

## Surfactin Stimulated by Pectin Molecular Patterns and Root Exudates Acts as a Key Driver of the Bacillus -Plant Mutualistic Interaction

Grégory Hoff, Anthony Arguelles Arias, Farah Boubsi, Jelena Pršić, Thibault Meyer, Heba Ibrahim, Sébastien Steels, Patricio Luzuriaga, Aurélien Legras, Laurent Franzil, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Grégory Hoff, Anthony Arguelles Arias, Farah Boubsi, Jelena Pršić, Thibault Meyer, et al.. Surfactin Stimulated by Pectin Molecular Patterns and Root Exudates Acts as a Key Driver of the Bacillus -Plant Mutualistic Interaction. mBio, 2021, 12 (6), 10.1128/mBio.01774-21. hal-03522340

### HAL Id: hal-03522340 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03522340v1

Submitted on 12 Jan2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





# Surfactin Stimulated by Pectin Molecular Patterns and Root Exudates Acts as a Key Driver of the *Bacillus*-Plant Mutualistic Interaction

<sup>®</sup> Grégory Hoff,<sup>a,b</sup> Anthony Arguelles Arias,<sup>a</sup> Farah Boubsi,<sup>a</sup> Jelena Pršić,<sup>a</sup> Thibault Meyer,<sup>a,c</sup> Heba M. M. Ibrahim,<sup>d</sup> Sébastien Steels,<sup>a</sup> Patricio Luzuriaga,<sup>a</sup> Aurélien Legras,<sup>a</sup> Laurent Franzil,<sup>a</sup> Michelle Lequart-Pillon,<sup>e</sup> Catherine Rayon,<sup>e</sup> Victoria Osorio,<sup>f</sup> Edwin de Pauw,<sup>f</sup> Yannick Lara,<sup>g</sup> Estelle Deboever,<sup>h</sup> Barbara de Coninck,<sup>d</sup> Philippe Jacques,<sup>a</sup> Magali Deleu,<sup>h</sup> Emmanuel Petit,<sup>e</sup> Olivier Van Wuytswinkel,<sup>e</sup> Marc Ongena<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Microbial Processes and Interactions, TERRA Teaching and Research Center, BioEcoAgro, Joint Research Unit/UMR transfrontalière 1158, University of Liège-Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Gembloux, Belgium

<sup>b</sup>Ecology and Biodiversity, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>c</sup>UMR Ecologie Microbienne, F-69622, University of Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, Villeurbanne, France

<sup>d</sup>Division of Plant Biotechnics, Department of Biosystems, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

eUnité Biologie des Plantes et Innovation, BioEcoAgro, Joint Research Unit/UMR Transfrontalière 1158, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, UFR des Sciences, Amiens, France

fMass Spectrometry Laboratory, MolSys Research Unit, Department of Chemistry, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

9Astrobiology, UR-ASTROBIOLOGY, Geology Department, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>h</sup>Molecular Biophysics at Interfaces Laboratory, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium

**ABSTRACT** *Bacillus velezensis* is considered as a model species belonging to the socalled *Bacillus subtilis* complex that evolved typically to dwell in the soil rhizosphere niche and establish an intimate association with plant roots. This bacterium provides protection to its natural host against diseases and represents one of the most promising biocontrol agents. However, the molecular basis of the cross talk that this bacterium establishes with its natural host has been poorly investigated. We show here that these plant-associated bacteria have evolved a polymer-sensing system to perceive their host and that, in response, they increase the production of the surfactintype lipopeptide. Furthermore, we demonstrate that surfactin synthesis is favored upon growth on root exudates and that this lipopeptide is a key component used by the bacterium to optimize biofilm formation, motility, and early root colonization. In this specific nutritional context, the bacterium also modulates qualitatively the pattern of surfactin homologues coproduced *in planta* and forms mainly variants that are the most active at triggering plant immunity. Surfactin represents a shared good as it reinforces the defensive capacity of the host.

