

Industrial symbiosis of anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis: Performances and agricultural interest of coupling biochar and liquid digestate

Saida Tayibi, Florian Monlau, Frederic Marias, Nicolas Thevenin, Raquel Jimenez, Abdallah Oukarroum, Adil Alboulkas, Youssef Zeroual, Abdellatif

Barakat

► To cite this version:

Saida Tayibi, Florian Monlau, Frederic Marias, Nicolas Thevenin, Raquel Jimenez, et al.. Industrial symbiosis of anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis: Performances and agricultural interest of coupling biochar and liquid digestate. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 793, pp.148461. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148461. hal-03280487

HAL Id: hal-03280487 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03280487

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Industrial symbiosis of anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis: performances and 1 agricultural interest of coupling biochar and liquid digestate 2

3

Saida Tayibi^{1, 2, 3, 4}, Florian Monlau^{3*}, Frederic Marias⁵, Nicolas Thevenin⁶, Raquel 4 Jimenez³, Abdallah Oukarroum², Adil Alboulkas^{1,7}, Youssef Zeroual⁸, and Abdellatif 5 Barakat^{1,2*}

- 6
- 7 ¹IATE, University of Montpellier, INRAE, Agro Institut of Montpelier, Montpellier, France
- 8 ²Mohammed VI Polytechnic University (UM6P), Ben Guerir, Morocco
- 9 ³APESA, Pôle Valorisation, Cap Ecologia, Lescar, France
- 10 ⁴LIMAT, Faculté des Sciences Ben M'Sik, Université Hassan II de Casablanca, Morocco
- ⁵ Laboratoire de Thermique Energétique et Procédés IPRA, EA1932, Université de Pau et des Pays 11
- 12 de l'Adour/E2S, UPPA, 64000 Pau, France
- ⁶ RITTMO Agroenvironnement-ZA Biopôle 37 rue de Herrlisheim– CS 800 23 68025 COLMAR 13 14 **CEDEX.** France
- ⁷ Laboratoire des procédés chimiques et matériaux appliqués (LPCMA), Faculté polydisciplinaire de 15
- 16 Béni-Mellal, Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, BP 592, 23000 Béni-Mellal, Morocco
- 17 ⁸ Situation Innovation, OCP Group, Complexe industriel Jorf Lasfar, El Jadida, Morocco
- 18
- 19 ^{*}Corresponding author: Florian Monlau; Email: florian.monlau@apesa.fr;
- abdellatif.barakat@inrae.fr Tel: +33688491845 20
- 21

22 **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**



- 25 Keywords: Anaerobic digestion, biochar, biogas, bio-oil, mineralization, plant growth tests,
- 26 syngas

28 Abbreviations:

- **AD:** Anaerobic digestion
- **B25:** Biochar at 25 tons/ha
- **B50**: Biochar at 50 tons/ha
- **BMP:** Biochemical Methane Potential
- **CHP:** Cogeneration Heat and Power
- **COD**: Chemical Oxygen Demand
- 35 CSTR: Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
- **DM:** Dry Matter
- **EBC**: European Biochar Certificate
- **IBI**: International Biochar Initiative
- **IF**: Industrial Fertilizer (DAP and ammonium nitrate)
- **LD:** Liquid Digestate
- **VS:** Volatile Solid

43 ABSTRACT

The sustainability of the anaerobic digestion industry is closely related to proper digestate disposal. In this study, an innovative cascading biorefinery concept coupling anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis of the digestate was investigated with the aim of enhancing the energy recovery and improving the fertilizers from organic wastes. Continuous anaerobic co-digestion of quinoa residues with wastewater sludge (45/55% VS) exhibited good stability and a methane production of 219 NL CH₄/ kg VS. Subsequent pyrolysis of the solid digestate was carried out (at 500 °C, 1 h, and 10 °C/min), resulting in a products distribution of 40 wt% biochar, 36 wt% bio-oil, and 24 wt% syngas. The organic phase (OP) of bio-oil and syngas exhibited higher and lower heating values of 34 MJ/kg and 11.8 MJ/Nm³, respectively. The potential synergy of coupling biochar with liquid digestate (LD)

for agronomic purposes was investigated. Interestingly, coupling LD (at 170 kgN/ha) with biochar (at 25 tons/ha) improved the growth of tomato plants up to 25% compared to LD application alone. In parallel, co-application of biochar with LD significantly increased the ammonia volatilization (by 64%) compared to LD application alone, although their simultaneous use did not impact the C and N mineralization rates.

59 1. Introduction

The increase in the world's population is creating environmental issues such as over-60 exploitation of fossil fuels and the accumulation of wastes. In recent decades, the scientific 61 community has increasingly engaged in the development of processes that optimize the 62 recovery and use of organic wastes (biowastes, agricultural wastes, agro-industrial wastes, 63 64 urban wastes, etc.) in a diverse range of products according to the concept of environmental biorefineries (Demichelis et al., 2020; Monlau et al., 2015a). Morocco has rapidly 65 transformed into a largely urban society over the past decade, with approximately 60% of its 66 citizens now living in cities and urban areas due to a trend of rural migration to coastal 67 68 centers. The high population growth rate in urban agglomerations in recent years has been accompanied by environmental problems such as an increase in wastes and effluents 69 (Alhamed et al., 2018; Belloulid et al., 2017). Morocco has achieved significant improvement 70 71 in the wastewater sector in the past ten years, and 123 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) have been built, increasing the treatment capacity to 900 million m³ per year (Alhamed et al., 72 2018). In 2015, the potential production of sludge in Morocco at the level of WWTP was 73 74 estimated to 155,450 tons of dry matter (Belloulid et al., 2017).

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a well-known process that has been used for many decades to treat organic wastes, such as wastewater sludges (Sawatdeenarunat et al., 2016). This process transforms organic matter in the absence of oxygen into biogas (a mixture of CH₄ and CO₂) and an undegraded residue called digestate (Monlau et al., 2015b). Biogas is a source of 79 energy that is generally used to generate heat and electricity through cogeneration and a heat 80 power system or it can be injected into the natural gas grid after an upgrading process (Roubaud and Favrat, 2005). Nonetheless, mono-AD of organic wastes is limited by the need 81 82 to maintain an optimal C/N ratio between 15 and 30 (Chandra et al., 2012), which can lead to instability and decreased performance (Sawatdeenarunat et al., 2016). Anaerobic co-digestion 83 with lignocellulosic biomass is a promising technology to improve digester performance with 84 wastewater sludge by improving the C/N ratio (Giuliano et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018). 85 86 Despite the potential of co-digestion technology, lignocellulosic biomass as a co-substrate can lead to a significant increase in the volume of digestate, thus requiring a final 87 88 elimination/valorization step (González et al., 2020). Generally, the anaerobic digestate is separated into a liquid fraction (rich in nutrients, especially N and K) and a solid fraction rich 89 in P and fibers that are mostly separated by a filter press, centrifuge, or a vibrating sieve 90 91 (Akhiar et al., 2017).

92 The cascading biomass valorization approach by coupling two or three processes has become 93 a new strategy to achieve the "zero waste" goal at the industrial scale. Recently, the coupling 94 of anaerobic digestion (AD) and pyrolysis processes through the pyrolysis of solid digestate has been considered as a solution to the challenge of AD digestate management and a way to 95 96 increase the sustainability of the entire process by the production of a higher amount of biofuels (syngas and bio-oil) (Fabbri and Torri, 2016; Ghysels et al., 2020; González et al., 97 2020; Pecchi and Baratieri, 2019). Pyrolysis is a thermochemical process in which biomass is 98 99 thermally degraded under an inert or a very low stoichiometric oxygen atmosphere (Tripathi 100 et al., 2016), yielding three products: syngas (mainly CO₂, H₂, and CO), bio-oil (composed of 101 an organic and an aqueous phases), and biochar (Monlau et al., 2015). Syngas can be 102 converted into heat or heat/electricity (combined heat and power, CHP) alone or mixed with 103 biogas in boilers and engines (Seyedi et al., 2019). The organic phase of bio-oil can be used as

104 a fuel, or it can be added to petroleum refinery products or upgraded by catalysts to produce 105 premium-grade refined fuels, or it may have use as building blocks (Pütün et al., 2005) whereas the aqueous phase can be recirculated as feedstock for the AD process (Torri and 106 107 Fabbri, 2014). The energetic interest of coupling AD and pyrolysis compared to stand-alone AD has been demonstrated previously (Ghysels et al., 2020; Monlau et al., 2015b). In parallel, 108 González-Arias et al. (2019) demonstrated that the combined approach of pyrolysis and 109 110 digestion solves the digestate disposal problem by generating biochar. Indeed, biochar, which 111 is the carbonaceous material obtained from pyrolysis, has several advantages (stable C, hygienization, water retention, etc.) and it can be used in several environmental applications 112 113 (Abdeljaoued et al., 2020). For instance, biochar has gained much attention in recent decades due to its potential to enhance soil quality and soil preservation, as well as mitigation of 114 climate change by carbon sequestration (Fernández et al., 2014; Semida et al., 2019). 115

Nonetheless, although coupling AD and pyrolysis has been well described and discussed in 116 the literature from an energetic point of view, less information is available regarding the use 117 118 of biochar derived from solid digestate for agronomic applications used alone or in combination with liquid digestate (Glaser et al., 2015; Opatokun et al., 2017; Tayibi et al., 119 120 2021). Ronga et al. (2020) assessed the effect of combining biochar (from pine wood chips) 121 with LD (from AD of a mixture of maize silage, triticale silage, cow slurry, and grape stalks) on the fruit yield of tomatoes produced by organic farming. The results demonstrated that 122 tomato plants fertilized with LD and biochar achieved a maximum yield of 72 tons/ha, while 123 124 the lowest production of 47 tons/ha was recorded with unfertilized plants (Ronga et al., 2020). Similarly, Tayibi et al., (2020a) investigated the coupling of LD with biochar (produced from 125 126 the solid digestate fraction) for agronomic applications on nutrient leaching and wheat growth. Interestingly, the addition of biochar increased the cumulative leaching of all 127 128 nutrients, except nitrate, with a significant decrease of 82% at 50 tons/ha, compared to soil

treated only with LD alone. In parallel, co-application of biochar and LD improved the aerial dry biomass production of wheat (up to 27.5%) compared to soil treated only with LD. Nonetheless, there is still little information available regarding the coupling of LD and biochar, not only in terms of crop yields but also on changes in the physicochemical properties of soil. The present study, therefore, evaluated the following:

- The performance of a continuous stirred reactor (CSTR) at a pilot scale for co digestion of quinoa residues and wastewater sludge;
- The pyrolysis products (biochar, bio-oil, and syngas) from a solid digestate at 500 °C,
 1 h, and 10 °C/min;
- Characterization of the syngas, bio-oil, and biochar by evaluation of the content of the
 inorganic elements contained in the biochar with the range suggested by the
 International Biochar Initiative (IBI) and the European Biochar Certificate (EBC).
- The phytotoxicity (germination index) of co-application of biochar (25 tons/ha and 50 tons/ha) and LD (170 kg N /ha) on tomato plants;
- The effect of co-application of biochar (25 tons/ha) and liquid digestate (170 kg N/ha)
 on microbial respiration (CO₂), the mineralization of nitrogen, and the volatilization of
 ammonia.
- 146 **2. Materials and Methods**
- 147

148

2.1. Feedstocks, inoculum, and soil properties

Two different residues were used for the anaerobic digestion tests: wastewater sludge and quinoa residues. The wastewater sludge was collected from a WWTP located in Lescar (France) and stored at -16 °C. Quinoa residues were collected from a private farm located in Benguerir (Morocco), the residues were dried at 40 °C for three days and crushed twice using an electric vegetable mill (Ge250, Stihl Viking[®], Germany). The inoculum used for the biochemical methane potential (BMP) tests and for the semi-continuous assays was an

internal mesophilic inoculum produced at the APESA center and fed with a mixture of 155 wastewater sludge and grass. For the agronomic tests, an agricultural soil sampled at the 156 surface (0-25 cm) was collected at the INRAE site in Mauguio (15.8 km from Montpellier, 157 158 France). The soil was air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve to remove large fragments. The main characteristics of the soil were (per thousand parts of raw material): 210 parts clay 159 (< 2µm), 93 parts fine silt (2 to 20 µm), 208 parts coarse silt (20 to 50 µm), 196 parts fine 160 sand (50 to 200 µm), and 302 parts coarse sands (200 to 2,000 µm). The soil was classified as 161 162 a clay loamy soil. Some of the other characteristics of the soil were a pH of 7.4 ± 0.1 (water), $1.9 \pm 0.2\%$ organic matter, a C/N ratio of 10.1, a total nitrogen (TN) content of 0.1 wt%, 163 0.1 ± 0.02 g/kg phosphorus (P₂O₅), 0.4 g/kg potassium (K₂O), and a 9.9 ± 1.0 cmol (+)/kg 164 cation exchange capacity (CEC). Two commercial fertilizers were used: DAP (NH₄)₂HPO₄, 165 characterized by 18 wt% N-NH₄ and 46 wt% P-P₂O₅, provided by the Cherifian Office for 166 167 Phosphates (OCP) company and complemented with ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) (99%), from Sigma-Aldrich[®], to obtain a ratio of 170 kg N/ha. 168

169 170

2.2. Anaerobic digestion (AD) process

The BMP tests were performed under anaerobic mesophilic conditions $(35 \pm 2 \text{ °C}, \text{ pH} = 7)$ 171 172 and in duplicate with a working volume of 500 mL at a substrate inoculum (S/I) ratio of 0.35 173 according the recommendations made in the European Interlaboratory study (Hafner et al., 2020). A blank control (only the inoculum) was carried out in parallel with the other 174 175 biomasses that were tested (wastewater sludge and quinoa residues) to subtract the amount of biogas generated by just the inoculum. Once the bottle was prepared, it was purged with 176 177 nitrogen gas (N₂) to maintain anaerobic conditions. The bottles were then sealed and placed in an oven at 35 ± 2 °C to maintain mesophilic conditions. The biogas production was monitored 178 daily by pressure measurements using a manometer with an LC display (Testo 502, 179 TESTOON, France). The composition of the biogas (H2, O2, N2, CH4, CO2, and H2S) was 180

determined using a micro gas chromatograph (490, Agilent Technology, USA). The first 181 column (Molsieve 5Å PLOT) was used at 110 °C to separate the O₂, N₂, and CH₄, and the 182 second column (HayeSep A) was used at 70 °C to separate the CO₂ from the other gases. The 183 184 injector and the detector temperatures were 110 °C and 55 °C, respectively. Detection of the gaseous compounds was performed using a thermal conductivity detector. The calibration was 185 performed with two standard gases composed of either 9.5% CO₂, 0.5% O₂, 81% N₂, and 10% 186 CH4 or 35% CO2, 5% O2, 20% N2, and 40% CH4 (Air Liquide®). All of the results are 187 188 presented under standardized conditions of temperature and pressure (Patm, 0 °C). After determination of the BMP of the substrates (quinoa residues and wastewater sludge), a 189 continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR) assay was set up with a total working volume of 20 190 191 L under mesophilic conditions $(35 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ and homogeneity was maintained using a continuous agitation system. The reactor was initially supplied with mesophilic inoculum as 192 193 previously described. The feedstock input and the discharge digestate were performed 194 manually once a day (5 days per week). The feedstock mixture was composed of 42.5% VS 195 wastewater sludge and 57.5% VS quinoa residues. The organic load rate and the hydraulic retention time were set at 2.06 g VS/m³ day and 41 days, respectively. The CSTR was 196 monitored by measurement of the pH and the temperature on a daily basis, the FOS/TAC 197 (Free Organic Acids / Total Inorganic Carbonate) ratio twice a week, and the volatile fatty 198 199 acids (VFAs) as well as the ammonium concentration once a week. Details for all the experimental protocols are provided in the previous section. Measurement of the Dry Matter 200 201 (DM) and the Volatile Solid (VS) contents of the digestate was carried out every weekend. 202 The biogas produced was recorded every day by a PC system connected to a Ritter volumetric counter cell. The biogas was collected in a gas pocket directly connected to the gas meter; the 203 analysis of the biogas was carried out 5 days/week using micro gas chromatography (490, 204 Agilent Technology, USA) as previously described. At the end of the process, a solid-liquid 205

separation was carried out using a wine press. A mass balance of the chemical oxygen 206 demand (COD) was also carried out at the end of the process. To assess the mass balance, it 207 was assumed that 350 NL CH₄ can be produced per kg of COD. The COD of the solid 208 209 digestate was assessed by a modified protocol using a double acid hydrolysis of biomasses as 210 described by Cazaudehore et al. (2019). The supernatant liquids from the solid fraction and 211 the LD were analyzed for COD using commercial kits (Spectroquant 14,155, Merck, Germany). The concentrations ranged from 500 to 10,000 mg COD/L. The tubes were then 212 213 heated to 148 °C in a preheated thermoreactor for 120 min. Finally, the COD was measured by an automatic spectrophotometer (photoLab[®] S6, WTW, Germany). 214

215 **2.3 Pyrolysis test of the solid digestate**

The dried solid digestate sample (approximately 300 g) was subjected to pyrolysis in a steel 216 217 reactor. Before the pyrolysis, the basket of feedstock and the furnace were purged with N₂ for 218 30 min to ensure an oxygen-free environment. The experiments were then carried out in duplicate at 500 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, for 1 hour at high temperature. The 219 collected pyrolysis products were biochar, bio-oil (condensable gas), and syngas (non-220 condensable gas). The furnace was then cooled to 25 °C under nitrogen flow and the biochar 221 222 and bio-oil were collected and weighed. The syngas (hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, carbon monoxide, and dioxide, methane, ethane, and ethylene) was quantified using a micro-223 chromatography device (Varian CP-4900, Agilent, Germany). The first column (CP-Sil CB) 224 was operated at 37 °C to separate the H₂ and the O₂, while the second column (Molsieve 5Å 225 226 PLO) was operated at 37 °C to separate the N₂, CO, and CH₄, and the third column (HayeSep A) was operated at 35 °C to separate the CO₂, C₂H₂, C₂H₄, and C₂H₆. The gaseous compounds 227 228 were detected by a thermal conductivity detector. The injector and the detector temperatures were 50 °C and 55 °C, respectively. The analyses of the syngas were carried out every 5 229 230 minutes during the pyrolysis reaction using the micro-GC. The mass of the produced syngas

M_{Syngas} (g) was determined by the difference between the output and input gas flow during the pyrolysis process using an acquisition system linked to two flowmeters measuring the input (flowmeter BROOKS) and the output (flowmeter M.M.T). Equation (1) was used to calculate the mass of the syngas, as described below:

235
$$M_{Syngas}(g)$$
236
$$= \sum \left(output \ flow \ (g/min) - input \ flow \ (g/min) \right) / 122$$
(1)

- where 122 is the number of acquisitions per min.
- 238 The biochar, bio-oil, and syngas product yield percentages were calculated as follows:

239 Biochar (wt%) =
$$M_{Biochar}(g)/M_{Solid \ digestate}(g) \times 100$$
 (2)

240
$$Bio - oil (wt\%) = M_{Bio-oil}(g)/M_{Solid \ digestate}(g) \times 100$$
(3)

241
$$Syngas (wt\%) = M_{Syngas}(g)/M_{Solid digestate}(g) \times 100$$
 (4)

where M_{Biochar}, M_{Bio-oil}, and M_{Syngas} represent the biochar, bio-oil, and syngas masses produced
 during the pyrolysis, and M_{solid digestate} represents the mass of the solid digestate.

The losses during pyrolysis (approximately 6%) were considered to be the bio-oil trapped in the walls of the cooling system, and they were taken into account in the bio-oil calculation.

The bio-oil obtained in this work was separated into two different phases: an organic phase and an aqueous phase obtained by decantation using dichloromethane (99.8%, from Sigma-Aldrich®) as the organic solvent. The weight and the yield were measured after decantation. Anhydrous Na₂SO₄ was added to the solvent mixture/organic phase to remove residual water. The solvent was then evaporated using a rotary evaporator, the organic phase of bio-oil was weighed, and its yield was measured.

