



HAL
open science

Factors associated with anti-tumor necrosis factor effectiveness to prevent postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease

Anthony Buisson, Lisa Cannon, Konstantin Umanskiy, Roger Hurst, Neil Hyman, Atsushi Sakuraba, Joel Pekow, Sushila Dalal, Russell Cohen, Bruno Pereira, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Anthony Buisson, Lisa Cannon, Konstantin Umanskiy, Roger Hurst, Neil Hyman, et al.. Factors associated with anti-tumor necrosis factor effectiveness to prevent postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease. *Intestinal Research*, 2021, pp.1-14. 10.5217/ir.2021.00018 . hal-03315768

HAL Id: hal-03315768

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03315768>

Submitted on 18 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



Factors associated with anti-tumor necrosis factor effectiveness to prevent postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease

Anthony Buisson^{1,2,3}, Lisa Cannon⁴, Konstantin Umanskiy⁴, Roger D. Hurst⁴, Neil H. Hyman⁴, Atsushi Sakuraba¹, Joel Pekow¹, Sushila Dalal¹, Russell D. Cohen¹, Bruno Pereira⁵, David T. Rubin¹

¹Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Inflammatory Bowel Unit, Inserm, 3iHP, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont Auvergne University, Clermont-Ferrand; ³USC INRae 2018, M2iSH, Inserm U1071, 3iHP, Clermont Auvergne University, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ⁴Department of Surgery, University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁵Biostatistics Unit, DRCl, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont Auvergne University, Clermont-Ferrand, France

Background/Aims: We assessed the effectiveness of anti-TNF agents and its associated factors to prevent endoscopic and clinical postoperative recurrence (POR) in Crohn's disease (CD). **Methods:** From a prospectively-maintained database, we retrieved 316 CD patients who underwent intestinal resection (2011–2017). Endoscopic (Rutgeerts index ≥ 2 at 6 months) and clinical (recurrence of symptoms leading to hospitalization or therapeutic escalation) POR were assessed. **Results:** In 117 anti-TNF-naïve patients, anti-TNF therapy was more effective than immunosuppressive agents (odds ratio [OR], 8.8; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.8–43.9; $P=0.008$) and no medication/5-aminosalicylates (OR, 5.2; 95% CI, 1.0–27.9; $P=0.05$) to prevent endoscopic POR. In 199 patients exposed to anti-TNF prior to the surgery, combination with anti-TNF and immunosuppressive agents was more effective than anti-TNF monotherapy (OR, 2.32; 95% CI, 1.02–5.31; $P=0.046$) to prevent endoscopic POR. Primary failure to anti-TNF agent prior to surgery was predictive of anti-TNF failure to prevent endoscopic POR (OR, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.10–5.32; $P=0.03$). When endoscopic POR despite anti-TNF prophylactic medication ($n=55$), optimizing anti-TNF and adding an immunosuppressive drug was the most effective option to prevent clinical POR (hazard ratio, 7.38; 95% CI, 1.54–35.30; $P=0.012$). Anti-TNF therapy was the best option to prevent clinical POR (hazard ratio, 3.10; 95% CI, 1.09–8.83; $P=0.034$) in patients with endoscopic POR who did not receive any biologic to prevent endoscopic POR ($n=55$). **Conclusions:** Anti-TNF was the most effective medication to prevent endoscopic and clinical POR. Combination with anti-TNF and immunosuppressive agents should be considered in patients previously exposed to anti-TNF. (**Intest Res, Published online**)

Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; Surgery; Ileocolonic resection; Combination therapy

INTRODUCTION

Crohn's disease (CD) is a disabling and progressive disorder involving the digestive tract that can lead to bowel damage as

well as altered quality of life and high disability for patients.^{1,2} Despite the larger use of biologics, bowel resection is required in almost one half of the patients.³ After surgery, the reappearance of endoscopic lesions without symptoms, so-called endoscopic postoperative recurrence (POR), is very common, as 75% of the patients from referral centers have been reported to experience POR within the first year after surgery.⁴ Endoscopic POR assessed by the Rutgeerts index (RI) is highly predictive of clinical POR, i.e. reoccurrence of CD-related symp-

Received February 5, 2021. Revised May 19, 2021. Accepted May 30, 2021.
Correspondence to David T. Rubin, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, University of Chicago Medicine, 5841 S. Maryland Ave. MC 4076, Chicago, IL 60634, USA. Tel: +1-773-702-2950, Fax: +1-773-702-2182, E-mail: drubin@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu

toms.^{5,6} The management of the postoperative period has evolved in recent years. It is currently recommended to perform a systematic colonoscopy at 6 months to assess the RI leading to therapeutic escalation in case of endoscopic POR defined as RI \geq i2.⁷ In addition, most patients receive a prophylactic medication within the first month after surgery to prevent endoscopic POR. We recently reported that these modern strategies may have contributed to a decreased rate of POR.⁶ However, the decision of starting a prophylactic medication should consider the risk of POR but also the risk of overtreatment for some patients (10% to 15% in the historical cohort described by Rutgeerts et al.⁵) who will not recur despite the absence of preventive treatment. Although several options are available, anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents are considered the most effective medications to prevent and to treat endoscopic POR in CD.⁸⁻¹⁴ However, up to 30% of the patients experienced anti-TNF failure in this situation highlighting the need to identify predictors of therapeutic efficacy.⁸⁻¹⁴

In this study, we assessed the effectiveness of anti-TNF agents compared to other medications and identified the factors associated with the effectiveness of anti-TNF agents to prevent endoscopic or clinical POR in patients with CD.

