



**HAL**  
open science

## Daily energy balance and eating behaviour during a 14-day cold weather expedition in Greenland

Keyne Charlot, Didier Chapelot, Philippe Colin, Cyprien Bourrilhon

► **To cite this version:**

Keyne Charlot, Didier Chapelot, Philippe Colin, Cyprien Bourrilhon. Daily energy balance and eating behaviour during a 14-day cold weather expedition in Greenland. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 2020, 45 (9), pp.968-977. 10.1139/apnm-2019-0677 . hal-03346261

**HAL Id: hal-03346261**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03346261>**

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Daily energy balance and eating behaviour during a 14-day cold weather expedition in Greenland

Journal:	<i>Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism</i>
Manuscript ID	apnm-2019-0677.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Charlot, Keyne; Institut de recherche biomédicale des armées, Unité de Physiologie des Exercices et Activités en Conditions Extrêmes, Département Environnements Opérationnels; Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé, Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé (LBEPS), UMR UEVE-SSA, Université Evry-Val d'Essonne, 23 Boulevard François Mitterrand, 91000 Évry, France</p> <p>Chapelot, Didier; Université Paris 13 UFR de Santé Médecine Biologie Humaine, Centre de Recherche en Epidémiologie et Statistique, Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Inserm (U1153), Inra (U1125), Cnam,</p> <p>Colin, Philippe; Institut de recherche biomédicale des armées, Unité de Physiologie des Exercices et Activités en Conditions Extrêmes, Département Environnements Opérationnels; Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé, Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé (LBEPS), UMR UEVE-SSA, Université Evry-Val d'Essonne, 23 Boulevard François Mitterrand, 91000 Évry, France</p> <p>Bourrilhon, Cyprien; Institut de recherche biomédicale des armées, Unité de Physiologie des Exercices et Activités en Conditions Extrêmes, Département Environnements Opérationnels; Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé, Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé (LBEPS), UMR UEVE-SSA, Université Evry-Val d'Essonne, 23 Boulevard François Mitterrand, 91000 Évry, France</p>
Novelty bullets: points that summarize the key findings in the work:	Energy intake increases during the second half of a 14-day expedition in the cold realized by French soldiers, Energy compensation was likely facilitated by providing participants with easy-to-eat palatable and familiar foods in excess., Hunger scores and explicit liking for energy-dense foods were associated with high energy intakes and low body mass changes.
Keyword:	energy intake, energy expenditure, arctic, raid, explicit liking, food preferences, FPQ-S16, military, rations
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue? :	Not applicable (regular submission)



SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1 **Daily energy balance and eating behaviour during a 14-day cold weather expedition in**  
2 **Greenland**

3 Keyne Charlot<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Didier Chapelot<sup>3</sup>, Philippe Colin<sup>1,2</sup>, and Cyprien Bourrilhon<sup>1,2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des Armées, Unité de Physiologie des Exercices et  
5 Activités en Conditions Extrêmes, Département Environnements Opérationnels, 1 place  
6 Général Valérie André 91223 Bretigny-Sur-Orge, France

7 <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Biologie de l'Exercice pour la Performance et la Santé (LBEPS), UMR  
8 UEVE-SSA, Université Evry-Val d'Essonne, 23 Boulevard François Mitterrand, 91000 Évry,  
9 France

10 <sup>3</sup> Université Paris 13, Centre de Recherche en Epidémiologie et Statistique, Equipe de  
11 Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Inserm (U1153), Inra (U1125), Cnam,  
12 Bobigny, France.

13 **Corresponding author**

14 Keyne Charlot, Phone: +33(1)78651303 ; Fax: +33(1)78651643 ; keynecharlot@gmail.com

15

16 **Other authors**

17 Didier Chapelot, Phone: +33(1)48387736 ; Fax: +33(1)48388864 ; chapelot@univ-paris13.fr

18 Philippe Colin, Phone: +33(1)78651844 ; Fax: +33(1)78651643 ;

19 philippe4.colin@intradef.gouv.fr

20 Cyprien Bourrilhon, cyprien1.bourrilhon@intradef.gouv.fr

**21 Abstract**

22 We assessed energy compensation, appetite and reward value of foods during a 14-day  
23 military expedition in Greenland realized by 12 male French soldiers during which energy  
24 compensation was optimized by providing them with easy-to-eat palatable foods in excess.  
25 Although daily energy expenditure (estimated by accelerometry) stayed relatively constant  
26 throughout the expedition ( $15 \pm 9 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ), energy intake (EI estimated by self-reported  
27 diaries) was 17% higher during the D8-D14 than D1-D7 period, leading to a neutral energy  
28 balance (EB). Body fat mass (BFM) significantly decreased ( $-1.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ kg}$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) but not  
29 body mass (BM). Neither hunger scores (assessed by visual analog scales), nor components  
30 of the reward value of food (explicit liking [EL] and food preference) were significantly altered.  
31 However, changes in EL at D10 positively correlated with changes in BM ( $r=0.600$ ,  $p<0.05$ )  
32 and BFM ( $r = 0.680$ ,  $p<0.05$ ) and changes in hunger with the EI of the relevant period  
33 ( $r=0.743$ ,  $p<0.01$  for D1-D7,  $r=0.652$ ,  $p<0.05$  for D8-14). This study shows that the negative  
34 EB and BM loss can be attenuated by an appropriate food supply and that subjective  
35 components of eating behaviour, such as hunger and EL, may be useful to predict the  
36 magnitude of energy compensation.

37

38

39 **Keywords:** energy intake, energy expenditure, arctic, raid, explicit liking, food preferences,  
40 FPQ-S<sub>16</sub>, military, rations

## 41 **Résumé**

42 La compensation énergétique, l'appétit et la valeur de récompense de la nourriture ont été  
43 évalués pendant une expédition militaire de 14 jours au Groenland réalisée par 12 soldats  
44 français. Bien que la dépense énergétique était relativement stable pendant l'expédition  
45 ( $15 \pm 9 \text{ MJ} \cdot \text{j}^{-1}$ ), la prise énergétique (PE) était plus élevée de 17% pendant la période J8-J14  
46 que la période J1-J7, conduisant à une balance énergétique (BE) neutre. La masse grasse  
47 (MG) a significativement diminué ( $-1.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ kg}$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) mais pas la masse corporelle (MC).  
48 Ni les scores de faim (évaluées par échelles analogiques visuelles), ni les composantes de  
49 la valeur de récompense de la nourriture (explicit liking [EL] et préférence alimentaire) étaient  
50 significativement modifiés. Cependant, des modifications d'EL à J10 étaient positivement  
51 corrélés avec des modifications de MC ( $r = 0.600$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) et de MGC ( $r = 0.680$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) et  
52 des modifications de scores de faim avec la PE de la période correspondante ( $r = 0.743$ ,  
53  $p < 0.01$  pour J1-J7,  $r = 0.652$ ,  $p < 0.05$  pour J8-J14). Cette étude montre que la BE négative et  
54 la perte de MC peuvent être atténuées par l'approvisionnement de nourriture adaptée et que  
55 les composantes subjectives du comportement alimentaire, telles que les scores de faim et  
56 l'EL, peuvent être utiles pour prédire l'amplitude de la compensation énergétique.