The average lower heating value (LHV) of the syngas was calculated based on Equation (5) (Lv et al., 2004), assuming that the N₂ had been separated from the produced syngas. The

predictive higher heating value (HHV) (MJ/kg) of the organic phase of the bio-oil was
calculated using ultimate analysis in Equation (6) (Troy et al., 2013) as follows:

256
$$LHV(MJ / Nm^3) = [30 \times v/v\% CO + 25.7 \times v/v\% H_2 + 85.4 \times v/v\% CH_4 + 151.3 \times v/v\% (C_2 H_4 + C_2 H_6)] \times 0.42]$$
 (5)

258 $HHV (MJ/kg) = [3.55 \times C^2 - 232 \times C - 2230 \times H + 51.2 \times (C \times H) + 131 \times N +$ 259 20,600]/1000 (6)

260 **2.4 Analytical methods**

261 **2.4.1. Physicochemical analysis**

The Dry Matter (DM) and Volatile Solids (VS) contents in the organic wastes and the co-262 products were determined according to the protocol outlined by the American Public Health 263 264 Association (APHA, 2005). The pH of the digestate was determined using a WTW 340i reference pH meter. Ammonium (NH4⁺) was determined using reagent kits (Spectroquant[®], 265 Germany) for NH₄⁺ by spectrophotometry (photoLab® S6, WTW, Germany). To determine 266 267 the concentration of the VFAs, the digestate was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 9,600 rpm using a centrifuge system (Hettich Zentrifugen, Rotanta 460) to recover the liquid fraction. An 268 internal standard solution was prepared from 1 g of ethyl-2-butyric acid diluted in 1 L of 269 water acidified with 2.5% phosphoric acid. A 1 mL aliquot of internal standard solution was 270 then added to 2 mL of the liquid sample. The mixture was filtered using a 0.2-µm nylon filter 271 272 attached to a syringe. The filtered liquid was introduced into a vial for analysis by a gas 273 chromatography system (GC-7890B) coupled to a flame ionization detector (FID). The FOS/TAC (Free Organic Acids / Total Inorganic Carbonate) ratio, which corresponds to the 274 275 total acid and buffer capacity levels, was determined by acidification of the sample prepared as 2 g of digestate diluted in 50 mL of deionized water under magnetic agitation with sulfuric 276 277 acid (0.1 N) from a graduated burette. A first acidification to a pH of 5.1 determines the 278 buffer capacity of the medium. A second acidification to a pH of 3.5 can quantify the amount 279 of total acids in the medium. The Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) of the LD was analyzed

using commercial kits (Spectroquant[®], Merck, Germany). A 1 mL aliquot of centrifuged LD 280 was placed in the commercial tubes. The tubes were then heated to 148 °C in a preheated 281 thermoreactor for 120 min. Finally, the COD was measured by an automatic 282 spectrophotometer (photoLab[®] S6, WTW, Germany). The theoretical COD of the feedstocks 283 (wastewater sludge and quinoa residues) and the solid digestate were determined based on 284 CHNS analysis according to the method reported by Wei et al., (2018). For the COD balances 285 and biogas equivalence presented in Fig. 2, it was assumed that 1g of COD is equivalent to 286 287 1NmL of CH₄ (Im et al., 2020). The total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) content of the soil and the LD was determined according to the Kjeldahl method (Kjeldahl, 1883) by using a 288 mineralizator (BUCHI digestion unit K-438) and a distillator/titrator (BUCHI K-370). 289 Moreover, the N-NH4⁺ content of the LD was determined by the titrimetric method after 290 distillation using a BUCHI K-370 distillatory (Rodier, 1975). 291

A thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA 2-LF, Mettler Toledo[®], Switzerland) was used to assess 292 the thermal degradation of the solid digestate and the stability of its biochar, as well as to 293 determine the moisture, volatile matter, fixed carbon, and ash contents according to the 294 protocol reported in Tavibi et al., (2020b). The fibers (cellulose, hemicelluloses) and the 295 Klason lignin content of the dry solid digestate were determined using the NREL protocol 296 (Sluiter et al., 2008). Briefly, the Klason lignin content was determined as the weight of the 297 residues retained on a sintered glass crucible filter (\emptyset =25mm), and the soluble fractions were 298 analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) to quantify the monosaccharides 299 content (i.e., glucose, xylose, and arabinose). The HPLC (Alliance[®] HPLC System, Waters, 300 USA) analysis was performed using a column (Aminex[®] HPX-87H, BioRad, France) at 40 °C 301 and 0.3 mL/min of 0.005 M H₂SO₄. All of the measurements were performed in triplicate. 302 303 The cellulose and the hemicellulose percentages were determined by Equations (7) and (8), 304 respectively.

- 305 $Cellulose(\%) = [(Glucose(g/L) \times V_{tot})/M_{ini}] \times 100/1.11$ (7)
- 306 Hemicelluloses (%) = $[(Xylose(g/L) + Arabinose(g/L) \times V_{tot})/M_{ini}] \times$ 307 100 /1.13 (8)

308 where V_{tot} and M_{ini} represent the total volume of the hydrolysis medium (0.025 L) and the 309 initial mass of the sample in grams, respectively, while 1.11 represents the conversion factor 310 between glucose and cellulose and 1.13 represents the conversion factor between monomers 311 (xylose and arabinose) and hemicelluloses (Barakat et al., 2015).

312 The nutrient content (P, K, Mg, S, Ca, and Na) and the minor metallic content (Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Hg, Zn, Cr, and As) were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry 313 (ICP-MS) (X SERIES 2 ICP-MS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) equipped with a cooled 314 spray chamber, a quadrupole mass spectrometer, and a collision cell. The ICP-MS settings 315 were as follows: a nebulizer flow of 0.82 L/min, an auxiliary flow of 0.80 L/min, a cool flow 316 of 13 L/min, a forward power of 1,400 Watts, and a cell gas He/H flow rate of 0.0045 L/min. 317 For this purpose, microwave-assisted mineralization of the solid digestate and its biochar was 318 319 performed after the addition of nitric acid (65%) and hydrogen peroxide (30%). The reaction was conducted for 30 min at room temperature, and the mixtures were then placed in the 320 microwave reactor (flexiWAVE, Milestone, USA) and heated for 20 min to reach 210 °C, 321 322 which was maintained for 20 min and then cooled for 25 min. The obtained solutions were filtered using 0.2-µm filters and then analyzed by ICP-MS. The ultimate analyses (C, H, N, O, 323 324 and S) of the dry solid digestate, its biochar, and the organic phase of bio-oil and dry tomato plants were determined in duplicate using an elemental analyzer (varioMicro V4.0.2, 325 Elementar[®], Germany). 326

- 327 **2.5 Agronomic tests**
- 328 **2.5.1.** Carbon mineralization test
- 329

The effect of biochar (25 tons/ha) on soil CO₂ emission with or without the addition of LD 330 (170 kg N/ha) was explored by a 91-day indoor incubation experiment based on the AFNOR 331 FD U44-163, (2018) standard. The biochar and the liquid digestate (LD) were added and 332 333 mixed with the soil as follows: soil, soil + biochar (B25), soil + LD + biochar (B25), and soil + LD. Each condition was distributed into cups containing the equivalent of 25g of dry soil. 334 These cups were then placed in hermetically sealed containers in the presence of sodium 335 hydroxide and incubated at 28 °C. The humidity was kept constant throughout the experiment 336 (equivalent to the field water retention capacity of pF 2.8). The carbon mineralized by the 337 sample was measured after 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 49, 70, and 91 days of incubation by 338 339 assessment of the C-CO₂ trapped in the sodium hydroxide (NaOH; 0.5 mol/L). This measurement was performed on three repeats. A mixture of sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) and 340 sodium hydroxide was assayed. The carbonates were precipitated with an excess of barium 341 342 chloride (BaCl₂; 20 wt%) solution. The sodium hydroxide that remained free was titrated with hydrochloric acid (HCl; 0.1 mol/L). Thymolphthalein (a 0.1% solution in ethanol) was used 343 344 as the color indicator. The microbial respiration was calculated according to the following 345 Equation (9):

Microbial repiration $\left(\frac{mg(C - CO_2)}{kg \, dry \, soil}\right)$

348

$$= 0.5 \times \left[\left(\left(M_{NaOH}(mol/L) \times Vol_{NaOH}(L) \right) - \left(M_{HCl}(mol/L) \times Vol_{HCl\,used}(L) \right) \right] \\ \times Mm_{c} \left(\frac{g}{mol} \right) \times 1000 \ /m_{soil}(kg)$$
(9)

where, M_{NaOH} and M_{HCl} are the concentrations of the NaOH and the HCl solutions used in mol/L, respectively, Vol_{NaOH} is the volume of the NaOH solution, Vol_{HCl} is the volume of HCl used in the titration (L), Mm_C represents the molar mass of carbon (12.01 g/mol), and m_{soil} is the amount of soil in each condition (0.025 kg).

353 **2.5.2.** Nitrogen mineralization test

This test was also based on the AFNOR FD U44-163, (2018) standard. Biochar (25 tons/ha) 354 and LD (170 tons/ha) were added and mixed with the soil as follows: soil, soil + biochar 355 356 (B25), soil + LD + biochar (B25), and soil + LD. The experiment was carried out in soil cups (25 g of dry soil). Ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) ions were extracted from three 357 repeats after 0, 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 days of incubation at 28 °C with moisture maintenance 358 (pF 2.8). For each sampling date, a procedure to extract the mineral nitrogen contained in the 359 360 samples by mixing the soil with 100 mL of a KCl solution (1 mol/L) was carried out. The samples were placed on a rotating agitation device for 1 hour (Heidolph, Reax 20, Germany). 361 362 The samples were then filtered using filter paper. The filtrate samples were frozen before being sent to the laboratory for determination of the ammonia nitrogen levels as NH4⁺ and 363 ammonium nitrate. The measurement of NH₄ was performed according to the modified NF U 364 365 42-125, (1985) standard, while the measurement of NO₃ was performed by colorimetry (Hood-Nowotny et al., 2010). 366

367

2.5.3. Ammonia nitrogen volatilization (NH₃)

The objective of this experiment was to estimate the loss of nitrogen by evaporation of 368 ammonia nitrogen (NH₃) related to the supply of LD (170 kg N/ha), with or without biochar at 369 25 tons/ha (B25), using a closed dynamic flow system. The following conditions were 370 investigated: soil, soil + biochar (B25), soil + LD + biochar (B25), and soil + LD. The altered 371 372 soils were incubated for 15 days and added at 183 g. All of the conditions were repeated four 373 times. To allow microbiological activity in each enclosure, distilled water was added to reach 60% of the soil retention capacity. Volatile ammonia nitrogen was captured in an acid trap. 374 375 The traps were changed eight times over the 15-day period to determine the kinetics of the volatilization. The experimental system comprised three main parts: an incoming air condition 376 377 control system (consisting of a bottle of distilled water, a bottle of sulfuric acid, and a second

bottle of distilled water); a 500 mL closed volatile chamber containing the modified soil 378 379 (biochar with or without LD); and an acidic ammonia trapping system. The airflow was set to 600 L/h (1.25 L/min) at the chamber outlet. For each device, 9 samples were taken during this 380 381 test, at 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 13, and 15 days. The acid trap (0.05 mol/L sulfuric acid) provides the protons needed to switch from gaseous NH₃ to NH₄⁺. The acid traps were assayed by 382 colorimetry to obtain the concentration of NH4⁺ in the volume of acid, thereby allowing 383 384 determination of the amount of NH₃ that had evaporated. These amounts were related to the dry soil mass. The quantities were accumulated to determine the kinetics of the ammonia 385 nitrogen volatilization. 386