METHODS

1. Ethical Consideration

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice and applicable regulatory requirements. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Chicago (IRB No. 16-0061). The informed consent was waived.

2. Patients and Study Design

From a prospectively-maintained database (Genesys, IRB protocol 15573A), we identified a cohort of consecutive patients with CD who underwent ileocolonic resection and anastomosis between January 2011 and June 2017 at the University of Chicago Medicine Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center.⁶ All the adult patients (\geq 18 years) with CD were consecutively screened and were eligible to participate if: (1) they underwent ileocolonic resection (patients with isolated ileal or colonic resection as well as stricturoplasty was not included); (2) all macroscopic lesions were removed; (3) the anastomosis was reachable by ileocolonoscopy; (4) a systematic colonoscopy was performed at 6 months following surgery; or (5) the follow-up was longer than 6 months after bowel resection.⁶

3. Management of Postoperative Period

The postoperative management of patients with CD is standardized in the University of Chicago Medicine Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center. A prophylactic medication is started in most of the patients according to the risk factors and based on physician's assessment. A colonoscopy is proposed for all the patients at 6 months. The endoscopic evaluation was performed by experienced inflammatory bowel disease endoscopists and graded according to the RI.⁵ In the case of a RI \geq i2, a therapeutic intensification was performed.

4. Medications, Investigated Factors and Study Endpoints

Anti-TNF agents were used at the usual dose and regimen, even for patients who have been treated with anti-TNF therapies before surgery (re-induction): adalimumab (160 mg at week 0, 80 mg at week 2 and 40 mg every other week), certolizumab pegol (400 mg at week 0, week 2, week 4 and every 4 weeks) and golimumab (200 mg at week 0, 100 mg at week 2 and 100 mg every 4 weeks) and infliximab (5 mg/kg at week 0, week 2, week 6 and every 8 weeks). Anti-TNF agents were started within the first month after surgery. Therapeutic drug monitoring was not systematically performed at that time in our center. The doses of azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine were 2–2.5 mg/kg and 1–1.5 mg/kg once daily, respectively. Methotrexate was used as subcutaneous monotherapy (25 mg weekly for 3 months followed by 15 mg weekly) or *per os* (10–15 mg weekly) in combination therapy with anti-TNF. Metronidazole was given at low dose (250 mg 3 times daily) until endoscopic evaluation.

Anti-TNF primary failure was defined as absence of clinical response despite a complete induction regimen with appropriate dose. Surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy is defined as bowel resection performed due to failure to all the available medications despite the absence of stricture or fistula.

Endoscopic POR was defined as RI \geq i2. According to previous publications,^{15,16} clinical POR was defined as recurrence of symptoms (Harvey-Bradshaw index $>$ 4) leading to hospitalization or therapeutic intensification after exclusion of other causes of recurrent symptoms such as bile-salt diarrhea, bacterial overgrowth and adhesion-related obstruction.

5. Data management and Statistical Analysis

Study data were collected and managed using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) tools, which is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing (1) an intuitive interface for validated data

entry; (2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; (3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and (4) procedures for importing data from external sources.

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 13 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). The tests were two-sided, with a type I error set at 5%. Subject's characteristics were presented as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for continuous data (assumption of normality assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test) and as the number of patients and associated percentages for categorical parameters. Comparisons between the independent groups were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact tests for categorical variables, and using Student *t*-test or Mann-Whitney test for quantitative parameters (normality, assumption of homoscedasticity studied using Fisher-Snedecor test).

For the analyses of endoscopic risk factors of POR, we performed univariate and multivariable analyses (logistic regression according to univariate results and clinical relevance). The results were expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

For the analysis of clinical POR (censored data), estimates were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used in a univariate analysis to test the prediction of each parameter for the occurrence of an event. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to investigate risk factors in a multivariable situation by backward and forward stepwise analysis of the factors considered significant in univariate analysis (entered into the model if *P* < 0.10) and according to clinical

relevance. Results were expressed as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. The proportional-hazard hypothesis was studied by Schoenfeld test.

RESULTS

1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients

The characteristics of the 316 patients were detailed in Table 1 distinguishing anti-TNF naïve and previously anti-TNF-exposed patients at the time of surgery (Fig. 1).

2. Prevention of Endoscopic POR

1) Anti-TNF-Naïve Patients (117 Patients)

Overall, 23 patients received anti-TNF agents (infliximab [n = 10] and adalimumab [n = 13]) including 5 patients on infliximab monotherapy, 6 patients on adalimumab monotherapy, 5 patients with infliximab+azathioprine, 3 patients with adalimumab+azathioprine, 1 patient with adalimumab+6-mercaptopurine, and 3 patients with adalimumab+methotrexate. In univariate analysis, the rate of endoscopic POR was 8.7% (2/23) in patients receiving anti-TNF to prevent endoscopic POR. Among them, 9.0% (1/11) treated with monotherapy experienced endoscopic POR while 8.3% (1/12) receiving concomitant immunosuppressants had endoscopic POR. The rate of endoscopic POR was 40.4% (23/57) in patients on immunosuppressive agents (azathioprine [n = 40], 6-mercaptopurine [n = 14], and methotrexate [n = 3]) and 32.4% (12/37) in patients with no medication (n = 30) or treated with 5-aminosalicylates (5-ASA) (n = 4) or metronidazole monotherapy (n = 3).

