

# Evaluating the contribution of nature to well-being: The case of ecosystem services related to fish-farming ponds in France

Helene Rey-Valette, Thierry Blayac, Jean-Michel A Salles

## ► To cite this version:

Helene Rey-Valette, Thierry Blayac, Jean-Michel A Salles. Evaluating the contribution of nature to well-being: The case of ecosystem services related to fish-farming ponds in France. Ecological Economics, 2022, 191, pp.107217. 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.107217 . hal-03355613

## HAL Id: hal-03355613 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03355613v1

Submitted on 28 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Evaluating the contribution of nature to well-being: the case of ecosystem services related to fish-farming ponds in France.

Hélène Rey-Valette, Thierry Blayac, Jean-Michel Salles

CEE-M, Université de Montpellier, France

2 3

1

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

#### 8 Abstract

9 Ecosystem Services (ES) can contribute to several aspects of human well-being (WB) that we 10 understand as the subjective perception that individuals have of their quality of life, depending on a 11 set of factors. We compare the relative weights of the WB factors resulting from ES (ES-based) and 12 those that do not depend on ES (non-ES-based), from an online survey (N = 1006) relating to ES 13 linked to fish-farming ponds in France. A summary variable, the "WB profile", allows to identify 14 individuals (38% of respondents) whose WB is strongly linked to the presence of ES (the number of 15 ES-based WB factors is greater than the number of non-ES-based WB factors). The WB profile of 16 these individuals is analyzed with a binary logit model showing the preponderance of variable 17 accounting for perceptions and interactions with ecosystems (attendance, relationship and 18 attachment to nature, efforts to preserve the environment). We observe a lower level of training and 19 the existence of a threshold effect on the relationship between life satisfaction and the ES-20 dependent profile: this contribution only concerns people with a high life satisfaction index. These 21 results attest to the importance of pro-environmental perceptions, emotions and behaviors for 22 conservation policies.

23

24

26

28

25 **Key words:** Ecosystem services; Nature perceptions; Well-being, Fish-farming ponds.

#### 27 Highlights:

- 29 Assessment of the contribution of ecosystem services to the well-being of residents
- 30 Influence of connection to nature on perceptions of ecosystem services
- 31 Link between life satisfaction and significance of ecosystem services on well-being
- 32 Commitment to nature and life satisfaction
- 33 The contribution of ecosystem services to well-being as a proxy for demand for services
- 34
- 35
- 36

#### 37 **1. Introduction**

Environmental policies promoting ecosystem protection and biodiversity conservation increasingly focus on the evaluation of ecosystem services (ES). ES are defined as the benefits people derive, directly or indirectly, from functioning ecosystems (Costanza et *al.*, 2017). Several categories of ES are distinguished, provision, regulation and cultural (Plieninger et *al.*, 2013), the latter one being defined as "the non-material benefits that people obtain from nature, including spiritual, aesthetical, educational and recreational values" (Kosanic and Petzold, 2020, p. 2).

44

45 When ES were institutionalized by the MA (2005), their contribution to well-being (WB) was 46 examined using several dimensions: security, basic material for good life, health, good social 47 relations and the strengthening of freedom of choice and action, relating to Sen's concept of 48 capability (1979). WB is the subjective perception that individuals have of their quality of life based 49 on a set of internal (directly related to the psychological condition of the individuals) and external 50 (related to the availability of services in the living environment) factors. In order to assess the 51 contribution of ES, we aim to measure the relative importance of those related to ES (ES-based) or 52 not (non-ES-based). For this reason, we develop an approach in line with the logic of "regional well-53 being" of the OECD (2014) that refers to the resources and amenities provided by their living 54 environment. This leads to question the presence of various economic, social and cultural services 55 and facilities, traditionally addressed in studies on the regional WB (OECD, 2014). In this study, we 56 focus on the role of ecosystems and more generally of nature in the WB. However, the relationship 57 between ES and WB was, until recently, less studied in detail, compared to the characterization and 58 mapping of the supply of ES and their interaction within ES bundles (Raudsepp-Hearne et al., 2010; 59 Martin-Lopez et al., 2012; Plieninger et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2014; Queiroz et al., 2015; Renard et 60 al., 2015). In particular, there is a lack of empirical measures to characterize the types of WB factors 61 or the most significant ES. Indeed, studies on ES tend to focus on the supply and types of use and do 62 not explicitly evaluate this contribution, except when it is linked with health (Kele, 2012; Smith et al., 63 2013; Sandifer et al., 2015; Prévot and Geijzendorffer, 2016; Delgado and Marin, 2016; Bryce et al., 64 2016).

65

66 More precisely, research on the links between ES and WB (Blythe et al., 2020) has mostly been 67 carried out during the last decade and mainly in developed countries (the EU in particular). Most 68 often, these are holistic approaches that do not address the interactions between ES and WB and in 69 almost half of the cases (44%) it does not provide an explicit framework for defining WB (Blythe et al. 70 2020). Depending on the context, the literature focuses primarily on three aspects: human health 71 (physical health, such as heart problems, or mental health, with the effects of the environment on 72 stress) and landscape or recreational amenities, which are part of cultural services (Kosanic et 73 Petzold, 2020), mostly in developed countries, and provisioning services that can be essential for 74 livelihoods in developing countries (Polishchuk and Rauschmayer, 2012). The WB factors most often 75 identified are: (i) a physical dimension, in particular the impact on health (Cox et al., 2017; Shanahan 76 et al., 2016; Barton and Pretty, 2010), (ii) a subjective perception, and (iii) a third dimension which, 77 according to the authors, relates to relational or mental factors (Marschke and Berkes, 2006; 78 Coulthard et al., 2011; Kamitsis and Francis, 2013; Tsunetsugu et al., 2013; Chan, et al., 2019). 79 According to Blythe et al. (2020), the main dimensions studied are: employment, health, food 80 security, social capital, place attachment, security, culture, and spirituality (in descending order from 81 24% to 6%); while Chan et al. (2019) point out that non-material benefits are less studied. These non-82 material aspects, often linked to cultural ESs (CES) (Kosanic and Petzold, 2020), frequently relate to 83 heritage and inspiration dimensions which partly come under the concept of sense of place (Lewika, 84 2011; Raymond et al., 2013; Klain et al., 2014) and its influence on personal identity (Omolo and 85 Mafongoya, 2019). These non-material aspects are also perceived as a positive factor for 86 psychological health, for example in the case of the spiritual link with the sea (Willis, 2018). These 87 aspects are often mentioned in studies on indigenous populations (Bark et al., 2016). In developing 88 countries, the issues relate to the impact of ES on the capacity for action and then on the WB, such as 89 their role for the availability of fish shown by Abunge et al. (2013) for fishermen in Kenya. In every 90 case, emphasis is placed on the fact that interactions between ES and WB are context-dependent, 91 with much work on the proximity of green spaces in urban areas and natural ecosystems in rural 92 areas. It is also necessary to take into account the way in which individuals interact with these ES, in 93 particular according to perceptions, proximity, but also the frequency and duration of visits of natural 94 areas (Korpela et al., 2014; De Vreese et al., 2016; Jacobs et al., 2016; Rey-Valette et al., 2017; Sy et 95 al., 2018; Fagerholm et al., 2020) and more generally the types of connection to nature (Lewicka, 2011; Raymond et al., 2013; Klain et al., 2014). Finally, we note the need to take into account the 96 97 capacity of individuals to benefit or even appropriate these processes, which raises equity and 98 governance issues (Daw et al., 2016; Kosanic and Petzold, 2020).

99

100 This overview of the types of research highlights, except for the health dimension, a recent interest 101 in studying these interactions, including a few econometric analyses aimed at characterizing the 102 profiles of beneficiaries globally or according to the types of ES (Blythe et al., 2020; Fagerholm et al., 103 2020; Kosanic and Petzold, 2020). Thus, Fagerholm et al. (2020) study the contribution of landscapes 104 and ESs to WB in 13 European rural and peri-urban regions. They characterize clusters according to 105 the types of WB contribution freely expressed and structured by distinguishing a wide variety of WB 106 factors (40 items). This type of analysis of perceptions and impacts on the WB helps to inform the 107 design of conservation policies which increasingly focus on the needs (i) for knowledge and awareness of individuals regarding the roles of ES, and their direct or indirect impacts on their WB 108 109 (Martín-López et al., 2012; Costanza et al., 2017), but also (ii) for indicators easily appropriable. 110 Indeed, taking WB into account explicitly (Kele, 2012; Smith et al., 2013; Delgado and Marin, 2016; 111 Bryce et al., 2016) is, in our view, an original way to address more precisely the demand for ES of this 112 type and its determinants.

