Sex-specific spatial variation in fitness in the highly dimorphic *Leucadendron rubrum*

Jeanne Tonnabel, Etienne K. Klein, Ophélie Ronce, Sylvie Oddou-muratorio, François Rousset, Isabelle Olivieri, Alexandre Courtiol, Agnès Mignot

► To cite this version:

Jeanne Tonnabel, Etienne K. Klein, Ophélie Ronce, Sylvie Oddou-muratorio, François Rousset, et al.. Sex-specific spatial variation in fitness in the highly dimorphic *Leucadendron rubrum*. *Molecular Ecology*, 2021, 30 (7), pp.1721-1735. 10.1111/mec.15833 . hal-03279442

HAL Id: hal-03279442

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03279442>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Sex-specific spatial variation in fitness in the highly dimorphic *Leucadendron rubrum*

Running title: Sex-specific spatial variation in fitness

Jeanne Tonnabel^{1,2,*§}, Etienne K. Klein³, Ophélie Ronce^{1,4}, Sylvie Oddou-Muratorio⁵, François Rousset¹, Isabelle Olivieri¹, Alexandre Courtiol^{6†}, Agnès Mignot^{1†}

¹ ISEM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE Montpellier, France.

² Department of Ecology and Evolution, Le Biophore, UNIL-SORGE, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

³ INRAE, BioSP, F-84000, Avignon, France.

⁴ CNRS, Biodiversity Research Center, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

⁵ INRAE, URFM, F-84000, Avignon, France.

⁶ Department of Evolutionary Genetics, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, 10315 Berlin, Germany.

[†]Co-senior authors

* Corresponding author: jeanne.tonnabel@cefe.cnrs.fr

[§] Current address: Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE), UMR 5175, CNRS, UM, Université Paul Valéry Montpellier, EPHE, 1919 route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.

Abstract

Sexual dimorphism in plants may emerge as a result of sex-specific selection on traits enhancing access to nutritive resources and/or to sexual partners. We here investigated sex-specific differences in selection of sexually dimorphic traits and in the spatial distribution of effective fecundity (our fitness proxy) in a highly dimorphic dioecious wind-pollinated shrub, *Leucadendron rubrum*. In particular, we tested for the effect of density on male and female effective fecundity. We used spatial and genotypic data of parent and offspring cohorts to jointly estimate individual male and female effective fecundity on the one hand and pollen and seed dispersal kernels on the other hand. This methodology was here adapted to the case of dioecious species. Explicitly modeling dispersal avoids the confounding effects of heterogeneous spatial distribution of mates and sampled seedlings on the estimation of effective fecundity. We also estimated selection gradients on plant traits while modeling sex-specific spatial autocorrelation in fecundity. Males exhibited spatial autocorrelation in effective fecundity at a smaller scale than females. A higher local density of plants was associated with lower effective fecundity in males but was not related to female effective fecundity. These results suggest sex-specific sensitivities to environmental heterogeneity in *L. rubrum*. Despite these sexual differences, we found directional selection for wider canopies and smaller leaves in both sexes, and no sexually antagonistic selection on strongly dimorphic traits in *L. rubrum*. Many empirical studies in animals similarly failed to detect sexually antagonistic selection in species expressing strong sexual dimorphism, and we discuss reasons explaining this common pattern.

Keywords: sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, selection gradients, dispersal kernels, cost of reproduction, spatial structure

Introduction

Plant species with separate sexes are relatively uncommon (*i.e.* 5-6%, Renner, 2014). Separate sexes have nonetheless evolved repeatedly among flowering plants (Renner, 2014), and such transitions often given rise to the evolution of morphological differences between sexes (Geber *et al.*, 1999; Puixeu *et al.*, 2019). The degree of sexual dimorphism has also switched multiple times from low to high along the evolutionary history of certain dioecious plant lineages (Tonnabel *et al.*, 2014). Both *sex-specific costs of reproduction* and *male-male competition to access ovules* have been suggested as potential forces causing the evolution of such dimorphism. These two factors could trigger sexually antagonistic selection (Delph & Ashman, 2006; Moore & Pannell, 2011), whereby selection exerts forces in opposite directions in each sex towards sex-specific optima (Cox & Calsbeek, 2009). The goal of this study is to estimate sex-specific fitness as well as the strength and form of selection acting on morphological traits in each sex, in a highly dimorphic dioecious wind-pollinated plant species. To do so, we combine, and adapt to the case of dioecious species, recently developed statistical methods estimating *effective fecundity*, a proxy for fitness, and its dependence on morphological traits, while explicitly modeling various spatial effects that could bias such estimations.

The sex-specific cost of reproduction hypothesis posits that sexes should diverge in morphology to satisfy their respective reproductive needs (Freeman *et al.*, 1976; Delph & Bell, 2008). Such divergence can emerge when reproduction involves a stronger cost in one sex than in the other, or when the reproductive costs of each sex imply different resource 'currencies' (Freeman *et al.*, 1976; Obeso, 2002). Several studies have shown that pollen production in males strongly relies on nitrogen, while female reproduction is mostly limited by carbon and water (e.g. Antos & Allen, 1990; McDowell *et al.*, 2000; Harris & Pannell, 2008; van Drunen & Dorken, 2012). Males and females of dioecious plants have evolved divergent strategies of plastic allocation to resource-harvesting organs (see Tonnabel *et al.*, 2017 for a review), probably to harvest the resources most

needed for their respective reproduction. The cost of reproduction is generally higher in females than in males, at least considering the cost per reproductive structure. However, at the scale of the whole plant, this trend is often reversed in wind-pollinated plants, which produce large amounts of pollen (Obeso, 2002; Harris & Pannell, 2008; Tonnabel *et al.*, 2017). In some dioecious species inhabiting fire-prone environments, the cost of reproduction markedly differs between sexes because females need to maintain a canopy-stored ('serotinous') seed bank (released by fire). As water intake is necessary to maintain cones closed and prevent seed release during unfavorable period between two fires (Martín-Sanz *et al.*, 2017), we may expect selection for enhanced water conduction to have favored a divergent plant architecture between sexes. Consistent with this prediction, the evolution of longer maintenance of cones in the canopy is indeed associated with the evolution of higher sexual dimorphism in the genus *Leucadrendron* (Harris & Pannell, 2010).

The evolution of sex-specific differences in vegetative traits may also originate from selection for male morphologies that are better at dispersing pollen and therefore at accessing mates (Tonnabel *et al.*, 2019a,b). The male-male competition hypothesis postulates that male reproduction, by being mostly limited by mating opportunities, selects for males that exhibit traits enhancing their competitive abilities (Bateman, 1948; Arnold, 1994). Several studies have pinpointed the importance of male-male competition in shaping male reproductive and floral traits. These studies showed more extravagant floral displays in males than in females to attract pollinators (e.g. Bond & Maze, 1999; Elle & Meagher, 2000; Wright & Meagher, 2004; Delph & Ashman, 2006; Waelti *et al.*, 2009; Schiestl & Johnson, 2013; Dorken & Perry, 2017), variation in male flowering phenology to track the female phenology (Delph & Herlihy, 2011; Forrest, 2014), increased pollen grain competitive performance in response to polyandry (Lankinen *et al.*, 2017) and morphological evolution of structures that disperse pollen, which prevents the attachment of competing pollen to the pollinator (Coccuci *et al.*, 2014). In wind-pollinated plants, sexual selection may also target vegetative traits such as plant size, branch length or the length of male flower peduncles, which can facilitate pollen dispersal (Klinkhamer *et al.*, 1997; Eppley & Pannell, 2007; Pickup & Barrett,

2012; Harder & Prusinkiewicz, 2013; Tonnabel *et al.*, 2019b). Wind-pollinated plants tend to evolve larger degrees of sexual dimorphism than insect-pollinated lineages, because pollinators require similarity of floral morphology to successfully transfer pollen (Tonnabel *et al.*, 2014; Welsford *et al.*, 2016). Wind-pollinated plants, which typically show large inter-individual variation in fertility (Schoen & Stewart, 1987; Ahee *et al.*, 2015), may thus be particularly subject to sexually antagonistic selection.

In the presence of genetic variation for sexual dimorphism, each sex should, in principle, ultimately reach its optimal trait value, and thereby resolve sexually antagonistic selection (Lande, 1980). Yet, a shared genetic basis of traits between sexes may temporally constrain the evolution of their morphological divergence (Lande, 1980). In constant and homogeneous environments, theory predicts that, with strong positive genetic correlations between sexes, opposite directional selection gradients between sexes should emerge early during adaptation and persist for a long time before the sexual conflict is resolved (Lande, 1980; Connallon & Hall, 2016). Consequently, one would expect evidence for antagonistic selection between sexes to be relatively common. The compilation of numerous sex-specific selection gradients in animals showed, however, a large diversity of patterns, including cases of aligned selection across sexes (Cox & Calsbeek, 2009). In plants, documented patterns of sex-specific selection provided mixed support for sexually antagonistic selection: sex-specific selection gradients have been found to have opposite signs in both insect- and wind-pollinated species (Delph *et al.*, 2011; Castilla *et al.*, 2014; Tonnabel *et al.*, 2019b) but to have the same sign in other studies (Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018; Barrio & Teixido, 2014). More recent theory suggests that temporal and spatial variation in selection pressures may explain the lack of signal for sexually antagonistic selection, despite differences in the optimal phenotypes of males and females (Connallon, 2015; Connallon & Hall, 2016; Zafitscher & Connallon, 2017).