57

58

59 **Mots-clef:** prise énergétique, dépense énergétique, arctique, raid, explicit liking, préférences  
60 alimentaires, FPQ-S<sub>16</sub>, militaires, rations

## 61 **Introduction**

62 Training or expeditions in cold environments (from 3 to 33 days) are generally associated  
63 with decreased body mass (BM) (Campbell 1981, Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards and Roberts  
64 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, Jones et al. 1993, King et al. 1993, Hoyt et al. 2001, Johnson et  
65 al. 2017, Karl et al. 2017, Beals et al. 2019). This is mostly due to the loss of body fat mass  
66 (BFM) (Kyrolainen et al. 2008, Tassone and Baker 2017), despite the availability of excess  
67 food. Thus, the increase in energy expenditure (EE) is not adequately compensated by  
68 energy intake (EI) (Johnson et al. 2017, Beals et al. 2019). This inability to maintain energy  
69 balance (EB) is likely to alter physical (Murphy et al. 2018, Church et al. 2019) and cognitive  
70 performance (Cherif et al. 2016), and also mood (Karl et al. 2015), potentially jeopardizing  
71 the success of the mission/expedition (Organization. 2010, Day et al. 2012). Even the  
72 incorporation of supplemental food packs only partially improves the energy deficit (Edwards  
73 and Roberts 1991, Margolis et al. 2016, Karl et al. 2017). Thus, certain mechanisms to match  
74 EI and EE do not operate when performing a high level of physical activity (PA) in cold  
75 weather. The contribution of cold to this phenomenon is unclear. Observational studies  
76 conducted in athletes and soldiers, both populations that face frequent and regular periods of  
77 high levels of PA-induced EE, show that the EB is regularly negative, even at neutral  
78 temperatures (Loucks 2004, Tharion et al. 2005, Richmond et al. 2014, McAdam et al. 2018).  
79 This suggests that above a certain threshold of PA, spontaneous EI fails to match EE. Over  
80 time, decreased EE due to BM loss and a spontaneous increase in EI reduces the magnitude  
81 of this negative EB. Indeed, modeling of energy compensation during exercise (Riou et al.  
82 2015) shows that EI may progressively increase over the first weeks and eventually reach a  
83 plateau.

84 In the short-term, cold has been shown to be an orexigenic environmental strain (White et al.  
85 2005, Wasse et al. 2013, Crabtree and Blannin 2015, Charlot et al. 2017, Mandic et al.  
86 2019). Therefore, the negative EB is expected to be less in cold than hot weather. Indeed,  
87 Johnson and colleagues (Johnson et al. 2017) reported 36% greater EI during a 4 to 5-day

88 Special Operations Forces field training exercise in cold as compared to hot and warm  
89 weather, although EE ( $\sim 4,500 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ) and the amount of available food were similar for both  
90 conditions. However, these studies only reported mean EI and EE and not their day-to-day  
91 variation. Furthermore, the modalities of such a negative EB were not assessed, notably  
92 important features of eating behaviour, such as appetite and hedonic factors, the latter often  
93 being associated with the reward value of foods. They may provide useful information, as  
94 they are strongly involved in the physiology of energy homeostasis (Chapelot and Charlot  
95 2019) and may partially explain why humans fail to increase EI and maintain EB in a  
96 sustained cold environment. A classic model is that proposed by Kent Berridge and based on  
97 the wanting and liking paradigm (Berridge 1996). Explicit liking (EL), implicit wanting (IW),  
98 and food preferences (FP) have even been proposed to discriminate between individuals  
99 who compensate for the increase in EE and those who do not (Finlayson et al. 2009,  
100 Finlayson et al. 2011, Buckland et al. 2019).

101 The aim of this study was to investigate the various dimensions of eating behaviour of this  
102 *“loose coupling of daily EE and EI”* (Drenowatz 2015) during a 14-day cold weather  
103 expedition in Greenland performed by French soldiers. We assessed daily EI, EE, appetite,  
104 and the reward value of food. Based on the available scientific evidence, we hypothesized  
105 that 1) EI would progressively increase to reach EB by the end of the expedition, 2) appetite  
106 and reward levels would be consistently associated with this increase in EI, and 3) increased  
107 appetite and reward levels would be higher in individuals who were the less inclined to lose  
108 BM.



## 109 **Methods**

### 110 *Design*

111 French soldiers planned this expedition in Greenland as part of an extreme cold inoculation  
112 program. They travelled from the north of Liverpool Land in Greenland to the city of  
113 Ittoqqortoomiit in the south. The journey lasted two weeks. They skied most of the time but  
114 sometimes had to walk with snowshoes. They brought food for 21 days that they towed with  
115 a pulka, along with all their materials. In a paper notebook, they were requested to 1)  
116 evaluate their appetite in the morning before breakfast and in the evening before dinner, 2)  
117 report all food and beverages consumed during the day, and 3) assess the reward value of  
118 foods just before dinner on the day prior to the expedition (D0) and the first (D1) and tenth  
119 days (D10) of the expedition. Each participant wore a wrist actigraph to estimate EE. Finally,  
120 BM and body composition were measured before breakfast on the morning before D0 (D-1)  
121 and the day following their arrival (D15).

### 122 *Participants*

123 The participants were 12 young males specialized in mountain environment activities (skiing,  
124 climbing, and snowshoeing) and extreme conditions (cold and altitude). They were briefed  
125 several months before leaving France and were informed of the benefits and risks of the  
126 investigation prior to giving their written consent, in accordance with the Declaration of  
127 Helsinki. This study was performed at the request of the Armée de Terre and approved by  
128 the scientific leadership of the French Armed Forces Biomedical Research Institute. All  
129 participants were screened by military physicians and required to be healthy. Maximal  
130 oxygen uptake ( $VO_{2max}$ ) was estimated using their last Cooper 12-min run test performance  
131 (Cooper 1968) based on correlation coefficients between 0.897 and 0.920 (Cooper 1968,  
132 Grant et al. 1995). Their individual and mean main characteristics are shown in Table 1.

### 133 *Measurements*

## 134 Body mass and composition

135 On the morning before leaving for Greenland (D0), the participants had their BM and body  
136 composition assessed at a hotel in Reykjavik (Iceland). The measurements were performed  
137 before breakfast, with an empty bladder. Their BM was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using  
138 a calibrated scale (Seca 877, Hambourg, Germany), with participants wearing only their  
139 underwear. Absolute and relative BFM were estimated using skinfold thickness values  
140 according to the Durnin & Womersley method and standard equations (Durnin and  
141 Womersley 1974). In brief, skinfolds were measured on the right side of the body using a  
142 Harpenden skinfold caliper (British Indicators, West Sussex, UK) to the nearest millimeter in  
143 triplicate at the four recommended sites: biceps, triceps, and the subscapular, and suprailiac  
144 regions. All measurements were performed by the same investigator, under the same  
145 conditions, and at the same location, on the day following the end of the expedition to  
146 improve reproducibility.

## 147 Energy intake

148 Before the expedition, each participant packed 21 bags, each containing all the food  
149 products necessary for their daily intake. The composition of the diet was similar in energy  
150 content and food items for all bags. It was primarily based on the participants' eating habits  
151 during prior similar expeditions and consisted of lyophilized muesli and cakes for breakfast;  
152 energy bars, chocolate bars, jerky meat, soup, dried cheese, flat bread, candies, and cakes  
153 for the day while moving; and lyophilized dishes and dessert for dinner. Each bag provided  
154 approximately 19 MJ (4,500 kcal) and participants were allowed to bring a supplementary  
155 bag containing ready-to-eat foods (essentially bars) and to consume some or all of it if they  
156 felt hungry or the need to. At the end of each day, prior to sleep, they had to complete a form  
157 on which they accurately reported which foods they had consumed from the daily and  
158 supplementary bags. The daily energy and macronutrient intakes of each participant were  
159 then calculated using the nutritional composition of the foods provided by the manufacturers.

## 160 Energy expenditure

161 Participants were outfitted with a wrist-worn tri-axial actigraph (MotionWatch 8, CamNTEch,  
162 Papworth Everard, UK) on the non-dominant arm to assess daily EE. This technology has  
163 been found to be reliable for assessing EE during activities under 6 METs (Sirichana et al.  
164 2017) and showed good reliability in assessing physical activity, albeit this was assessed in  
165 older adults only (Landry et al. 2015, Chakravarthy and Resnick 2017). The highest intensity  
166 during the expedition was estimated to be approximately 6 METs. This specific device is  
167 designed to record accelerations ranging in magnitude from 0.01G to 8G with a frequency of  
168 3-11Hz. It is equipped with a filter that removes artifacts from movements with frequencies  
169 higher than the typical range of human movements (vibration or tremor). Data were recorded  
170 using 60-s epochs, as recommended (Ward et al. 2005). The time spent in each class of  
171 physical activities was determined using the device software (MotionWare 1.0.27,  
172 CamNTEch, Papworth Everard, UK): sedentary activities (< 1.5 MET), light activities  
173 (between 1.5 and 3.0 METs), moderate activities (between 3.0 and 4.5 METs), and vigorous  
174 activities (> 4.5 METs). After calculating the resting metabolic rate (RMR; i.e. 1 MET) using  
175 the Mifflin-St Jeor equation (Mifflin et al. 1990), the daily EE was then estimated using the  
176 following formula

$$177 \text{ EE (MJ.d}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{RMR.t}_{\text{SED}} + 2.25 \times \text{RMR.t}_{\text{LIGHT}} + 3.75 \times \text{RMR.t}_{\text{MOD}} + 5 \times \text{RMR.t}_{\text{VIG}}$$

178 Where t is the time in seconds associated with 1, 2.25, 3.75, and 5 METs for sedentary  
179 (SED), light (LIGHT), moderate (MOD), and vigorous (VIG) activities, respectively.