113 In this context, the aim of this article is to explicitly measure the weight of the contribution of ES to 114 people's WB using surveys to rank the importance of such ES as a WB factor. The core of our analysis 115 consists in defining a summary variable named "WB profile" based on the comparison of the number 116 of reported ES-based WB factors versus that of the non-ES-based WB factors. Profiles with a higher 117 ES-based factor score than that for non-ES-based factors are considered "ESs-dependent WB." From an econometric analysis (binary logit model), we can then identify which variables affect the 118 probability of belonging to the "ESs-dependent WB" profile, with a large share of variables reflecting 119 120 perceptions among the possible explanatory variables. This type of analysis associates a subjective hierarchy of the factors perceived as the most important within a set of objective factors selected 121 122 according to the factors of regional WB (OECD, 2014) and the contribution of ESs. In addition, we 123 evaluate the relationships of this variable "WB profile" with an evaluation of the respondents' life satisfaction (Frey and Stutzer, 2002; Frey et al., 2009). The objective was to rank ES according to their 124 125 contribution to people's WB and to identify the determining factors of this contribution, especially the types of use, people's proximity to and familiarity with ecosystems, given that sociologists and 126 127 psychologists stress the role of attachment to place (Lewicka, 2011; Raymond et al., 2013; Klain et 128 al., 2014) and familiarity with nature (Moser, 2009; Antunez et al., 2016). This analysis is carried out 129 from an online survey across France and for a particular type of ecosystem, namely the fish-farming 130 ponds, which represent 112,000 ha in France (mainland). These are shallow stagnant water bodies, 131 of natural origin or man-made, which are maintained by the activity of fish farming. These are 132 extensive forms of exploitation, mainly for the purpose of restocking. They offer an original example 133 of ES, and they contribute to wetlands, and thereby biodiversity, maintenance (Vanacker et al., 134 2015). They also make a very positive landscape contribution as they are effectively natural areas. In France, they were mostly created between the 10<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> centuries by monasteries in order to 135 develop fish consumption (Bernard, 2008) or to improve health in marshy areas (Billard, 2010). 136 137 Consequently, fish-farming ponds constitute an important heritage resource for the territories.

Section 2 reviews the bibliography underlying our analysis and section 3 presents the survey protocol. The results are presented in section 4 which is followed by a discussion of the interest of this approach.

142

#### 143 **2.** Well-being assessment and impact of ecosystem services on well-being

#### 144 **21. Well-being assessments: what the literature says**

145 The issue of and methods for WB evaluation have both developed substantially during the last two decades and several approaches co-exist (Dolan et al., 2011). Objective WB evaluations aim to 146 147 complement standard approaches primarily based on income by displaying the diversity across 148 external WB factors. These multidimensional analyses are based on a wide range of indicators of 149 living conditions considered to be determining factors in WB. Initially undertaken at national level (Bigot et al., 2012), they are now carried out at a local level, making it possible to define the concept 150 151 of regional WB (OECD, 2014), also used to study regional inequalities. However, it appears that 152 environmental dimensions have only been integrated marginally, if at all, into the concept of regional 153 WB, which mainly emphasizes access to infrastructure and public goods, and mobility conditions. In 154 France, environmental issues are only addressed through the extent of man-made development in 155 the analysis of the quality of life (Reynard, 2016) whereas the OECD proposes four indicators: air 156 quality, satisfaction with environmental quality, access to green spaces and a final indicator of 157 environmental morbidity based on noise, air, water and soil pollution.

158

159 Concurrently, subjective WB evaluations have been widely accepted as a relevant measure of WB 160 (Frey and Stutzer, 2002; Frey et al., 2009). The diversity of approaches is such that a new field of 161 research on the economics of happiness has emerged (Clark and Oswald, 2002; Clark et al., 2005). 162 According to Diener et al. (1999), subjective WB is related to the set of individual evaluations -163 negative and positive, cognitive and emotional – that we make about our life. Kahneman et al. (1999) 164 showed that cognitive and emotional factors co-exist and in the same way several approaches co-165 exist. As a rule, evaluations promote the cognitive component. The aim is to converge broadly on an 166 index of life satisfaction. In France, life satisfaction was rated 7.2 on a 10-point scale using a 167 standardized protocol (Godefroy, 2011), a score which is consistent with those obtained across OECD 168 countries (2014). At the same time, the integration of the emotional dimensions of WB gives a 169 measure of the general sense of WB based on a range of emotions over a given period of time (Dolan 170 et al., 2011; Antoine et al., 2007). The "Positive and Negative Affect Scales" of Watson et al. (1988) or the "Day Reconstruction Method" of the OECD (2014) may also be noted here. These approaches 171 172 should be combined rather than opposed (Moser, 2009) in order to identify correlations (Antoine et al., 2007) and inconsistencies. Whilst cognitive approaches might be biased, for instance, depending 173 174 on the time of the survey or the mood of the respondent (Kahneman and Krueger, 2006), studying 175 the net result of emotions might be more accurate but is more difficult and may be biased by memory problems. These "non-welfarist" approaches emphasize the relative nature of WB 176 177 perception (Frey and Stutzer, 2002) and therefore the need to include declared emotions and not 178 only observed behaviors (Dolan et al., 2011).

179

### 180 **22. Impact of ES on the WB: A few recent studies**

As pointed out in the introduction, the question of how to measure the impact on or contribution of 181 182 ES to WB has received little attention. Beyond the systematic reviews already mentioned (Blythe et 183 al., 2020; Kosanic and Petzold, 2020), some illustrations of published works can be noted. Nisbet et 184 al. (2009) propose the "Nature Relatedness", which evaluates individual levels of connectedness 185 through cognitive, affective and experience dimensions, and shows the significance of time spent in 186 natural areas. Bryce et al. (2016) emphasize the plurality of ES values and adopt a deliberative 187 approach in focus groups to identify differences in representation. The feelings of participants 188 visiting natural areas are evaluated on a Likert scale. They found six types of positive correlation 189 between WB and ES: engagement and interaction with nature, place identity, therapeutic value, 190 social ties, spiritual value and memory. Martinez-Suarez et al. (2015) recommend drawing a distinction between three cases of interaction, depending on whether they are willingly sought, for example recreational activities, or they relate to consumption or to resource extraction (not limited to provisioning services) or they are a passive benefit arising from a set of amenities (aesthetic, regulating, and even cultural services).

195

196 Regarding the WB factors studied, in addition to the health impact which is the most frequently analyzed, especially the impact on anxiety, depression, respiratory problems, asthma and infections 197 (Browning and Lee, 2017), these analyses reveal the impact of nature relatedness: on the 198 199 development of pro-social behavior (Smith et al., 2013), on people's physical and mental health 200 (Smith et al., 2013; Sandifer et al., 2015), on educational opportunities (Smith et al., 2013), on 201 productivity (Bryce et al., 2016), on social ties (Baldwin et al., 2011), and on school performance 202 (Sandifer et al., 2015). Some studies show the importance of urban or rural context, with the 203 relationship between ES and WB strongly impacted by the urban or rural nature of the dwelling 204 (Prévot and Geijzendorffer, 2016). Furthermore, some subjective approaches of WB point out the 205 impact of psychological profiles on the evaluation and the perception of WB and the impact of 206 positive emotions, of engagement in activities that are meaningful for the individual and their 207 capacity to find a purpose in life (Seligman et al., 2004). Therefore, it is important to address 208 personality traits such as optimism, bias towards happiness, and gratitude, which promotes positive 209 emotions and is, according to Shankland and Martin-Krumm (2012), "one of the personality traits most strongly correlated with subjective WB". Moreover, perceptions of the contribution of ES to WB 210 211 depend on the familiarity, and frequency of contact, with natural areas as well as on the relationship 212 and engagement with nature, for which different assessment metrics are proposed by different 213 authors (Dunlap et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2009; Milfont and Duckitt, 2010).