Estimating sex-specific selection gradients requires, first, estimating male and female individual fitness, and, second, relating trait values and fitness estimates. Using genotypes of established seedlings and their potential parents, traditional methods first achieve categorical

parentage assignments to then estimate individual realized reproductive successes used as fitness estimates. In the next generation methods, genotypes are combined with spatial localization of sampled individuals, through spatially explicit mating models (SEMMs), to disentangle the effect of fecundity from that of the distance between mating pairs (and the distance between mothers and seedlings) on reproductive success (e.g. Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2005). To do so, dispersal is explicitly modeled and dispersal kernels are estimated for both seeds and pollen. A Bayesian method was introduced in this framework to estimate individual male and female *effective fecundities* (MEMM, Klein *et al.*, 2008 for seed sampling on mother trees; MEMMseedlings, Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018 for seedling sampling designs). This method considers the likelihood of genotypes conditional on the position of seedlings, so it is unaffected by any process acting on the distribution of seedlings, be it the potential parents' positions, or habitat suitability and disturbances. It analyses seedling genotypes in terms of (1) overall reproductive contribution of each potential parent as determined jointly by gamete production, gamete fertilization rates, seed maturation and germination, and seedling survival until census; (2) dispersal events in terms of estimated dispersal kernels and (3) pollen or seedling competition by a mass action law. Effective fecundity refers only to relative values of the first component for each parent. It varies with, e.g., male-male competitive ability through differences in overall pollen production and their subsequent ovule fertilization abilities, but not with competitive effects dependent on the composition of competitors within the pollen cloud generated by uneven spatial distribution of mates. We here extend this methodology to dioecious species. This spatially explicit approach avoids spatial bias in effective fecundity estimation, typically generated by sampling seedlings non-uniformly with respect to the positions of their parents or by the confounding effects of heterogeneous spatial distribution of mates (Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018). Used as a proxy for fitness, effective fecundity thus provides the expected relative reproductive success if putative mates (for male fecundity) and regeneration sites (for female fecundity) were uniformly distributed in space, and all offspring could

145 establish and be sampled (Klein *et al.*, 2013). It therefore attenuates the impact of stochastic effects
 146 associated with sampling methods on fitness estimates.

147 Relating fitness estimates to plant traits using the selection gradients methodology proposed
 148 by Lande & Arnold (1983) can further suffer from specific statistical bias in sessile organisms living
 149 in heterogeneous environments. Indeed, small-scale spatial variation in resources fundamental to
 150 plant physiology, including sex-specific reproduction, is common across a range of habitat types
 151 (Silvertown *et al.*, 1999; Araya *et al.*, 2011). To disentangle the fitness effect of plant characteristics
 152 (such as their ability to harvest resources, which may be sex-specific) from that of the environment
 153 (such as the spatial distribution of resources), the spatial distribution of individuals must be
 154 accounted for (Rausher, 1992). Indeed, not modeling explicitly the spatial autocorrelation of
 155 unmeasured ecological variables affecting fitness can lead to detect false-positive effects of traits on
 156 fitness, as on any other response (Guillot & Rousset, 2013). To address this problem, we fitted
 157 mixed-effect models with spatially autocorrelated random-effects, using the spaMM package
 158 (Rousset & Ferdy, 2014). To our knowledge, it is the first time that spatial effects are taken into
 159 account in the estimation of selection gradients. Moreover, spatial variation in plant density and the
 160 local sex ratio may generate spatial variation in competition for resources, which can be studied by
 161 analyzing their fixed effects on plant fitness. In conclusion, our MEMMseedlings model controls for
 162 spatial confounding effects on estimations of effective fecundity relative to spatial sampling biases
 163 and to the spatial heterogeneity of plant distribution (potentially impacting competition among
 164 males), while our spaMM procedure controls for spatial environmental heterogeneity.

165 We applied our methodology to *Leucadendron rubrum*, a dioecious wind-pollinated
 166 serotinous shrub, endemic to the fire-prone South-African fynbos. *L. rubrum* displays extreme
 167 sexual dimorphism (Fig. S1), with males being typically more highly branched, having smaller
 168 leaves and taller stature than females (Harris & Pannell, 2010; Welsford *et al.*, 2014; Welsford *et*
 169 *al.*, 2016). A single recruitment pulse typically occurs after fire, killing all adult trees and releasing
 170 seeds stored in their canopy seed bank (Cowling & Lamont, 1987). This particular life-cycle allows

171 the estimation of life-time effective fecundity by sampling seedlings only once (*i.e.* after the fire
172 event). Furthermore, because recruitment is synchronized by fire, all sampled adult individuals in
173 the population have the same age (Bond, 1984). The strong sexual dimorphism of *L. rubrum* has
174 been previously hypothesized to be the consequence of sex-specific resource requirements (Harris &
175 Pannell, 2010). Indeed, the cost of reproduction in *L. rubrum* is likely to differ strongly between
176 sexes due to the cost of maintaining the canopy-stored ('serotinous') seed bank in females (Martín-
177 Sanz *et al.*, 2017). We hypothesized that, because of such maternal care, female fitness may be more
178 sensitive to water availability than male fitness. Owing to these differences in resource requirement
179 for reproduction, we therefore tested whether male and female effective fecundities (as defined
180 above) display different spatial structure and whether the observed strong sexual dimorphism is
181 associated with sex-specific selection gradients (Lande, 1980).

Materials and methods

Study species and site

185 *Leucadendron rubrum* is a dioecious wind-pollinated shrub species endemic to the Western Cape of
186 South Africa (Rebelo, 2001) where natural fires occur every 10-15 years (van Wilgen *et al.*, 2010;
187 Kraaij *et al.*, 2011). *L. rubrum* belongs to the Proteaceae family and flowers from August to
188 September. Seed recruitment is constrained to a short period following fires, and seeds released
189 between fires typically fail to establish due to competition (Bond, 1984). *L. rubrum* typically starts
190 flowering at 2-3 years, and seeds are retained in woody cones for several years (Harris & Pannell,
191 2010). Seeds therefore form a 'serotinous' seed bank, which persists until fire kills the plants,
192 allowing cone opening and wind dispersal of fruits via their plumed perianth (Williams, 1972;
193 Rebelo, 2001). In serotinous species, disruption of water intake to the cone (caused by broken
194 branch or plant death) was shown to lead to seed release suggesting a water cost to keep the cones
195 closed (Treurnicht *et al.*, 2016; Martín-Sanz *et al.*, 2017). Thus, mother death or any event leading
196 to cone opening before the advent of fire results in seed release in poor conditions for recruitment
197 and ultimately in the loss of progeny.

198 The study population was located at Bainskloof pass (33°32'21.25"S 19°10'12.10"E) and was
199 contained in a rectangle of 135x110 meters (Fig. S2). We studied all adult individuals of our focal
200 population. Another population of *L. rubrum* was located at a distance of 310 meters (smallest
201 distance found between two plants from the two populations). All adults of *L. rubrum* of the focal
202 population (*i.e.* 86 females and 88 males) were mapped (see Supplementary Methods S1), sampled
203 for DNA analyses and measured for several traits in 2004. In summer 2006, a fire burnt the
204 population, killing all adults. A total of 1,265 seedlings were mapped, and their leaves were sampled
205 in the following Fall (February 2007), four to five months after the fire. The spatial distribution of
206 adults and seedlings is shown in Fig. S2. In one part of the study site, a ditch had been dug for
207 construction after seeds had dispersed, so we were unable to determine the undisturbed positions of

208 172 seedlings located in that area which we therefore eliminated from the dataset. However, the
 209 effective fecundity of adults in this area can still be estimated without bias induced by the
 210 disturbance, thanks to the use of MEMMseedlings (see below).

211 When sampling seedlings, the presence of seedlings from another closely related sympatric
 212 species (*Leucadendron salignum* P.J. Bergins) rendered the identification of *L. rubrum* juveniles
 213 difficult. To ensure that only juveniles of *L. rubrum* were included in later analyses, we genotyped
 214 juveniles (see below for details on the genotyping protocol), as well as adults from both species.
 215 This analysis aimed at assigning seedlings to either species and its results are described in
 216 Supplementary Method S2 and Fig. S3. We did not find evidence for the existence of hybrids
 217 between *L. rubrum* and *L. salignum*. In addition, 254 juveniles were excluded after genotyping as
 218 they belonged to *L. salignum*.

219

220 **Measurements of adult traits in the field**

221 For adult shrubs, we measured in 2004 three traits describing plant architecture and three traits
 222 describing leaf morphology (available at doi:10.5061/dryad.ngf1vhhst). All six traits are known to
 223 be sexually dimorphic in this species (Harris & Pannell, 2010; Welsford *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Fig. S4).
 224 The three traits describing plant architecture were (1) plant height, (2) the first diameter defined as
 225 the greatest horizontal diameter of the plant (hereafter, canopy diameter), and (3) the second
 226 horizontal diameter defined as the diameter perpendicular to the first diameter (hereafter, second
 227 diameter). Several leaves were collected randomly along branches of each adult, dried and
 228 photographed. Pictures were analyzed to measure the three traits describing leaf morphology: (1)
 229 leaf area, (2) length and (3) width using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012). The number of leaves
 230 analyzed per adult ranged from 10 to 23 with an average of 20.3. In females, we counted the number
 231 of cones in the last two cohorts (cones produced in the last two seasons of cone production and
 232 maintained closed since). Because older cohorts were not counted, this measure reflects cone

233 production rather than the maintenance of the serotinous seed bank. We did not count male cones
 234 because they were too numerous.

