

Rehabilitation of mine soils by phytostabilization: Does soil inoculation with microbial consortia stimulate Agrostis growth and metal(loid) immobilization?

Manhattan Lebrun, Caroline Michel, Catherine Joulian, Domenico Morabito,

Sylvain Bourgerie

▶ To cite this version:

Manhattan Lebrun, Caroline Michel, Catherine Joulian, Domenico Morabito, Sylvain Bourgerie. Rehabilitation of mine soils by phytostabilization: Does soil inoculation with microbial consortia stimulate Agrostis growth and metal(loid) immobilization?. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 791, pp.148400. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148400. hal-03272457

HAL Id: hal-03272457 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03272457

Submitted on 16 Jun2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Rehabilitation of mine soils by phytostabilization: does soil inoculation with microbial
2	consortia stimulate Agrostis growth and metal(loid) immobilization?
3	
4	Manhattan Lebrun ¹ , Caroline Michel ² , Catherine Joulian ² , Domenico Morabito ¹ , Sylvain Bourgerie ¹ *
5	¹ Université d'Orléans, LBLGC INRA USC1328, rue de Chartres, BP 6759, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France
6	² BRGM, DEPA, Geomicrobiology and Environmental Monitoring Unit, BP 36009, 45060, Orléans Cedex 2,
7	France
8	
9	* Corresponding author, University of Orleans, INRA USC1328, LBLGC EA 1207, rue de Chartres, BP
10	6759, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France
11	E-mail: sylvain.bourgerie@univ-orleans.fr
12	
13	Running title: microbial inoculation improves mine soil remediation
14	
15	Abstract
16	Metal(loid) soil pollution resulting from mining activities is an important issue that has negative effects
17	on the environment (soil acidification, lack of vegetation, groundwater pollution) and human health
18	(cancer, chronic diseases). In the context of a phytostabilization process for the bioremediation of a mine
19	soil highly contaminated by arsenic (As) and lead (Pb), a pot experiment was set up to study the effect of
20	plant sowing and microbial inoculation on soil properties, metal(loid) (im)mobilization in soil and
21	accumulation in plant, and plant growth. For this, mine soil was sown with endemic metallicolous
22	Agrostis seeds and/or inoculated with endogenous microbial consortia previously selected for their As
23	and Pb tolerance. Agrostis was able to develop on the contaminated mine soil and immobilized

24 metal(loid)s through metal(loid) accumulation in the roots. Its growth was improved by microbial

25 consortium inoculation. Moreover, microbial consortium inoculation increased soil organic content and 26 electrical conductivity, and led to an increase in soil microbial activities (linked to C and P cycles); 27 however, it also induced a metal(loid) mobilization. In conclusion, microbial consortium inoculation 28 stimulated the growth of endemic Agrostis plants and thus ameliorated the phytostabilization of a 29 former mine soil highly polluted by As and Pb. This study is thus a good example of the benefits of 30 coupling several approaches such as phytostabilization and bioaugmentation for the bioremediation of 31 former mine contaminated sites. 32 Keywords 33 34 Arsenic; Lead; phytoremediation; bioaugmentation; microbial consortium inoculation; metallicolous 35 Agrostis 36 37 Highlights 38 A new bioremediation approach was carried out, coupling phytostabilization and 39 bioaugmentation Metal(loid) tolerant microbial consortia and endemic metallicolous Agrostis were used 40 Consortium inoculation increased soil DOC and microbial activities (NCP cycles) 41 42 Microbial inoculation stimulated Agrostis growth and phytostabilization 43 • Microbial inoculation mobilized As and Pb 44 45 1. Introduction 46 Past mining activities have induced a significant contamination of soils by metals and metalloids, at both 47 the extraction sites and the surrounding areas (Vareda et al. 2019). This is mainly due to the fact that 48 mining activities generated large amounts of wastes that were deposited on soils around the mines,

49 leading to the formation of technosols (Sheoran et al. 2010, Wong 2003). Such areas are generally 50 characterized by low levels of macronutrients such as NPK (Sheoran et al. 2010), a lack of organic matter 51 (Wong 2003), and a sandy texture, leading to a low ability to retain water (Sheoran et al. 2010). 52 Therefore, the biological functionality of the soil is disturbed, and the growth of microorganisms and 53 plants is impaired (Sheoran et al. 2010, Wong 2003). Due to the lack of vegetation, erosion on these 54 areas is elevated, leading to a contamination of the surrounding areas due, for instance, to the transport 55 of contaminated dusts by the wind. In addition, the underground water can be contaminated through 56 leaching. Such spreading of the contamination can potentially be a threat to human health if the 57 pollution enters the food chain through drinking water and crops. All these consequences were observed 58 on mining sites such as the Pontgibaud site, a former French extraction mine site, located in the Massif-59 Central (France). This region is characterized by soils made of galena, arsenopyrite, and gray coppers, 60 and a geochemical background showing the presence of Pb and arsenic (As). The mine district extracted 61 argentiferous Galena until the end of the nineteenth century. It was the main mine producing silver (Ag) and Pb during the nineteenth century, and the largest metallic mine in France, extracting 50 000 tons of 62 Pb and 100 tons of Ag. Previous studies on this site highlighted an acidic pH (pH 3-4), elevated 63 64 concentrations in Pb and As and low organic matter and nutrient contents (Cottard 2010, Lebrun et al. 2017, 2018, Nandillon et al. 2019a, b, Thouin et al. 2019). This contaminated site is in need of 65 66 remediation, to ameliorate its physical, chemical and biological parameters and regain functionality. 67 Compared to the conventional physical and chemical techniques that have been used over the last

decades to treat metal(loid) polluted sites, bioremediation techniques using plants and/or microorganisms are cheaper and more environmentally friendly (Gong et al. 2018). Cristaldi et al. (2017) defined bioremediation as "the use of living organisms to clean up oil spills or remove other pollutants from soil, water or wastewater". In the case of bioremediation of mine sites, coupling microbial bioremediation with phytoremediation is potentially a good option. Indeed, metal(loid)s cannot be

73 degraded but plants can immobilize them in the soil and microorganisms can convert metal(loid)s into a 74 form which is potentially less toxic, less bioavailable and/or less mobile than the initial form (Ashraf et al. 75 2019, Gong et al. 2018). Moreover, microorganisms can interact with plants, ameliorating their growth 76 and thus making the phytoremediation process more efficient (Cristaldi et al. 2017). When plants are 77 grown on a contaminated soil, they can uptake metal(loid)s and store them in their different tissues 78 (Cristaldi et al. 2017). In addition, the vegetation cover will stabilize the soil and thus reduce erosion and 79 leaching. Many plant species were shown to be efficient for the remediation of former mine 80 contaminated soils, such as willows and poplars (Lebrun et al. 2017, 2018, 2019, Nandillon et al. 2019a), 81 white mustard (Foucault et al. 2013) and birch (Alagić et al. 2013). However, these studies used species 82 which were non-native to the polluted site. Interestingly, some other studies showed it is more effective 83 to use species which are endemic to the site. For instance, Fahr et al. (2015) observed that the 84 metallicolous populations of Hirschfeldia incana were adapted to tolerate and accumulate Pb and thus 85 had good potential for the phytoremediation of Pb contaminated soils. Similarly, the metallicolous 86 population of Arabidopsis halleri had a higher zinc (Zn) tolerance than the non-metallicolous population 87 of the same species (Meyer et al. 2010). Agrostis plants, which are also found scarcely on the studied site 88 of Pontgibaud, showed an adaptive tolerance, which allows a local adaptation to the soil contamination 89 as demonstrated in the study of Austruy et al. (2013). At last, Nandillon et al. (2019b) found that Agrostis 90 seeds collected from the contaminated soil of Pontgibaud showed a better growth on the amended mine 91 soil compared to commercial seeds. Therefore, the use of indigenous plant species is strongly encouraged because they have a tolerance to the stress conditions of the soil, are adapted to the 92 93 climatic conditions encountered, and thus require less maintenance and have a lower environment risk 94 (introduction of non-native species) than the non-native species (Gerhardt et al. 2017, Laghlimi et al. 95 2015).

96 Microbial remediation is defined as the use of microorganisms to adsorb, precipitate, oxidize or reduce 97 metal(loid)s, thus lowering their (bio)availability and toxicity (Gong et al. 2018). Although they cannot 98 degrade metal(loid)s, microorganisms can affect their behavior (Ashraf et al. 2019). In particular, in 99 metal(loid) contaminated mining sites, microorganisms able to oxidize or reduce metal(loid)s are well 100 represented (Battaglia et al. 2002, Lescure 2016). Such an ability can reduce their mobility and toxicity, 101 which is particularly the case for As, whose toxicity depends on its speciation. For instance, Thouin et al. 102 (2019) identified As(III)-oxidizing and As(V)-reducing bacteria in the Pontgibaud technosol. Resistance 103 mechanisms used by metal(loid) resistant bacteria include: metal(loid) extracellular complexation, 104 intracellular accumulation, oxido-reduction reactions and precipitations (Ashraf et al. 2019). In addition, 105 microorganisms can benefit the plants, increasing their growth (Akhtar et al. 2018, Li et al. 2019). 106 Therefore, microorganisms can be inoculated to the soil in order to improve plant growth and 107 detoxifying activities and reduce the toxicity of metal(loid)s. The process involving the inoculation of 108 microorganisms which are selected and acclimated to the soil conditions is called bioaugmentation 109 (Emenike et al. 2018, Mishra et al. 2020). To increase the survival of the inoculated microorganisms and 110 thus improve the remediation, endemic bacteria already adapted to the physical and chemical conditions 111 of the studied site are preferred over non-native ones. For instance, Abdelkrim et al. (2019) isolated 112 several strains from polluted soils, which showed an adaptive response against metal(loid)s. They 113 showed that contaminated soils were a source for naturally resistant bacteria (metallotolerance). They 114 also concluded that the adaptation of bacteria exposed to high levels of toxic pollutants was caused by the development of resistance mechanisms, therefore native bacteria could help in the bioremediation 115 116 of metal(loid) contaminated sites. Finally, some studies showed that using several strains which formed a 117 consortium gave better results than the inoculation of a single strain. For instance, Abdelkrim et al. 118 (2018) isolated 12 bacterial strains from a contaminated soil based on their resistance to Pb and 119 evaluated their ability to produce IAA (indole acetic acid), siderophores, solubilize P and accumulate Pb.