**IMPORTANCE** Within the plant-associated microbiome, some bacterial species are of particular interest due to the disease protective effect they provide via direct pathogen suppression and/or stimulation of host immunity. While these biocontrol mechanisms are quite well characterized, we still poorly understand the molecular basis of the cross talk these beneficial bacteria initiate with their host. Here, we show that the model species *Bacillus velezensis* stimulates the production of the surfactin lipopeptide upon sensing pectin as a cell surface molecular pattern and upon feeding on root exudates. Surfactin favors bacterial rhizosphere fitness on one hand and primes the plant immune system on the other hand. Our data therefore illustrate how both partners use this multifunctional compound as a unique shared good to sustain a mutualistic interaction.

**KEYWORDS** lipopeptides, plant cell wall polymers, plant immunity, molecular crosstalk, plant-microbe interactions

**Citation** Hoff G, Arguelles Arias A, Boubsi F, Pršić J, Meyer T, Ibrahim HMM, Steels S, Luzuriaga P, Legras A, Franzil L, Lequart-Pillon M, Rayon C, Osorio V, de Pauw E, Lara Y, Deboever E, de Coninck B, Jacques P, Deleu M, Petit E, Van Wuytswinkel O, Ongena M. 2021. Surfactin stimulated by pectin molecular patterns and root exudates acts as a key driver of the *Bacillus*-plant mutualistic interaction. mBio 12:e01774-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.01774-21.

Editor Anne K. Vidaver, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

**Copyright** © 2021 Hoff et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Grégory Hoff, g.hoff@uu.nl, or Marc Ongena, marc.ongena@uliege.be. Received 6 July 2021 Accepted 21 September 2021 Published 2 November 2021 **S**oil is among the richest ecosystems in terms of microbial diversity, but only a subset of these microbes has evolved to efficiently establish in the competitive and nutrient-enriched rhizosphere layer surrounding plant roots (1). The rhizosphere includes plant beneficial bacteria dwelling on the rhizoplane as multicellular biofilm communities that feed on exuded carbohydrates (2, 3) and, in turn, contribute to host fitness via growth stimulation and protection against phytopathogens (4, 5). This biocontrol activity is mediated via competition for nutrients and space, direct growth inhibition of the pathogenic (micro)organisms, and more indirectly by stimulating the host defensive capacity in an immunization-like process which leads to induced systemic resistance (ISR) (6, 7). This ISR mechanism results in enhanced defense lines and reduced disease symptoms upon perception of plant beneficial microbes (6, 8).

From an ecological viewpoint, rhizosphere establishment and persistence of these beneficial bacteria rely on various traits, but efficient root colonization and high competitiveness toward the surrounding microbiological network are pivotal. It is hypothesized that the potential to produce a wide range of chemically diverse and bioactive secondary metabolites (BSMs) acting as signals and/or antimicrobials is a common key feature of these beneficial bacteria (5, 9, 10). Members of Bacillus velezensis are considered archetypes of plant-associated beneficial bacilli and are among the most prolific BSM producers with more than 12% of their genome devoted to the synthesis of compounds contributing to both ecological competence and biocontrol activity (11-15). Among their BSM arsenal, the cyclic lipopeptide surfactin is synthesized nonribosomally by a multimodular megaenzyme machinery (encoded by the srfA operon) and is formed as a mix of naturally coproduced homologues with fatty acid chains of various lengths. This multifunctional compound is of particular interest because it retains important roles in key developmental processes, such as bacterial motility, biofilm formation, and root colonization (16-18), but also because it represents the best described Bacillus triggers for plant immunity (6, 8). The potential of surfactin to stimulate ISR has been demonstrated on various plants, including Solanaceae like tobacco and tomato on which it acts as a main if not sole elicitor formed by Bacillus subtilis and B. velezensis (10, 19). In support to its key role in the interaction with the host plant, we also reported previously that surfactin is formed promptly in the course of early colonization and that its production is stimulated upon sensing root tissues (20).

However, in contrast to the well-studied interactions between plants and microbial pathogens or nitrogen-fixing bacteria (21), relatively little is known about the molecular basis of cooperative interactions between plants and beneficial bacteria, such as *B. velezensis* (11, 20, 22). More specifically, how and to what extent the expression of key bacterial BSMs may be modulated by plant factors are poorly understood. A better knowledge is critical not only for providing new insights in rhizosphere chemical ecology but also for optimizing the use of these species as biocontrol agents, which still suffer from insufficient efficacy in practice (23). Here, we investigated the molecular interaction driving the early steps of partnership establishment between plant roots and *B. velezensis*. We show that cell wall pectin acts in synergy with soluble root exudates as plant host cues perceived by *B. velezensis*. In response, the bacterium stimulates the production of specific surfactin variants as key components of its secretome to further improve the fitness of both partners, i.e., early root colonization and thus rhizosphere competence of the bacterium and priming of immunity in the host plant.