235

236 **Microsatellite genotyping**

237 We genotyped both adults and their progeny in our focal population (available at
 238 doi:10.5061/dryad.ngf1vhhst). For both adults and seedlings, sampled leaves were preserved in
 239 silica gel prior to DNA extraction using a modified version of the CTAB protocol (Justy *et al.*,
 240 2009). We designed two polymerase chain reaction (PCR) multiplexes for amplifying DNA at 4
 241 microsatellite loci, each involving primers with different fluorescent labels (Multiplex 1: 4F8, 3C9,
 242 1C7, 1C3; Multiplex 2: 3B11, 2B2, 1D7, 1B8; markers developed by Justy *et al.*, 2009). PCRs were
 243 performed using the Qiagen Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany); each PCR reaction was
 244 performed in a final volume of 10 μ M composed of 5 μ L of Multiplex Master mix (2X), 1 μ L of
 245 primer sequences (1 μ M), 1 μ L of DNA extracts and 3 μ L of sterile water. PCR reactions were
 246 performed on a Mastercycler pro thermocycler (Eppendorf, vapo.protect) with an initial
 247 denaturation step of 15min at 95 °C, 35 cycles of 30s at 94 °C, 1min30s at the T_m temperature (M1:
 248 54°C, M2: 53°C) and 1min at 72 °C, and a final step of 30min at 60°C. Genotyping was performed
 249 on an ABI3500XL sequencer. The genotypes of all adults and offspring were scored using
 250 GeneMapper at the eight microsatellite loci, which exhibited between five and 23 alleles (Table S1
 251 for information per marker). After excluding individuals that did not amplify, our dataset contained
 252 82 females, 85 males and 869 juveniles, corresponding to an amplification failure of roughly 8% for
 253 both adults and juveniles. For each microsatellite marker, we used CERVUS (version 3.0.7;
 254 Kalinowski *et al.*, 2007) to estimate the non-exclusion probabilities of the first parent, the second
 255 parent and parent pairs, to test for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and to compute null allele
 256 frequencies (Table S1). Non-exclusion probabilities correspond to the probabilities that the set of
 257 loci will not exclude an unrelated candidate parent (or parent pair) of an arbitrary offspring. Finally,
 258 we used NM π (Version 1.0, Chybicki, 2017) to estimate the per-marker genotyping errors.

260 **Joint estimation of effective fecundities and both pollen and seed dispersal kernels**

261 We used a method that uses information about the genotype and the spatial location of adults and
262 seedlings to jointly estimate pollen and seed dispersal kernels and the individual male and female
263 *effective fecundities* – a proxy for fitness (see the Introduction). Our method builds on the Mixed
264 Effects Mating Model, MEMMseedlings (Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018), which models mating and
265 dispersal events in a hermaphroditic plant population to estimate the selfing rate, immigration rates
266 and dispersal kernels for both pollen and seeds as well as the variance in male and female effective
267 fecundity (*i.e.* using random individual effects). We modified the MEMMseedlings algorithm to
268 produce a new version that handles separate sexes, with distinct spatial distributions of male and
269 female plants (see Supplementary Method S3, available at
270 https://gitlab.paca.inrae.fr/pub/tonnabel_mol_ecol.).

271 MEMMseedlings takes into account both the variation in fecundity among individuals and
272 the relative positions of putative parents and seedlings when computing the likelihood of observed
273 genotypes conditional to dispersal parameter and individual fecundity estimations. In
274 MEMMseedlings, putative parents that are more distant from a seedling have a lower parentage
275 probability. This model also describes mate competition through a mass action law, *i.e.* the
276 contribution of a given male to the pollen cloud of a given female is diluted among the contributions
277 of all other males. For these reasons, the model can estimate variation in effective fecundities,
278 separately from different sources of spatial variation in reproductive success, linked to (i) spatial
279 biases in seedling sampling, (ii) the spatial distribution of mates, or (iii) edge effects. Accordingly,
280 we checked that the estimated effective fecundity of adult plants located on the border of our study
281 population was not different than elsewhere in the study population by generalized linear mixed
282 models (results not shown). Our model assumes the same pollen (or seed) dispersal kernels for each
283 male (or respectively female) individual, and isotropic wind dispersal patterns. Given that
284 anisotropy can sometimes be found in wind-pollinated plants (Austerlitz *et al.* 2007), we confirmed

285 an absence of signal for anisotropy using NM π (see Supplementary Method S3). MEMMseedlings
 286 estimates a relative measure of effective fecundity, scaled by the average effective fecundity, (see
 287 equation (1) in Supplementary methods S3), which is therefore unitless.

288 Given the life cycle of *L. rubrum* and the sampling of seedlings after the fire, our estimated
 289 effective fecundity integrates the effect of variation between individuals, either male or female, not
 290 only for pollen and ovule production, but also for pollen export, fertilization rate, seed maturation
 291 and dispersal, maintenance of seeds within the cones (degree of serotiny), adult survival until the
 292 fire, seed germination and juvenile survival until the seedling census. Seeds released after the fire
 293 and previously stored in the canopy were potentially fertilized in different years. Because adults of
 294 *L. rubrum* do not survive fire, the establishment of progeny after a fire thus represents their entire
 295 lifetime reproduction.

296 Briefly, our MEMMseedlings model combines genotypes data and spatial distribution data
 297 for both adults and offspring to estimate, in a Bayesian framework, individual male and female
 298 effective fecundities (F_k and R_j , respectively), the seed immigration rate (m_s), the pollen immigration
 299 rate (m_p), the rate of pollen export to the pollen cloud of non-local mothers (v), the mean seed
 300 dispersal distance (δ_s), the mean pollen dispersal distance (δ_p), a parameter affecting the shape of the
 301 seed dispersal kernel (b_s) and that of the pollen dispersal kernel (b_p). The estimation of pollen and
 302 seed immigration rates (m_s and m_p) and, the rate of pollen export to the pollen cloud of non-local
 303 mothers, (v) depends on the actual process of immigration, but is also affected by the fact that
 304 around 8% of the parents were excluded from the analysis due to a lack of amplification.
 305 Immigration rates therefore include the probabilities of maternity and paternity attributable to
 306 unsampled parents. Both pollen and seed immigration rates are therefore likely overestimated.
 307 Finally, when computing Mendelian transition probabilities between seedlings and putative parents,
 308 MEMMseedlings considers genotyping errors by allowing a parent-offspring genetic discrepancy at
 309 a maximum of one locus, and at each locus, the probability to mistype any allele was fixed using the
 310 per-marker genotyping error rates estimated by NM π (see Supplementary Method S3). To describe

the quality of parentage assignments associated with the dataset, MEMMseedlings computes the posterior probabilities for all seedlings for which (i) both parents are known among the genotyped parents, (ii) only the mother, or (iii) the father is known, and (iv) none of the parents is known.

Estimates of dispersal kernels are based on dispersal events within the population, even if the parametric model implies dispersal in unbounded space (beyond the maximal male-female and female-seed distances found in our study population, respectively 106.4 and 139 meters for pollen and seeds dispersal). To describe dispersal within the study population, we computed, from the estimated kernels, the predicted proportion of seeds and pollen that dispersed within the population range and the predicted proportion that dispersed within an arbitrary short distance of 20m.

We estimated the model parameters using two MCMC chains of 50,000 steps and a burn-in of 10,000 steps each. We used uniform prior distributions for the parameters m_s , m_p , ν , δ_s , b_s , δ_p and b_p within the intervals [0.01,1.00], [0.01,1.00], [0.00,1.00], [1.00,100], [0.01,1.00], [1.00,30000] and [0.01,10.0], respectively. For individual effective fecundity estimates (F_k or R_j), values were sampled every 20 iterations to decrease autocorrelation and averaged after concatenating the two chains. For each of these stored iterations, we also computed the variance in effective fecundity estimates among individuals. Credibility intervals at 95% were calculated for all estimated parameters, as well as the mean value across all iterations and chains (Table 1).

328

Sex differences in morphology, spatial distribution and analysis of number of cones

We tested for sex differences in morphology using Linear Mixed-effects Models (LMM) with spatially autocorrelated random effects. We analyzed all measured adult traits describing either plant architecture or leaf morphology. Random individual effects can be spatially autocorrelated, for instance, due to spatial variation in some ecological variables not included in the model. We fitted models with morphology as the response variable and sex as a fixed effect using the R package spaMM version 3.3.0 (Rousset & Ferdy, 2014) in R 4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020). We fitted models with distinct residual variances for each sex. We updated the code of the spaMM package to allow

for different spatial distributions of random effects between sexes (publicly available in spaMM since version 2.6.0). The classical Matérn correlation function was used to model the spatial autocorrelation of random effects, separately for each sex, as a function of the distance between individuals. The Matérn correlation model involves two parameters: the smoothness parameter (ν), and the scaling parameter for distances (ρ). We compared models fits considering (1) no spatial autocorrelation, (2) the same spatial autocorrelation for both sexes and (3) two sex-specific spatial autocorrelation structures. We used likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) to (i) first test for a sex-specific spatial structure in morphology, by comparing models with a different structure of random effects but the same fixed effects, and (ii) to test for sexual dimorphism, by comparing the selected model in step (i) to a model fitted with a similar structure of random effects, but without sex as a fixed effect. Models were fitted either by maximum likelihood, for performing LRTs between models differing in their fixed-effects structure, or by restricted maximum likelihood, for LRTs between models differing in their random-effect structures and for computing the predictions from the model fits. We also examined whether male and female plants were spatially segregated (*i.e.* whether the sex ratio was spatially autocorrelated) using a binomial generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with sex as the response variable and compared model fits with or without a spatially autocorrelated random effect as described above. Finally, we tested whether the number of cones produced by females displayed a non-uniform spatial distribution by comparing models including cone number as the response variable, plant density (see below for its calculation) as a fixed factor, and either a spatial random effect or not.

