Unveiling African rainforest composition and vulnerability to global change

M. Réjou-Méchain, Frédéric Mortier, Jean-François Bastin, Guillaume Cornu, Nicolas Barbier, Nicolas Bayol, Fabrice Bénédet, Xavier Bry, Gilles Dauby, Vincent Deblauwe, et al.

► To cite this version:

M. Réjou-Méchain, Frédéric Mortier, Jean-François Bastin, Guillaume Cornu, Nicolas Barbier, et al.. Unveiling African rainforest composition and vulnerability to global change. *Nature*, 2021, 593, pp.90-94. 10.1038/s41586-021-03483-6 . hal-03205277

HAL Id: hal-03205277

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03205277>

Submitted on 21 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Title: Unveiling African rainforest composition and vulnerability to global change**

2 **Authors:** Maxime Réjou-Méchain^{1*}, Frédéric Mortier^{2,3}, Jean-François Bastin^{1,2,3,4},
3 Guillaume Cornu^{2,3}, Nicolas Barbier¹, Nicolas Bayol⁵, Fabrice Bénédet^{2,3}, Xavier Bry⁶,
4 Gilles Dauby¹, Vincent Deblauwe^{7,8}, Jean-Louis Doucet⁹, Charles Doumenge^{2,3}, Adeline
5 Fayolle⁹, Claude Garcia^{2,3,10}, Jean-Paul Kibambe^{11,12}, Jean-Joël Loumeto¹³, Alfred
6 Ngomanda¹⁴, Pierre Ploton¹, Bonaventure Sonké¹⁵, Catherine Trottier⁶, Ruppert Vimal¹⁶,
7 Olga Yongo¹⁷, Raphaël Pélissier¹, Sylvie Gourlet-Fleury^{2,3}

8 **Affiliations:**

- 9 1. AMAP, Univ Montpellier, IRD, CNRS, CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier, France.
10 2. CIRAD, Forêts et Sociétés, F-34398 Montpellier, France.
11 3. Forêts et Sociétés, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France.
12 4. Crowther Lab, Department of Environmental Systems Science, Institute of
13 Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.
14 5. Foret Ressources Management Ingénierie, 34130 Mauguio – Grand Montpellier,
15 France.
16 6. Institut Montpelliérain Alexander Grothendieck (IMAG), Montpellier, France.
17 7. Center for Tropical Research, Institute of the Environment and Sustainability,
18 University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.
19 8. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Yaounde, Cameroun.
20 9. Université de Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Forest is Life, TERRA Teaching and
21 Research Centre, Passage des Déportés 2, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium.
22 10. Forest Management and Development Team, Department of Environmental
23 System Science, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.
24 11. Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Département de Gestion des Ressources
25 Naturelles, Université de Kinshasa, Kinshasa I, Democratic Republic of Congo.
26 12. Wildlife Conservation Society, Kinshasa, Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo.

- 27 13. Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Marien Ngouabi, BP 69
28 Brazzaville, Republic of Congo.
- 29 14. Institut de Recherche en Ecologie Tropicale (IRET/CENAREST), B.P. 13354
30 Libreville, Gabon.
- 31 15. Plant Systematic and Ecology Laboratory, Higher Teacher's Training College,
32 University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
- 33 16. GEODE UMR 5602, CNRS, Université Jean-Jaurès, 5 Allée Antonio-Machado,
34 Toulouse, France.
- 35 17. Laboratoire de Biodiversité Végétale et Fongique, Faculté des Sciences, Université
36 de Bangui, Bangui, Central African Republic.

37

Africa is forecasted to experience large and rapid climate change¹ and population growth² during the XXIst century, threatening the world's second largest rainforest. Protecting and sustainably managing these forests requires an extended understanding of their current compositional heterogeneity, environmental drivers and vulnerability to ongoing changes. Here, using an unprecedented dataset of 6 million trees in more than 180,000 field plots, we jointly model the distribution in abundance of the most dominant central African tree taxa and produce the first continuous maps of the floristic and functional composition of central African forests. Our results show that the uncertainty in taxon-specific distributions averages out at the community level, revealing highly deterministic assemblages. We uncover contrasting floristic and functional compositions across climate, soil types and anthropogenic gradients, with functional convergence among floristically dissimilar forest types. Combining these spatial predictions with global change scenarios suggests a high vulnerability of the northern and southern forest margins, the Atlantic forests and of most forests from the Democratic Republic of Congo where both climate and anthropogenic threats are expected to increase sharply by 2085. These results constitute key quantitative benchmarks for scientists and policy makers to shape transnational conservation and management strategies aiming at providing a sustainable future for central African forests.

Concomitant increases in climate stress, human population needs and resource extraction in central Africa raise environmental concerns^{3–5}. These threats may have considerable impacts on the carbon budget⁶, climate⁷ and biodiversity of central African forests⁸, which shelter some of the world's most iconic wildlife species and that are already experiencing much drier and seasonal climate than other tropical forests⁹. However, the current composition of central African forests and its determinants at regional scale are still poorly known, being often studied on limited areas^{10–12} and datasets¹³ or at a very coarse grain with heterogeneous presence-only data¹⁴. Vast areas remain poorly scientifically explored¹⁵ while most spaceborne systems of Earth

observation provide very limited information on forest composition¹⁶. This limits our ability to understand how forest composition and functions vary regionally, to forecast how these forests will face upcoming global changes and, ultimately, to anticipate, on scientific bases, how to protect and manage them beyond national boundaries.

In this study, we used an unprecedented forest inventory dataset to (1) model the main floristic and functional gradients over central African forests, and (2) assess their expected vulnerability under forecasted global (climatic and anthropogenic) change conditions. We compiled the abundance distributions of 193 dominant tree taxa in 185,665 field plots (ca. 90,000 ha) from commercial forest inventories spread over the five main forested countries in central Africa (Extended Data Fig. 1). We modeled the joint distributions of taxon abundances at a 10-km resolution using supervised component generalized linear regression (SCGLR)¹⁷, a modelling method that extends partial least squares (PLS) regression to the multivariate generalized linear framework. SCGLR models a set of responses (here the abundances of taxa) from synthetic orthogonal explanatory components derived from 24 climatic variables (hereafter, climatic components, CCs) and additional soil type (here, sand vs. clay) and anthropogenic pressure covariates. We developed for this study an index based on population density and road network specifically designed and calibrated to predict recent human-induced forest disturbance intensity in central Africa - see Methods. Finally, thanks to the huge size of the dataset, the predicted floristic and functional gradients were cross-validated with spatially independent observations using Spearman correlation coefficients, ρ_{CV} .

Floristic composition in central Africa

Our model predicted individual taxon abundances with an overall median correlation ρ_{CV} of 0.48 (range of -0.11 to 0.83). This median was still as high as 0.45 when unoccupied sites were removed, showing that, beyond presence-absence, our model also captured variations in abundances within taxon's distributional range. A correspondence analysis (CA) performed on the predicted taxon abundances revealed major regional floristic gradients (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 2 and 3) highly correlated with the observed

96 gradients (ρ_{CV} =0.89, 0.71 and 0.6 for CA axes 1 to 3, respectively; Fig. 1B-D). Contrary
97 to Amazonian and Southeast Asian forests, where soil was shown to be the primary
98 large scale driver of tree community composition^{18,19}, the most prominent floristic
99 gradient predicted here (CA axis 1) was highly related to climate, in particular to the first
100 predictive CC (Pearson's r =0.98), contrasting areas with a cool and light-deficient²⁰ dry
101 season (coastal Gabon) and areas with high evapotranspiration rates (northern limit of
102 the central African forests; Extended Data Fig. 4). The second predicted floristic
103 gradient (CA axis 2) was highly correlated with the two other CCs (r =-0.86 and -0.72 for
104 CC2 and CC3, respectively) related to seasonality and maximum temperature, thus
105 contrasting equatorial areas with a low water deficit and areas with a high water deficit
106 towards the limits of the tropics. Climate seasonality was also found to be an important
107 driver of tree community composition in Amazonia¹⁸ and maximum temperature has
108 been recently identified as the most important pantropical driver of forest biomass,
109 impacting woody productivity²¹. The third predicted floristic gradient (CA axis 3) revealed
110 more local floristic variations highlighting human-impacted forests (r =0.67 with our index
111 of human-induced forest disturbance intensity).

112 As already shown in previous studies^{22,23}, the association between taxon distributions
113 and climate patterns may appear by chance because both are spatially autocorrelated
114 at the regional scale. We thus used a spatially explicit null model that randomized the
115 predictive CCs while preserving their spatial (co)structures. When keeping the soil and
116 human impact on forests unchanged, the null model did not predict significantly different
117 abundances ($P>0.1$) from those predicted with original CCs for 67% of the taxa. This
118 suggests that variation in taxon abundances directly depends on climate for a minimum
119 of only one-third of the taxa, while for most of them, abundance may correlate with
120 climate by chance only. In contrast, the association between climate and the main
121 gradients of floristic assemblages was robust to autocorrelation artifacts (P =0.028,
122 0.006 and 0.06 for CA1 to 3, respectively). This result confirms that extrapolating
123 assemblages from climate variables is more reliable than extrapolating individual taxon
124 abundances²⁴. Indeed, individual taxon abundances are likely less predictable on the

basis of only current drivers since they are also affected by unknown past human disturbances²⁵, biotic interactions and biogeographical history²⁶, the idiosyncratic effects of which tend to average out at the community level.