387

2.5.4. Plant growth test

The agronomic value of coupling biochar (at doses of 25 and 50 tons/ha) with LD (170 kg 388 N/ha) was evaluated by determining the growth parameters during the first vegetative stage 389 (relative seed germination and aerial dry biomass) using tomato as the plant model. Plant 390 experiments with tomato seeds were performed in small pots with a volume of 0.5 L placed in 391 a growth chamber (Fitotron®, Weiss Gallenkamp, UK) according to the OECD 208 392 guidelines (2006) under controlled conditions. The environmental conditions during the 393 testing were as follows: 16 h of light at 25 °C, 8 h of darkness at 18 °C, with 60% relative 394 395 humidity for the periods of light and 80% relative humidity during the periods of darkness. Seven conditions were tested: soil alone; soil + industrial fertilizers using a mixture of DAP 396 and ammonium nitrate to reach an application of 170 kg N/ha of mineral nitrogen and 10 kg 397 398 P/ha of phosphorus (soil + IF); soil with LD applied at 170 kg N/ha (soil +LD); soil with LD 399 and biochar application at 25 tons/ha (Soil + LD + B25); soil with LD and biochar application 400 at 50 tons/ha (Soil + LD + B50); soil with biochar application at 25 tons/ha (soil + B25), and soil with biochar application at 50 tons/ha (soil + B50). The mixture for each condition was 401 402 prepared at 70% of the water retention of soil. Six seeds were planted in each pot, using four

replicates for each condition. Each pot was manually sub-irrigated every 48 h by the addition 403 404 of water to reach the initial weight. After 70% germination of the control, two germinated seeds were removed and four were kept for the growing period. After 41 days, the plants were 405 harvested by cutting them at ground level and they were then dried in an oven at 70 °C for 24 406 h. For each condition, the relative seed germination expressed as the percentage according to 407 Equation (9) and the aerial dry biomass expressed in (g DM/100 plants) was determined 408 409 according to Equation (10). Generally, a relative seed germination above 70% indicates low phytotoxicity. 410

411 Relative Seed Germination (%)

412

 $= (Mean of germinated seed/Initial number of seeds) \times 100$ (9)

413 Aerial dry biomass (g DM/ 100 plants) = $(M_{dry (70 \circ C)} / 4) \times 100$ (10)

414 where $M_{dry (70 \circ C)}$ represents the mean of the aerial dry biomass (g DM) for each condition and

415 4 is the number of plants in each pot at harvesting time.

416 To compare the different conditions tested, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method was 417 used to analyze the impact of the various fertilization modes, and the confidence level 418 considered was 95%.

419 **3. Results and Discussion**

420 **3.1.** Anaerobic digestion

In the first instance, anaerobic co-digestion of wastewater sludge and quinoa residues was investigated in mesophilic CSTR anaerobic digesters. The main physicochemical properties of the quinoa residues and the wastewater sludge are reported in **Table 1**. The quinoa residues were composed of 24.6%DM of cellulose, 14.1 %DM of hemicelluloses, and 7.0 %DM of lignin. The quinoa residues had a low nitrogen content of 0.21%DM and a high C/N ratio of 216. All of these values are in agreement with what has previously been reported for lignocellulosic biomass in the literature (Monlau et al., 2013). By contrast, the wastewater sludge had a higher nitrogen content of 7.0%DM and a lower C/N ratio of 5.8. Interestingly,
co-digestion of quinoa residues and wastewater sludge in the AD process resulted in a C/N
ratio of 13, which is more in agreement with the C/N ratios that have been reported to be
optimal for the AD process, with values ranging from 15 to 30 (Morales-Polo et al., 2018;
Van et al., 2020).

First of all, biochemical methane potential tests were carried out, and a value of 236 ± 2 NL 433 434 CH₄/kg VS was determined for the wastewater sludge and 237 ± 2 NL CH₄/kg VS for the quinoa residues (data not shown). In this study, quinoa and wastewater sludge exhibited 435 436 similar methane potentials and such values are in agreement with previous studies that 437 investigated methane potential of lignocellulosic biomass (Monlau et al., 2012) and wastewater sludge (Elbeshbishy et al., 2012). Monlau et al. (2012) have reported methane 438 potential ranging from 155 NL CH₄/kg TS to 300 NL CH₄/kg TS for various lignocellulosic 439 biomasses. In parallel, co-digestion of quinoa residues and wastewater sludge (at 57.5/42.5% 440 VS) resulted in a methane potential of 237 NL CH₄/kg VS demonstrating that the co-digestion 441 442 did not exhibit positive synergy at BMP scale which has been previously reported in literature as the synergy effect depends on the biomass that are co-digested but also on the inoculum 443 initially used (Elalami et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019). 444

445 Then, co-digestion of quinoa residues and wastewater sludge was simulated for 16 weeks (corresponding to approximately three hydraulic retention times (HRTs) of 38 days) in 446 mesophilic CSTR digesters, as shown in Fig. 1A. These durations are thought to be the 447 minimum to assess the stability of the AD process (Sambusiti et al., 2013). After an increase 448 449 of the organic loading rate during the two first weeks, the OLR was further set at 2 kg VS/m³/day. During the overall period of the assay, the pH remained stable around 7.4 and the 450 451 temperature was 38 ± 2 °C. All of the values presented below are averages of the values of the last HRTs (3rd HRT). 452

The concentration of ammonium remained below 2.5 gN-NH₄/L, and no specific inhibition 453 was observed in terms of methane production. The threshold inhibition level for total 454 ammonia nitrogen reported in the literature varies from 1.5 to 2.5 g/L (Jiang et al., 2019; 455 456 Sambusiti et al., 2013; Yenigün and Demirel, 2013), but after inoculum acclimation concentrations up to 2.5 g/L can be reach inside the anaerobic digester (Jiang et al., 2019). 457 The total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), which is generally defined as the sum of free ammonia 458 nitrogen (FAN, NH₃-N) and ammonium nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N), is generated during the 459 hydrolysis of proteins, urea, and nucleic acids (Morozova et al., 2020). The content of VFAs 460 (data not shown) remained lower than 0.1 geq.acetate/L throughout the assay, thus demonstrating 461 462 good stability of the process and no acidification. These results were also reflected by the FOS/TAC ratio (Fig. 1B), which was below the safety threshold value of 0.3 (Sambusiti et al., 463 464 2013). To assess the performances and to identify the absence of inhibition, the average 465 specific methane yield was computed from the slope of the trend line fitting the data of the cumulative methane production versus the cumulative VS fed to each reactor. As can be seen 466 467 in Fig. 1C, the final specific methane production was 238 NL CH₄/kg VS, corresponding to 468 100% of the result obtained by the biochemical methane potential (BMP) batch tests. These observations are in agreement with previous studies that investigated the methane production 469 of organic wastes on a pilot scale (Sambusiti et al., 2013). In a previous study, Alagöz and 470 471 Yenigün, (2015) investigated mesophilic co-digestion of olive residue and waste activated sludge, and they reported a methane potential of 210 NL CH₄/kg VS. Similarly, Li et al. 472 (2017) investigated mesophilic anaerobic co-digestion of waste activated sludge with tobacco 473 474 residues, and they reported a methane potential of 181 to 204 NL CH₄ /kg VS depending on the substrate ratio. Finally, COD (chemical oxygen demand) balances were performed in 475 476 parallel on the overall AD system to monitor the absence of losses and closure of the organic matter cycles. The balances are presented in Fig. 2. The COD recovery in the output (sum of 477

the COD of biogas, liquid and solid digestate) was equivalent to 95% of the COD of the input, thus demonstrating that the matter fluxes are well evaluated and identified. At the end of the 3^{rd} HRT, the digestate was separated into a liquid and a solid fraction by a wine press, and the solid fraction was oven-dried. Pyrolysis of the solid digestate was investigated in the next section.

483 **3.2. Pyrolysis**

Pyrolysis was carried out on the solid fraction of the digestate at 500 °C for 1 h after drying of 484 the solid digestate. The pyrolysis products distribution was as follows: 40 ± 1.2 wt% biochar, 485 35.8 ± 2.9 wt% bio-oil, and 23.7 ± 4.9 wt% syngas. The syngas produced during the pyrolysis 486 487 process was analyzed and the distribution of the syngas compounds is presented in Fig.3. The LHV of the syngas was 11.8 MJ/Nm³, which is in agreement with previously reported values 488 ranging from 12.9 MJ/Nm³ to 15.7 MJ /N m³ (Monlau et al., 2015b; Neumann et al., 2015; 489 490 Tayibi et al., 2021). The syngas mainly consisted of CO₂, CH₄, CO, and H₂, with a small amount of C₂H₄, C₂H₆, and C₂H₂. The production of CO₂ and CO was mostly due to 491 492 decarboxylation and decarbonylation reactions (Jęczmionek and Porzycka-Semczuk, 2014). 493 The high content of CO₂ can also result from the cracking of remaining fibers such as cellulose and hemicelluloses in the solid digestate fraction (Liu et al., 2011). In a recent study, 494 495 Ghysels et al. (2020) reported a similar composition of syngas from cocoa wastes after pyrolysis at 500 °C. Indeed, on an N₂-free basis, the dominant compound in this stream was 496 carbon dioxide, at a concentration of 79.5 vol% for pyrolysis at 500 °C, and CO, CH₄, and H₂ 497 498 were also present at concentrations of 13.2 vol%, 3.9 vol%, and 2.5 vol%, respectively.

