



**HAL**  
open science

# Structural modelling: an application to the assessment of ecosystem practices at the plot level

Dominique Desbois

► **To cite this version:**

Dominique Desbois. Structural modelling: an application to the assessment of ecosystem practices at the plot level. ASMDA2021 International Conference and Demographics Workshop, Jun 2021, Athènes, Greece. <hal-03253879>

**HAL Id: hal-03253879**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03253879v1>**

Submitted on 8 Jun 2021


**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Structural modelling: an application to the assessment of ecosystem practices at the plot level



Dominique Desbois, UMR Économie publique,  
INRAE-AgroParisTech

ASMDA 2021

A Hybrid Conference


Celebrating 40 years of ASMDA

Conferences (1981-2021)


Athens, Greece



# Peerless agro-ecological context : relationships between ecological status, agronomic practices and economic results at the plot level



# Peerless: location of agricultural plots



# Peerless: type of variables (I)

Latent Variables	Manifest Variables	Data		
		ecological	agronomic	economic
<i>Landscape 1</i>	<i>landscape diversity indicators</i>			
	Winter crop (% area)	√		
	Spring crop (% area)	√		
	Summer crop (% area)	√		
	Perennial crops (% area)	√		
	Fabaceae (% area)	√		
	Fallow land (% area)	√		
	Market gardening (% area)	√		
	Oilseeds (% area)	√		
	Wooded zones (% area)	√		
<i>Landscape 2</i>	<i>landscape diversity indicators</i>			
	Shannon index in field crops	√		
	Shannon index for all outputs	√		
<i>Practice</i>	<i>Agronomic practices</i>			
	Total treatment frequency index		√	
	Other treatment frequency index		√	
	Fungicide treatment frequency index		√	
	Herbicide treatment frequency index		√	
	Treatment frequency index excluding herbicides		√	
	Insecticide treatment frequency index		√	
	Treatment frequency index excluding seed treatment		√	
	Treatment frequency index seed treatment		√	
	Number of passages		√	
	Ploughing		√	
	Fertilisation yield target (t/ha)		√	
	Mineral nitrogen fertilisation (u.N/ha)		√	
	Organic nitrogen fertilisation (u.N/ha)		√	
	Number of mineral fertilisations		√	
	Number of organic fertilisations		√	
	Number of fertilisations		√	
	Deep tillage (Boolean)		√	
	Shallow tillage (Boolean)		√	
	Total tillage (hrs/ha)		√	
<i>Pest</i>	<i>Pests</i>			
	Sitobion avenae (cereal aphid)	√		
	M.dirhodul (cereal and rose aphid)	√		
	Total of aphids	√		
	Lema larvae (cereal leaf beetle)	√		
	Locust damage (%)	√		


# Peerless: type of variables (II)

Latent Variables	Manifest Variables	Data		
		ecological	agronomic	economic
<i>Adventice</i>	<i>Adventices</i>			
	Total weed cover (%)	√		
	Dicotyledons (%)	√		
	Grasses (%)	√		
	Number of species	√		
	Percentage of area under dicotyledons (%)	√		
	Percentage of area under grasses (%)	√		
<i>SpecCost</i>	<i>Specific Costs</i>			
	Seeding costs (€/ha)			√
	Soil preparation costs (€/ha)			√
	Preparation and sowing costs (€/ha)			√
	Chemical and mechanical weed control costs (€/ha)			√
	Fungicide costs (€/ha)			√
	Insecticide and mollucide costs (€/ha)			√
	Plant protection costs (€/ha)			√
	Fertiliser costs (€/ha)			√
	Harvest costs (€/ha)			√
	Total specific costs (€/ha)			√
<i>GrossProd</i>	<i>Gross Product</i>			
	Observed yields (t/ha)		√	√
	Price (€/t)			√
	Main output (€/ha)			√
	Total output (€/ha)			√
<i>Subsidys</i>	<i>Subsidies</i>			
	Single payment scheme (€/ha)			√
	Coupled aid (€/ha)			√
	Organic farming support (€/ha)			√
	Total aid (€/ha)			√
<i>Marginuha</i>	<i>Unit margin per hectare</i>			
	Gross margin per unit (€/ha)			√
	Gross margin including SFP (€/ha)			√
	Standard gross margin (€/ha)			√


# Structural model: the simplified example of the farm margin

*The gross margin of a farm holding depends on its gross product but also on its production costs.*