Table 1. Characteristics of the 316 Patients with Crohn's Disease at the Time of Surgery

Characteristics	Overall population (n = 316)	Anti-TNF naïve patients (n = 117)	Anti-TNF-exposed patients (n = 199)
Age at the time of surgery (yr)	38.8 ± 14.2	40.8 ± 15.4	37.7 ± 13.4
Disease duration (yr)	9.0 (2.0–18.0)	7.0 (0.5–18.0)	11.0 (5.0–17.0)
Female sex	160 (50.6)	66 (56.4)	94 (47.2)
Smokers	37 (11.7)	16 (13.7)	21 (10.5)
Montreal classification			
Location			
L1	113 (35.8)	51 (43.6)	62 (31.2)
L2	18 (5.7)	5 (4.3)	13 (6.5)
L3	185 (58.5)	61 (52.1)	124 (62.3)
L4	16 (5.1)	4 (3.4)	12 (6.0)
Perianal lesions	72 (22.8)	20 (17.1)	52 (26.1)

(Continued to the next page)

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics	Overall population (n = 316)	Anti-TNF naïve patients (n = 117)	Anti-TNF-exposed patients (n = 199)
Behavior			
B1	20 (6.3)	7 (6.0)	13 (6.7)
B2	152 (48.1)	53 (45.3)	99 (49.7)
B3	144 (45.6)	57 (48.7)	87 (43.7)
Prior intestinal resection	119 (37.7)	36 (31.0)	83 (41.7)
Medications before surgery			
Thiopurines	177 (55.7)	66 (57.4)	151 (76.3)
Methotrexate	50 (15.8)	6 (5.1)	44 (22.1)
Anti-TNF-naïve	117 (37.0)	117 (100)	0
1 Biologic	107 (33.9)	0	107 (53.8)
2 Biologics	54 (17.1)	0	54 (27.1)
3 Biologics	25 (7.9)	0	25 (12.6)
4 Biologics	9 (2.8)	0	9 (4.5)
5 Biologics	4 (1.2)	0	4 (2.0)
Infliximab	137 (43.3)	0	137 (68.9)
Adalimumab	135 (42.7)	0	135 (67.8)
Certolizumab pegol	48 (15.2)	0	48 (24.1)
Vedolizumab	16 (5.0)	0	16 (8.0)
Ustekinumab	4 (1.2)	0	4 (2.0)
Primary failure to any anti-TNF agents	96 (30.4)	0	96 (48.2)
Intestinal resection length (cm)	22.0 (11.5–35.1)	29.0 (19.0–43.5)	36.5 (22.5–54.8)
Intestinal resection length > 30 cm	170 (53.8)	54 (46.2)	116 (58.3)
Indication for surgery			
Failure to medical therapy	7 (2.2)	2 (1.7)	5 (2.5)
Stricture	184 (52.8)	62 (53.0)	122 (61.3)
Fistula/abscess	119 (37.6)	51 (43.6)	68 (34.2)
Cancer/dysplasia	6 (1.9)	2 (1.7)	4 (2.0)
Medications after surgery			
No treatment	41 (13.0)	27 (23.1)	14 (7.0)
5-ASA	7 (2.2)	5 (4.3)	2 (1.0)
Thiopurines	131 (41.0)	48 (41.0)	47 (23.6)
Methotrexate	41 (15.8)	6 (5.1)	35 (17.6)
Metronidazole	38 (12.0)	11 (9.4)	27 (13.6)
Anti-TNF agents	148 (46.8)	23 (19.7)	125 (62.8)
Infliximab	45 (14.2)	10 (8.5)	35 (17.6)
Adalimumab	91 (28.8)	13 (11.0)	78 (39.2)
Certolizumab pegol	10 (3.2)	0	10 (5.0)
Golimumab	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.5)
Anti-TNF combotherapy	76 (24.1)	12 (10.2)	64 (32.2)
Vedolizumab	18 (5.7)	3 (2.5)	15 (7.5)
Ustekinumab	4 (1.2)	0	4 (2.0)

Values are presented as mean ± SD, median (IQR), or number (%).

TNF, tumor necrosis factor; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylates; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.




Fig. 1. Flowchart showing the sample size of each subgroup of investigated patients. TNF, tumor necrosis factor; POR, postoperative recurrence.

In multivariable analysis (Supplementary Table 1) adjusted on usual risk factors of endoscopic POR,⁶ i.e. active cigarette smoker, perianal lesions, fistulizing phenotype (B3 according to Montreal classification), resection length > 30 cm, prior history of intestinal resection, surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy and use of metronidazole as prophylactic medication, anti-TNF therapy was more effective than immunosuppressive agents (OR, 8.8; 95% CI, 1.8–43.9; $P=0.008$) and no medication/5-ASA (OR, 5.2; 95% CI, 1.0–27.9; $P=0.05$) (Fig. 2).