In parallel to syngas, bio-oil was also generated during the pyrolysis process. At industrial level, bio-oil separation by solvent seems to be a promising option with an organic phase that can be used as a fuel or building blocks (Hossain et al., 2016; Pütün et al., 2005), whereas the aqueous phase can be recirculated as feedstock for the AD process (Torri and Fabbri, 2014). 503 Hossain et al. (2016) have recently demonstrated the feasibility in an engine combustion of 504 using organic oil from solid digestate pyrolysis in blend with waste cooking oil and butanol. In our study, the bio-oil obtained was separated into an organic and aqueous phase, at a ratio 505 506 of 23.1 wt%, and 76.9 wt%, respectively. Similarly, Ghysels et al. (2020) reported a ratio of organic and aqueous phases of 25% and 75%, respectively, after pyrolysis of solid digestate 507 derived from cocoa wastes at 500 °C, which is in agreement with our study. The main 508 509 physicochemical properties of the organic phase of the bio-oil are summarized in **Table 2**. 510 The organic phase of the bio-oil had a high carbon content (70.5 wt%) and a low oxygen content (14.9 wt%), which is required for bio-oil fuel applications. The higher heating value 511 512 (HHV) of the organic phase of the bio-oil (Table 2) was estimated to be 33.9 MJ/kg. This HHV of bio-oil is higher than that reported by Opatokun et al. (2015) from food digestate 513 pyrolyzed at 500 °C with a calorific value of 13.5 MJ/kg, but in their case all the bio-oil was 514 515 considered and not only the organic phase. Nonetheless, our value was in the same range as 516 the value of 29.7 MJ/kg reported by Ghysels et al. (2020) after pyrolysis at 500 °C of solid 517 digestate from the anaerobic digestion of cocoa wastes. Generally, the organic phases from 518 bio-oil after pyrolysis of solid digestate at 500 °C have been reported to be dominated by 519 phenolic compounds (Ghysels et al., 2020; Tayibi et al., 2020a; Wei et al., 2018). For 520 instance, Wei et al. (2018) reported that the content of phenolic compounds was 70.4% in the 521 OP of the bio-oil produced from digestate (originating from sargassum anaerobic digestion) pyrolyzed at 450 °C (Wei et al., 2018). 522

Finally, a third product was generated during the pyrolysis process (at 40 wt%), as a carbonaceous material called biochar. Similarly, Opatokun et al. (2017) reported biochar yields from 25 to 61% wt after pyrolysis at temperatures ranging from 300 °C to 700 °C of solid digestate from anaerobic digestion of food wastes. Similarly, Neumann et al. (2015) reported a biochar yield of 36% wt after pyrolysis at 500 °C of solid anaerobic digestate. The

produced biochar was characterized, and their main physicochemical properties are reported 528 529 in Table 3 and compared to the EBC (European Biochar Certificate) and IBI (International Biochar Initiative). The carbon content of the biochar was 47.2 wt%, indicating that it is a 530 531 class 2 biochar according to the IBI and that it is considered a bio carbon mineral (BCM) and not a biochar according to the EBC (Table 3). Compared to the solid digestate, the amount of 532 533 O and H decreased in the biochar due to the decarboxylation and dehydration reactions during the pyrolysis process. The ash content of the biochar was 38.2 wt% compared to only 15.9 534 535 wt% in the solid digestate (**Table 3**). The relatively high ash content in the solid digestate can be attributed to the fact that during the AD process the microorganisms convert the organic 536 537 fraction of the organics into CO₂ and CH₄ (Monlau et al., 2015a), resulting in a higher concentration of inorganics in the digestate than in the original feedstocks. Macronutrients 538 (i.e., N, P, K, Mg, and Ca) were also analyzed in the solid digestate and the respective 539 540 biochar. Except for N, all the macronutrients were enriched in the biochar, which is in 541 agreement with previous publications that investigated biochar production from solid 542 digestate (Calamai et al., 2019; Monlau et al., 2016). Minor metals (i.e., Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Hg, 543 Zn, Cr, and As) were also analyzed in the biochar. All of the values obtained were lower than the maximal threshold levels recommended by the IBI (International Biochar Initiative). 544 545 Nonetheless, most of the values were higher than the threshold levels recommended by the 546 EBC (European Biochar Certificate), except for Hg, as shown in Table 3.

547

3.3. Agronomic potential of coupling biochar and LD

548

3.3.1. Plant growth tests on tomato plants

The impact of biochar (at 25 and 50 tons/ha) on the growth of tomatoes was investigated during the first vegetative stage of the plants. The biochar concentration was chosen based on the data in the literature (Glaser et al., 2015; Greenberg et al., 2019). Biochar has recently been shown to be of considerable relevance to sustainable agriculture (Mandal et al., 2020;

Semida et al., 2019), even though biochar does not have any fertilizing actions and should 553 554 generally be added with a fertilizer (Greenberg et al., 2019; Ronga et al., 2020; Tayibi et al., 2021). Since biochar does not provide an adequate supply of nutrients to serve as the sole 555 556 source of fertilization, combined effects with the LD (applied at 170 kg N/ha) were evaluated on the relative seed germination and the aerial dry biomass of tomatoes. The liquid digestate 557 was composed of 3.8 wt% dry matter, 2.4 wt% volatile matter, 0.37 wt% total Kjeldahl 558 nitrogen (TKN), 0.15 wt% ammonium (NH4⁺), 0.27 wt% potassium (K2O), and 0.25 wt% 559 560 phosphorus (P_2O_5) .

561 Seed germination is a relevant indicator of potential phytotoxicity. LD added alone did not 562 affect the relative seed germination with 88 (\pm 8) % in comparison to soil alone (92 (\pm 9) %). 563 Interestingly, biochar addition at 25 and 50 tons/ha did not exhibit a negative impact on the germination rate in comparison with soil alone and soil with industrial fertilizers, as shown in 564 Fig. 4. Indeed, relative seed germination of 92 (\pm 9) %, 83 (\pm 16) %, 79 (\pm 8) % were reported 565 for soil alone, soil with 20 tons and 50 tons of biochar, respectively. Similarly, Bu et al. 566 567 (2020) investigated the effect of rice husk and woodchip biochar at various application rates (1%, 2%, and 5% by weight) on seed germination of Robinia pseudoacacia in calcareous soil, 568 569 and they did not find that there was a negative impact (Bu et al., 2020). Furthermore, the 570 combination of LD and biochar did not exhibit a negative impact on germination in comparison with soil alone and soil with industrial fertilizers. These results are also in 571 572 agreement with those of Tayibi et al. (2020a), who similarly did not observe a negative impact on the germination of wheat when biochar (at 50 tons/ha) was added in combination with LD. 573

In parallel, the growth efficiency of tomato plants when the soil was amended by biochar (at 25 and 50 tons/ha) in combination with LD was also investigated during the first vegetative growth stage (41 days). As shown in **Fig. 4**, all the conditions tested resulted in higher aerial dry biomass production than the soil alone. More specifically, the application of LD increased

the aerial dry biomass to 18 gDM/100 plants compared to unamended soil (11.6 gDM/100 578 579 plants), although it was slightly lower than with industrial fertilizers (20.8 gDM/100 plants). These results confirm the ability of LD to improve plant growth as previously demonstrated 580 581 (Elalami et al., 2020; Nkoa, 2014; Solé-Bundó et al., 2017). A higher aerial dry biomass was obtained for the condition that combined biochar and LD, with an increase of 33% compared 582 to soil amended with biochar alone (ANOVA test: p < 0.05) and an increase of 88% 583 584 compared to soil only (ANOVA test: p < 0.05). In parallel, the dry biomass obtained for the 585 condition combining soil and LD was lower by 13.8% compared to the soil treated with industrial fertilizers, although the results were not significantly different according to the 586 587 ANOVA test (p = 0.18)

Adding biochar with LD increased the dry biomass by 4.5% and 7.9% for 25 tons/ha and 50 tons/ha, respectively, compared to soil fertilized with industrial fertilizers, which shows the positive effect of coupling biochar and LD.

591 Ronga et al. (2020) also assessed the effect of digestate and biochar fertilizers on the yield and 592 fruit quality of tomatoes grown in an organic farming system, and they obtained similar 593 results. Indeed, they demonstrated that plants fertilized with LD and biochar had the 594 maximum marketable yield (72 tons/ha), followed by BC (67 tons/ha), and LD (59 tons/ha); 595 while the lowest production (47 tons/ha) was recorded with unfertilized plants. These results are in agreement with Glaser et al. (2015), who reported a positive effect on maize silage by 596 597 combining digestate with biochar. Glaser et al. (2015) demonstrated that the application of biochar-digestate (at a biochar rate of 1 and 40 tons/ha and digestate at 200 kg N /ha) to maize 598 599 increased the yields and plant nutrition compared to pure digestate. Interestingly, at a concentration of 40 tons/ha of biochar, the co-application of digestate with biochar 600 significantly increased the maize yield by 42% compared to untreated plants without biochar 601 addition (Glaser et al., 2015). Greenberg et al. (2019) also investigated the effect of coupling 602

LD with biochar added at 2 and 40 tons/ha on Zea mays. For both biochar applications, there were no significant differences in terms of the rye above-ground biomass. Nonetheless, such experiments must be extended in the future at a field-scale, and several parameters should be carefully investigated such as the nature and the quantity of biochar, the digestate origin and properties, the type of the soil, and climatic conditions.