#### RESULTS

Pectin fragments of a high polymerization degree act as host cues triggering surfactin production. We described previously that early production of surfactin, as a mix of naturally coproduced homologues with fatty acid chains of various lengths, is stimulated in contact with root tissues and several plant cell wall-associated polymers (PCWPs) (20). In this work, we further investigated this phenomenon focusing on the impact of pectin, as it represents complex sugar polymers typically found in the plant primary cell wall and particularly abundant in the middle lamella layer (24). We first tested the effect of crude pectin extracted from tobacco root PCWPs (referred as cPec)

(Fig. 1a and b for composition and related structure). An 8-fold increase of surfactin production was detected at the early exponential growth phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD<sub>600</sub>], 0.2 to 0.25) in *B. velezensis* GA1 liquid cultures supplemented with cPec compared with an unsupplemented culture (Fig. 1c and d). Surfactin production was also 10 times enhanced upon addition at the same concentration of pure commercially available homogalacturonan (HG) with a high degree of polymerization (DP) (see Fig. S1a and b in the supplemental material) but a low level of methyl-esterification (HGLM) according to the manufacturer (Fig. 1d). HGLM was tested as the most abundant pectic polysaccharide constituent, which represents 65% of the crude primary cell wall pectin (24). Production of this lipopeptide was also enhanced to a similar level upon addition of highly methylated HG (HGHM), showing that the degree of methyl-esterification supplemental material). Altogether, this information supports a key role of the pectin backbone as a plant molecular pattern that is sensed by the bacterium to stimulate surfactin synthesis.

Interestingly, by screening the CAZy database (25) for genes encoding carbohydrate-active enzymes potentially involved in PCWP degradation by B. velezensis, two putative pectate/pectin lyase-encoding genes were detected. These two genes, referred as pelA and pelB (locus tags GL331\_08735 and GL331\_04125 in B. velezensis GA1, respectively), are highly conserved among all sequenced Bacillus genomes that belong to the "operational group Bacillus amyloliquefaciens" (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) (26). pelA and pelB are expressed readily in GA1 in vitro, and the corresponding enzymes efficiently convert HGLM into unsaturated oligogalacturonides with consistent activity occurring at the beginning of stationary phase (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). However, the bacterial perception of oligomers with a lower polymerization degree than HGLM is not obvious since oligogalacturonides (OGs) did not stimulate surfactin biosynthesis (Fig. 1d; Fig. S1c for OG characterization). Supplementation with galacturonic acid (GA) led to a reduction of surfactin production at mid-exponential phase (OD<sub>600</sub>, 0.35) (Fig. 1d). Surfactin production is thus specifically boosted upon sensing long degree of polymerization (DP) polymers but is somehow inhibited in the presence of GA constituting the pectin backbone. Such HGLM-driven surfactin stimulation also occurs in other B. velezensis isolates tested (FZB42, QST713, and S499) and to a lower extent in Bacillus pumilus QST 2808. It does not occur in the non-rhizosphere-dwelling isolates B. amyloliquefaciens DSM 7 or B. subtilis ATCC 21332 (Fig. 1e), suggesting that this trait may be specific to bacilli with a plant-associated lifestyle.

