

Effects of oenological tannins on aroma release and perception of oxidized and non-oxidized red wine: A dynamic real-time in-vivo study coupling sensory evaluation and analytical chemistry

Elisabetta Pittari, Paola Piombino, Isabelle Andriot, Veronique Cheynier, Sylvie Cordelle, Gilles Feron, Karine Gourrat, Jean-Luc Le Quéré, Emmanuelle Meudec, Luigi Moio, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Elisabetta Pittari, Paola Piombino, Isabelle Andriot, Veronique Cheynier, Sylvie Cordelle, et al.. Effects of oenological tannins on aroma release and perception of oxidized and non-oxidized red wine: A dynamic real-time in-vivo study coupling sensory evaluation and analytical chemistry. Food Chemistry, 2022, 372, 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131229. hal-03408535

HAL Id: hal-03408535 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03408535

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Effects of oenological tannins on aroma release and perception of oxidized and non-oxidized
2	red wine: a dynamic real-time in-vivo study coupling sensory evaluation and analytical
3	chemistry.
4	Elisabetta Pittari ¹ , Paola Piombino ¹ , Isabelle Andriot ^{2,3} , Véronique Cheynier ^{4,5} , Sylvie Cordelle ^{2,3} ,
5	Gilles Feron ² , Karine Gourrat ^{2,3} , Jean-Luc Le Quéré ² , Emmanuelle Meudec ^{4,5} , Luigi Moio ¹ , Fabrice
6	Neiers ² , Pascal Schlich ^{2,3} , Francis Canon ^{2*}
7	¹ Department of Agricultural Sciences, Division of Vine and Wine Sciences, University of Naples Federico
8	II, 83100 Avellino, Italy
9	² Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation (CSGA), AgroSup Dijon, CNRS, INRAE, Université de
10	Bourgogne Franche Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France
11	³ PROBE Research Infrastructure, ChemoSens Platform, Dijon, France
12	⁴ SPO, INRAE, Univ Montpellier, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France
13	⁵ PROBE Research Infrastructure, Polyphenol Analytical Facility, Montpellier, France
14	
15	* Corresponding author at : Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, AgroSup Dijon, CNRS,
16	INRAE, Université de Bourgogne Franche Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France.
17	Email address: francis.canon@inrae.fr
18	
19	
20	Highlights
21	• A dynamic sensorial evaluation of 6 wines is coupled to dynamic aroma release recording
22	• Addition of ellagitannin extract impacts the dynamic of sensations of oxidized wine
23	• Addition of ellagitannin extract impacts the length of aroma release in mouth
24	• Addition of ellagitannin extract preserves fruitiness under oxidative conditions

26 Abstract

27 Addition of oenological tannins claims to have a positive impact on wine stability, protection from oxidation and likely sensory persistence. However, their role on red wine aroma during oxidation is controversial. The 28 29 present study aims at investigating the effect of addition of oenological tannins on wine flavour (mainly 30 aroma) before and after air exposure. Temporal Dominance of Sensations, a dynamic sensory evaluation, was coupled with a dynamic chemical measurement (nosespace analysis) using a Proton-Transfer-Reaction 31 32 Mass-Spectrometer connected to the nasal cavity of 17 assessors. Results showed that the oxidation of a nonoaked Pinot Noir red wine decreases the fruity aroma dominance and increases the maderised and prune one. 33 34 A contextual decrease of the fruity ethyl decanoate and increase of oxidative Strecker aldehydes are 35 observed. Ellagitannins but not proanthocyanidins preserved perception of fruitiness and prevented increase 36 of maderised notes. Moreover, ellagitannins increase the aroma persistence mainly in the non-oxidized wine.

37

38 Keywords

- **39** PTR-ToF-MS Temporal Dominance of Sensations
- 40 Proanthocyanidins
- 41 Ellagitannins
- 42 Red wine oxidation
- 43 Oenological tannins

45 **1. Introduction**

Oxidation is one of the main chemical phenomena affecting the organoleptic properties of wine during its 46 47 evolution/ageing. Since the pioneering work of Pasteur, numerous studies have been dedicated to 48 characterizing the impact of oxidation on wine quality. It is currently accepted that a slow and constant aeration through the different steps of wine making and ageing has a positive effect on red wine sensory 49 quality, while a fast and excessive oxidation can significantly alter this quality, negatively impacting colour, 50 51 flavour, and mouthfeel (Ugliano, 2013). The early oxidative ageing is one of the main widespread worldwide 52 defects in oenology (Franco-Luesma et al., 2019; Ugliano, 2013) and it corresponds to a short wine shelflife. 53

54 Oxidative transformation of wine compounds modifies the structure and the properties of molecules belonging to different chemical families, and affects compounds involved in wine colour and flavour (i.e. 55 56 olfaction, taste, and oral somatosensory inputs). Thus, oxidation tends to decrease wine astringency, but also 57 to modify its fruity and floral notes. Oxidized wines are characterized by the increase or the appearance of the following olfactory descriptors: raisin, overripe character, rancid, dried fruit, caramel, farm-feed, cooked 58 59 vegetables, boiled potato, hay, sweet and Madeira/Porto (Cullere et al., 2007; Escudero et al., 2000; Silva Ferreira et al., 2003; Ugliano, 2013). During the last two decades, several studies aimed at gaining a deeper 60 understanding of the molecular origin of aroma evolution through wine ageing and oxidation. They 61 characterized the evolution of wine volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in terms of quantity and quality 62 63 during the oxidation processes, in some cases trying to observe their perception pattern during wine tasting. 64 The results show that aroma changes related to excessive oxygen exposure are due to the oxidation of VOCs 65 leading to the formation of new aroma active compounds and to the decrease/disappearance of several VOCs 66 (Escudero et al., 2000). For example, under oxidative conditions, aldehydes increase, while polyfunctional 67 thiols decrease significantly, especially in white wines (Ugliano, 2013). Recently, Carrascon and co-workers 68 (Carrascon et al., 2015) observed that at low levels of SO_2 , β -damascenone, E-whiskylactone, and methyl 69 vanillate are the preferred targets of free radical species. It has been recently observed that ethyl esters and 70 acetates decrease during oxidation of red wines produced from Corvina grapes (Picariello et al., 2020). 71 However, the nature of the reactions involved is not clear, as esters can also be easily hydrolysed (Carrascon

et al., 2015). Carrascon et al. (2015) reported that the concentration of isoeugenol, vanillin and ethyl vanillate
increases after exposing wine to oxygen, while their increase was not correlated to O₂ consumption.

It has been observed that wine oxidative notes could be more perceivable during tasting (retronasal) than during sniffing (orthonasal) from the glass (Piombino et al., 2019) and that some VOCs involved in oxidative notes perception were better released under condition simulating wine tasting in small sips (Genovese et al., 2015). This suggests that the perception of oxidative molecular markers can be impacted by factors affecting their portioning and release, such as the non-volatile matrix composition and saliva.

79 Wine contains different classes of polyphenols (e.g. tannins), which exhibit antioxidant properties. Two 80 mechanisms could promote the antioxidant capacity of polyphenols: scavenging of reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species and ion chelation. The chelation of Fe²⁺ ions by polyphenols increases their 81 82 oxidation to Fe³⁺ ions in the presence of oxygen, decreasing the quantity of Fe²⁺ that could participate in the 83 Fenton reaction and produce hydroxyl radicals (Waterhouse et al., 2016). In red wines, the antioxidant 84 capacity has been mainly attributed to tannins (Waterhouse et al., 2016). Tannins are usually divided into 85 two groups: i) oligomers and polymers of flavan-3-ols, named condensed tannins or proanthocyanidins, and 86 ii) non-flavonoids polymers, named hydrolysable tannins (Waterhouse et al., 2016).

Condensed tannins are naturally present in red wines, since they are extracted from grapes seeds and skins during the maceration process. They differ in their constitutive units [(+)-catechin, (-)-epicatechin, (+)gallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin gallate, (-)-epigallocatechin], their sequences, the positions of interflavanic linkages, (C4-C6 or C4-C8 in the B-type series, with additional C2-O-C7 or C2-O-C5 bonds in A-type structures), their lengths and the presence of substituents (e.g., galloyl or glucosyl groups) (Versari et al., 2013).

Hydrolysable tannins are composed of two subgroups: gallotannins and ellagitannins, that are polyol
(generally D-glucopyranose) acylated respectively with gallic or ellagic acid. Ellagitannins originate from
wood during oak-barrels ageing (Versari et al., 2013).