608

3.3.2. Ammonia volatilization, C and N mineralization

609 In light of the results obtained with tomato plant growth during the first vegetative stage, the effect of coupling LD with biochar at a dose of 25 tons/ha on other agronomic parameters 610 611 (e.g., ammonia volatilization, C and N mineralization) was investigated. The addition of 612 biochar on the C mineralization compared with the control (soil alone) was investigated first, 613 as shown in **Fig. 5A**. A slight but non-significant reduction of C mineralization was observed 614 in the presence of biochar compared to the control (soil alone). Carbon dioxide is generally released by microbial decay of residual organic matter (Semida et al., 2019). These results 615 confirm the capacity of biochar to sequester C in soils and to contribute to carbon 616 sequestration (Clough et al., 2013; Semida et al., 2019). Bruun and EL-Zehery, (2012) 617 investigated the effect of biochar addition to soil amended by barley straw. Without biochar, 618 619 $48 \pm 0.2\%$ of the straw carbon was mineralized during the 451 days of the experiment. In comparison, $45 \pm 1.6\%$ of C was mineralized after biochar addition at 1.5 g kg⁻¹. Similarly, 620 Yoo and Kang, (2012) demonstrated that the addition of biochar (at 2 wt%) in silt loam soils 621 did not affect the C mineralization compared with the soil alone. Fidel et al. (2019) also 622 623 investigated the impact of biochar (derived from wood) added at 0.5 wt%/wt% of silt soil on CO₂ mineralization at different temperatures and humidity. They did not observe any 624 significant differences for any of the conditions between the CO₂ mineralization in the 625 biochar-amended soil and the unamended soil. The soil sequestration capacity of biochar 626 627 appears to depend on the soil typology, the climatic conditions, and the biochar origin

(Semida et al., 2019; Yoo and Kang, 2012). The addition of LD to the soil led to an 628 enhancement of the C mineralization due to the presence of soluble organic carbon that is 629 mineralized and NH4⁺, and the C mineralization rate was determined to be 1,517 mg C-630 631 CO₂/kg dry soil. Finally, as shown in Fig. 5A, the combination of biochar and LD led to equivalent C mineralization compared to soil amended with LD only, and a net C 632 mineralization of 1,539 mg C-CO₂/kg dry soil. Aside from potentially sequestering carbon, 633 the impact of biochar added alone or in combination with LD on the N dynamics by 634 performing N mineralization experiments was also investigated. The addition of biochar did 635 not appear to affect the N mineralization dynamics compared to soil alone, as shown in Fig. 636 637 5B. These results confirm that, aside from a high biochar C/N ratio of 17 that can further increase the C/N of soil, no N immobilization was noted, thus suggesting that biochar is 638 composed of recalcitrant organic carbon (Semida et al., 2019). Furthermore, the absence of N 639 mineralization enhancement after biochar application can be explained by the biochar 640 capacity to absorb NH4⁺ and NO3⁻ that masks N mineralization or by the low amount of 641 642 hydrolyzable organic N forms in biochar (Ameloot et al., 2015; Clough et al., 2013). The 643 same tendency was observed in the presence of LD, as shown in Fig. 5B, demonstrating that the biochar did not affect the microbial population involved in N mineralization. The increase 644 645 in N mineralization was 129-135 mg N_{mineral}/kg dry soil.

The impact of coupling LD and biochar was also investigated in regard to ammonia volatilization, as shown in **Fig. 5C**. Interestingly, the addition of biochar alone at 25 tons/ha did not have any influence on the ammonia volatilization compared to soil alone. The addition of digestate to the soil led to an increase of the ammonia volatilization of 1.8 mg N/kg dry soil versus 0.4 mg N/kg dry soil for the non-amended soil. This increase of 1.8 mg N/kg dry soil corresponded to 3.5% of the total nitrogen provided by the LD. This ammonia volatilization is due to the presence of ammoniacal nitrogen present in the digestate that became volatilized

once applied in the soil (Nkoa, 2014; Plaimart et al., 2021). Similarly, Plaimart et al. (2021) 653 have reported that approximately 4.8% of the nitrogen was evaporated in the form of 654 ammonia after the application of digestate on a clay loam soil. Surprisingly, the co-application 655 656 of biochar and LD enhanced the ammonia volatilization by as much as 3 mg N/kg dry soil, which can be explained by the fact that the alkaline nature of biochar added to soil promotes 657 ammonia volatilization (Sha et al., 2019). Such value of ammonia volatilization corresponded 658 to 5.8% of the total nitrogen initially provided and consequently biochar addition 659 simultaneously with LD resulted in 66% more N loss than LD application alone. Similar as 660 well as contradictory results have been observed in the literature, and the impact of biochar 661 662 addition on ammonia volatilization appears to depend on several parameters such as the nature of the soil, the origin of the biochar, and the experimental conditions (Plaimart et al., 663 664 2021; Sha et al., 2019).

665 **4. Conclusions**

In this study, an original cascading biorefinery approach that coupled AD and pyrolysis was 666 investigated for the valorization of organic wastes. AD led to a methane production of 219 667 NL CH₄/kg VS, and the organic phase (OP) of bio-oil and syngas from subsequent pyrolysis 668 of the solid digestate exhibited higher and lower heating values of 34 MJ/kg and 11.8 669 670 MJ/Nm³, respectively. Specific attention was paid to combining biochar and anaerobic LD for agronomic purposes. The characteristics of the biochar were in accordance with the IBI 671 recommendations for soil amendment. The co-application of biochar with LD significantly 672 673 increased the ammonia volatilization by 64% compared to LD application alone. Although co-application of biochar with LD did not impact the C and N mineralization, their 674 simultaneous use improved the growth of tomato plants up to 25% compared to LD 675 application alone. 676

677 Acknowledgments

- 678 The authors wish to thank the Cherifian Office for Phosphates (OCP group) for funding the
- 679 ATLASS project.
- 680

681 **Table and Figure Captions:**

- **Table 1.** The main chemical constituents of the feedstocks introduced into the anaerobic lab-scale CSTR digester.
- 684 **Table 2.** The properties of the organic phase of bio-oil from the pyrolysis of the solid 685 digestate.
- Table 3. The physicochemical properties of the solid digestate and the produced biochar
 compared to the IBI (International Biochar Initiative) and the EBC (European Biochar
 Certificate) recommendations.
- **Fig.1.** (A) Organic loading rate effect, temperature, and pH changes during the AD process; (B) Biomethane production and change in the NH_4^+ concentration during the AD; (C) Cumulative biogas and methane production during the AD process vs. the cumulative VS added.
- Fig.2. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) mass balances of the AD CSTR process with quinoaresidues and wastewater sludge co-digestion.
- Fig.3. Syngas distribution during the pyrolysis experimentation according to the temperature
 process. Overall syngas composition produced during the pyrolysis process of solid digestate
 are provided in the insert table.
- Fig.4. Relative seed germination (%) and aerial dry biomass (gTS/100 plants) of tomatoplants.
- **Fig.5.** (A) Microbial respiration, (B) Total nitrogen mineralization over time (from 0 to 91 days), and (C) Ammonia volatilization for the four conditions: soil, soil with biochar (at 25 tons/ha), soil with liquid digestate (LD), and soil with liquid digestate (LD) and biochar at 25 tons/ha (B25).
- 704
- 705
- **Table 1.** The main chemical constituents of the feedstocks introduced into the anaerobic lab-scale CSTR digester.
- 708

Parameter (units)	Quinoa residues	Wastewater sludge
DM (wt% FM)	90.1 ± 0.1	18.7 ± 0.1
VS (wt% DM)	88.9 ± 0.3	79.6 ± 2.2
C (wt%)	43.3 ± 0.2	41.2 ± 0.2
H (wt%)	6.0 ± 0.1	6.2 ± 0.2
N (wt%)	0.2 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.2
S (wt%)	0.1 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.0
$O^{a}(wt\%)$	40.5 ± 0.3	41.2 ± 0.5
Cellulose (wt%)	24.6 ± 0.4	-

		G 11 1		IDI standarda		
$^{a}O\%=$	wt 78) 100%-С%-Н	%-N%-S%	Ash%	- 0.2	J.0 ± 0.4	
Ach (wt 0%		10.0	+02	38 ± 0.4	
Klaso	n lignin (wt	%)	7.0 ±	: 0.3	-	
Hemi	celluloses (w	vt%)	14.1 :	± 0.5	-	
TT •						

Table 2. The properties of the organic phase of the bio-oil from pyrolysis of the solid digestate.

		713	
Parameter (units)	Organic phase of bio-oil		
C (wt%)	70.6 ± 0.9	714	
H (wt%)	8.1 ± 0.7	715	
N (wt%)	5.6 ± 0.5		
S (wt%)	0.8 ± 0.1	716	
O (wt%) ^a	14.9 ± 1.0	717	
HHV (MJ/kg)	33.9	710	
Density (kg/L)	1.1	/18	
^a determined by difference		719	

Table 3. The physicochemical properties of the solid digestate and the produced biochar
 compared to the IBI (International Biochar Initiative) and the EBC (European Biochar
 Certificate) recommendations.

рН	7.7 ± 0.02	9.8 ± 0.06	-	-
C (wt%)	37.0 ± 2.0	47.2 ± 5.3	10 wt% Minimum •Class 1: \geq 60wt% •Class 2: \geq 30wt% and \leq 60wt%. •Class 3: \geq 10wt% and \leq 30wt%.	Biochar ≥ 50wt% Bio Carbon Minerals (BMC) < 50wt%
H (wt%)	4.6 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.1	_	_
<u>N (wt%)</u>	2.8 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.2	_	-
S (wt%)	0.6 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	_	_
O ^a (wt%)	39.1 ± 1.7	9.7 ± 5.2	-	-
K (wt%)	1.3	3.1	-	-
P (wt%)	1.7	3.4	-	-
Mg (wt%)	0.4	0.6	-	-
Fe (wt%)	0.5	0.9	-	-
Ca (wt%)	1.7	3.6	-	-
Na (wt%)	0.2	0.4	-	-
H/C	0.13 ± 0.0	0.03 ± 0.0	-	-
O/C	1.06 ± 0.1	0.22 ± 0.13	-	-
Moisture (wt%)	8.3 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 1.3	-	-
Volatile matter (wt%)	60.4 ± 0.6	19.0 ± 0.7	Optional	Required
Fixed carbon (wt%)	15.8 ± 0.7	43.0 ± 0.0	-	-
Ash (wt%)	15.9 ± 1.2	38.2 ± 5.7	Required	Required
Cellulose (wt%)	17.8 ± 1.1	-	-	-
Hemicelluloses	5.5 ± 0.0	-	-	-
(wt%)				
Lignin (wt%)	18.3 ± 0.6	-	-	-
Minor metallic (mg/	kg DM)			
Pb	28	54	70 - 500	< 150
Cd	2.8	5.2	1.4 - 39	< 1.5
Cu	220	389	63 - 1500	< 100
	40	73	47 - 600	< 50
Hg	0.05	< 0.05	1 - 1 /	<u>< 1</u>
<u>Zn</u>	516	989	200 - 7000	<u>< 400</u>
	120	209	04 - 1200	< 90
AS	2.9	4.1	12 - 100	-

 $^{a}O\% = 100\%$ -C%-H%-N%-S%-Ash%



Fig.1. (A) Organic loading rate effect, temperature, and pH changes during the AD process;
(B) Biomethane production and change in the NH₄⁺ concentration during the AD; (C)
Cumulative biogas and methane production during the AD process vs. the cumulative VS added.



- Fig.2. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) mass balances of the AD CSTR process with quinoa
 residues and wastewater sludge co-digestion.



Fig.3. Syngas distribution during the pyrolysis experimentation according to the temperature
 process. Overall syngas composition produced during the pyrolysis process of solid digestate
 are provided in the insert table.