Functional composition in central Africa

From the predicted floristic assemblages, we computed the community weighted mean²⁷ of three functional traits known to play an important role in ecosystem processes: wood density, deciduousness and maximum diameter (Fig. 2). The predicted functional composition was consistent with the observations (ρ_{CV} =0.47, 0.75 and 0.45 for the three traits, respectively; Extended Data Fig. 5). As in Amazonia¹⁸, community wood density varies with soil type with the highest values found on sandy soils, at the boundaries of Cameroon, Republic of Congo and Central African Republic, where tree species with conservative resource use strategies predominate¹¹. However, larger scale variations in wood density were primarily driven by human-induced forest disturbances, with a lower community wood density in human-impacted forests, indicating that they are mostly composed of fast-growing taxa²⁸. However, these areas also feature a high proportion of trees that can potentially reach a large diameter. These two results indicate that human-impacted forests are dominated by long-lived pioneer taxa, which are characterized by a low wood density but a large potential stature, offering a fast and relatively long-lasting carbon sink potential in absence of disturbances²⁹. Finally, a marked deciduousness gradient ran from the highly evergreen forests of coastal Gabon to the northern limit of the central African forests with, again, a well-known exception on the northern sandy soil plateau^{11,30}.

A reference map of forest types

To ease practical applications, we performed hierarchical clustering of the predicted floristic gradients (pixel scores on the first five CA axes), which are continuous by nature, and identified ten major forest types (Fig. 3; Extended Data Table 1). The strongest floristic dissimilarity appeared between Atlantic forests (types 1 to 3) and the other forest types (4 to 10), within which semideciduous seasonal forests were clearly

distinguished (types 4 to 6). We also observed functional convergences among floristically dissimilar forest types and vice versa. For example, despite having a regional species pool similar to deciduous forests (types 4 and 6), sandstone forests (type 5) have a functional composition closer to remote forest groups (e.g. types 2, 3, 7 and 8), with high wood density and low deciduousness. Soil filtering indeed modifies the relative abundance of species (rather than their presence or absence³¹) favoring suitable functional attributes in poor sandy soils¹¹. By contrast, while Atlantic forests (types 1 to 3) have little taxonomic affinity with the east-central and southern forests (types 7 and 8), they display similar functional composition due to more similar climate conditions, as represented on the first predictive CC (Extended Data Table 1). This confirms that while taxonomic composition has an important biogeographical component, the functional composition of tree communities can converge in similar environmental conditions.

Vulnerability to global change

For the ten forest types, most climate models predict current climate conditions either to virtually disappear from central Africa (e.g., types 2 and 5; Extended Data Fig. 6), or to move at spatial and temporal scales incommensurate with tree dispersal ability (e.g. types 4 and 6). This suggests that current forest communities will not be able to track their present climate envelopes and will face the emergence of novel climates, making the prediction of taxon distributions under future climate projection highly risky³². We thus assessed the vulnerability of central African forests to climate change through their sensitivity, exposure, and adaptive capacity, following the recommendation of the IPCC³³.

We quantified sensitivity at the community level using the inverse of the current climate niche breadth of taxa (Fig. 4C) and assuming that assemblages dominated by taxa with narrow environmental tolerances will be more vulnerable to upcoming changes³⁴. Sensitivity appeared to be high in coastal Gabon (type 2), where a high level of species endemism exists³⁵ and in the driest northern margin of central African forests. Recent studies consistently showed that drier tropical forests exhibited larger functional

changes than wetter forests in response to a long-term drought in west Africa³⁶ and are likely to be more sensitive to global warming²¹. By contrast, forests from the northwest Cameroon displayed a relatively low sensitivity to current climate conditions, probably because these forests are dominated by widespread tree taxa adapted to anthropogenic pressure (Fig. 2). Long-lived pioneers, typical of these human-impacted forests, are also expected to be favored by a possible acceleration in forest dynamics induced by global change^{37,38}.

Exposure to climate change was quantified as the extent to which the current climate determinants (CC1 to 3) are expected to change by 2085, using 18 unique bias-corrected climate model combinations (under the IPCC-AR5 RCP 4.5 scenario; see Extended Data Fig. 7 for other scenarios). We found that exposure to climate change was mostly driven by an increase in drought stress and maximum temperature (Fig. S2, see also^{4,39}). The central and east part of central African forests are predicted to be the most exposed, particularly in the south of the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (Fig. 4D). Note, however, that climate change predictions in central Africa are uncertain because meteorological data for model validation are lacking⁴ (Fig. S3).

Finally, we assessed the adaptive capacity of tree communities through their evolutionary potential. We first found highly significant niche conservatism along the first two climate components ($P < 0.002$). This indicates that closely related taxa tend to share similar climate niche spaces at the regional scale and suggests that they could be impacted similarly by future climate change. We thus assumed that higher local phylogenetic diversity provides a wider range of potential responses to novel climate conditions⁴⁰, similarly to the insurance hypothesis⁴¹. We thus used the phylogenetical diversity of predicted tree assemblages as a proxy of their adaptive capacity to climate change. Undisturbed semideciduous and transitional forests (types 6 and 10 in Fig. 3) appeared phylogenetically more diverse, thus having higher adaptive capacity, than evergreen forests (Fig. 4E). A recent study in Amazonia⁴² also found a peak of phylogenetic diversity at intermediate precipitation level, where dry- and wet-adapted lineages are mixing. As expected⁴³, we also found that human-impacted areas tended to

have a low phylogenetic diversity and hence a lower adaptive capacity to climate change.

The resulting vulnerability of tree communities to climate change did not correlate with the expected human impact on forests in 2085 ($\rho = -0.08$), here assessed using country-specific projections of human population (Fig. 4A; Extended Data Fig. 8). Vulnerability to climate change is expected to be higher for communities dominated by hard-wooded taxa ($\rho = 0.46$ with wood density, Table S1). By contrast, forecasted human impact on forests is predicted to be higher in already disturbed communities, i.e., dominated by light-wooded taxa with a large potential size ($\rho = -0.4$ and 0.43 , respectively). However, because we did not account for the appearance of new roads by 2085, we may underestimate the effect of future anthropogenic activities in remote, currently undisturbed forests. Vulnerability to both climate change and anthropogenic activities (pink color in Fig. 4A) is predicted to be high for forests from coastal Gabon, in large areas from DRC and in the northern margin of the forest domain. Forests from Cameroon and in the south of the Republic of Congo mostly appear vulnerable due to the high expected human impact on forests by 2085 (orange patches in Fig. 4A). By contrast, the tri-national Sangha transboundary forest complex and the northeastern part of Gabon appeared as the least vulnerable area in the region (the large green patch in Fig. 4A). Globally, DRC, which comprises most of the central African forests, mainly contains forests that are predicted to be vulnerable to climate change and/or to anthropogenic pressure (blues to pink patches in Fig. 4A).

Conclusions and perspectives

While some country-specific vegetation patterns were already suggested by phytogeographers, here we provide the first synoptic view of central African forest composition at a fine resolution, based on an unprecedented amount of quantitative data. Unveiling the functional composition of central African forests conveys important insights on their functioning, dynamics and carbon uptake potential and on the way they could respond to global change. Accounting for forest functional characteristics can also considerably reduce uncertainty in large-scale vegetation models⁴⁴ or improve remote

sensing approaches, for example, by assimilating large-scale variation in wood density into forest carbon maps⁴⁵. Our maps may also help scientists to design representative sampling to better understand the long-term impact of climate change on tree species and stand dynamics, e.g., monitoring under-represented forest types or areas highly vulnerable to climate change.

The forest types and vulnerability maps should guide the development of new land use plans that preserve the full range of evolutionary and functional potential of today's forests or, at least, that maintain their connectivity to attenuate the threats related to expected changes. In central Africa, protected areas and logging concessions, which cover almost half of the forest domain (14.9% and 32.2%, respectively; Extended Data Fig. 9), are important to consider in such plans. Protected areas do not equally cover the ten identified forest types (4 to 54%; Extended Data Table 1) and should therefore be extended to reach a better representativity. How estimated vulnerability should be accounted for when designing protected areas, e.g., by extending the network in vulnerable areas to minimize biodiversity loss, or in areas with low anthropogenic pressure to improve their protection, is subject to debate⁴⁶. Logging concessions can also contribute to the maintenance of forest cover and functions, providing that they are well managed^{47,48}, and currently likely act like biodiversity corridors between protected areas⁴⁹. However, this will only prove effective in the long term if they strictly comply with legislation and, ideally, with standard certification requirements. These good practices are especially important in forests dominated by evergreen taxa with high wood density, where disturbances may have a higher impact on community composition. In areas expected to be under high anthropogenic pressure, forest connectivity could be preserved by promoting agroforestry and restoration programs, strictly controlling access to logging roads and stabilizing shifting agriculture⁵⁰. Over central Africa, the highest uncertainties for the future of forests remain in DRC, where substantial areas, belonging to the state, are not yet attributed to any land use category and should deserve particular attention due to their high vulnerability (Fig. 4).