96 Besides their extraction during winemaking and oak-barrel ageing, both proanthocyanidins and hydrolysable 97 tannins can be added to wine as oenological tannins. Their use in winemaking is a long-used and common 98 technological practice. Up to date, they are authorized by the International Organization of Vine and Wine 99 (OIV) to facilitate the clarification/stabilization of wines and musts, to promote the expression, stabilisation 100 and preservation of colour in red wines, and to contribute to the antioxidant and antioxidasic protection of 101 compounds of the wine (OIV. International Oenological Codex. COEI-1-TANINS: 2015, 2015). Indeed, due 102 to their hydroxyl groups on aromatic rings, tannins also have the properties to interact with different 103 compounds and especially with proteins present in the wine, which are responsible for instability, or saliva of the consumer (Canon et al., 2013). These interactions can lead to aggregation and precipitation of the 104 105 interactants (Canon et al., 2013). Moreover, during wine tasting, the aggregation of the mucosal pellicle by 106 tannins is thought to be at the origin of astringency perception(Ployon et al., 2018) while involving the 107 tethered MUC1(Canon et al., 2021), and it can also modify the ability of the mucosal pellicle to interact with 108 aroma compounds and change aroma persistence (Ployon et al., 2020). Some results testing the application 109 of tannins in winemaking either as antioxidants or as modulators of aroma persistence have been reported (Versari et al., 2013). A wide range of oenological tannins are present in the market. Their antioxidant 110 capacity is one of the main targeted properties to protect wines against oxidation (Magalhaes et al., 2014; 111 Versari et al., 2013). Oenological tannins can be very useful in protecting musts and white wines against 112 browning and oxidation (Versari et al., 2013). However, their antioxidant capabilities are controversial, since 113 114 tannins with different compositions can show very different antioxidant properties (Magalhaes et al., 2014; Vignault et al., 2018), and because tannin oxidation leads to the formation of reactive species such as ortho-115 quinones (Petit et al., 2019; Singleton, 1987) that can modify wine VOCs patterns, via on one hand the 116 117 formation of new VOC (i.e. Strecker aldehydes) and on the other hand the consumption of other ones (i.e. 118 thiols). Ortho-quinones are highly reactive species, which can be involved in different chemical reactions 119 with other wine components, including in nucleophilic conjugate addition reactions with thiols (Petit et al., 120 2019). These reactions can be at the origin of a decrease of volatile polyfunctional thiols concentration, 121 responsible for varietal fruity notes of many young wines produced from different varieties (Darriet et al., 122 1995). Ortho-quinones are also involved in the formation of odour active Strecker aldehydes (Singleton, 123 1987). Thus, it can be hypothesized that the addition of oenological tannins in wine influences the perception 124 of wine aromas, especially of oxidized wines, through different mechanisms, which impact the nature, the 125 concentration, and the release kinetics of aroma compounds.

126 The aim of the present work was to shed light on the impact of the addition of oenological tannins on wine 127 perception and on *in vivo* aroma release before and after oxidation. The impact of two different commercial tannins (i.e., proanthocyanidins and ellagitannins) on the dynamic of sensory perception and aroma release of
a red wine before and after air exposition exposure was investigated for the first time. In order to link
analytical chemical measurements with sensory evaluation, this *in-vivo* study pioneered the coupling of
Temporal Dominance of Sensations (TDS) (Pineau et al., 2009), a dynamic sensory method, with a dynamic
approach of analytical chemistry consisting in the analysis of subject's nosespace by Proton Transfer
Reaction-Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS) to study the impact of wine oxidation and
oenological tannin use on wine flavour perception and release.

- 135
- 136 **2.** Materials and methods
- 137 *2.1. Samples*

138 2.1.1. Wine

A commercial Pinot Noir wine, labelled "Bourgogne Pinot Noir" and obtained with a standard industrial process from a winery located in Burgundy wine region (Domaine Jean-François Bouthenet, 71150 Cheillyles-Maranges, France), vintage 2016, with no barrel ageing, was selected as base wine for both *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* experiments. This wine was considered the base wine of the study (BW).

143 2.1.2. Wine phenolic compound characterization

UPLC-DAD-MS analysis was performed on a Vanquish UPLC-DAD system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 144 145 Waltham, MA, USA) hyphenated with a Thermo Scientific Exploris 480 Orbitrap (Waltham, MA, USA) 146 mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray source, using a $(10 \times 1 \text{ mm i.d.})$ Acquity HSST3 column (Waters, Milford, MA; 1.7µm), thermostated at 35°C. The mobile phase consisted of water/formic acid 147 (99/1, v/v) (eluent A) and acetonitrile/water/formic acid (79.5/19.5/1, v/v/v) (eluent B). Flow rate was 0.22 148 mL/min. The elution program was as follows: isocratic for 1.5 min with 2% B, 2-12% B (1.5-4.5 min), 149 150 isocratic with 12% B (4.5-7 min), 12-24% B (7-12 min), 24-48% B (12-15 min), 48-60% B (15-16 min). The 151 DAD signal was acquired from 200 to 650 nm. The mass spectrometer was operated in the negative ion mode (spray voltage, 2.5 kV; sheat gas, 40 arbitrary unit; auxiliary gas, 10 arbitrary unit; sweep gas 2 152 153 arbitrary unit ; ion transfer tube temperature, 280°C ; vaporizer temperature, 300°C). HPLC-grade acetonitrile and formic acid were purchased from Merck. Gallic acid (>99%), phloroglucinol 154

155 (>99%), ascorbic acid (>99%), caffeic acid (>98%), *trans*-caftaric acid (>98%), epicatechin gallate (>98%)

were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Procyanidin B2 (>90%), procyanidin C1 (>90%), (+)-catechin (>99%)
and (-)-epicatechin (>99%) were purchased from Extrasynthese.

158 Proanthocyanidin constitutive units were determined by HPLC-DAD after phloroglucinolysis carred out in triplicate following a protocol adapted from Kennedy and Jones, 2001 (Kennedy & Jones, 2001). After 159 evaporation of 300 µL of wine with Genevac centrifugal evaporator, 500 µL of phloroglucinol/ascorbic acid 160 solution (respectively 50 and 10 g/L in MeOH/HCl 0.2 M) were added. After solubilisation with an 161 162 ultrasonic bath (10 min), the solution was heated (50 °C, 20 min). The phloroglucinolysis reaction was stopped by placing the sample in ice and by adding 500 µL of ammonium formiate solution (12.6 g/L). The 163 solution obtained was centrifuged (HettichLab Technology, Tuttlingen, Germany) (15,000 rpm, 15 min) 164 165 before injection $(0.5 \,\mu\text{L})$.

The concentrations of proanthocyanidin units released after phloroglucinolysis were determined from peak areas at 280 nm using calibration curves established using external standards, either commercial ((+)catechin, (-)-epicatechin, (-)-epigallocatechin, (-)-epicatechin 3-gallate) or purified in our laboratory (phloroglucinol derivatives). The total concentration of proanthocyanidins was calculated as the sum of concentrations of all constitutive units. The mean degree of polymerization (mDP) was calculated as the ratio between the summed molar concentrations of all released constitutive units and the summed molar concentrations of lower constitutive units.

For analysis of lower molecular weight phenolic compounds, wine was injected directly (0.5μL) after centrifugation (15,000 rpm, 15 min) in triplicate. Identifications were performed by comparison of retention times, UV-visible and MS data with those of standards. The concentrations of gallic acid and flavanol monomers, dimers and trimers were calculated from peak areas at 280 nm and those of hydroxycinnamic acids from peak areas at 320 nm, using calibration curves established with commercial standards.

178

179 2.1.3. Wine oxidation procedure

180 The oxidation procedure was conducted by saturating the wine samples with air, as previously described 181 (Ferreira et al., 2015) with few modifications. In the specific, air saturation was performed by gentle shaking 182 250 mL of wine in a closed 500 mL flask for 10 s, successively opening the cup for 10 s to allow fresh air to 183 enter and repeating the same operation two more times. For the *in-vivo* experiments, the 250 mL of air-saturated wine were then transferred in dark amber glass bottles of 500 mL with a screwed cap, resulting in headspace volume to liquid volume (V_{HS}/V_L) ratio of 1, and directly stored in an incubator (XB112, France Etuves, Chelles, France) in the dark at +25 °C for seven days, when the first saturation cycle was considered complete (Ferreira et al., 2015). At that time, the samples were considered ready for *in-vivo* experiments.