180 Energy balance was then calculated by subtracting EE from EI.

## 181 Appetite

182 Appetite was assessed by rating hunger on visual analog scales (VAS). The participant had  
183 to draw a vertical dash on a horizontal 10-cm scale preceded by the question: "How hungry  
184 do you feel?" and anchored at the left and right ends by "not at all" and "extremely",

185 respectively. The distance from the extreme left to the participant's vertical dash represented  
186 the rating score, expressed in cm. Participants were asked to rate these VAS over the last  
187 two weeks prior to the expedition and familiarize themselves with the material and the  
188 appetite scales.

189 Reward value of food

190 Several years ago, Finlayson et al. (Finlayson et al. 2007, Finlayson et al. 2008) developed  
191 the Leeds Food Preference Questionnaire (LFPQ). This questionnaire measures several  
192 components of food reward, *i.e.*, EL, IW, and FP (Dalton and Finlayson 2014). We recently  
193 validated a shorter version of this questionnaire, called the Food Preference Questionnaire  
194 (FPQ-S<sub>16</sub>), using 16 food items and adapted to the French context (Charlot et al. 2018). This  
195 version was found to be reliable, with correlation coefficients between 0.83 and 0.88, and  
196 less time-consuming, but only assesses EL and FP by choice-reaction time. Given the  
197 difficulties of using a computer during the expedition, such as the weight of the equipment  
198 and the very low temperatures, the FPQ-S<sub>16</sub> was adapted to paper. Briefly, the questionnaire  
199 is composed of 16 pictures of food items categorized according to their fat content (high-fat  
200 [HF] or low-fat [LF]) and taste (sweet [SW] or savory [SA]). This results in four specific food  
201 categories (HF, LF, SW, and SA) with eight food items in each, and four combined  
202 categories (HFSW, HFSA, LFSW, and LFSA) with four food items in each. First, to assess  
203 EL, participants had to answer the question "How pleasant would it be to taste some of this  
204 food now?" for each food on a VAS. Then, to assess FP, the 16 food items were presented  
205 on the same page in a randomized order. Participants were asked to rank these items in four  
206 groups, ranging from the one that contained the four food items that they "wanted to eat the  
207 most now" (++), to the one that contained the four food items that they "wanted to eat the  
208 least now" (--). The number of food items from a specific (HF, LF, SW, or SA) or combined  
209 (HFSW, HFSA, LFSW, or LFSA) category was multiplied by the group coefficient (3 for ++, 2  
210 for +, 1 for -, and 0 for --) and then the scores from each group added. The score ranges for  
211 the specific (8 food items) and combined (4 food items) categories are therefore 4 to 20 and

212 0 to 12, respectively. Absolute scores were used to assess EL and bias scores were  
213 calculated for FP by subtracting a score from each category with its opposite one (e.g., HF vs  
214 LF or HFSA vs LFSA). Thus, a positive or negative score indicates a preference for one  
215 category over the other. This procedure was conducted in a hungry state prior to dinner, just  
216 before the beginning of the expedition (D0), just after (D1), and 10 days later (D10). As the  
217 expedition was expected to last 21 days, we planned the FPQ-S<sub>16</sub> at the midpoint (D10) and  
218 end of the expedition (D20). However, it was shortened to 14 days (see part 3.1.) and the  
219 D20 measurement was not performed.

### 220 *Statistical analyses*

221 Given the highly variable conditions of such expeditions, e.g., weather and distance travelled  
222 per day (see part 3.1 for details), we divided the temporal analysis into two periods: D1-7 and  
223 D8-14. Indeed, comparing day-to-day differences would have required highly conservative  
224 corrections for multiple comparisons and would have been subjected to artifacts due to  
225 certain single-day events. After ensuring that the data were normally distributed using a  
226 Shapiro-Wilk test, Student's *t*-tests were used for all outcomes, except EL and FP. EL and  
227 FP were compared using a single mixed-model repeated-measures 3x1 ANOVA with day  
228 (D0, D1, and D10) as the within-subject factor. Correlations between variables were tested  
229 using Pearson correlation coefficients. Data are presented as the means  $\pm$  SD. Significance  
230 was defined as  $p < 0.05$ . Analyses were performed using STATISTICA software (v10,  
231 Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

## 232 **Results**

### 233 *Details of the expedition*

234 Meteorological conditions faced between D2 and D4 caused a modification of the initially  
235 planned route. Indeed, a windstorm (maximal wind speed recorded 180 km.h<sup>-1</sup>) prevented  
236 the participants from leaving their tents on D3 and D4 and caused major material damage  
237 (mostly tent tears). The need to make repairs forced the soldiers to modify their itinerary and  
238 to reach a shelter. The remainder of the expedition was therefore shortened by the  
239 expedition chief. The details of the route are shown in Figure 1. They remained in the same  
240 locations for a total of five days (D3 and D4: facing the windstorm, D6: repairing the  
241 equipment, D10: climbing near the base, and D14: waiting for jet skis to reach airport).  
242 They travelled 123 km (56 and 67 km in the first and second halves of the expedition,  
243 respectively) for a mean daily distance of 8.79 km with +312 m and -318 m. The weather  
244 became less windy and colder as the expedition progressed. Mean temperatures (relative  
245 humidity) were -8.7°C (77.5%) during the first half and -13.4°C (68.6%) during the second  
246 half of the expedition, with mean wind speeds of 32.7 and 14.7 km.h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

### 247 *Energy intake and expenditure*

248 Individual and mean EI, EE, and EB are shown in Figure 2. Time spent for sedentary, light,  
249 moderate, and vigorous activities were 888 ± 37, 176 ± 31, 111 ± 14, and 265 ± 38 min.d<sup>-1</sup>,  
250 respectively. There was a statistically significant period effect for EI ( $p = 0.007$ ) and EB ( $p =$   
251  $0.014$ ). EI was ~17% higher for D8-14 than D1-7 (12.6 ± 2.1 vs 14.7 ± 3.4 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>).  
252 Consequently, the EB was higher for D8-14 than D1-7 (-0.4 ± 3.6 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup> vs -2.3 ± 2.4 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>;  
253  $p = 0.014$ ). The difference between EI and EE was only significant in the first half of the  
254 expedition (D1-7;  $p = 0.007$ ), indicating that the participants were in negative EB during this  
255 period and in neutral EB during the second one.

256 Absolute and relative macronutrient intake is shown in Table 2. We observed a period effect  
257 for all absolute macronutrients ( $p = 0.005$ ,  $0.017$ , and  $0.003$  for CHO, fat, and protein,  
258 respectively), which was ~15, 14, and 16% higher, respectively, for D8-14 than D1-7.

