



**HAL**  
open science

## Statement based on the 4 TH international conference on global food security – December 2020: Challenges for a disruptive research Agenda

Patrick Caron, Martin van Ittersum, Tessa Avermaete, Gianluca Brunori,  
Jessica Fanzo, Ken Giller, Etienne Hainzelin, John Ingram, Lise Korsten, Yves  
Martin-Prével, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Patrick Caron, Martin van Ittersum, Tessa Avermaete, Gianluca Brunori, Jessica Fanzo, et al.. Statement based on the 4 TH international conference on global food security – December 2020: Challenges for a disruptive research Agenda. *Global Food Security*, 2021, 30, pp.100554. 10.1016/j.gfs.2021.100554 . hal-03323149

**HAL Id: hal-03323149**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03323149v1>**

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

**STATEMENT BASED ON THE 4<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GLOBAL  
FOOD SECURITY – DECEMBER 2020**  
**CHALLENGES FOR A DISRUPTIVE RESEARCH AGENDA**

Patrick Caron, University of Montpellier, ART-DEV, France, [patrick.caron@cirad.fr](mailto:patrick.caron@cirad.fr)

Martin van Ittersum, Wageningen University & Research, The Netherlands,  
[martin.vanittersum@wur.nl](mailto:martin.vanittersum@wur.nl)

Tessa Avermaete, KU Leuven, Belgium, [tessa.avermaete@kuleuven.be](mailto:tessa.avermaete@kuleuven.be)

Gianluca Brunori, Università di Pisa, Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment (DAFE),  
Italy, [gianluca.brunori@unipi.it](mailto:gianluca.brunori@unipi.it)

Jessica Fanzo, Berman Institute of Bioethics, Johns Hopkins, USA, [jfanzo@jhu.edu](mailto:jfanzo@jhu.edu)

Ken Giller, Wageningen University & Research, The Netherlands, [ken.giller@wur.nl](mailto:ken.giller@wur.nl)

Etienne Hainzelin, Cirad, France, [etienne.hainzelin@cirad.fr](mailto:etienne.hainzelin@cirad.fr)

John Ingram, Environmental Change Institute - University of Oxford, UK,  
[john.ingram@eci.ox.ac.uk](mailto:john.ingram@eci.ox.ac.uk)

Lise Korsten, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa,  
[Lise.korsten@up.ac.za](mailto:Lise.korsten@up.ac.za)

Yves Martin-Prével, MoISA, Univ Montpellier, IRD, CIRAD, CIHEAM-IAMM, INRAE, Institut Agro,  
Montpellier, France, [yves.martin-prevel@ird.fr](mailto:yves.martin-prevel@ird.fr)

Moses Osiru, International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE), Kenya,  
[mosiru@icipe.org](mailto:mosiru@icipe.org)

Cheryl Palm, University of Florida, USA, [cpalm@ufl.edu](mailto:cpalm@ufl.edu)

Marta Rivera Ferre, University of Vic, Spain, [martaguadalupe.rivera@uvic.cat](mailto:martaguadalupe.rivera@uvic.cat)

Mariana Rufino, Lancaster University, UK, [m.rufino1@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:m.rufino1@lancaster.ac.uk)

Sergio Schneider, UFRGS, Brazil, [schneide@ufrgs.br](mailto:schneide@ufrgs.br)

Alban Thomas, INRAE, France , [alban.thomas@inra.fr](mailto:alban.thomas@inra.fr)

Daniel Walker, ACIAR, Australia, [Daniel.Walker@aciarc.gov.au](mailto:Daniel.Walker@aciarc.gov.au)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

**STATEMENT BASED ON THE 4<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY – DECEMBER 2020**

**CHALLENGES FOR A DISRUPTIVE RESEARCH AGENDA**

**Summary**

The 4<sup>th</sup> Global Food Security conference highlighted four major developments: the shift from food security to food systems; a focus on diets and consumption patterns; the importance of unknown futures and inherent uncertainties and risks; and the central role of multi-level connections between local- and global-oriented research. These shifts highlight the importance for research to contribute to dialogue and collective intelligence through evidence-based brokerage, and to move beyond polarization of debates. These shifts also call for the involvement of scientists in multi-stakeholder arrangements to strengthen innovation and learning at different levels, and for their participation in foresight studies to help navigate plausible futures. Delegates discussed five scientific challenges to be addressed through both research investments and by improving science-policy interfaces.