For the *in-vitro* experiments, following the air-saturation, 5.5 mL volumes of each sample were aliquoted and distributed in screw capped vials of 11 mL, resulting in the same V_{HS}/V_L ratio equal to 1 as for the *invivo* part. Finally, the samples were stored in the incubator in the dark at +25°C for seven days. After seven days (t=1week), the saturation cycle was considered complete, the vials representing oxidized samples were taken out from the incubator and analysed.

194 2.1.4. Wine sample preparation for in-vivo experiments

Measurements were carried out during the consumption of the same red wine in under six different 195 conditions (3x2 factorial design. The base wine (BW) was treated with two different commercial tannin 196 extracts: i) a commercial extract of oak ellagitannins, named QUERTANIN® (Laffort, Bordeaux, France), at 197 198 50 mg/L that led to a wine coded as Base Wine Ellagitannins (BWE), and ii) a commercial grape seed extract rich in proanthocyanidins named TANIN VR GRAPE® (Laffort, Bordeaux, France), at 200 mg/L, that led to 199 200 the Base Wine Proanthocyanidins (BWP). These three samples (BW, BWE, BWP) were then submitted to 201 the oxidation procedure described above to obtain the oxidized base wine (OW) and the oxidized base wine 202 spiked with ellagitannins at 50 mg/L (OWE) and proanthocyanidins at 200 mg/L (OWP), resulting in six wine samples: BW, BWE, BWP, OW, OWE, OWP. These two concentrations correspond to the highest 203 204 concentration recommended by the supplier for each extract and it has been checked that the aroma perception of BW, BWE and BWP was similar through preliminary intra-laboratory sensory tests. This 205 206 preliminary result was confirmed during the sensory analysis of the wine (cf result and discussion). The 207 chemical characterisation of the two commercial tannin extracts has been previously reported (Harbertson et 208 al., 2012). The concentration of proanthocyanidin and ellagitannin measured in their respective extract was 209 200 and 339 mg/g.

Tannins were added to 50 mL of BW 45 min before the experiment giving BWE and BWP. After 15 minutesof incubation at room temperature, 10 mL of samples, which correspond to 1 sip, were put into the glasses

for sensory evaluation. Bottles were closed with a vacuum wine stopper and stored at 10 °C up to the next session. 10 mL of oxidized samples were taken from the bottles stored into the incubator and put into the glasses.

The samples were served in tulip shape 100 mL (±10) volume black glasses covered with a lid to avoid sample evaporation before sensory evaluation. Each sample was prepared in triplicate (3 glasses of 10 mL, each corresponding to 1 sip). Products were presented in an anonymous manner with random three-digit codes (using the same three-digit code for the replicate of each sample).

219

220 2.1.5. Wine sample preparation for in-vitro experiments

221 To avoid any bottle effect, three bottles of BW (750 mL) were mixed (final volume: 2250 mL). Successively, 222 6x350 mL of BW were transferred in 500 mL volume flasks. Four BW samples were mixed with: i) 223 ellagitannins at 50 mg/L and 200 mg/L that led to wines coded as BWE1 and BWE2, respectively, and ii) proanthocyanidins at 200 mg/L and 400 mg/L, that led to the BWP1 and BWP2 wines, respectively. Tannins 224 225 were added directly to the 350 mL volume wines and left in contact with them for 15 minutes. The two other 226 BW samples were used as an oxidized reference without tannins (OW) and a reference conserved under nitrogen atmosphere (OWN). BW, BWE1, BWE2, BWP1 and BWP2 represented the five starting points of 227 the oxidation period (t=0). A volume of 1 mL of each condition was sampled for the analyses and stored in 228 229 the fridge at +2 °C and took out at the analysis time.

The oxidized wine samples were prepared by submitting the remaining volume of the five wine samples to one week oxidation, as reported above (Section 2 of Materials and Methods). The following samples represented the first-week oxidation conditions (t= 1 week): i) oxidized base wine (OW), ii) oxidized base wine spiked with ellagitannins at 50 mg/L (OWE) and at 200 mg/L (OWE2), iii) oxidized base wine spiked with proanthocyanidins at 200 mg/L (OWP) and 400 mg/L (OWP2) and iv) based wine under nitrogen atmosphere (OWN). OWN was stored in the fridge (+2 °C) and took out at the analysis time (t= 1 week).

236

237 2.1.6. Aroma solution preparation

An aroma solution was prepared for checking the instrumental repeatability throughout the analyses. Four
ketones were chosen: 2-pentanone, 2-hexanone, 2-heptanone and 2-nonanone. They were all purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). A gas chromatography–flame ionization detector (GC–FID) analysis confirmed the purity of all aroma compounds (>99%). Four independent stock solutions were prepared in absolute ethanol. From those solutions, 2 mL vials were prepared by adding each aroma compound to a 13% ethanol solution to obtain a mixture of ketones at a final concentration of 0.1 µmol/L for each aroma compound, strictly avoiding any headspace. They were stored in the fridge at -80 °C until the analysis sampling.

- 246
- 247 2.2. Subjects

The jury was composed of 17 subjects aged between 22 and 59 years (10 females – mean age= 39±13; 7 males – mean age= 42±13) recruited from the Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation (INRAE, Dijon, France) and selected based on their interest, motivation, and availability. They all have been informed and have signed a consent form. They all were wine consumers and had previous experiences in performing sensory tests on wine and TDS sensory measurements. They were asked not to drink any coffee or tea, not to smoke and not to eat any food (chewing-gum included) 1 h before the sessions.

254

255 2.3. Protocol of in-vivo analysis

256 TDS and Nosespace analysis (NS) were performed simultaneously and required individual sessions that were 257 conducted in an air-conditioned room at 23 °C (±0.5). Each session lasted approximately 1 h. During each 258 evaluation session, subjects were connected to a Proton Transfer Reaction-Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometer 259 (PTR-ToF-MS). They were asked to evaluate a single-sip warm-up sample that preceded the six products (3) glasses of one sip per sample): BW, BWE, BWP, OW, OWE and OWP. The six products were analysed in 260 duplicate by each judge; therefore, for each panellist, two individual sessions were performed in two 261 262 different days. The complete design for the experiment was carried out in 9 days. The presentation orders 263 were set up following a Williams Latin square experimental design(Pineau et al., 2009) balancing order and 264 position effects.

The protocol of the sensory evaluation of one sample is represented in Figure 1. Briefly, the sensory evaluation consisted in evaluating three consecutive repetitions of the same sample. Thus, for each sample, three glasses containing one sip of 10 mL were presented to the subjects. The consumption of the three glasses had to respect a strict protocol, which has been programmed using TimeSens 1.0. software (INRAE,
Dijon, France). TimeSens controlled the sequence of events. For each event, instructions and timing were
displayed on a screen in front of the subject.

271 The protocol of consumption consisted of in waiting 30 s before putting the first sample in the mouth, allowing to record the blank of the composition of the air from the nasal cavity by the PTR-ToF-MS. Dual-272 273 TDS evaluation started just after the panellists took the first sip in their mouth and click on "Put in the 274 mouth" button displayed on the screen. Then, they had to keep the wine in mouth during 20 s, while selecting the perceived dominant attributes as a function of time. Inspiration of air by the mouth was allowed. After 275 276 20 s, a message indicated to the subjects that they had to spit off the wine. This step was validated once the 277 subjects clicked on the appropriate button. The evaluation of the dominant sensations continued during 30 s. 278 If the panellists did no longer perceive any aroma and/or taste, they were asked to click on "No/No more aromas" and/or "No/No more tastes" buttons. After these 30 s, the panellists had 10 s to evaluate astringency 279 280 and oxidation intensities using two continuous intensity scales (from very low to very high). Then, they had 281 to repeat this sequence two additional times: waiting 30 s, putting the sample in the mouth, and keeping it in 282 mouth during 20 s while evaluating, spitting out the sample, continuing to evaluate the sample for additional 30 s and evaluating astringency and oxidation (10 s). At the end of the 3rd repetition, panellists were asked to 283 wait 1 minute before the end of the PTR-ToF-MS acquisition. The whole TDS evaluation for one product 284 285 lasted around 5 minutes in total. Between two successive samples, the judges had 3 minutes to clean their 286 mouth as above exposed: firstly rinsing first with a solution of 0.1% apple pectin (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-287 Quentin Fallavier, France), secondly with a solution of 1% sodium bicarbonate (Gilbert, France) and, thirdly 288 with mineral water (Evian®, Donone, Evian-les-Bains, France).

