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1. Introduction

School starting age is considered as an important instrument of public policy to improve the aggregated level of human capital and promote a country’s economic development (Ryu et al., 2020). While the great majority of studies focused on the effects of delayed school enrolment on educational attainment and mental health outcomes, I propose to analyse its impacts on health and nutritional outcomes.

All countries have administrative birthdate cut-offs for school enrolment, providing a quasi-experimental design that makes it possible to statistically compare children who start school younger (around age 5.5) with their counterparts who start 1 year older (around age 6.5) (Angrist and Krueger, 1991). Using the quasi-random variation in school starting age induced by birthdate, the labour economics literature emphasized the positive effects of delayed school enrolment on educational achievement and labour market outcomes, at least for wealthy countries (Bedard and Dhuey, 2006; Datar, 2006; McEwan and Shapiro, 2008). In addition to showing greater readiness for learning and integrating into the school environment, older children are less vulnerable to negative school externalities (e.g. social stigmatization, peer effects) than their younger counterparts (Black et al., 2011; Stipek, 2002).

Research in health economics considered mental health as a potential mediator in the relationship between school starting age and socioeconomic success. Indeed, several studies found that delayed school enrolment reduces the risk of behavioural problems such as inattention, hyperactivity, and other disruptive behaviours (Balestra et al., 2020; Dee and Evans, 2003; Dee and Sievertsen, 2018; Mühlenweg et al., 2012). However, the impact of school starting age on other health dimensions such as physical health and nutrition remains largely unknown, particularly in developing countries where childhood stunting and overweight coexist. In Brazil, children are supposed to enrol in primary school the year they turn 6. Using a database of middle school students in Brazil based on a 2015 survey, I implemented an instrumental variables strategy using quasi-exogenous variations in the students’ birthdates to isolate the impact of late primary school enrolment (i.e., older than 6 when enrolled) on height-for-age and body mass-for-age indicators. Overall, late enrolment has protective effects against hazardous weight gain (~0.14 z-score unit) but significantly increases the risk of moderate stunting (by 1.5% points). Heterogeneity in family backgrounds may explain these results. Indeed, delayed school enrolment is particularly detrimental for the nutritional status of students from underprivileged settings. In terms of public policy, rather than changing school starting age, this study highlights the importance of focusing on pathways to fight both stunting and overweight conditions in Brazilian children.
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(2011) found no significant weight gap between early and delayed enrolments (independent of gender). Given this uncertainty in the nutritional impact of school starting age, more research is needed to understand how delaying school entrance may affect childhood bodyweight. The results are expected to be even more variable in poorer countries where stunting often persists and childhood overweight dramatically increases (Doak et al., 2000). In fact, late school enrolment systematically delays the exposure to (often free) school meals and may make children dependent on (risky) family feeding behaviours longer (Thibault et al., 2013); this is relevant in terms of calorie intake and nutrient quality and diversity, especially in underprivileged settings (Anderson et al., 2011). In line with this issue, Horta et al. (2019) reported in poor Brazilian areas higher consumption of fruit and vegetables versus lower consumption of ultra-processed food and soft drinks among children who usually receive school meals compared to children who do not (usually) use school food services. Malnourishment in young childhood significantly impairs physical and mental growth and may contribute to poor lifelong health. In Ghana, Gelli et al. (2019) showed that receiving school meals decreased the risk of stunting by significantly increasing the average height-for-age of primary school children aged between 5 and 8, especially in households living below the poverty line. In rural South Africa, a school program that provides free school meals was shown to reduce both the stunting and overweight rates among children aged from 6 to 12 (Graham et al., 2018).

Late school enrolment is also likely to increase childcare expenditure, which may directly impair household food security. In such cases, early school attendance would act as a social protection for underprivileged families by alleviating household food pressures (Bakhshinian et al., 2019). Likewise, the literature suggests that a child’s school enrolment increases his/her mother’s employment, especially for single mothers who then do not have to provide constant care for younger children (Gelbach, 2002; Berlinski and Galiani, 2007). Hence, in addition to incurring extra childcare costs, late school enrolment is likely to delay parental employment and reduce family incomes over a period. Such transitory constraints could make underprivileged households highly vulnerable to food insecurity episodes, which may impact the child’s nutritional status and growth (Leete and Bania, 2010).

