



**HAL**  
open science

## Ultrasound monitoring of a deformable tongue-food gel system during uniaxial compression—an in vitro study

Rohit Srivastava, Mathieu Mantelet, Anne Saint-Eve, Jean-Luc Gennisson, Frederic Restagno, Isabelle Souchon, Vincent Mathieu

### ► To cite this version:

Rohit Srivastava, Mathieu Mantelet, Anne Saint-Eve, Jean-Luc Gennisson, Frederic Restagno, et al.. Ultrasound monitoring of a deformable tongue-food gel system during uniaxial compression—an in vitro study. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies / Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 2021, 70, pp.102695. 10.1016/j.ifset.2021.102695 . hal-03296059

**HAL Id: hal-03296059**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03296059>**

Submitted on 9 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## Abstract

---

This study presents a novel ultrasound method for exploring the mechanical deformability of artificial tongue models (ATMs) topped with agar and/or gelatin food gels during uniaxial compression. The ATMs were made from polyvinyl alcohol and displayed different levels of rigidity and surface roughness. We quantified deformation of the ATM-food system as it underwent compression induced by a texture analyzer. We collected real-time measurements via an ultrasound transducer (1 MHz) placed beneath the ATM, enabling to monitor non-invasively the ultrasound time of flight (ToF) and the apparent reflection coefficient ( $R^*$ ) of the ATM-food interface. The results on ToF reflected the tie between the level of force and ATM deformation; they were also precise enough to identify gel fracture.  $R^*$  was a crucial parameter influenced by both ATM properties (rigidity, surface roughness) and food gel properties (syneresis, ability to mold to surface asperities).

## Keywords

---

Food texture, Oral processing, Ultrasound, Artificial tongue models, Biomimetic set-up

## 1. Introduction

---

52  
53 Food texture perception is the result of complex and dynamic phenomena, which occur  
54 simultaneously during food oral processing. Understanding the dynamics of texture perception is  
55 hence of paramount interest for food scientists who seek to understand and model consumers'  
56 sensorial experience of food (Renard, Van De Velde, & Visschers, 2006; Wilkinson, Dijksterhuis, &  
57 Minekus, 2000). As technological advances are made, new and more precise techniques for  
58 characterizing food properties (e.g., structure, rheology, and tribology) have been developed (Stokes,  
59 Boehm, & Baier, 2013). However, it remains difficult to precisely associate mechanical measurements  
60 with sensory attribute ratings and to follow texture dynamics during oral processing. The human oral  
61 cavity is very complex and equipped with different types of mechanoreceptors, which can detect  
62 both static and dynamic stimuli. The tongue is a crucial organ within the oral cavity: it moves food  
63 back and forth, facilitating proper mastication and the safe swallowing of boli. It also plays a very  
64 important role in texture perception, especially when food is compressed between the tongue and  
65 the palate (Ishihara et al., 2013; Kohyama, Ishihara, Nakauma, & Funami, 2021). During oral  
66 processing, the deformation of food over the tongue surface creates clusters of different stimuli,  
67 which are perceived by the mechanoreceptors and then sent to the brain for further processing,  
68 including texture determination. There is a growing body of literature that underscores how  
69 understanding the tongue's physiological properties can shed light on changes in food structure  
70 during oral processing and the resulting diversity of texture perceptions (Engelen & Van Der Bilt,  
71 2008; Ketel, de Wijk, de Graaf, & Stieger, 2020; Van Vliet & Primo-Martín, 2011). Therefore, it is  
72 essential to further explore the dynamic interactions taking place between food and oral surfaces.

73 To effectively monitor such interactions, it is necessary to use a non-destructive technique that can  
74 be applied in real time. Ultrasound-based approaches have shown great promise and have been  
75 successfully employed to visualize tongue movement and bolus swallowing (Gao et al., 2013; Peng,  
76 Miethke, Pong, & Lin, 2007). Nevertheless, ultrasound images only provide qualitative information.  
77 Therefore, there has been a need to develop ultrasound-based methods with quantitative metrics

78 that can more precisely reveal the occurrence of events at the tongue-food interface. However,  
79 quantifying the propagation of ultrasound waves in the human oral cavity can be quite difficult.  
80 There is thus a need to develop an oral biomimetic set-up where the behavior of ultrasound waves  
81 can be studied in a controlled manner. Mantelet, Restagno, Souchon, & Mathieu (2020) and  
82 Mantelet, Srivastava, Restagno, Souchon, & Mathieu (2020) developed a novel ultrasound method  
83 for analyzing the tongue-food interface *in vitro*; their research performed a detailed examination of  
84 ultrasound reflectivity at the tongue-food interface, characterizing the effects of food properties,  
85 lubrication, and tongue surface roughness. This proof-of-concept work utilized rough but non-  
86 deformable tongue-mimicking surfaces made of polyvinyl chloride (PVC). It was found that the  
87 reflectivity of ultrasound waves (as estimated via the apparent reflection coefficient,  $R^*$ ) at the  
88 tongue mimic-food interface could be exploited to monitor changes in contact over time. This  
89 research also clarified the influence of food properties and lubrication on  $R^*$  and, thus, on interface  
90 interactions.

91 However, the PVC tongue-mimicking surfaces did not very realistically recreate an actual human  
92 tongue, and there was thus further room for improvement. Therefore, in this present article we have  
93 introduced a novel artificial tongue-mimicking model (referred to as an ATM hereafter) made of  
94 polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). In recent years, PVA has been used in biomedical engineering to model  
95 arteries and oral mucosa (Chatelin et al., 2014; Fromageau et al., 2007; Gennisson et al., 2007; Jiang,  
96 Liu, & Feng, 2011; Mamada, Fridrici, Kosukegawa, Kapsa, & Ohta, 2011). Tongue mimics made with  
97 PVA were also used in our previous study dealing with oral tribology (Srivastava et al., 2021). PVA is a  
98 water-soluble synthetic polymer and can be used to form hydrogels. The rigidity of PVA hydrogels  
99 can be controlled by varying the number and kinetics of the freezing and thawing cycles (Fromageau  
100 et al., 2007). This ability to control hydrogel rigidity made PVA a good fit for our study system.