269 **Main references**

- 270 1. Diffenbaugh, N. S. & Giorgi, F. Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate
271 model ensemble. *Climatic change* **114**, 813–822 (2012).
- 272 2. United Nations, Department of Economic and & Social Affairs, Population Division.
273 World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables.
274 *Working Paper ESA/P/WP/248*, (2017).
- 275 3. Malhi, Y., Adu-Bredu, S., Asare, R. A., Lewis, S. L. & Mayaux, P. African rainforests:
276 Past, present and future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*
277 *Sciences* **368**, 20120312 (2013).
- 278 4. James, R., Washington, R. & Rowell, D. P. Implications of global warming for the
279 climate of African rainforests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
280 *Biological Sciences* **368**, 20120298 (2013).
- 281 5. Abernethy, K., Maisels, F. & White, L. J. Environmental issues in central Africa.
282 *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* **41**, 1–33 (2016).
- 283 6. Hubau, W. *et al.* Asynchronous carbon sink saturation in African and Amazonian
284 tropical forests. *Nature* **579**, 80–87 (2020).
- 285 7. De Wasseige, C., Tadoum, M., Atyi, E. & Doumenge, C. *The forests of the Congo*
286 *Basin-Forests and climate change*. (Weyrich, 2015).
- 287 8. Stévant, T. *et al.* A third of the tropical African flora is potentially threatened with
288 extinction. *Science advances* **5**, eaax9444 (2019).
- 289 9. Parmentier, I. *et al.* The odd man out? Might climate explain the lower tree alpha-
290 diversity of African rain forests relative to Amazonian rain forests? *Journal of Ecology*
291 **95**, 1058–1071 (2007).

- 292 10. Réjou-Méchain, M. *et al.* Regional variation in tropical forest tree species
293 composition in the Central African Republic: An assessment based on inventories by
294 forest companies. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **24**, 663–674 (2008).
- 295 11. Réjou-Méchain, M. *et al.* Tropical tree assembly depends on the interactions
296 between successional and soil filtering processes. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*
297 **23**, 1440–1449 (2014).
- 298 12. Fayolle, A. *et al.* Geological substrates shape tree species and trait distributions in
299 african moist forests. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e42381 (2012).
- 300 13. Fayolle, A. *et al.* Patterns of tree species composition across tropical African forests.
301 *Journal of Biogeography* **41**, 2320–2331 (2014).
- 302 14. Droissart, V. *et al.* Beyond trees: Biogeographical regionalization of tropical Africa.
303 *Journal of biogeography* **45**, 1153–1167 (2018).
- 304 15. Sosef, M. S. *et al.* Exploring the floristic diversity of tropical Africa. *BMC biology* **15**,
305 15 (2017).
- 306 16. Parmentier, I. *et al.* Predicting alpha diversity of African rain forests: Models based
307 on climate and satellite-derived data do not perform better than a purely spatial model.
308 *Journal of Biogeography* **38**, 1164–1176 (2011).
- 309 17. Bry, X., Trottier, C., Verron, T. & Mortier, F. Supervised component generalized
310 linear regression using a pls-extension of the fisher scoring algorithm. *Journal of*
311 *Multivariate Analysis* **119**, 47–60 (2013).
- 312 18. Steege, H. ter *et al.* Continental-scale patterns of canopy tree composition and
313 function across Amazonia. *Nature* **443**, 444–447 (2006).
- 314 19. Slik, J. F. *et al.* Soils on exposed Sunda Shelf shaped biogeographic patterns in the
315 equatorial forests of Southeast Asia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
316 **108**, 12343–12347 (2011).

- 317 20. Philippon, N. *et al.* The light-deficient climates of western Central African evergreen
318 forests. *Environmental Research Letters* **14**, 034007 (2019).
- 319 21. Sullivan, M. J. P. *et al.* Long-term thermal sensitivity of Earth's tropical forests.
320 *Science* **368**, 869–874 (2020).
- 321 22. Beale, C. M., Lennon, J. J. & Gimona, A. Opening the climate envelope reveals no
322 macroscale associations with climate in European birds. *Proceedings of the National*
323 *Academy of Sciences* **105**, 14908–14912 (2008).
- 324 23. Deblauwe, V. *et al.* Remotely sensed temperature and precipitation data improve
325 species distribution modelling in the tropics. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **25**, 443–
326 454 (2016).
- 327 24. Maguire, K. C. *et al.* Controlled comparison of species-and community-level models
328 across novel climates and communities. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **283**, 20152817 (2016).
- 329 25. Morin-Rivat, J. *et al.* Present-day central African forest is a legacy of the 19th
330 century human history. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
- 331 26. Ricklefs, R. E. Intrinsic dynamics of the regional community. *Ecology Letters* **18**,
332 497–503 (2015).
- 333 27. Violle, C. *et al.* Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos* **116**, 882–892 (2007).
- 334 28. Díaz, S. *et al.* The global spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature* **529**, 167–171
335 (2016).
- 336 29. Rüger, N. *et al.* Demographic trade-offs predict tropical forest dynamics. *Science*
337 **368**, 165–168 (2020).
- 338 30. Ouédraogo, D.-Y. *et al.* The determinants of tropical forest deciduousness:
339 Disentangling the effects of rainfall and geology in central Africa. *Journal of Ecology*
340 **104**, 924–935 (2016).

- 341 31. Shipley, B. *From plant traits to vegetation structure: Chance and selection in the*
342 *assembly of ecological communities*. (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- 343 32. Feeley, K. J. & Silman, M. R. Biotic attrition from tropical forests correcting for
344 truncated temperature niches. *Global Change Biology* **16**, 1830–1836 (2010).
- 345 33. Parry, M. *et al.* *Climate change 2007-impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Working*
346 *group II contribution to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC*. **4**, (Cambridge
347 University Press, 2007).
- 348 34. Foden, W. B. *et al.* Identifying the world's most climate change vulnerable species:
349 A systematic trait-based assessment of all birds, amphibians and corals. *PloS one* **8**,
350 (2013).
- 351 35. Lachenaud, O., Stévant, T., Ikabanga, D., Ndjabounda, E. C. N. & Walters, G. The
352 littoral forests of the Libreville area (Gabon) and their importance for conservation:
353 Description of a new endemic species (Rubiaceae). (2013).
354 doi:[info:doi/10.5091/plecevo.2013.744](https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2013.744)
- 355 36. Aguirre-Gutiérrez, J. *et al.* Drier tropical forests are susceptible to functional
356 changes in response to a long-term drought. *Ecology Letters* **22**, 855–865 (2019).
- 357 37. Claeys, F. *et al.* Climate change would lead to a sharp acceleration of Central
358 African forests dynamics by the end of the century. *Environmental Research Letters* **14**,
359 044002 (2019).
- 360 38. McDowell, N. G. *et al.* Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics in a changing world.
361 *Science* **368**, (2020).
- 362 39. Zhou, L. *et al.* Widespread decline of Congo rainforest greenness in the past
363 decade. *Nature* **509**, 86 (2014).
- 364 40. Purvis, A. Phylogenetic Approaches to the Study of Extinction. *Annual Review of*
365 *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **39**, 301–319 (2008).

- 366 41. Yachi, S. & Loreau, M. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating
367 environment: The insurance hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
368 *Sciences* **96**, 1463–1468 (1999).
- 369 42. Neves, D. M. *et al.* Evolutionary diversity in tropical tree communities peaks at
370 intermediate precipitation. *Scientific reports* **10**, 1–7 (2020).
- 371 43. Letcher, S. G. Phylogenetic structure of angiosperm communities during tropical
372 forest succession. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **277**, 97–104
373 (2010).
- 374 44. Fyllas, N. M., Quesada, C. A. & Lloyd, J. Deriving Plant Functional Types for
375 Amazonian forests for use in vegetation dynamics models. *Perspectives in Plant*
376 *Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **14**, 97–110 (2012).
- 377 45. Mitchard, E. T. A. *et al.* Markedly divergent estimates of Amazon forest carbon
378 density from ground plots and satellites. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **23**, 935–946
379 (2014).
- 380 46. Visconti, P., Pressey, R. L., Bode, M. & Segan, D. B. Habitat vulnerability in
381 conservation planning—when it matters and how much. *Conservation Letters* **3**, 404–
382 414 (2010).
- 383 47. Putz, F. E. *et al.* Sustaining conservation values in selectively logged tropical
384 forests: The attained and the attainable. *Conservation Letters* **5**, 296–303 (2012).
- 385 48. Gourlet-Fleury, S. *et al.* Tropical forest recovery from logging: A 24 year silvicultural
386 experiment from Central Africa. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **368**, 20120302 (2013).
- 387 49. Clark, C. J., Poulsen, J. R., Malonga, R. & Jr, P. W. E. Logging Concessions Can
388 Extend the Conservation Estate for Central African Tropical Forests. *Conservation*
389 *Biology* **23**, 1281–1293 (2009).
- 390 50. Curtis, P. G., Slay, C. M., Harris, N. L., Tyukavina, A. & Hansen, M. C. Classifying
391 drivers of global forest loss. *Science* **361**, 1108–1111 (2018).

Figures

Figure 1: Floristic composition of central African forests. **A**, Spatialized RGB composition of the three first axes of a correspondence analysis (CA) performed on jointly predicted taxon abundances at 10-km resolution ($n=193$ taxa; axis 1: blue, axis 2: red, axis 3: green). Grey crosses represent forested areas outside the calibration domain, including permanently flooded forests and country boundaries are represented in black. Right panels **B-D** provide cross-validation results comparing the observed and predicted CA gradients (1:1 line in red). Taxon CA planes 1-2 and 1-3 are given in Extended Data Fig. 2.

Figure 2: Predicted functional composition of central African forests. A-C,
Predicted community weighted functional trait values at 10-km resolution.

Figure 3: Main forest types across central Africa and their functional composition.

A, Forest type classification obtained by hierarchical clustering of the predicted floristic gradients. Colors represent a RGB composite of the mean values of the three functional traits per forest type (see Fig. 2), viz. wood density (red), deciduousness (green) and maximum diameter (blue). Thus similar colors illustrate similar functional composition.