They found that when Lathyrus stiivus plants were inoculated with different combinations of these strains inoculated plant growth increased and there was a great potential for Pb bioaccumulation. Similarly, Dary et al. (2010) measured a 29 % increase in yellow lupine growth following soil inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* strains, whereas the inoculation of a microbial consortium containing several plant growth promoting rhizobacteria capable of nodulation and N fixation increased plant growth by 109 %.

Finally, the association of microorganisms and plants bring mutual benefits. Microorganisms give an external protection to the plants and bring nutrients, which stimulates plant growth, whereas microorganisms benefit from the root exudates of the plants for their development (Escalante-Espinosa et al. 2005).

129 In the context of rehabilitation of polluted mining sites, the objective of this study was to evaluate the 130 impact of microbial consortia inoculation on a phytostabilization process. For this, two endemic 131 microbial consortia were selected (based on their tolerance to As and Pb) from soils (both with and 132 without presence of Agrostis plants) collected at the Pontgibaud metal(loid) contaminated former mining site. The effects of inoculating these soils with their respective microbial consortium was then 133 134 evaluated through (i) soil physico-chemical properties, (ii) metal(loid) immobilization in the soil, (iii) 135 growth of endemic Agrostis plants and their ability for metal(loid) accumulation, and (iv) soil bacterial 136 community activity and structure, with the aim to verify whether microbial inoculation brought about 137 the re-functionalization of the soil.

138

139 **2. Materials and methods**

140 2.1. Soil sampling and characterization

141 This study focused on the former Ag-Pb extraction mining district of Pontgibaud (Puy-de-Dome, 142 Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, France). This mining district was very active until the nineteenth century and

lead to 87000 m³ of waste tailings highly contaminated with As (539 mg.kg⁻¹) and Pb (11453 mg.kg⁻¹)
(Lebrun et al. 2019, Nandillon et al. 2019b).

Two types of soils were collected from the second settling pond of this mine district, near the village of Roure-les-Rosiers: soil C sampled in a zone that had no history of vegetation; soil A which corresponded to the rhizosphere soil of *Agrostis* plants found on a vegetated zone next to the zone used to collect soil C. Soil A was collected by shaking the roots of *Agrostis* plants in a container in order to recover soil adhering to the roots.

The two soils had similar water holding capacities (WHC) and pseudo-total As and Pb concentrations (determined as described in Lebrun et al. 2018, 2019) (Table 1). Soil As and Pb concentrations were 31fold and 36-fold higher, respectively, than the maximum permissible concentrations in soil, given by the European Union and World Health Organization (Ashraf et al. 2019), thus highlighting the high pollution level of Pontgibaud technosol.

155 2.2. Microbial consortium selection

156 A previous study in our laboratory has demonstrated the presence of metal(loid) tolerant 157 microorganisms as well as As(III)-oxidizing bacteria in the soils of the Pontgibaud site (Thouin et al. 2019). 158 The first step here was to recover such microorganisms from soils C and A for microcosm experiments. 159 For this, seeds of Agrostis collected on the Pontgibaud site were sown on soils A and C, in 500 g pots. The 160 sowing density was 220 seeds per m². Plants were grown for five weeks under greenhouse conditions: 20 \pm 5 °C, 16 h photoperiod and 800 μ mol.m⁻¹.s⁻¹ light intensity. After this growing period, the soil attached 161 to the roots of Agrostis plants, *i.e.* rhizosphere soil, was collected by shaking the roots inside a sterile bag 162 163 for a few minutes. Five grams of rhizosphere soil were mixed with 50 mL sterile NaCl (0.9 %), shaken for 164 two hours (28 °C at 150 rpm), then gently centrifuged (2500xg during 5 min). The supernatant was 165 recovered and constituted the soil microbial extracts. Next, microbial extracts were inoculated (at 10 % 166 v/v) in liquid Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (composition for 1 L: 5 g yeast extract, 10 g NaCl, 10 g peptone)

supplemented with 0.10 mg.L⁻¹ sodium arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄) (As(III)) and 40 mg.L⁻¹ nitrate lead (Pb(NO₃)₃) 167 168 (Pb(II)). Both As(III) and Pb(II) concentrations were chosen based on the concentrations usually found in 169 the soil pore waters of the Pontgibaud technosol (Lebrun et al. 2019, Nandillon et al. 2019). Such 170 cultures were done in order to maintain a selective pressure and allow the selection of a microbial 171 community adapted to the presence of As and Pb. Cultures were incubated under aerobic conditions at 172 28 °C and subjected to shaking (150 rpm). Five sub-cultures were carried out successively (every 2 days 173 after checking the microbial growth using the absorbance value at 600 nm). Microorganisms that grew in 174 the final sub-culture constituted the two consortia named Cons A (coming from soil A) and Cons C 175 (coming from soil C).

176 2.3. Mesocosm pot experiment

177 2.3.1. Experimental design

178 Four treatments per soil were applied (Table S1): soil alone (named A or C), soil inoculated with its 179 corresponding microbial consortium (i.e. Cons C or Cons A) (named AM or CM (M for Microorganisms)), 180 soil sown with Agrostis (named AP or CP (P for Plant)), soil inoculated with its corresponding microbial 181 consortium and sown with Agrostis seeds (named AMP or CMP). Pots were filled with 500 g of soil. 182 Inoculation of microbial consortium, when needed, was performed by adding 30 mL of inoculum per pot; 183 the inoculum contained 10⁹ CFU (colony forming units) per mL. The number of CFU was determined by 184 serial dilution plating onto LB medium after colonies numeration. Seeding with Agrostis capillaris, when needed, was done at a density of 220 seeds per m². Each treatment was tested in four replicates (n=4) so 185 186 that a total of 16 pots were prepared for each soil. Pots were then incubated for 28 days under 187 greenhouse conditions: temperature of 20 ± 5 °C, photoperiod of 16 h and light intensity of 800 µmol.m⁻ 188 ².S⁻¹.

At the end of the experiment (T28), all of the soil of the pot from each pot was collected, and an equal amount of soil of the four replicates (n = 4) of a given treatment were mixed together to obtain a composite sample for each treatment for soil microbial analysis.

192 2.3.2. Plant analysis

193 In pots sown with Agrostis seeds, plants were harvested at the end of the incubation (T28). The aerial 194 and root parts were separated and rinsed several times with tap water and distilled water to remove soil 195 particles. Organs were dried for three days at 60 °C to measure dry weight. Finally, plant samples were 196 subjected to acid digestion in microwave: 6 mL of 65 % HNO₃ and 3 mL of 35 % HCl were mixed with 0.2 g 197 of plant sample and the mixtures were heated into a microwave, with a 15 min heating rate up to 180 °C, 198 15 min resting at 180 °C and a 15 min cool down. The digested samples were recovered and diluted to 50 199 mL with distilled water. As and Pb concentrations were measured using ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled 200 Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy) (ULTIMA 2, HORIBA, San Francisco, USA).

201

202 2.3.3. Soil pore water sampling and analysis

203 Soil pore waters (SPWs) were sampled in all the pots twice during the pot experiment: before 204 consortium inoculation and seed sowing (T0) and at the end of the experiment (T28). Sampling was done 205 using soil moisture samplers (Rhizon[®], model MOM, Rhizosphere Research Products, Wageningen, The 206 Netherlands). pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and redox potential (Eh) were measured using a multimeter 207 (Serveur Excellence). SPWs were then acidified (83 μL of 65 % HNO₃ in a 5 mL sample) to determine As 208 and Pb concentrations by ICP-AES.

209 2.3.4. As and Pb content in soils

210 Concentrations of $CaCl_2$ extractable As and Pb, corresponding to the plant's available amounts of 211 metal(loid)s, were measured by mixing a 0.01 M CaCl₂ solution with soil (1:10 solid:liquid ratio) and

shaking at 150 rpm for 2 h at room temperature, as described in Lebrun et al. (2019). Solutions were

then filtrated and As and Pb concentrations were measured by ICP-AES after acidification of the samples.

214 2.3.5. Soil microbial activity

215 2.3.5.1 Soil enzyme activities linked to the C and P cycles

216 The activity of four soil enzymes (alkaline phosphatase, acid phosphatase, β -glucosidase and hydrolytic 217 activity towards fluorescein diacetate) were measured at the end of the pot experiment (T28), as 218 described in Lebrun et al. (2021). For acid and alkaline phosphatase activities (related to the P cycle in 219 soil), two grams of soil were mixed with two mL of buffer. The buffer was sodium acetate (0.1 M, pH 5) for acid phosphatase, and Tris-HCl (0.1 M, pH 8) for alkaline phosphatase. Extracellular extracts 220 221 containing the enzymes of interest were then recovered by centrifugation (10 000 rpm, 10 min) and 100 μ L were mixed with 100 μ L of 5 mM PNPP (4-nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt hexahydrate) as 222 223 substrate and incubated at 25 °C for three hours. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding 0.1 M 224 NaOH (100 µL) and absorbance was read at 410 nm, using a spectrometer µQuant (Bio-Tek Instruments, 225 Inc., Winooski, Vermont, USA). The activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient of PNPP at 410 nm, $\varepsilon = 19500 \text{ L.mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and expressed as mU.g⁻¹ soil (1 mU = 1 μ g.min⁻¹). 226

The β -glucosidase activity, related to the carbon cycle, was assessed by mixing 0.1 g soil with citrate phosphate buffer (0.15 M, pH 4-5) and 10 mM PNPG (4-nitrophenyl β -D glucopyranoside) as substrate. After two hours of incubation at 37 °C, the supernatant was recovered by centrifugation (14000 x g for 3 min), and 2 % Na₂CO₃ was added before an absorbance measurement at 410 nm. The activity was calculated using ϵ = 18400 L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹.