Let the structural equation be:  $margin = f(product, cost)$




# Concepts of structural modelling



**Peerless: specification of the external model, reflective or formative mode**



# Peerless: relationships between ecological status, agronomic practices and economic results (plot level)





# PLS-PM: structural model estimation procedure

*# loading the pls-pm package*

```
library(plspm)
```

## Structure of the results obtained:

*Partial Least Squares Path Modeling (PLS-PM)*

---

<b>## NAME</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
<b>## 1 \$outer_model</b>	<i>outer model</i>
<b>## 2 \$inner_model</b>	<i>inner model</i>
<b>## 3 \$path_coefs</b>	<i>path coefficients matrix</i>
<b>## 4 \$scores</b>	<i>latent variable scores</i>
<b>## 5 \$crossloadings</b>	<i>cross-loadings</i>
<b>## 6 \$inner_summary</b>	<i>summary inner model</i>
<b>## 7 \$effects</b>	<i>total effects</i>
<b>## 8 \$unidim</b>	<i>unidimensionality</i>
<b>## 9 \$gof</b>	<i>goodness-of-fit</i>
<b>## 10 \$boot</b>	<i>bootstrap results</i>
<b>## 11 \$data</b>	<i>data matrix</i>

---

## **# R Source**

<http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/plspm/index.html>

## Uni-dimensionnality Statistics : values of the initial coding








Blocks	Mode	Observed Var	Cronbach's Alpha	Dillon-Goldstein's Rho	Eigenvalue 1	Eigenvalue 2
Landscape1	A	9	0.398	0.073	2.04	1.67
Landscape2	A	10	0.473	0.642	1.84	1.45
Practice	A	18	0.943	0.950	9.45	3.20
Pest	A	5	0.807	0.874	3.03	0.96
Adventice	A	6	0.871	0.904	3.68	1.09
SpecCost	A	10	0.834	0.879	4.75	2.30
GrossProd	A	4	0.690	0.805	2.30	1.59
Subsidys	A	4	0.243	0.125	2.09	0.18
Margeuha	A	3	0.986	0.991	2.92	0.07

The unidimensionality statistics (**Cronbach's Alpha** and **Dillon-Goldstein's Rho**) based on this external scheme show too low values (far from the **0.7 threshold**) for the thematic blocks:

**Subsidys** (subsidies) ;

**Landscape 1 & 2** (plot complexity);

# Peerless: initial external model



## Uni-dimensionnality Statistics : values after variable recoding

Blocks	Mode	Observed Var	Cronbach's Alpha	Dillon-Goldstein's Rho	Eigenvalue 1	Eigenvalue 2
Landscape1	A	10	0	0.215	2.10	1,79
Landscape2	A	2	0.829	0.921	1.71	0.29
Practice	A	19	0.720	0.741	9.11	3.33
Pest	A	5	0.717	0.838	3.03	0.96
Adventice	A	6	0.871	0.904	3.68	1.09
SpecCost	A	10	0.541	0.611	3.02	2.50
GrossProd	A	4	0.592	0.790	2.30	1.59
Subsidys	A	4	0.664	0.804	2.08	1.31
Margeuha	A	3	0.986	0.991	2.92	0.07

After appropriate recoding of some variables of the blocks, the uni-dimensionality statistics have improved:

for **Cronbach's Alpha**

the values are all higher than 0.5 except for the **Landscape1** block ;

with values below 0.7 for the **SpecCost**, **GrossProd**, **Subsidys** blocks ;

for **Dillon-Goldstein's Rho**

the values are all higher than 0.6 except for **Landscape1**.



## Goddness of Fit : communalities, R<sup>2</sup> and redundancies

Theme block	Manifest variables	Communality	Type	R <sup>2</sup>	Average redundancy
<i>Landscape1</i>	9	0.178	Exogeneous	0.0000	0.0000
<i>Landscape2</i>	2	0.850	Exogeneous	0.0000	0.0000
<i>Practice</i>	18	0.517	Endogeneous	0.0921	0.0477
<i>Pest</i>	5	0.606	Endogeneous	0.1254	0.0759
<i>Adventice</i>	6	0.608	Endogeneous	0.2806	0.1707
<i>SpecCost</i>	10	0.462	Endogeneous	0.6764	0.3127
<i>GrossProd</i>	4	0.542	Endogeneous	0.6906	0.3746
<i>Subsidys</i>	4	0.362	Exogeneous	0.0000	0.0000
<i>Margeinuha</i>	3	0.972	Endogeneous	0.6391	0.6215
<i>Weighted Mean</i>		0.499			
<i>Endogenous block Mean</i>		0.618		0.417	0.2579
<i>Goodness of fit (GoF)</i>				0.5078	


The **GoF value**, the overall indicator of the goodness of fit of the amended model, improves quite marginally, reaching **0.5078** .