B, Taxonomic relationships among the forest types illustrated by a clustering dendrogram (top) and a boxplot of the standardized predicted functional composition over the 12,295 grid cells (bottom), with wood density in red, deciduousness in green and maximum diameter in blue (median is reported at the center, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles and the two whiskers extend from these two quartiles to the largest and smallest values, at most 1.5 times the inter-quartile range from the hinge). Forest type names and additional information are provided in Extended Data Table 1. Clustering uncertainty is reported in Fig. S1.

A Vulnerability to global change

Figure 4: Predicted vulnerability of central African tree communities to global changes. **A**, Composite map of the vulnerability to climate change and of the forecasted human-induced forest disturbance intensity by 2085. **B**, Projected human-induced forest disturbance intensity in 2085. Vulnerability to climate change was estimated as the sensitivity to current climate (**C**) plus the exposure to forecasted climate changes by 2085 (under RCP scenario 4.5) (**D**) minus the adaptive capacity of tree communities using phylogenetic diversity as a proxy (**E**).

METHODS

Floristic and functional trait data

Forestry data were extracted from management forest inventories conducted in 105 logging concessions covering ca. 1.6×10^5 km² (Extended Data Fig. 1). Most companies followed a standardized inventory protocol similar to that described in Réjou-Méchain et al.⁵¹. In most cases, it consisted of continuous and parallel transects 20 m or 25 m wide, often 2-3 km apart, and subdivided into rectangular 0.4 or 0.5-ha plots. Overall, the full dataset had a total of 192,972 plots. Within each plot, trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 30 cm were allocated into 10-cm wide diameter classes and identified at the species or genus level whenever possible through either commercial or local names⁵¹. Independent analyses performed on 298 scientific plots (≥ 1 ha in size) showed that the floristic gradients obtained with large trees are representative of the ones obtained with trees ≥ 10 cm in diameter (Pearson $r > 0.94$; Fig. S4). Overall, ca. 7×10^6 trees were recorded. Taxonomy was revised and homogenized using the African Flowering Plants Database (<http://www.ville-ge.ch/musinfo/bd/cjb/africa/index.php?langue=en>, last access on 01/09/2019) and the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group III for orders and families⁵². A total of 1,092 taxa were recorded in the original dataset. Extensive botanical controls demonstrated that the patterns of both intra (alpha)- and inter (beta)- plot diversity extracted from these data were highly reliable⁵¹. For the purpose of the present paper, we conducted an additional assessment according to botanical experts and by comparing the distributional range of our taxa with that in other datasets^{53,54} to select a set of species and genera deemed to be reliably identified over the whole study area (n=195). The abundances of these taxa were aggregated in 10x10-km² grid cells across the study area, but we only kept the taxa occurring in at least 5% of the cells to discard taxa that cannot be studied at the regional scale (n=2). For the statistical analyses, we kept the 10x10-km² grid cells having a field plot sampling area ≥ 10 ha and where the selected taxa represented at least 75% of the total number of individuals originally inventoried to ensure that our dataset was representative of the within-cell tree community composition. The final dataset contains

460 6.1×10^6 tree individuals belonging to 193 taxa, of which 96 were analyzed at the
461 species and 97 at the genus levels (Table S2), recorded in 185,665 plots aggregated in
462 1,571 10x10-km² grid cells. Overall, the selected taxa represented 90% of the total
463 number of individuals originally inventoried in the selected grid cells.

464 For each taxon, we compiled information on three important functional traits. First, we
465 extracted an average wood density using the global wood density database^{55,56} as well
466 as other wood density data⁵⁷. Wood density is an integrative trait that reflects a trade-off
467 between tree growth potential and mortality risk²⁸ and is thus highly informative on
468 community dynamics⁵⁸. It ultimately directly impacts the amount of carbon that can be
469 stored in the vegetation⁵⁹. Second, we extracted the leaf phenology (deciduous or
470 evergreen) of all taxa from the large unpublished CoForTraits database⁶⁰. This database
471 compiles information on more than 1000 species from central Africa with values
472 extracted from the literature (mostly from local floras, academic papers and unpublished
473 theses). When several values were available for a given species from different sources,
474 we attributed the one with the maximum of occurrences (ambiguities were left as
475 unknown). At the genus level, we first computed this step for all species belonging to
476 the genus and then attributed the phenology with the maximum of occurrences at the
477 species level to the genus so that all congeneric species have the same weight in the
478 phenology attribution. This approach relies on the assumption that leaf phenological
479 traits are highly phylogenetically conserved⁶¹. For a few taxa (n=5), the phenology
480 information was obtained from Ouédraogo et al.³⁰ and following these authors we
481 considered *Lophira alata* Banks ex C. F. Gaertn. and *Musanga cecropioides* R. Br. as
482 leaf exchangers, i.e., with a trait value of 0.5, intermediate between evergreen (0) and
483 deciduous (1). Leaf phenology is one of the few traits considered in dynamic global
484 vegetation models as it impacts the dynamics of forest productivity⁶². In particular,
485 deciduousness indicates that tree photosynthetic activity, and thus growth, is seasonally
486 depressed, which has a direct impact on carbon, water and nutrient cycling⁶³.
487 Deciduousness has often been interpreted as a strategy to avoid water stress and is
488 thus expected to depend on climate and soil conditions^{30,64}. Lastly, we used the original

inventory data to calculate the maximum diameter as the 95th percentile value of the diameter distribution for each taxon. Maximum potential diameter, which is often used as a proxy of maximum height⁶⁵, informs both on tree competitive ability for light and on the carbon sequestration potential. At the community level, it is expected to vary along gradients of productivity and disturbance⁶⁶. Leaf phenology was successfully assigned to 89% of the taxa (98% of the individuals), wood density to 91% of the taxa (96% of the individuals) and maximum diameter to all taxa.

Climate and soil data

We considered 24 climatic predictors derived from the open Climatic Research Unit (CRU) dataset⁶⁷ (Extended Data Table 2). We decided to rely on the CRU dataset as other datasets, such as WorldClim⁶⁸, contain erroneous observations for some climatic stations (e.g., Ngoundi in Cameroon) that severely impacted our model. Furthermore, our cross-validation approach showed that the CRU database led to higher correlations between observed and predicted taxa abundances, correspondence analyses scores and community weighted trait values than the WorldClim⁶⁸ and CHIRPS⁶⁹ databases (results not shown).

Soil maps have been published at the country scale in central Africa and their homogenization is very challenging. We thus relied on a global dataset, the Harmonized World Soil Database (HWSD)⁷⁰, to attribute a soil type to each grid cell. A cross-validation analysis of our joint distribution model revealed that soil significantly improved predictions, mostly due to the contrast between Arenic Acrisols and the other soil types (Fig. S5). To keep the model parsimonious and maximize its robustness, we thus merged all soil categories but the Arenic Acrisols soils into a single category and discarded the permanently flooded areas as mapped in the open ESA-CCI landcover product (V.1.6), where no tree inventory data were available.

Human-induced forests disturbance intensity

Many studies have attempted to spatialize human impacts on environment at a large scale. In most cases, these human footprint maps have consisted of cumulative threat

517 maps, assuming for instance population density and infrastructure effects^{71–73}.
518 Moreover, most of these maps relied on population statistics obtained at the level of
519 administrative entities, resulting in human footprint indices with sharp changes at
520 administrative boundaries⁷⁴. We thus developed a statistical model to link the probability
521 for a forest pixel i to be impacted by anthropogenic activities depending on human
522 population density and road proximity through nonlinear relationships. This resulted in a
523 spatially continuous index representing human-induced forest disturbance intensity that
524 can be projected in space and/or time following predefined human population dynamics
525 scenarios (Extended Data Fig. 8).

526 We calibrated this index with the “Settlement Points” dataset produced under the
527 “Global Rural Urban Mapping Project” (Grumpv1). This dataset provides estimates of
528 human population (counts, in persons) for the year 2000 using a proportional allocation
529 gridding algorithm (1-km² grid) based on more than 1,000,000 national and subnational
530 geographic units. Focusing on central Africa, we compared this product with the Natural
531 Earth Populated Places product (version 3.0.0;
532 [http://www.natureearthdata.com/downloads/10m-cultural-vectors/10m-populated-](http://www.natureearthdata.com/downloads/10m-cultural-vectors/10m-populated-places/)
533 [places/](http://www.natureearthdata.com/downloads/10m-cultural-vectors/10m-populated-places/); last access the 07/10/2018) derived from the LandScan
534 ([https://earthworks.stanford.edu/catalog/stanford-yj715rc4110#iso-metadata-reference-](https://earthworks.stanford.edu/catalog/stanford-yj715rc4110#iso-metadata-reference-info)
535 [info](https://earthworks.stanford.edu/catalog/stanford-yj715rc4110#iso-metadata-reference-info)) dataset (pixels with fewer than 200 persons per km² were discarded). The total
536 number of populated points in central Africa (longitude 5.6 to 39.8, latitude -9.8 to 7.5 in
537 decimal degrees) was 807 and 376 for the Grumpv1 and Natural Earth products,
538 respectively. We thus performed a random manual check of the populated places
539 present in Grumpv1 and absent from Natural Earth (the reverse rarely occurred) using
540 Google Earth images and found that in all cases Grumpv1 was correct. We thus finally
541 used the Grumpv1 dataset, which mostly provides information on populated places with
542 more than ca. 1000 people. Because smaller populations may have a significant impact
543 on forests, we added to this dataset the populated locations of the category “towns”
544 from OpenStreetMap (<https://data.maptiler.com/downloads/planet/#1.59/-17.3/19.7>; last
545 access 02/10/2018) assuming by default that they all contained 500 people
546 (OpenStreetMap does not provide systematic information on population size).