The hydrolytic activity, which represents the overall microbial activity, was assessed by the FDA (fluorescein diacetate) test. For this, 0.1 g of soil were mixed with potassium phosphate buffer (60 mM, pH 7.6) and FDA solution (50 mM prepared in acetone) as substrate. The mixtures were incubated for 3 h

235	at 37 °C and 105 rpm on a stirring platform. The absorbance was read at 490 nm after a centrifugation
236	step (10000rpm, 10 min) and the activity was calculated using ϵ = 8000 L.mol ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹ .
237	2.3.5.2 Biolog Ecoplates
238	The community level physiological profile of the soil microbial community was determined using Biolog
239	Ecoplates [™] tests in microplates containing 31 carbon substrates present in triplicates.
240	Two grams of soil were vortexed with 10 mL sterile NaCl (0.9 %) for three minutes. The microbial extracts
241	obtained after centrifugation at 3000 rpm were used to inoculate the microplates, 150 μ L per well.
242	Plates were incubated at 25 °C for one week and the absorbance values were measured at 590 nm after
243	96 h were used to calculate the following parameters:
244	- Average well color development, AWCD = mean of absorbance
245	- Shannon-Wever index, H' = - $\Sigma p_i * \ln p_i$, with $p_i = Abs_i / \Sigma Abs$ and i representing the substrate
246	- Eveness, E = H'/ln 31
247	- Richness = number of wells with $Abs_{590} > 0.25$
248	
249	2.3.5.3 Microbial As(III)-oxidase activity
250	The As(III) oxidation (into As(V)) activity of the soils microbial communities was evaluated using the
251	As(III)-chelation properties of pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC), according to Michel et al. (2020). Soil
252	was vortexed briefly in NaCl (0.9 %) (1:10 solid:liquid ratio) for three minutes followed by a filtration
253	(0.22 μ m) at 3000 rpm. The microbial extract (supernatant) was inoculated into LB medium containing

100 mg.L⁻¹ As(III) and the culture was incubated at 25 °C until the end of the exponential phase of growth. Then, 0.5 mL of filtered growth medium were mixed with 0.5 mL of acetate buffer (0.1 M Naacetate, pH 5) and 0.1 mL of a PDTC stock solution (5 g of PDTC (Sigma) dissolved in 1 L of demineralized water). In the presence of As(III), white precipitates appear immediately (whereas there are no precipitates with As(V)). The higher the concentration of As(III), the higher the amount and size of the white precipitates. This test therefore allows a semi-quantitative detection (by visual appreciation) of As(III) concentration and thus As(III)-oxidizing activity. The presence of a large amount of precipitates means that no As(III) oxidation took place (designed as " – " in Table 3), whereas the absence of precipitates, or a small or medium amount of them indicate a high (" +++ "), medium (" ++ ") or weak (" + " in Table 3) As(III)-oxidising activity, respectively.

264

265 2.3.6. Soil microbial community analyses.

Soil DNA was extracted from (i) initial rhizosphere soils used for microbial consortium selection, (ii) Cons A and Cons C consortia, and (iii) soils sampled at the end of the pot experiment (T28). For soil samples, 0.5 g of soil were used, and for consortia, 1.5 mL of culture were centrifuged to obtain the pellet. DNA was extracted using the FastDNATM Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Microbial DNA concentrations were measured using a NanoDrop (NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer, ThermoFisher Scientific, Watham, USA).

272 For soil DNAs analysis through quantitative PCR (qPCR) at the end of the pot experiment, soil microbial DNA concentrations were adjusted to 1 ng.µL⁻¹ and qPCR targeting 16S rRNA genes for bacterial biomass 273 274 quantification was performed using a CFX ConnectTM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, France) 275 and the following thermocycling conditions: 95 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 276 °C for 30 s and a data acquisition step at 80 °C for 30 s at each cycle. The reaction volume was 20 μ L and 277 contained 7.6 µL RNAse and DNAse free-water, 10 µL SYBR Green IQ Supermix (Bio-Rad, France), 500 nM 278 primers (341F and 515R) and 2 ng of DNA extracts. A calibration curve was constructed from 10-fold serial dilutions of a linear plasmid, containing 2.2*10³ to 2.2*10⁷ 16S rRNA gene copies. All samples were 279 280 analyzed in duplicates. Results were expressed as gene copies per g of soil.

For NGS (new generation sequencing), DNA samples were sent to INRAE Transfert (Narbonne, France) in order to generate amplicon libraries and MiSeq Illumina sequences of the V4-V5 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene.

284 Fastq sequences were analyzed using the FROGS pipeline (Escudié et al. 2018). First, the pre-process tool 285 was applied to delete primer sequences, sequences that were not of the expected length and those with 286 ambiguous bases, and to merge the paired reads using VSEARCH. Sequences were clustered into OTUs 287 (Operational Taxonomic Units) using SWARM and an aggregation distance of 3. After chimera removal and filtering for OTU abundance (threshold of 0.00005%), the remaining OTUs were affiliated using 288 BLASTn and the Silva 132 16S database. A total of 1325398 sequences were retrieved, made up of 897 289 290 OTUs. Samples contained between 52658 and 106321 sequences, and between 21 and 764 OTUs. Next, 291 sequence abundance was normalized through random resampling in order to ontain an equal number of 292 52 658 sequences per sample. FROGSSTAT was used to calculate the alpha and beta diversities, after 293 normalization of the data. Finally, the affiliation of the most abundant OTUs (relative abundance > 5%) 294 was verified using BLASTn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

295 2.4. Statistical analysis

296 Data were analyzed using R software version 3.5.1 (R Core Team, 2017). The normality and 297 homoscedasticity of the data were evaluated using Shapiro and Bartlett or Fligner tests, respectively. 298 Means were then compared using Anova tests for parametric data and Kruskal tests for non-parametric 299 data, followed by a post-hoc test, TukeyHSD test or Pairwise Wilcox test, respectively. Difference was 300 considered significant at p < 0.05.

Finally, a Principal Component Analysis was performed on the soil and soil pore water parameters usingthe software PAST.

303

304 **3. Results**

305 3.1. Impact of microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth on the soil pore water chemical properties.

At the beginning of the experiment (T0), soil A pore water had an acidic pH, a low EC and an Eh of 366 mV. These parameters were stable after 28 days as no evolution was observed in the absence of microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* seeding (treatment A) (Table 2). Microbial inoculation did not affect pH, EC and Eh, whereas it increased DOC level, both in presence and absence of *Agrostis*. The growth of *Agrostis* had no effect on pH, EC and Eh while it decreased DOC content in the condition without microbial inoculation (AP compared to A) (Table 2).

At T0, soil C pore water also had an acidic pH and a low EC, and its Eh was 393 mV. After 28 days, in the non-inoculated and non-seeded condition (C), no change in pH and EC was measured whereas Eh decreased compared to T0. Microbial inoculation had no influence on pH and EC, but gave a decreased Eh value in the presence of *Agrostis* (CMP compared to CP) and increased DOC content in both the presence and absence of *Agrostis* (CMP and CM). *Agrostis* growth had no effect on pH, EC and Eh but decreased DOC content in both inoculated and non-inoculated pots (CP and CMP) (Table 2).

These results thus showed that both soils reacted to microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth in the same way: microbial inoculation had no impact on soil pore water parameters (pH, EC and Eh), except for the DOC content which increased, and similarly *Agrostis* growth had no impact on pH and EC, but decreased DOC content.

322 3.2. Impact of microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth on metal(loid)s.

Arsenic and Pb concentrations were measured in SPW and CaCl₂ extractions, which give information on
 their mobility in soil, and availability to the plant, respectively.

In soil A, no difference was observed in As and Pb SPW concentrations between T0 and T28 for the condition A (no inoculation and no plant) suggesting that neither metal(loid)s was leached from soil with time (Table 2). Microbial inoculation had no effect on SPW and CaCl₂ As concentrations, but its presence led to a decrease in As availability in the presence of *Agrostis* (Table S2) and to an increase in SPW and CaCl₂ Pb concentration in the presence or absence of *Agrostis* plants. When alone (in the absence of microbial inoculation) *Agrostis* growth had no effect on SPW As and Pb concentration but increased CaCl₂ As and Pb concentrations, compared to treatment A. When coupled to microbial inoculation, *Agrostis* growth led to an increase in SPW Pb (but not As) concentration, and CaCl₂ Pb concentration (Tables 2 and S2).

For soil C, after 28 days, the condition without consortium or plant had a lower As SPW concentration compared to T0 and the same Pb concentration, suggesting that As (but not Pb) was leached from the soil. In the presence of microbial inoculation, As leaching was not observed anymore as As in the SPW was the same in CM, CP and CMP conditions compared to the condition C at T0. Microbial inoculation increased the SPW Pb concentrations in the absence of *Agrostis*, while it had no effect on CaCl₂ As and Pb concentrations. *Agrostis* growth only affected the CaCl₂ Pb concentrations, leading to a rise in available Pb (Tables 2 and S2).

These results showed that As was leached from soil C with time, and this leaching was reduced with microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth. For both soils, microbial inoculation tended to mobilize and render the Pb more available (SPW and CaCl₂) while *Agrostis* growth tended to mainly increase the availability of As and Pb (CaCl₂).