**The root nutritional context favors early surfactin production.** *Bacillus velezensis* quickly colonizes tomato plantlets in a gnotobiotic system and forms visible biofilmlike structures covering the main root and embedding lateral roots after 24 to 48 h postinoculation (Fig. 2a). This process is correlated with consistent *srfAA* gene expression and surfactin production rate in the cell population at these early times, but it was maintained, albeit to a lower level, over the investigated time frame of 7 days (Fig. 2a and b). Since surfactin enhancement linked to the perception of the pectin backbone is only transient (Fig. 1d), we hypothesized that root exudates, constantly secreted by the plant, may also positively impact the synthesis of the lipopeptide. Surfactin production rate was thus compared upon growth in a classical laboratory medium (LB) and in a root exudate-mimicking medium (REM) reflecting the content of carbohydrates typically released by tomato or tobacco roots (27). It revealed an earlier and higher production by cells growing in REM (Fig. 2c). Surfactin production in REM is initiated earlier and is more efficient in *B. velezensis* than that in other closely related but non-plant-associated species, such as *B. amyloliquefaciens* or *B. subtilis* (Fig. 2d).

Addition of HGLM in REM compared with LB revealed a cumulative effect of this PCWP and root exudates on surfactin production (Fig. 3a). This effect could be of clear ecological benefit for the bacterium since surfactin is known to favor the motility of multicellular communities and biofilm formation (16, 28, 29). However, a recent study

Hoff et al.



Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/mbio on 12 January 2022 by 147.100.179.233. 21332 2808 FIG 1 Impact of pectin on early surfactin production. (a) Sugar composition analysis of crude pectin (cPec) extracted from tobacco roots. Composition is expressed as molar ratio percentage (molar %) for each fraction. Galacturonic acid (orange) constituting the pectin backbone (b for schematization) is the main sugar of the cPec fraction. Other minor sugars (e.g., rhamnose, galactose, and arabinose) are found typically in the pectin side chains (24). (b) Schematization of pectin structure. Homogalacturonan (HG) contains an assembly of at least 100 galacturonic acid (GalA) residues that can be acetyl- or methyl-esterified. Rhamnogalacturonan I (RGI) is constituted by a succession of GalA-Rha dimers, with each one containing an alternance of rhamnosyl and galacturonic acid units. The Rha unit can be branched with variable neutral sugar side chains, including essentially galactosyl and/or arabinosyl units. The rhamnogalacturonan II (RGII) structure is well conserved within the HG polymer. RGII englobes 9 GalA units substituted by four side chains with complex sugars, including apiose, dihydroxyacetone (DHA), aceric acid, and ketodeoxyoctonic acid (KDO); neutral sugars like, rhamnose, galactose, arabinose, xylose, and fucose; or also organic acids, such as galacturonic and glucuronic acid. RGII can also complex with bore, allowing a cross link between two HG molecules. (c) Surfactin (cyclic structure represented at top) production in a root exudates mimicking (REM) medium at an early growth phase (OD<sub>600</sub>, 0.2) (Continued on next page)

mbio.asm.org 4



**FIG 2** Impact of the specific rhizosphere nutritional context on early surfactin production. (a) Evaluation of bacterial population (black line, left axis) and relative *srfAA* expression on roots (gray bars, right axis) in a time frame of 7 days postinoculation (dpi). *Bacillus* progression on roots characterized by a biofilm formation was assessed by microscopy at each time point (top part). (b) Surfactin production rate on roots. Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one experiment are shown. (c) Surfactin accumulation measured by UPLC-MS in a 8-h time course experiment in REM medium (gray bars) compared with that in LB medium (red bars). Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one experiment accumulation that in LB medium (red bars). Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one axis) in different *Bacillus* species, including *B. velezensis* (GA1 and S499 in green), *B. pumilus* (QST 2808 in orange), *B. amyloliquefaciens* (DSM 7 in red), and *B. subtilis* (ATCC 21332 in blue). Circle symbols represent plant-associated bacteria, whereas triangle symbols represent non-plant-associated bacteria.

questioned the real role of surfactin in these key functions since its production appears as nonessential for pellicle biofilm formation in *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610, suggesting a strain-dependent role (30). We reported previously that motility and biofilm formation are boosted upon growth on root exudates (27). Here, we show that HGLM supplementation also favors *B. velezensis* GA1 spreading on low-agar medium (Fig. 3b) and early biofilm formation based on pellicle development at the air-liquid interface (31) (Fig. 3c). The role of surfactin in swarming, pellicle formation, and early root colonization was further confirmed for *B. velezensis* GA1. Indeed, swarming motility on lowagar plates was almost reduced to zero in a surfactin-deficient mutant, and the same mutant was more than 3 times less efficient at producing pellicles at the air liquid interface and at promptly colonizing tomato roots after 1 day postinoculation compared with

#### FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

with (red chromatogram) or without (blue chromatogram) crude pectin extract added to the GA1 cultures. The main peak represents  $C_{15}$  surfactin, whereas the minor left and right peaks represent  $C_{14}$  and  $C_{16}$  surfactins, respectively. (d) Surfactin accumulation in the early- (left panel,  $OD_{600}$  of 0.2) and mid- (right panel,  $OD_{600}$  of 0.35) exponential growth phase of GA1 cultures in REM supplemented with different sized pectin fragments, as follows: homogalacturonan low methylated (HGLM), DP of >150; oligogalacturonides (OG), DP of 15; galacturonic acid (GA), DP of 1. Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one experiment are shown. Significant difference between each condition is indicated by different letters, P < 0.01. (e) Comparison of surfactin induction level by HGLM in the early-exponential growth phase for different *Bacillus* species, as follows: *Bacillus velezensis* (*B. v*), *Bacillus anyloiquefaciens* (*B. a*), *Bacillus subtilis* (*B. s*), and *Bacillus pumilus* (*B. p*). For each strain tested, surfactin accumulation was normalized with the control condition without HGLM represented by the black dotted line. Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates are shown.



**FIG 3** Ecological importance of an early surfactin accumulation. (a) Evaluation of HGLM and root exudate synergistic effect on early surfactin production. Time course experiment for surfactin quantification was performed in REM (gray curves) and LB medium (red curves) with (circle symbols) or without (square symbols) addition of HGLM. Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one experiment are shown. (b) Swarming potential of *B. velezensis* GA1 on soft agar plates after addition of HGLM or not. The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartile, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 7 biological replicates of one experiment). (c) Evaluation of *B. velezensis* ability to form pellicles on microwell plates after addition of HGLM or not. The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartile, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 8 biological replicates of one experiment). (c) Evaluation of *B. velezensis* ability to form pellicles on microwell plates after addition of HGLM or not. The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartile, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 8 biological replicates of one experiment). Pellicle formation is illustrated on the right. (d) Comparison of *B. velezensis* GA1 WT (red) and a  $\Delta srfAA$  mutant (gray) for their swarming potential in a time course study. Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one experiment are shown. Time course study is illustrated on the right. (e) Comparison of pellicle formation between GA1 WT strain (red) and a  $\Delta srfAA$  mutant (gray). The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartiles, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 8 biological replicates of one experiment) \*\*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001. (f) *In vitro* comparison of root colonization ability of GA1 (red boxes) and GA1  $\Delta srfAA$  (gray boxes) on tomato plantlets

the wild type (WT) (Fig. 3d, e, and f). Collectively, these data allow a correlation of the positive impact of PCWPs on bacterial motility, biofilm formation, and early root colonization through an anticipated surfactin production in *B. velezensis*.

**Surfactin induction by PCWPs is not linked to major transcriptional changes.** Both HGLM and root exudates stimulate surfactin production in GA1. However, while no activation of the *srfA* biosynthetic gene cluster was observed upon HGLM addition (Fig. 4a), an early and high surfactin gene expression was measured in *PsrfA\_gfp* cells growing in REM compared with LB medium (Fig. 4b), suggesting that the two phenomena rely on a different regulatory pathway. To unravel transcriptome-wide changes in GA1 associated with the perception of HGLM, RNA sequencing was performed on cells grown in REM with or without addition of HGLM and collected at various time points (lag, early-exponential, and a mid-exponential phases). The data confirmed that HGLM perception is not linked to an increased expression of the *srfA* operon but also revealed a quite limited and transient transcriptional reprogramming with only 58 genes differentially expressed over this time frame (Table 1). Remarkably, more than 30% of these genes are involved in stress response or cell wall modifications and are downregulated in the presence of HGLM (Fig. 4c). We thus hypothesize that a long-term coevolution process may have facilitated *Bacillus* establishment on the roots by the inhibition of a