2) Patients Exposed to Anti-TNF Prior to the Surgery (199 Patients)

In the group of patients exposed to anti-TNF agents before surgery, 64 patients received combination therapy with anti-TNF and immunosuppressive agents (infliximab+thiopurines = 13 patients, infliximab+methotrexate = 6 patients, adalimumab+thiopurines = 25 patients, and adalimumab+methotrexate = 20 patients) to prevent endoscopic POR. Among them, 25.0% (16/64) had endoscopic POR at 6 months. On the other hand, 61 patients were treated with anti-TNF monotherapy including 16 with infliximab, 33 with adalimumab, 11 with certolizumab pegol and 1 with golimumab. Among them, 44.3% (27/61) experienced endoscopic POR at 6 months. In our cohort, 50.0% (11/22) of the patients receiving anti-integrin therapies experienced endoscopic POR at 6 months including 46.6% (7/15) and 57.1% (4/7) for vedolizumab and natalizumab, respectively. None (0/4) of the patients treated with ustekinumab had endoscopic POR at 6 months. The rate of endoscopic POR was 45.8% (22/48) in patients with no medication or conventional




Fig. 2. Comparison of therapeutic effectiveness to prevent endoscopic postoperative recurrence in 117 anti-TNF-naïve patients with Crohn’s disease. OR and P -values are given in multivariable analyses with 95% CI. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylates.




Fig. 3. Comparison of therapeutic effectiveness to prevent endoscopic postoperative recurrence in 199 patients with Crohn’s disease exposed to anti-TNF before surgery. OR and P -values are given in multivariable analyses with 95% CI. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylates.

therapy (thiopurines, methotrexate, and 5-ASA).

In multivariable analysis ($n=199$) (Supplementary Table 2) adjusted on gender, active cigarette smokers, perianal lesions, fistulizing phenotype (B3 according to Montreal classification), resection length > 30 cm, prior bowel resection, surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy, number of biologics prior to surgery, combination therapy with anti-TNF and immunosuppressive agents was more effective than anti-TNF monotherapy (OR, 2.45; 95% CI, 1.10–5.48; $P=0.029$) or conventional medications (no medication, 5-ASA or immunosuppressive monotherapy) (OR, 2.63; 95% CI, 1.08–6.38; $P=0.032$) to pre-

vent endoscopic POR at 6 months (Fig. 3) but failed to show any significant difference compared to anti-integrin therapies (OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 0.53–6.66; $P=0.333$) or ustekinumab (OR, 0.22; 95% CI, 0.01–6.68; $P=0.383$).

In multivariable analysis ($n=125$), the factors associated with anti-TNF failure were anti-TNF monotherapy (OR, 2.34; 95% CI, 1.01–5.43; $P=0.046$), primary failure to at least 1 anti-TNF agent prior to surgery (OR, 2.41; 95% CI, 1.10–5.32; $P=0.030$), male gender (OR, 2.86; 95% CI, 1.32–6.20; $P=0.008$) and surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy (OR, 31.6; 95% CI, 1.03–96.81; $P=0.048$) (Table 2). In contrast, resuming the same anti-TNF agent than before the surgery (107/125: OR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.24–2.43; $P=0.638$) even in the case of primary nonresponse with this specific anti-TNF (33/107: OR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.17–1.48; $P=0.209$) and more than 2 anti-TNF agents prior to surgery (42/125: OR, 2.40; 95% CI, 0.79–7.30; $P=0.120$) were not significantly associated with higher rate of endoscopic POR (Table 2).

Table 2. Anti-TNF Effectiveness to Prevent Endoscopic POR: Subgroups of Patients with Anti-TNF Exposure Prior to Surgery

Factor	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Active smoker	0.383 (0.104–1.415)	0.150
Perianal lesions	1.972 (0.844–4.609)	0.117
Prior bowel resection	0.858 (0.411–1.795)	0.685
More than 2 anti-TNF before surgery	2.408 (0.794–7.303)	0.120
Primary nonresponder to 1 anti-TNF before surgery	2.410 (1.091–5.324)	0.030
Resuming the same anti-TNF than before surgery	0.755 (0.235–2.430)	0.638
Resuming the anti-TNF for which he was primary nonresponder	0.500 (0.170–1.475)	0.209
Resection length > 30 cm	1.669 (0.802–3.474)	0.171
Surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy	31.597 (1.031–96.816)	0.048
Metronidazole to prevent endoscopic POR	0.930 (0.307–2.820)	0.898
Fistulizing phenotyp (B3)	0.711 (0.332–1.522)	0.379
Combination therapy	Reference	
Anti-TNF monotherapy	2.347 (1.014–5.429)	0.046
Female sex	2.858 (1.318–6.198)	0.008

TNF, tumor necrosis factor; POR, postoperative recurrence; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

3. Prevention of Clinical POR

1) Patients Who Had Endoscopic POR Despite Anti-TNF Agents as Prophylactic Medications (45 Patients)