214

**3. Survey protocol** We undertook an online national survey with 1,006 people nationwide (mainland France). The type of ecosystem being studied related to pond-based fish farming, with supporting photos (Figure 1), but without reference to a particular pond or area.







Figure 1: Examples of French fish-farming ponds (Dombes and Brenne regions)

#### 219

Figure 1: Examples of French lish-farming ponds (Dombes and Brenne reg

#### 220 3.1. Questionnaire design

221 The questionnaire was designed by a multidisciplinary team of researchers specializing in ES and ponds (biologists, ecologists, economists, statisticians, zootechnicians) with the support of 222 223 representatives of the profession at the national level, to convey the relative importance of the contribution of ES to the WB ecosystem of respondents. Drawing on the literature review, the 224 225 questionnaire was built around several blocks to assess the importance of the contribution of ES to 226 people's WB (Table 2), such as the impact of the relationship with the place of residence, the 227 frequency of visits to the studied ecosystems, the psychological profiles and the relationship with 228 nature. In order to measure the relationship with nature, we used the rating scale of Davis et al. 229 (2009) which provides a set of diagrams summarizing the types of link to nature that are easily 230 understood by respondents (Figure 2). This figure was presented to each respondent (block B) who 231 had to choose the type of diagram that best corresponded to her/his own link to nature. 232 Furthermore, drawing on research relating to emotions felt in contact with nature, we integrated

- several suggestions from Bryce *et al.* (2016) into the questionnaire in order to better understand the
- emotions sparked by ponds. The questionnaire consisted of a total of six blocks (Table 1).
- 235

1.     2.     3.     4.       Self     Nature     Self     Nature     Self
5. 6. 7. Self Nature Self Nature Self Nature
Figure 2: Measurement scale of the link to nature (Davis et al., 2009)
Table 1: Questionnaire structure (number and domain of the questions)
Number of Domain of the questions

	Number of	Domain of the questions
Blocks	questions	
	in the block	
A) Relationship with		Qualifications of the living environment, Duration of residence, Size of
nlace of residence	9	the municipality, Type of accommodation, Distance to natural areas,
		Factors associated with the area of residence.
		Frequency of visits of pond areas (current and in childhood), Reasons for
B) Relationship with	22	visiting, Type of activities practiced, Vacation spot, Various questions on
and use of the ponds	22	emotions and perceptions (beauty, tranquility, health, feeling of
		freedom, pleasure, conviviality, fullness, knowledge of nature).
C) Ranking of WB	2	Selection of the factors considered important (without restriction),
factors	2	Prioritization of the 8 most important factors within the list.
D) Evaluation of life	2	Rating (on a scale from 0 to 10), current situation, Rating (on a scale from
satisfaction	2	0 to 10), if moving away from natural spaces.
E) Perception of the		Scale of the link to nature (Figure 2), Importance of various protective
environment,	11	actions (from 0 to 10), Importance of environmental protection practices
attitude and	11	(from 0 to 10), Using 4 items of the NEP (Dunlap et <i>al.</i> , 2000), Types of
behavior		behavior and attitude in general (socio-psychology).
		General profile: gender, age, education, marital status, socio-professional
E) Sociodemographic		category, income category.
nrofile	15	Proxy for the environmental profile: presence of animals in the
		household, frequency of consumption of organic products, donations to
		environmental associations.

240

241 In order to rank the impact of ES on WB, people were asked to identify first (i) the WB factors they 242 thought important and then (ii) to rank within this selection the relative significance of eight main 243 WB factors (scored 1, the most important, to 8, the least important). This approach, previously used 244 in the past to assess ES perceptions (Blayac et al., 2014; Rey-Valette et al., 2017), enables the 245 calculation of a citation index (number of selections) and of an average score corresponding to the 246 sum of the scores obtained during ranking. This selection and ranking procedure was based on a 247 balanced list of ten non-ES-based WB factors and ten ES-based factors. The non-ES-based factors were chosen among the regional WB indicators selected by OECD (2014). The ten ES-based factors 248 were chosen according to the main ES derived from this type of ecosystem from documented 249 250 typologies (Liquete et al., 2013; Haines-Young and Potschin-Young, 2018). Table 2 lists the 20 251 selected WB factors. In accordance with the recommendations of Smith et al. (2013), the elaboration of these ES factors was designed to facilitate people's interpretation of the contribution to their WB. Thus, some regulating services were presented through their direct impact on people's living conditions. Of course, the types of factors were presented randomly and without referring to any category to avoid anchoring bias.

- 256
- 257

Table 2: List of WB factors					
	Type 2 ES-based WB factors				
Type 1 Non-ES-Based WB factors (OECD, 2014)	Wording proposed in the survey	Type of ES (Liquette et al., 2013) CICES, V5.1; (CES = cultural ES, RES = regulating ES)			
Health	Beauty of landscapes near the ponds	CES	Enable esthetic experience		
Relationships with friends	No noise	RES	Noise attenuation		
Cultural and sporting activities	Proximity of places for walks		Physical interactions		
Work/leisure balance Feeling of freedom related to nature		CES	Spiritual or symbolic		
Size and quality of dwelling Air quality		RES	Regulation of contaminants		
Harmony within the household	Proximity of healthy natural spaces		Experiential interactions		
Easy transport Revitalizing aspect of nature		CES	Experiential interactions		
Quality of local democracy Microclimate related to pond proximity		RES	Micro climate regulation		
Income	Fewer floods due to the presence of the ponds	RES	Flood regulation		
Employment and professional relationship	Social bonds related to nature	CES	Recreational		

258

Life satisfaction measurement was implemented by using the norms recommended in Europe since 260 (European quality of life survey - EQLS) and in France since 2010 (INSEE, Godefroy, 2011), for 261 measuring subjective WB. The following question was asked: "On a scale of 0 (not at all satisfied) to 262 10 (totally satisfied), rate your satisfaction with regard to the life you are currently experiencing".

263

Figure 3 summarizes the rationale behind the selection of WB factors for the survey and displays their integration in the general design of the research.

266





#### 270 **3.2. Survey implementation**

Our study was carried out online using a list of addresses provided by a specialist survey 271 272 organization. The exclusion of questionnaires that were incomplete or conducted in too short a time 273 reduced the sample by a third (from 1,422 to 1,006 respondents). In all, 636 municipalities were involved in the survey. On average, the questionnaire took 20 minutes to complete. About half the 274 275 respondents (46%) lived in towns of over 100,000 inhabitants whilst only 20% lived in smaller towns or villages with fewer than 5,000 inhabitants (Table 3). Our sampling plan aims to balance the share 276 277 of municipalities with less than 100,000 inhab. and over 100,000 inhab. to take into account the 278 distribution of the population according to urban areas (grouping of nearby municipalities) rather 279 than the size of the municipalities. Indeed, given the differences observed depending on the urban or 280 rural context, it is important to take into account the overall urbanization rate of the area and not 281 only the size of the municipality of residence. In addition, we also defined a balanced proportion of 282 respondents according to gender and age which are determining variables for the perception of the 283 environment (Table 4).