The aim of this study is to fill the literature gap on the potential impacts of school starting age on stunting and body mass indicators in the context of a developing country. Based on a rich and recent survey targeting a large sample of Brazilian middle school children (aged 11–15), I implement an instrumental variables (IV) strategy using assumed exogenous variations in birthdate to isolate the impacts of delayed school enrolment. To measure stunting and body mass indicators, I adjust the objective measurements of height and weight collected by the survey’s trained staff for age and gender. The focus on Brazilian middle school children makes this work highly original since the potential effects of school starting age on nutritional outcomes are particularly uncertain in the context of developing countries, where child stunting and overweight often coexist (Doak et al., 2000).

Overall, the results show that early enrolment increases the age-adjusted body mass index (BMI-for-age) and the risk of being overweight, especially for boys. These findings echo previous studies that reported a protective effect of delayed enrolment against weight gain (Mak, 2018), educational failure, and mental health disorders (Black et al., 2011; McEwan and Shapiro, 2008). However, this protective effect may only concern children from privileged family backgrounds. I find that late enrolment reduces BMI-for-age and height-for-age and increases stunting, especially among individuals from underprivileged backgrounds. In contrast, late-enrolled children from privileged background are less likely to be underweight or overweight and have no stunting risks. Several factors may explain this socioeconomic heterogeneity in the nutritional effect of school starting age. First, early enrolment can have health benefits for children from underprivileged background insofar as delayed enrolments may involve extra costs related to longer childcare and parental unemployment (e.g. reducing household food insecurity). Likewise, one can assume that late enrolment delays access to free and dietetic school meals and hence impairs child growth in underprivileged households because of potential nutritional deficiencies.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the Brazilian education system. In Section 3, I describe the data and methods. The results are presented in Section 4, and finally, in Section 5, I conclude and provide public policy recommendations.

2 Similar findings were also observed in wealthy countries. For example, von Hippel et al. (2007) observed a higher increase in young US children weight during summer vacations than during the kindergarten and first-grade school years, suggesting that school exposure has a protective effect against weight gain. Likewise, comparing school meals and packed lunches in English primary schools, Evans et al. (2016) found that the former are of better nutritional quality, being richer in fibers, folates, proteins, zinc with lower sodium and sugar levels.

3 Before 2006, students used to start primary education in the calendar year they turned 7.

4 Unfortunately, exact birth dates are not available in the data.
To exclude children not eligible by the 2006’s educational reform (which changed the compulsory age of primary school entry), I focused on middle school children who were at least 6 years old in 2006 (eligible to the reform), i.e. those who were born from 2000 to 2004 and were between 11 and 15 years old in 2015.

3.2. Model

Let consider the following structural linear model:

\[ Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{LateEnrollment}_i + \beta_2 X_i + \epsilon_i \]

\( Y_i \) refers to nutritional and health outcomes of an individual \( i \), including: (i) the body mass index (BMI) adjusted for age and gender using the World Health Organization (WHO, 2006) correction (z-score),\(^6\) (ii) the BMI clinical classification directly measured by the survey staff using the (WHO, 2006) correction (e.g. overweight, and underweight dummies),\(^7\) (iii) the height-for-age (z-score), and (iv) a dummy of moderate stunting (equal to 1 if height-for-age \(< -2 \) z-scores, 0 otherwise). In the literature, BMI is considered a short-term indicator of nutritional status since weight depends to a great extent on current food consumption and lifestyle (Thomas et al., 1991). In contrast, height-for-age is considered a long-term indicator of health status since physical growth strongly reflects nutritional deprivation and maternal risk behaviours from gestation to early childhood (Thomas et al., 1991).