- 289 2.4. Sensory analysis
- 290 2.4.1. Panel Training

291 Considering that TDS sensory tests do not require lengthy training (Pineau et al., 2012), and that all 292 participants had experience in TDS evaluation, only 2 training sessions were organized. During each session, 293 subjects were asked to rinse their mouth firstly with a solution of apple pectin (0.1%) (Sigma-Aldrich, 294 Steinheim, Germany), secondly with a solution of sodium bicarbonate (1%) provided by a pharmacy in Dijon (Burgundy, France) and, thirdly with mineral water (Evian®, Danone, Evian-les-bains, France) (EstebanFernãndez et al., 2016) and to wait 60 s between each sample.

Session 1. This session aimed at generating a list of aroma descriptors. Judges were asked to assess and
describe 7 wine samples in terms of aroma characteristics. The 7 wine samples were: 1) a Santenay 1^{er} Cru
2016 (BW2), obtained from the same winery located in Burgundy (France) than BW; 2) BW2E; 3) BW2P;
4) OW2; 5) OW2E; 6) OW2P; 7) 11 days oxidized BW2.

Session 2. This session aimed at familiarizing the judges with the list of descriptors previously generated, in order to reach a consensus on the definition of each attribute. Judges were asked to assess the aroma characteristics of 9 wine samples, using the list of attributes previously generated, and to score their intensity on the following numerical category scale: 1=very low, 2=low, 3=medium, 4=high, 5=very high. The sample set was composed as following: 1) BW2; 2) BW2P; 3) OW2; 4) OW2E; 5) OW2P; 6) 10 days oxidized BW2; 7) BW; 8) BWE (ellagitannins at 50 mg/L); 9) BW2 + ellagitannins at 100 mg/L.

307 During the two training sessions, the panellists were asked to score astringency and tastes (sweet, acid, and 308 bitter) intensities of the samples using the 5-point intensity scale described above. At the end of each training 309 session, the perceived sensations were discussed with the participants to prevent overlapping and 310 redundancies among terms and to help their memorization.

311

312 2.4.2. Dual - Temporal Dominance of Sensations - Multi sips

Dual-TDS consists of an arrangement on the computer screen of attributes belonging to two different sensory 313 modalities in two different columns (Figure SI 1). Using this type of sensory analysis method, the judges are 314 315 instructed that they can have only one dominant attribute at the same time in each column at any time. In other words, the selection of a dominant attribute switches off only the dominant attribute from the same 316 317 column and not the other one (Schlich, 2017), defining as dominant a sensation that triggers the most 318 attention at any given moment. The subjects had the information that an attribute could be dominant several 319 times during the evaluation and that it was not necessary that all the attributes were selected as dominant 320 during the evaluation of each product.

321 The following seven aroma attributes were presented simultaneously with the taste attributes on the 322 computer screen, as represented in Figure SI 1: *Dried grass/Hay, Herbaceous/Green, Fruity,* 323 Porto/Maderised, Animal, Ripe plums/Cooked fruits and Spicy. For each judge, the attributes were displayed 324 in the same order during the whole sensory evaluation. However, their orders were randomised over the 325 subjects to avoid the risk that they choose preferentially the attributes from the top of the list (Pineau et al., 326 2012).

327

328 2.4.3. Software

329 Data were recorded by TimeSens 1.0 (INRAE, Dijon, France). The Dual-TDS screens were designed in
330 French and translated to English for foreign judges.

331

332 2.5. PTR-MS analysis of aroma release

333 2.5.1. In-vivo experiments

The monitoring of the individual's nosespace was done through an home-made teflon nosepiece, that 334 connected both nostrils of the subjects via a light helmet to a Proton Transfer Reaction-Mass Spectrometer 335 (PTR-MS) instrument equipped with a Time-of-Flight (ToF) analyser (PTR-ToF 8000, Ionicon Analytik, 336 337 Innsbruck, Austria). Sampling was performed at a total flow rate of 400 mL/min with the transfer line maintained at 110 °C. The helmet allowed subjects to move freely their head during the experiment. 338 Nosespace analysis (NS) was recorded at the same time than the evaluation of Temporal Dominance of 339 340 Sensations evaluation (TDS). $[H_2O+H]^+$ was used as reagent ion. Parameters of the PTR-ToF-MS instrument 341 were as following: drift pressure of 231 Pa, drift temperature of 80 °C, and drift voltage of 390 V, resulting in electric field strength to number density ratio (E/N ratio) of 90 Townsend (Td, 1Td=10⁻¹⁷ V.cm²). Data 342 343 were collected using the TofDAQ software provided by the manufacturer of the PTR-ToF-MS. Data 344 acquisition was performed at 1 mass spectrum ranging from m/z 0 to 226 per 0.100 s.

345

346 2.5.2. In-vitro experiments

Volatile compounds of the wine samples were analysed by direct injection – HS analysis. All the measurements were performed using a commercial PTR-ToF-MS instrument (PTR-ToF 8000, Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria) with $[H_2O+H]^+$ as reagent ion (O₂⁺ signal intensity was ca. 0.5% of the [H₂O+H]⁺ one). Succeeding several preliminary tests, parameters of the PTR-MS instrument were chosen and set up as following: drift pressure of 231 Pa, drift temperature of 80 °C, transfer line temperature 110 °C and drift voltage of 390 V, resulting in electric field strength to number density ratio (E/N ratio) of 90 Townsend (Td, $1Td=10^{-17}$ V.cm²). Data were collected using the TofDAQ software provided by the manufacturer of the PTR-ToF-MS. Data acquisition was performed at 1 mass spectrum ranging from *m/z* 0 to 226 per 0.100 s.

For each wine samples, 300 µL were transferred into a 20 mL glass vial for the analyses. For aroma
solutions, 1 mL was sampled and transferred into a 20 mL glass vial for the analyses. A new vial was opened
for each analysis.

The vials were closed by a 3-way cap with silicon septum. A first way was connected to a Tedlar® bag containing wet air. A second way was connected to the PTR-MS. Aroma injection was performed through the third way. Two 3-way automatic valves were used to direct the airflow way through two parallel circuits. The circuit connected to the glass vial with the sample is called "indirect", while the second circuit, directly connected to the Tedlar® bag, is called "direct". The experiment started with the circuit in direct position. Then, the circuit was turned to the indirect position and the air flow from the Tedlar® bag swept the glass vial headspace to the PTR for 2 min. The composition of the gas was analysed by PTR-MS analysis.

366 The measurement order followed a Williams Latin square experimental design, and all the samples,367 including the aromas solution, were analysed in triplicates.

368 2.6. Data analysis

369 2.6.1. Dual TDS

Dual-TDS is equivalent to two TDS run simultaneously. Thus, flavour and taste TDS data were each one analysed separately by the usual TDS curves (Pineau et al., 2009). To compare two products, some TDS curves of differences (Schlich & Pineau, 2017) were produced. TDS curves of differences are obtained as the evolution along time of the difference between dominance rates of two products. Only points corresponding to differences significantly (binomial test, p=0.10) higher or lower than 0 were produced.

375

376 *2.6.2. PTR-MS*

377 Mass spectra analysis was performed using IgorPro 6.36 (WaveMetrics, Inc. Portland, USA) with a
378 homemade procedure (Analyse_PTRMS_1.06.02.ipf). To guarantee high mass accuracy throughout the

analysis, the mass scale was calibrated following the peaks of known ions ($[H_2^{18}O+H]^{1+}$, m/z=21.022; 379 $[NO]^{1+}$, m/z=29.997; $[C_5H_8+H]^{1+}$, m/z=69.069). Area through the time of 194 ions have extracted giving the 380 381 corresponding curve of release. For all curves of release the average background signal during the 30 s 382 before introduction of the sample was subtracted for both *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* experiments. The curves have been divided in three depending on the time of the respective repetitions. The area under the release curve 383 has been extracted for the 0-50 s period and every 5 s between 0 and 80 s for all repetitions of all 384 385 experiments. Background subtraction led to negative areas, suggesting that the signal was not coming from 386 the samples. Thus, all ions having more than 5 negative areas over all the recorded release curves giving a 387 list of 101 ions were eliminated. In order to avoid effect due to changes in the ionization condition, all 388 experiments exhibiting large variations of the amount of $[H_2O+H]^+$ reactant ions were also removed. After this removing there were not anymore significant differences in the amount of $[H_2O+H]^+$ for all selected 389 390 files.