547 The road network was extracted from the Global Roads Open Access Data Set, version
 548 1 (<https://data.maptiler.com/downloads/planet/#1.59/-17.3/19.7>; last access the
 549 14/09/2018) a dataset combining road data by country. Note that logging roads are not
 550 fully represented in this dataset, so we may underestimate their effect in this study. A
 551 few roads from the northern Republic of Congo were corrected using data from
 552 OpenStreetMap. Preliminary analyses revealed that further accounting for the railway
 553 and river networks did not improve predictions of tree taxon and community
 554 distributions.

555 Our index was thus calculated as followed. Let $z_i, i=1, \dots, n$ be n random variables
 556 indicating the disturbance status of pixel i : 0 if the pixel is undisturbed and 1 if disturbed.

557 We assumed that z_i is distributed as a Bernoulli variable: $z_i = \text{Bern}(p_i)$ with $p_i = \frac{IP_i(\theta)}{IP_i(\theta) + IR_i^r}$

558 where $IP_i(\theta)$ is a synthetic index describing the influence of the population density of all
 559 populated places on pixel i (see below), θ is an unknown parameter to be inferred, and
 560 IR_i^r expresses the road influence on pixel i , defined as the normalized square root
 561 distance of pixel i to the nearest road r :

$$562 \quad IR_i^r = \frac{\min_{r \in R} \sqrt{DR_i^r}}{\max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left(\min_{r \in R} \sqrt{DR_i^r} \right)}$$

563
 564 where DR denotes the distance to the nearest road in the study area and R denotes all
 565 roads in the study area.

566 Population influence, IP_i^θ , is defined as the normalized square root of the weighted sum
 567 of the population size of place j . Note that the weight depends on both the distance
 568 between pixel i and populated place j , δ_{ij} , and on the population size N_j :

$$IP_i^\theta = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_j^n N_j e^{-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\log(N_j)^\theta} + 1}}}{\max_j \sqrt{\sum_j^n N_j e^{-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\log(N_j)^\theta} + 1}}}$$

We finally calibrated the θ parameter using two reference areas of ca. 190,000 km² (Fig. S6). These two areas were chosen because they cover contrasting conditions, are well known by our team and were found to be little influenced by atmospheric pollution in the MODIS data. Degraded versus intact forests were identified from a recently published MODIS-based regional vegetation map²⁰. Using a likelihood optimization approach in these two areas, we obtained $\theta=1.27$ and 1.71 in calibration areas 1 and 2, respectively, indicating that under a similar anthropogenic context, forests tend to be disturbed at a greater distance from anthropogenic disturbance sources in the second calibration area. The final human-induced forest disturbance intensity index was thus calculated with $\theta = 1.49$, the average estimate for the two calibration areas, over the whole central African forest domain, thus avoiding the risk of artefacts related to atmospheric pollution from which suffer satellite products, especially over Gabon.

This index, built independently from our floristic dataset, outperformed previously published indices to predict floristic composition in our study area. Using a simple linear model, with individual anthropogenic indices as single predictors, the mean wood density of tree communities was better predicted with our new index ($r=0.33$) than with the WorldPop⁷⁵ ($r=0.30$), LandScan ($r=0.15$) and Venter⁷² ($r=0.23$) indices. Similarly, using a simple generalized linear model with a Poisson distribution to predict the abundance of *Musanga cecropioides*, the most widespread and abundant short-lived pioneer taxon over central African forests, revealed a better performance of our index ($r=0.35$) compared to previous indices ($r=0.31, 0.11, 0.26$ for WorldPop, LandScan and Venter, respectively).

Statistical model

593 To predict the joint taxa distributions we relied on a recently developed methodology
 594 called supervised component generalized linear regression (SCGLR)¹⁷, which identifies
 595 the most predictive dimensions among a large set of potentially multicollinear predictors.
 596 SCGLR is an extension of partial least-squares regression (PLSR) to the uni- and
 597 multivariate generalized linear framework. PLSR is particularly well suited for analyzing
 598 a large array of correlated predictor variables, and many studies have demonstrated its
 599 ability for prediction in various biological fields, such as genetics⁷⁶ or ecology⁷⁷. While
 600 PLSR is well adapted for continuous variables, SCGLR is suited for non-Gaussian
 601 outcomes and noncontinuous covariates. It is a model-based approach that extends
 602 PLSR⁷⁸, PCA on instrumental variables⁷⁹, canonical correspondence analysis⁸⁰, and
 603 other related empirical methods by maximizing a trade-off between goodness of fit of
 604 the model and the quantity of information the components capture from the climatic
 605 variables. This information is measured through an indicator of “structural relevance”
 606 (SR)⁸¹, which uses bundles of highly correlated variables to attract components to rich
 607 and robust informational dimensions.

608 The components are sought as K linear combinations of environmental variables
 609 common to all species with coefficient vectors denoted $u=(u_1, \dots, u_K)$ (under norm and
 610 orthogonality constraints). SCGLR also estimates the corresponding $q \times K$ (number of
 611 species by number of components) matrix of unknown parameters γ to maximize the
 612 following convex sum:

$$613 \quad s \log \psi(u, \gamma) + (1-s) \log \phi_l(u)$$

614 where ψ is the likelihood and ϕ_l is the SR. s and l are tuning parameters. s is related to
 615 the trade-off between goodness of fit and structural relevance. l is a nonnegative scalar
 616 related to the narrowness of the bundles of climatic variables the components are
 617 wanted to align with. The K climatic components (CCs) are then equal to
 618 $CC_k = Xu_k, k=1, \dots, K$ and can be understood as the main environmental directions
 619 predicting all species simultaneously, while $\gamma_j, j=1, \dots, q$ are the magnitude of the effects
 620 of the K components on the abundances of each species. Then, the species

621 abundances of each taxon $j=1, \dots, 193$ on the grid cell $i=1, \dots, 1571$ are modeled using a
622 generalized linear Poisson regression such that:

$$623 \quad y_{ij} \sim P(S_i \lambda_{ij})$$

$$624 \quad \log(\lambda_{ij}) = X_i \beta_j + T_i \alpha_j = X_i u \gamma_j + T_i \alpha_j = C_i \gamma_j + T_i \alpha_j$$

625 where X denotes climatic variables (Extended data Table 2), S_i is an offset
626 corresponding to the number of plots within each grid cell, and T is a set of covariates
627 known to impact species abundances: here, the soil type and the human-induced forest
628 disturbance intensity index, as well as its logarithm to account for nonlinear responses.
629 The number of components (K) as well as the tuning parameters (l and s) must
630 appropriately be chosen. This was done with a 10% cross-validation procedure in which
631 the criterion used was the harmonic mean of the mean square prediction error (MSPE)
632 across the 194 taxa. A dedicated R package, SCGLR⁸², is available (see also
633 <https://github.com/SCnext/SCGLR>).

634 To assess the predictive power of our model, we performed a leave-one block out
635 cross-validation in which our dataset was divided into 40 spatial clusters identified with a
636 Ward's hierarchical clustering⁸³ of plot coordinates (Fig. S7). All clusters but one were
637 used for training the model (i.e., calibration dataset) and the remaining cluster was used
638 for validating the model. We repeated this procedure 40 times such that all clusters
639 were used once in the validation dataset and participated in the model assessment.
640 Model validation was performed through the use of the nonparametric Spearman's rank
641 correlation coefficients between observations and predictions. For individual taxon
642 abundances, correlations were estimated using observed and predicted abundance per
643 taxon. For taxon assemblages, a correspondence analysis (CA) was performed on the
644 grid cell $\times$ observed species abundance matrix, providing the observed CA axes. The
645 predicted site scores on each CA axis were then obtained by projecting the grid cell $\times$
646 predicted species abundance matrix in the observed CA planes. Correlations were
647 computed on the observed and predicted site scores (i.e., loadings) enabling us to
648 assess the ability of our model to predict the main floristic gradients across our area.

649 Finally, for the three functional traits, correlations were estimated on the grid cell-based
650 community weighted mean (CWM) traits²⁷ calculated on observed and predicted taxon
651 assemblages.

652 Taxon abundances and community composition were predicted across the entire study
653 area in a regular 10-km grid. To predict the floristic composition of the existing forests,
654 we first used the ESA-CCI landcover product (V.1.6) to only keep grid cells that are
655 likely to be forested (i.e., category “broadleaved evergreen”). Then, we only selected
656 grid cells that had a combination of predictor values similar to those in the calibration
657 dataset. To do this, we used a 3-dimensional convex hull algorithm on the three climatic
658 components to exclude all the grid cells that had a combination of predictors different
659 from that represented in the calibration dataset. This resulted in 12,295 grid cells,
660 representing 85% of the central African forests, i.e., an area of ca. 1,230,000 km².
661 We finally used the Ward’s hierarchical clustering method to classify the predicted
662 floristic composition into broad floristic types. Group classification was done on the first
663 five axes of a CA performed on predicted taxon abundances, accounting for 77% of the
664 total inertia. The number of retained types was chosen based on our expert knowledge.
665 The uncertainty associated with this classification was then assessed through Gaussian
666 finite mixture models⁸⁴ (repeated 500 times) using a spherical, equal volume model
667 (EII).