**FIG 4** Impact of plant trigger perception on *Bacillus* transcriptome. (a) Surfactin expression measured by fluorescence in the GA1 *PsrfA\_gfp* reporter strain at early-exponential phase in REM (gray bars) compared with that of REM supplemented with HGLM (red bars). Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one representative experiment are shown; ns, nonsignificant. (b) Surfactin expression measured by fluorescence in the GA1 *PsrfAp::gfp* reporter strain in a 24-h time course study in EM (gray bars) compared with that in LB medium (red bars). Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one representative experiment are shown; ns, nonsignificant. (b) Surfactin expression measured by fluorescence in the GA1 *PsrfAp::gfp* reporter strain in a 24-h time course study in EM (gray bars) compared with that in LB medium (red bars). Means  $\pm$  SE from three biological replicates of one representative experiment are shown. \*\*\*, *P* < 0.001. (c) Classification of the different genes carrying a significant fold change (1.5 log<sub>2</sub>) 5 and 8 h after addition of HGLM compared with that of the control condition. The outer circle represents the proportion of upregulated (dark blue) and downregulated (red) genes. The inner circle represents the proportion of genes belonging to the different functional family described in the legend.

costly stress response after perception of HGLM. Addition of HGLM also leads to a 4.2fold reduced expression of *flgM* encoding an inhibitor of SigD, the  $\sigma$  factor involved in the activation of motility-related genes (32). This process may contribute to an enhanced spreading of multicellular communities in addition to the positive effect of surfactin mentioned above.

Root exudates drive the bacterium to form surfactin homologues with long fatty acid chain (LFAC) and variants enriched in valine. The nonribosomal peptide synthetase assembly (NRPS) machinery works as an assembly line in which each module is responsible for recruiting and binding a specific amino acid to the nascent peptide after a first lipoinitiation step for binding the fatty acid (FA) taken up from the cellular pool (Fig. 1a) (33, 34). In that way, surfactin is typically composed of saturated  $C_{12}$ to  $C_{19}$ -FA of the linear, iso, or anteiso type of branching (35). Besides an increased production of surfactin, we also observed an effect on the pattern of surfactin variants synthesized by B. velezensis in the presence of artificial plant exudates, as well as in naturally produced exudates and in planta upon root colonization (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). Indeed, ultraperformance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) profiling revealed that the surfactin pattern produced by GA1 in REM is enriched in surfactin iso- $C_{14}$  (*i* $C_{14}$ ) and other variants compared with that in LB medium (Fig. 5b). They correspond to variants of the canonical structure with substitution of Leu by Val for the last residue of the cyclic peptide moiety (Val<sub>7</sub>) and, to a much lower extent, to the same substitution in position 2 (Val<sub>2</sub>) (Fig. 5c; see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). Valine is used both as a precursor for the synthesis of