\( X_i \) refers to the observed characteristics of an individual \( i \). In accordance with the existing literature analysing the socio-environmental causes of child nutritional statuses (e.g. Pongou et al., 2006), I control for individual and family characteristics. At the individual level, I take standard demographic factors into account: gender and race (white, black, Asian, mixed, or Amerindian). At the household level, I control for socio-demographic factors including household size, a household wealth index summing owned assets (as a proxy of family income),\(^8\) and the mother’s education level. Finally, I include school fixed effects and birth month fixed effects interacted with where the school is located in Brazil (North, North-East, South-East, South or Centre-West) to neutralize area- and time-specific heterogeneity (e.g. cultural, geographical, and climate heterogeneity).

\text{LateEnrollment}_i\) refers to the enrollment status, which, for each grade, distinguishes younger peers (early enrollment) from older peers (late enrollment). In Brazil, the school year generally starts in February and ends in December. Assuming that the school year starts in February for all Brazilian middle schools, this means that a child born at the beginning of February would start primary school around age 6, a child born between February and the cut-off date (oscillating between March and April depending on states and municipalities) would start before age 6 (i.e. around age 5.5), but a child born after the cut-off date will start primary school 1 year older (i.e. around age 6.5). The state- and municipal-specific administrative rules for primary school enrollment create a fuzzy discontinuity in the birth month distribution by introducing a 1-year gap in primary school enrolment between children born before and after the cut-off date. Since the exact cut-off date is unknown for each school of the sample, I use a calculation to proxy school starting age and distinguish between early and late enrolment based on the age of children when school started (i.e. assuming February as school year starting date). Specifically, using reported birthdate (in month and year) and information on the grade of each individual \( i \) in 2015, I attribute the value 0 if a child was born after February \( f \) years ago (i.e. younger than \( 6 + f \) years old when enrolled in primary school), and the value 1 if a child was born before February \( f \) years ago (i.e. older than \( 6 + f \) years old when enrolled in primary school). \( f \) is the theoretical number of years between birth and a given school grade (i.e. the expected age of a child for each school grade based on the administrative rule). In Brazil, \( f \) takes the values 11, 12, 13, and 14 for grades 6, 7, 8, and 9 of primary school (i.e. middle school), respectively. Fig. 1 clearly illustrates how each individual in the database is classified between early enrolment (=0) and late enrolment (=1). Table 1 lists the number of observations for both enrolment statuses, and Fig. 2 shows the density functions for each grade.

---

\(^5\) This is not the case for the first sample of PeNSE 2015, which included more than 100,000 students in the final grade of middle school (9º ano do ensino básico).

\(^6\) Before z-score adjustment, individual BMI was obtained dividing weight (kg) by squared height (m).

\(^7\) The adjusted childhood underweight and overweight thresholds correspond to a standard adult equivalent (i.e. \(<18.5\) for underweight status and \(>25\) kg/m\(^2\) for overweight status).

\(^8\) The wealth index is a 5-score index summing the five following assets if owned by household: home landline, a personal mobile phone, home computer, Internet access at home, and a car owned by the household.

---

Table 1

| Sample distribution across school grades (frequencies). |
|---|---|---|---|
| Grade | Late enrolment | Early enrolment | Total |
| Middle school (6th grade) | 1943 | 577 | 2520 |
| Middle school (7th grade) | 2196 | 740 | 2936 |
| Middle school (8th grade) | 1386 | 1033 | 2419 |
| Middle school (9th grade) | 751 | 671 | 1422 |
| Total | 6276 | 3021 | 9297 |

Source: PeNSE 2015, Sample 2.
nately, although most of states chose between February and April as cut-off between children born in March and April of the same year to identify children who enrolled school older.