391 2.6.3. Statistical analyses

392 For each studied ion, its 0-50 s area under the curve was analysed with a repeated mixed model of ANOVA 393 using the procedure MIXED from the SAS software. The model featured wines (6 levels) and sips (3 levels) 394 as fixed effects, while panellist and its interaction with wine and sip were random effects with an instructed covariance matrix between them. The sip factor was declared as repeated within panellist by wine and 395 396 replication with an unstructured covariance matrix. Estimation of the model was done by restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Sip effect was significant for most ions denoting evolutions over time. 397 398 However, sip by wine interactions were never significant denoting that these evolutions were the same across 399 wine for every ion. Therefore, sip effects will not be reported here, but contributed to a better estimation of 400 the model. Wine effect was significant at p=0.05 for 8 ions and at p=0.15 for 11 others. However, contrast 401 effects comparing each of the 3 wines to its oxidized version were also investigated, as well as contrast 402 effects comparing each pair composed of two of those 3 oxidation effects. Finally, a list of 23 ions featuring 403 either product or contrast oxidation effects was obtained. To compare TDS to PTR-MS results, the 5 s areas 404 under the curve for the 0-50 s period of the 23 affected ions were submitted to a Student t-test (alpha=0.05) 405 as a function of the condition compared.

406 **3. Results and discussion**

407 *3.1. Effect of oenological tannins on base wine flavour perception*

TDS curves of the non-oxidized wines with (BWP, BWE) or without (BW) oenological tannins are presented 408 409 in Figure 2.A. Dual TDS-analysis of non-oxidized wines reveals that the three samples have a similar pattern 410 of dominant sensations through time. Regarding aromas characteristics, fruity is the dominant attribute for 411 the three wines while the dominant attributes for the in-mouth sensations are astringency and acidity. The fruity attributes (i.e. red berries) correspond to the attribute generally reported for non-oaked Pinot Noir 412 413 wines from Burgundy. The main difference is observed for BWP that presents a higher dominance of astringency particularly from 20 s, which is typically the time required to reach the maximum of astringency 414 intensity. As a result, BWP sample appears slightly less acidic and fruity than BW. Astringency ratings by 415 the subjects at the end of the TDS evaluation confirmed that BWP has a significantly higher level of 416 417 astringency than BW and BWE, which are rated with similar intensities (Figure 3). This result might be explained by the fact that BWP contains the highest tannins concentration (200 mg/L of proanthocyanidins 418 419 addition against 50 mg/L of ellagitannin for BWE in regards to the 300 mg/L of flavanol monomers, dimers 420 and trimers (Table SI 1) determined by HPLC and the 1549.41 mg/L of proanthocyanidin units measured by 421 HPLC after phloroglucinolysis (Table SI2) present in the BW. The decrease of acidity intensity can be at the 422 origin of the slight decrease in the fruity aroma perception, as acidity can impact the perception of fruity 423 sensation (Bonnans & Noble, 1993). Nevertheless, this result indicates that the addition of the two 424 oenological tannins has no major effects on the perception of BW flavour through the period 0-50 s, which is 425 a prerequisite for our subsequent analyses.

426 *3.2. Effect of oxidation on wine flavour perception*

427 TDS curves of the base wine prior (BW) and after oxidation (OW) in the presence of the two kinds of 428 tannins (OWP, OWE) are presented in Figure 2.B. Dual TDS-analysis of the oxidized wines reveals that 429 oxidation has almost no effect on the pattern of dominance of taste and astringency sensations. These 430 observations are confirmed by the astringency ratings (Figure 3). The perception of astringency has been 431 rated significantly more intense in BWP than in BW and BWE; after oxidation, only a trend is observed, 432 with no significant difference among the three oxidized samples. Regarding aroma characteristics, oxidation 433 significantly impacts the pattern of dominant sensations of aroma through time. Non-oxidized wine BW is 434 dominated by the fruity attribute, while OW and OWP are dominated by maderised, prune and fruity

attributes. OWE is dominated by only two attributes: prune and fruity. This result agrees with the previously 435 reported effects of oxidation, which leads to a decrease of fruity notes and the appearance of oxidative 436 437 attributes such as maderised/Porto or prune (Cullere et al., 2007; Escudero et al., 2000; Silva Ferreira et al., 2003; Ugliano, 2013). Oxidation ratings by the subjects at the end of the TDS evaluation confirmed that OW 438 samples have a significant higher level of perceived oxidation than BW (Figure 3). Addition of ellagitannins 439 440 prior to oxidation induced a decrease of maderised dominance while increasing the fruity one and showed no 441 effect on prune attribute. A possible explanation of the difference observed between proanthocyanidins and 442 ellagitannins could be linked to a different effect of the tannins as a function of their structure. Two other 443 point should also be considered: firstly, the base wine (BW) was not aged in oak-barrels meaning that 444 ellagitannins were not present, with their addition being therefore more impacting compared to the addition 445 of proanthocyanidins, already present in BW due to their origin from grape berries; secondly, ellagitannins 446 are the fastest oxygen consumers of the different oenological tannins (Pascual et al., 2017) and thus could 447 have more impact than proanthocyanidins.

448 *3.3. Effect of oenological tannins on in-mouth aroma release*

In-mouth aroma release is a dynamic process that impacts the variation of the temporal dominance of 449 450 sensations. This study aimed at investigating if aroma release can be linked to TDS evaluation. Throughout 451 the dual-TDS experiments, the nasal cavity of the subjects was connected to a PTR-ToF allowing a real-time 452 recording of the release of aroma compounds during the dynamic sensory evaluation of the different wines. Typical release curves are presented in figure SI 2 for the ion at m/z 43.02. The figure suggests that the 453 454 release curves of the ion are similar for the same subject while showing interindividual variability. This appears as an interesting research topic that should require further analysis in the future. The 0-50 s areas 455 under the curve were submitted to a mixed model of ANOVA as described in section 2.4.5, giving a list of 456 457 23 ions significantly affected by the type of wine. To compare TDS and PTR-MS data, the areas under the curve of the 23 ions were extracted every 5 s from 0 to 80 s and then submitted to a student t-test comparing 458 two different conditions. On the top of Figure 4 the comparisons of BW Vs BWE and BW Vs BWP are 459 presented. Over the 0-50 s period, very few differences are observed, indicating that tannin addition did not 460 461 affect the release of aroma compounds in that specific analysis timing. The most impacted ions are 462 represented in Figures SI 3 and SI 4. This result agrees with the TDS results, which showed almost no impact

on the dominance of sensations over this period (Figure 2). However, regarding the 50-80 s, numerous 463 significant differences are observed, particularly concerning the comparison of BW Vs BWE (cf Figure 4). It 464 465 is observed that ellagitannin addition increases the release of aroma compounds through this analysis timing, suggesting an enhancing effect of ellagitannins on aroma persistence. This could be explained by the fact that 466 tannins with different nature can differently interact with aroma compounds, affecting their release, as 467 recently reviewed (Pittari et al., 2021). Moreover, aroma compounds can also interact with the oral mucosa 468 469 (Ployon et al., 2020), and these interactions could be affected by cross-molecular interactions of tannins with the mucosal pellicle, leading to the aggregation of the mucosal pellicle (Ployon et al., 2018). However, as 470 aroma persistence (i.e., 50-80 s) was not evaluated by TDS sensory analysis, further trials to confirm this 471 472 interesting outcome are necessary.