284 285

288

Table 2. Consultant consultant to the		the second part of the last terms
Table 3: Sampling according to the	size of communes	in number of innabiliants

Size of towns	< E 000	5,000 to	15,000 to	> 100 000	Total
	< 5,000	15,000	100,000	> 100,000	TOLAT
Number of respondents	200	122	223	461	1,006
% of respondents	20%	12%	22%	46%	100%
% mainland population (*)	41%	20%	24%	15%	100%

286 Source: Online survey CEE-M, 2018 (\*) administrative distribution of the population according to the 287 municipality of residence (French Direction générale des collectivités territoriales, 2019)

#### 289 **3.3. Statistical analysis**

290 A first descriptive phase made it possible to identify some relationships between structuring 291 variables, the results of which are presented in the text along with their significance level (p-value). A 292 logit model was used to study the extent to which ES contribute to people's WB. The aim is to 293 determine which elements affect the probability of an individual selecting a higher proportion of ES-294 based factors within the set of WB factors considered to be important. To do this, we first 295 constructed a summary variable to compare the total score of non-ES-based factors (Type 1) with 296 that of ES-based factors (Type 2). In order to include both the selection rate and the ranking level, for 297 each respondent the scores given for each factor were divided by the average selection rate of this 298 factor. Taking into account the selection rate amounts to reducing the importance given to the 299 factors chosen by a large proportion of the respondents and giving relatively more weight to the factors that were selected less often and are therefore more specific. By comparing the total scores 300 301 of the two types of WB factors we defined a "WB profile" variable: if the total score of ES-based 302 factors (Type 2) is higher than the total score of the non-ES-based factors (Type 1), then the 303 individual belongs to the "ESs-dependent WB" profile. This WB profile is the variable to be explained 304 by the model. We seek therefore to identify which variables affect the probability of belonging to the 305 "ESs-dependent WB" profile. Therefore, the endogenous variable takes the following form:

306  
307 
$$WBP_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if individual i belongs to } ESs\_dependent WB \text{ profile} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(1)  
308

A latent variable  $Z_i$  is then defined which takes the following form for a given indidividual *i*:  $Z_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{i1} + \alpha_2 X_{i2} + \alpha_3 X_{i3} + \alpha_4 X_{i4} + \varepsilon_i$  (2) Where  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, X_{i3}$  and  $X_{i4}$  are the values taken by the explanatory variables for individual *i*,  $\alpha_0$  to  $\alpha_4$ are coefficients to be estimated and  $\varepsilon_i$  is a random term. 313

The  $Z_i$  function incorporates factors or variables that are likely to explain the probability that an individual *i* will have a ESs\_dependent WB profile. These explanatory factors can be grouped into 4 main categories: (A)- those allowing to take into account the respondents' link to the nature ( $X_1$ ), (B)those reflecting the individuals' perceptions towards the ponds ( $X_2$ ), (C)- those expressing the sociodemographic categories of the individuals ( $X_3$ ) and (D)- a variable measuring the subjective level of WB ( $X_4$ ). A random term  $\varepsilon$  is also introduced in the expression of the  $Z_i$  function due to the impossibility of observing all the explanatory factors. If the random term  $\varepsilon_i$  is assumed to be distributed according to a Gumbel law, then a binary logit model can be used for modeling the probability that an individual will have a ESs-dependent WB profile (i.e.  $WBP_i=1$ ). So we get:

323 
$$Prob(WBP_i = 1) = \frac{\exp(Z_i)}{1 + \exp(Z_i)}$$
 (3)

324

325 The following figure summarizes the different statistical steps.



#### 343 3.4. Surveyed sample

Table 4 provides the main socio-demographic characteristics of the sample.

345 346

#### Table 4: Characteristics of the surveyed sample

	Sample	France Mainland Population (2017)
Women	50%	52%
Cohabiting couples	60%	72%
<45 year old	45%	36%
From 45 to 60 years old	24%	32%
>60 year old	31%	32%
Retired	27%	21%
Higher education diploma	56%	39%
Technicians, employees, workers	37%	54%
Managers, tradesmen, shopkeepers, company directors	16%	19%
Average monthly income of the household per consumption	€1,812	€1,734
unit		

347

Our sample is gender balanced. The average age of respondents is 50 years, which is fully representative of the French population above the age of 18 (the average age of the French population was 42 years in 2017, but this includes people under the age of 18 who are not in our sample). The same is true of co-resident couples (60% in our sample compared with 72% in the general population). Overall, these figures match with the French data on general population.

#### 354 4. Results 4.1. Visit rates, pond perceptions and links to nature

355 Only 10% of respondents declared that they "frequently" visit this type of ecosystem compared with 356 65% who do so "occasionally" (several times a year up to once or twice a month) and 25% who never 357 go. The main activities are walking, and to a lesser extent, observing nature (Table 5). Figure 6 shows 358 the average scores obtained for questions relating to perceptions and emotions linked to attendance 359 of these sites and more generally representations and links to the environment (module B and E of 360 the questionnaire).

- 361
- 362
- 363

#### Table 5: Distribution of activities related to the fish-farming ponds (Respondents who frequently practice the activity)

(Respondents who nequently practice the activity)				
	Frequency	Percent		
Walking, hiking, jogging	610	46%		
Bird watching and plant observation	282	21%		
Cycling	151	11%		
Photography; painting	113	9%		
Picnics	108	8%		
Recreational fishing	71	5%		
Hunting	20	1%		
Source: Online survey CEE-M, 2018				

364

365

Table 6: Evaluation of links to nature experience and perception toward the ponds

366 367

odel)

(Average score out of 10; in bold, the statistically significant variables o	f the	logit mo
--	-------	----------

Concernment toward the environment	
Mankind must respect nature	8.4
Mankind must show solidarity with other species	7.9
These spaces have value for nature independently of humans	7.6
Nature is a source of inspiration	7.3
Emotions felt and impacts of frequenting pond areas	
Visiting these sites helps connect with nature	7.1
The beauty of these sites creates emotions in me	7.0
Visiting these sites is relaxing and reduces stress	7.0
Visiting these sites gives a feeling of freedom	6.9
Visiting these sites helps communicate with nature	6.7
Visiting these sites enables learning from nature	6.6
Visiting these sites improves your physical well-being	6.5
Visiting these sites gives pleasure	6.4
Visiting these sites gives a feeling of self-fulfilment	5.9
In these sites I feel that I am part of something bigger than myself	5.9
Visiting these sites strengthens family relationships	5.7
Commitment toward environmental conservation	
I dedicate a lot of time, energy and effort to maintain the quality of the environment	5.9
Compared with other people I know, I invest a lot in the environment	
Framework for assessing the link to the nature of Davis et al., 2009	
Importance of the link to nature (scale out of 7 see Fig. 2)	4.7

The assessments of perceptions and experiences show overall a significant concern with respect to the protection of the environment with a rather high score for the link to nature (4.7 out of 7 or 6.77

- out of 10), as well as rather positive emotions generated by frequenting pond sites, in particular for physical or psychological WB factors.
- 373 physical or374

#### **4.2. Analysis of life satisfaction and ranking of WB factors**

The life satisfaction of respondents (on a scale of 0 to 10) was rated at 6.7 which is lower than the

national average of 7.2 according to the INSEE (National Institute for Statistics and Economic Studies)
 in 2013. As regards the contribution of pond-based ES to WB, we observe that 38% of respondents

have an ESs-dependent WBP. Most factors related to the presence of the ponds (in bold in Table 7)

380 were very often selected, but were less frequently ranked among the important factors.

- 381
- 382

#### Table 7: Selection and ranking of WB factors

	Score (*		Score (*)		Turner of feasterns	
	% selected	Average	Rank	Type of factors		
Health	93%	1.6	1			
Harmony within the household	59%	2.7	2	Type 1 Non ES based		
Income	58%	3.8	3	Type I Non-L3-based		
Employment and quality of work relationships	47%	4.2	4			
No noise	68%	4.5	5	Type 2 ES-based (Regulating Services)		
Relationships with friends	57%	4.8	6			
Balance between work and leisure time	52%	4.8	6	Type 1 Non-ES-based		
Size and quality of dwelling	62%	4.9	7			
Micro climate related to the proximity of the ponds	13%	4.9	7			
Air quality	74%			Type 2 ES-based		
Proximity of healthy natural spaces	52%	5.1	8	(Regulating Services)		
Flood reduction effect of ponds	15%					
Revitalizing nature of pond proximity	57%	5.3	9			
Feeling of freedom related to the proximity of the			10	Type 2 ES-based		
ponds	50%	5.4		(Cultural Services)		
Proximity of recreational sites	61%	5.5	11			
Cultural and sporting activities	43%	5.7	12	Type 1 Non-ES-based		
Beauty of landscapes near the ponds	62%	5.8	13	Type 2 ES-based (Cultural Services)		
Easy transport	45%	5.8	13	Type 1 Non-ES-based		
Social bonds related to nature	18%	6.0	14	Type 2 ES-based (Cultural Services)		
Quality of democracy	22%	6.1	15	Type 1 Non-ES-based		

383 Source: Online survey CEE-M, 2018

384 (\*) Ranking is in decreasing order so the lower the score the more important factor.