### 259 *Body mass and composition*

260 Individual and mean changes in BM and body composition between the beginning and end of  
261 the expedition are shown in Figures 3A and 3B. Body mass was  $76.1 \pm 6.8$  before and  $75.0 \pm$   
262  $6.3$  kg after the expedition, the difference ( $-1.1 \pm 1.8$  kg,  $-1.3 \pm 2.2\%$ ) failing to reach  
263 statistical significance ( $p = 0.091$ ). BFM and body fat-free mass (BFFM) were  $15.8 \pm 2.4$  and  
264  $60.3 \pm 6.0$  kg, respectively, before the expedition and  $14.8 \pm 2.6$  and  $60.2 \pm 5.8$  kg,  
265 respectively, after the expedition. The change in BFM was significant ( $-1.0 \pm 0.7$  kg,  $-6.4 \pm$   
266  $4.7\%$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) but not the change in BFFM ( $-0.1 \pm 1.5$  kg,  $-0.1 \pm 2.4\%$ ).

267 Individual changes in BM and EB are presented in Figure 3C, showing that there was a wide  
268 variation and a continuum of responses to the expedition-induced increase in EE. These two  
269 variables positively correlated with each other ( $r = 0.702$ ,  $p = 0.011$ ), indicating that the larger  
270 the energy deficit, the larger the loss of BM.

### 271 *Appetite*

272 ANOVA revealed no time effect for the morning and evening measurements of the hunger  
273 scores (Figure 4).

### 274 *Explicit liking and food preference*

275 The absolute scores (EL) for each specific and combined category and bias scores (FP)  
276 between specific and combined categories are shown in Table 3. ANOVA revealed no effect  
277 of the day for EL or FP, suggesting that the expedition did not alter the mean reward value of  
278 food.

### 279 *Correlations*

280 Meaningful correlations between all the variables measured in this study are shown in Table  
281 4. First, changes in BM (in %) were positively associated with EI, EB, absolute fat and protein  
282 intake, and relative fat intake, and negatively associated with relative CHO intake. Then, they  
283 were also positively associated with the EL score of the HFSW food items, but only at D10.  
284 Second, changes in BFM (in %) were positively associated with the EL scores of HF foods at  
285 D1 and D10, but not D0. Finally, the mean total EI was positively associated with mean total  
286 hunger scores; this correlation was also significant between these variables during both  
287 halves of the expedition *i.e.*, EI with hunger on D1-7 and EI with hunger on D8-14.

Draft



## 288 Discussion

289 One of the main differences between this expedition and others featuring in studies  
290 assessing EB was the mean EE level. In our expedition, participants expended 14.9 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>,  
291 whereas a mean of 20 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup> has been generally reported (range = 16.5 to 25.8 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>)  
292 (Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards and Roberts 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, Jones et al. 1993,  
293 King et al. 1993, Margolis et al. 2016). This is likely the result of differences in activities and  
294 their duration, objectives, and environment. The variety of activities was wider in the other  
295 expeditions than this one, notably including highly demanding specific military tasks. For  
296 example, some programs required “establishing a defensive position, constructing defensive  
297 obstacles, and erecting camouflage tents” (Edwards et al. 1992), survival tasks (“compass  
298 training, ice fishing, and hunting” (Jones et al. 1993), “avalanche avoidance and rescue and  
299 night land navigation” (Morgan et al. 1988)), or sports activities (6 km ski race and 10-km  
300 biathlon (Morgan et al. 1988)). Moreover, the duration of these activities was longer (5-13  
301 h.d<sup>-1</sup> (Jones et al. 1993) vs ~ 5 h.d<sup>-1</sup> in the present study), with shorter sleep periods (4–6 h.d<sup>-1</sup>  
302 (Edwards and Roberts 1991, Edwards et al. 1992) vs 7-8 h.d<sup>-1</sup> in the present study). When  
303 the activities were similar (only skiing), the objective was higher (51 km in 4 days (Margolis et  
304 al. 2016) vs 123 km in 14 days in the present study). Finally, although environmental  
305 temperatures in the present study (between -19 and -6 °C) were in the usual reported range  
306 (between -48 and +12 °C) (Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards and Roberts 1991, Edwards et al.  
307 1992, Jones et al. 1993, King et al. 1993), they were not among the harshest.

308 Despite a relatively low EE, mean EI (13.6 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>) was in the upper range of similar studies  
309 (mean = 12.2 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>; range = 8.3 to 14.8 MJ.d<sup>-1</sup>) (Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards and Roberts  
310 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, Jones et al. 1993, King et al. 1993, Margolis et al. 2016). King *et*  
311 *al.* (King et al. 1992) stated that the total energy content of the provided rations, their  
312 palatability and acceptability, their ease of preparation, and their diversity may influence EI in  
313 the context of extreme cold. In the present study, the energy value of the rations (~19 MJ)  
314 largely exceeded EE. The selected foods were a mix of lyophilized rations and personal

315 items that participants had previously consumed and appreciated, although acceptability was  
316 not specifically assessed. Moreover, apart from the lyophilized items that had to be  
317 rehydrated, foods were consumable in their natural form. Finally, a supplementary bag of  
318 easy-to-eat and highly palatable food items was always available for extra food consumption.  
319 Thus, the conditions favored optimal energy compensation. Although a large negative EB  
320 (mean =  $-8.3 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ; range =  $-15.0$  to  $-4.1 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ) (Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards and Roberts  
321 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, Jones et al. 1993, King et al. 1993, Margolis et al. 2016) and BM  
322 loss (mean =  $-0.25 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ; range =  $-0.06$  to  $0.72 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ) (Campbell 1981, Morgan et al. 1988,  
323 Edwards and Roberts 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, Jones et al. 1993, King et al. 1993, Hoyt et  
324 al. 2001, Johnson et al. 2017, Karl et al. 2017, Beals et al. 2019) seems unavoidable during  
325 such an expedition in the cold, the EB was only negative for the first period ( $-2.3 \pm 2.4 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ )  
326 and the slight decrease in BM ( $0.07 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ) was not significant.

327 Long-term sustained high levels of EE are known to lead to equilibrium with EI, resulting in  
328 the maintenance of a neutral EB and therefore a stable BM (Mayer et al. 1956, Beaulieu et  
329 al. 2018). However, the time required to reach this equilibrium is less documented. Whybrow  
330 *et al.* (Whybrow et al. 2008) reported no modification of EI in lean men during a 14-day daily  
331 training program eliciting an EE of 2 or 4  $\text{MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ . Riou et al (Riou et al. 2015) used statistical  
332 models and observed an increase in the degree of energy compensation during the first 20  
333 weeks of a training program. However, the first four weeks were not considered in the  
334 models. The kinetics of EI during expeditions (more than 20 days) in mildly cold to hot  
335 environments have shown discrepant results, with no modification (Edwards et al. 1992,  
336 Booth et al. 2003) or a slight increase of  $\sim 1.0 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$  (Campbell 1981, DeLany et al. 1989)  
337 after the first two weeks. Given these scarce results, it is difficult to draw unequivocal  
338 conclusions. It must be noted that the aforementioned studies were not designed to assess  
339 modifications of EI throughout the period of measurements. Nevertheless, these results  
340 suggest that energy compensation may require 14 days to be observable. Our results  
341 suggest that such compensation may occur more rapidly (from the second week) in a cold

342 environment and may be larger ( $+2.1 \pm 2.2 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ). It could be argued that once the storm  
343 was over (after D6), the soldiers would have been able to eat more comfortably, which could  
344 partially explain the increase in EI in the second period. Further studies are needed to  
345 confirm the kinetics of such compensatory behaviour, *i.e.* when compensation occurs and  
346 when it reaches a plateau.

347 Assessments of EI and EE during training or expeditions in the cold are most often  
348 performed to calculate the mean EB and subsequent changes in BM and body composition  
349 (Tassone and Baker 2017). This concern resonates well with the armed forces. Indeed,  
350 sustained energy deficit has been shown to lead to decreased physical and cognitive  
351 performance, increasing individual and collective risks and therefore jeopardizing the  
352 success of an operative mission (Murphy et al. 2018, Church et al. 2019). One objective has  
353 sometimes been to assess the efficiency of alternative meals (Morgan et al. 1988, Edwards  
354 and Roberts 1991, Edwards et al. 1992, King et al. 1993) or supplemental foods (Edwards  
355 and Roberts 1991, Margolis et al. 2016) to improve energy compensation and reach a more  
356 neutral EB. However, attempts to better understand the “*loose coupling of daily EE and EI*”  
357 (Drenowatz 2015) in identifying the kinetics of various components of eating behaviour are  
358 missing. Therefore, we included tools that allowed us to assess two of the most determinant  
359 components of eating behaviour, *i.e.* appetite and preferences. Subjective ratings of appetite  
360 (the morning or evening prior to meals) were not altered, suggesting either that EI was  
361 adequate or that the use of endogenous energy from body stores inhibited the motivation to  
362 eat (Chapelot and Charlot 2019). In comparison, hunger scores increased during a four-day  
363 arctic military training mission (Margolis et al. 2016). However, the energy deficit was much  
364 larger in this study than in ours ( $-13.9$  vs  $-1.4 \text{ MJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ ), suggesting that the magnitude of  
365 appetite-inhibiting endogenous energy may be limited.