345 Soil and soil pore water chemical data obtained at T0 and T28 during batch experiments were submitted 346 to Principal Component Analysis (Figure S1). On this biplot, treatments clustered into three groups separated according to the first axe (PC1), explaining 99.67% of the variability, which was constrained by 347 SPW EC, Eh, and Pb concentrations (Figure S2). Group 1 was composed of the initial and final soils (A and 348 349 C) and the final vegetated soils (CP and AP), suggesting that Agrostis growth alone did not modify soil 350 and SPW chemical properties. Group 2 was composed of the vegetated and inoculated final soils (AMP and CMP). Finally, soils inoculated with their respective inoculum (AM and CM) formed the 3rd group. 351 352 PCA thus shows that Agrostis seeding and microbial inoculation had a similar effect on both soils, and

that *Agrostis* growth did not affect soil or SPW properties (soils with and without plants clustering
together), while consortium inoculation affected soil and SPW.

355 3.3. Impact of microbial inoculation on plant parameters.

Dry weight (DW) production was measured after 28 days of growth. *Agrostis* aerial DW was higher in both inoculated soils (AMP and CMP) compared to the non-inoculated ones (AP and CP) (Figure 1However, no impact on *Agrostis* root DW was observed after microbial inoculation. Microbial inoculation also had no effect on As accumulation in *Agrostis* plants, whatever the soil (Figure 1). Concerning Pb accumulation in *Agrostis* plants, microbial inoculation decreased Pb aerial concentrations and increased root Pb concentrations of soil A (Figure 1), but had no effect on metal(loid) accumulation in *Agrostis* plants grown on soil C.

363

364 3.4. Impact of microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth on soil microbial activity.

In soil A, results showed a decrease in FDA activity and alkaline phosphatase activity when *Agrostis* was seeded alone or with microbial inoculation (AP and AMP) (Figure S3). *Agrostis* seeding also had an impact on some enzyme activities in soil C, with or without microbial inoculation, but no clear trends could be established.

Concerning microbial inoculation, it had no impact on the four tested enzyme activities in soil A, whereas in soil C, it impacted (decrease or increase) all the tested enzymes when in the absence of *Agrostis* (Figure S3).

These results thus suggest that the impact of bioaugmentation and plant seeding on soil enzyme activities varies according to the soil properties. It is therefore not possible at this point for us to determine which treatment is the best for the restoration of soil enzyme activities and the refunctionalization of soil.

Biolog Ecoplates[™] were used to assess the community level physiological profiles. On soil A, microbial
inoculation increased AWCD value, in both the presence and absence of plant, whereas Shannon Weaver
H, Eveness E and richness increased only in the non-seeded condition. *Agrostis* growth did not affect the
measured parameters (Table 3). On soil C, all diversity indices increased after microbial inoculation,
whereas *Agrostis* growth had no effect (Table 3).

Both soils reacted the same to microbial inoculation, with an increase in diversity indices (AWCD, H, E
and richness) whereas *Agrostis* growth had no significant effect.

Finally, the capacity of the soil microbial community to oxidize As(III) into As(V) was evaluated (Table 3). Soil A had a weak As(III) oxidation capacity in the absence of inoculation and plants (condition A); both microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth increased As oxidation capacity in this soil; the highest oxidation capacity was measured in the AMP condition.

Soil C had no As(III) oxidation capacity on its own. Microbial inoculation led to the detection of an As(III) oxidation activity. *Agrostis* growth only increased this activity in the inoculated condition (CMP compared to CM).

390 Overall, both the microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth were beneficial for the As(III)-oxidizing 391 capacity of the microbial community of soils A and C.

392

393 3.5. Impact of microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth on soil bacterial community.

394 *3.5.1 Bacterial biomass.*

395 Microbial inoculation and *Agrostis* growth had no effect on bacterial biomass in either of the soils (Table

396 4).

397 *3.5.2 Main OTUs.* Twelve OTUs had a relative abundance over 5 % in at least one sample (Figure 2).

398 In consortium C, three major OTUs were found: two OTUs affiliated to the *Lysinibacillus* genus and 399 representing more than 85 % of the sequences, and one OTU affiliated to the *Bacillus* genus (8%

400 abundance). The two Lysinibacillus affiliated OTUs were also found at a high relative abundance (around 401 29 % and 18 %) in soil C when inoculated with this consortium and seeded (CMP) or not (CM) with 402 Agrostis, which suggested that both OTUs were able to grow once inoculated, and that bioaugmentation 403 was successful. At the end of mesocosm experiments, the diversity profile of Soil C which hadn't been 404 inoculated with its microbial consortium (C and CP) was closer to the initial profile of Soil C and the 405 dominant Lysinibacillus OTU found in Cons C was in low abundance (< 5 %). Results also underlined the presence of one OTU, which was also also found in final soil C, and related to the Chitinophagaceae 406 407 family, but its relative abundance was reduced with Agrostis growth (CP and CMP).

408 Consortium A contained one major OTU (96 %), affiliated to the *Bacillus* genus. This genus was found in 409 higher abundance in soil A after consortium inoculation (AM and AMP compared to A and AP) suggesting 410 that this OTU was able to develop once inoculated into soil A. Again, similarities were found between the 411 vegetated and non-vegetated conditions of the soil inoculated with Cons A: *Bacillus, Rhodanobacter,* 412 *Dyella,* and *Lysinobacillus* were found with (AMP) and without (AM) *Agrostis*. Divergent profiles were 413 obtained in both conditions without the microbial consortium (A and AP): absence of *Bacillus,* a larger 414 relative abundance of *Lysisibacillus,* and the presence of a *Mucolaginibacter* OTU.

415 *3.5.3 Alpha diversity.* The two initial soils used for pot experiments and consortium selection showed 416 small variations in their alpha diversity: all four indices (Observed OTUs, Chao1, Shannon and 417 InvSimpson) were higher for soil A than soil C; whereas on the other hand Cons C had higher alpha 418 diversity indexes than Cons A (Table 4). Moreover, as expected and due to the selection pressure applied 419 to obtain the consortia, the diversity of the consortia was much lower than that of their respective soils.

420 At the end of the experiment, alpha diversity indexes were lowered following the inoculation of the 421 consortium C compared to the non-inoculated soil C. For the InvSimpson index, a decrease was also 422 observed after plant growth. Similarly, for soil A, the inoculation of consortium A decreased alpha

423 diversity indexes compared to the non-inoculated soil A. Moreover, the growth of *Agrostis* plant 424 increased Chao1 and InvSimpson indexes compared the non-vegetated soil A.

In summary, in both soils, initial soils had higher diversity than consortia and microbial inoculationdecreased the alpha diversity.

3.5.4 Beta diversity. The β-diversity of soils and consortia was analyzed by clustering (Figure S4). This
clustering dendogram showed two groups: one composed of both consortia, and the other one including
all the soil samples. The second group can be further divided into two subgroups: one composed of soil A
samples and the other of soil C samples. This underlines the impact of soil properties on bacterial
diversity. Finally, it can be seen that plant growth had a greater effect on the beta diversity of soil C than
microbial inoculation.

433

434 4. Discussion

435 Waste amounts from extractive industries represent about 29 % of total waste generated in the European Union (EU) each year, with an annual volume in excess of 400 million tons (COM 2003, 319). 436 These mining wastes generate many environmental problems (Joran and Abdaal 2013). Some studies 437 438 have even estimated that up to 1500 km of watercourses are polluted by metal mine discharges in the 439 EU (Younger et al. 2002). For the remediation of such sites, both plants and microorganisms can be used, 440 alone or combined, in order to reduce the risk induced by metal(loid)s and thus allow the rehabilitation 441 of such sites. The efficiency of the bioremediation process will notably depend on the ability of the plants and microorganisms to tolerate the metal(loid) stress and to develop on the site. That is why species 442 443 (plants as well as microorganisms) that are found on polluted mining sites, and already tolerant to the 444 soil conditions and pollution, are a better option than foreign species selected at the laboratory scale. 445 When taken all together, the results of the present study globally led to the conclusion that the two

tested soils exhibited the same response to inoculation (Table S2) which could suggest that the present

conclusions could be applied to other mine soils. Indeed, consortium inoculation was beneficial for soil by increasing DOC content, As(III) oxidation, microbial activity, and plant root DW, but it was negative for As and Pb mobility as well as microbial diversity. *Agrostis* growth increased the As(III) oxidation potential and the soil microbial diversity of soil A but it had negative effects on the microbial diversity of soil C as well as on soil As and Pb availability (Table S1). Such results demonstrated the positive effects of the inoculation of microbial biomass for the phytoremediation of a former mine technosol using endemic *Agrostis* plants.

454

455 4.1. Bioaugmentation (microbial inoculation) for improving soil properties and plants growth.

456 4.1.1. Impacts of consortium inoculation on soil properties.

457 Our results demonstrated an impact of the microbial inoculation on several soil parameters, such as DOC 458 content and soil enzyme activities, which both increased. In our case, these impacts are positive as they 459 improve soil quality for (micro)biological development. They can be considered as microbial bio-460 indicators of an effective soil rehabilitation (Baldrian 2009). The impact of bioaugmentation on soil 461 properties has already been underlined in other studies. As an example, the increase in DOC content 462 following microbial biomass addition has also been observed on Pb-Zn mine tailings by Wu et al. (2006a). 463 Such result can be related to a better plant root development thanks to the microbial inoculation, which 464 leads to more root exudates. Moreover, microorganisms can decompose organic matter and release 465 soluble low molecular weight organic compounds (Wu et al. 2006b).