385

#### **4.3. Seeking correlations with the profile of individuals whose WB depends on ES**

The level of life satisfaction is not correlated with the WB profile but is correlated with the pond visit rates; those people who rarely visit the ponds tend to have a lower level of life satisfaction (p-value <0.01%). Likewise, people with a high level of life satisfaction (>8) typically have a rather strong relationship with nature (p-value <0.03%) and a rather high level of engagement with nature (p-value <0.01%). Finally, it is worth noting that people living in the towns or villages with fewer than 5,000 inhabitants visit the ponds quite regularly and often have a strong connection with nature.

393

#### 394 **4.4. Econometric modelling**

395 The results of the estimation of the logit model pertaining to the variable "ESs-dependent WBP" are 396 presented in Table 8. The modelled probability is the probability of having such a profile. Not surprisingly, the probability of having this profile is positively related to variables that convey (i) a 397 398 strong interest in or engagement with nature (relatedness to nature, time invested to protect the 399 quality of the environment, donations to environmental associations), (ii) a relatively frequent 400 number of visits to the ponds, (iii) a perception of these areas as having a therapeutic value as well as 401 to the fact of regularly consuming products from organic agriculture. On the other hand, this 402 probability is negatively related to the idea that ponds have some value for nature independently of 403 mankind (intrinsic value) and to having a higher educational level (postgraduate education). The fact 404 that, in the regression, the life satisfaction index and the square of its value are statistically 405 significant with opposite signs means that the probability of having a "ESs-dependent WB" profile is 406 non-monotonic, reflecting the existence of a threshold effect. Hence, the probability of having this 407 profile decreases with life satisfaction at a level of around 7.3 and increases thereafter, showing the 408 existence of a positive threshold effect with respect to the highest satisfaction levels which tend to 409 be more sensitive to the environment. Finally, it should be noted that the size of the town has no 410 impact.

- 411
- 411

#### Table 8: Estimated coefficients of the logit regression

Variable Intercept		Estimated Coefficient	Type and Measure		
		( <i>p-value</i> )			
		(0.3030 (0.7505)	/		
	Catego	ory A: Relatedness to nature	e		
Intensity of the link to na	ture (Davis <i>et al.,</i>	0.1931	Numeric / Scale: 1 to 7		
2009)	•	(0.0005)	(Mean: 4.74 - SD: 1.60)		
Personal investment in pr	reserving the	0.1280	Numeric / Scale: 0 to 10		
environment	-	(0.0022)	(Mean: 5.88 - SD: 2.26)		
	No	Ref.	Categorical / 2 categories		
	Yes, other	0.1557	No donation: 54 8%: Donations to non-		
Donations to	associations	(0.3660)	environmental associations: 30.5%:		
associations	Yes,	0 6578***	Donations to environmental		
	environmental	(0.0028)	associations: 14.7%		
	associations	(1			
	From time to time	Ref.	Catagorical (2 catagories		
Consumption of organic	Never	0.1544	Categorical / 3 categories		
goods	Regularly	(0.4874)	time 16.2% never and 28.3% regularly		
		0.3311			
	Category B: Pond ner	(0.0507)	its to the nonds		
Thorapoutic value of the	nonds (sum of hoalth				
contribution and feeling	of freedom according	0.0939***	Numeric / Scale: 0 to 20		
to Bryce <i>et al.</i> , 2016)		(0.0016)	(Mean: 12.84 - SD: 4.72)		
	4	-0.1229	Numeric / Scale: 0 to 10		
Intrinsic value of the pone	as	(0.0125)	(Mean: 7.56 - SD: 2.19)		
	Several times/year	Ref.	Categorical / 3 categories		
Number of visits to the	Mookhy	0.5737**	Once a week or more: 10 4%: Several		
ponds	WEEKIY	(0.0213)	times a year (a maximum of twice a		
F	Never	-0.1640	month): 64.6%; Never: 25%		
	Never	(0.4168)			
	Category C: S	Socio-demographic charact	eristics		
Educational level	≤ baccalaureate	Ref.	Categorical / 3 categories		
	2 to 4 years	-0.2468	Secondary education: 44.3%: 2 to 4		
	graduate studies	(0.1428)	years graduate education: 40.4%;		
	Postgraduate	-0.6598	Postgraduate: 15.4%		
		(0.0092)			
	Cat	egory D: Life satisfaction			

Life satisfaction index	-0.5128** (0.0162)	Numeric / Scale: 0 to 10
Square of life satisfaction index	0.0354 ( <i>0.0394</i> )	(Mean: 6.75 - SD: 1.69)

1% significance level, \*\* 5% significance level, \* 10% significance level.

#### 415 **5. Discussion**

Our survey aimed to explicitly measure the weight of contribution of ES to people's WB. From the hierarchy of a list of factors contributing to the well-being of individuals, we defined a "WB profile" variable by distinguishing people whose total score of ES-based factors is higher than the total score, and those whose WB is more determined by non-ES-based WB factors. The analysis of the practices and perceptions of individuals and the use of econometric modeling make it possible to explain the specificities of people belonging to the "ESs-dependent WB" profile. Here we will discuss our results and the nature of these factors.

423

424 The first point to highlight is that, among the factors of WB (Table 7), several of the ES-based ones 425 (Type 2) have high scores. This finding confirms the role of the factors contributing to regional WB 426 (OECD, 2014; CGET, 2017), namely air quality, low noise, and access to green spaces, which attest to 427 the importance of the environment to the quality of life and health as numerous authors have shown 428 (Sandifer et al., 2015; Blythe et al., 2020). The regulation of local climate and of flooding are 429 positively perceived by the residents who have a sound knowledge of the way these ecosystems 430 function. It can be noted that regulating and maintenance ES are better ranked than cultural services 431 among which the relational aspects are in last place. We find in part the factors most cited by 432 Fagerholm et al. (2020), namely the tranquility, the social interactions, the quality of the air and the 433 landscapes as well as the attachment to the place.

434

435 Econometric analysis (Table 8) indicates that the probability that ES contribute significantly to 436 people's WB is mostly related to variables (7 out of 9) accounting for perceptions and interactions 437 with the environment. This finding is consistent with the literature (Kelé, 2012; Smith et al., 2013; 438 Martinez-Suarez et al., 2015; Bryce et al., 2016). First, we must point out the small number of socio-439 demographic variables in the determining factors of the WB profile. As is often the case, the 440 "education" variable is important but it has the inverse relationship to that usually observed. Here, 441 the relationship is negative, i.e. the most highly educated people have a greater probability that their 442 WB is unaffected by the environment. However, here also, there may be a link with the context as 443 the education level is lower in rural environments where there are more opportunities for 444 interactions with ecosystems. We can also point out the existence of a threshold effect in regard to 445 the relationship between life satisfaction and the ESs-dependent WBP. This relationship involves 446 respondents with a life satisfaction index above 7.3. Given that this life satisfaction variable is linked 447 to the level of income, age (>60) and the connection with nature, it is strongly related to all the 448 variables conveying positive feelings towards the ponds. This echoes the results of several studies 449 conducted on this issue. In Great Britain, MacKerron and Mourato (2013) analyzed the relationship 450 between subjective WB at a given time and the type of surrounding environment based on a 451 smartphone survey of 20,000 participants who used their GPS coordinates at the time of the survey. 452 The people interviewed in a natural area tended to have a higher life satisfaction level than those in 453 an urban environment. Vemuri and Costanza (2006) looked at 56 countries and found a strong link 454 between Human Development Index (as a proxy of life satisfaction) and the density of ES per km<sup>2</sup> 455 that explained 72% of the variation in life satisfaction.