668 **Spatially explicit null models**

669 Whenever predictors and observations are spatially structured, model errors of type I
670 (false positive associations) are inflated⁸⁵, hindering our capacity to extrapolate
671 predictions in space or time²². We thus built a spatialized null model to test the degree
672 to which the successfulness of our predictions resulted from an actual relationship with
673 climatic variables or was simply due to spatial costructures between taxon distributions
674 and climatic gradients that arose by chance. We used the RGEOSTAT R package⁸⁶ to
675 simulate sets of SCGLR climatic components (CCs) having similar spatial properties to
676 those of the observed CCs as well as similar spatial costructures between them. This
677 step consisted of fitting theoretical variograms and covariograms to empirical ones to

simulate random realizations that can be then used as “null” spatialized predictors (Fig. S8 and S9). We simulated 500 sets of “null” spatialized predictors and used them as predictors in our GLMs using the leave-one block out cross-validation described above. The resulting correlations between observed and predicted taxon abundances, and axes scores (for taxon assemblages) were finally compared with the correlations obtained when observed climatic predictors were considered. The resulting p-values were calculated as the number of times a simulated correlation was higher than the observed one, divided by the total number of realizations (n=501).

Forest vulnerability to global change

Vulnerability to climate change, as assessed through the IPCC framework, is composed of three components: (1) sensitivity, (2) exposure, and (3) adaptive capacity to climate change.

Sensitivity to climate change, $Sensitivity_{clim}$, was firstly estimated at the taxon level in a similar way to Foden et al.³⁴. For each taxon, we calculated the mean of the weighted standard deviation (SD_w) of the three climatic components (CCs) at the present time, using locally observed taxon abundances as weights. SD_w thus represents the width of the climatic niche currently occupied by the taxa. Taxon-specific climate sensitivity was then measured as $1/SD_w$ (it increases as niche width decreases). To upscale tree sensitivity to climate change at the community level and over our study area, sensitivity was measured as the community weighted mean (CWM) of taxon-specific climate sensitivity scores, using predicted taxon assemblages.

Exposure to climate change, $Exposure_{clim}$, was assessed using projected changes in climate from 18 unique climate model combinations provided by the AFRICLIM V3.0 dataset⁸⁷ (last access on 03/02/2020). These models corresponded to pairwise combinations of five regional climate models (RCMs) driven by ten general circulation models (GCMs), with an unequal number of GCMs models per RCM (ten models for the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological (SMHI) RCM, four for the Climate Limited-area Modelling Community (CLMCOM) RCM, two for the Royal Netherlands

706 Meteorological Institute (KNMI) RCM, one for the Canadian Centre for Climate
 707 Modelling (CCCMA) RCM and one for the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) RCM).
 708 These models were generated using change-factor downscaling approaches to model
 709 spatial variation at local scales while correcting for differences between observed and
 710 simulated baseline climates (see Platts et al.⁸⁷ for more details). We here concentrated
 711 on one representative concentration pathway of the IPCC-AR5 (RCP 4.5) for the late
 712 21st century (2071-2100, hereafter named 2085) and reconstructed the three SCGLR
 713 selected CCs from the climatic predictions as follows: let $X_{rcp4.5}$ be the predicted future
 714 climatic conditions. Let $m = \bar{X}$ and $S = sd(X)$ be the mean and standard deviation matrices
 715 of the current climatic conditions. The predictive climatic components under future
 716 scenarios are then equal to $f_{rcp4.5} = (X_{rcp4.5} - m)S\hat{u}$, where $\hat{u}$ represents SCGLR CCs. We
 717 then calculated the euclidean distance between the three current and the three
 718 predicted CCs for each of the 18 models and then estimated the exposure to climate
 719 change as the mean distance over the 18 models.

720 Adaptive capacity to climate change, $Adaptive_{clim}$, was assessed through the
 721 phylogenetic diversity of predicted assemblages at the genus level. We used a recently
 722 published dated phylogeny⁸⁸, covering 167 out of our 180 genera (representing 94% of
 723 predicted individuals). We first tested if the studied taxa exhibited a significant
 724 conservatism in their climate niches using Abouheif's permutation tests (Abouheif,
 725 1999) on the taxa-specific score (γ) values on the three SCGLR climate components (γ
 726 represents the influence of a CC on a given taxa distribution, see above). We then
 727 measured the phylogenetic diversity (PD) of predicted assemblages at the genera level
 728 using the Chao's PD index with an order q of 1 (equivalent to the Shannon index)⁸⁹ that
 729 we used as a proxy of adaptive capacity.

730 Vulnerability to climate change, $Vulnerability_{clim}$, was finally estimated as the sum of
 731 the three standardized (0 to 1) components:

$$732 \quad Vulnerability_{clim} = (Sensitivity_{clim}^{st} + Exposure_{clim}^{st} - Adaptive_{clim}^{st}).$$

733 $Vulnerability_{clim}$ theoretically ranges from -1 (low vulnerability) to 2 (high

vulnerability) and, due to the standardization of its three components, it expresses a relative vulnerability over the study area and is thus little impacted by the IPCC scenario chosen (RCP 4.5 or 8.5) because different scenarios predict different amplitudes of changes but similar spatial patterns (Extended Data Fig. 7).

Forecasted human impact on forests in 2085 was assessed using our human-induced forest disturbance intensity index combined with country-specific projections of human populations in 2085. We assigned to each current town a country-specific relative population increase drawn from the World population prospects (United Nations)⁹⁰ and rebuild our index based on this modified dataset. This approach did not account for new roads that may established by 2085, and thus tended to underestimate the increase in anthropogenic pressure.

Software and packages

All analyses were performed and figures were created with the R statistical software⁹¹, mostly using the *ade4*⁹², *alphashape3d*⁹³, *ggplot2*⁹⁴, *raster*⁹⁵, *RgeoStat*⁹⁶, *entropart*⁹⁷ and *SCGLR* (<https://github.com/SCnext/SCGLR/>) packages. Data are archived in a public repository⁹⁸.

Data availability

All maps and data used for this article are accessible online in a public repository at <http://dx.doi.org/10.18167/DVN1/UCNCA7>. Raw floristic data are, however, archived in a private data repository, due to the highly sensitive nature of commercial inventory data, and access may be granted for research purpose using the form provided in the public repository.

Code availability

R scripts are available at <https://github.com/MaximeRM/ScriptNature>.

Methods references

- 759 51. Réjou-Méchain, M. *et al.* Detecting large-scale diversity patterns in tropical trees:
760 Can we trust commercial forest inventories? *Forest Ecology and Management* **261**,
761 187–194 (2011).
- 762 52. Chase, M. W. *et al.* An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for
763 the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean*
764 *Society* **161**, 105–121 (2009).
- 765 53. Dauby, G. *et al.* RAINBIO: A mega-database of tropical African vascular plants
766 distributions. *PhytoKeys* 1 (2016).
- 767 54. African Plant Database (version 3.4.0). Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de la
768 Ville de Genève and South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Retrieved the
769 10/02/2017.
- 770 55. Chave, J. *et al.* Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters*
771 **12**, 351–366 (2009).
- 772 56. Zanne, A. E. *et al.* Global wood density database. *Dryad*. Identifier: [http://hdl.](http://hdl.handle.net/10255/dryad.235)
773 [handle. net/10255/dryad 235](http://hdl.handle.net/10255/dryad.235), (2009).
- 774 57. Gourlet-Fleury, S. *et al.* Environmental filtering of dense-wooded species controls
775 above-ground biomass stored in African moist forests. *Journal of Ecology* **99**, 981–990
776 (2011).
- 777 58. Westoby, M. & Wright, I. J. Land-plant ecology on the basis of functional traits.
778 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **21**, 261–268 (2006).
- 779 59. Chave, J. *et al.* Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of
780 tropical trees. *Global Change Biology* **20**, 3177–3190 (2014).
- 781 60. Bénédet, F. *et al.* CoForTraits, African plant traits information database . Version
782 1.0. (2013).

- 783 61. Davies, T. J. *et al.* Phylogenetic conservatism in plant phenology. *Journal of ecology*
784 **101**, 1520–1530 (2013).
- 785 62. Cramer, W. *et al.* Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to
786 CO₂ and climate change: Results from six dynamic global vegetation models. *Global*
787 *change biology* **7**, 357–373 (2001).
- 788 63. Menzel, A. Phenology: Its importance to the global change community. *Climatic*
789 *change* **54**, 379 (2002).
- 790 64. Borchert, R., Rivera, G. & Hagnauer, W. Modification of Vegetative Phenology in a
791 Tropical Semi-deciduous Forest by Abnormal Drought and Rain 1. *Biotropica* **34**, 27–39
792 (2002).
- 793 65. Kraft, N. J. B., Valencia, R. & Ackerly, D. D. Functional traits and niche-based tree
794 community assembly in an amazonian forest. *Science* **322**, 580–582 (2008).
- 795 66. Schamp, B. S. & Aarssen, L. W. The assembly of forest communities according to
796 maximum species height along resource and disturbance gradients. *Oikos* **118**, 564–
797 572 (2009).
- 798 67. New, M., Lister, D., Hulme, M. & Makin, I. A high-resolution data set of surface
799 climate over global land areas. *Climate research* **21**, 1–25 (2002).
- 800 68. Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. Very high
801 resolution interpolated climate surface for global land areas. *International journal of*
802 *climatology* **25**, 1965–1978 (2005).
- 803 69. Funk, C. *et al.* The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations—a new
804 environmental record for monitoring extremes. *Scientific data* **2**, 150066 (2015).
- 805 70. Nachtergaele, F. *et al.* The harmonized world soil database. in *Proceedings of the*
806 *19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World, Brisbane,*
807 *Australia, 1-6 August 2010* 34–37 (2010).