473

474 3.4. Effect of wine oxidation on in-mouth aroma release

475 Figure 5 presents the p-values resulting from the t-test comparing the base wine before (BW) and after oxidation (OW) as a function of time (every 5 s) for the 23 ions, which are significantly affected by the type 476 477 of wine during the TDS evaluation period (0.50 s). It reveals that for the 0-50 s period only 4 ions (61.03, 478 73.07, 87.05, and 201.19) + 2 isotopes [74.07 (¹³C isotope of 73.07) and 202.19 (¹³C isotope of 201.19)] are 479 significantly affected by the oxidation of the base wine when considering areas under the curve for periods of 480 5 s. The mean 5s-areas of the 4 affected ions are also presented as a function of time with the ones of two other ions (m/z 73.04 and 76.05), which show significant differences during the 50-80 s period. These curves 481 482 show that among the 4 ions with significant differences during the TDS evaluation (0-50 s), the release of the ion 201.19 and of its isotope 202.19, is lower during the consumption of OW. The release of the ion 61.03 is 483 lower during the first 20 s of OW tasting, then increasing until 80 s. These two ions (201.19 and 61.03) can 484 be tentatively attributed to the protonated species of ethyl decanoate ($[C_{12}H_{24}O_2+H]^{1+}$) and acetic acid 485 $([C_2H_4O_2+H]^{1+})$ (Deuscher et al., 2019), respectively. At the opposite, ions with m/z 73.07 and 87.05, which 486 can be tentatively attributed to isobutyraldehyde ($[C_4H_8O+H]^{1+}$) (Campbell-Sills et al., 2016) and butane-2,3-487 dione or isovaleraldehyde ($[C_4H_6O_2+H]^{1+}$ or $[C_5H_{10}O+H]^{+}$) (Deuscher et al., 2019), are more released in 488 489 OW. Ethyl decanoate is a wine ester contributing to wine aroma. Its organoleptic profile can be described as fruity, apple, grape (Waterhouse et al., 2016). Together with ethyl hexanoate and ethyl octanoate, ethyl 490

491 decanoate is considered as being a highly positive aroma compound of young wine "bouquet", introducing 492 fruity flavour notes (Waterhouse et al., 2016). Thus, the decrease of the fruity attribute in OW compared to 493 BW in TDS experiment could be linked to the decrease of ethyl decanoate during the process of wine 494 oxidation. During the parallel in-vitro experiment (no saliva) conducted by Headspace - Solid Phase Microextraction - Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry (HS-SPME - GC-MS) analyses (data not 495 496 shown), a similar result has been obtained, confirming a significant lower concentration of ethyl decanoate, 497 together with other important wine esters (e.g., ethyl butanoate, 2- and 3-methylbutyrate, hexanoate, 498 octanoate, isoamyl and hexyl acetate), in OW compared to BW. However, as ethyl decanoate is a highly 499 volatile compounds with a low affinity for the aqueous phase due to its high hydrophobicity (logP_{octanol/water} 500 value 4.86), it is possible that the decrease observed here was due to evaporation of this compounds during 501 the procedure of oxidation. But, ion at m/z 145.14, which can be attributed to ethyl hexanoate, was not 502 affected by the oxidation procedure and no difference was observed in the *in-vitro* experiment between the 503 different matrices for the oxidized condition indicating no evaporation for this hydrophobic ester (logP_{octanol/water} value 2.82) (cf paragraph 3.6. and figure 6.D.). At the opposite, the higher perception of 504 505 maderised attribute is probably linked to the increase of aldehydes such as isobutyraldehyde or 506 isovaleraldehyde during wine oxidation (Figure 5) as previously observed (Bueno et al., 2016). Indeed, aldehydes are the main cause of the development of oxidation-related off-odours and wine aroma 507 508 deterioration (Bueno et al., 2016; Ugliano, 2013). Isobutyraldehyde (2-methylpropanal) and isovaleraldehyde 509 (3-methylbutanal) are Strecker aldehydes. A recent study showed that the presence of Strecker aldehydes (including isobutyraldehyde and isovaleraldehyde) induced the reduction of fruitiness in young wines and of 510 511 woody notes in oaked wines as well as the appearance of the typical attributes that define wine oxidation 512 (Marrufo-Curtido et al., 2021). Thus, the decrease in fruitiness may rather be a perceptual effect caused by 513 aroma suppression induced by Strecker aldehydes. Strecker aldehydes can be formed i) from the 514 corresponding precursor alcohols by peroxidation (Juan et al., 2012) and ii) via Strecker degradation of the 515 corresponding precursor amino acid as secondary reactions of the ortho-quinone derivatives formed through 516 the oxidation of wine polyphenols by polyphenoloxidases and/or molecular oxygen (Rizzi, 2006). The 517 Strecker degradation of amino acids is described as a result of the Maillard reaction and involves the interaction of sugar-derived α -dicarbonyl compounds with free amino acids. In presence of α -dicarbonyl 518

519 compounds, the amino acid is decarboxylated and deaminated, forming an aldehyde with one carbon atom 520 less than the amino acid and known as "Strecker aldehyde" (Singleton, 1987). Carbonyl compounds exist in 521 all types of wines, particularly in red wines and in wines that undergo malolactic fermentation. Glyoxal, methylglyoxal, diacetyl and pentane-2,3-dione are the principal α -dicarbonyl compounds found in wine but 522 only α -diketones are relatively abundant in wine. Typically, α -dicarbonyls with n=0 are reported as Strecker 523 degradation reagents but, in principle, any dicarbonyl compound with extended conjugation (n>0) can be 524 525 used (Rizzi, 2006). The latter structural category can be extended to include *ortho*-quinones, particularly 526 abundant during oxidation processes (Rizzi, 2006). However, looking at the initial patterns of ions putatively corresponding to Strecker aldehydes (m/z 73.07 and 87.05), their increase could not be attributed to a "de 527 novo" formation from amino acids. They could be already there as complexes with SO₂ and be at higher 528 529 levels in oxidised samples because SO₂ has been oxidised (Bueno et al., 2016).

530 *3.5. Effect of oenological tannins on in-mouth aroma release of oxidized wine*

On the bottom of Figure 4 are also presented the p-values resulting from the t-test comparing the oxidised 531 wine prior (OW) and after the addition of the types of tannins (OWP or OWE) as a function of time (every 5 532 s) for the 23 ions that are significantly affected by the type of wine during the TDS evaluation period (0-50 533 534 s). While sensory analysis revealed that the addition of ellagitannins preserves the fruity attribute dominance 535 and decreases the maderised one, the only chemical evidence that could be linked to this effect is a 536 significant increase of ethyl decanoate release during the 0-5 s interval of the consumption of the wine containing ellagitannins. Considering that non-attentively perceived odours may impact on cognitive 537 processing (Mas et al., 2020), it cannot be excluded that this difference impacted on the cognitive processing 538 of flavour perception. The impact of tannins on the *in-vivo* release of ethyl decanoate has been previously 539 540 reported (Muñoz-Gonzalez et al., 2019). It should be kept in mind that the response times of human subjects 541 and the PTR-MS are not the same. It is expected that the description of wine flavour quality by human 542 subjects requires few seconds of delay between the activation of the olfactory receptors and their sensory 543 evaluation, while the response time of PTR-MS is expected to be less than 100 ms. Another limit of the present study is that the ionization efficiency of PTR-MS is based on VOC proton affinity while ionization 544 545 competition may occur in the drift tube, where VOC ionization occurs. These phenomena may prevent the 546 obtention of the full picture of the composition in VOCs of the subject's nose space. For instance, thiols,

547 which may also take part in the fruity notes of red wine, are poorly ionized with PTR-MS. Finally, the results do not take into account the interindividual differences which may affect both the sensory evaluation and in-548 549 vivo aroma release that may have been impacted by physiological parameters(Muñoz-González et al., 2021). Comparing the 4 patterns, it is interesting to notice that while the addition of proanthocyanidins to both BW 550 and OW has almost no effect on aroma release, the addition of ellagitannins, on the contrary, influences 551 aroma release in the 50-80 s time in both BW and OW. It is interesting to observe that while ellagitannins 552 553 increase aroma persistence in the non-oxidized wine, they have a lower effect after oxidation (Figures 4 and 554 SI 5). A hypothesis is that the oxidized structures of ellagitannins interact differently with the oral mucosa and aroma compounds decreasing the adsorption/desorption of aroma compounds at the surface of the oral 555 mucosa. These results suggest that ellagitannins could differently impact aroma persistence during red wine 556 tasting, which represents an interesting outcome from an oenological point of view, therefore deserving 557 further investigations. 558

559 3.6. Effect of oenological tannins on wine aroma

Ions showing the most significant differences in *in-vivo* experiments, were also monitored through *in-vitro* 560 analysis. Figure 6 represents the behaviour of the ions with m/z 61.03, 73.07, 87.05 and 201.19, detected by 561 562 PTR-ToF-MS (no saliva) in all the analysed wine matrices, including the base wine (BW) and the corresponding oxidized wine (OW) spiked with two concentrations of ellagitannins (BWE and BWE2, OWE 563 564 and OWE2) and proanthocyanidins (BWP and BWP2, OWP and OWP2), as well as the base wine oxidized under nitrogen (OWN). The four ions, as already exposed above, are tentatively attributed to acetic acid, 565 isobutyraldehyde, isovaleraldehyde and ethyl decanoate, respectively. The first three compounds are volatile 566 markers of wine oxidation (Ugliano, 2013). While significant trends are not observed for the ions with m/z567 61.03 and 87.05, significant increase and decrease are observed after oxidation for ions at m/z 73.07 and 568 569 201.19 respectively (t-test; p-value=0.05). However, whatever the added tannin, no significant difference is observed for both the oxidized and the non-oxidized conditions. The difference of significance observed 570 571 between in vitro and in vivo data, can be explained by the lower number of observations by condition for the in vitro experiments. Ion at m/z 73.07 is significantly higher in OW compared to BW, suggesting that it is 572 formed during wine air exposition and its formation seems to be contrasted by nitrogen (OWN). The 573 574 formation of this ion seems not to be prevented by the addition of tannins, independently from their nature and concentration. The ion at m/z 201.19 is significantly affected by oxidation but, according to t-test, OW is not significantly different from BW. This ion is also not significantly affected by the presence of tannins, whatever the condition.