366 Analyses of the correlations in our study favour a putative role of the reward value of foods  
367 and the validity of the paper-version of the FPQ-S<sub>16</sub>, as they revealed several positive  
368 interindividual relationships between this hedonic component and objective parameters. First,

369 changes in appetite positively correlated with EI, showing that, overall, among the  
370 participants, the higher their hunger scores, the greater the increase in their EI. The fact that  
371 these correlations were only significant within the same period (D1-7, D8-14 or D1-14)  
372 suggests the validity of this coupling between subjective and objective outcomes of appetite.  
373 It must be noted that two recent reviews (Sadoul et al. 2014, Holt et al. 2017) reported that  
374 the ability of pre-meal hunger scores to predict EI is uneven. Our results suggest that this  
375 relationship may improve if mean hunger scores recorded over several days are used. In  
376 addition, EL for foods with high energy density (HFSW and HF) positively correlated with  
377 changes in BM and BFM. In other words, individuals who attributed a high reward value to  
378 HF foods during the expedition were less likely to lose BM or BFM. It was previously  
379 observed that individuals who compensated for the energy expended during a single  
380 exercise or those who minimally responded to a 12-week training program (*i.e.* small  
381 modifications in body composition) showed a higher preference for HFSW foods than non-  
382 compensators (Finlayson et al. 2009) and responders (Finlayson et al. 2011), respectively.  
383 Overall, these results suggest that participants who display an "orexigen" profile (increase in  
384 appetite, increase in EL for HF foods) during an expedition in the cold may be more inclined  
385 to compensate for high levels of EE and maintain their BM and body composition. Such  
386 compensation may however have an upper threshold, as authors recently concluded from a  
387 compilation of data that the "alimentary limit" (*i.e.* maximal EI) was reached for 2.5 fold the  
388 basal metabolic rate (Thurber et al. 2019).

389 Any experiment under harsh environmental conditions is vulnerable to unexpected  
390 contingencies. In this expedition, participants were confronted with a storm on days 3 and 4  
391 that altered the planned route. The main consequence was the impossibility to maintain a  
392 constant volume of physical activity over the 14 days of measurements. Another possible  
393 consequence was an alteration in food intake. It can be argued that participants voluntarily  
394 reduced their intake during the windstorm to spare food. However, they were informed about  
395 the storm on day 2 by a weather specialist based in France and knew that it would only last

396 two days. This is therefore unlikely and, indeed, was not reported by participants after the  
397 expedition. The quality of self-reporting or EI itself may theoretically be modified by such  
398 adverse events. We were unable to evaluate their impact on our results. However, these  
399 men were mentally prepared to face the consequences of extreme conditions, such as  
400 spending two days in the tents because of the bad weather, repairing material after the  
401 storm, or having to change their route. After the expedition, the participants assured us that  
402 they did not neglect the completion of their food diaries. Compromises were made to select  
403 the most accurate tools that participants agree to use under self-sufficiency conditions and in  
404 extreme cold, without jeopardizing the mission. Thus, EE might have been assessed with  
405 more accurate methods (e.g. the double labeled water technique (Margolis et al. 2016,  
406 Johnson et al. 2017) or individual HR-VO<sub>2</sub> regression (Beals et al. 2019)) and FPQ-S<sub>16</sub> might  
407 have been preferentially completed on tablets, as under laboratory conditions, than on paper.  
408 Furthermore, it was not possible to infer IW from our data, as no time-reaction could be  
409 measured in the FPQ-S<sub>16</sub> and thus this relationship is yet to be established. **Then, we**  
410 **acknowledge that the observations presented in the present study concern a specific sample**  
411 **of participants. They were considered to have the fitness level of highly trained/elite athletes**  
412 **based on their usual volume of physical activity and estimated VO<sub>2</sub>max. In addition, their**  
413 **relatively high levels of BFM relative to their high fitness level suggest that they may have**  
414 **adopted a high-calorie diet during the weeks/months prior to the expedition to increase their**  
415 **energy stores. Body composition assessments well before the expedition to verify this**  
416 **hypothesis are not available. Nonetheless, it is certain that the results obtained with this**  
417 **sample are not generalizable to a broader group.** Another limitation is that we did not assess  
418 thirst, fluid intake, or hydration status. This is a concern, since an influence of dehydration on  
419 various dimensions of eating behaviour has been reported (Engell 1988, Mattes 2010).  
420 Moreover, dehydration may alter the accuracy of BFM estimation. The effect of dehydration  
421 has led to discrepant results in previous studies, which showed a small increase (Araujo et  
422 al. 2018) or decrease (Rodriguez-Sanchez and Galloway 2015) in BFM values. Thus,  
423 although some studies conducted under similar conditions suggest that fluid intake is in

424 accordance with need (Edwards et al. 1992, King et al. 1993), it is possible that our results  
425 may have been somewhat influenced by a certain state of dehydration. Nonetheless, for the  
426 first time, several markers of eating behaviour were accurately recorded and further explored  
427 how trained individuals adapt to a prolonged period of high EE, coupled with a continuous  
428 extreme cold exposure and an abundance of palatable food items at their disposal.

## 429 **Conclusion**

430 We observed an increase in EI after seven days during a 14-day expedition performed by  
431 French soldiers, in agreement with the first hypothesis and suggesting that when eating  
432 conditions are optimized with the appropriate amount, quality, and conditioning of foods, total  
433 energy compensation may occur more rapidly than that generally described. Eating  
434 behaviour components, such as hunger and the reward value of foods, were assessed for  
435 the first time, and although they did not change during the expedition, in contradiction to our  
436 second hypothesis, correlations show a certain amount of coupling between hunger and EI  
437 and between EL for HF foods and body changes. Finally, as stated by the third hypothesis,  
438 some of these elite individuals will respond more adequately than others to the energy  
439 homeostasis challenge and this difference is detectable by hunger and hedonic factors.

440 **6. References**

- 441 Araujo, D., Teixeira, V.H., Carvalho, P. and Amaral, T.F. 2018. Exercise induced dehydration  
442 status and skinfold compressibility in athletes: an intervention study. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*  
443 **27**(1): 189-194 doi: 10.6133/apjcn.022017.20.
- 444 Beals, K., Perlsweig, K.A., Haubenstriker, J.E., Lovalekar, M., Beck, C.P., Yount, D.L., et al.  
445 2019. Energy Deficiency During Cold Weather Mountain Training in NSW SEAL Qualification  
446 Students. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* **29**(3): 315-321 doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0041.
- 447 Beaulieu, K., Hopkins, M., Blundell, J. and Finlayson, G. 2018. Homeostatic and non-  
448 homeostatic appetite control along the spectrum of physical activity levels: An updated  
449 perspective. *Physiol Behav* **192**: 23-29 doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.12.032.
- 450 Berridge, K.C. 1996. Food reward: brain substrates of wanting and liking. *Neurosci Biobehav*  
451 *Rev* **20**(1): 1-25.
- 452 Booth, C., Coad, R. and Roberts, W. 2003. Evaluation of an Australian combat ration pack as  
453 a sole nutrition source during 23 days of military adventurous training in the tropics. *Nutr Diet*  
454 **60**: 239-247.
- 455 Buckland, N.J., Camidge, D., Croden, F., Myers, A., Lavin, J.H., Stubbs, R.J., et al. 2019.  
456 Women with a low satiety phenotype show impaired appetite control and greater resistance  
457 to weight loss. *Br J Nutr*: 1-22 doi: 10.1017/S000711451900179X.
- 458 Campbell, I.T. 1981. Energy intakes on sledging expeditions. *Br J Nutr* **45**(1): 89-94.
- 459 Chakravarthy, A. and Resnick, B. 2017. Reliability and Validity Testing of the MotionWatch 8  
460 in Older Adults. *J Nurs Meas* **25**(3): 549-558 doi: 10.1891/1061-3749.25.3.549.
- 461 Chapelot, D. and Charlot, K. 2019. Physiology of energy homeostasis: Models, actors,  
462 challenges and the glucoadipostatic loop. *Metabolism* **92**: 11-25 doi:  
463 10.1016/j.metabol.2018.11.012.
- 464 Charlot, K., Faure, C. and Antoine-Jonville, S. 2017. Influence of Hot and Cold Environments  
465 on the Regulation of Energy Balance Following a Single Exercise Session: A Mini-Review.  
466 *Nutrients* **9**(6)doi: 10.3390/nu9060592.