101 The deformability of the PVA based ATM meant that it could be used in compression experiments in  
102 which changes in tongue thickness over time were quantified using an ultrasound parameter called

103 time of flight (ToF). The data gathered helped reveal the relationships among tongue dynamics, food  
104 properties, and system deformation. Using the PVA based ATM, we also studied variability in  $R^*$  in  
105 response to ATM properties (i.e., surface roughness, Young's modulus values, and water-release  
106 capacity) and food gel properties (i.e., Young's modulus values and water-release capacity), as such  
107 factors influence the tongue-food interface and, in turn, affect interactions between food and the  
108 tongue.

## 109 **2. Materials and methods**

---

### 110 **2.1. Preparation and characterization of food gels**

#### 111 Preparation of the food gels

112 Eight different model gels (Table 1) made of agar and/or gelatin were prepared as described in  
113 Mantelet et al. (2020a and b). First, a sucrose solution (white sugar [Cristalco, Paris, France] dissolved  
114 in water) was prepared via stirring at 20 °C for 30 min. If needed, agar powder (HP700IFG, Kalys,  
115 Bernin, France) was incorporated, and the mixture was heated to 100 °C (until agar was completely  
116 dissolved) in a flask sealed with aluminum foil to limit any loss of water. After the agar powder was  
117 completely dissolved, the mixture was cooled to 60 °C. This temperature was maintained, and gelatin  
118 (Bloom 250 PS 8/3, Rousselot, Gent, Belgium) was added (if required); the mixture was continuously  
119 stirred for 20 min. Once the gelatin was completely dissolved, the solution was poured into  
120 cylindrical molds (30 mm in diameter, 10 mm in height) made of polyethylene and exposed to  
121 conditions promoting gelation (20 °C for 15–18 h). The gel was unmolded just before the experiment  
122 to prevent any loss of water or shape over time. Table 1 summarizes the mechanical properties of  
123 the gels, which were characterized during the aforementioned research.

#### 124 Water-release capacity of the food gels

125 The water-release properties of these food gels were also quantified using a protocol adapted from  
126 Sala, Stieger, & van de Velde (2010). Three Whatman™ filter paper disks (110 mm diameter; GE

127 Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, USA) were placed on the base plate of a texture analyzer (TA.XT  
128 plus, Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, United Kingdom). A given gel was deposited on the disks and  
129 subjected to uniaxial compression (20% strain rate, 10 mm/s); there was a 5 s holding period. The  
130 maximum strain rate was chosen to avoid gel breakdown. The amount of water released was  
131 determined by comparing filter disk mass before and after the compression test. This methodology  
132 was somewhat modified in the case of the Ag<sub>0.3</sub> gel because the latter was very fragile and released  
133 water even without being compressed. Therefore, this gel was left on the filter disks for 5 sec and  
134 was then removed, without the application of any external stress. The paper was then weighed as in  
135 the case of the other gels. The results are presented in Table 1. However, in all future comparisons,  
136 we assumed that Ag<sub>0.3</sub> had highest water-release capacity.

## 137 **2.2. Preparation and characterization of the artificial tongue models**

### 138 Preparation of the artificial tongue models

139 First, PVA powder (Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Louis, USA) was dissolved in ultra-pure water (at 10% w/w)  
140 under stirring for 2 h at 80 °C. The solution was then cooled until it reached room temperature. The  
141 solution was subsequently poured into cylindrical molds (50 mm in diameter, 20 mm in height) that  
142 contained abrasive paper at the bottom. The choice of ATM thickness is based on values reported in  
143 literature which ranged from 10 mm to 40 mm (Nakamori et al., 2020; Beghini et al., 2017; Ishihara  
144 et al., 2013). To simulate two levels of surface roughness, two types of abrasive paper were used: (i)  
145 P80 sandpaper (grain size = 200 μm; Struers, Champigny-sur-Marne, France) and (ii) P40 sandpaper  
146 (grain size = 425 μm; Norton, Saint-Gobain, France). Hereafter, they are referred to as R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>,  
147 respectively. The molds were sealed and frozen at -20 °C for 10 h. They were then thawed for 14 h at  
148 20 °C. The freezing and thawing cycles were adjusted to attain the desired rigidity (Fromageau et al.,  
149 2007). Two types of ATMs were prepared: a soft ATM (C<sub>2</sub>), which underwent two cycles, and a hard  
150 ATM (C<sub>6</sub>), which underwent six cycles. After the completion of the requisite number of cycles, the

151 ATMs were unmolded and stored in reverse osmosis treated water at room temperature (20 °C) for  
152 several months.

### 153 Mechanical properties of the artificial tongue models

154 ATM rigidity was measured using uniaxial compression tests carried out with a texture analyzer  
155 (speed: 10 mm/s; strain rate: up to 20%; at least 3 replicates performed). This protocol was adapted  
156 from the work of Gao, Nakao, Ishihara, Funami, & Kohyama (2016). The resulting Young's modulus  
157 values are presented in Table 2.

### 158 Water-release capacity of the artificial tongue models

159 The ability of the ATMs to release water under mechanical compression was also quantified. For each  
160 ATM, any surface water was gently absorbed with Kimtech™ absorbent wipes (Kimberly-Clark, Irving,  
161 TX, USA) until the tissue came away dry. Subsequently, three layers of absorbing Whatman™ filter  
162 paper (110 mm diameter; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, USA) were placed between the  
163 texture analyzer probe and the tongue's rough surface to fully collect the water released. We chose  
164 to use a strain rate of 5% and a holding time of 5 sec to avoid any damage to the tongue's surface  
165 from the aluminum probe. The difference in paper mass was then determined as previously  
166 described to estimate water-release capacity (Table 2).