Multivariate sex-specific selection analysis

Inspired by the multivariate framework of Lande and Arnold (1983), we examined in a single full model the relationship between the relative effective fecundity as the response variable and, the following explanatory variables: canopy diameter, leaf area, plant density, sex, and the interaction between each of the three former explanatory variables and sex. We fitted generalized linear mixed-

363 effects models (Gamma GLMM with logarithm link function) to describe the variation in effective
 364 fecundity, while including spatially autocorrelated random effects. We checked that a model
 365 predicting effective fecundity using a Gamma distribution with a log link performed better than
 366 models assuming a different candidate distribution (compare gamma log link: cAIC=18.7 with
 367 gaussian with a link identity: cAIC=139; or gaussian with a log link: cAIC=126 – cAIC is a metric
 368 similar to the traditional *AIC*, except that it measures prediction performance conditionally on the
 369 realization of the random effects, Vaida & Blanchard, 2005). We followed the same procedure as
 370 described for the analysis of sexual dimorphism (see previous section) to fit the models using the R
 371 package spaMM and to identify the best fitting random spatial structure (*i.e.* comparing a sex-
 372 specific spatial structure, a common spatial structure and a lack of it). We were thus able to compare
 373 the patterns of spatial variation of residual effective fecundity between males and females, once the
 374 effects of local density and variation in morphology were included as fixed effects in our global
 375 model. Spatial autocorrelation in effective fecundity may then reflect spatial variation in
 376 unmeasured environmental variables (such as water availability) or unmeasured plant traits.
 377 Different spatial structures between sexes therefore inform on the differential sensitivities of their
 378 effective fecundities to variation in such unmeasured variables. We took into account the variation
 379 in uncertainty of the individual effective fecundity estimates by parameterizing the residual variance
 380 as a function of the variance in MCMC estimates of individual fecundity during the fitting (see
 381 Supplementary Method S4). We again fitted models with distinct residual variances for each sex.
 382 Because our MEMMseedlings model estimated large effective fecundity for several plants located
 383 in one part of the study population, we performed a sensitivity analysis testing the robustness of our
 384 results to the removal of all individuals with standardized effective fecundity greater than four
 385 standard errors (for all statistical models treating effective fecundity as response variable). Five
 386 females and one male were removed in this sensitivity analysis, including four individuals that were
 387 particularly close from each other.

388 We chose to investigate the selection gradients for two morphological predictors only
 389 (canopy diameter and leaf area), because several of the six morphological traits were highly
 390 correlated (Table S3). Notably, all three traits describing plant architecture were strongly correlated
 391 with each other (*i.e.* plant height, canopy diameter and second diameter, Table S3). Similarly, our
 392 three measures of leaf morphology were strongly correlated with each other (*i.e.* leaf area, length
 393 and width, Table S3). Estimation of multivariate selection gradients and their interpretation could be
 394 confused by such strong correlations between traits (see Chong *et al.*, 2018 for a review). We
 395 therefore retained only two morphological traits in our selection analysis (but similar results were
 396 obtained for other combinations of traits). We standardized the two focal traits using z-scores based
 397 on the mean and variance values of the traits calculated separately for each sex. We confirmed that
 398 leaf area significantly differed between individuals by comparing, within each sex, models
 399 predicting leaf area with vs. without an individual-level random effect using an exact restricted
 400 likelihood ratio test (Crainiceanu and Ruppert, 2004) implemented in package RLRsim 3.1-6
 401 (Scheipl *et al.*, 2008): for females, likelihood ratio (LR)=1573.9, $p < 0.001$; for males, LR=625.1,
 402 $p < 0.001$.

403 We compared the performance of local plant density measured at different scales in
 404 explaining variation in male and female effective fecundity (see Supplementary Method S5). We did
 405 not transform plant density into z-scores since this predictor is not an individual trait and thus its
 406 associated slope should not be considered as a selection gradient. To compare models explaining
 407 variation in effective fecundity with different scales used to compute plant density, we used *cAIC*.
 408 The best model included the density of plants in a quadrat of 12x12m around the focal individual.
 409 We therefore retained this metric to compute the values of plant density used in our all subsequent
 410 analyses.

411 To test for the significance of selection gradients, we first compared the fit of the full model
 412 to the fit of nested models in which one of the three predictors of interest (*i.e.* canopy diameter, leaf
 413 area and plant density) had been removed, both as a main effect and in interactions. This revealed

414 whether there was any effect of the focal predictor. Second, we compared the full model to models
415 in which only the interaction between sex and one of the three variables of interest had been
416 removed. This allowed us to test whether the effect of the predictor was different between sexes. We
417 also built separate GLMMs for each sex, predicting effective fecundity from the three predictors of
418 interest. We used these sex-specific models to test the effect of each predictor in each sex, if and
419 only if, the interaction between sex and a given predictor was significant. We compared the fit of
420 our full model, which considers linear selection gradients only, to the fit of a similar model
421 including quadratic and correlational selection terms for canopy diameter and leaf area, and to
422 model fits including one quadratic or correlational term at a time.

423 We also estimated selection gradients for the same morphological traits (canopy diameter
424 and leaf area), using as a proxy for fitness, not the estimated effective fecundity but the actual
425 number of cones empirically counted on female plants. We predicted the number of female cones
426 from traits using a Poisson GLMM with spatially autocorrelated random effects and plant density as
427 a covariate in addition to the two focal traits. Finally, we predicted the female effective fecundity
428 from the number of empirically counted cones, also with spatially autocorrelated random effects and
429 plant density as a covariate.

Results

***L. rubrum* showed strong sexual dimorphism in morphology**

Females had significantly smaller canopy diameters (i.e., first and second diameter measures; Figs. 1a, S4c, Table S4), but they were not clearly shorter (Fig. S4a, Table S4). Females had also leaves with a larger area than males (Fig. 1b, Table S4). Correlated measures of leaf morphology (Table S3) showed similar sexual dimorphism, as females displayed longer and wider leaves than males (Fig. S4e,f, Table S4).

Spatial structure in the canopy diameter did not clearly differ between sexes, as the fit of a linear mixed model including sex-specific spatially autocorrelated random effects did not produce a likelihood significantly higher than the fit of the model with the same distribution of spatial random effects in both sexes (Table S4). However, a spatially structured random effect considerably improved the fit over the models without it (Table S4; Fig. S5). In contrast, leaf area showed neither a sex-specific spatial structure nor a general spatial structure, (Table S4). Similarly, we found no significant spatial structure for sex ratio (Table S4; Fig. S6).

Dispersal occurred on a smaller spatial scale for seed than for pollen

For both pollen and seed dispersal kernels, our analysis revealed fat-tailed dispersal kernels (i.e. b_s and b_p lower than one; Fig. 2 and Table 1). Seed and pollen immigration rates were of the same order of magnitude (11% and 15% for seed and pollen respectively). Seed dispersal occurred on a smaller spatial scale than pollen dispersal: the mean estimated dispersal distance of seeds and pollen were respectively of 10.6 and 11,041 meters. These estimated dispersal kernels predict that ca. 100% of seeds fell closer than the maximal female-seedling distance found in our population (i.e. 139 meters), while only 7.56% of pollen traveled a distance shorter than the maximal male-female distance found in our population (i.e. 106.4 meters). Similarly, we estimated that 86% of seeds and 1.56% of pollen was dispersed within 20 meters. A fat-tailed pollen dispersal kernel accounting for

distance-dependent pollen dispersal nevertheless explained our data better than modeling a uniform distribution of pollen dispersal distances (Fig. S7, see Supplementary Methods S3 for a description of their comparison). Although we estimated a high probability for the pollen to travel long distance, we estimated that about three quarters of the seedlings had a genotyped father in the population ($1-m_p=0.85$ for seedlings with a known mother or $v=0.81$ for seedlings with an unknown mother), which is similar to the proportion found for mothers ($1-m_s=0.89$; Table 1). Estimations of dispersal kernels with the NM π algorithm yielded similar parameter estimation, yet with a notable shorter estimate of mean pollen dispersal distance (Table 1 vs. S2). The estimates of dispersal kernels with NM π were robust to the inclusion of anisotropy in dispersal events (results not shown).

Autocorrelation in effective fecundity occurred on a smaller spatial scale for males than females

Effective fecundity estimations were carried out using a set of 8 microsatellite markers containing between 6 and 24 alleles, showing non-exclusion probabilities of parent pairs ranging between 0.12 to 0.58 and genotyping error rates ranging from 0.9% to 5.5% (Table S1). The combination of the genotype data and plant spatial distribution data provided information to assign two parents to ~88% of seedlings (Figure SM3 in Supplementary Methods S3).

We detected a clear sex-specific spatial structure for effective fecundity (Table S5). We found different spatial variation in effective fecundity for each sex, with coarse-grained and fine-grained spatial effects for females and males, respectively (Fig. 3a vs. 3b). These results were robust to the removal of plants with standardized effective fecundity greater than four standard errors, which only slightly affected the previous conclusion (Table S5; Fig. S8). Several plants with large effective fecundity were found in the disturbed area (Fig. 3). The number of empirically counted cones on female plants also displayed a significant spatial autocorrelation ($\chi^2=2238$, $df=3$, $p<0.0001$; Fig. S9). Cone number was significantly correlated with relative effective fecundity but the effect size was small (using standardized cone number, $\beta=0.286$, $\chi^2=6.02$, $df=1$, $p=0.0141$) and the two spatial distributions were not fully aligned (Fig. 3a vs. S9).