Positive effect of school starting age on stunting and underweight may influence the relationship between school starting age and nutritional indicators. Unfortunately, there is a potential overrepresentation of stunted and underweight children across states makes the use of a regression discontinuity design impossible. Hence, to deal with potential endogeneity problems, I opt for a two-stage least squares estimator based on an IV approach inspired by Bedard and Dhuey (2006) and Angrist and Krueger (1991). More specifically, I use birthdate (month and year) as an exogenous determinant of school starting age (early versus late enrolments), which is relative to grade information and the school cut-off date. Theoretically, the birthdate is a good instrument to neutralize the suspected endogeneity caused by the parental decision to delay school entry of weak children. Indeed, one can assume a quasi-random distribution of birthdate in pre-existing nutritional status (before school enrolment) and the parental decision to delay school entry, so there is no direct link between birthdate and current nutritional status. More formally, the two-step IV model is formulated as follows:

$$\hat{Y}_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \text{LateEnrollment}_i + \delta_2 \text{X}_i + \delta_3 + u_i$$

with \( \text{LateEnrollment}_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{IV}_i + \gamma_2 \text{X}_i + w_i \)

As modelled above, a first-stage estimation is used to introduce fitted values of enrolment status (LateEnrollment,) uncorrelated with residuals \((\hat{u}_i)\) in a second-stage equation. Such an IV strategy relies on the introduction of instruments in first-stage estimates, which must be strong predictors of enrolment status (relevance condition) but uncorrelated with residuals (exclusion restriction condition). In other words, if the selected instruments meet both conditions, \(\delta_1\) refers to the effect of exogenous variations in delayed enrolment on nutritional outcomes. Like Bedard and Dhuey (2006), I use birthdate data to instrument enrolment status measured in dummies of birth month per year. There are 12 birth months per year, and the sample includes children born from 2000 to 2004 (a 5-year period), corresponding to 60 birth month dummies.

The first validity condition for an instrument is to be a strong predictor of the assumed endogenous regressor, i.e. delayed school enrolment. Bound et al. (1995) questioned the strength of birth season as an

---

9 Obviously, schools and parents do not systematically meet the Brazilian rule of primary school starting age. As shown in Table 1, there are more late-enrolled students in the sample since this group is likely to include students whose parents (intentionally or not) delayed school enrollment. Moreover, since I use a calculation to proxy school starting age and distinguish early/late enrolments (there is no information about actual school starting age), some students are mechanically misclassified: all students who repeated a grade are classified into the late enrollment group, and the few students who skipped a grade are presumably included in the early enrollment group. This fuzzy allocation of early- and late-enrolled students in the sample justifies the use of two-step IV regressions. To check for the robustness of the results against these misclassification errors, I ran non-reported estimates restricting the sample to students who have the correct age for the correct grade (hence excluding students who repeated or skipped a grade). Similar results were obtained.
instrument in the study context of Angrist and Krueger (1991). However, the first-stage estimates run here are not affected by such a weakness problem. As shown in first-stage estimates reported in Table 2, each birth month dummy has a significant effect on the probability of delayed enrolment in primary school (at the 1% level), and F-statistics and partial R-square values on excluded instruments are both high. According to Bound et al. (1995), both latter indicators are useful to assess the strength of an instrument.10

Second, to be valid, IV estimates must comply with the exclusion restriction condition. It means that variations in enrolment status induced by birthdate variations are uncorrelated with unobserved variations in health and nutritional outcomes. Unfortunately, the exclusion restriction assumption is not directly testable and always remains debatable. This assumption could be violated if children born at different times have higher or lower unobserved height-for-age or BMI-for-age. For instance, ethnicity-specific seasonality in conception behaviours might be a concern (Buckles and Hungerman, 2012), especially in a country like Brazil where racial segregation is still common (Dressler et al., 1998). Likewise, as highlighted by Buckles and Hungerman (2012), since family backgrounds are highly correlated to season-of-birth and later outcomes, there is a risk that birthdate does not satisfy the exclusion restriction condition. For example, numerous families are likely to have a certain conception experience and might thus schedule the pregnancy to limit negative externalities such as affecting career plans. To limit this potential source of bias associated with racial and family backgrounds, I control the estimates (everything being equal) for race, family composition, and socioeconomic backgrounds. In addition, I systematically include school fixed effects and birth month fixed effects interacted with regions to alleviate potential direct correlations between the instruments (birthdate) and current nutritional outcomes.