### 167 Surface roughness profiles of the artificial tongue models

168 ATM surface roughness was analyzed via profilometry. Measurements were obtained using a contact  
169 profilometer (Dektak XT, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) with a 50-nm-radius stylus and 1 mg of applied  
170 force. For each ATM, three 30 mm surface scans were performed (one-dimensional, 45° of rotation  
171 between each scan). Vision 64 software (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to control, analyze, and  
172 perform slope corrections before employing an algorithm in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Natick,  
173 Massachusetts, USA) to calculate roughness. Two parameters were chosen: the mean height of  
174 surface asperities (Ra) and correlation length, which is inversely related to asperity density ( $\beta$ ).



175 Although only two types of sandpaper were used, the freezing and thawing cycles had an impact on  
176 surface roughness as well. The values are presented in Table 2.

### 177 **2.3. Ultrasound measurements**

178 As in Mantelet et al. (2020a and b), the ultrasound set-up (Figure 1) comprised a texture analyzer, a  
179 tongue-mimicking surface (a PVA based ATM in this study), and a mono-element piezoelectric  
180 ultrasound transducer (central frequency of 1 MHz; V103RM, Olympus, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan); the  
181 latter was placed beneath the ATM. Before starting each experimental test, the water on the surface  
182 of the ATM was gently removed using absorbent wipes (Kimtech™, Kimberly-Clark, Irving, TX, USA)  
183 until the tissue came away dry. The food gels were gently unmolded and placed on top of the ATM.  
184 The texture analyzer was used to apply a controlled uniaxial deformation (of up to 8 mm at 10 mm/s)  
185 to the ATM-food gel system using a circular aluminum probe (diameter: 40 mm). Ultrasound  
186 measurements were made prior to the food being placed on the ATM (i.e., reference measurements)  
187 and in real time during the compression period. To this end, the transducer, acting as both an emitter  
188 and a receiver (pulse-echo mode), was used in tandem with a pulser-receiver (Sonatronic, Evry,  
189 France). The pulser-receiver system was used to generate negative square wave pulses (width: 500  
190 ns, amplitude: 80 V) and to digitize the radio frequency (*rf*) signals corresponding to the system's  
191 pulse echo response (12-bit quantification, 100 MHz sampling rate, 38 dB gain). The frequency of  
192 pulse recurrence was around 90 Hz. A LabVIEW (National Instrument, Austin, Texas, USA) interface  
193 was used for real-time acquisition of the *rf* signals during the compression period.

### 194 **2.4. Signal processing**

195 Similarly to the work done in our previous research Mantelet et al. (2020a and b), the apparent  
196 reflection coefficient of ATM-food interface ( $R^*$ ) was calculated on all the ultrasound signals of each  
197 test. ATM deformability had an impact on both the amplitude and the time of occurrence of the  
198 ultrasound waves reflecting off the ATM-food gel interface. The deformations induced during  
199 compression shortened the path to be covered by the waves. As a consequence, the time needed for

200 the waves to travel between the emission source and back was shorter as well. Thus, in addition to  
201  $R^*$ , here we have used another ultrasound parameter: time of flight (ToF).

202 Each experimental test is composed of a set of signals which have been analyzed using Matlab.  
203 Figure 2a shows the typical shape of an *rf* signal, composed of two main echoes:  $E_0$  for the tongue-  
204 food interface,  $E_1$  for the food-palate interface. Figure 2b shows the M-Mode representation of the  
205 whole set of signals acquired during a test, where signal amplitude is coded in color and thus allows  
206 to trace the evolution of the echoes identified in Figure 2a. For each signal, noise reduction was  
207 implemented via a low-pass filter (cut-off frequency: 10 MHz). To precisely characterize ATM  
208 deformation, it was crucial to accurately determine the ToF for the echo,  $E_0$ , associated with the  
209 ATM-food gel interface over the entire course of a given test.  $E_1$  echo was not processed for this  
210 study. Using the reference signal, a time window was automatically defined; it had a width of 5  $\mu$ s  
211 and was centered with respect to the Hilbert-transformed peak amplitude of the signal. This window  
212 of reference was then cross-correlated with all the *rf* signals acquired during a given test, allowing  
213 the automatic detection of the ToF associated with  $E_0$ .

214 The ToF associated with the echo  $E_0$  corresponds to the time required for the ultrasonic wave to  
215 return to the sensor after being reflected at the interface between the ATM and the food. The  
216 thickness ( $e$ ) of the ATM is proportional to this time of flight ToF:

$$217 \quad e = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ToF \cdot c$$

218 Where  $c$  is the propagation speed of ultrasound waves in the 10% PVA hydrogels (1540 m/s at 20 °C;  
219 Gennisson et al., 2007). Finally, the deformation of the ATM ( $\Delta e$ ) was deduced by subtracting the real  
220 time thickness ( $e$ ) of the ATM from its initial value  $e_0$ . As an example for validation of the accuracy of  
221 the method, we compared it with deformation measurements assessed with the texture analyzer. To  
222 do so, we considered the compression of an ATM without any food. The deformation applied was  
223 20%, with a velocity equal to 10 mm/s (similarly to as done for the tests in presence of food). Three

224 repetitions were performed. The obtained average curves with standard deviation envelopes can be  
225 compared in Figure 3. The obtained graphs hence show that the deformation measurements by both  
226 ultrasound and texture analyzer are in agreement, confirming the relevance of the ultrasound  
227 velocity value (1540 m/s) used for the calculation, and validating the method for tongue deformation  
228 assessment.

229 To reliably calculate  $R^*$  at the ATM-food gel interface, it was necessary to accurately estimate the  
230 amplitude of  $E_0$ . For all the *rf* signals, time windows encompassing  $E_0$  had a width of 5  $\mu$ s and were  
231 defined so as to be centered around the ToF for  $E_0$ . A Hanning window was applied, and the  
232 amplitude of  $E_0$  was defined as the maximum amplitude of the power spectrum (the Fourier  
233 transform of the autocorrelation function) for the window obtained.  $R^*$  was defined as  $E_0$ 's  
234 amplitude during a given test, expressed as a percentage of  $E_0$ 's amplitude during the reference  
235 measurements (i.e., when there was no food gel on the ATM). Consequently, the evolution of ToF  
236 and  $R^*$  were computed for the entire duration of an experimental test, as illustrated in Figure 2c  
237 which focuses on the compression step.