**Key words: food systems, scientific challenges, science-policy interface, transformation, innovation**

23 The 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Global Food Security was held on-line December 4-9, 2020,  
24 organized by the Montpellier University of Excellence (MUSE), Wageningen University & Research  
25 and Elsevier, with 900 registered delegates from 78 countries. It aimed to strengthen the global  
26 research community engaged in food systems and food security research, to formulate messages  
27 that can contribute to the UN Food System Summit to take place in 2021 and to pave the road for  
28 future collaboration.

29

30 The conference endorsed the need for systems thinking, going beyond disciplinary approaches, to  
31 address the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. It encompassed 12 themes which  
32 included seven cross-cutting and integrative ones; the four dimensions of food security as derived  
33 from the 1996 FAO World Food Summit definition (availability, access, utilisation and stability);  
34 and one supplementary topic to discuss the impacts and transformations of food systems brought  
35 about by COVID-19.

36

37 Contributions to the conference, building on the previous three conferences, highlighted four  
38 major developments. These developments are reported here through this summary prepared by  
39 the Scientific Committee of the Conference. The first relates to the shift in focus from food  
40 security to food systems. This aligns well with the need to achieve the SDGs of the 2030 Agenda in  
41 an interconnected way, and not just SDG 2. It also notes the importance of food systems  
42 transformation as a powerful lever to enhance social justice, ecosystem restoration and  
43 protection, human health and well-being across the globe. This demonstrates the shift of  
44 paradigm away from a focus on production and food availability, which was typical of the 20<sup>th</sup>  
45 century to meet the demand of a growing population, towards a new 21<sup>st</sup>-century paradigm  
46 calling for intersectoral thinking and action. It calls for acknowledging the multifunctionality of  
47 agriculture and food systems and designing new ways and metrics to assess their performance.

48

49 The second shift is a much-increased attention to diet quality and consumption patterns,  
50 including food losses and waste. A substantial number of contributions to the conference focused  
51 simultaneously on production, consumption and circularity, as well as the environmental and  
52 health impacts of diets. This is clearly an area that still warrants more research and intellectual  
53 development.

54

55 The third shift is on the realization of the need to account for unknown futures, and inherent  
56 uncertainties and risks, something accentuated by climate change, the current pandemic and the  
57 crisis it has generated. This shift calls for researchers to work collaboratively for closing gaps in  
58 knowledge and capacities, and expanding the role of research in decision making beyond  
59 technology transfer. This could lead researchers to develop and strengthen appropriate  
60 participatory approaches and interfaces with decision-makers, including foresight methods to  
61 explore plausible and desirable futures.

62

63 Finally, the conference confirmed the importance of a fourth shift addressing multi-level  
64 connections between local- and global-oriented research, and including the often missing  
65 intermediate levels and ‘the missing middle’. Global studies reveal global challenges and  
66 pathways but lack the required detail, context and governance specificity, rigour and relevance to  
67 generate transformation at local and intermediate levels. Local studies reflect a high diversity in  
68 methods, data availability and outcomes, and invite to celebrate context-specificity. Research  
69 should investigate connections, including the intermediate levels and the way production of  
70 knowledge at one particular level interacts with decision making at another level.