578

579 **4. Conclusion**

By coupling the evaluation of temporal dominance of sensation with nose space analysis by proton-transfer-580 581 reaction mass spectrometry, this study investigated the effect of the addition of oenological tannins on wine 582 perception before and after oxidation. The addition of either proanthocyanidins or ellagitannins had almost 583 no impact on both the temporal dominance of sensations and the *in-vivo* release of aroma of the non-oxidized wines during the first 50s. After 50s, this study demonstrates for the first time that the addition of 584 585 ellagitannins significantly increased the release of VOCs during wine consumption for the non-oxidized 586 wine, while the persistence of aroma compounds was not evaluated by sensory analysis. Regarding wine 587 oxidation, it induced a decrease of the fruity attribute while the dominance of maderised and prune notes increased. In parallel, significant changes in the composition of subject's nose space were observed with a 588 589 decrease of ethyl decanoate and an increase of Strecker aldehydes. Strecker aldehydes can be responsible for 590 the appearance of oxidative notes, while ethyl decanoate is an ester with fruity notes. However, its contribution to wine fruitiness is less relevant than those of other ethyl esters, such as ethyl hexanoate, which 591 was not affected by the oxidative procedure. Addition of ellagitannins before oxidation leads to the 592 preservation of the dominance of fruity attribute and to the decrease of the maderised one, while addition of 593 594 proanthocyanidins did not. The composition of the subject's nose space poorly explains this effect as the 595 only significant effect is an increase of ethyl decanoate release during the 0-5 s interval of the consumption of the wine containing ellagitannins. It suggests probably the occurrence of perceptual interactions that need 596 597 to be further explored. It should be also indicated that the present study presents some limits, as the 598 interindividual differences and response time differences between human subjects and PTR-MS were not 599 taken into account and the temporal of dominance of sensations records an analogical signal while PTR-MS 600 experiments a digital one.

Nevertheless, these results provide new information for the use of oenological tannins in winemaking andtheir potential impact on wine perception. More specifically, it evidences that the presence of ellagitannins

603 can have a positive impact on wine perception, and both on the aroma persistence in young red wine and on 604 the perception of the fruity aroma after oxidation. Therefore, they can be useful for winemakers to better 605 understand and manage red wines' oak-barrel ageing. Indeed, according to our results, wood-barrel ageing of 606 young fruity red wines, which corresponds to a storage in the presence of ellagitannins (extracted from the wood to wine) and oxygen (permeated through the wood into the wine), could be a way to preserve fruitiness 607 and smooth astringency. This preservation of fruity aromas could potentially help to counterbalance the 608 609 contribution of aromas extracted from wood and in masking the appearance of oxidative notes with a positive impact on the sensory shelf-life. Further investigations and new methodological developments are 610 required to determine more clearly the origin of the preservation of fruity aromas and the increase of aroma 611 persistence observed in this study when the fraction of ellagitannins was added. 612

- 613
- 614 Funding

615 This work was partially funded by the French National Research Agency (MUFFIN N° 14-CE20-0001-01).

- 616
- 617 Conflicts of Interests:
- 618 The authors declare no conflict of interest
- 619
- 620
- 621

622 Figures:

623 Figure 1





















m/z 201.19 (ethyl decanoate)



636 Figure 6









638 Figure Caption:

Figure 1. Dual-TDS-Multi Sips protocol followed by the panellists for products 'evaluation.

640 Figure 2. A. Dominance evolution of the sensory perceptions of aroma and taste/astringency sensations for

641 BW, BWE and BWP. B. Dominance evolution of the sensory perceptions of aroma and taste/astringency

642 sensations for BW, OW, OWE and OWP.

Figure 3. Astringency and oxidation ratings of the different wines using two continuous intensity scales (from very low to very high). Significant differences are marked with different letters (p < 0.05).

Figure 4. Comparison of aroma release of BW vs BWP, BW vs BWE, OW vs OWP and OW vs OWE.

646 Matrix of the t-test of BW Vs BWP, BW vs BWE, OW vs OWP and OW vs OWE of the areas under the

- 647 curve every 5 s from 0 to 80 s of the 23 significantly affected ions.
- Figure 5. Comparison of aroma release of BW Vs OW. Matrix of the t-test of BW Vs OW of the areas under
 the curve every 5 s from 0 to 80 s of the 23 significantly affected ions. Average areas under the curve every 5

s for the main significantly affected ions with the respective standard deviations.

- **Figure 6.** Release of m/z 61.03, 73.07, 87.05 and 201.19 detected by PTR-ToF-MS (no saliva) in all the
- analysed wine matrices, including the base wine (BW) and the corresponding oxidised wine (OW) spiked
- 653 with two concentrations of ellagitannins (BWE and BWE2, OWE and OWE2) and proanthocyanidins (BWP
- and BWP2, OWP and OWP2), as well as the base wine oxidised under nitrogen (OWN). Significant
- 655 differences are marked with different letters (p < 0.05).

657 **References:**

- Bonnans, S., & Noble, A. C. (1993, Jun). Effect of Sweetener Type and of Sweetener and Acid Levels on
 Temporal Perception of Sweetness, Sourness and Fruitiness. *Chemical Senses*, 18(3), 273-283.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/18.3.273
- 661
- Bueno, M., Carrascón, V., & Ferreira, V. (2016, 2016/01/27). Release and Formation of Oxidation-Related
 Aldehydes during Wine Oxidation. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 64(3), 608-617.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04634
- 665

671

677

686

690

- Campbell-Sills, H., Capozzi, V., Romano, A., Cappellin, L., Spano, G., Breniaux, M., Lucas, P., & Biasioli,
 F. (2016, 2016/03/15/). Advances in wine analysis by PTR-ToF-MS: Optimization of the method
 and discrimination of wines from different geographical origins and fermented with different
 malolactic starters. *International journal of Mass Spectrometry*, 397-398, 42-51.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2016.02.001
- Canon, F., Belloir, C., Bourillot, E., Brignot, H., Briand, L., Feron, G., Lesniewska, E., Nivet, C., Septier, C.,
 Schwartz, M., Tournier, C., Vargiolu, R., Wang, M., Zahouani, H., & Neiers, F. (2021, 2021/04/07).
 Perspectives on Astringency Sensation: An Alternative Hypothesis on the Molecular Origin of
 Astringency. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 69(13), 3822-3826.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c07474
- Canon, F., Paté, F., Cheynier, V., Sarni-Manchado, P., Giuliani, A., Pérez, J., Durand, D., Li, J., & Cabane,
 B. (2013, 2013/02/12). Aggregation of the salivary proline-rich protein IB5 in the presence of the
 tannin EgCG. *LANGMUIR*, 29(6), 1926-1937. https://doi.org/10.1021/la3041715
- 681
 682 Carrascon, V., Fernandez-Zurbano, P., Bueno, M., & Ferreira, V. (2015, Dec 30). Oxygen Consumption by
 683 Red Wines. Part II: Differential Effects on Color and Chemical Composition Caused by Oxygen
 684 Taken in Different Sulfur Dioxide-Related Oxidation Contexts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*685 *Chemistry*, 63(51), 10938-10947. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b02989
- 687 Cullere, L., Cacho, J., & Ferreira, V. (2007). An Assessment of the Role Played by Some Oxidation-Related
 688 Aldehydes in Wine Aroma. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(3), 876-881.
 689 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf062432k
- 691 Darriet, P., Tominaga, T., Lavigne, V., Boidron, J.-N., & Dubourdieu, D. (1995, 1995/11/01). Identification
 692 of a powerful aromatic component of Vitis vinifera L. var. sauvignon wines: 4-mercapto-4693 methylpentan-2-one. *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, *10*(6), 385-392.
 694 https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.2730100610
- 695
 696 Deuscher, Z., Andriot, I., Semon, E., Repoux, M., Preys, S., Roger, J. M., Boulanger, R., Laboure, H., & Le
 697 Quere, J. L. (2019, Jan). Volatile compounds profiling by using proton transfer reaction-time of
 698 flight-mass spectrometry (PTR-ToF-MS). The case study of dark chocolates organoleptic
 699 differences. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, *54*(1), 92-119. https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.4317
- For the second second