### 238 3. Results and discussion

---

239 To begin with, the biomimetic set-up with different ATMs was developed. The roughness and rigidity  
240 values (Table 2) of the ATMs fabricated for this study were found to be in accordance with the values  
241 reported for real human tongues. Ishihara et al., (2013) has reported the rigidity of the human  
242 tongue at rest as  $12.2 \pm 4.2$  kPa and at contracted state as  $122.5 \pm 58.5$  kPa. Moreover, the surface  
243 asperities height (filiform papillae) of human tongue was reported between 50 - 150  $\mu$ m (Andablo-  
244 Reyes et al., 2020; Wang, Wang, Upadhyay, & Chen, 2019). Also, Andablo-Reyes et al. (2020)  
245 reported average diameter of filiform papillae around 350  $\mu$ m. Hence the characteristics of the  
246 developed ATMs were in good accordance with the values published in literature on human tongues.  
247 The results and discussion on these ATMs are discussed below and have been structured into two  
248 main parts that each focus on one of ultrasound parameters: (i) ToF and (ii)  $R^*$ .

249 **3.1. Characterizing the deformation of the artificial tongue models—ToF**

250 It is important to investigate the deformation of the tongue during oral processing because this  
251 phenomenon can directly change food bolus characteristics and stimulate the mechanoreceptors  
252 responsible for texture perception. In this study, our *in vitro* approach allowed us to measure the ToF  
253 of the ultrasound waves reflected off of the ATM-food gel interface, providing an accurate estimate  
254 of ATM deformation during the uniaxial compression of the system. The maximum possible degree of  
255 ATM deformation (in the absence of food) was 40% because initial ATM thickness was 20 mm, and  
256 the level of deformation applied to the system was fixed at 8 mm. It is also important to have in mind  
257 that the diameter of the ultrasound beam (less than 10 mm) is lower than the diameter of the gels.  
258 Thus, the deformations measured by ultrasound only reflect what is happening in the central part of  
259 the ATM, which can be assumed as planar.

260 Effect of food properties

261 When the soft ( $C_2R_2$ ) ATM was used, varied profiles of force (as measured by the texture analyzer)  
262 were observed over the range of food gels (plate displacement: 8 mm at 10 mm/s; Figure 4a). The  
263 ToF values obtained during these experimental tests showed that ATM deformation changed over  
264 time (Figure 4b). Force and ATM deformation showed similar trends. When tests were performed  
265 using the hard ( $C_6R_2$ ) ATM, we saw the same patterns and similarity in metric values (Figures 4c and  
266 4d). These results are encouraging because they underscore the relationship between ATM  
267 deformation and the force applied to the system during compression. The *in situ* and non-invasive  
268 characterization made possible here with ultrasound gives promising long term *in vivo* perspectives.  
269 Forces and deformations indeed constitute very relevant information to monitor during oral  
270 processing, but they remain impossible to characterize so far. The ToF values also showed that it was  
271 possible to identify the fracture point of food gels during compression. Like the level of force, tongue  
272 deformation was found to be sensitive to the sudden breakage of food gels. Out of the eight food

273 gels,  $Ag_{0.3}$  was the only one that fractured during compression, and this event was easily observed in  
274 both the ATM deformation results and the force results.

275 It is also clear from the results that ATM deformation was affected by the mechanical properties of  
276 the food gels. For example, because  $Ag_{0.3}$  and  $Ge_{3.5}$  were softer, they did not cause as much ATM  
277 deformation as did the more rigid food gels like  $Ag_{1.8}$ . To understand this phenomenon, it is useful to  
278 make the comparison with the situation of two springs connected in series. Total deformation is the  
279 sum of the strains experienced by the individual springs. Hence, the softer gels more equally shared  
280 the deformation resulting from system compression, whereas the more rigid gels did not, leading the  
281 ATM to deform more in compensation.

#### 282 Effect of artificial tongue model properties

283 The human tongue is a complex muscular organ that is also a hydrostat. In other words, its volume  
284 remains constant when its rigidity is modulated or when it is in motion (Napadow, Chen, Wedeen, &  
285 Gilbert, 1999). Tongue rigidity changes considerably over the course of oral processing to better  
286 manage the bolus and texture perception. We used the PVA based ATM to investigate the role of  
287 rigidity in food deformation. We measured force and ATM deformation during experimental tests in  
288 which the different food gels were placed on the soft and hard ATMs ( $C_2R_2$  and  $C_6R_2$ , respectively;  
289 values following compression: Figure 5). ATM rigidity affected the relationship between the level of  
290 force and ATM deformation. For both ATM types, the different food gels formed clusters that were  
291 based on the gels' mechanical properties). It represents that the diversity in mechanical property of  
292 gels were suitable for this study. Some food gels, like  $Ag_{0.3}$ , resulted in little tongue deformation,  
293 while others, like  $Ag_{1.8}$ , led to nearly 8 mm in deformation in the soft ATM, suggesting that the food  
294 gels themselves were not deformed at all. Taken together, the clusters of points for each ATM type  
295 followed a specific pattern, which was comparable to the curves obtained for the Young's modulus  
296 values during ATM compression. It is thus evident that the hard ATM led to greater force than did the  
297 soft ATM. Moreover, ATM rigidity also influenced food deformation kinetics. Using  $Ag_{0.3}$  as an

298 example, both the force and ATM deformation results show that gel fracture occurred earlier on the  
299 hard ATM than on the soft ATM (Figure 4). These results highlight that ATM rigidity plays an  
300 important part in shaping the extent and kinetics of food deformation.