Among the 45 patients with early use of anti-TNF agents to prevent endoscopic POR and who had endoscopic POR at 6 months, infliximab was started in 9 patients (3 with concomitant thiopurines and 1 with methotrexate), adalimumab was started in 29 patients (7 with concomitant thiopurines and 6 with methotrexate), certolizumab pegol in 6 patients (1 with concomitant thiopurines and 1 with methotrexate) and 1 was treated with golimumab and methotrexate. During a mean follow-up of 18.7 ± 12.4 months, clinical POR occurred in 68.9% (31/45) of these patients. A multivariable analysis (Supplementary Table 3) adjusted on active cigarette smokers, gender, prior history of bowel resection, exposure or primary failure to anti-TNF before surgery and fistulizing phenotype (B3 according to Montreal classification) showed that therapeutic escalation combining increased dose/shortened interval of the same anti-TNF and addition of immunosuppressive medication was a more effective option to prevent clinical POR than other strategies including increased dose/shortened interval alone, switch to another anti-TNF or swap to vedolizumab (HR, 7.38; 95% CI, 1.54–35.30; $P=0.012$) (Fig. 4). In the same statistical model, severe endoscopic POR (RI, i3 or i4) was also associated with higher risk of clinical POR (HR, 3.12; 95% CI, 1.17–8.32; $P=0.023$).

2) Patients Who Did Not Receive Any Biologic to Prevent Endoscopic POR (55 Patients)

Among the 55 patients who were not treated with biologics to




Fig. 4. Kaplan-Meier curve comparing the risk of clinical POR according to therapeutic strategies in patients who had endoscopic POR at 6 months despite anti-TNF therapy. HR and P -values are given in multivariable analyses with 95% CI. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; POR, postoperative recurrence.




Fig. 5. Kaplan-Meier curve comparing the risk of clinical POR in patients treated with either anti-TNF or other medications while they did not receive any anti-TNF agent as prophylactic medication and experienced endoscopic POR at 6 months. HR and *P*-values are given in multivariable analyses with 95% CI. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; POR, postoperative recurrence.

prevent endoscopic POR and experienced endoscopic POR at 6 months, 27 were on thiopurines (azathioprine [n = 20] and 6-mercaptopurine [n = 7]), 5 were on methotrexate, 1 on tacrolimus, 5 on metronidazole and 17 had no medication. During a mean duration of follow-up of 28.9 ± 16.1 months, clinical POR occurred in 32.7% (18/55) of the patients. In multivariable analysis (Supplementary Table 4) adjusted on RI and use of immunosuppressive therapy as prophylactic medication, anti-TNF was the best option to prevent clinical POR (HR, 3.10; 95% CI, 1.09–8.83; *P* = 0.034) (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

In this real-world cohort from a tertiary referral inflammatory bowel disease center, we confirmed that anti-TNF agents are the most effective option to prevent endoscopic and clinical POR in CD. In addition, we observed that combination therapy with anti-TNF and immunomodulatory agents was more effective than anti-TNF monotherapy in patients exposed to anti-TNF prior to surgery. Finally, we identified primary non-response to anti-TNF before surgery and prophylactic monotherapy as factors associated with anti-TNF failure to prevent endoscopic POR in patients with CD.

In our cohort, we analyzed anti-TNF effectiveness to prevent endoscopic POR in distinguishing the patients according to their exposition to anti-TNF prior to intestinal resection as this dichotomy has not been reported so far in the postoperative period. However, it seems to us relevant, as anti-TNF ther-

apy is more effective in naïve patients to treat the traditional flare of CD.¹⁷ In addition, the PREVENT trial suggested that patients who had not preoperatively been treated with anti-TNF could be predictive of better efficacy to prevent clinical POR, even though the primary endpoint statistical significance was not reached (OR, 0.556; 95% CI, 0.301–1.026). It is further noteworthy that in the PREVENT trial, the secondary endpoint of endoscopic recurrence of RI ≥ 2 was less in the infliximab-treated patients (30.6% with infliximab vs. 60.0% with placebo; 95% CI, 18.6%–40.2%; *P* < 0.001).

In anti-TNF-naïve patients, we report a very low rate of endoscopic POR (8.7%) without difference in patients with or without concomitant immunosuppressive therapy (1/12 vs. 1/11). In multivariable analysis adjusted for the usual risk factors, we showed that anti-TNF was much more effective than immunosuppressive agents (thiopurines or methotrexate) (OR, 8.8; 95% CI, 1.8–43.9; *P* = 0.008) and no medication/5-ASA (OR, 5.2; 95% CI, 1.0–27.9; *P* = 0.05). These data are in line with those compiled in a meta-analysis from very small-sample size cohorts reporting endoscopic POR rate from 0% to 26.6% which was lower than controls including placebo, 5-ASA and thiopurines.⁹ It has been confirmed by 2 more recent network meta-analysis.^{18,19} In a non-randomized sub-analysis of the POCER trial comparing 28 patients treated with adalimumab compared to 73 patients receiving thiopurines, the rate of endoscopic POR at 6 months was lower in patients treated with adalimumab (including 61% of anti-TNF-naïve patients and 100% of monotherapy) (21% vs. 45%, *P* = 0.028). In the PREVENT trial, only 17% of the 147 patients received concomitant thiopurines with infliximab and 25.3% of the patients were already exposed to anti-TNF before surgery. The rate of endoscopic POR at 18 months was lower in the group treated with infliximab compared to placebo (22.4% vs. 51.3%, *P* < 0.001). In our cohort from a referral center, 63% (199/316) of the patients were exposed to any anti-TNF prior to bowel resection. This higher rate of anti-TNF exposition is probably closer to the real-life practice as most of the patients currently receive at least 1 biologic before choosing the surgical option. Among these 199 patients, we showed, for the first time, that combination therapy with anti-TNF and immunosuppressive agents was more effective than anti-TNF monotherapy (OR, 2.32; 95% CI, 1.02–5.31; *P* = 0.046) or other conventional medications (no medication, 5-ASA or immunosuppressive monotherapy) (OR, 3.65; 95% CI, 1.40–9.58; *P* = 0.016) to prevent endoscopic POR.