749

Fig.4. Relative seed germination (%) and aerial dry biomass (gDM/100 plants) of tomato
 plants.



Fig.5. (A) Microbial respiration, (B) Total nitrogen mineralization over time (from 0 to 91 days), and (C) Ammonia volatilization for the four conditions: soil, soil with biochar
application at 25 tons/ha (soil + B25), soil with liquid digestate (soil + LD), and soil with
liquid digestate and biochar application at 25 tons/ha (soil + LD + B25).

756 **References**

- Abdeljaoued, E., Brulé, M., Tayibi, S., Manolakos, D., Oukarroum, A., Monlau, F.,
 Barakat, A., 2020. Bibliometric analysis of the evolution of biochar research trends and
 scientific production, Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy. Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-020-01969-x
- 2. AFNOR FD U44-163, 2018. Organic amendments and growing media Characterization of
 organic matter by the potential mineralization of carbon and nitrogen.
- 3. Akhiar, A., Battimelli, A., Torrijos, M., Carrere, H., 2017. Comprehensive characterization
 of the liquid fraction of digestates from full-scale anaerobic co-digestion. Waste Manag.
 59, 118–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.11.005
- Alagöz, B.A., Yenigün, O., 2015. Enhancement of anaerobic digestion efficiency of
 wastewater sludge and olive waste: Synergistic effect of co-digestion and ultrasonic /
 microwave sludge pre-treatment. Waste Manag. 46, 182–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.08.020
- 5. Alhamed, H., Biad, M., Saad, S., Masaki, M., 2018. Business Opportunities Report for
 Reuse of Wastewater in Morocco.
- 6. Ameloot, N., Sleutel, S., Das, K.C., KANAGARATNAM, J., NEVE, S. DE, 2015. Biochar
 amendment to soils with contrasting organic matter level: effects on N mineralization
 and biological soil properties. GCB Bioenergy 135–144.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12119
- 776 7. APHA, 2005. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Am. Public
 777 Heal. Assoc. 21st ed., 1220 p.
- 8. Barakat, A., Monlau, F., Solhy, A., Carrere, H., 2015. Mechanical dissociation and fragmentation of lignocellulosic biomass: Effect of initial moisture, biochemical and structural proprieties on energy requirement. Appl. Energy 142, 240–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.12.076
- 9. Belloulid, M.O., Hamdi, H., Mandi, L., Ouazzani, N., 2017. Solar drying of wastewater
 sludge: a case study in Marrakesh, Morocco. Environ. Technol. (United Kingdom) 40,
 1316–1322. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2017.1421713
- 10. Bruun, S., EL-Zehery, T., 2012. Biochar effect on the mineralization of soil organic
 matter. Pesqui. Agropecuária Bras. 47, 665–671. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100204X2012000500005
- 11. Bu, X., Xue, J., Wu, Y., Ma, W., 2020. Effect of Biochar on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Robinia pseudoacacia L . In Karst Calcareous Soils. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 51, 352–363. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2019.1709484
- 12. Calamai, A., Palchetti, E., Masoni, A., Marini, L., Chiaramonti, D., Dibari, C., Brilli, L.,
 2019. The Influence of Biochar and Solid Digestate on Rose-Scented Geranium
 (Pelargonium graveolens L'Hér.) Productivity and Essential Oil Quality. Agronomy 9,
 260. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9050260
- 13. Cazaudehore, G., Schraauwers, B., Peyrelasse, C., Lagnet, C., Monlau, F., 2019.
 Determination of chemical oxygen demand of agricultural wastes by combining acid
 hydrolysis and commercial COD kit analysis. J. Environ. Manage. 250, 109464.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109464

- 14. Chandra, R., Takeuchi, H., Hasegawa, T., 2012. Methane production from lignocellulosic
 agricultural crop wastes : A review in context to second generation of biofuel production.
 Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16, 1462–1476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.11.035
- 15. Clough, T., Condron, L., Kammann, C., Müller, C., 2013. A Review of Biochar and Soil
 Nitrogen Dynamics. Agronomy 3, 275–293. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy3020275
- Bosting 16. Demichelis, F., Laghezza, M., Chiappero, M., Fiore, S., 2020. Technical, economic and
 environmental assessement of bioethanol biorefinery from waste biomass. J. Clean. Prod.
 277, 124111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124111
- 17. Elalami, D., Carrere, H., Monlau, F., Abdelouahdi, K., Oukarroum, A., Barakat, A., 2019.
 Pretreatment and co-digestion of wastewater sludge for biogas production: Recent research advances and trends. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 114, 109287.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109287
- 18. Elalami, D., Monlau, F., Carrere, H., Abdelouahdi, K., Oukarroum, A., Zeroual, Y.,
 Barakat, A., 2020. Effect of coupling alkaline pretreatment and sewage sludge codigestion on methane production and fertilizer potential of digestate. Sci. Total Environ.
 743, 140670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140670
- 815 19. Elbeshbishy, E., Nakhla, G., Hafez, H., 2012. Biochemical methane potential (BMP) of
 816 food waste and primary sludge: Influence of inoculum pre-incubation and inoculum
 817 source. Bioresour. Technol. 110, 18–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.025
- 818 20. Fabbri, D., Torri, C., 2016. Linking pyrolysis and anaerobic digestion (Py-AD) for the
 819 conversion of lignocellulosic biomass. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 38, 167–173.
 820 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.02.004
- 821 21. Fernández, J.M., Nieto, M.A., López-de-Sá, E.G., Gascó, G., Méndez, A., Plaza, C., 2014.
 822 Carbon dioxide emissions from semi-arid soils amended with biochar alone or combined
 823 with mineral and organic fertilizers. Sci. Total Environ. 482–483, 1–7.
 824 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.103
- Fidel, R.B., Laird, D.A., Parkin, T.B., 2019. Effect of Biochar on Soil Greenhouse Gas
 Emissions at the Laboratory and Effect of Biochar on Soil Greenhouse Gas Emissions at
 the Laboratory and Field Scales. Soil Syst. https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems3010008
- 828 23. Ghysels, S., Acosta, N., Estrada, A., Pala, M., Vrieze, J. De, Ronsse, F., Rabaey, K., 2020.
 829 Integrating anaerobic digestion and slow pyrolysis improves the product portfolio of a
 830 cocoa waste biorefinery. Sustain. Energy Fuels 4, 3712–3725.
 831 https://doi.org/10.1039/d0se00689k
- 832 24. Giuliano, A., Bolzonella, D., Pavan, P., Cavinato, C., Cecchi, F., 2013. Co-digestion of
 833 livestock effluents, energy crops and agro-waste: Feeding and process optimization in
 834 mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. Bioresour. Technol. 128, 612–618.
 835 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.002
- 836 25. Glaser, B., Wiedner, K., Seelig, S., Schmidt, H.P., Gerber, H., 2015. Biochar organic
 837 fertilizers from natural resources as substitute for mineral fertilizers. Agron. Sustain.
 838 Dev. 35, 667–678. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-014-0251-4
- 839 26. González-Arias, J., Fernández, C., Rosas, J.G., Bernal, M.P., Clemente, R., Sánchez,
 840 M.E., Gómez, X., 2019. Integrating Anaerobic Digestion of Pig Slurry and Thermal
 841 Valorisation of Biomass. Waste and Biomass Valorization 11, 6125–6137.

- 842 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00873-w
- 27. González, R., González, J., Rosas, J.G., Smith, R., Gómez, X., 2020. Biochar and Energy
 Production : Valorizing Swine Manure through Coupling Co-Digestion and Pyrolysis. J.
 carbon Res. 6,43. https://doi.org/10.3390/c6020043
- 28. Greenberg, I., Kaiser, M., Gunina, A., Ledesma, P., Polifka, S., Wiedner, K., Mueller, 846 C.W., Glaser, B., Ludwig, B., 2019. Substitution of mineral fertilizers with biogas 847 digestate plus biochar increases physically stabilized soil carbon but not crop biomass in 848 849 field trial. Sci. Total Environ. 680. 181–189. a https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.051 850
- 851 29. Hafner, S.D., Fruteau de Laclos, H., Koch, K., Holliger, C., 2020. Improving Inter852 Laboratory Reproducibility in Measurement of Biochemical Methane Potential (BMP).
 853 Water 12, 1752. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12061752
- 30. Hood-Nowotny, R., Umana, N.H.-N., Inselbacher, E., Oswald- Lachouani, P., Wanek, W., 854 855 2010. Alternative Methods for Measuring Inorganic, Organic, and Total Dissolved 856 Nitrogen Soil. Soil Sci. Soc. 74, 1018-1027. in Am. J. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2009.0389 857
- 858 31. Hossain, A.K., Serrano, C., Brammer, J.B., Omran, A., Ahmed, F., Smith, D.I., Davies,
 859 P.A., 2016. Combustion of fuel blends containing digestate pyrolysis oil in a multi860 cylinder compression ignition engine. Fuel 171, 18–28.
 861 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.12.012
- 32. Im, S., Petersen, S.O., Lee, D., Kim, D.H., 2020. Effects of storage temperature on CH4
 emissions from cattle manure and subsequent biogas production potential. Waste Manag.
 101, 35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.09.036
- 33. Jęczmionek, Ł., Porzycka-Semczuk, K., 2014. Hydrodeoxygenation, decarboxylation and decarbonylation reactions while co-processing vegetable oils over a NiMo
 hydrotreatment catalyst. Part I: Thermal effects Theoretical considerations. Fuel 131, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.04.055
- 34. Jiang, Y., Mcadam, E., Zhang, Y., Heaven, S., Banks, C., Longhurst, P., 2019. Ammonia
 inhibition and toxicity in anaerobic digestion : A critical review. J. Water Process Eng.
 32, 100899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.100899
- 872 35. Kim, Jinsu, Baek, G., Kim, Jaai, Lee, C., 2019. Energy production from different organic
 873 wastes by anaerobic co-digestion: Maximizing methane yield versus maximizing
 874 synergistic effect. Renew. Energy 136, 683–690.
 875 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.01.046
- 876 36. Kjeldahl, J., 1883. A New Method for the Determination of Nitrogen in Organic Matter.
 877 Zeitschrift für Anal. Chemie 22, 366–382.
- 878 37. Li, X., Xu, X., Huang, S., Zhou, Y., Jia, H., 2017. An efficient method to improve the
 879 production of methane from anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge. Water Sci.
 880 Technol. 76.8, 2075–2084. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2017.313
- 38. Liu, C., Huang, J., Huang, X., Li, H., Zhang, Z., 2011. Theoretical studies on formation
 mechanisms of CO and CO2in cellulose pyrolysis. Comput. Theor. Chem. 964, 207–212.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2010.12.027
- 39. Lv, P.M., Xiong, Z.H., Chang, J., Wu, C.Z., Chen, Y., Zhu, J.X., 2004. An experimental