301 The human tongue has a particular surface topology due to the presence of different papillae.  
302 However, the latter's role in the bulk deformation of food has yet to be thoroughly explored. In this  
303 study, force and ATM deformation displayed different patterns over time when certain food gels  
304 (notably gelatin-dominant gels) were placed on ATMs with the same rigidity but different surface  
305 roughness. For example, in case of the gelatin-dominant gels  $Ge_{3.5}$  and  $Ge_7$ , the effect of surface  
306 roughness on tongue deformation was much more pronounced for the hard ATM (Figures 6a, b),  
307 since the deformation curve for  $C_6R_1$  was more distant from  $C_6R_2$  when comparing  $C_2R_1$  with  $C_2R_2$ . The  
308 explanation could be that, when the cylindrical gels were compressed on top of the ATM, a barreling  
309 effect arose from friction and adhesion coming into play (Brennan & Bourne, 1994; Pons & Fiszman,  
310 1996). Barreling effects occur when there is friction between a plate and a specimen, which results in  
311 a state of triaxial stress instead of the ideal state of uniaxial stress. Since gelatin polymers have  
312 adhesive properties and release less water, the degree of friction might have been greater. The agar-  
313 dominant gels did not display such a pattern, perhaps because of their higher degree of water  
314 release.

315 This explanation could also apply to the results for the hard ATM, which released less water during  
316 compression. Furthermore, the number of freezing and thawing cycles positively affected mean peak  
317 asperity height (Table 2). The presence of taller asperities with negligible water in the interphase  
318 region should have enhanced adhesion between the food gels and the ATM. Greater adhesion can  
319 enhance barreling effects, lowering the uniaxial force exerted on the system.

### 320 **3.2. Analysis of the tongue model-food gel interface— $R^*$**

321  $R^*$  is the parameter used to quantify the apparent reflection of ultrasound waves at the interface  
322 between the ATM and the food gels, and its value is greatly dependent on the media's difference in

323 acoustic impedance (Mantelet et al., 2019).  $R^*$  has been shown to be a key parameter for  
324 understanding the characteristics of the tongue-food interface (Mantelet et al., 2020a and b). More  
325 specifically, it was found that there was a pronounced difference in acoustic impedance between a  
326 PVC tongue-mimicking surface and various food gels, resulting in the strong reflection of ultrasound  
327 waves at the interface. Here, in contrast, the PVA based ATM and the food gels appeared to have  
328 similar acoustical impedance, PVA being thus more representative of the acoustic properties of  
329 human soft tissue. However, it may also mean that the quantity of acoustic energy reflected by the  
330 ATM-food gel interface was limited. That said, there were clear differences in  $R^*$  among food gels  
331 (Figure 7a). Results for the  $C_2R_2$  ATM underscore the sensitivity of our ultrasound method when it  
332 comes to analyzing the interface between the PVA ATM (with its more biologically realistic  
333 properties) and the food gels. Previous research has examined variation in  $R^*$  for a PVC tongue-  
334 mimicking surface (Mantelet et al., 2020a and b). The presence of trapped air at the interface was  
335 found to be a key factor affecting  $R^*$  variations. The value of this parameter was at a minimum when  
336 no air was present, representing a state of perfect coupling between the ATM and food gel; in  
337 contrast, when  $R^*$  was maximum, coupling was imperfect due to significant amounts of trapped air.  
338 Factors that can alter air presence are water release or mechanical deformation. The results obtained  
339 here were similar to those seen in the previous study.  $R^*$  values varied depending on food gel type  
340 and the amount of water present at the interface.

#### 341 Effect of food gel properties

342 Polymers like agar or gelatin form very different structures upon gelation, resulting in products that  
343 differ in rigidity and water-release capacity (Santagiuliana, Piqueras-Fiszman, van der Linden, Stieger,  
344 & Scholten, 2018). Consequently, we observed a variety of changes in  $R^*$  over time: it decreased,  
345 plateaued, or increased over the course of compression, indicating the presence of a nonlinear  
346 response. It was therefore necessary to study the data quantitatively. When the values of  $R^*$  were  
347 examined and compared for two time points (Figure 7b),  $t_0$  (test begins—food gel is deposited on the

348 ATM) and  $t_1$  (test ends following the compression period), it was evident that two main factors were  
349 at play. Syneresis and the gel's ability to mold itself to ATM surface asperities appeared to govern  
350 changes in  $R^*$  over time.

351 At  $t_0$  (Figure 7b), the  $R^*$  value for  $Ag_{0.3}$  was quite low since the latter was an extremely soft food gel  
352 that released a large amount of water, improving contact with the ATM's surface.  $Ge_{3.5}$  had a  
353 comparatively higher  $R^*$  value despite its nearly equivalent Young's modulus value because it  
354 released less water. The values at  $t_0$  can shed some light on why some food gels might be perceived  
355 as dry or wet when deposited on the tongue.

356 When the food gels were compressed on the ATM, the main trend observed was a decrease of  $R^*$   
357 since the application of stress tended to improve contact at the interface. The  $R^*$  values following  
358 compression ( $t_1$ ) provided much needed insight into the role of food gel and ATM properties in  
359 predicting the degree of improvement in contact. The higher the gel's gelatin concentration, the  
360 higher the initial  $R^*$  value, suggesting poorer levels of contact. There was thus greater room for  
361 improvement when the uniaxial stress was applied. This difference was starker for the more rigid  
362 gelatin-dominant gels since the force exerted to achieve 8 mm in deformation was much higher,  
363 resulting in better contact between the food gel and the ATM.