705 706 707	Esteban-Fernãndez, A., Rocha-Alcubilla, N., Munoz-Gonzalez, C., Moreno-Arribas, M. V., & Pozo-Bayon, M. A. (2016, Aug 15). Intra-oral adsorption and release of aroma compounds following in-mouth wine exposure. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 205, 280-288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.03.030
708 709 710 711 712	Ferreira, V., Carrascon, V., Bueno, M., Ugliano, M., & Fernandez-Zurbano, P. (2015, Dec 30). Oxygen Consumption by Red Wines. Part I: Consumption Rates, Relationship with Chemical Composition, and Role of SO2. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> , 63(51), 10928-10937. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b02988
713 714 715 716	Franco-Luesma, E., Honore-Chedozeau, C., Ballester, J., & Valentin, D. (2019, Dec). Oxidation in wine: Does expertise influence the perception? <i>LWT-Food Science and Technology</i> , 116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108511
717 718 719 720	Genovese, A., Moio, L., Sacchi, R., & Piombino, P. (2015, 11//). Sip volume affects oral release of wine volatiles. <i>Food Research International</i> , 77, Part 3, 426-431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.08.016
721 722 723 724	Harbertson, J. F., Parpinello, G. P., Heymann, H., & Downey, M. O. (2012, 2012/04/01/). Impact of exogenous tannin additions on wine chemistry and wine sensory character. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 131(3), 999-1008. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.09.101
725 726 727 728	Juan, F. S., Cacho, J., Ferreira, V., & Escudero, A. (2012, 2012/05/23). Aroma Chemical Composition of Red Wines from Different Price Categories and Its Relationship to Quality. <i>Journal of Agricultural</i> and Food Chemistry, 60(20), 5045-5056. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf2050685
729 730 731 732	Kennedy, J., & Jones, G. P. (2001). Analysis of proanthocyanidin cleavage products following acid-catalysis in the presence of excess phloroglucinol. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> , 49, 1740- 1746. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf001030o
733 734 735 736	Magalhaes, L. M., Ramos, I. I., Reis, S., & Segundo, M. A. (2014, Feb). Antioxidant profile of commercial oenological tannins determined by multiple chemical assays. <i>Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research</i> , <i>20</i> (1), 72-79. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12058
737 738 739 740	 Marrufo-Curtido, A., de-la-Fuente-Blanco, A., Sáenz-Navajas, MP., Ferreira, V., Bueno, M., & Escudero, A. (2021). Sensory Relevance of Strecker Aldehydes in Wines. Preliminary Studies of Its Removal with Different Type of Resins. <i>Foods</i>, 10(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081711
741 742 743 744	Mas, M., Brindisi, MC., Chabanet, C., & Chambaron, S. (2020, 06/09). Implicit food odour priming effects on reactivity and inhibitory control towards foods. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 15, e0228830. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228830
745 746 747 748	Muñoz-Gonzalez, C., Canon, F., Feron, G., Guichard, E., & Pozo-Bayon, M. A. (2019, Apr 2). Assessment Wine Aroma Persistence by Using an in Vivo PTR-ToF-MS Approach and Its Relationship with Salivary Parameters. <i>Molecules</i> , 24(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24071277
749 750 751 752	Muñoz-González, C., Feron, G., & Canon, F. (2021, 2021/04/16/). Physiological and oral parameters contribute prediction of retronasal aroma release in an elderly cohort. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 342, 128355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128355

753 754	OIV. International Oenological Codex. COEI-1-TANINS: 2015. (2015).
755 756 757 758 759	Petit, E., Jacquet, R., Pouységu, L., Deffieux, D., & Quideau, S. (2019, 2019/02/01/). Reactivity of wine polyphenols under oxidation conditions: Hemisynthesis of adducts between grape catechins or oak ellagitannins and odoriferous thiols. <i>Tetrahedron</i> , 75(5), 551-560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2018.11.071
760 761 762 763 764	Picariello, L., Slaghenaufi, D., & Ugliano, M. (2020, Apr). Fermentative and post-fermentative oxygenation of Corvina red wine: influence on phenolic and volatile composition, colour and wine oxidative response. <i>Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 100</i> (6), 2522-2533. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10278
765 766 767 768	Pineau, N., de Bouille, A. G., Lepage, M., Lenfant, F., Schlich, P., Martin, N., & Rytz, A. (2012, Dec). Temporal Dominance of Sensations: What is a good attribute list? <i>Food Quality and Preference</i> , 26(2), 159-165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.04.004
769 770 771 772 773	Pineau, N., Schlich, P., Cordelle, S., Mathonnière, C., Issanchou, S., Imbert, A., Rogeaux, M., Etiévant, P., & Köster, E. (2009, 2009/09/01/). Temporal Dominance of Sensations: Construction of the TDS curves and comparison with time–intensity. <i>Food Quality and Preference, 20</i> (6), 450-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2009.04.005
774 775 776 777 778	Piombino, P., Moio, L., & Genovese, A. (2019, 2019/02/01/). Orthonasal vs. retronasal: Studying how volatiles' hydrophobicity and matrix composition modulate the release of wine odorants in simulated conditions. <i>Food Research International</i> , <i>116</i> , 548-558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.08.072
779 780 781 782	Pittari, E., Moio, L., & Piombino, P. (2021). Interactions between Polyphenols and Volatile Compounds in Wine: A Literature Review on Physicochemical and Sensory Insights. <i>Applied Sciences</i> , 11(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/app11031157
783 784 785 786	Ployon, S., Brulé, M., Andriot, I., Morzel, M., & Canon, F. (2020, 2020/07/15/). Understanding retention and metabolization of aroma compounds using an in vitro model of oral mucosa. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 318, 126468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126468
787 788 789 790 791	 Ployon, S., Morzel, M., Belloir, C., Bonnotte, A., Bourillot, E., Briand, L., Lesniewska, E., Lherminier, J., Aybeke, E., & Canon, F. (2018, 2018/07/01/). Mechanisms of astringency: Structural alteration of the oral mucosal pellicle by dietary tannins and protective effect of bPRPs. <i>Food Chemistry</i>, 253, 79-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.141
792 793 794 795	Rizzi, G. P. (2006, 2006/03/01). Formation of Strecker Aldehydes from Polyphenol-Derived Quinones and α-Amino Acids in a Nonenzymic Model System. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> , 54(5), 1893-1897. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf052781z
796 797 798	Schlich, P. (2017, 2017/06/01/). Temporal Dominance of Sensations (TDS): a new deal for temporal sensory analysis. <i>Current Opinion in Food Science</i> , <i>15</i> , 38-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2017.05.003
799 800 801	Schlich, P., & Pineau, N. (2017). Temporal Dominance of Sensations. In <i>Time-Dependent Measures of Perception in Sensory Evaluation</i> (pp. 283-320). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118991640.ch11

802 803 804 805	Silva Ferreira, A. C., Hogg, T., & Guedes de Pinho, P. (2003, 2003/02/01). Identification of Key Odorants Related to the Typical Aroma of Oxidation-Spoiled White Wines. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food</i> <i>Chemistry</i> , <i>51</i> (5), 1377-1381. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0258470
806 807 808	Singleton, V. L. (1987). Oxygen with Phenols and Related Reactions in Musts, Wines, and Model Systems - Observations and Practical Implications. <i>American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 38</i> (1), 69-77.
809 810 811	Ugliano, M. (2013, 2013/07/03). Oxygen Contribution to Wine Aroma Evolution during Bottle Aging. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61(26), 6125-6136. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf400810v
812 813 814	Versari, A., du Toit, W., & Parpinello, G. P. (2013). Oenological tannins: a review. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, 19(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12002
815 816 817 818 819 820	Vignault, A., González-Centeno, M. R., Pascual, O., Gombau, J., Jourdes, M., Moine, V., Iturmendi, N., Canals, J. M., Zamora, F., & Teissedre, PL. (2018, 2018/12/01/). Chemical characterization, antioxidant properties and oxygen consumption rate of 36 commercial oenological tannins in a model wine solution. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , 268, 210-219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.06.031
821 822 823	Waterhouse, A. L., Sacks, G. L., & Jeffery, D. W. (2016). Understanding Wine Chemistry (J. W. Sons, Ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
824	
825	