456

Finally, several significant variables are accounting for forms of interaction and of sensitivity to the environment. The number of pond visits, and thereby the use of ecosystems that creates a proximity in terms of familiarity and contributes to improving information, is, of course, a determining factor (Meinard and Quetier, 2014; Sy et *al.*, 2020). These results suggest a relationship between knowledge of these environments and an active behavior towards the environment, which shows

why the typology of Martinez-Suarez et al. (2015) is of interest. These authors distinguish between 462 active, passive and consumption interactions, and show the positive impact of active interactions, 463 464 which mainly concern leisure activities. These results also confirm the conditions underlying 465 experience of nature discussed by Nisbet et al. (2009) and the intensity of the link to nature (Davis et 466 al., 2011). These factors are part of a recent research program that highlights the importance of the sense of place and of psychological factors in pro-environmental behavior (Lewicka, 2011). It is also 467 worth noting the impact of pond perception which is negatively related with the fact of attributing an 468 intrinsic value to nature independently of mankind. This relationship may be construed as revealing 469 470 an anthropocentric bias with respect to the relationship with nature, in line with most studies 471 showing the decisive role of the impact on health and the contribution to leisure and thereby to the 472 quality of life (López-Mosquera and Sánchez, 2011; Sandifer et al., 2015; Martinez-Suarez et al., 473 2015). These groups of factors are found in the ranking of ES based on their contribution to WB 474 (Table 7). Likewise, the surveys undertaken by Bryce et al. (2016) demonstrate the importance of the 475 therapeutic value of nature with differences related to the biophysical attributes of the sites. This 476 aspect is accounted for here by the two dimensions proposed by Bryce et al. (2016), namely health 477 contribution and feeling of freedom. More generally, the typology proposed by Fagerholm et al. 478 (2020) displays the diversity of WB factors, depending in particular on urbanization. Thus, although 479 some groups rather favor urban services, but with the presence of green spaces or cultural and 480 heritage dimensions, two of the groups emphasize the contribution of ES (air, food, hiking, etc.), with 481 a preponderant role of the contribution of landscapes of water bodies or proximity to the sea (48% 482 of respondents) and, for one of the groups, a strong role of attachment to place and traditions. 483 Although the econometric approach is different, their analysis confirms the importance of the 484 natural dimensions of the living environment and of the visit of natural sites and therefore of the 485 experience of nature through the role of the length of residence, ES perceptions and agricultural and 486 non-agricultural trades.

487

488 The highlighting of the important role of these forms of interaction and of sensitivity to the 489 environment confirms the recent emphasis on the weight of individuals' intrinsic motivations on their 490 behavior, in connection with the importance of sociological dimensions (standards) and psychological 491 ones (behavioral economics) on behaviors. This sheds light on the development of regulatory 492 measures aimed at acting on perceptions, information and values to encourage individual pro-493 environmental behavior and promote deliberative governance mechanisms. In this area, an 494 interesting result of our model is that we take into account personal involvement in preserving the 495 environment which should be stressed. In fact, many studies in environmental psychology and 496 behavioral economics (Benabou and Tirole, 2006; Moser, 2009; Croson and Treich, 2014; Sunstein 497 and Reich, 2014; Farrow et al., 2017) show the significance of engagement in attitudes towards 498 nature and not only perceptions and values (Nisbet et al., 2009; Davis et al., 2011). This attitude 499 seems logically associated with the observed stronger tendency to consume organic food, a factor 500 which makes a positive contribution to our model.

501 Finally, we can highlight that town size, and thereby the urban or rural context, did not affect our 502 model, although the ESs-dependent WBP was correlated with size (somewhat higher in towns or 503 villages with fewer than 5,000 inhabitants; Chi-squared test is significant at least at 1% level). It is 504 thus difficult to adopt a stance on the paradox raised by Douglas (2012) according to which the 505 relationship with nature is stronger in a rural environment, while the urban need is higher, indicating 506 different motivations according to the type of context. Nonetheless, as these contextual differences 507 also involve socio-demographic differences, in particular age, education and income level, it is 508 difficult to isolate the role of the context. 509

#### 510 Conclusion

511 The literature review carried out to situate the results of our survey indicates a growing interest in 512 the analysis of the contribution of ES and nature to the WB of individuals (particularly in terms of

513 health) with a very broad variety of approaches. Beyond the many approaches targeting a particular

514 factor and the differences depending on the context, it is most often a question of characterizing the 515 factors of WB by producing typologies, which are difficult to compare or to generalize due to the lack 516 of a common framework with regard to the elements of WB taken into account. In this context of 517 rather descriptive approaches (Blythe et al., 2020; Kosanic and Petzold, 2020), our survey aimed (i) to 518 measure the relative share of WB factors linked to ES compared to non-ES-based factors 519 independent of relationships with the environment and (ii) to characterize, through econometric 520 modeling, the profile of people whose WB is strongly dependent on factors linked to the ES. We have shown that these people represent a significant part of the population (38%) and that they are 521 522 distinguished above all by their perceptions and behavior vis-à-vis natural spaces, rather than by 523 their socio-demographic profiles with a single significant variable, namely a lower level of education. 524 Thus, in accordance with the literature, we note the importance of the variables of concern and links 525 to nature, as well as the weight of the experience resulting from regular visits to the territories 526 considered. Finally, the relationship with life satisfaction shows an interesting threshold effect with 527 an influence of ES for the highest levels of satisfaction (>7.3 out of 10).

528

Thus, our approach enables a fuller documentation and explanation of the nature's contribution to WB, using living conditions beyond the classical socio-demographic variables, the importance of contexts and attitudes. As noted by Summers *et al.* (2016), this type of result should be of interest to public decision-makers in guiding restoration policies and more generally in arbitrating between developments that affect living conditions, where environmental issues that were previously more or less ignored are increasingly coming to the fore.

535

#### 536 Acknowledgements

537 This work was supported by the *Observatoire Homme Milieu* (OHM) program of the French Institute 538 for Ecology and Environment (INEE/CNRS) and by the Metaprogram EcoServ (INRA). The authors 539 thank Nicole Lautrédou-Audouy for organizing the online survey and the database and Fériel 540 Adjeroud for preliminary statistical analysis. They express their gratitude to the anonymous referees 541 whose criticisms and comments have significantly improved the paper.

542

#### 543 References

544

553

556

545Abunge, C., Coulthard, S., Daw, T.M., 2013. Connecting Marine Ecosystem Services to Human Well-546being: Insights from Participatory Well-being Assessment in Kenya, *Ambio*, 42, 1010-1021.

- 547
  548 Antoine, P., Poinsot, R., Congard, A., 2007. Evaluer le bien-être subjectif : la place des émotions dans
  549 les psychothérapies positives. *Journal de Thérapie Comportementale et Cognitive*, *17* (4), 170-180.
- Antunez, K., Haran, L., Roussez, V., 2016. Diagnostics de qualité de vie : prendre en compte les
  préférences des populations. *Revue de l'OFCE*, 145, 49-62.
- 554 Baldwin, R.F., Powell, R.B., Kellert, S.R., 2011. Habitat as Architecture: Integrating Conservation 555 Planning and Human Health. *Ambio*, 40, 322-327.
- Bark, R.H., Robinson, C.J., Flessa, K.W., 2016. Tracking cultural ecosystem services: Water chasing the
  Colorado River restoration pulse flow. *Ecological Economics*, 127, 165–172.
- Barton, J., Pretty, J., 2010. What is the best dose of nature and green exercise for improving mental
  health? A multi-study analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44 (10), 3947-3955.
- 562
  563 Benabou, R., Tirole, J., 2006. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations. *Review of Economics Studies*, 70 (3),
  564 489-520.

- Bernard, C., 2008. L'étang, l'homme et l'oiseau. Incidences des modes de gestion des étangs piscicoles
  sur les ceintures de végétation et l'avifaune nicheuse en en Sologne, Brenne, Bresse, Territoire de
  Belfort et Champagne humide. Thèse de Géographie, ENS Lettres et Sciences Humaines, Univ. Lyon,
  632 p.
- 570