#### 364 Effect of artificial tongue model properties

365 ATM properties also influenced the  $R^*$  values. When examining how ATM rigidity type ( $C_2R_2$  = soft  
366 and  $C_6R_2$  = hard) affected the results obtained with the food gels  $Ag_{0.3}$ ,  $Ge_{3.5}$ ,  $Ge_7$ , and  $Ge_{7T}$ , it was  
367 found that  $R^*$  was higher at the start of the tests ( $t_0$ ) for  $C_6R_2$  compared to  $C_2R_2$  (Figures 8a and 8b).  
368 The greater the number of freezing-thawing cycles, the denser and more rigid the PVA becomes,  
369 affecting the polymer's ability to release water. The resulting absence of water at the interface could  
370 explain why  $R^*$  was higher for the  $C_6R_2$  ATM. As discussed previously, low levels of lubrication at the  
371 interface can augment adhesion, resulting in a barreling effect and an uneven decline in compression  
372 force. This barreling effect might lead to uneven surface deformation as well as air pockets, thus



373 increasing  $R^*$ . Another explanation could be that the hard ATM underwent six cycles of freezing and  
374 thawing, more greatly affecting its surface roughness (peak height) even though sandpaper grain size  
375 was the same. Increased asperity height could have led to more air being trapped at the interface,  
376 boosting  $R^*$  values.

377 When rigidity was controlled, ATM surface roughness ( $C_2R_1$  vs.  $C_2R_2$ ) was found to affect  $R^*$  values  
378 (Figures 9a and 9b): it resulted in different temporal patterns in  $R^*$  for the three gelatin-dominant  
379 gels ( $Ge_{3.5}$ ,  $Ge_7$ ,  $Ge_{7T}$ ).  $R^*$  values were higher for  $C_2R_1$  than for  $C_2R_2$ , which was an unexpected result  
380 because one would assume that higher asperities would lead to more trapped air, enhancing wave  
381 reflection. However, it should also be noted that, compared to  $C_2R_1$ ,  $C_2R_2$  had a larger peak  
382 correlation length ( $\beta$ ) (i.e., wider peaks) and hence a lower peak density. Peak density could affect  
383 surface topography overall, potentially explaining this unexpected observation.

384 The comparison of the  $R^*$  values for  $Ge_7$  versus  $Ge_{7T}$  sheds light on the ability of TWEEN to modify  
385 interface characteristics (Figure 9c). As a surfactant, TWEEN lowers the surface tension of water,  
386 promoting its spread under conditions of compression and expelling the air trapped among  
387 asperities. It seems likely that the properties of the surfactant in  $Ge_{7T}$  reduced the difference in the  
388  $R^*$  values when this food gel was used on ATMs of different surface roughness.

## 389 4. Conclusions

---

390 In this study, we used PVA based ATMs with different bulk rigidities and surface roughness profiles to  
391 investigate the role of tongue deformability in the mechanical compression of food gels by a hard  
392 palate. The ToF of the ultrasound wave traveling to and from the ATM-food gel interface was used as  
393 a key metric for characterizing the overall deformation of the system. The ToF values helped  
394 estimate ATM deformation during the uniaxial compression of the food gels and were found to fit  
395 with the force exerted on the system.  $R^*$ , or the apparent reflection coefficient, has been employed  
396 in previous studies to analyze tongue-food dynamics. It was found to be effective here as well for

397 characterizing real-time changes at the ATM-food gel interface over the course of compression,  
398 including changes in the relationship between contact dynamics and both gel properties (i.e., Young's  
399 modulus values, water-release capacity) and ATM properties (i.e., deformability and surface  
400 roughness). More importantly, this study demonstrated that, despite the similarities in the acoustical  
401 impedance of the ATMs and the food gels, it was possible to accurately quantify reflection at a rather  
402 small scale. Consequently, this approach may hold promise for *in vivo* applications involving  
403 biological tissues and food, which have similar acoustical properties.

404 The study also underscored the utility of PVA in ATM design, given that it is a material that can better  
405 replicate tongue rigidity and roughness, a need that still exists in food oral processing research.  
406 Additionally, our findings showed that ultrasound techniques could be useful for tracking  
407 quantitative information related to mechanical interactions between the tongue and the palate.

408 Future work using this set-up will focus on investigating how ultrasound indicators respond when  
409 investigating systems with more complex, heterogeneous model foods. The broader goal is to  
410 progressively bridge the gap between model food and real food. Future research will also better  
411 account for the complexity of food oral processing, a greater diversity of motion (mixing shearing and  
412 compression) will be simulated and tongue shape, oral cavity anatomy and oral temperature will be  
413 more realistically recreated to further put ultrasound techniques to the test, prior to their more  
414 widespread use *in vivo*.

## 415 Acknowledgments

---

416 We wish to acknowledge the crucial technical support provided by David Forest and Mary Ugwonal.   
417 We are grateful to Sandrine Mariot for her help with the profilometry measurements. We also thank  
418 Jessica Pearce-Duvet for proofreading this manuscript.

419

## Funding

---

420 This work was financially supported by the QUSToFood project funded by the French National  
421 Research Agency (ANR-17-CE21-004).

422

423

## References

---

425 Beghini, M., Pereira, T. L., Montes, J. M. C., De Moura, D. V., Dezem, T. U., .... De Lima Pereira, S. V.  
426 (2017). Morphometric Analysis of Tongue in Individuals of European and African Ancestry.  
427 *Journal of Forensic Investigation*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.13188/2330-0396.1000038>

428 Andablo-Reyes, E., Bryant, M., Neville, A., Hyde, P., Sarkar, R., Francis, M., & Sarkar, A. (2020). 3D  
429 Biomimetic Tongue-Emulating Surfaces for Tribological Applications. *ACS Applied Materials and*  
430 *Interfaces*, 12(44), 49371–49385. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c12925>

431 BRENNAN, J. G., & BOURNE, M. C. (1994). Effect of Lubrication on the Compression Behaviour of  
432 Cheese and Frankfurters. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 25(2), 139–150.  
433 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.1994.tb01322.x>

434 Chatelin, S., Bernal, M., Deffieux, T., Papadacci, C., Flaud, P., Nahas, A., ... Pernot, M. (2014).  
435 Anisotropic polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel phantom for shear wave elastography in fibrous  
436 biological soft tissue: A multimodality characterization. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 59(22),  
437 6923–6940. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/59/22/6923>

438 Engelen, L., & Van Der Bilt, A. (2008). Oral physiology and texture perception of semisolids. *Journal of*  
439 *Texture Studies*, 39(1), 83–113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.2007.00132.x>

