopy.* CRC press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b11894>

679 Wu, D., Li, L., Zhao, X., Peng, Y., Yang, P., Peng, X., 2019. Anaerobic digestion: A review
680 on process monitoring. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 103, 1–12.
681 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.12.039>

682 Xu, J.L., Gobrecht, A., Gorretta, N., Héran, D., Gowen, A.A., Bendoula, R., 2019.
683 Development of a polarized hyperspectral imaging system for investigation of
684 absorption and scattering properties. *J. Near Infrared Spectrosc.* 27, 314–329.
685 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967033519857732>

686 Yang, G., Li, Y., Zhen, F., Xu, Y., Liu, J., Li, N., Sun, Y., Luo, L., Wang, M., Zhang, L.,
687 2021. Biochemical methane potential prediction for mixed feedstocks of straw and
688 manure in anaerobic co-digestion. *Bioresour. Technol.* 326, 124745.
689 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124745>

690 Zeaiter, M., Roger, J.M., Bellon-Maurel, V., 2005. Robustness of models developed by
691 multivariate calibration. Part II: The influence of pre-processing methods. *TrAC -*
692 *Trends Anal. Chem.* 24, 437–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2004.11.023>

693

694 **Tables**

695 *Table 1. Spectral measurement protocol characteristics.*

	Laboratory spectrometer	Immersed contact probe	Polarization system	Micro spectrometer
Measurement replicates	3	3	3	3
Number of scans per measurement	96 scans	10 scans	10 scans	28s scan time
Measurement sampling method (measured area per scan)	360° rotation (~5 cm ²)	Fixed point (~0.05 cm ²)	Fixed point (~1 cm ²)	Fixed point (~25 cm ²)
Protocol between replicates	Mix the whole sample	Change the measured surface position	Change the measured surface position	Change the measured surface position


696
697

698 *Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the calibrated models. For each predicted refer-*
699 *ence measurement (carbohydrates, nitrogen, lipids, BMP, COD), the retained*
700 *model for each spectroscopic system signal (A_{lab} , A_{ip} , A_u , R_{ss} , R_{ms} , R_{bs}) is presented.*
701 *The optimized parameters are provided (the spectral range, the pretreatment and*
702 *the number of latent variables) along with the different performance metrics*
703 *(RMSEC, RMSECV, RMSEP, R^2_C , R^2_P).*

Experiment	Optimized parameters			Performance metrics					
	Reference	Sig Spectral range (nm)	Pretreatment	#LV	RMSEC	RMSECV	RMSEP	R^2_C	R^2_P
Carbohydrates <i>g.gTS⁻¹</i>	A_{lab}	1400-2240	SNV+SG1	2	0.143	0.192	0.108	0.78	0.83
	A_{ip}	1450-2240	SNV	2	0.188	0.169	0.104	0.82	0.84
	A_u	1400-2500	SNV+SG1	3	0.146	0.137	0.134	0.75	0.88
	R_{ss}	1200-2240	SNV	2	0.259	0.296	0.207	0.28	0.37
	R_{ms}	1200-2240	Raw	4	0.121	0.185	0.112	0.82	0.82
	R_{bs}	1300-2300	SNV+SG1	3	0.119	0.158	0.130	0.74	0.91
Nitrogen <i>mg.gTS⁻¹</i>	A_{lab}	1200-2240	SNV+SG1	10	3.6	15.0	5.8	0.98	0.89
	A_{ip}	1200-2240	SNV+SG1	5	9.9	18.4	7.5	0.87	0.83
	A_u	1400-2500	SNV	5	10.1	20.7	13.1	0.85	0.51
	R_{ss}	1200-2240	SG2	3	17.2	23.1	21.4	0.62	-0.4
	R_{ms}	1200-2240	SNV+SG1	5	12.6	22.6	12.1	0.80	0.54
	R_{bs}	1300-2300	SG1+SNV	4	10.7	17.6	11.7	0.83	0.61
Lipids <i>g.gTS⁻¹</i>	A_{lab}	1400-2240	SNV	6	0.025	0.056	0.034	0.99	0.98
	A_{ip}	1200-2240	VSN	9	0.057	0.066	0.066	0.99	0.93
	A_u	1400-2500	SG1+SNV	6	0.039	0.081	0.067	0.98	0.92
	R_{ss}	1200-2240	Raw	5	0.084	0.110	0.124	0.91	0.74
	R_{ms}	1200-2240	SG2	5	0.029	0.059	0.068	0.99	0.92