- 467 Charlot, K., Malgoyre, A. and Bourrilhon, C. 2018. Proposition for a shortened version of the  
468 Leeds Food Preference Questionnaire (LFPQ). *Physiol Behav* doi:  
469 10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.11.022.
- 470 Cherif, A., Roelands, B., Meeusen, R. and Chamari, K. 2016. Effects of Intermittent Fasting,  
471 Caloric Restriction, and Ramadan Intermittent Fasting on Cognitive Performance at Rest and  
472 During Exercise in Adults. *Sports Med* **46**(1): 35-47 doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0408-6.
- 473 Church, D.D., Gwin, J.A., Wolfe, R.R., Pasiakos, S.M. and Ferrando, A.A. 2019. Mitigation of  
474 Muscle Loss in Stressed Physiology: Military Relevance. *Nutrients* **11**(8)doi:  
475 10.3390/nu11081703.
- 476 Cooper, K.H. 1968. A means of assessing maximal oxygen intake. Correlation between field  
477 and treadmill testing. *JAMA* **203**(3): 201-204.
- 478 Crabtree, D.R. and Blannin, A.K. 2015. Effects of exercise in the cold on Ghrelin, PYY, and  
479 food intake in overweight adults. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* **47**(1): 49-57  
480 doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000391.
- 481 Dalton, M. and Finlayson, G. 2014. Psychobiological examination of liking and wanting for fat  
482 and sweet taste in trait binge eating females. *Physiol Behav* **136**: 128-134 doi:  
483 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.03.019.
- 484 Day, D., Young, A. and Askew, E.W. 2012. Nutrition and military  
485 performance. *In Military Quantitative Physiology: Problems and Concepts in Military*  
486 *Operational Medicine*. e. K Friedl and WR Santee, Fort Detrick, MD: Office of the Surgeon  
487 General, Borden Institute.
- 488 DeLany, J.P., Schoeller, D.A., Hoyt, R.W., Askew, E.W. and Sharp, M.A. 1989. Field use of  
489 D2 18O to measure energy expenditure of soldiers at different energy intakes. *J Appl Physiol*  
490 (1985) **67**(5): 1922-1929 doi: 10.1152/jappl.1989.67.5.1922.
- 491 Drenowatz, C. 2015. Reciprocal Compensation to Changes in Dietary Intake and Energy  
492 Expenditure within the Concept of Energy Balance. *Advances in Nutrition: An International*  
493 *Review Journal* **6**(5): 592-599 doi: 10.3945/an.115.008615.



- 494 Durnin, J.V. and Womersley, J. 1974. Body fat assessed from total body density and its  
495 estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to  
496 72 years. *Br J Nutr* **32**(1): 77-97.
- 497 Edwards, J.S. and Roberts, D.E. 1991. The influence of a calorie supplement on the  
498 consumption of the meal, ready-to-eat in a cold environment. *Mil Med* **156**(9): 466-471.
- 499 Edwards, J.S.A., Roberts, D.E. and Mutter, S.H. 1992. Rations for use in a cold environment.  
500 *J Wild Med* **3**: 27-47.
- 501 Engell, D. 1988. Interdependency of food and water intake in humans. *Appetite* **10**(2): 133-  
502 141 doi: 10.1016/0195-6663(88)90064-5.
- 503 Finlayson, G., Bryant, E., Blundell, J.E. and King, N.A. 2009. Acute compensatory eating  
504 following exercise is associated with implicit hedonic wanting for food. *Physiol Behav* **97**(1):  
505 62-67 doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.02.002.
- 506 Finlayson, G., Caudwell, P., Gibbons, C., Hopkins, M., King, N. and Blundell, J. 2011. Low  
507 fat loss response after medium-term supervised exercise in obese is associated with  
508 exercise-induced increase in food reward. *J Obes* **2011**doi: 10.1155/2011/615624.
- 509 Finlayson, G., King, N. and Blundell, J. 2008. The role of implicit wanting in relation to explicit  
510 liking and wanting for food: implications for appetite control. *Appetite* **50**(1): 120-127 doi:  
511 10.1016/j.appet.2007.06.007.
- 512 Finlayson, G., King, N. and Blundell, J.E. 2007. Is it possible to dissociate 'liking' and  
513 'wanting' for foods in humans? A novel experimental procedure. *Physiol Behav* **90**(1): 36-42  
514 doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.08.020.
- 515 Grant, S., Corbett, K., Amjad, A.M., Wilson, J. and Aitchison, T. 1995. A comparison of  
516 methods of predicting maximum oxygen uptake. *Br J Sports Med* **29**(3): 147-152.
- 517 Holt, G.M., Owen, L.J., Till, S., Cheng, Y., Grant, V.A., Harden, C.J., et al. 2017. Systematic  
518 literature review shows that appetite rating does not predict energy intake. *Crit Rev Food Sci*  
519 *Nutr* **57**(16): 3577-3582 doi: 10.1080/10408398.2016.1246414.
- 520 Hoyt, R.W., Buller, M.J., DeLany, J.P., Stulz, D., Warren, K., Hamlet, M.P., et al. 2001.  
521 Warfighter Physiological Status Monitoring (WPSM): Energy Balance And Thermal Status

- 522 During A 10-Day Cold Weather U.S. Marine Corps Infantry Officer Course Field Exercise. U  
523 S Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine **Technical Report**.
- 524 Johnson, C.D., Simonson, A.J., Darnell, M.E., DeLany, J.P., Wohleber, M.F. and Connaboy,  
525 C. 2017. Energy expenditure and intake during Special Operations Forces field training in a  
526 jungle and glacial environment. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* doi: 10.1139/apnm-2017-0622.
- 527 Jones, P.J., Jacobs, I., Morris, A. and Ducharme, M.B. 1993. Adequacy of food rations in  
528 soldiers during an arctic exercise measured by doubly labeled water. *J Appl Physiol* (1985)  
529 **75**(4): 1790-1797 doi: 10.1152/jappl.1993.75.4.1790.
- 530 Karl, J.P., Margolis, L.M., Madslie, E.H., Murphy, N.E., Castellani, J.W., Gundersen, Y., et  
531 al. 2017. Changes in intestinal microbiota composition and metabolism coincide with  
532 increased intestinal permeability in young adults under prolonged physiological stress. *Am J*  
533 *Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* **312**(6): G559-G571 doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00066.2017.
- 534 Karl, J.P., Thompson, L.A., Niro, P.J., Margolis, L.M., McClung, J.P., Cao, J.J., et al. 2015.  
535 Transient decrements in mood during energy deficit are independent of dietary protein-to-  
536 carbohydrate ratio. *Physiol Behav* **139**: 524-531 doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.11.068.
- 537 King, N., Mutter, S.H., Roberts, D.E., Askew, E.W., Young, A., Jones, T.E., et al. 1992.  
538 Nutrition and hydration status of soldiers consuming the 18-man arctic tray pack ration  
539 module with either the meal, ready-to-eat or the long life ration packet during a cold weather  
540 field training exercise. Natick, MA: United States Army Research Institute of Environmental  
541 Medicine Technical Report No T4-92.
- 542 King, N., Mutter, S.H., Roberts, D.E., Sutherland, M.R. and Askew, E.W. 1993. Cold weather  
543 field evaluation of the 18-Man Arctic Tray Pack Ration Module, the meal, ready-to-eat, and  
544 the Long Life Ration Packet. *Mil Med* **158**(7): 458-465.
- 545 Kyrolainen, H., Karinkanta, J., Santtila, M., Koski, H., Mantysaari, M. and Pullinen, T. 2008.  
546 Hormonal responses during a prolonged military field exercise with variable exercise  
547 intensity. *Eur J Appl Physiol* **102**(5): 539-546 doi: 10.1007/s00421-007-0619-0.