440 Fromageau, J., Gennisson, J. L., Schmitt, C., Maurice, R. L., Mongrain, R., & Cloutier, G. (2007).  
441 Estimation of polyvinyl alcohol cryogel mechanical properties with four ultrasound elastography

442 methods and comparison with gold standard testings. *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,*  
443 *Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, 54(3), 498–508. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TUFFC.2007.273>

444 Gao, Z., Nakao, S., Ishihara, S., Funami, T., & Kohyama, K. (2016). A Pilot Study on Ultrasound  
445 Elastography for Evaluation of Mechanical Characteristics and Oral Strategy of Gels. *Journal of*  
446 *Texture Studies*, 47(2), 152–160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12170>

447 Gennisson, J.-L., Rénier, M., Catheline, S., Barrière, C., Bercoff, J., Tanter, M., & Fink, M. (2007).  
448 Acoustoelasticity in soft solids: Assessment of the nonlinear shear modulus with the acoustic  
449 radiation force. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 122(6), 3211–3219.  
450 <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.2793605>

451 Ishihara, S., Nakao, S., Nakauma, M., Funami, T., Hori, K., Ono, T., ... Nishinari, K. (2013). Compression  
452 Test of Food Gels on Artificial Tongue and Its Comparison with Human Test. *Journal of Texture*  
453 *Studies*, 44(2), 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12002>

454 Jiang, S., Liu, S., & Feng, W. (2011). PVA hydrogel properties for biomedical application. *Journal of the*  
455 *Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 4(7), 1228–1233.  
456 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2011.04.005>

457 Ketel, E. C., de Wijk, R. A., de Graaf, C., & Stieger, M. (2020). Relating oral physiology and anatomy of  
458 consumers varying in age, gender and ethnicity to food oral processing behavior. *Physiology*  
459 *and Behavior*, 215(August 2019), 112766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2019.112766>

460 Kohyama, K., Ishihara, S., Nakauma, M., & Funami, T. (2021). Fracture phenomena of soft gellan gum  
461 gels during compression with artificial tongues. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 112(August 2020), 106283.  
462 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106283>

463 Mamada, K., Fridrici, V., Kosukegawa, H., Kapsa, P., & Ohta, M. (2011). Friction properties of  
464 poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogel: Effects of degree of polymerization and saponification value.  
465 *Tribology Letters*, 42(2), 241–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-011-9768-8>

466 Mantelet, M., Panouillé, M., Boué, F., Bosc, V., Restagno, F., Souchon, I., & Mathieu, V. (2019).  
467 Impact of sol-gel transition on the ultrasonic properties of complex model foods: Application to  
468 agar/gelatin gels and emulsion filled gels. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 87(May 2018), 506–518.  
469 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.08.021>

470 Mantelet, M., Restagno, F., Souchon, I., & Mathieu, V. (2020). Using ultrasound to characterize the  
471 tongue-food interface: An in vitro study examining the impact of surface roughness and  
472 lubrication. *Ultrasonics*, 103(April 2019), 106095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2020.106095>

473 Mantelet, M., Srivastava, R., Restagno, F., Souchon, I., & Mathieu, V. (2020). Real time ultrasound  
474 assessment of contact progress between food gels and tongue mimicking surfaces during a  
475 compression. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 106099. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106099>

476 Nakamori, M., Imamura, E., Fukuta, M., Tachiyama, K., Kamimura, T., Hayashi, Y., ... Wakabayashi, S.  
477 (2020). Tongue thickness measured by ultrasonography is associated with tongue pressure in  
478 the Japanese elderly. *PLoS ONE*, 15(8 July), 1–12.  
479 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230224>

480 Napadow, V. J., Chen, Q., Wedeen, V. J., & Gilbert, R. J. (1999). Intramural mechanics of the human  
481 tongue in association with physiological deformations. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 32(1), 1–12.  
482 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290\(98\)00109-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(98)00109-2)

483 Pons, M., & Fiszman, S. M. (1996). Instrumental texture profile analysis with particular reference to  
484 gelled systems. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 27(6), 597–624. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.1996.tb00996.x>

486 Renard, D., Van De Velde, F., & Visschers, R. W. (2006). The gap between food gel structure, texture  
487 and perception. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 20(4), 423–431.  
488 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2005.10.014>

489 Sala, G., Stieger, M., & van de Velde, F. (2010). Serum release boosts sweetness intensity in gels.

490 *Food Hydrocolloids*, 24(5), 494–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2009.12.001>

491 Santagiuliana, M., Piqueras-Fiszman, B., van der Linden, E., Stieger, M., & Scholten, E. (2018).  
492 Mechanical properties affect detectability of perceived texture contrast in heterogeneous food  
493 gels. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 80, 254–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.02.022>

494 Srivastava, R., Bosc, V., Restagno, F., Tournier, C., Menut, P., Souchon, I., & Mathieu, V. (2021). A new  
495 biomimetic set-up to understand the role of the kinematic, mechanical, and surface  
496 characteristics of the tongue in food oral tribological studies. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 115(July  
497 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106602>

498 Stokes, J. R., Boehm, M. W., & Baier, S. K. (2013). Oral processing, texture and mouthfeel: From  
499 rheology to tribology and beyond. *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science*, 18(4), 349–  
500 359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cocis.2013.04.010>

501 Van Vliet, T., & Primo-Martín, C. (2011). Interplay Between Product Characteristics, Oral Physiology  
502 And Texture Perception Of Cellular Brittle Foods. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 42(2), 82–94.  
503 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.2010.00273.x>

504 Wang, X., Wang, X., Upadhyay, R., & Chen, J. (2019). Topographic study of human tongue in relation  
505 to oral tribology. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 95(April), 116–121.  
506 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.04.022>

507 Wilkinson, C., Dijksterhuis, G. B., & Minekus, M. (2000). From food structure to texture. *Trends in*  
508 *Food Science and Technology*, 11(12), 442–450. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244\(01\)00033-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(01)00033-4)  
509 4

510

511

512

513

514

515

## Figure Captions

---

516 Figure 1: (a) Schematic representation of the experimental set-up; Pictures of (b) the polyvinyl  
517 alcohol artificial tongue model (ATM) and (c) the ATM topped with a food gel.