	R_{bs}	1200-2480	SG2	6	0.029	0.066	0.066	0.99	0.93
BMP	A_{lab}	1400-2240	SNV+SG1	9	0.020	0.078	0.060	0.99	0.90
NL(CH₄).gTS⁻¹	A_{ip}	1200-2240	SG1	2	0.088	0.115	0.110	0.77	0.73
	A_u	1600-2500	SG2	2	0.102	0.109	0.091	0.74	0.82
	R_{ss}	1200-2240	SG1	4	0.085	0.115	0.115	0.79	0.71
	R_{ms}	1350-2240	SG1	3	0.087	0.115	0.111	0.78	0.73
	R_{bs}	1300-2300	SG1	6	0.046	0.120	0.100	0.94	0.78
COD	A_{lab}	1400-2240	SNV	6	63.0	186.8	136.4	0.97	0.94
mg(O₂).gTS⁻¹	A_{ip}	1450-2240	SNV+SG1	7	106.2	228.3	160.2	0.93	0.90
	A_u	1400-2500	SG1+SNV	3	180.4	303.1	196.9	0.81	0.85
	R_{ss}	1200-2240	SG2	4	192.0	247.4	273.9	0.78	0.72
	R_{ms}	1350-2240	SG1	3	112.5	151.4	147.8	0.92	0.92
	R_{bs}	1200-2480	SG1+SNV	4	128.1	237.6	129.2	0.90	0.94


705 **Figures**



706

707 *Figure 1. Histograms of reference characteristics. Both train and test sets (respectively in*
 708 *blue and in orange) obtained by a Duplex split are presented. Respective mean (labeled*
 709 *as μ) and standard deviation (labeled as σ) are presented for train and test sets (respec-*
 710 *tively in blue, and in orange). Dotted lines represent respective mean values.*

711



712

713

Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) score plots of train and test sets (respective-

714

ly in blue triangles, and in orange squares) for each signal type (from the four spectroscop-

715

ic configurations). Each subplot represents the scores of the first and second principal

716

components (PC1 and PC2). The percentage of explained variance is provided in the la-


717

nels. The train and test split was obtained by a Duplex split based on the carbohydrates

718

content levels. Score plots for other reference characteristics are shown in Appendix C.


719



720

721 *Figure 3. Raw reflectance spectra of each spectroscopic system (R_{lab} : laboratory spec-*
 722 *trometer, R_{ip} : immersed probe system, R_{μ} : micro-spectrometer, R_{ss} : single scattered signal*
 723 *of polarized system, R_{ms} : multiple scattered signal of polarized system, R_{bs} : total back-*
 724 *scattered signal of polarized system). Each spectrum corresponds to the mean of the trip-*
 725 *licate measurements.*


726



727

728 *Figure 4. Boxplots of raw reflectance spectra values for each signal type (R_{lab} : laboratory*
 729 *spectrometer, R_{ip} : immersed probe system, R_{μ} : micro-spectrometer, R_{ss} : single scattered*
 730 *signal of polarized system, R_{ms} : multiple scattered signal of polarized system, R_{bs} : total*
 731 *back-scattered signal of polarized system). Each boxplot was obtained on the flattened*
 732 *matrix (reflectance values for all samples and for all wavelengths). Median values are pre-*
 733 *sented with orange lines. The box limits represent the first and third quartile values (re-*
 734 *spectively $Q1$ and $Q3$), and the lines that extend from the box show the lowest and largest*
 735 *data points excluding any outliers (respectively $Q1 - 1.5 \times (Q3 - Q1)$ and $Q1 + 1.5 \times (Q3 -$*
 736 *$Q1)$). Outliers are presented in empty black circles.*


737



738

739 *Figure 5. Scatter plots of predicted and observed values for the selected prediction models*
 740 *of biochemical methane potential (BMP). Each subplot corresponds to the best model se-*
 741 *lected for a signal type (from the four spectroscopic configurations). Values for train and*
 742 *test sets are respectively presented in blue triangles and orange squares. The spectral*
 743 *range, pre-processing type and latent variable number (lv) of the models are provided in*
 744 *the titles. Results for other reference characteristics are provided in Appendix D.*

745



746

747 *Figure 6. Global prediction repeatability standard deviations as calculated with (Eq. 7) and*
 748 *(Eq. 8). For each reference characteristic (carbohydrates, nitrogen, lipids, BMP, COD), a*
 749 *bar plot colored by signal type is provided (A_{lab} in red, A_{ip} in blue, A_{μ} in green, R_{SS} in violet,*
 750 *R_{ms} in orange, and R_{bs} in yellow).*

1 Graphical abstract

1 Biochemical characterization of **diverse organic wastes**



2 Evaluation of **different spectroscopic systems for at-line use**


Different compactness

- Benchtop
- Portable
- Micro-spectrometer

Different measurement types

- Contact/Distance
- Polarized light

3 Comparison of **model performances**



3 **Graphical Abstract - Various organic wastes substrates were collected (1), and spectra**
4 **were acquired on four different spectroscopic systems (2) with different compactness**
5 **and measurement types. Then, calibration models were built on each of these spectro-**
6 **scopic systems (3) to predict five different biochemical characteristics (BMP, DCO,**
7 **Sugar, Nitrogen, Lipids). The spectroscopic systems were compared and evaluated**
8 **based on the performances of these models.**

9