484 **Selection for higher leaf area and wider canopies similar in both sexes**

485 Our spatially corrected selection gradient approach revealed that the leaf area was negatively
 486 associated with effective fecundity (Table 2 and S5; Fig. 4), with similar slopes in both sexes as
 487 shown by a non-significant interaction between leaf area and sex (Table S5). Yet, the leaf area was
 488 positively correlated to the number of counted cones on female plants ($\beta=0.171$; LRT: $\chi^2=42.7$,
 489 $df=1$, $p<0.001$). Larger canopy diameter was significantly associated with higher effective fecundity
 490 (Table 2 and S5; Fig. 4). Accordingly, female plants with wider canopies displayed higher numbers
 491 of empirically counted cones ($\beta=0.904$; LRT: $\chi^2=78.8$, $df=1$, $p<0.001$). The increase in effective
 492 fecundity with increasing canopy diameter was similar in both sexes, as shown by a non-significant
 493 interaction between sex and canopy diameter (Table S5). Our estimates of selection gradients were
 494 robust to the removal of plants with effective fecundity greater than four standard errors (Table S5;
 495 Fig. S10). We found no signal for non-linear selection, either by including all three quadratic and
 496 correlational terms at once (LRTs: $\chi^2=0.709$, $df=3$, $p=0.871$), or each of them separately ((leaf
 497 area) 2 : $\chi^2=0.129$, $df=1$, $p=0.719$; (canopy diameter) 2 : $\chi^2=0.118$, $df=1$, $p=0.731$; leaf area $\times$ canopy
 498 diameter: $\chi^2=0.378$, $df=1$, $p=0.539$).

500 **The effect of plant density on effective fecundity is sex-specific**

501 The effect of plant density on effective fecundity (Fig. S6) differed between sexes, as revealed by a
 502 significant interaction between sex and plant density (Table S5; Fig. 4c). Plant density was
 503 negatively associated with male effective fecundity, but female effective fecundity showed no
 504 association with plant density (Table S5). The effect of the interaction between sex and plant density
 505 was however only marginally significant when the plants with the highest effective fecundity were
 506 removed in the robustness analysis (Table S5; Fig. S10).

Discussion

508

Novel methods for dealing with spatial bias affecting selection estimates in plants

Technical and methodological improvements in parentage assignments now allow for estimation of plant fitness in natural populations from genetic data, and provide the link between fitness and plant traits through selection gradients analyses (e.g. Meagher & Thompson, 1986; Burczyk & Prat, 1997; Burczyk *et al.*, 2006; van Kleunen & Burczyk, 2008). We have developed a methodology that estimates effective fecundity in dioecious plants while accounting for biases associated with their spatial distribution. Beyond the interest of documenting spatial patterns of seed and pollen dispersal, the addition of this spatially explicit component to classical parentage methods (Jones, 2010) improves the estimation of effective fecundity in the presence of confounding effects, such as spatial bias in sampling descendants, spatial variation in the intensity of mate competition triggered by a non-uniform distribution of mates, or border effects (Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018). We investigated effects of traits on effective fecundity by classical selection gradient methods (Lande & Arnold, 1983), in which we explicitly modeled the effect of spatially correlated unmeasured environmental factors on effective fecundity. This newly developed framework will be particularly suited to estimating selection in natural populations, given that spatial biases are typically difficult to avoid regarding both sampling and uncontrolled factors.

No contemporary sexually antagonistic selection despite strong sexual dimorphism

The signal we found for selection of larger canopy diameters in both sexes may indicate a ‘budget effect’ of plant size, where larger plants acquire more resources that can be reallocated to gamete production (Delph & Ashman, 2006). In females, the number of counted cones was positively related to canopy diameter. However, cone number only poorly explained effective fecundity. The spatial distribution of cone number and female effective fecundity were moreover not fully matching, suggesting that processes occurring after cone production, such as cone maintenance or mother plant survival affect the female effective fecundity. In males, selection for wider canopies

could be linked to flower production if both sexes are subject to similar ontogenetic constraints. We found evidence for similar selection for smaller leaf area in both sexes. Smaller leaves were previously shown to be correlated in *L. rubrum* with thinner, and more numerous, branches and less efficient water transport from roots to branch apex (Harris, 2007). Selection for smaller leaves in males may reflect selection for greater number of inflorescences held on more flexible branches, a trait long hypothesized to enhance pollen dispersal (Klinkhamer *et al.*, 1997). Smaller leaves may also represent a decreased mechanical hindrance to pollen dispersal. Selection for smaller leaves in females, however, contradicts our expectation of selection for enhanced water transport to the cones. The number of cones counted on females was furthermore positively related to leaf area. The fact that leaf area relates to the number of cones, and to effective fecundity in opposite ways, suggests that any positive effects of leaf area on fecundity through female cone production may have been masked by trade-offs with other key life history components for serotinous plants (e.g. adult survival until the fire). In the absence of positive genetic correlation between sexes, sexual conflicts may be resolved once each sex reaches its respective optimum. We however did not find evidence for stabilizing selection in the study population, which one could expect if each sex was at its optimum with sufficient genetic variation in the studied traits.

Only 17% of studies estimating selection gradients in animals identified sexually antagonistic selection (Cox & Calsbeek, 2009). The paucity of evidence for sexually antagonistic selection, with which our study concurs, is inconsistent with the idea that genetic correlations between sexes should maintain sexually antagonistic selection over long periods of time (Lande, 1980). To explain this inconsistency, both theory and experiments have suggested that temporal or spatial ecological changes may result in variable pattern of selection acting on males and females, with both sexes displaying trait values remaining far away from their ever changing ecological optimum (Kokko & Rankin, 2006; Delph *et al.*, 2011; Sheridan & Bickford, 2011; Long *et al.*, 2012; Berger *et al.*, 2014; Connallon, 2015; Connallon & Hall, 2016). Recent theoretical

558 developments showed that positive inter-sexual covariance for resource acquisition traits could also
 559 impede the identification of sexually antagonistic selection (Zafitschek & Connallon, 2017).

560 An alternative explanation to the lack of detected antagonistic selection is that antagonistic
 561 selection is present but masked by a positive correlation between a locally varying unmeasured
 562 ecological factor and both effective fecundity and a focal morphological trait (Price *et al.*, 1988). A
 563 large body of theoretical work also suggests that the combination of a strong sexual dimorphism
 564 with a lack of sexually antagonistic selection found in *L. rubrum* may result from adaptation to
 565 changing ecological conditions, which cause patterns of selection between sexes to align. A longer
 566 fire return interval, or low resource availability, are predicted to select for increased resource
 567 allocation to plant survival and a lower allocation to cone maintenance (Tonnabel *et al.*, 2012). That
 568 is because serotinous plants need to survive until fire to reproduce and can therefore afford to
 569 maintain cones over long periods of time only if it does not come at the expense of their own
 570 survival up until the fire. These particular ecological conditions may have weakened selection in
 571 females for traits improving current water conductance and cone maintenance, and favored instead
 572 traits improving cone production and adult survival. Understanding the emergence of sexual
 573 dimorphism through sex-specific selection estimations will therefore require either experimental
 574 protocols controlling environmental conditions or extensive estimations of spatio-temporal variation
 575 in sex-specific selection in natural populations. Long-term studies in animals have indeed
 576 commonly found large temporal variation in selection pressures acting on various traits (e.g. Grant
 577 & Grant, 2002; Reimchen & Nosil, 2002; Reed *et al.*, 2013; Acker *et al.*, 2015), including both
 578 temporal and spatial variation in the direction of sexually antagonist selection pressure on sexually
 579 dimorphic traits (Fargevieille, 2016).

580 **Sex-specific spatial distribution of effective fecundities**

581 The observation that reproductive costs differ between males and females (e.g. Antos & Allen,
 582 1990; McDowell *et al.*, 2000; Harris & Pannell, 2008; van Drunen & Dorken, 2012) has been
 583 pivotal to discussions on the evolution of sexual dimorphism in plants (Freeman *et al.*, 1976; Delph

& Bell, 2008). Resources key to male and female reproduction commonly display small-scale variation in the wild (Silvertown *et al.*, 1999; Araya *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, it is a simple corollary of the sex-specific cost of reproduction hypothesis that male and female fitness should often exhibit different spatial patterns in natural populations, as found in our study population. These sex-specific spatial patterns of fitness variation call for future studies relating small-scale variation in key resource types (Silvertown *et al.*, 1999; Araya *et al.*, 2011) and plant fitness in both males and females.

Only male effective fecundity was affected by density

Male effective fecundity was negatively associated with plant density while no association was found for females. The negative effect of plant density on male effective fecundity might be triggered by increased competition over nutritive resources affecting pollen production, by negative effects of a closed canopy on pollen dispersal, or by competition effects at the seedling stage affecting their offspring. The lack of an effect of plant density on female reproduction suggests that, either they are less affected than males by competition with other plants, or that the negative effects of competition are counter-balanced by positive effects of reproducing in a high density patch. In both cases, it suggests different reproductive needs and ecology in males and females. Plant density was shown to negatively affect both male and female effective fecundity in a wind-pollinated tree (Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2018), and was also shown to impede pollen dispersal in a wind-pollinated herb (Tonnabel *et al.*, 2019b). We note however that this sex-specific effect of density on effective density was only marginally significant when removing individuals with large fecundity.