- 808 71. Woolmer, G. *et al.* Rescaling the human footprint: A tool for conservation planning at
809 an ecoregional scale. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **87**, 42–53 (2008).
- 810 72. Venter, O. *et al.* Sixteen years of change in the global terrestrial human footprint and
811 implications for biodiversity conservation. *Nature Communications* **7**, 12558 (2016).
- 812 73. Geldmann, J., Joppa, L. N. & Burgess, N. D. Mapping change in human pressure
813 globally on land and within protected areas. *Conservation biology* **28**, 1604–1616
814 (2014).
- 815 74. Linard, C., Gilbert, M., Snow, R. W., Noor, A. M. & Tatem, A. J. Population
816 distribution, settlement patterns and accessibility across Africa in 2010. *PloS one* **7**,
817 e31743 (2012).
- 818 75. Lloyd, C. T. *et al.* Global spatio-temporally harmonised datasets for producing high-
819 resolution gridded population distribution datasets. *Big Earth Data* **3**, 108–139 (2019).
- 820 76. Boulesteix, A.-L. & Strimmer, K. Partial least squares: A versatile tool for the
821 analysis of high-dimensional genomic data. *Briefings in bioinformatics* **8**, 32–44 (2007).
- 822 77. Carrascal, L. M., Galván, I. & Gordo, O. Partial least squares regression as an
823 alternative to current regression methods used in ecology. *Oikos* **118**, 681–690 (2009).
- 824 78. Tenenhaus, M. *La régression PLS: Théorie et pratique*. (Editions Technip, 1998).
- 825 79. Sabatier, R., Lebreton, J. D. & Chessel, D. Principal component analysis with
826 instrumental variables as a tool for modelling composition data. *Multiway data analysis*
827 341–352 (1989).
- 828 80. Ter Braak, C. J. The analysis of vegetation-environment relationships by canonical
829 correspondence analysis. in *Theory and models in vegetation science* 69–77 (Springer,
830 1987).
- 831 81. Bry, X. & Verron, T. THEME: THEmatic model exploration through multiple co-
832 structure maximization. *Journal of Chemometrics* **29**, 637–647 (2015).

833 82. Cornu, G., Mortier, F., Trottier, C. & Bry, X. SCGLR: Supervised Component
834 Generalized Linear Regression. (2016).

835 83. Ward Jr, J. H. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *Journal of the*
836 *American statistical association* **58**, 236–244 (1963).

837 84. Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T. B. & Raftery, A. E. Mclust 5: Clustering,
838 classification and density estimation using gaussian finite mixture models. *The R journal*
839 **8**, 289 (2016).

840 85. Dormann, C. F. *et al.* Methods to account for spatial autocorrelation in the analysis
841 of species distributional data: A review. *Ecography* **30**, 609–628 (2007).

842 86. Renard, D. *et al.* RGeostats: The Geostatistical package 11.0. 1. *MINES ParisTech*
843 (2014).

844 87. Platts, P. J., Omeny, P. A. & Marchant, R. AFRICLIM: High-resolution climate
845 projections for ecological applications in Africa. *African Journal of Ecology* **53**, 103–108
846 (2015).

847 88. Janssens, S. B. *et al.* A large-scale species level dated angiosperm phylogeny for
848 evolutionary and ecological analyses. *Biodiversity data journal* **8**, (2020).

849 89. Chao, A., Chiu, C.-H. & Jost, L. Phylogenetic diversity measures based on Hill
850 numbers. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **365**,
851 3599–3609 (2010).

852 90. Nations, U. World population prospects: The 2017 revision, key findings and
853 advance tables. *United Nations, New york* (2017).

854 91. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. (R
855 Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2017).

856 92. Chessel, D., Dufour, A. B. & Thioulouse, J. The ade4 package-I- One-table
857 methods. *R News* **7**, 47–52 (2004).

- 858 93. Lafarge, T. & Pateiro-Lopez, B. *Alphashape3d: Implementation of the 3D alpha-*
859 *shape for the reconstruction of 3D sets from a point cloud.* (2017).
- 860 94. Wickham, H. *Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis.* (Springer-Verlag New
861 York, 2016).
- 862 95. Hijmans, R. J. *Raster: Geographic data analysis and modeling.* (2017).
- 863 96. Renard, D. *et al. RGeostats: Geostatistical package.* (2017).
- 864 97. Marcon, E. & Hérault, B. entropart: An R package to measure and partition diversity.
865 *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1–26 (2015).
- 866 98. Réjou-Méchain, M. *et al. Maps of central African rainforest composition and*
867 *vulnerability*, doi:10.18167/DVN1/UCNCA7, CIRAD Dataverse, V2, (2021).

868

869

870 **Acknowledgements**

871 We thank the 105 forest companies that provided access, albeit restricted, to their
872 inventory data for research purposes and members of the central african plot network
873 (<https://central-african-plot-network.netlify.app/>), Y. Yalibanda, F. Allah-Barem, F. Baya,
874 F. Boyemba, M. Mbasi Mbula, P. Berenger, M. Mazengue, V. Istace, I. Zombo, E. Forni,
875 Nature+ and the CEB-Precious Woods company for giving access to the scientific
876 inventories described in Fig. S4, some of which were funded by the AFD and the FFEM
877 (e.g. DynAfFor and P3FAC projects). We thank J. Chave, P. Couteron, S. Lewis and M.
878 Tadesse for their helpful comments and discussions on previous versions. We also
879 thank the five reviewers for their highly constructive comments on previous versions, B.
880 Sultan for useful discussions on climate projections, O.J. Hardy for advices on
881 phylogenetical analyses, B. Locatelli for advices on vulnerability analyses, G. Vieilledent
882 for our discussions on the human-induced forest disturbance intensity index and A.
883 Stokes for English editing. This work was supported by the CoForTips project (ANR-12-

884 EBID-0002) funded by the ERA-NET BiodivERsA, with the national funders ANR,
885 BELSPO and FWF, as part of the 2012 BiodivERsA call for research proposals, the
886 GAMBAS project funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR-18-CE02-
887 0025) and the project 3DForMod funded by the UE FACCE ERA-GAS consortium
888 (ANR-17-EGAS-0002-01). This study is a contribution to the research program of LMI
889 DYCOFAC (Dynamique des écosystèmes continentaux d'Afrique Centrale en contexte
890 de changements globaux).

891 **Author contributions,**

892 Conceptualization: M.R-M., F.M., R.P. & S.G-F; data curation: G.C. & F.B.; formal
893 analysis: M.R-M. & F.M.; project administration: C.G.; writing – original draft: M.R-M.,
894 F.M., R.P. & S.G-F; writing – review & editing: all authors.

895 **Competing interests**

896 Authors declare no competing interests.

897 **Supplementary Information** is available for this paper

898 **Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to**

899 maxime.rejou@ird.fr

900 **Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints**

901

902

903 **Extended data figure/table legends:**

Group	Name	Main families	Representative taxa	Area	PA	Logging	Phum	CC1	CC2	CC3
1	Atlantic highland evergreen	Fabaceae (19%), Burseraceae (17%), Myristicaceae (13%)	Anisophyllea spp., Baillonella toxisperma, Aucoumea klaineana, Bobgunnia fistuloides, Testulea gabonensis	79,400	9	70	0.48	-3.4	3.4	-1.1
2	Atlantic coastal evergreen	Fabaceae (27%), Burseraceae (17%), Myristicaceae (15%)	Anthostema aubryanum, Scyttopetalum klaineum, Calpocalyx spp., Coula edulis, Tetraberlinia bifoliolata	17,700	54	36	0.35	-5.2	0.1	4.6
3	Atlantic inland evergreen	Fabaceae (27%), Burseraceae (15%), Myristicaceae (14%)	Calpocalyx spp., Letestua durissima, Eurypetalum spp., Coula edulis, Tetraberlinia bifoliolata	60,800	22	69	0.35	-3.8	-0.1	3.0
4	Margin semideciduous	Malvaceae (16%), Fabaceae (13%), Cannabaceae (11%)	Aubrevillea kerstingii, Holoptelea grandis, Mansonia altissima, Trilepisium madagascariense, Morus mesozygia	87,600	4	20	0.42	4.1	2.4	2.0
5	Evergreen-semideciduous on sandstone	Fabaceae (15%), Sapotaceae (15%), Annonaceae (9%)	Manilkara spp., Oldfieldia africana, Balanites wilsoniana, Autranella congolensis, Synsepalum spp.	22,200	23	80	0.27	3.2	2.0	1.0
6	Semideciduous	Fabaceae (20%), Annonaceae (10%), Malvaceae (8%)	Pericopsis elata, Fernandoa adolfi friderici, Dasylepis seretii, Desplatsia spp., Entandrophragma cylindricum	206,400	10	34	0.26	2.6	-0.3	-0.2
7	Central evergreen	Fabaceae (33%), Annonaceae (9%), Olacaceae (9%)	Millettia spp., Brachystegia spp., Ochna spp., Gilbertiodendron dewevrei, Rothmannia spp.	265,900	23	9	0.22	0.6	-3.8	-2.3
8	Mixed evergreen	Fabaceae (30%), Olacaceae (10%), Myristicaceae (8%)	Diogoa zenkeri, Elaeis guineensis, Cryptosepalum spp., Bikinia spp., Ochthocosmus spp.	158,200	10	45	0.40	-1.4	-2.2	-0.2
9	Degraded semideciduous	Fabaceae (14%), Cannabaceae (13%), Urticaceae (8%)	Pseudospondias spp., Musanga cecropioides, Pterygota spp., Ricinodendron heudelotii, Afzelia spp.	40,000	10	6	0.73	1.1	2.0	1.6
10	Semideciduous-evergreen transition	Fabaceae (22%), Annonaceae (10%), Olacaceae (8%)	Uapaca spp., Musanga cecropioides, Annickia spp., Croton spp., Pseudospondias spp.	180,000	15	29	0.37	0.4	-0.3	-1.5

904

905 **Extended Data Table 1 | Characteristics of the floristic groups.** For each floristic
906 group information is given on the three most abundant families (APGIII classification,
907 except for the subfamilies Caesalpiniaceae and Mimosaceae, which were considered
908 here independently due to their different ecological strategies), the five most
909 representative taxa (i.e., taxa having the highest A score of the Dufrêne and Legendre
910 index), the total area (km²) covered by each group, the percentage of the area
911 covered by protected areas (PA) and dedicated to logging activities (Logging), the mean
912 probability of being impacted by human activities (phum, this study) and the mean value
913 of the three climatic components (CCs) that best explain the current distribution of
914 central African trees (this study).