In our work, no impact on pH was observed for microbial inoculation when applied alone. Schoebitz and Vidal (2016) also observed that microbial consortium inoculation did not affect soil pH for inoculations performed on a slightly acidic sandy loam soil and a slightly acidic clay soil. However, other studies demonstrated that the inoculation of other types of soils with microorganisms can affect soil pH. For instance, the inoculation of a neutral phosphate mine soil with *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* and *Bacillus*

471 megaterium decreased soil pH whereas the strain Arthrobacter pascens had no effect (Yu et al. 2012). On 472 the contrary, soil pH increased when the strains Serratia liquefaciens CL-1 and Bacillus thuringiensis X30 473 were inoculated to a metal(loid) contaminated agricultural soil with a slightly acidic pH (Han et al. 2018). 474 The observed pH increase following microbial inoculation of soil, in combination with Agrostis growth, 475 could potentially be explained by the production of polyamines by the bacteria, such as putrescine, 476 spermine and spermidine, as demonstrated by Han et al. (2018). When all information from these 477 studies is combined, the results suggest that pH variation following bioaugmentation can mainly be 478 expected in soils with an initial pH close to neutrality, but not in mine soils characterized by acidic conditions. 479

480 The third soil parameters known to be potentially impacted by soil bacterial inoculation is soil EC, which 481 was shown to increase following several bioaugmentation approaches (Rojas-Tapias et al. 2014, Wu et al. 482 2006b). Such an effect can be attributed to the synthesis of organic acids, the exclusion of protons, the 483 production of chelating agents, as well as the production of various metabolites by the bacteria added to 484 the soil (Wu et al. 2006b, Munir and Faisal 2016). However, in the present work, EC was shown to not be 485 affected by microbial inoculation, demonstrating that contaminated mining technosols can have 486 different responses to plant and microbial inoculation compared to other types of metal(loid) polluted 487 soils.

488

489 4.1.2. Impact on metal(loid) mobility.

In addition to its impact on soil properties, this study showed that bioaugmentation can also impact soil metal(loid) behavior by increasing As and Pb mobility. This is in agreement with previous studies, demonstrating that the addition of microorganisms to polluted soil can either mobilize, immobilize or have no effect on metal(loid) behaviors (Han et al. 2018, Li et al. 2017, Touceda- González et al. 2015). Microorganisms can affect metal(loid) behavior by secreting organic acids, which mobilize metal(loid)s

495 (Rojas-Tapias et al. 2014, He et al. 2009, Munir and Faisal 2016) or induce the production of siderophores
496 that can chelate metal(loid)s, immobilizing them (Nicoară et al. 2014).

497 In addition to its impact on metal(loid) mobility, our results demonstrated that microbial inoculation also 498 increased the soil's potential to oxidize As(III) into As(V). This can be related to the fact that consortia 499 were selected based on their tolerance to As(III) and thus their potential oxidizing capacity. This is a 500 beneficial reaction (Tirry et al. 2018), since As(V) is less toxic than As(III). The higher As(III) oxidation 501 capacity following a consortium inoculation indicates that the inoculated soils had more microorganisms capable of As(III) oxidation (Ghosh et al. 2011), coming from the inoculum. Therefore, even if As was 502 503 mobilized by the microbial inoculation, the higher As(III) oxidation activity of inoculated soil probably 504 explains the improved plant growth in the presence of microbial inoculation.

505

506 4.1.3. Impact of microbial inoculation on plants

507 In addition to improving soil properties, microbial inoculation ameliorated Agrostis growth on the 508 polluted soil and increased Agrostis' capacity to accumulate As and Pb. Many studies also showed that 509 inoculating a polluted soil with microorganisms was beneficial to plant growth. For instance, Chen et al. 510 (2014) observed, in a hydroponic experiment, that the plants of Sedum alfredii collected on an old Pb/Zn 511 mine, produced a higher aerial biomass when the endophytic bacteria Sphingomonas SaMR12 was 512 inoculated. Similarly, in another hydroponic experiment and in a pot experiment using sand, 513 supplemented with Pb, as a growing substrate, Abdelkrim et al. (2018) inoculated different consortia and 514 found that Lathyrus sativus shoot biomass increased by 7 to 172 % and root biomass increased between 515 10 and 85 % compared to non-inoculated conditions. Finally, on a Zn and Cd contaminated soil, De Maria 516 et al. (2011) did not measure any effect of a microbial treatment (Streptomyces AR17 and Agromyces 517 AR33) on the shoot biomass of Salix caprea whereas the inoculation with Agromyces AR33 increased 518 root biomass. Such amelioration of plant growth after inoculation can be attributed to a better water and nutrient availability following soil inoculation (Akhtar et al. 2018, Cocozza et al. 2015), which are low
in the PG mine technosol used in this study (Lebrun et al. 2019, 2020, Nandillon et al. 2019b).
Microorganisms produce organic acids that can ameliorate the availability of essential ions and nutrients
for the plants (Munir and Faisal 2016). In particular, some microorganisms had the ability to solubilize
phosphate and secrete hormone-like substances such as IAA and thus improve plant growth (Nicoară et
al. 2014).

525 Lastly, the increase in metal(loid) accumulation was also demonstrated in previous studies such as that 526 of Abdelkrim et al. (2018) in which microbial inoculation of a sandy soil increased Lathyrus sativus Pb uptake by 39 % in the shoots and 47 % in the roots. The shoots of Averrhoa carambola plants 527 528 accumulated more Cd with the inoculation of a bacterial consortium to a paddy field contaminated with 529 Cd (Li et al. 2017). Finally, soil inoculation increased Mn, Pb and Zn concentrations in the roots of 530 Agrostis capillaris grown on mine tailings (Nicoară et al. 2014). Such an increase in metal(loid) plant root 531 accumulation can be explained by the mobilization of metal(loid)s following inoculation (Wu et al. 532 2006a). However, translocation towards the aerial parts was reduced in the presence of the inoculated 533 microorganisms, which reduces the risk of contamination entry into the food chain.

534

535 4.1.4. Impact of microbial inoculation on soil microbial community: activity and biodiversity

Regarding the bacterial community of the soil, its activity (linked to C and P cycles) genrally increased with consortium inoculation, which was consistent with the studies of Teng et al. (2010) and Nicoară et al. (2014), who worked on an agricultural land contaminated with hydrocarbons and mine tailings, respectively. These observations can be attributed to the introduced microorganisms that have acquired a resistance to metal(loid) stress as an evolution trait, due to the presence of high metal(loid) concentrations in their growing environment over a long time (Nicoară et al. 2014). Consortium inoculation also affected the structure of the soil bacterial community. This can be due to the added

543 microorganisms (He et al. 2018 and Escalante-Espinosa et al. 2005), and also to the effects that these 544 microorganisms have on the soil properties and metal(loid) mobility. Indeed, soil properties are 545 important drivers of the bacterial community structure (Guo et al. 2017, Touceda- González et al. 2015).

546 Another important microbiological parameter is the composition of the microbial community. When 547 looking at the most present OTUs found in our samples, consortia and soils, many were affiliated to taxa 548 already known to be metal(loid) tolerant, and/or found on mining sites. For instance, although absent 549 from consortia, OTUs affiliated to the *Rhodanobacter* genus were found in the soil samples. This genus 550 includes some species known to be acidotolerant and/or to be tolerant to several metal(loid)s, including 551 As(III) (Dahal and Kim 2017). Other OTUs belonging to genera previously found on metal(loid)-552 contaminated soils were also recovered in the soil samples but not in the consortia. This was the case for 553 the OTUs linked to the *Mucilaginibacter* genus, which includes some species already detected on mining 554 sites and known to carry arsenic and metal resistant genes (Fan et al. 2018, Li et al. 2018).

555 The two main OTUs of consortium C belonged to the Lysinibacillus genus. Some members of this genus 556 are known to carry arsenic and other metals (Cd, Zn...) resistance genes (Peña-Montenegro and Dussàn 557 2013, Rahman et al. 2014). Their high abundance in the inoculated C soils at the end of the experiment 558 suggested that they were able to persist and grow once inoculated into the soil. The main OTU of 559 consortium A was affiliated to the Bacillus genus. This genus is widely represented in many environments 560 including As(III) contaminated ones, and several Bacillus species have been shown to be As resistant (Anderson and Cook 2004, Poudel et al. 2019). Microbial inoculation using consortia previously enriched 561 from the site probably explains the good persistence of the inoculated OTUs. It thus positively impacts 562 563 soil biodiversity as it leads to soil enrichment in tolerant strains.

564

4.2. Impact of plant seeding on the soil physicochemical and biological properties

566 Compared to consortium inoculation, the growth of *Agrostis* plants on both soils, inoculated or not with 567 microbial biomass, had less effect on soil parameters, such as pH, EC and metal(loid) mobility. The main 568 impacts were observed on soil As(III) oxidizing activity and bacterial community.

569 Soil As(III) oxidizing capacity increased with plant growth. This indicated that the presence of plants, and 570 more probably their root exudates, could support microorganisms capable of oxidizing As(III), that were 571 not present or only poorly present in the non-vegetated soil. Agrostis plant growth also affected soil 572 bacterial community structure. This can be also attributed to the plant root exudates, which can strongly 573 impact the composition of the bacterial soil community (Lucisine et al. 2014). The quantity and quality of root exudatesare known to affect the bacterial community directly and also indirectly, by altering the soil 574 575 properties, such as pH (Touceda- González et al. 2015). However here, as soil pH was not affected by 576 plant presence, the compounds released by the roots may have directly affected the bacterial activity.