The use of anti-TNF mono or combined therapy was matter

of debate during the last decade in the management of CD. The short-term benefit of combination therapy with infliximab and azathioprine was clearly demonstrated in the SONIC trial, which has included only patients without use of both thiopurines and anti-TNF before participating to the study.²⁰ This benefit is more controversial in patients treated with adalimumab.²¹⁻²³ In contrast, the long-term positive impact has been demonstrated for the 2 anti-TNF agents in reducing the risk of immunogenicity and preventing the risk of secondary loss of response.²³ The impact of combination therapy was not previously investigated in the postoperative situation. The use of combination therapy could be supported by the higher risk of immunogenicity in patients previously exposed to at least 1 anti-TNF.²⁴ We cannot evaluate whether pharmacokinetics and immunogenicity were related to the superiority of combination therapy, as we did not perform systematically drug monitoring in our center. However, the relevance of using drug monitoring in the postoperative management remains questionable and poorly investigated.^{25,26} We also focused on the factors associated with anti-TNF effectiveness in patients previously exposed to anti-TNF. Whether the history of anti-TNF use and response before surgery could have an impact on anti-TNF efficacy to prevent endoscopic POR is a highly relevant question, which has not been addressed so far. We showed that primary failure to at least 1 anti-TNF was associated with therapeutic failure. It is consistent with the data reported by a meta-analysis showing that primary nonresponse was associated with decreased likelihood of efficacy with a second anti-TNF.²⁷ In this situation, the use of other biologics could be discussed even though the preliminary data on vedolizumab are disappointing²⁸ while there is no data on ustekinumab so far.

As the risk of clinical POR is very high in case of endoscopic POR at 6 months,^{5,6} the efficacy of therapeutic escalation in this situation is a key point. In patients who did not receive any anti-TNF as prophylactic medication, we reported that anti-TNF is the most effective option to prevent clinical POR (OR, 3.10; 95% CI, 1.09–8.83; $P=0.034$). These data are consistent with the data from Yamamoto et al.²⁹ comparing 10 patients treated with continuous mesalamine (3 g/day), 8 patients treated with azathioprine therapy (50 mg/day), and 8 patients treated with infliximab (5 mg/kg, every 8 weeks). The authors reported that no patient in the infliximab group, 3 patients (38%) in the azathioprine group, and 7 patients (70%) in the mesalamine group developed clinical recurrence (Crohn's Disease Activity Index ≥ 150), respectively ($P=0.01$). In patients who received anti-TNF as prophylactic medications, we found

that optimizing the anti-TNF (shortening the interval or increasing the dose) and adding an immunosuppressive agent in the same time is the best option to prevent clinical POR (OR, 7.38; 95% CI, 1.54–35.30; $P=0.012$). Owing to the high risk of symptomatic recurrence in this situation, our data suggest maximizing the chance of success with the most potent option. In our cohort, we cannot draw any conclusion on the swap to another class of biological therapy to treat endoscopic POR and prevent clinical POR as only a few patients received these medications as rescue.

Our study has several limitations including that it is a single referral center with potentially more medically resistant CD, absence of a central review process for endoscopic scoring and relatively small-sample size for some subgroups. In addition, this is a retrospective analysis randomization to compare therapeutic options. However, all our comparisons were adjusted on usual risk factors and potential confounders. Strengths of this analysis is the large real-world cohort with standardized management and evaluation of endoscopic POR by experienced inflammatory bowel disease physicians. We also were able to identify specific some factors associated with anti-TNF effectiveness to prevent endoscopic and clinical POR.

In conclusion, in this retrospective study we identified that anti-TNF was the most effective medication to prevent endoscopic and clinical POR. We also identified that primary non-response to anti-TNF therapy before surgery was associated with anti-TNF failure to prevent endoscopic POR. In case of endoscopic POR despite anti-TNF therapy, optimizing the anti-TNF combined with addition of immunosuppressive drug seems to be the best option to prevent clinical POR. We recommend consideration of combination of anti-TNF and immunosuppressive therapy in patients with pre-operative exposure to anti-TNFs in order to prevent recurrence.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Funding Source

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

Buisson A declares lecture fees for Abbvie, Amgen, Biogen, Ferring, Janssen-Cilag, Mayoli-Spindler, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, Takeda and Tillotts, Vifor Pharma and consulting fees for Abbvie, Amgen, Biogen, Celltrion, Janssen-Cilag, Mylan, Pfizer, Roche, Takeda and Tillotts. Rubin DT declares consultant fees

for Abbvie, Abgenomics, Allergan, Inc., Amgen, Celgene Corporation, Forward Pharma, Genentech/Roche, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck & Co., Inc., Miraca Life Sciences, Napo Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Samsung Bioepis, Sandoz Pharmaceuticals, Shire, Takeda and grant support from Abbvie, Genentech/Roche, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Prometheus Laboratories, Shire, Takeda and UCB Pharma. The other authors declare no conflict of interest related to this work.