Pollen and seed dispersal kernels typical of plant dispersal behaviour

Our spatially explicit method allowed the estimation of dispersal kernels, which revealed a fat-tailed seed dispersal kernel in the anemochorous *L. rubrum*. Most seeds dispersed close to the mother plant, but some fraction dispersed much further. Similarly, a meta-analysis including species from various plant families, continents, vegetation types and growth forms found a predominance of fat-tailed seed dispersal kernels (Bullock *et al.*, 2017). Investigations of pollen dispersal kernels are

610 scarce, but they typically also indicate fat-tailed kernels in both insect- (Austerlitz *et al.*, 2004;
 611 Oddou-Muratorio *et al.*, 2005; but see Matter *et al.*, 2013) and wind-pollinated species (Austerlitz *et*
 612 *al.*, 2004; Goto *et al.*, 2006; Gaüzere *et al.*, 2013; Geber *et al.*, 2014 but see Ahee *et al.*, 2015). Our
 613 estimated pollen dispersal kernel showed a markedly fat-tailed distribution, whereby a large
 614 proportion of pollen was able to disperse over large distances; similar large distance pollen dispersal
 615 was reported in both wind-pollinated and animal-pollinated species (e.g. Devaux *et al.*, 2005;
 616 O'Connell *et al.*, 2007). Given the large estimates of pollen dispersal distances and the short
 617 distance to the nearest population, the low estimates of pollen immigration are unexpected,
 618 especially given the same order of magnitude as seed immigration rate. This discrepancy is
 619 nevertheless consistent with other studies showing that the amount of long distance dispersal
 620 inferred by spatially explicit parentage modeling is not always congruent with the amount predicted
 621 by dispersal kernels inferred from local dispersal events (Chybicki & Oleksa, 2018; Hardy *et al.*,
 622 2019). Such inconsistencies may emerge when extrapolation of dispersal kernels does not properly
 623 account for an increased probability of encountering obstacles between populations.

624 In conclusion, we found sex-specific variation in fitness in a natural population of a highly
 625 dimorphic plant species, despite similar directional selection in both sexes. Plant density impacted
 626 males and females differently, suggesting that sexes may display different sensitivities to
 627 competition over resources, regardless of competition for mates. The fixed life-form of plants might
 628 often generate spatial structure in fitness, as displayed in our study population. We therefore
 629 advocate for the generalization of spatial methods for estimating selection gradients, combined with
 630 spatially explicit fitness estimation methods for estimating selection acting on plants in the wild. In
 631 the long run, such methods should also account for the sex-specific temporal variation in plant
 632 phenology and the relatedness between potential mates as both can also influence fitness (Ismail &
 633 Kokko, 2019) and are likely to show spatial patterns.

Acknowledgments

We dedicate this manuscript to IO who passed away before the completion of this study, which she initiated. She inspired and is still inspiring our research in many ways. We are grateful to Nicolas Bierne and Pierre-Alexandre Gagnaire for their helpful advice on population genetic structure analysis, to John Pannell for helpful discussions and English editing, to Jeremy Midgley for help in the field and attracting our interest in this system. Elodie Flaven-Noguier, Fabienne Justy and Clémence Hatt helped with the DNA extractions, genotyping and molecular biology protocols. This work was supported by a grant from the FRB to IO and AM, from the ANR “Evorange”, ANR-09-PEXT-011 to OR and from the ANR “MeCC”, ANR-13-ADAP-006 to OR, EKK and SOM. JT was supported by a grant to John Pannell from the FNS, 31003A_163384. OR acknowledges support from the Peter Wall Institute of Advanced Studies, UBC, and from CNRS.

References

- 648 Acker P, Grégoire A, Rat M, Spottiswoode CN, van Dijk RE, Paquet M, Kaden JC, Pradel R,
 649 Hatchwell BJ, Covas R, Doutrelant C. 2015. Disruptive viability selection on a black
 650 plumage trait associated with dominance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **28**: 2027-2041.
- 651 Ahee JE, Van Drunen WE, Dorken ME. 2015. Analysis of pollination neighbourhood size using
 652 spatial analysis of pollen and seed production in broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*). *Botany*
 653 **93**: 91-100.
- 654 Antos JA, Allen GA. 1990. A comparison of reproductive effort in the dioecious shrub *Oemleria*
 655 *cerasiformis* using nitrogen, energy and biomass as currencies. *The American Midland*
 656 *Naturalist* **124**: 254-262.
- 657 Araya YN, Silvertown J, Gowing DJ, McConway KJ, Linder HP, Midgley G. 2011. A fundamental,
 658 eco-hydrological basis for niche segregation in plant communities. *New Phytologist* **189**:
 659 253-258.
- 660 Arnold SJ. 1994. Bateman's principles and the measurement of sexual selection in plants and
 661 animals. *The American Naturalist* **144**: S126-S149.
- 662 Austerlitz F, Dick CW, Dutech C, Klein EK, Oddou-Muratorio S, Smouse PE, Sork VL. 2004.
 663 Using genetic markers to estimate the pollen dispersal curve. *Molecular Ecology* **13**: 937-
 664 954.
- 665 Austerlitz F, Dutech C, Smouse PE, Davis F, Sork VL. 2007. Estimating anisotropic pollen
 666 dispersal: a case study in *Quercus lobata*. *Heredity* **99**: 193-204.
- 667 Barrio M, Teixido AL. (2015) Sex-dependent selection on flower size in a large-flowered
 668 Mediterranean species: experimental approach with *Cistus ladanifer*. *Plant Systematics and*
 669 *Evolution* **301**:113-124.
- 670 Bateman AJ. 1948. Intra-sexual selection in *Drosophila*. *Heredity* **2**: 349-368.

- Berger D, Grieshop K, Lind MI, Goenaga J, Maklakov AA, Arnqvist G. 2014. Intralocus sexual conflict and environmental stress. *Evolution* **68**: 2184-2196.
- Bond WJ, Maze KE. 1999. Survival costs and reproductive benefits of floral display in a sexually dimorphic dioecious shrub, *Leucadendron xanthoconus*. *Evolutionary Ecology* **13**: 1-18.
- Bond WJ. 1984. Fire survival of Cape Proteaceae influence of fire season and seed predators. *Vegetatio*, **56**:65-74.
- Bullock JM, González LM, Tamme R, Götzenberger L, White SM, Pärtel M, Hooftman DAP. 2017. A synthesis of empirical plant dispersal kernels. *Journal of Ecology* **105**: 6-19.
- Burczyk J, Adams WT, Birkes DS, Chybicki IJ. 2006. Using genetic markers to directly estimate gene flow and reproductive success parameters in plants on the basis of naturally regenerated seedlings. *Genetics* **173**: 363-372.
- Burczyk JL, Prat D. 1997. Male reproductive success in *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) France: the effects of spatial structure and flowering characteristics. *Heredity* **79**: 638-647.
- Castilla AR, Alonso C, Herrera CM. 2014. Sex-specific selection and geographical variation in gender divergence in a gynodioecious shrub. *Plant biology* **17**:186-193.
- Chong VK, Fung HF, Stinchcombe JR. 2018. A note on measuring natural selection on principal component scores. *Evolution letters* **2**:272-280.
- Chybicki IJ. 2018. NM π —improved reimplementation of NM+, a software for estimating gene dispersal and mating patterns. *Molecular ecology resources* **18**:159-168.
- Chybicki IJ, Oleska A. 2018. Seed and pollen gene dispersal in *Taxus baccata*, a dioecious conifer in the face of strong population fragmentation. *Annals of Botany* **122**:409-421.
- Cocucci AA, Marino S, Baranzelli M, Wiemer AP, Sérsic A. 2014. The buck in the milkweed: evidence of male-male interference among pollinaria on pollinators. *New Phytologist* **203**: 280-286.

- 695 Connallon T. 2015. The geography of sex-specific selection, local adaptation, and sexual
696 dimorphism. *Evolution* **69**: 2333-2344.
- 697 Connallon T, Hall MD. 2016. Genetic correlations and sex-specific adaptation in changing
698 environments. *Evolution* **70**: 2186-2198.
- 699 Cowling RM, Lamont BB. 1987. Post-fire recruitment of four co-occurring *Banksia* species.
700 *Journal of Applied Ecology* **24**: 645-658.
- 701 Cox RM, Calsbeek R. 2009. Sexually antagonistic selection, sexual dimorphism, and the resolution
702 of intralocus sexual conflict. *The American Naturalist* **173**: 176-187.
- 703 Crainiceanu CM, Ruppert, D. 2004. Likelihood ratio tests in linear mixed models with one variance
704 component. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* **66**:
705 165-185.
- 706 Delph LF, Herlihy CR. 2012. Sexual, fecundity, and viability selection on flower size and number in
707 a sexually dimorphic plant. *Evolution* **66**: 1154-1166.
- 708 Delph LF, Andicoechea J, Steven JC, Herlihy CR, Scarpino SV, Bell DL. 2011. Environment-
709 dependent intralocus sexual conflict in a dioecious plant. *New Phytologist* **192**: 542-552.
- 710 Delph LF, Ashman TL. 2006. Trait selection in flowering plants: how does sexual selection
711 contribute? *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **46**: 465-472.
- 712 Delph LF, Bell DL. 2008. A test of the differential-plasticity hypothesis for variation in the
713 degree of sexual dimorphism in *Silene latifolia*. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **10**: 61-75.
- 714 Devaux C, Lavigne C, Falentin-Guyomarc'h H, Vautrin S, Lecomte J, Klein EK. 2005. High
715 diversity of oilseed rape pollen clouds over an agro ecosystem indicates long distance
716 dispersal. *Molecular Ecology* **14**: 2269-2280.