71 As a consequence of the present systemic crisis, caused by many drivers including the COVID-19  
72 pandemic, the focus of the Conference moved beyond its initial question formulated in 2018, i.e.  
73 “Achieving local and global food security: at what costs?”. The UN Food Systems Summit will

74 question researchers about the world's capacity to build back from the crisis rather than just  
75 coping with it. Resilience thinking has thus become pivotal and reveals a number of options to  
76 consider for the future, including recovering, building back better and building forward  
77 differently. These options thus invite for marginal, incremental and transformational avenues to  
78 be explored and articulated. To meet the expectations, researchers need to address two  
79 challenges. The first one relates to food security and nutrition and to the pathways to ensure  
80 these for all, at all times in the new context of growing inequalities and multilateral  
81 fragmentation. The second relates to the capacity of food system transformation to act as a lever  
82 to design and realize sustainable and inclusive futures.

83 Acknowledging these challenges requires emphasizing the need for science to build collective  
84 intelligence to support transformation. It also questions the role of research, researchers and  
85 research approaches. Robust and solid evidence is required to inform issues that were not  
86 considered before and that are now looked upon as essential, e.g. climate footprint and risks of  
87 pandemics. This implies new approaches, methods, models and metrics. In addition, the role of  
88 researchers must move beyond the provision of evidence to now include more than ever three  
89 complementary tasks. First is to contribute to dialogue and collective intelligence through  
90 evidence-based brokerage, in order to move beyond polarization of opinions and debates,  
91 identifying levers for change and designing theories of change. Second is their involvement in  
92 multi-stakeholder arrangements to strengthen innovation and learning at different levels. Third is  
93 to participate in foresight studies to help navigate plausible futures and guide breakthroughs.

94  
95 Delegates, including many young researchers and students, identified five scientific challenges to  
96 be addressed through both research investments and exploration and by improving science-policy  
97 interfaces:

98

- 99 1. Moving beyond the simplistic assumption that *technology* and *innovation* both  
100 *automatically* lead to sustainability, as these could be at the same time enablers and  
101 threats, depending on conditions. Technology may, for instance, be required to address  
102 societal and sustainability challenges, but alone is not enough. This is supported by  
103 historical evidence and by lessons learned from innovation studies and socio-technical  
104 transition studies. Development studies are critical to explore pathways and the  
105 institutional environment to direct innovation, including appropriate technology, in order  
106 to solve the pressing global challenges of our time.
- 107 2. Informing *counter-intuitive observations* regarding commonly accepted assumptions.  
108 Examples include: there is no positive relationship among production, productivity,  
109 income, nutritional status and livelihoods; 'local' food systems are not always more  
110 sustainable or less risky; there may be trade-offs between what is environmentally safe  
111 locally and what is beneficial at larger scales.
- 112 3. Developing and strengthening arrangements, interfaces and methods that connect the  
113 dots between knowledge and action, instead of living with a disconnect between  
114 researchers, decision-makers and their communities. Research has to characterize  
115 potentials and the conditions for knowledge to be actionable in different contexts.
- 116 4. Investing in research to analyse transformation, its political economy and the power  
117 relationships that shape or prevent transformation, the way transformation may take  
118 place and its consequences. This includes the behavioural change, the governance,  
119 and what complicates transformation, in particular coping with shocks and the  
120 management of risks and uncertainty. This requires delivering insight into trade-offs  
121 among sectors, human and planetary health, spatial levels and time frames. Special  
122 attention needs to be placed on the polarization and conflicts between micro and macro  
123 level and near-term and distant issues and interests. This also requires research capacity

124 building, particularly in low and middle income countries, as well as identifying obstacles  
125 and resistance to change, with a specific focus on conflicts of interests among different  
126 actors and contexts, the enforcement of rights (in particular the right to food), lock-ins,  
127 and path dependencies.

128 5. Informing the *steering and governance* of food system transformation, including agency,  
129 food-related policies and market transformation, by providing specific evidence and  
130 assessments. In this context, researchers have a role as transformative space makers,  
131 which implies the ability to translate academic concepts and insights into the 'language' of  
132 non-academic stakeholders. It requires academic institutes to guide young scientists into  
133 this strategic foresight role.