Rubin DT is an editorial board member of the journal but was not involved in the peer reviewer selection, evaluation, or decision process of this article. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: Buisson A, Rubin DT. Data curation: Buisson A. Formal analysis: Buisson A, Pereira B, Rubin DT. Investigation: Buisson A, Rubin DT. Methodology: Buisson A, Rubin DT. Supervision: Rubin DT. Visualization: Rubin DT. Writing - original draft: Buisson A. Writing - review & editing: Buisson A, Cannon L, Umanskiy K, Hurst RD, Hyman NH, Sakuraba A, Pekow J, Dalal S, Cohen RD, Pereira B, Rubin DT. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

ORCID

Buisson A	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6347-409X
Cannon L	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7621-1398
Umanskiy K	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0209-3998
Hurst RD	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3459-1737
Hyman NH	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-8144
Sakuraba A	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2519-6129
Pekow J	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4790-8994
Dalal S	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1207-0500
Cohen RD	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6114-8936
Pereira B	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7640-1087
Rubin DT	https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5647-1723

Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at the Intestinal Research website (<https://www.irjournal.org>).

REFERENCES

1. Pariente B, Mary JY, Danese S, et al. Development of the Lémann index to assess digestive tract damage in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:52-63.
2. Peyrin-Biroulet L, Cieza A, Sandborn WJ, et al. Development of the first disability index for inflammatory bowel disease based on the international classification of functioning, disability and health. *Gut* 2012;61:241-247.
3. Peyrin-Biroulet L, Loftus EV Jr, Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ. The natural history of adult Crohn's disease in population-based cohorts. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:289-297.
4. Buisson A, Chevaux JB, Allen PB, Bommelaer G, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Review article: the natural history of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;35:625-633.
5. Rutgeerts P, Geboes K, Vantrappen G, Beyls J, Kerremans R, Hiele M. Predictability of the postoperative course of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1990;99:956-963.
6. Buisson A, Cannon L, Umanskiy K, et al. Natural history of Crohn's disease postoperative recurrence in a US Referral Center in the era of biologics and therapeutic intensification based on early endoscopic findings. *Gastroenterology* 2018;154:S1-S128.
7. De Cruz P, Kamm MA, Hamilton AL, et al. Crohn's disease management after intestinal resection: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2015;385:1406-1417.
8. Buisson A, Chevaux JB, Bommelaer G, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Dig Liver Dis* 2012;44:453-460.
9. Carla-Moreau A, Paul S, Roblin X, Genin C, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Prevention and treatment of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence with anti-TNF therapy: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015;47:191-196.
10. Gionchetti P, Dignass A, Danese S, et al. 3rd European evidence-based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease 2016: part 2: surgical management and special situations. *J Crohns Colitis* 2017;11:135-149.
11. Regueiro M, Schraut W, Baidoo L, et al. Infliximab prevents Crohn's disease recurrence after ileal resection. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:441-450.
12. Regueiro M, Feagan BG, Zou B, et al. Infliximab reduces endoscopic, but not clinical, recurrence of Crohn's disease after ileocolonic resection. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1568-1578.
13. De Cruz P, Kamm MA, Hamilton AL, et al. Efficacy of thiopurines and adalimumab in preventing Crohn's disease recurrence in high-risk patients: a POCER study analysis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015;42:867-879.
14. Savarino E, Bodini G, Dulbecco P, et al. Adalimumab is more effective than azathioprine and mesalamine at preventing postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:1731-1742.

15. Boucher AL, Pereira B, Decousus S, et al. Endoscopy-based management decreases the risk of postoperative recurrences in Crohn's disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22:5068-5078.
16. De Cruz P, Bernardi MP, Kamm MA, et al. Postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease: impact of endoscopic monitoring and treatment step-up. *Colorectal Dis* 2013;15:187-197.
17. Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, et al. Adalimumab for maintenance of clinical response and remission in patients with Crohn's disease: the CHARM trial. *Gastroenterology* 2007;132:52-65.
18. Singh S, Garg SK, Pardi DS, Wang Z, Murad MH, Loftus EV Jr. Comparative efficacy of pharmacologic interventions in preventing relapse of Crohn's disease after surgery: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:64-76.
19. Burr NE, Hall B, Hamlin PJ, Selinger CP, Ford AC, O'Connor A. Systematic review and network meta-analysis of medical therapies to prevent recurrence of post-operative Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2019;13:693-701.
20. Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, et al. Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1383-1395.
21. Matsumoto T, Motoya S, Watanabe K, et al. Adalimumab monotherapy and a combination with azathioprine for Crohn's disease: a prospective, randomized trial. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016;10:1259-1266.
22. Reenaers C, Louis E, Belaiche J, Seidel L, Keshav S, Travis S. Does co-treatment with immunosuppressors improve outcome in patients with Crohn's disease treated with adalimumab? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;36:1040-1048.
23. Kennedy NA, Heap GA, Green HD, et al. Predictors of anti-TNF treatment failure in anti-TNF-naive patients with active luminal Crohn's disease: a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;4:341-353.
24. Roblin X, Vérot C, Paul S, et al. Is the pharmacokinetic profile of a first anti-TNF predictive of the clinical outcome and pharmacokinetics of a second anti-TNF? *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2018;24:2078-2085.
25. Fay S, Ungar B, Paul S, et al. The association between drug levels and endoscopic recurrence in postoperative patients with Crohn's disease treated with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2017;23:1924-1929.
26. Wright EK, Kamm MA, De Cruz P, et al. Anti-TNF therapeutic drug monitoring in postoperative Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis* 2018;12:653-661.
27. Gisbert JP, Marín AC, McNicholl AG, Chaparro M. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the efficacy of a second anti-TNF in patients with inflammatory bowel disease whose previous anti-TNF treatment has failed. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015;41:613-623.
28. Yamada A, Komaki Y, Patel N, et al. The use of vedolizumab in preventing postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2018;24:502-509.
29. Yamamoto T, Umegae S, Matsumoto K. Impact of infliximab therapy after early endoscopic recurrence following ileocolonic resection of Crohn's disease: a prospective pilot study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:1460-1466.