- 718 Dorken ME, Perry LE. 2017. Correlated paternity measures mate monopolization and scales with
719 the magnitude of sexual selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **30**: 377-387.
- 720 Elle, E. & Meagher, TR. 2000. Sex allocation and reproductive success in the andromonoecious
721 perennial *Solanum carolinense* (Solanaceae). II Paternity and functional gender. *The*
722 *American Naturalist* **156**: 622-636.
- 723 Eppley SM, Pannell JR. 2007. Density-dependent self-fertilization and male *versus* hermaphrodite
724 siring success in an androdioecious plant. *Evolution* **61**: 2349-2359.
- 725 Fargevieille A. 2016. Sexual selection and the evolution of female ornaments: an examination of
726 female plumage colouration using comparative analyses and long-term data sets collected
727 in blue tit (*Cyanistes caeruleus*) populations. Animal biology. Université Montpellier,
728 English. NNT:2016MONTT127. Tel-01647685
- 729 Forrest JRK. 2014. Plant size, sexual selection, and the evolution of protandry in dioecious plants.
730 *The American Naturalist* **184**: 338-351.
- 731 Freeman DC, Klikoff LG, Harper KT. 1976. Differential resource utilization by the sexes of
732 dioecious plants. *Science* **193**: 597-599.
- 733 Gauzère J, Klein EK, Oddou-Muratorio S. 2013. Ecological determinants of mating system
734 within and between three *Fagus sylvatica* populations along an elevational gradient.
735 *Molecular Ecology* **19**: 5001-5015.
- 736 Geber MA, Dawson TE, Delph LF. 1999. *Sexual and gender dimorphism in flowering plants*.
737 Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg.
- 738 Geber S, Chadoeuf J, Gugerli F, Lascoux M, Buiteveld J, Cottrel J, Dounavi A, Fineschi S, Forrest
739 LL, Fogelqvist J. et al. 2014. High rates of gene flow by pollen and seed in oak populations
740 across Europe. *Plos One* **9**: e85130.

- Goto S, Shimatani K, Yoshimaru H, Takahashi Y. 2006. Fat-tailed gene flow in the dioecious canopy tree species *fraxinus mandshurica* var. *japonica* revealed by microsatellites. *Molecular Ecology* **15**: 2985-2996.
- Grant PR, Grant BR. 2002. Unpredictable evolution in a 30-year study of Darwin's finches. *Science* **296**: 707-711.
- Guillot G, Rousset F. 2018. Dismantling the Mantel tests. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**: 336-344.
- Harder LD, Prusinkiewicz P. 2013. The interplay between inflorescence development and function as the crucible of architectural diversity. *Annals of Botany* **112**: 1477-1493.
- Hardy OJ, Delaide B, Hainaut H, Gillet J-F, Gillet P, Kaymak E, Vankercklove N, Dumini J, Doucet J-L. (2019) Seed and pollen dispersal distances in two African legume timber trees and their reproductive potential under selective logging. *Molecular Ecology* **28**:3119-3134.
- Harris MS, Pannell JR. 2008. Roots, shoots and reproduction: sexual dimorphism in size and costs of reproductive allocation in an annual herb. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B – Biological Sciences* **275**: 2595-2602.
- Harris MS, Pannell JR. 2010. Canopy seed storage is associated with sexual dimorphism in the woody dioecious genus *Leucadendron*. *Journal of Ecology* **98**: 509-515.
- Harris MS. 2007. *The evolution of sexual dimorphism in flowering plants*. PhD thesis, University of Oxford, England.
- Ismail SA, Kokko H. 2019. An analysis of mating biases in trees. *Molecular Ecology* in press, doi:10.1111/mec.15312.
- Jones AG, Small CM, Paczolt KA, Ratterman NL. 2010. A practical guide to methods of parentage analysis. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **10**: 6-30.

- 764 Justy F, Midgley J, Olivieri I. 2009. Isolation and characterization of ten microsatellites markers in
765 *Leucadendron rubrum* (Proteaceae). *Molecular Ecology Resources database* **9**: 1375-1429.
- 766 Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC. 2007. Revising how the computer program CERVUS
767 accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular*
768 *Ecology* **16**: 1099-1106.
- 769 Klein EK, Desassis N, Oddou-Muratorio S. 2008. Pollen flow in the wildservice tree, *Sorbus*
770 *torminalis* (L.) Crantz. IV. Whole interindividual variance of male fecundity estimated
771 jointly with the dispersal kernel. *Molecular Ecology* **17**: 3323-3336.
- 772 Klein EK, Bontemps A, Oddou-Muratorio S. 2013. Seed dispersal kernels estimated from genotypes
773 of established seedlings: does density dependent mortality matter? *Methods in ecology and*
774 *Evolution* **4**:1059-1069.
- 775 Klinkhamer PGL, de Jong TJ, Metz H. 1997. Sex and size in cosexual plants. *Trends in Ecology*
776 *and Evolution* **12**: 260-265.
- 777 Kokko H, Rankin DJ. 2006. Lonely hearts or sex in the city? Density- dependent effects in
778 mating systems. *Philosophical Transaction of the Royal Society B* **361**: 319-334.
- 779 Kraaij T, Cowling RM, van Wilgen BW. 2011. Past approaches and future challenges to the
780 management of fire and invasive alien plants in the new Garden Route National Park. *South*
781 *African Journal of Science* **107**: doi:10.4102/sajs.v107i9/10.633.
- 782 Lande R. 1980. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection and adaptation in polygenic characters.
783 *Evolution* **34**: 292-305.
- 784 Lande R, Arnold SJ. 1983. The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution* **37**:
785 1210-1226.

- 786 Lankinen A, Hybdom S, Strandh M. 2017. Sexually antagonistic evolution caused by male-male
787 competition in the pistil. *Evolution* **71**: 2359:2369.
- 788 Long TAF, Agrawal AF, Rowe L. 2012. The effect of sexual selection on offspring fitness depends
789 on the nature of genetic variation. *Current Biology* **22**: 204-208.
- 790 Martín-Sanz RC, Callejas-Días M, Tonnabel J, Climent JM. 2017. Maintenance costs of serotiny in
791 a variably serotinous pine: the role of water supply. *PlosOne* **12**: e0181648.
- 792 Matter P, Kettle CJ, Ghazoul J, Hahn T, Pluess AR. 2013. Evaluating contemporary pollen
793 dispersal in two common grassland species *Ranunculus bulbosus* L. (*Ranunculaceae*) and
794 *Trifolium montanum* L. *Fabaceae* using an experimental approach. *Plant Biology* **15**: 583-
795 592.
- 796 McDowell SCL, McDowell NG, Marshall JD, Hultine K. 2000. Carbon and nitrogen allocation to
797 male and female reproduction in Rocky Mountain Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var.
798 *galuca*, Pinaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **87**: 539-546.
- 799 Meagher TR, Thompson EA. 1986. The relationship between single parent and parent pair
800 likelihoods in genealogy reconstruction. *Theoretical Population Biology* **29**: 87-106.
- 801 Moore JC, Pannell JR. 2011. Sexual selection in plants. *Current Biology* **21**: R176-R182.
- 802 Obeso JR. 2002. The cost of reproduction in plants. *New Phytologist* **155**: 321-348.
- 803 O'Connell LM, Mosseler A, Rajora OP. 2007. Extensive long-distance pollen dispersal in a
804 fragmented landscape maintains genetic diversity in white spruce. *Journal of Heredity* **98**:
805 640-645.
- 806 Oddou-Muratorio S, Klein EK, Austerlitz F. 2005. Pollen flow in the wildservice tree, *Sorbus*
807 *torminalis* (L.) crantz. II. Pollen dispersal and heterogeneity in mating success inferred from
808 parent-offspring analysis. *Molecular Ecology* **14**: 4441-4452.

- 809 Oddou-Muratorio S, Gauzère J, Bontemps A, Rey J-F, Klein EK. 2018. Tree, sex and size:
810 ecological determinants of male vs. female fecundity in three *Fagus sylvatica* stands.
811 *Molecular Ecology* **27**:3131-3145.
- 812 Pickup M, Barrett SCH. 2012. Reversal of height dimorphism promotes pollen and seed dispersal in
813 a wind-pollinated dioecious plant. *Biology Letters* **8**: 397-404.
- 814 Price T, Kirkpatrick M, Arnold SJ. 1988. Directional selection and the evolution of breeding date in
815 birds. *Science* **240**: 798-799.
- 816 Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus
817 genotype data. *Genetics* **155**: 945-959.
- 818 Puixeu G, Pickup M, Field DL, Barrett SCH. 2019. Variation in sexual dimorphism in a wind-
819 pollinated plant: the influence of geographical context and life-cycle dynamics. *New*
820 *Phytologist*, **224**:1108-1120.
- 821 R Core Team (2020) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for
822 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <https://www.R-project.org>.
- 823 Rausher, M.D. 1992. The measurement of selection on quantitative traits: biases due to
824 environmental covariances between traits and fitness. *Evolution*, **46**:616-626.
- 825 Rebelo AG. 2001. *A field guide to the Proteas of Southern Africa*. Vlaeberg, Cape Town: Fernwood
826 Press.
- 827 Reed TE, Jenouvrier S, Visser ME. Phenological mismatch strongly affects individual fitness but
828 not population demography in a woodland passerine. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **82**: 131-
829 144.
- 830 Reimchen TE, Nosil P. 2002. Temporal variation in divergent selection on spine number in
831 three spine stickleback. *Evolution*, **56**: 2472-2483.

- Renner SS. 2014. The relative and absolute frequencies of angiosperm sexual systems: Dioecy,
monoecy, gynodioecy, and an updated online database. *American Journal of Botany*
101: 1588-1596.
- Rousset F, Ferdy J-B. 2014. Testing environmental and genetic effects in the presence of spatial
autocorrelation. *Ecography* **37**: 781-790.
- Scheipl F, Greven S, Kuechenhoff H. 2008. Size and power of tests for a zero random effect
variance or polynomial regression in additive and linear mixed models. *Computational*
Statistics & Data Analysis **52**:3283-3299.
- Schiestl FP, Johnson SD. 2013. Pollinator mediated evolution of floral signals. *Trends in Ecology*
and Evolution **28**: 307-315.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis.
Nature methods **9**: 671-675.
- Schoen DJ, Stewart SC. 1987. Variation in male fertilities and pairwise mating probabilities in
Picea glauca. *Genetics* **116**: 141-152.
- Sheridan JA, Bickford D. 2011. Shrinking body size as an ecological response to climate change.
Nature Climate Change **1**: 401-406.
- Silvertown J, Dood ME, Gowing DJG, Mountford JO. 1999. Hydrologically defined niches reveal a
basis for species richness in plant communities. *Nature* **400**: 61-63.
- Tonnabel J, Van Dooren T, Midgley J, Haccou P, Mignot A, Ronce O, Olivieri I. 2012. Optimal
resource allocation in a serotinous non-sprouting plant species under different fire regimes.
Journal of Ecology **100**: 1464-1474.