577 Regarding the potential impact of Agrostis growth on microbial biomass, our results suggested that 578 bacterial biomass did not increase following the addition of microorganisms to the soil and plant 579 development. Previous studies showed that microbial biomass was higher in inoculated and vegetated 580 pots, and was noticed, according to the study, after 30 days (Cocozza et al. 2015) or more (180 days in 581 the case of Escalante-Espinosa et al. 2005). Authors attributed this to a larger crop biomass and thus the 582 return of more organic residues and exudates to the soil, which accelerates microbial biomass 583 accumulation and activity (Ju et al. 2019). However, these studies were conducted on non-contaminated or artificially contaminated soils, with better growing conditions than those available on mine soils. 584 585 Indeed, the soil used in the present study exhibited extreme conditions of pH (very acidic), organic 586 matter content (low), nutrient content and availability (low) and metal(loid) contaminations levels (high), 587 which are not in favor of high and rapid microorganism and plant growths. It can be hypothesized that 588 on mining sites, a long period is needed after microorganism inoculation and plant seeding to allow

significant microbial and plant growth, due to the extreme physical and chemical conditionscharacterizing these kind of soils.

591

592 5. Conclusion

593 This study is the first which gives a complete picture of the association of microbial inoculation and 594 endemic plant species, in a remediation process, and its effects on the soil properties, the metal(loid) 595 mobility and availability, the plant growth as well as the microbial community structure and activity. 596 Moreover, the study focused on a mining site, which is little studied compared to other soil types, and 597 has particular characteristics, with elevated metal(loid) concentrations and acidic pH, making it very 598 difficult to remediate. It underlines the interest of coupling phytoremediation with bioaugmentation to 599 improve the phytoremediation process for the stabilization of polluted sites. Indeed, increasing soil 600 microbial biomass via the addition of microorganisms significantly enhances soil revegetalization and 601 increases metal(loid) accumulation in plant roots without increasing metal(loid) translocation and thus 602 the risk of metal(loid) entry into the food chain. Soil microbial inoculation helps soil re-functionalization 603 through the acquisition and/or increase in microbial activities such as those linked to the C and P cycles 604 as well as the metal(loid) cycles (here As(III) oxidation). Thus, microbial bioaugmentation favors its 605 rehabilitation by increasing soil organic matter content. In addition, endemic Agrostis seeds are good 606 candidates for growing on such sites, and accumulated elevated concentrations of As and Pb in their 607 roots, demonstrating the potential of this Agrostis ecotype for the phytoremediation of the Pontgibaud technosol, especially when associated with microbial inoculation. 608

In conclusion, this work suggests that a rapid and efficient phytostabilization-bioaugmentation approach has to be based on the use of endemic plant species and microorganisms adapted to the physical and chemical conditions of the polluted site to be treated, and selected for their tolerance and even redox activity towards metal(loid)s (in the case of microorganisms).

- 613
- 614 **Conflict of interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.
- 615

616 Acknowledgments

617 The authors wish to think Justine Garraud for her technical help as well as Fabienne Battaglia-Brunet for618 her help in the manuscript redaction.

619

620 References

- 621 Abdelkrim, S., Jebara, S.H., Saadani, O., Chiboub, M., Abid, G., Jebara, M., 2018. Effect of Pb-resistant
- 622 plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria inoculation on growth and lead uptake by Lathyrus sativus. Journal
- 623 *of basic microbiology*, 58(7), 579-589.
- 624 Abdelkrim, S., Jebara, S.H., Saadani, O., Chiboub, M., Abid, G., Mannai, K. Jebara, M., 2019. Heavy metal
- 625 accumulation in Lathyrus sativus growing in contaminated soils and identification of symbiotic resistant
- 626 bacteria. Archives of microbiology, 201(1), 107-121.
- Abujabhah, I.S., Doyle, R.B., Bound, S.A. Bowman, J.P., 2018. Assessment of bacterial community
 composition, methanotrophic and nitrogen-cycling bacteria in three soils with different biochar
 application rates. *Journal of soils and sediments*, 18(1), 148-158.
- 630 Akhtar, M.J., Ullah, S., Ahmad, I., Rauf, A., Nadeem, S.M., Khan, M.Y., Hussain, S. Bulgariu, L., 2018. Nickel
- 631 phytoextraction through bacterial inoculation in *Raphanus sativus*. *Chemosphere*, 190, 234-242.
- 632 Alagić, S.Č., Šerbula, S.S., Tošić, S.B., Pavlović, A.N. Petrović, J.V., 2013. Bioaccumulation of arsenic and
- 633 cadmium in birch and lime from the Bor region. Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology,
- 634 65(4), 671-682.
- Anderson C.R., Cook G.M. 2004. Isolation and characterization of arsenate-reducing bacteria from
 arsenic-contaminated sites in New Zealand. *Curr Microbiol*, 48(5), 341-7.

- Ashraf, S., Ali, Q., Zahir, Z.A., Ashraf, S. Asghar, H.N., 2019. Phytoremediation: Environmentally
 sustainable way for reclamation of heavy metal polluted soils. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*,
 174, 714-727.
- Austruy, A., Wanat, N., Moussard, C., Vernay, P., Joussein, E., Ledoigt, G. Hitmi, A., 2013. Physiological
 impacts of soil pollution and arsenic uptake in three plant species: *Agrostis capillaris, Solanum nigrum*and *Vicia faba. Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 90, 28-34.
- Baldrian, P., 2009. Microbial enzyme-catalyzed processes in soils and their analysis. *Plant Soil Environ*,
 55(9), 370-378.
- Battaglia-Brunet F., Dictor M.C., Garrido F., Crouzet C., Morin D., Dekeyser K., Clarens M., Baranger P.,
 2002. An arsenic(III)-oxidizing bacterial population: selection, characterisation, and performance in
 reactors. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 93, 656-667.
- Chen, B., Zhang, Y., Rafiq, M.T., Khan, K. Y., Pan, F., Yang, X. and Feng, Y., 2014. Improvement of
 cadmium uptake and accumulation in *Sedum alfredii* by endophytic bacteria *Sphingomonas* SaMR12:
 effects on plant growth and root exudates. *Chemosphere*, 117, 367-373.
- 651 Cocozza, C., Trupiano, D., Lustrato, G., Alfano, G., Vitullo, D., Falasca, A., Lomaglio, T., De Felice, V., Lima,
 652 G., Ranalli, G.S, Scippa, Tognetti, R., 2015. Challenging synergistic activity of poplar–bacteria association
 653 for the Cd phytostabilization. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(24), 19546-19561.
- COM(2003) 319 final. Proposal for a directive of the European parliament and of the council on the
 management of waste from the extractive industries. COM (2003) 319 final, 2003/0107 (COD),
 Commission of the European Communities, Brussels. https://urldefense.com/v3/__http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2003:0319:FIN:EN:PDF__;!!KbSiYrE!yYZRr0VWLgTmyP
- 658 Xc0cUlmIM3XuZlQIoPSRYyFvt8QbaUUIJ-Gg24UzhOdR3rKu94\$
- 659 Cottard, F., 2010. Résultats des caractérisations complémentaires effectuées sur différents milieux dans
- 660 le district minier de Pontgibaud, (63). BRGM/RP-58571-FR.

- 661 Cristaldi, A., Conti, G.O., Jho, E.H., Zuccarello, P., Grasso, A., Copat, C., Ferrante, M., 2017.
 662 Phytoremediation of contaminated soils by heavy metals and PAHs. A brief review. *Environmental*663 *Technology & Innovation*, 8, 309-326.
- Dahal, R.H., Chaudhary, D.K., Kim, J., 2018. *Rhodanobacter hydrolyticus* sp. nov., a novel DNA-and
 tyrosine-hydrolysing gamma proteobacterium isolated from forest soil. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology*, *68*(8), 2580-2586.
- Dary, M., Chamber-Pérez, M.A., Palomares, A. J., Pajuelo, E., 2010. "*In situ*" phytostabilisation of heavy
 metal polluted soils using *Lupinus luteus* inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting
 rhizobacteria. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 177(1-3), 323-330.
- 670 De Maria, S., Rivelli, A. R., Kuffner, M., Sessitsch, A., Wenzel, W. ., Gorfer, M., Straus, J., Puschenreiter,
- M., 2011. Interactions between accumulation of trace elements and macronutrients in *Salix caprea* after
 inoculation with rhizosphere microorganisms. *Chemosphere*, 84(9), 1256-1261.
- Emenike, C.U., Jayanthi, B., Agamuthu, P. and Fauziah, S.H., 2018. Biotransformation and removal of
 heavy metals: a review of phytoremediation and microbial remediation assessment on contaminated
 soil. *Environmental Reviews*, 26(2), 156-168.
- Escalante-Espinosa, E., Gallegos-Martínez, M.E., Favela-Torres, E., Gutiérrez-Rojas, M., 2005.
 Improvement of the hydrocarbon phytoremediation rate by *Cyperus laxus* Lam. inoculated with a
 microbial consortium in a model system. *Chemosphere*, 59(3), 405-413.
- Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., Maman, S., Hernandez-Raquet,
 G., Combes, S., Pascal, G., 2018. FROGS: find, rapidly, OTUs with galaxy solution. *Bioinformatics*, 34(8),
 1287-1294.
- 682 Fahr, M., Laplaze, L., El Mzibri, M., Doumas, P., Bendaou, N., Hocher, V., Bogusz, D., Smouni, A., 2015.
- 683 Assessment of lead tolerance and accumulation in metallicolous and non-metallicolous populations of
- 684 *Hirschfeldia incana. Environmental and experimental botany*, 109, 186-192.