Another potential concern that might violate the exclusion restriction condition relies on parental manipulation of conception or birthdate, with a view to intentionally delay the future school enrolment of their children 6 years later (i.e. which could bias first-stage estimates). Brazil has one of the highest rates of caesarean-section (C-section) births in the world, representing half of all deliveries in the country (Boerma et al., 2018). The authors observed that the C-section rates in Brazil are the highest among mothers with high education levels. However, even if informed and educated parents can theoretically manipulate childbirth by controlling conception periods and/or opting for assisted deliveries (e.g. scheduling a C-section), it is unlikely they would intentionally schedule births to delay future school enrolment and maximize the child’s educational success (expecting a readiness effect for example). Using a large database from Chile, which has a similar C-section rate, McEwan and Shapiro (2008) found no evidence of birthdate manipulation near the enrolment cut-off. Notwithstanding the presumed absence of birth manipulation, I checked for continuity of the birth month distribution around the administrative cut-off that separates early- and late-enrolled students in Brazil. I found no evidence of birth manipulation in the data.11

4. Results

4.1. Descriptive statistics

The restricted sample includes more than 9000 middle school students aged between 11 and 15 (born after 2000) classified as early or late enrolment in primary school according to their birthdate and grade information (Table 1). Table 3 presents the sample means for all observed characteristics used in this study, according to enrolment status. It is worth noting that overweight children are now common in Brazil, with around 29% of the sample (middle school students aged from 11 to 15) classified as overweight in 2015. Underweight status is less prevalent but persists marginally (around 3%), and height-for-age in z-scores is positive but close to 0, suggesting a small proportion of stunting in Brazil (around 1% in the sample). Table 3 shows notable differences across school enrolment status. Both BMI-for-age and height-for-age are higher among early-enrolled students (relatively younger than their grade peers) compared to later-enrolled students (relatively older than their grade peers). As explained earlier, the over-representation of children with lower height-for-age and BMI-for-age among later-enrolled students might be due to endogeneity in the relationship between enrolment status and health status. Indeed, some parents might prefer to keep their children with poor health (being smaller and thinner on average) at home longer, consequently delaying school entry. As shown in Table 3, Black and Mixed children born to mothers with low education are more likely to enrol later. In theory, the overrepresentation of initially healthier students from privileged households in the early enrolment group is likely to bias the effects of late enrolment on BMI-for-age and height-for-age. For this reason, multivariate estimates coupled with an IV strategy is used in the following section.

4.2. IV estimates

Table 4 lists IV estimates of the impacts of late enrolment (vs. early enrolment) on nutritional outcomes. In column 1, the whole sample is regressed. Gender-specific estimates are given in columns 2 and 3. In columns 4 and 5, I test for a heterogeneous effect based on the mother’s education, distinguishing little education (if the mother did not go beyond middle school) from higher educational level (if the mother at least attended high school). Complete Table 4 is available in Table A1 in Online Appendix.

Overall, Table 4 shows that late-enrolled children have lower BMI-for-age than their peers who enrolled younger, per 0.14 z-score unit. Table 4 clearly reveals gender-specific effects regarding BMI-for-age, highlighting a stronger impact among boys (a reduction of 0.21 z-score unit for BMI-for-age and a reduction of overweight risk by 5.9% points). Moreover, I also detected a heterogeneous effect according to family backgrounds. Delayed enrolment increases the probability of being classified as normal weight among students with educated mothers (i.e. reducing the risks of overweight and overweight).

In contrast, Table 4 shows a negative effect of late enrolment on height-for-age (0.30 z-score unit), which significantly increases the risk of moderate stunting by 1.5% points. Even if gender-specific results are unclear, there is clear heterogeneity according to family background. Among students with low-educated mothers, late enrolment significantly increases the risk of stunting by 4.9% points and height-for-age by 0.74 z-score unit, while respective fitted coefficients are significantly lower among students with educated mothers.