- study on biomass air steam gasification in a fluidized bed 95, 95–101.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.02.003
- 40. Mandal, S., Pu, S., Adhikari, S., Ma, H., Kim, D., 2020. Technology Progress and future
 prospects in biochar composites : Application and reflection in the soil environment.
 Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 0, 1–53.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2020.1713030
- 891 41. Monlau, F., Barakat, A., Trably, E., Dumas, C., Steyer, J.P., Carrère, H., 2013. 892 Lignocellulosic materials into biohydrogen and biomethane: Impact of structural features Rev. Technol. 893 and pretreatment. Crit. Environ. Sci. 43, 260-322. 894 https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2011.604258
- 42. Monlau, F., Francavilla, M., Sambusiti, C., Antoniou, N., Solhy, A., Libutti, A.,
 Zabaniotou, A., Barakat, A., Monteleone, M., 2016. Toward a functional integration of
 anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis for a sustainable resource management. Comparison
 between solid-digestate and its derived pyrochar as soil amendment. Appl. Energy 169,
 652–662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.02.084
- 43. Monlau, F., Kaparaju, P., Trably, E., Steyer, J.P., Carrere, H., 2015a. Alkaline
 pretreatment to enhance one-stage CH4and two-stage H2/CH4production from
 sunflower stalks: Mass, energy and economical balances. Chem. Eng. J. 260, 377–385.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.08.108
- 44. Monlau, F., Sambusiti, C., Antoniou, N., Barakat, A., Zabaniotou, A., 2015b. A new concept for enhancing energy recovery from agricultural residues by coupling anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis process. Appl. Energy 148, 32–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.024
- 45. Monlau, F., Sambusiti, C., Barakat, A., Guo, X.M., Latrille, E., Trably, E., Steyer, J.P.,
 Carrere, H., 2012. Predictive models of biohydrogen and biomethane production based
 on the compositional and structural features of lignocellulosic materials. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 46, 12217–12225. https://doi.org/10.1021/es303132t
- 46. Monlau, F., Sambusiti, C., Ficara, E., Aboulkas, A., Barakat, A., Carrère, H., 2015c. New
 opportunities for agricultural digestate valorization: Current situation and perspectives.
 Energy Environ. Sci. 8, 2600–2621. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ee01633a
- 47. Morales-polo, C., Cledera-Castro, M. del M., Soria, Y.M., 2018. applied sciences
 Reviewing the Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste : From Waste Generation and
 Anaerobic Process to Its Perspectives. Appl. Sci. 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/app8101804
- 48. Morozova, I., Nikulina, N., Oechsner, H., Krümpel, J., Lemmer, A., 2020. Effects of Increasing Nitrogen Content on Process Stability and Reactor Performance in Anaerobic Digestion. energies 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13051139
- 49. Neumann, J., Binder, S., Apfelbacher, A., Gasson, J.R., Ramírez García, P., Hornung, A.,
 2015. Production and characterization of a new quality pyrolysis oil, char and syngas
 from digestate Introducing the thermo-catalytic reforming process. J. Anal. Appl.
 Pyrolysis 113, 137–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2014.11.022

50. NF U 42-125, 1985. Fertilizers - Determination of ammoniacal nitrogen in the presence of
 other substances releasing ammonia under the effect of sodium hydroxide - Titrimetric
 method.

- 51. Nkoa, R., 2014. Agricultural benefits and environmental risks of soil fertilization with
 anaerobic digestates: A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593013-0196-z
- 52. Opatokun, S.A., Strezov, V., Kan, T., 2015. Product based evaluation of pyrolysis of food
 waste and its digestate. Energy 92, 349–354.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.02.098
- 53. Opatokun, S.A., Yousef, L.F., Strezov, V., 2017. Agronomic assessment of pyrolysed
 food waste digestate for sandy soil management. J. Environ. Manage. 187, 24–30.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.030
- 937 54. Pecchi, M., Baratieri, M., 2019. Coupling anaerobic digestion with gasification, pyrolysis
 938 or hydrothermal carbonization: A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 105, 462–475.
 939 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.02.003
- 55. Plaimart, J., Acharya, K., Mrozik, W., Davenport, R.J., Vinitnantharat, S., Werner, D.,
 2021. Coconut husk biochar amendment enhances nutrient retention by suppressing
 nitrification in agricultural soil following anaerobic digestate application. Environ.
 Pollut. 268, 115684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115684
- 56. Pütün, A.E., Uzun, B.B., Apaydin, E., Pütün, E., 2005. Bio-oil from olive oil industry
 wastes: Pyrolysis of olive residue under different conditions. Fuel Process. Technol. 87,
 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2005.04.003
- 57. Rodier, J., 1975. Analysis of water, In: Environmental chemical analysis. Marr IL, Cresser
 MS (Eds). Int. Textb. Company. Chapman Hall New York.
- 58. Ronga, D., Caradonia, F., Parisi, M., Bezzi, G., Parisi, B., Allesina, G., Pedrazzi, S.,
 Francia, E., 2020. Using digestate and biochar as fertilizers to improve processing
 tomato production sustainability. Agronomy 10.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10010138
- 59. Roubaud, A., Favrat, D., 2005. Improving performances of a lean burn cogeneration
 biogas engine equipped with combustion prechambers. Fuel 84, 2001–2007.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2004.02.023
- 60. Sambusiti, C., Ficara, E., Malpei, F., Steyer, J.P., Carrère, H., 2013. Benefit of sodium
 hydroxide pretreatment of ensiled sorghum forage on the anaerobic reactor stability and
 methane production. Bioresour. Technol. 144, 149–155.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06.095
- 61. Sawatdeenarunat, C., Nguyen, D., Surendra, K.C., Shrestha, S., Rajendran, K., Oechsner,
 H., Xie, L., Kumar, S., Khanal, S.K., 2016. Anaerobic biorefinery: Current status,
 challenges, and opportunities. Bioresour. Technol. 215, 304–313.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.074
- 62. Semida, W.M., Beheiry, H.R., Mamoudou, S., Simpson, C.R., El-Mageed, T.A.A., Rady, 964 M.M., Nelson, S.D., 2019. Biochar implications for sustainable agriculture and 965 966 environment : А review. South African J. Bot. J. 127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.11.015 967
- 63. Seyedi, S., Venkiteshwaran, K., Zitomer, D., 2019. Toxicity of various pyrolysis liquids
 from biosolids on methane production yield. Front. Energy Res. 7, 1–12.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2019.00005

- 64. Sha, Z., Li, Q., Lv, T., Misselbrook, T., Liu, X., 2019. Response of ammonia
 volatilization to biochar addition : A meta-analysis. Sci. Total Environ. 655, 1387–1396.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.316
- 65. Sluiter, A., Hames, B., Ruiz, R., Scarlata, C., Sluiter, J., Templeton, D., and Crocker, D.,
 2008. Determination of Structural Carbohydrates and Lignin in Biomass: Laboratory
 Analytical Procedure (LAP). Tech. Rep. NREL/ TP -510 -42618 1–15.
 https://doi.org/NREL/TP-510-42618
- 66. Solé-Bundó, M., Cucina, M., Folch, M., Tàpias, J., Gigliotti, G., Garfí, M., Ferrer, I.,
 2017. Assessing the agricultural reuse of the digestate from microalgae anaerobic
 digestion and co-digestion with sewage sludge. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 1–9.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.006
- 67. Tayibi, S., Monlau, F., Mariase, F., Cazaudehore, G., Fayoud, N.-E., Oukarroum, A.,
 Zeroual, Y., Barakat, A., 2021. Coupling anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis processes for
 maximizing energy recovery and soil preservation according to the circular economy
 concept. J. Environ. Manage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111632
- 68. Tayibi, S., Monlau, F., Oukarroum, A., Zeroual, Y., 2020. One pot activation and
 pyrolysis of Moroccan Gelidium sesquipedale red macroalgae residue : production of an
 efficient adsorbent biochar. Biochar. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-019-00033-2
- 69. Torri, C., Fabbri, D., 2014. Biochar enables anaerobic digestion of aqueous phase from
 intermediate pyrolysis of biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 172, 335–341.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.021
- 70. Tripathi, M., Sahu, J.N., Ganesan, P., 2016. Effect of process parameters on production of
 biochar from biomass waste through pyrolysis: A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.
 55, 467–481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.122
- 71. Troy, S.M., Nolan, T., Leahy, J.J., Lawlor, P.G., Healy, M.G., Kwapinski, W., 2013.
 Effect of sawdust addition and composting of feedstock on renewable energy and
 biochar production from pyrolysis of anaerobically digested pig manure. Biomass and
 Bioenergy 49, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.014
- 72. Van, D.P., Fujiwara, T., Tho, B.L., Toan, P.P.S., Minh, G.H., 2020. A review of anaerobic digestion systems for biodegradable waste : Configurations, operating parameters, and current trends. Environ. Eng. Res. 25, 1–17.
- 1002 73. Wei, Y., Hong, J., Ji, W., 2018. Thermal characterization and pyrolysis of digestate for
 phenol production. Fuel 232, 141–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.05.134
- 1004 74. Wei, Z., Li, Y., Hou, Y., 2018. Quick estimation for pollution load contributions of
 1005 aromatic organics in wastewater from pulp and paper industry. Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J.
 1006 33, 568–572.
- 1007 75. Yenigün, O., Demirel, B., 2013. Ammonia inhibition in anaerobic digestion: A review.
 1008 Process Biochem. 48, 901–911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2013.04.012
- Yoo, G., Kang, H., 2012. Effects of Biochar Addition on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Microbial Responses in a Short-Term Laboratory Experiment. J. Environ. Qual. 41, 1193–1202. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2011.0157
- Tr. Zhao, Z., Li, Y., Quan, X., Zhang, Y., 2018. Improving the co-digestion performance of
 waste activated sludge and wheat straw through ratio optimization and ferroferric oxide

1014	supplementation.	Bioresour.	Technol.	267,	591–598.
1015	https://doi.org/10.101	6/j.biortech.2018.07	.052		