See “Factors associated with anti-tumor necrosis factor effectiveness to prevent postoperative recurrence in Crohn’s disease” on page 1-10.

Supplementary Table 1. Risk Factors of Endoscopic Postoperative Recurrence in 117 Anti-TNF-Naïve Patients (Multivariable Analysis)

Source	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Active smoker	1.168 (0.339–4.027)	0.806
Perianal lesions	0.561 (0.173–1.823)	0.337
Prior bowel resection	2.588 (1.024–6.542)	0.044
Resection length > 30 cm	1.524 (0.636–3.650)	0.345
Surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy	2.187 (0.099–48.292)	0.620
Metronidazole to prevent endoscopic POR	0.521 (0.110–2.476)	0.412
Fistulizing phenotyp (B3)	0.790 (0.318–1.963)	0.612
Anti-TNF	Reference	
5-ASA/no medication	5.224 (0.977–27.923)	0.053
Immunosuppressive therapy	8.770 (1.752–43.902)	0.008

TNF, tumor necrosis factor; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; POR, postoperative recurrence; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylates.

Supplementary Table 2. Risk Factors of Endoscopic Postoperative Recurrence in 199 Patients Exposed to Anti-TNF Prior to Surgery (Multivariable Analysis)

Source	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Female sex	0.464 (0.237–0.908)	0.025
Active smoker	0.700 (0.258–1.902)	0.484
Perianal lesions	1.711 (0.832–2.290)	0.144
Prior bowel resection	1.194 (0.623–1.759)	0.593
Resection length > 30 cm	1.636 (0.842–3.178)	0.147
Surgery for refractoriness to medical therapy	8.390 (0.987–71.351)	0.051
Metronidazole to prevent endoscopic POR	0.546 (0.204–2.460)	0.228
Fistulizing phenotype (B3)	0.837 (0.431–1.628)	0.601
At least 3 biologics before surgery	2.676 (1.012–7.079)	0.047
Combination therapy	Reference	
Anti-TNF monotherapy	2.450 (1.099–5.482)	0.029
Conventional therapy	2.631 (1.078–6.381)	0.032
Anti-integrin therapy	1.872 (0.526–6.659)	0.333
Ustekinumab	0.218 (0.007–6.676)	0.383

TNF, tumor necrosis factor; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; POR, postoperative recurrence.

Supplementary Table 3. Multivariable Analysis of Predictors of Clinical POR in Patients Who Were Treated with Anti-TNF to Prevent Early Endoscopic POR in Crohn's Disease

Factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Female sex	1.809 (0.754–4.339)	0.184
Active smoker	1.566 (0.459–5.351)	0.474
Perianal lesions	0.847 (0.375–1.916)	0.691
Prior bowel resection	1.260 (0.539–2.945)	0.593
Exposure to anti-TNF before surgery	0.925 (0.179–4.783)	0.926
Primary nonresponder to 1 anti-TNF before surgery	1.451 (0.563–3.739)	0.441
Concomitant immunosuppressive drug	0.737 (0.330–1.644)	0.456
Fistulizing phenotyp (B3)	0.520 (0.220–1.228)	0.136
Optimizing anti-TNF+addition of immunosuppressive drug	7.383 (1.544–35.309)	0.012
Rutgeerts index \geq i3	3.115 (1.166–8.319)	0.023

POR, postoperative recurrence; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Supplementary Table 4. Multivariable Analysis of Predictors of Clinical POR in Patients Who Were Not Treated with Biologics to Prevent Early Endoscopic POR in Crohn's Disease

Factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Concomitant immunosuppressive drug	0.645 (0.252–1.653)	0.361
Rutgeerts index		
i2a	Reference	
i2b	2.508 (0.500–12.585)	0.264
i3	3.166 (0.563–17.820)	0.191
i4	9.387 (1.026–85.916)	0.047
Anti-TNF	Reference	
Other strategy	3.105 (1.091–8.833)	0.034

POR, postoperative recurrence; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.