579

583

587

590

- 571 Bigot, R., Croutte, P., Daudey, E., Hoibian, S., Müller J., 2012. *L'évolution du bien-être en France* 572 *depuis 30 ans*. Credoc Cahier de Recherche N° 298, 158 p.
- 574 Billard, R., 2010. *Derrière chez moi, il y a un étang. Les étangs, textes d'hier, regards d'aujourd'hui et* 575 *de demain*, Quae, Paris.
- 576
  577 Blayac T., Mathé S., Rey-Valette H., Fontaine P., 2014. Perceptions of the services provided by pond
  578 fish farming in Lorraine (France), Ecological Economics, 108, 115-123
- Blythe, J., Armitage, D., Alonso, G., Campbell, D., Esteves Dias, A.C., Epstein, G., Marschke, M., Nayak,
  P., 2020. Frontiers in coastal well-being and ecosystem services research: A systematic review. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 185, 105028, 10 p.
- 584 Browning, M., Lee, K., 2017. Within what distance does "greenness" best predict physical health? A 585 systematic review of articles with GIS buffer analyses across the lifespan. *International journal of* 586 *environmental research and public health*, *14* (7), 675.
- 588 Bryce, R., Irvine, K.N., Church, A., Fish, R., Ranger, S., Kenter, J.O., 2016. Subjective well-being 589 indicators for large-scale assessment of cultural ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services*, 21, 258-269.
- 591 Chan, C., Armitage, D., Alexander, S. M., Campbell, D., 2019. Examining linkages between ecosystem 592 services and social wellbeing to improve governance for coastal conservation in Jamaica. *Ecosystem* 593 *Services*, *39*, 100997.
- 594
  595 Clark, A. E., Etilé, F., Postel-Vinay, F., Senik, C., Van Der Straeten, K., 2005. Heterogeneity in reported
  596 well-being: evidence from twelve european countries. *Economic Journal*, 115, 118-132.
- 597
  598 Clark, A. E., Oswald, A. J., 2002. A simple statistical method for measuring how life events affect
  599 happiness. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 31, 1139-1144.
- 600
  601 Commissariat Général à l'Egalité des Territoires (CGET), 2017. Perception des conditions de vie : des
  602 contrastes selon les territoires de résidence. *Rubrique en Bref* n°40, juin, 4 p.
- Costanza, R., De Groot, R., Braat, L., Kubiszewski, I., Fioramonti, L., Sutton, P., Farber, S., Grasso, M.,
  2017. Twenty years of ecosystem services: how far have we come and how far do we still need to
  go?. *Ecosystem services*, 28, 1-16.
- 607
  608 Coulthard, S., Johnson, D., McGregor, J.A., 2011. Poverty, sustainability and human well-being: a
  609 social well-being approach to the global fisheries crisis. *Global Environnemental Change* 21 (2), 453610 463.
- 611

- 612 Croson, R., Treich, N., 2014. Behavioral environmental economics: promises and challenges.
  613 *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 58, 335-351.
  614
- 615 Cox, D.T.C., Shanahan, D.F., Hudson, H.L., Plummer, K.E., Siriwardena, G.M., Fuller, R.A., Anderson, K., 616 Hancock, S., Gaston, K.J., 2017. Doses of neighborhood nature: The benefits for mental health of
- 617 living with nature. *Bioscience*, 67 (2), 147-155.

619 Davis, J.L., Le, B., Coy, A.E., 2011. Building a model of commitment to the natural environment to 620 predict ecological behavior and willingness to sacrifice. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 31 (3), 621 257-265. 622 Davis, L. A. Jeffrey, D. Green, A, Reed, A., 2009. Interdependence with the environment: 623 624 Commitment, interconnectedness, and environmental behavior Journal of Environmental Psychology, 625 29, 173-180. 626 627 Daw, T.M., Hicks, C.C., Brown, K., Chaigneau, T., Januchowski-Hartley, F.A., Cheung, W.W.L., Rosendo, S., Crona, B., Coulthard, S., Sandbrook, C., Perry, C., Bandeira, S., Muthiga, N.A., Schulte-628 629 Herbrüggen, B., Bosire, J., McClanahan, T.R., 2016. Elasticity in ecosystem services: Exploring the 630 variable relationship between ecosystems and human well-being. Ecology and Society, 21(2), 11-24. 631 632 De Vreese, J.R., Leys, M., Fontaine, C.M., Dendonker, N., 2016. Social mapping of perceived 633 ecosystem services supply. The role of social landscape metrics and social hotspots for integrated 634 ecosystem services assessment landscape planning and management. Ecological Indicators, 66, 517-635 533. 636 Delgado, L.E., Marin, V.H., 2016. Well-being and the use of ecosystem services by rural households of 637 638 the Rio Cruces watershed, southern Chile. Ecosystem Services, 21, 81-91. 639 640 Diener, E., Suh, E.M., Lucas, R.E., Smith, H.L., 1999. Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress, Psychological Bulletin, 125, 276-302. 641 642 643 Dolan, P., Layard, R., Metcalfe, R., 2011. Measuring Subjective Well-being for Public Policy. Office for 644 National Statistics, Newport, 19 p. 645 646 Douglas, I., 2012. Urban ecology and urban ecosystems: understanding the links to human health and 647 well-being. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4(4), 385-392, 4 (4), 385-392. 648 649 Dunlap, R. E., Van Liere, K. D., Mertig, A., Jones, R.E., 2000. Measuring endorsement of the new 650 ecological paradigm: a revised NEP scale. Journal of Social Issues, 56, 425-442. 651 Fagerholm, N., Martín-López, B., Torralba, M., Oteros-Rozas, E., Lechner, A.M., Bieling, C., Stahl 652 Olafsson, A., Albert, C., Raymond, C.M., Garcia-Martin, M., Gulsrud, N., Plieninger, T., 2020. 653 654 Perceived contributions of multifunctional landscapes to human well-being: Evidence from 13 655 European sites, People and Nature., 2, 217-234 656 657 Farrow, K., Grolleau, G., Ibanez, L., 2017. Social norms and pro-environmental behavior: A review of 658 the evidence. Ecological Economics, 140, 1-13 659 660 Frey, B., Stutzer, A., 2002. Happiness and Economics: How the Economy and Institutions Affect Human Well-Being. Princeton University Press, Princeton. 661 662 663 Frey, B.S., Luechinger, S., Stutzer, A., 2009. The Life Satisfaction approach (LSA) to environmental 664 valuation. IZA Discussion paper N° 4478, 29 p. 665 666 Godefroy, P., 2011. Satisfaction dans la vie : les personnes se donnent 7 sur 10 en moyenne. INSEE, 667 France, Portrait Social. Insee Références, 105, 118 p 668

- Haines-Young, R., Potschin-Young, M., 2018. Revision of the common international classification for ecosystem services (CICES V5. 1): a policy brief. *One Ecosystem*, *3*, e27108.
- 671

Jacobs, S., Dendoncker, N., Martín-López, B., Barton, D.N., Gomez-Baggethun, E., Boeraeve, F.,
McGrath, F.L., Vierikko, K., Geneletti, D., Sevecke, K.J., Pipart, N., Primmer, E., Mederly, P., Schmidt,
S., Aragão, A., Baral, H., Bark, R.H., Briceno, T., Brogna, D., Cabral, P., De Vreese, R., Liquete, C.,
Mueller, H., Peh, K.S.H., Phelan, A., Rincón, A.R., Rogers, S.H., Turkelboom, F., Van Reeth, W., van
Zanten, B.T., Wam, H.K., Washbourn, C.L., 2016. A new valuation school: Integrating diverse values of
nature in resource and land use decisions. Ecosystem Services, 22, 213–220.

- 678
- Kahneman, D., Krueger, A.B., 2006. Developments in the Measurement of Subjective Well-Being. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 20 (1), 3-24.
- Kahneman, D., Diener, E., Schwartz, N., 1999. Well-being: The Foundations of Hedonic Psychology
  Russel Sage Foundation, New York.
- 684

687

689

692

- Kamitsis, I., Francis, A.J.P., 2013. Spirituality mediates the relationship between engagement with
  nature and psychological wellbeing. *Journal of Environnemental Psychology*, 36, 136–143.
- Kele, R., 2012. The quality of life and the environment. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35, 23-32.
- 690 Klain, S. C., Satterfield, T. A., Chan, K. M. A., 2014. What matters and why? Ecosystem services and 691 their bundled qualities. *Ecological Economics*, *107*, 310-320.
- Korpela K., Borodulin K., Neuvonen M., Paronen O., TyrväinenL., 2014, Analyzing the mediators
  between nature-based outdoor recreation and emotional well-being, *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 37, 1-7
- 696