915

CODE	Description	Mean (range)	CC1	CC2	CC3
C1	Annual Mean Temperature (°C)	24.7 (22.7 - 26.4)	-0.01	-0.54	0.43
C2	Mean Diurnal Range (°C)	9.4 (6.2 - 11)	0.88	0.01	0
C3	Isothermality (C2/C7) (* 100) (unitless)	76.6 (53.1 - 89.8)	-0.06	-0.67	-0.16
C4	Temperature Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation of kelvin values) (%)	1.5 (0.7 - 3.6)	-0.21	0.54	0.08
C5	Max Temperature of Warmest Month (°C)	31.1 (28.6 - 33.9)	0.38	-0.03	0.51
C6	Min Temperature of Coldest Month (°C)	18.8 (16.5 - 22)	-0.15	-0.76	0.06
C7	Temperature Annual Range (C5-C6) (°C)	12.3 (9.4 - 16)	0.53	0.32	0.1
C8	Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter (°C)	24.5 (22 - 26.6)	-0.21	-0.42	0.27
C9	Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter (°C)	24.2 (20.9 - 27.2)	0.3	-0.53	0.13
C10	Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (°C)	25.6 (23.9 - 27.3)	-0.01	-0.19	0.75
C11	Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter (°C)	23.8 (20.5 - 25.5)	0.08	-0.73	0.14
C12	Annual Precipitation (mm)	1733.5 (1219.7 - 2983)	-0.26	-0.06	0.06
C13	Precipitation of Wettest Month (mm)	263.2 (195.7 - 608.7)	-0.43	0.05	0.11
C14	Precipitation of Driest Month (mm)	31.9 (0 - 112.4)	0.2	-0.4	-0.16
C15	Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation) (%)	52.1 (21.7 - 84.5)	-0.29	0.51	0.15
C16	Precipitation of Wettest Quarter (mm)	665 (435.8 - 1273.7)	-0.28	0.01	0.15
C17	Precipitation of Driest Quarter (mm)	137.7 (2 - 405.2)	0.14	-0.53	-0.13
C18	Precipitation of Warmest Quarter (mm)	434.3 (220.7 - 816.1)	-0.9	0	0
C19	Precipitation of Coldest Quarter (mm)	302.8 (0.7 - 1332.9)	0.8	0	0.02
meanET0	mean monthly evapotranspiration ¹ (mm)	133.8 (109.9 - 146.6)	0.76	-0.1	0.02
meanCWB	mean climatic water balance ² (mm)	10.6 (-19.2 - 134.3)	-0.52	0.01	0.02
sumCWD	total climatic water deficit ³ (mm)	-1617.8 (-4466.3 - -55.8)	-0.59	-0.29	-0.07
maxCWD	maximum cumulative water deficit ⁴ (mm)	-299.3 (-596 - -21.9)	-0.08	-0.6	-0.21
MCWD	maximum climatic water deficit ⁵ (mm)	-312.3 (-596 - -37.7)	-0.08	-0.62	-0.18

¹ meanET0 was calculated using the Hargreaves formula with $meanET0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ET0_i$ where $ET0_i$ is the evapotranspiration of month i calculated as $ET0_i = 0.0023 * 0.408 RA_i * (Tavg_i + 17.8) * TD_i^{0.5}$ with RA_i the mean extrasolar radiation of month i in MJ m⁻² d⁻¹, $Tavg_i$ the average daily temperature of month i in (°C), computed as the average of the mean maximum and minimum temperature of month i and TD_i the mean temperature range of month i in (°C), computed as the difference between mean maximum and minimum temperature of month i .

² $meanCWB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i - ET0_i$ where P_i is the precipitation of month i .

^{3,4} $sumCWD = \sum_{i=1}^n CWD_i$ and $maxCWD = max(CWD_i)$ where $CWD_i = sum_{j=1}^i WD_j$ with $WD_i = WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET0_i$ if $WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET0_i < 0$ or $WD_i = 0$ if $WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET0_i \geq 0$. To compute CWD_i , the wettest month was set as $i=1$ at the grid cell level.

⁵ $MCWD = sum_{i=1}^n min(0, P_i - ET0_i)$.

916

917 **Extended Data Table 2 | Climatic predictors.** Correlations with the three climatic
918 components (CCs) are given in the last three columns (see also Extended Data Fig. 4).

919 ¹meanET0 was calculated using the Hargreaves formula with $meanET0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ET0_i$

920 where $ET0_i$ is the evapotranspiration of month i calculated as $ET0_i = 0.0023 * 0.408 RA_i * (Tavg_i + 17.8) * TD_i^{0.5}$

921 with RA_i the mean extrasolar radiation of month i in MJ m⁻² d⁻¹,

922 $Tavg_i$ the average daily temperature of month i in °C, computed as the average of the

923 mean maximum and minimum temperature of month i , and TD_i the mean temperature

924 range of month i in °C, computed as the difference between mean maximum and

925 minimum temperature of month i . ² $meanCWB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i - ET0_i$ where P_i is the precipitation

926 of month i .^{3,4} $sumCWD = \sum_{i=1}^n CWD_i$ and $maxCWD = \max(CWD_i)$ where $sumCWB = \sum_{i=1}^n CWD_i$

927 with $WD_i = WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET$ if $WD_i = WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET \geq 0$ or $WD_i = 0$ if $(WD_{i-1} + P_i - ET) < 0$. To

928 compute CWD_i , the wettest month was set as $i=1$ at the grid cell level.⁵

929 $MCWD = \sum_{i=1}^n \min(0, P_i - ET)$.

930

931

932

933

Extended Data Figure 1 | Study area and sampling plots. In green, the current distribution of tropical forests following the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative (ESA-CCI) landcover (V.1.6) with a dark green-to-white gradient representing anthropogenic pressure (see methods) and non-forested areas represented in beige; the sampling grid cells ($n=1,571$ 10×10 -km² grid cells) are in black and the flooding forests, as proposed by the ESA-CCI landcover, are in blue.

939

Extended Data Figure 4 | Plans 1-2 (A), 1-3 (B) and 2-3 (C) of the SCGLR climatic components (CCs). All climatic variables having a correlation < 0.75 with the two components (dashed circle) were excluded for the sake of clarity. For abbreviations, see Extended Data Table 2.

Extended Data Figure 5 | Spatial cross-validation results of the predictions of functional assemblages. The observed and predicted community weighted mean trait values within the 1,571 10x10-km² grid cells are given for (A) wood density; (B) deciduousness and (C) maximum diameter. The 1:1 line is displayed in red.

Extended Data Figure 6 | Projected changes under RCP scenario 4.5 in 2085 of the climatic conditions of the ten forest types. Areas where climate models predict similar climatic components (CCs) values as those currently found within forest types (in black) are illustrated with a color gradient indicating the level of agreement amongst the 18 climate models (in %; no color indicates that none of the original 18 climate models predicted similar conditions). More specifically, we used 3D concave hull (alpha shape) models to assess where the combinations of current Ccs corresponding to each forest type are predicted to be represented in 2085.

979

980

Extended Data Figure 7 | The vulnerability map under two different RCP

981

scenarios, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, and for two years, year 2055 and year 2085. As

982

can be seen, the predicted vulnerability is little impacted by the IPCC scenario chosen

983

because it expresses a relative vulnerability over the study area and, if different

984

scenarios predict different amplitudes of climate change, spatial patterns of climate

985

exposure remains similar (see Methods).

986

987

988 **Extended Data Figure 8 | Current and projected anthropogenic pressure over**
989 **central Africa predicted from our index of human-induced forest disturbance**
990 **intensity.**

991

993

994 **Extended Data Figure 9 | Protected area network (blue) and areas dedicated to**995 **logging activities (orange and red) in central Africa.** Data on protected areas were

996 obtained from the World Database on Protected Areas (last access: 14/08/2018)

997 excluding marine, hunting and game-oriented areas except for the Democratic Republic

998 of Congo where data from the World Resource Institute were used and downloaded

999 from ArcGIS hub (last access: 01/06/2019). Logging activity data were kindly provided

1000 by the Observatoire des Forêts d'Afrique Centrale based on an unpublished work

1001 completed in June 2018, except for DRC where more updated data (June 2019) were

1002 provided by the AGEDUFOR national project. Areas in orange illustrate forest

1003 concessions that are known to have, or to be in the process of having, an officially

1004 validated sustainable forest management plan. Red areas illustrate forest areas that are

1005 currently dedicated to logging but that either do not have an official management plan or

1006 have an uncertain status.