518 Figure 2: (a) A typical *rf* signal, composed of two main echoes:  $E_0$  for the tongue-food interface,  $E_1$  for  
519 the food-palate interface; (b) Ultrasound M-mode imaging of the entire set of signals obtained during  
520 an experiment and (c) Variation of ToF and  $R^*$  (calculated on the basis of  $E_0$ ) during a compression.

521 Figure 3: Estimation of the tongue deformation (without food) by ultrasound time of flight and  
522 texture analyzer.

523 Figure 4: (a) Force and (b) estimated ATM deformation over time as food gels were compressed on  
524 the soft ATM ( $C_2R_2$ ); (c) Force and (d) estimated ATM deformation over time as food gels were  
525 compressed on the hard ATM ( $C_6R_2$ ). The cloud around each line represents the standard deviation;  
526 at least six replicates were performed for each experimental test. The markers of different shapes  
527 were used to enhance the readability of the graphs. The dotted line on ATM deformation plot  
528 represents the maximum deformation imposed by the texture analyzer.

529 Figure 5: Force and estimated ATM deformation following compression (time  $t_1$ ) for the experimental  
530 tests conducted with all the food gel types on ATMs differing in rigidity ( $C_2R_2$  = soft and  $C_6R_2$  = hard).  
531 Each color corresponds to a certain food gel type, whereas the two symbols distinguish between the  
532 ATM types.

533 Figure 6: Effect of surface roughness on estimated ATM deformation during the compression of the  
534 food gels (a)  $Ge_{3.5}$  and (b)  $Ge_7$ . The cloud around each line represents the standard deviation.

535 Figure 7: (a)  $R^*$  values during the compression of the food gels on the soft ATM ( $C_2R_2$ ); the cloud  
536 around each line represents the standard deviation; the markers of different shapes were used to  
537 enhance the readability of the graphs. (b)  $R^*$  values before compression began ( $t_0$ ), and after  
538 compression ended ( $t_1$ ), and the difference between the two ( $t_0-t_1$ ). The error bars represent the  
539 standard deviations.

540 Figure 8: Effect of ATM rigidity on  $R^*$  during the compression of the food gels on (a) the soft ATM  
541 ( $C_2R_2$ ) and (b) the hard ATM ( $C_6R_2$ ). The error bars represent the standard deviations.

542 Figure 9: Effect of surface roughness on  $R^*$  during the compression of the food gels (a)  $Ge_{3.5}$ , (b)  $Ge_7$ ,  
543 and (c)  $Ge_{7T}$ . The cloud around each line represents the standard deviation.

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556



557

558

## 559 Tables

---

560 Table 1: Description of the food gels (Diameter: 30 mm; Height: 10 mm): composition (they all  
561 contained 15% wt of sucrose), Young's modulus values, and water-release capacity. Standard  
562 deviations are provided when applicable.

563 Table 2: Description of the ATMs (Diameter: 50 mm; Height: 20 mm): Young's modulus values,  
564 surface roughness profiles, and water-release capacity. Standard deviations are provided when  
565 applicable.

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581 **Figure 1**

582

583


584

585

586

587

588




589

590

591

592

593



594

595

596

597



598

599

600

601 **Figure 2**

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612


613

614

615

616

617



618

619

620

621 **Figure 3**

---

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632


633

634

635

636

637



638

639

640

641 **Figure 4**

642





643

644

645

646

647



658

659


660 Figure 5

---

661

662

663



678

679

Figure 6

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692


693

694

695

696

697



698

Figure 7

699

700

701


702

703

704

705

706



707


708

709

710

711

712



713

714

715

716



717

Figure 8

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730


731

732

733

734

735



736

Figure 9

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750


751

752

753

754

755



756

Table 1

Gel type	Composition (% wt)				Young's modulus (kPa)	Water released (g)
	Water	Agar	Gelatin	TWEEN		
				20		
<i>Ag<sub>0.3</sub></i>	84.7	0.3	-	-	4 ± 3	0.150 ± 0.020*
						(without compression)
<i>Ag<sub>1</sub>Ge<sub>0.75</sub></i>	83.25	1	0.75	-	58 ± 15	0.257 ± 0.020
<i>Ag<sub>1.8</sub></i>	83.2	1.8	-	-	132 ± 10	0.151 ± 0.012
<i>Ge<sub>3.5</sub></i>	81.5	-	3.5	-	2 ± 0.1	0.028 ± 0.002
<i>Ag<sub>0.3</sub>Ge<sub>3.5</sub></i>	81.2	0.3	3.5	-	13 ± 3	0.029 ± 0.004
<i>Ag<sub>0.7</sub>Ge<sub>5.85</sub></i>	78.45	0.7	5.85	-	32 ± 7	0.015 ± 0.003
<i>Ge<sub>7</sub></i>	78	-	7	-	24 ± 2	0.015 ± 0.002
<i>Ge<sub>7</sub>T</i>	76.5	-	7	1.5	17 ± 2	0.012 ± 0.001

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

Table 2

ATM type	No. of freezing/ thawing cycles	Young's modulus (kPa)	Surface profile ( $\mu\text{m}$ )		Water released (g)
			Peak height	Correlation	
			( $R_a$ )	length ( $\beta$ )	
$C_2R_1$	2	$23 \pm 1$	$42 \pm 1$	$289 \pm 36$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$
$C_2R_2$	2	$18 \pm 1$	$72 \pm 14$	$435 \pm 62$	$0.031 \pm 0.003$
$C_6R_1$	6	$71.2 \pm 1$	$55 \pm 3$	$341 \pm 62$	$0.015 \pm 0.002$
$C_6R_2$	6	$71 \pm 2$	$103 \pm 3$	$429 \pm 63$	$0.018 \pm 0.003$

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777