- 853 Tonnabel J, Mignot A, Douzery EJP, Rebelo T, Schurr F, Midgley J, Illing N, Justy F, Orcel D,
 854 Olivieri I. 2014. Convergent and correlated evolution of major life-history traits in the
 855 angiosperm genus *Leucadendron* (Proteaceae). *Evolution* **68**: 2775-2792.
- 856 Tonnabel J, David P, Pannell JR. 2017. Sex-specific strategies of resource allocation in response to
 857 competition for light in a dioecious plant. *Oecologia* **185**:675-686.
- 858 Tonnabel J, David P, Pannell JR. 2019a. Do metrics of sexual selection conform to Bateman's
 859 principles in a wind-pollinated plant? *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B* 20190532.
- 860 Tonnabel J, David P, Klein EK, Pannell JR. 2019b. Sex-specific selection on plant architecture
 861 through 'budget' and 'direct' effects in experimental populations of a wind pollinated herb.
 862 *Evolution* **73**:897-912.
- 863 Treurnicht M, Pagel J, Esler KJ, Schutte-Vlok A-L, Nottebrock H, Rebelo AG, Schurr FM. 2016.
 864 Environmental drivers of demographic variation across the global geographical range of 26
 865 plant species. *Journal of Ecology* **104**: 331-342.
- 866 Vaida F, Blanchard S. 2005. Conditional akaike information for mixed-effects models. *Biometrika*
 867 **92**:351-370.
- 868 van Drunen WE, Dorken ME. 2012. Trade-offs between clonal and sexual reproduction in
 869 *Sagittaria latifolia* (Alismataceae) scale up to affect the fitness of entire clones. *New*
 870 *Phytologist* **196**: 606-616.
- 871 van Kleunen M, Burczyk J. 2008. Selection on floral traits through male fertility in a natural plant
 872 population. *Evolutionary Ecology* **22**: 39-54.
- 873 van Wilgen BW, Forsyth GG, de Klerk H, Das S, Khuluse S, Schmitz P. 2010. Fire management in
 874 Mediterranean-climate shrublands: a case study from the Cape fynbos, South Africa. *Journal*
 875 *of Applied Ecology*, **47**: 631-638.

- 876 Waelti MO, Page PA, Widmer A, Schiesti FP. 2009. How to be an attractive male: floral
877 dimorphism and attractiveness to pollinators in a dioecious plant. *BMC Evolutionary*
878 *Biology* **9**: 190.
- 879 Welsford MR, Hobbhahn N, Midgley JJ, Johnson SD. 2016. Floral trait evolution associated with
880 shifts between insect and wind pollination in the dioecious genus *Leucadendron*
881 (Proteaceae). *Evolution* **70**: 126-139.
- 882 Welsford, M. R., Midgley, J. J., & Johnson, S. D. 2014. Experimental evaluation of insect
883 pollination versus wind pollination in *Leucadendron* (Proteaceae). *International journal of*
884 *plant sciences* **175**: 296-306.
- 885 Wickham, H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.
- 886 Williams IJM. 1972. A revision of the genus *Leucadendron* (Proteaceae). *Contrib. Bol. Herb* **3**: 1-
887 425.
- 888 Wright JW, Meagher TR. 2004. Selection on floral characters in natural Spanish populations of
889 *Silene latifolia*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **17**: 382-395.
- 890 Zajitschek F, Connallon T. 2017. Partitioning of resources: the evolutionary genetics of sexual
891 conflict over resource acquisition and allocation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **30**: 826-
892 838.

Data accessibility

894 All genotype and morphological trait data are available in Dryad at doi:10.5061/dryad.ngf1vhhst.
895 The new MEMMseedlings source code for dioecious species is available at
896 https://gitlab.paca.inrae.fr/pub/tonnabel_mol_ecol. The new version of spaMM is publicly available
897 in spaMM since version 2.6.0 at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=spaMM>.

Author contributions

900 IO, AM and AC designed the study, collected data, and mapped the plants; EKK produced the
901 mating model; FR implemented methods allowing fitting of sex-specific spatial random effects in
902 spaMM; FR and AC designed the statistical procedures for measuring selection gradients in the
903 presence of spatial autocorrelation; JT and AC performed the analyses; JT produced the genotyping
904 dataset and drafted the manuscript; all authors discussed the results and edited the manuscript.

Figure captions

Figure 1: Sexual dimorphism in canopy diameter in cm (A.) and leaf area in cm² (B.) of the study population *L. rubrum*. Points are prediction from models accounting for the spatial distribution of traits within each sex and error bars are 95% confidence intervals around mean predictions.

Figure 2: Dispersal kernels estimated under the Bayesian model for seed dispersal (A.) and for pollen dispersal (B.). Filled lines correspond to the posterior mean dispersal kernels obtained by averaging parameters of the concatenation of two Markov chains of 50,000 steps (burn-in phase of 10,000 steps). Grey lines illustrate the uncertainty around the averaged dispersal kernel and correspond to the kernels estimated on each iteration of the MCMC. Both dispersal kernels are represented within the minimal and maximal distances existing in our population between females and seedlings for seeds (A.) and between males and females for pollen (B.), *i.e.*, respectively, the maximal female-seedling and male-female distances in our population. The extrapolation of dispersal kernels beyond these limits are not represented in the plots.

Figure 3: Spatial prediction of the relative effective fecundity in males (A.) and females (B.) as predicted by a generalized linear mixed-effect model, our full model, including all fixed effects (sex, canopy diameter, leaf area, plant density, and the interaction between each of the three latter variables and sex) as well as one spatially autocorrelated random effect for each sex. Relative fecundity represents our measure of relative effective fecundity estimated by our MEMMseedlings model, and circles represent the localization of individual plants.

Figure 4: Partial-dependence effect plots of leaf area (A.), canopy diameter (B.) and plant density (C.) on the relative effective fecundity as predicted by our full model. Points indicate observed trait values as well as the relative effective fecundity $\pm$ SD stemming from MCMC estimations. Curves indicate model predictions computed as partial-dependence effects $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals. Relative fecundity designates our measure of relative effective fecundity.

Figure S1: Pictures of females and males *Leucadendron rubrum* plants (A.), and of male (B.) and female (C.) inflorescences magnification. Credit picture: Isabelle Olivieri.

Figure S2: Map of the study site showing distribution of females (red circles), males (blue triangles), juveniles (green crosses), non-genotyped females (red full circles) and non-genotyped males (blue full circles). One non-genotyped male that was located nearby the population is not represented in the map.

Figure S3: Results of a genetic analysis of the sampled individuals using the software STRUCTURE (Pritchard *et al.*, 2000). Four types of samples were tested: juvenile plants of the third undetermined morphological group and, adult plants of *L. salignum* and of *L. rubrum* and juvenile plants of *L. rubrum*. Four genetic groups were selected by this analysis and are represented here by four different colors (*i.e.* blue, orange, pink and yellow). Individuals are displayed on two different panels (A. and B.) only for the sake of readability but all individuals belong to the same dataset described in Supplementary Methods S2.

Figure S4: Sexual dimorphism in plant height in cm (A.), in canopy first and second diameters in cm (B. & C.), in leaf area in cm² (D.), in leaf length in cm (E.) and in leaf width in cm (F.). Raw data have been jittered around their x-axis value for visualization purposes and overlaid with both a violin plot and a boxplot using default settings in the R package ggplot2 version 3.1.0 (Wickham, 2016).

956 **Figure S5:** Prediction of canopy diameter in males (A.) and females (B.) as predicted by a linear
 957 mixed-effect models including sex as a fixed effect as well as one spatially autocorrelated random
 958 effect for each sex.

959

960 **Figure S6:** Density for all individuals (A.), for males (B.) and for females (C.) as numbers of
 961 individuals in quadrats of 12x12 meters.

962

963 **Figure S7:** Comparisons of conditional likelihood between the model presented in the main text
 964 accounting for spatial structure of pollen dispersal (in green) and a model neglecting such a spatial
 965 structure of pollen dispersal (in brown) as a function of Bayesian iterations which were run for
 966 50,000 steps. These simulations were run using uniform prior distributions with the following
 967 intervals [0.01,1.00], [0.01,1.00], [0.00,1.00], [1.00,100], [0.01,10], [1.00,30000], [0.01,1.10] for the
 968 parameters m_s , m_p , v , δ_s , b_s , δ_p and b_p , except for the second model in which δ_p and b_p were not
 969 modeled.

970

971 **Figure S8:** Replicate of Fig. 3 after the removal of individuals with effective fecundity greater than
 972 four standard errors (*i.e.* five females and one male). See Fig. 3 for legend details. Red crosses
 973 correspond to the locations of plants removed in the sensitivity analysis.

974

975 **Figure S9:** Spatial prediction of the number of empirically counted cones as predicted by a
 976 generalized linear mixed-effect model including all fixed effects (canopy diameter, leaf area, plant
 977 density) as well as one spatially autocorrelated random effect.

978

979 **Figure S10:** Replicate of Fig. 4 after the removal of individuals with effective fecundity greater than
 980 four standard errors (*i.e.* five females and one male). See Fig. 4 for legend details.