- Fan, X., Tang, J., Nie, L., Huang, J., Wang, G., 2018. High-quality-draft genome sequence of the heavy
 metal resistant and exopolysaccharides producing bacterium *Mucilaginibacter pedocola* TBZ30 T. *Standards in genomic sciences*, *13*(1), 1-8.
- Festa, S., Coppotelli, B.M., Morelli, I.S., 2016. Comparative bioaugmentation with a consortium and a
 single strain in a phenanthrene-contaminated soil: Impact on the bacterial community and
 biodegradation. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 98, 8-19.
- 691 Foucault, Y., Lévêque, T., Xiong, T., Schreck, E., Austruy, A., Shahid, M., Dumat, C., 2013. Green manure
- 692 plants for remediation of soils polluted by metals and metalloids: Ecotoxicity and human bioavailability
- 693 assessment. *Chemosphere*, 93(7), 1430-1435.
- 694 Gerhardt, K., Gerwing, P., Greenberg, B., 2017. Opinion: Taking phytoremediation from proven 695 technology to accepted practice. *Plant Science*, 256, 170-185.
- 696 Ghosh, P., Rathinasabapathi, B., Ma, L. Q., 2011. Arsenic-resistant bacteria solubilized arsenic in the 697 growth media and increased growth of arsenic hyperaccumulator *Pteris vittata* L. *Bioresource* 698 *technology*, 102(19), 8756-8761.
- Gong, Y., Zhao, D., Wang, Q., 2018. An overview of field-scale studies on remediation of soil
 contaminated with heavy metals and metalloids: Technical progress over the last decade. *Water research*.
- Guo, H., Nasir, M., Lv, J., Dai, Y., Gao, J., 2017. Understanding the variation of microbial community in
 heavy metals contaminated soil using high throughput sequencing. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 144, 300-306.
- Han, H., Sheng, X., Hu, J., He, L., Wang, Q., 2018. Metal-immobilizing *Serratia liquefaciens* CL-1 and *Bacillus thuringiensis* X30 increase biomass and reduce heavy metal accumulation of radish under field
 conditions. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 161, 526-533.

- He, L.Y., Chen, Z.J., Ren, G.D., Zhang, Y.F., Qian, M., Sheng, X.F., 2009. Increased cadmium and lead
 uptake of a cadmium hyperaccumulator tomato by cadmium-resistant bacteria. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 72(5), 1343-1348.
- He, R., Peng, Z., Lyu, H., Huang, H., Nan, Q., Tang, J., 2018. Synthesis and characterization of an iron-
- impregnated biochar for aqueous arsenic removal. *Science of The Total Environment*, 612, 1177-1186.
- 713 Ji, H., Zhang, Y., Bararunyeretse, P., Li, H., 2018. Characterization of microbial communities of soils from
- gold mine tailings and identification of mercury-resistant strain. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*,
 165, 182-193.
- Jordan, G., Abdaal, A., 2013. Decision support methods for the environmental assessment of contamination at mining sites. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*,185, 7809-7832
- Laghlimi, M., Baghdad, B., Hadi, H., Bouabdli, A., 2015. Phytoremediation mechanisms of heavy metal
 contaminated soils: a review. *Open Journal of Ecology*, 05(08), 375-388.
- Langella, F., Grawunder, A., Stark, R., Weist, A., Merten, D., Haferburg, G., Büchel, G., Kothe, E., 2014.
 Microbially assisted phytoremediation approaches for two multi-element contaminated sites. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(11), 6845-6858.
- 723 Lebrun, M., Macri, C., Miard, F., Hattab-Hambli, N., Motelica-Heino, M., Morabito, D., Bourgerie, S.,
- 2017. Effect of biochar amendments on As and Pb mobility and phytoavailability in contaminated mine
- technosols phytoremediated by *Salix. Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 182, 149-156.
- Lebrun, M., Miard, F., Nandillon, R., Scippa, G.S., Bourgerie, S., Morabito, D., 2019. Biochar effect
 associated with compost and iron to promote Pb and As soil stabilization and *Salix viminalis* L. growth. *Chemosphere*, 222, 810-822.
- 729 Lebrun, M., Miard, F., Nandillon, R., Hattab-Hambli, N., Scippa, G.S., Bourgerie, S., Morabito, D., 2018.
- 730 Eco-restoration of a mine technosol according to biochar particle size and dose application: study of soil

- physico-chemical properties and phytostabilization capacities of *Salix viminalis*. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 18(6), 2188-2202.
- 733 Lebrun, M., Nandillon, R., Miard, F., Le Forestier, L., Morabito, D., Bourgerie, S., 2020. Effects of biochar,
- ochre and manure amendments associated with a metallicolous ecotype of Agrostis capillaris on As and
- 735 Pb stabilization of a former mine technosol. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*.
- 736 Lebrun, M., Miard, F., Van Poucke, R., Tack, F. M., Scippa, G.S., Bourgerie, S., Morabito, D., 2021. Effect of
- 737 fertilization, carbon-based material and redmud amendments on the bacterial activity and diversity of a
- 738 metal (loid) contaminated mining soil. *Land Degradation & Development* (in press)
- 739 Lescure, T., Moreau J., Charles, C., Ben Ali Saanda, T., Thouin, H., Pillas, N., Bauda, P., Lamy, I., Battaglia-
- 740 Brunet, F., 2016. Influence of organic matters on AsIII oxidation by the microflora of polluted soils.
- 741 Environ Geochem Health, 38(3), 911–925.
- 742 Li, J.T., Liang, Z.W., Jia, P., Liu, J., Xu, Y.J., Chen, Y.J., Chu, H.Y., Kuang, J.L., Liao, B., Shu, W.S., 2017.
- 743
 Effects of a bacterial consortium from acid mine drainage on cadmium phytoextraction and indigenous
- soil microbial community. *Plant and Soil*, 415(1-2), 347-358.
- Li, X., Yan, Z., Gu, D., Li, D., Tao, Y., Zhang, D., Su, L., Ao, Y., 2019. Characterization of cadmium-resistant rhizobacteria and their promotion effects on *Brassica napus* growth and cadmium uptake. *Journal of basic microbiology*, 59(6), 579-590.
- Li, Y.P., Carraro, N., Yang, N., Liu, B., Xia, X., Feng, R., Saquib, Q., AL-Wathnani, H.A., van der Meer, J.R.,
- Rensing, C., 2018. Genomic islands confer heavy metal resistance in *Mucilaginibacter kameinonensis* and
- 750 *Mucilaginibacter rubeus* isolated from a gold/copper mine. *Genes*, 9(12), 573.
- Lucisine, P., Echevarria, G., Sterckeman, T., Vallance, J., Rey, P., Benizri, E., 2014. Effect of
 hyperaccumulating plant cover composition and rhizosphere-associated bacteria on the efficiency of
 nickel extraction from soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 81, 30-36.

- Meyer, C.L., Kostecka, A. A., Saumitou-Laprade, P., Créach, A., Castric, V., Pauwels, M., Frérot, H., 2010.
 Variability of zinc tolerance among and within populations of the pseudometallophyte species *Arabidopsis halleri* and possible role of directional selection. *New Phytologist*, 185(1), 130-142.
- Michel, C., Joulian, C, Hafida, T., Charnois, F., Battaglia-Brunet, F., 2020. Rapid and simple As(III)
 quantification using a turbidimetric test for the monitoring of microbial arsenic bio-transformation. J *Microbiol Meth*, 177, 106026.
- Mishra, J., Singh, R., Arora, N.K., 2017. Alleviation of heavy metal stress in plants and remediation of soil
 by rhizosphere microorganisms. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1706.
- Munir, I., Faisal, M., 2016. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: a good source for phytoremediation of
 metal-contaminated soil. In *Phytoremediation* (pp. 119-129). Springer International Publishing.
- Nandillon, R., Lebrun, M., Miard, F., Gaillard, M., Sabatier, S., Villar, M., Bourgerie, S. Morabito, D., 2019.
 Capability of amendments (biochar, compost and garden soil) added to a mining technosol contaminated
 by Pb and As to allow poplar seed (*Populus nigra* L.) germination. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 191(7), 465.
- Nandillon, R., Lebrun, M., Miard, F., Gaillard, M., Sabatier, S., Morabito, D., Bourgerie, S., 2019.
 Contrasted tolerance of *Agrostis capillaris* metallicolous and non-metallicolous ecotypes in the context of
 a mining technosol amended by biochar, compost and iron sulfate. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 1-19.
- Nicoară, A., Neagoe, A., Stancu, P., de Giudici, G., Langella, F., Sprocati, A. R., Iordache, V., Kothe, E.,
 2014. Coupled pot and lysimeter experiments assessing plant performance in microbially assisted
 phytoremediation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(11), 6905-6920.
- Peña-Montenegro, T.D., Dussán, J., 2013. Genome sequence and description of the heavy metal tolerant
- bacterium Lysinibacillus sphaericus strain OT4b. 31. Standards in genomic sciences, 9(1), 42-56.

- Poudel, P., Nepal, A., Magar, R.R., Rauniyar, P., Magar, L.B., 2019. Screening of potent arsenic resistant
 and plant growth promoting *Bacillus* species from the soil of Terai region of Nepal. *Tribhuvan University Journal of Microbiology*, *6*, 1-9.
- R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- 782 Rahman, A., Nahar, N., Nawani, N. N., Jass, J., Desale, P., Kapadnis, B. P., Hossain, K., Saha, A. K., Ghosh,
- 783 S., Olsson, B., Mandal, A., 2014. Isolation and characterization of a Lysinibacillus strain B1-CDA showing
- 784 potential for bioremediation of arsenics from contaminated water. Journal of Environmental Science and
- 785 *Health, Part A*, 49(12), 1349-1360.
- 786 Rojas-Tapias, D.F., Bonilla, R., Dussán, J., 2014. Effect of inoculation and co-inoculation of Acinetobacter
- sp. RG30 and *Pseudomonas putida* GN04 on growth, fitness, and copper accumulation of maize (*Zea mays*). *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 225(12), 2232.
- Schoebitz, M., Vidal, G., 2016. Microbial consortium and pig slurry to improve chemical properties of
 degraded soil and nutrient plant uptake (Schoebitz and Vidal, 2016). *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition*, 16(1), 226-236.
- 792 Sheoran, V., Sheoran, A.S., Poonia, P., 2010. Soil reclamation of abandoned mine land by revegetation: a
- review. International journal of soil, sediment and water, 3(2), 13.
- 794 Stolte, J., Tesfai, M., Oygarden, L., Kvaerno, S., Keizer, J., Verheijen, F., Panagos, P., Ballabio, C. Hessel, R.,
- 795 2016. Soil threats in Europe: status, methods, drivers and effects on ecosystem services: deliverable 2.1
- 796 *RECARE project*. European Commission DG Joint Research Centre.
- 797 Thouin, H., Norini, M.-P., Le Forestier L., Gautret, P., Motelica-Heino M., Breeze, D., Gassaud, C.,
- 798 Battaglia-Brunet, F., 2019. Microcosm-scale biogeochemical stabilization of Pb, As, Ba and Zn in mine
- tailings amended with manure and ochre. *Applied Geochemistry*, 104438.