- 548 Landry, G.J., Falck, R.S., Beets, M.W. and Liu-Ambrose, T. 2015. Measuring physical activity  
549 in older adults: calibrating cut-points for the MotionWatch 8((c)). *Front Aging Neurosci* **7**: 165  
550 doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2015.00165.
- 551 Loucks, A.B. 2004. Energy balance and body composition in sports and exercise. *J Sports*  
552 *Sci* **22**(1): 1-14 doi: 10.1080/0264041031000140518.
- 553 Mandic, I., Ahmed, M., Rhind, S., Goodman, L., L'Abbe, M. and Jacobs, I. 2019. The effects  
554 of exercise and ambient temperature on dietary intake, appetite sensation, and appetite  
555 regulating hormone concentrations. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* **16**: 29 doi: 10.1186/s12986-019-  
556 0348-5.
- 557 Margolis, L.M., Murphy, N.E., Martini, S., Gundersen, Y., Castellani, J.W., Karl, J.P., et al.  
558 2016. Effects of Supplemental Energy on Protein Balance during 4-d Arctic Military Training.  
559 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **48**(8): 1604-1612 doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000944.
- 560 Mattes, R.D. 2010. Hunger and thirst: issues in measurement and prediction of eating and  
561 drinking. *Physiol Behav* **100**(1): 22-32 doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.12.026.
- 562 Mayer, J., Roy, P. and Mitra, K.P. 1956. Relation between caloric intake, body weight, and  
563 physical work: studies in an industrial male population in West Bengal. *Am J Clin Nutr* **4**(2):  
564 169-175 doi: 10.1093/ajcn/4.2.169.
- 565 McAdam, J., McGinnis, K., Ory, R., Young, K., Fruge, A.D., Roberts, M., et al. 2018.  
566 Estimation of energy balance and training volume during Army Initial Entry Training. *J Int Soc*  
567 *Sports Nutr* **15**(1): 55 doi: 10.1186/s12970-018-0262-7.
- 568 Mifflin, M.D., St Jeor, S.T., Hill, L.A., Scott, B.J., Daugherty, S.A. and Koh, Y.O. 1990. A new  
569 predictive equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. *Am J Clin Nutr*  
570 **51**(2): 241-247 doi: 10.1093/ajcn/51.2.241.
- 571 Morgan, T.E., Hodgess, L.A., Schilling, D., Hoyt, R.W., Iwanyk, E.J., McAninch, G., et al.  
572 1988. A Comparison of the Meal, Ready-To-Eat, Ration, Cold Weather, and Ration,  
573 Lightweight Nutrient Intakes During Moderate Altitude cold Weather Field Training  
574 Operations. U S Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine **Technical Report T5-**  
575 **89**.

- 576 Murphy, N.E., Carrigan, C.T., Philip Karl, J., Pasiakos, S.M. and Margolis, L.M. 2018.  
577 Threshold of Energy Deficit and Lower-Body Performance Declines in Military Personnel: A  
578 Meta-Regression. *Sports Med* **48**(9): 2169-2178 doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-0945-x.
- 579 Organization., N.A.T. 2010. Nutrition Science and Food Standards for Military Operations  
580 (TR-HFM-154). Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex: North Atlantic Treaty Organization.
- 581 Richmond, V.L., Horner, F.E., Wilkinson, D.M., Rayson, M.P., Wright, A. and Izard, R. 2014.  
582 Energy balance and physical demands during an 8-week arduous military training course. *Mil*  
583 *Med* **179**(4): 421-427 doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00313.
- 584 Riou, M.E., Jomphe-Tremblay, S., Lamothe, G., Stacey, D., Szczotka, A. and Doucet, E.  
585 2015. Predictors of Energy Compensation during Exercise Interventions: A Systematic  
586 Review. *Nutrients* **7**(5): 3677-3704 doi: 10.3390/nu7053677.
- 587 Rodriguez-Sanchez, N. and Galloway, S.D. 2015. Errors in dual energy x-ray absorptiometry  
588 estimation of body composition induced by hypohydration. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*  
589 **25**(1): 60-68 doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2014-0067.
- 590 Sadoul, B.C., Schuring, E.A., Mela, D.J. and Peters, H.P. 2014. The relationship between  
591 appetite scores and subsequent energy intake: an analysis based on 23 randomized  
592 controlled studies. *Appetite* **83**: 153-159 doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.08.016.
- 593 Sirichana, W., Dolezal, B.A., Neufeld, E.V., Wang, X. and Cooper, C.B. 2017. Wrist-worn  
594 triaxial accelerometry predicts the energy expenditure of non-vigorous daily physical  
595 activities. *J Sci Med Sport* **20**(8): 761-765 doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2017.01.233.
- 596 Tassone, E.C. and Baker, B.A. 2017. Body weight and body composition changes during  
597 military training and deployment involving the use of combat rations: a systematic literature  
598 review. *Br J Nutr* **117**(6): 897-910 doi: 10.1017/S0007114517000630.
- 599 Tharion, W.J., Lieberman, H.R., Montain, S.J., Young, A.J., Baker-Fulco, C.J., Delany, J.P.,  
600 et al. 2005. Energy requirements of military personnel. *Appetite* **44**(1): 47-65 doi:  
601 10.1016/j.appet.2003.11.010.

- 602 Thurber, C., Dugas, L.R., Ocobock, C., Carlson, B., Speakman, J.R. and Pontzer, H. 2019.  
603 Extreme events reveal an alimentary limit on sustained maximal human energy expenditure.  
604 Sci Adv **5**(6): eaaw0341 doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw0341.
- 605 Ward, D.S., Evenson, K.R., Vaughn, A., Rodgers, A.B. and Troiano, R.P. 2005.  
606 Accelerometer use in physical activity: best practices and research recommendations. Med  
607 Sci Sports Exerc **37**(11 Suppl): S582-588.
- 608 Wasse, L.K., King, J.A., Stensel, D.J. and Sunderland, C. 2013. Effect of ambient  
609 temperature during acute aerobic exercise on short-term appetite, energy intake, and plasma  
610 acylated ghrelin in recreationally active males. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism  
611 **38**(8): 905-909 doi: 10.1139/apnm-2013-0008.
- 612 White, L.J., Dressendorfer, R.H., Holland, E., McCoy, S.C. and Ferguson, M.A. 2005.  
613 Increased caloric intake soon after exercise in cold water. International journal of sport  
614 nutrition and exercise metabolism **15**(1): 38-47.
- 615 Whybrow, S., Hughes, D.A., Ritz, P., Johnstone, A.M., Horgan, G.W., King, N., et al. 2008.  
616 The effect of an incremental increase in exercise on appetite, eating behaviour and energy  
617 balance in lean men and women feeding ad libitum. Br J Nutr **100**(5): 1109-1115 doi:  
618 10.1017/S0007114508968240.