5. Conclusion

This article analysed the impact of delayed school enrolment on nutritional and health statuses some years later, considering both stunting and excess weight indicators. Specifically, to assess the impact of late enrolments on BMI-for-age and height-for-age among a sample of

---

10 Given the presence of students and/or Brazilian states that did not comply with the law (regarding the cut-off date for school entry), the prediction is not perfect: fitted coefficients of birth month dummys are different from 1.0 that would be obtained with perfect compliance with the law.

11 A McCray (2008) test revealed no significant distribution gap near the cut-off, indicating that the model is not biased by manipulation problems. Similarly, the Frandsen (2017) manipulation test, which is appropriate for discrete running variables, showed no significant gap regarding birth month around the cut-off (p-value = 0.779).
BMI-for-age and height-for-age indicators some years later. More estimates based on the quasi-randomness of birthdate that determines –

Table 2
First step regressions of late enrolment on instruments and covariates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent outcome: Delayed enrolment</th>
<th>Whole sample</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Better educated mother</th>
<th>Mother with little education (incl. DKN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI-for-age (z-score)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (dummy)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (dummy)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height-for-age (z-score)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunting (dummy)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (dummy)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (dummy)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (dummy)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (dummy)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amerindian (dummy)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size (number)</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic education (dummy)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (dummy)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University (dummy)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKN (dummy)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth index (5-point score)</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Each individual i is weighted using the weight parameter available in PeNSE 2015. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered on discrete months. For representativeness, each individual i is weighted using the weight parameter available in PeNSE 2015. Instruments are birth month per year (total 120 dummies), all with significant fitted coefficients. All covariates are included. The group of mothers with little education includes children with mothers who attended at least high school. The group of better educated mothers includes children with mothers who have at most a basic education and children who do not know their mother’s education level. Significance level: * 10%, * *5%, * ** 1%. Source: PeNSE 2015, Sample 2.
failure potentially affects nutrition and health outcomes by changing feeding behaviours (Goldston et al., 2007; Gustafsson et al., 2010). Hence, one can assume that the hazardous weight gain of early-enrolled boys in Brazil is partly driven by mental issues generated by increased educational failures. Furthermore, higher vulnerability of early-enrolled students to negative school externalities such as bullying (Black et al., 2011) may also explain their weight gain, increasing the risk of suffering from mental health troubles and nutritional disorders (Bauman et al., 2013).

In summary, this study emphasized the ambiguous impact of school starting age on health and nutritional outcomes in developing countries such as Brazil. Globally, there are notable nutritional benefits for enrolling later, probably thanks to greater readiness for absorbing school content, higher maturity to manage knowledge tests, and lower sensitivity to negative school externalities such as bullying. However, late enrolment may have several hidden costs for underprivileged students (e.g. higher childcare expenditures, longer mother unemployment, delayed exposure to school meals), which makes many Brazilian families highly vulnerable to economic shocks and food insecurity with children more prone to nutritional deprivation during growth.

The strong heterogeneity in nutritional issues that characterizes Brazilian schools renders the school enrolment policy inefficient. For instance, introducing a delay in primary school enrolment will probably disadvantage lower social groups. Hence, decision makers should act directly by providing free school meals, anti-bullying and anti-obesity campaigns, and social protection programs for poor families with young children. Future research should focus on empirically identifying effective transmission pathways. Likewise, additional investigations aiming to replicate this research using different databases are needed to test the generalization and robustness of the results. The main limitation is the lack of data about exact school starting age (leading to the calculation of a proxy based on some debatable assumptions). Specifically, the results could be affected by misclassification issues, since students who repeated a grade are misclassified as being late-enrolled. Hence, the inclusion of grade repeaters into the late enrolment group might overstate the harmful nutritional effects observed among underprivileged students, assuming that grade retention is correlated with poor child health.
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