- Tirry, N., Joutey, N. T., Sayel, H., Kouchou, A., Bahafid, W., Asri, M., El Ghachtouli, N., 2018. Screening of
 plant growth promoting traits in heavy metals resistant bacteria: prospects in phytoremediation. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 16(2), 613-619.
- 803 Touceda-González, M., Álvarez-López, V., Prieto-Fernández, Á., Rodríguez-Garrido, B., Trasar-Cepeda, C.,
- Mench, M., Puschenreiter, M., Quintela-Sabarís, C., Macías-García, F., Kidd, P., 2017. Aided phytostabilisation reduces metal toxicity, improves soil fertility and enhances microbial activity in Cu-rich mine tailings. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 186, 301-313.
- 807 Vareda, J.P., Valente, A. J., Durães, L., 2019. Assessment of heavy metal pollution from anthropogenic
- 808 activities and remediation strategies: A review. *Journal of environmental management*, 246, 101-118.
- 809 Wong, M.H., 2003. Ecological restoration of mine degraded soils, with emphasis on metal contaminated
- 810 soils. *Chemosphere*, 50(6), 775-780.
- Wu, S.C., Cheung, K.C., Luo, Y.M., Wong, M.H., 2006a. Effects of inoculation of plant growth-promoting
 rhizobacteria on metal uptake by *Brassica juncea*. *Environmental Pollution*, 140(1), 124-135.
- 813 Wu, S.C., Luo, Y.M., Cheung, K.C., Wong, M.H., 2006b. Influence of bacteria on Pb and Zn speciation,
- 814 mobility and bioavailability in soil: a laboratory study. *Environmental Pollution*, 144(3), 765-773.
- 815 Younger, P.L., Coulton, R.H., Froggatt, E.C., 2005. The contribution of science to risk-based decision-
- 816 making: Lessons from the development of full-scale treatment measures for acidic mine waters at Wheal
- 817 Jane, UK. Science of the Total Environment, 338, 137–154.
- Yu, X., Liu, X., Zhu, T.H., Liu, G.H., Mao, C., 2012. Co-inoculation with phosphate-solubilizing and
 nitrogen-fixing bacteria on solubilization of rock phosphate and their effect on growth promotion and
- 820 nutrient uptake by walnut. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 50, 112-117.



Figure 1: Dry weight (g), arsenic and lead concentrations (mg.kg⁻¹) of aerial (grey) and root (white) parts of *Agrostis capillaris* plants grown on the soils with or without consortium inoculation (M). Letters indicate difference between the treatments of each soil (soil C and soil A) (p < 0.05) (n = 4).



Figure 2: Relative abundance of the dominant genera ($p \ge 5$ %) in the two initial soils (soil C and soil A), the two consortia (Cons C and Cons A) and in soil at the end of the experiment in soils C and A with or without consortium inoculation (M) and with or without *Agrostis* seedlings (P).

Table 1: Soil properties before seed sowing.

WHC = water holding capacity (%). Soil C = soil collected before microbial inoculation on unvegetated Pontgibaud site (= control soil); soil A = soil collected before microbial inoculation on Pontgibaud site vegetated by *Agrostis capillaris*. Letters (bold caracters) indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) (n = 3-4).

	WHC (%)	[As] (mg.kg ⁻¹)	[Pb] (mg.kg ⁻¹)
Soil C	31.43 ± 0.17 a	577 ± 61 a	12902 ± 1750 a
Soil A	31.33 ± 0.07 a	674 ± 113 a	8770 ± 1100 a

Table 2: Soil pore water physico-chemical properties (pH, electrical conductivity (EC) (μ S.cm⁻¹), redox potential (Eh) (mV), metal(loid) (As and Pb) concentrations (mg.L⁻¹) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) carbon (mg.L⁻¹)) collected at the beginning (TO) and at the end (T28) of the pot experiment in the soils (C and A) with or without consortium inoculation (M) and with or without *Agrostis* seedings (P). Letters indicate difference between the four treatments for each soil (soil C and soil A) (p < 0.05) (n = 4-8). ND: not determined

			рН	EC (µS.cm ⁻¹)	Eh (mV)	[As] (mg.L ⁻¹)	[Pb] (mg.L ⁻¹)	DOC (mg.L ⁻¹)
Soil C	т0		4.8 ± 0.1 b	338 ± 10 b	393 ± 14 a	0.30 ± 0.01 a	28.74 ± 2.38 b	ND
	T28	С	5.5 ± 0.3 ab	1050 ± 431 ab	268 ± 23 bc	0.06 ± 0.01 b	15.79 ± 1.28 b	23.6 ± 0.3 b
		CM	5.1 ± 0.2 ab	11347 ± 715 a	270 ± 13 bc	0.30 ± 0.16 a	50.62 ± 9.68 a	29.3 ± 1.1 a
		СР	5.0 ± 0.2 ab	614 ± 81 ab	337 ± 14 ab	0.09 ± 0.02 ab	24.29 ± 4.88 b	9.4 ± 0.3 d
		CMP	5.6 ± 0.2 a	5101 ± 233 a	231 ± 17 c	0.34 ± 0.04 ab	31.27 ± 7.11 ab	20.2 ± 0.2 c
Soil A	Т0		4.9 ± 0.1 a	273 ± 10 b	366 ± 11 a	0.30 ± 0.00 b	19.33 ± 1.25 c	ND
	T28	А	5.3 ± 0.2 a	1215 ± 116 ab	319 ± 21 ab	0.31 ± 0.00 ab	40.51 ± 3.43 c	15.2 ± 0.3 b
		AM	4.8 ± 0.2 a	9696 ± 936 a	289 ± 16 b	0.48 ± 0.06 a	125.55 ± 19.82 a	25.9 ± 0.7 a
		AP	5.3 ± 0.1 a	705 ± 109 ab	336 ± 5 ab	0.33 ± 0.00 ab	34.30 ± 3.33 c	10.3 ± 0.1 c
		AMP	5.0 ± 0.1 a	5567 ± 126 a	295 ± 5 b	0.55 ± 0.08 a	71.52 ± 2.87 b	27.1 ± 0.9 a

Table 3: Biolog microbial activity of the soils (C and A) with or without consortium inoculation (M) and with or without *Agrostis* seedings (P). Soils were collected at the end of the pots experiment (28 days). Microbial activity was assessed using Biolog parameters (AWCD = average well color development, H = Shannon Weaver diversity index, E = eveness, Richness = number of well with an absorbance < 0.25) and the capacity to oxidize As (III) into As (V) (in this case, « -» means no As(III) oxidation, « + », « ++ » and « +++ » mean weak, medium and high As(III)-oxidising activity, respectively). Letters indicate difference between the four treatments of each soil (soil C and soil A) (p < 0.05) (n = 3).

		AWCD	Н	E	Richness	AsIII> AsV
Soil C	С	0.64 ± 0.03 c	3.00 ± 0.03 b	0.87 ± 0.01 b	21 ± 0 b	-
	CM	1.15 ± 0.11 a	3.17 ± 0.03 a	0.92 ± 0.01 a	26 ± 1 a	+
	СР	0.79 ± 0.02 bc	3.07 ± 0.03 ab	0.89 ± 0.01 ab	24 ± 0 ab	-
	СМР	0.95 ± 0.04 ab	3.13 ± 0.01 a	0.91 ± 0.00 a	24 ± 1 ab	++
	•					
Soil A	A	0.70 ± 0.02 bc	2.94 ± 0.05 b	0.86 ± 0.01 b	20 ± 1 b	+
	AM	1.07 ± 0.03 a	3.18 ± 0.02 a	0.93 ± 0.01 a	25 ± 1 a	++
	AP	0.57 ± 0.05 c	2.92 ± 0.04 b	0.85 ± 0.01 b	19 ± 1 b	++
	AMP	0.81 ± 0.05 b	3.07 ± 0.03 ab	0.89 ± 0.01 ab	23 ± 1 ab	+++

Table 4: Bacterial biomass, represented by the number of 16S rRNA gene copies per gram of soil, and soil bacterial community diversity, represented by the alpha diversity index, of the initial soils (C and A), the consortia (Cons C and A) isolated from these soil and the final soils (C and A) with or without consortium inoculation (M) and with or without *Agrostis* seedlings (P).

		Code	16S copy.g ⁻¹ soil (log ₁₀)	Observed OTUs	Chao1	Shannon	InvSimpson
Initial soils	Soil C			644	697	4.88	49
	Soil A			708	730	5.65	156
Consortia obtained	Cons C			31	36	0.83	2
	Cons A			20	26	0.24	1
Final soils	Soil C	С	8.12 ± 0.02	649	683	4.57	34
	Soil C	CM	8.95 ± 0.02	502	590	2.87	7
	Soil C	СР	8.07 ± 0.01	654	702	4.36	23
	Soil C	СМР	8.75 ± 0.00	578	639	3.22	8
	Soil A	А	8.37 ± 0.02	724	751	4.42	22
	Soil A	AM	8.50 ± 0.00	706	756	3.80	14
	Soil A	AP	8.06 ± 0.02	764	809	5.25	62
	Soil A	AMP	8.07 ± 0.01	750	772	4.31	17