619 **Table 1. Individual and mean participant characteristics (Means  $\pm$  SD)**

# participant	Age (y)	Height (cm)	Body mass (kg)	Body fat mass (% of body mass)	Estimated $VO_{2max}$ (ml.min <sup>-1</sup> .kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Physical activity volume (h.w <sup>-1</sup> )
1	30	173	77.0	23.0	60	3
2	29	183	78.1	13.9	60	3
3	24	177	86.5	22.6	60	7
4	26	173	78.0	20.9	64	7
5	29	180	81.5	18.6	61	10
6	35	177	72.0	25.1	59	15
7	32	178	74.8	20.9	58	10
8	30	173	73.4	21.6	62	10
9	32	181	78.3	19.9	58	30
10	31	186	83.5	20.7	58	10
11	45	173	69.0	20.5	61	20
12	33	163	60.9	21.1	75	13
<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>31.3 <math>\pm</math> 5.2</b>	<b>176.4 <math>\pm</math> 6.0</b>	<b>76.1 <math>\pm</math> 6.8</b>	<b>20.7 <math>\pm</math> 2.7</b>	<b>61.5 <math>\pm</math> 4.6</b>	<b>11.5 <math>\pm</math> 7.5</b>

620

621 **Table 2. Absolute and relative macronutrient intake**

	<b>Days 1-7</b>	<b>Days 8-14</b>	<b>Mean Raid</b>
<b>CHO intake (g.d<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	314 ± 49	370 ± 64**	342 ± 50
<b>CHO intake (% of TEI)</b>	43.3 ± 7.3	43.5 ± 6.0	43.4 ± 6.6
<b>Fat intake (g.d<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	151 ± 47	175 ± 59**	163 ± 51
<b>Fat intake (% of TEI)</b>	44.1 ± 5.9	43.7 ± 5.4	43.9 ± 5.6
<b>Protein intake (g.d<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	93 ± 34	110 ± 39**	102 ± 36
<b>Protein intake (% of TEI)</b>	12.1 ± 2.2	12.3 ± 1.8	12.2 ± 1.9

622 Means ± SD. \*\*different from D1-7;  $p < 0.01$ .

Draft

623 **Table 3. Explicit liking and food preference**

	Day 0	Day 1	Day 10
<b>Explicit liking</b>			
<b>High-fat (HF)</b>	4.4 ± 1.7	5.0 ± 1.3	4.6 ± 1.3
<b>Low-fat (LF)</b>	5.0 ± 1.9	5.4 ± 1.5	5.8 ± 1.5
<b>Sweet (SW)</b>	4.6 ± 1.8	4.8 ± 1.6	5.1 ± 1.6
<b>Savory (SA)</b>	4.9 ± 1.6	5.6 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 1.0
<b>High-fat and sweet (HFSW)</b>	4.1 ± 2.4	4.3 ± 1.7	4.3 ± 2.0
<b>High-fat and savory (HFSA)</b>	4.7 ± 1.6	5.7 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 1.4
<b>Low-fat and sweet (LFSW)</b>	5.0 ± 2.2	5.3 ± 2.1	5.9 ± 2.1
<b>Low-fat and savory (LFSA)</b>	5.0 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 1.5	5.7 ± 1.1
<b>Food preference</b>			
<b>HF minus LF</b>	-1.0 ± 4.9	-1.2 ± 4.2	-3.7 ± 3.7
<b>HFSW minus LFSW</b>	-0.3 ± 4.2	-1.8 ± 2.8	-2.8 ± 3.5
<b>HFSA minus LFSA</b>	-0.8 ± 1.5	0.7 ± 2.2	-0.9 ± 1.4
<b>SW minus SA</b>	-1.8 ± 6.5	-3.3 ± 5.3	-0.5 ± 5.1
<b>HFSW minus HFSA</b>	-0.7 ± 3.8	-2.9 ± 2.6	-1.2 ± 3.4
<b>LFSW minus LFSA</b>	-1.2 ± 3.7	-0.4 ± 3.3	0.7 ± 3.0

624 Means ± SD. Explicit liking is scored from 0 to 10 using a VAS. Food preference was based  
625 on the FPQ-S<sub>16</sub>: scores in each category were subtracted to obtain a bias score comparing  
626 two categories, with a negative score indicating that food preference is higher in the latter  
627 than the former category.



628 **Table 4 – Correlation table**

<b>Variables</b>	<b><i>r</i></b>	<b>Variables</b>	<b><i>r</i></b>
<b>Δ Body mass (%)</b>		<b>EI D1-7 (kcal.d<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	
vs <b>Mean EI</b> (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>0.656*</b>	vs <b>Hunger D1-7</b> (cm)	<b>0.743**</b>
vs Mean EE (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.402	vs Hunger D8-14 (cm)	0.340
vs <b>Mean EB</b> (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>0.702*</b>	vs <b>Mean Hunger</b> (cm)	<b>0.707**</b>
vs Mean CHO intake (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.219	<b>EI D8-14 (kcal.d<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	
vs <b>Mean fat intake</b> (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>0.780**</b>	vs Hunger D1-7 (cm)	0.252
vs <b>Mean protein intake</b> (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>0.656*</b>	vs <b>Hunger D8-14</b> (cm)	<b>0.652*</b>
vs <b>Mean CHO intake</b> (% of EI)	<b>-0.795**</b>	vs <b>Mean Hunger</b> (cm)	<b>0.617*</b>
vs <b>Mean fat intake</b> (% of EI)	<b>0.765**</b>	<b>Mean EI</b> (kcal.d <sup>-1</sup> )	
vs Mean protein intake (% of EI)	0.544	vs Hunger D1-7 (cm)	0.471
vs EL HFSW D0 (cm)	0.098	vs Hunger D8-14 (cm)	0.563
vs EL HFSW D1 (cm)	0.564	vs <b>Mean Hunger</b> (cm)	<b>0.692*</b>
vs <b>EL HFSW D10</b> (cm)	<b>0.600*</b>	vs <b>EL HF D10</b> (cm)	<b>0.646*</b>
<b>Δ Body fat mass (%)</b>			
vs EL HF D0 (cm)	0.232		
vs <b>EL HF D1</b> (cm)	<b>0.706**</b>		
vs <b>EL HF D10</b> (cm)	<b>0.680*</b>		

629 'Mean' indicates the average value for the 14 days. Otherwise, the period is indicated (e.g.  
630 D1-7). Significant correlations between two variables are highlighted in bold. \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p <$   
631 0.01. EI: energy intake; EE: energy expenditure; EB: energy balance; CHO: carbohydrate;  
632 EL: explicit liking; HF: high-fat; SW: sweet.

633 **Figure legends**

634 **Figure 1. Details of the route of the expedition and meteorological conditions.** Open  
635 circles indicate that they remained in the same location on the specific day.

636 **Figure 2. Daily (A, C, and E) energy intake, expenditure, and balance and their means**  
637 **(B, D and F) for each half of the expedition.** The dotted lines indicate the mean value for  
638 the entire expedition. \*\*\*different from D1-7; \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ .<sup>αα</sup>different from EE,  $p <$   
639 0.01.

640 **Figure 3. Individual (A) and mean (B) body mass and body composition and**  
641 **comparison between individual body mass and changes in energy balance (C).**  
642 \*\*\*different from before the expedition;  $p < 0.001$ .

643 **Figure 4. Daily (A and C) morning and evening hunger scores and their means (B and**  
644 **D) for each half of the expedition.** The dotted lines indicate the mean value over the entire  
645 expedition.



Figure 1. Details of the route of the expedition and meteorological conditions. Open circles indicate that they remained in the same location on the specific day.




Figure 2. Daily (A, C, and E) energy intake, expenditure, and balance and their means (B, D and F) for each half of the expedition. The dotted lines indicate the mean value for the entire expedition. \*,\*\*different from D1-7; \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ . ααdifferent from EE,  $p < 0.01$ .

190x240mm (325 x 325 DPI)




Figure 3. Individual (A) and mean (B) body mass and body composition and comparison between individual body mass and changes in energy balance (C). \*\*\*different from before the expedition;  $p < 0.001$ .

190x179mm (410 x 410 DPI)




Figure 4. Daily (A and C) morning and evening hunger scores and their means (B and D) for each half of the expedition. The dotted lines indicate the mean value over the entire expedition.

190x169mm (410 x 410 DPI)