699

706

- Kosanic A., Petzold J., 2020. A systematic review of cultural ecosystem services and human wellbeing,
   *Ecosystem Services*, 45, 101168
- Lewicka M., 2011. Place attachment: How far have we come in the last 40 years? *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 31 (3), 207-230.
- Liquete, C., Piroddi, C., Drakou, E.G., Gurney, L., Katsanevakis, S., Charef, A., Egoh, B., 2013. Current
  Status and Future Prospects for the Assessment of Marine and Coastal Ecosystem Services: A
  Systematic Review. *PLoS One* 8, e67737.
- López-Mosquera, N., Sánchez, M., 2011. The influence of personal values in the economic-use
  valuation of peri-urban green spaces: An application of the means-end chain theory. *Tourism Management* 32(4), 875-889.
- MA, 2005. *Ecosystem and Human Well-being: A framework for Assessment*. Island Press, Washington
  DC, 563 p.
- 713
  714 MacKerron, G., Mourato, S., 2013. Happiness is greater in natural environments. *Global*715 *Environmental Change*, 23(5), 992-1000.
- 716
- Marschke, M., Berkes, F., 2006. Exploring strategies that build livelihood resilience: a casefrom
  Cambodia. *Ecological Society*, 11 (1).
- 719

- Martín-López, B., Iniesta-Arandia, I., García-Llorente, M., Palomo, I., Casado-Arzuaga, I., Del Amo, D.
  G., Gomez-Baggethun, E., Oteros-Rosaz, E., Palacios-Agundez, I., Willaarts, B., González, J. A., SantosMarti, F., Onaindia, M., Lopez-Santiago, C., Montes, C., 2012. Uncovering ecosystem service bundles
  through social preferences. *PLoS one*, *7* (6), e3897.
- Martinez-Suarez, P., Chiabai, A., Taylo,r T., Quiroga Gomez, S., 2015. The impact of ecosystems on human health and well-being: a critical review. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism* 10, 63-69.
- 728 Meinard, Y. Quetier, F., 2014. Experiencing biodiversity as a bridge over the science–society 729 communication gap. *Conservation Biology*, 28 (3), 705-712.
- 730
  731 Milfont, T.L., Duckitt, J., 2010. The environmental attitudes inventory: A valid and reliable measure to
  732 assess the structure of environmental attitudes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *30* (1), 80-94.
- 733
  734 Moser, G., 2009. Quality of life and sustainability: Toward person–environment congruity. *Journal of*735 *Environmental Psychology*, 29, 351-357.
- Nisbet, E.K., Zelenski, J.M., Murphy, S.A., 2009. The nature relatedness scale: Linking individual'
  connection with nature to environmental concern and behavior. *Environment and Behavior*, 41 (5),
  715-740.
- 741 OECD, 2014. How's Life in Your Region? Measuring Regional and Local Well-being for Policy Making,
  742 OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Omolo, N., Mafongoya, P.L., 2019. Gender, social capital and adaptive capacity to climate variability:
  A case of pastoralists in arid and semi-arid regions in Kenya. Int. J. Clim. Change Strategies Manage.
  11 (5), 744–758.
- 747

757

736

740

- Plieninger, T., Dijks, S., Oteros-Rozas, E., Bieling, C., 2013. Assessing, mapping, and quantifying
  cultural ecosystem services at community level. *Land use Policy*, *33*, 118-129.
- Polishchuk, Y., Rauschmayer, F. 2012. Beyond "benefits"? Looking at ecosystem services through the
  capability approach. *Ecological Economics*, 81, 103–111.
- Prévot, A.C., Geijzendorffer, L., 2016. Biodiversité, services écosystémiques et bien être. In Roche P.,
  Geijzendorffer L., Levrel H., Maris V., *Valeurs de la biodiversité et services écosystémiques. Perspectives interdisciplinaires*. Quae, Paris, 89-101.
- Queiroz, C., Meacham, M., Richter, K., Norström, A. V., Andersson, E., Norberg, J., Peterson, G., 2015.
  Mapping bundles of ecosystem services reveals distinct types of multifunctionality within a Swedish
  landscape. *Ambio*, 44 (1), 89-101.
- 761
- Raudsepp-Hearne, C., Peterson, G. D., Bennett, E. M., 2010. Ecosystem service bundles for analyzing
  trade-offs in diverse landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107* (11), 52425247.
- Raymond, C.M., Singh, G.G., Benessaiah, K., Bernhardt, J.R., Levine, J., Nelson, H., et al., 2013.
  Ecosystem services and beyond: using multiple metaphors to understand human–environment
  relationships. *Bioscience*, 63 (7), 536-546.
- 769
  770 Renard, D., Rhemtulla, J. M., Bennett, E. M., 2015. Historical dynamics in ecosystem service bundles.
  771 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* PNAS, 112 (43), 13411-13416.

773 Rey-Valette, H., Salles, J.M., Mathé, S., 2017. An assessment method of ecosystem services based on 774 stakeholders' perceptions: The Rapid Ecosystem Services Participatory Appraisal (RESPA). Ecosystem 775 Services, 28, 311-319. 776 777 Reynard, R., 2016. La qualité de vie dans les territoires français. Revue de l'OFCE, 145, 63-90. 778 779 Sandifier, P.A, Sutton-Grier, A. E., Ward, B. P., 2015. Exploring connections among nature, 780 biodiversity, ecosystem services, and human health and well-being: Opportunities to enhance health 781 and biodiversity conservation. Ecosystem Services, 12, 1-15. 782 783 Seligman, M. E., Parks, A. C., Steen, T., 2004. A balanced psychology and a full life. Philosophical 784 Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 359 (1449), 1379-1381 785 786 Sen, A., 1979. Equality of what?, The Tanner Lectures on Human Value, Salt Lake City, University of 787 Utah Press. 788 789 Shanahan, D.F., Franco, L., Lin, B.B., Gaston, K.J., Fuller, R.A., 2016. The benefits of natural 790 environments for physical activity. Sports Med., 46 (7), 989-995. 791 792 Shankland, R., Martin-Krumm, C., 2012. Evaluer le fonctionnement optimal : échelles de psychologie 793 positive validées en langue française, Pratiques Psychologiques, 18, 171-187. 794 795 Smith, L.M., Case, J.L., Smith, H. M., Harwell, L.C., Summers J.K., 2013. Relating ecosystem services to 796 domains of human well-being: Foundation for a U.S. index. Ecological Indicators, 28, 79-90. 797 798 Summers, K., Harwell, L.C., Smith, L.M., 2016. A model for change: An approach for forecasting well-799 being from service-based decisions, *Ecological Indicators*, 69, 295-309. 800 801 Sunstein, C.R., Reich, L.A., 2014. Automatically green: Behavioral economics and environmental 802 protection. Harvard Environmental Law Review, 38, 127-158. 803 804 Sy, M., Rey-Valette, H., Figuières, C., Simier, M., De Wit, R., 2020. The Impact of Academic 805 Information Supply and Familiarity on Ecosystem Services Perceptions. Ecological Economic, 806 Forthcoming, 28 p. 807 808 Sy, M., Rey-Valette, H., Simier, M., Pasqualini, V., Figuières, C., De Wit, R., 2018. Identifying 809 consensus on coastal lagoons ecosystem services and conservation priorities for an effective decision 810 making: A Q approach. Ecological Economic, 154, 1-13. 811 812 Turner, K. G., Odgaard, M. V., Bøcher, P. K., Dalgaard, T., Svenning, J. C., 2014. Bundling ecosystem 813 services in Denmark: trade-offs and synergies in a cultural landscape. Landscape and Urban Planning, 814 125, 89-104. 815 816 Tsunetsugu, Y., Lee, J., Park, B.-J., Tyrväinen, L., Kagawa, T., Miyazaki, Y., 2013. Physiological and 817 psychological effects of viewing urban forest landscapes assessed by multiple measurements. 818 Landscape Urban Plann. 113, 90–93. 819 820 Vanacker, M., Wezel, A., Payet, V., Robin, J., 2015. Determining tipping points in aquatic ecosystems: 821 The case of biodiversity and chlorophyll  $\alpha$  relations in fish pond systems. Ecological Indicators. 52, 822 184-193. 823

- Vemuri, A.W., Costanza, R., 2006. The role of human, social, built, and natural capital in explaining
  life satisfaction at the country level: Toward a National Well-Being Index (NWI), *Ecological Economics*,
  58, 119-133.
- 827

828 Watson, D., Clark, L.A., Tellegen, A., 1988. Development and validation of brief measures of positive

and negative affect: The PANAS scale. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 1063-1070.

831 Willis, C., Papathanasopoulou, E., Russel, D., Artioli, Y., 2018. Harmful algal blooms: The impacts on

832 cultural ecosystem services and human well-being in a case study setting, Cornwall, UK. Marine

833 *Policy*, 97, 232-238.