# Structural Links of the Model: bootstrap estimates


Link	Estimate	Bootstrap Estimate	Standard-error	Q(0.025)	Q(0.975)
Landscape1 -> Practice	0.305	0.249	0.323	-0.521	0.639
Landscape1 -> Pest	0.115	0.203	0.251	-0.380	0.552
Landscape1 -> Adventice	0.398	0.431	0.215	-0.227	0.692
Landscape2 -> Practice	-0.005	-0.045	0.188	-0.365	0.297
Landscape2 -> Pest	0.176	0.175	0.120	-0.031	0.359
Landscape2 -> Adventice	0.017	0.017	0.122	-0.233	0.197
Practice -> Pest	0.222	0.182	0.180	-0.186	0.499
Practice -> Adventice	-0.487	-0.478	0.209	-0.748	0.097
<b>Practice -&gt; SpecCost</b>	<b>0.229</b>	<b>0.247</b>	<b>0.159</b>	<b>0.016</b>	<b>0.451</b>
Practice -> GrossProd	0.103	0.071	0.131	-0.239	0.234
<b>Pest -&gt; SpecCost</b>	<b>0.739</b>	<b>0.706</b>	<b>0.115</b>	<b>0.496</b>	<b>0.893</b>
<b>Pest -&gt; GrossProd</b>	<b>-0.504</b>	<b>-0.482</b>	<b>0.098</b>	<b>-0.651</b>	<b>-0.255</b>
Adventice -> SpecCost	-0.096	-0.100	0.139	-0.400	0.156
<b>Adventice -&gt; GrossProd</b>	<b>-0.519</b>	<b>-0.506</b>	<b>0.118</b>	<b>-0.640</b>	<b>-0.329</b>
<b>SpecCost -&gt; Margeuha</b>	<b>-0.216</b>	<b>-0.204</b>	<b>0.079</b>	<b>-0.341</b>	<b>-0.015</b>
<b>GrossProd -&gt; Margeuha</b>	<b>0.632</b>	<b>0.688</b>	<b>0.107</b>	<b>0.482</b>	<b>0.896</b>
Subsidys -> Margeuha	0.246	0.129	0.227	-0.390	0.447

Values in **blue** denote estimates that are significantly different from zero

# Peerless: the final internal model



Statistically significant structural relationships in the model are shown in **solid lines** and their values are indicated in **bold**





# Bibliographical References

- Mezerette F. (2016) *Quels effets de la gestion agricole et du paysage sur l'abondance de bioagresseurs et le rendement ?*, Master thesis , Université Rennes 1, 33 p.
- Quinio M. & alii (2017) Separating the confounding effects of farming practices on weeds and winter wheat production using path modelling, *Europ. J. Agronomy*, n°82, pp.134-143 .
- Sanchez, G. (2013) *PLS Path Modeling with R*. Trowchez Editions. Berkeley, 2013.  
Libre accès à: [http://www.gastonsanchez.com/PLS\\_Path\\_Modeling\\_with\\_R.pdf](http://www.gastonsanchez.com/PLS_Path_Modeling_with_R.pdf)
- Sanchez, G. Trinchera, L., Russolillo G. (2017). *plspm: tools for partial least squares path modeling (PLSPM)*. R Software, version 0.4.9.
- Sanchez, G. Trinchera, L., Russolillo G. (2017) *Introduction to the R package plspm*, 10 p.
- Tenenhaus M., Vinzi V.E., Chatelin Y.-M., Lauro C. (2005). PLS path modeling. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* n°48, pp. 159–205.
- Tenenhaus M. (1998). *La régression PLS : théorie et pratique*, Technip editions , 264 p.
- Vinzi, E.V., Chin, W.W., Henseler, J., Wang, H. (2010) *Handbook of Partial Least Squares : Concepts, Methods and Applications*, Springer, 798 p.