				Conserva	tion in rela	ted species	a		
		Fold char	nge at:	B. amyloli: DSM 7	quefaciens	B. subtilis	168		
Locus tag	Name	5 h	8 h	QC (%)	ID (%)	QC (%)	ID (%)	Category	Information
GL331_00010	floT (yuaG)		-3.048	100	93.2	97	81.46	Stress	Inner membrane protein, flotillin-like protein
GL331_00015	yuaF	-3.016		66	93.4	94	68.97	Stress	Involved in the control of membrane fluidity
GL331_00985	yusU	-3.072		100	95.12	66	76.37	Unknown	Unknown
GL331_01085	liaH		-4.878	100	93.95	100	77.21	Stress	<i>lia</i> operon expression modulator, similar to phage shock protein,
									resistance against oxidative stress, and cell wall antibiotics
GL331_01090	lial		-3.846	100	93.96	100	69.71		Resistance against oxidative stress and cell wall antibiotics
GL331_01180	fhuD	-3.176		100	95.1	66	77.31	Transport	Hydroxamate siderophore ABC transporter
GL331_01520	opuCA		3.066	100	95.61	66	82.35	Transport	Glycine betaine/carnitine/choline/arsenobetaine/arsenocholine ABC
	hnf	7691		100	70	100	02 71	Ctrocs	Itansporter Ganaral etrace aratain racuirad for vibacama dimarization in tha
	Idu	000.4-		001	16	0	1/.00	SCALIC	derietal suless protein, required for inbosonine uniferization in the stationary bhase, protects essential ribosomal proteins
GL331 02265	flaN	-3.608		100	96.48	76	76.55	Motility	Flagellar filament assembly protein
GL331_02270	flgM	-4.334		100	98.5	100	77.15		Negative regulator of flagellin synthesis, anti-sigD
GL331_02275	yvyF	-4.562		100	95.71	100	78.38		Unknown
GL331_02335	tuaF		-5.05	100	92.51	100	70.91	Cell wall	Teichuronic acid biosynthesis protein
GL331_02355	tuaB		-3.262	100	94.41	100	73.25	Cell wall	Polymer export
GL331_03100	maeA		-3.69	100	97.29	100	82.88	Metabolism	Malate utilization
GL331_03645	ywcE		-3.516	100	96.93	89	85.04	Sporulation	Holin, spore morphogenesis and germination protein
GL331_03950	cydB	-5.742	-3.506	100	95.38	100	79.45	Metabolism	Cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase subunit 2
GL331_03955	cydA	-4.792		100	96.52	100	82.3		Cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase subunit 1
GL331_04110			-3.374					Unknown	Hypothetical protein
GL331_04120	wapA		-4.134			92	77.81	Cell wall	Cell wall-associated protein precursor
GL331_04135	yxiE	-3.784		100	95.53	98	78.25	Stress	Universal stress protein
GL331_04235		-3.672		100	94.18	89	75.79	Transport	Hydroxamate siderophore ABC transporter
GL331_04550			3.198	66	96.39			Transport	Transport protein (putative quinolone resistance)
GL331_04560	thiF		3.138					Metabolism	Thiazole biosynthesis adenylyltransferase
GL331_04675			-3.768	100	92.69			Unknown	PIG-L family deacetylase
GL331_05550	ctsR	-3.034		100	96.77	100	86.45	Regulation	Transcriptional repressor, protein synthesis, modification and
	-					ł		-	degradation
GL331_06040	btr _	-3.352		100	91.23	77	08.27	Kegulation	Iranscriptional activator, regulation of iron acquisition
GL331_00530	ycer		-3.108	001	10.06	100	82.43	Stress	General stress protein, manganese resistance protein
GL331_06540	усен		-3.104	100	95.22	98	83.68	-	Similar to toxic anion resistance protein
GL331_06585		-3.342		100	69.66	97	81.42	Metabolism	L-Lactate dehydrogenase
GL331_07095	yczJ		-4.126	100	95.64	66	74.38	Unknown	Unknown
GL331_07100			-3.124						
GL331_07310	gsiB	-3.068		100	96.6	93	89.97	Stress	General stress protein, response to glucose starvation or to water deficits
GL331_08210	pspA		-3.664	100	93.74	96	74.52	Stress	Phage shock protein A homolog, paralogous to <i>liaH</i>
GL331_08215	ydjG		-3.246	66	94.43	66	75.05		Unknown
GL331_08225	ydjl		-3.014	66	97.01	98	77.93		Unknown
GL331_09875	nhaX	-3.04		66	92.59	66	74.9	Stress	Universal stress protein
GL331_10225	yhzC	-3.306		100	94.87	100	85.04	Unknown	Unknown

mbio.asm.org 8

November/December 2021 Volume 12 Issue 6 e01774-21

				Conserva	tion in rela	ted species	a		
				B. amyloliç	quefaciens				
		Fold chai	nge at:	DSM 7		B. subtilis	168		
Locus tag	Name	5 h	8 h	QC (%)	ID (%)	QC (%)	ID (%)	Category	Information
GL331_10530	argJ		3.852	66	94.82	66	77.23	Metabolism	Biosynthesis of arginine
GL331_10535	argB		5.39	100	91.51	100	71.5		
GL331_10540	argD		4.64	100	93.96	96	74.62		
GL331_10545	carA		5.726	100	93.48	100	73.46		
GL331_10715	cwlQ	-3.67		100	92.43	75	77.02	Cell wall	Bifunctional cell wall hydrolase
GL331_14205	iseA	-5.102		100	93.19	100	72	Cell wall	Cell wall endopeptidases and cell separation inhibitor
GL331_15495	sigX		-3.024	100	97.44	66	87.18	Sigma factor	RNA polymerase sigma factor
GL331_15575	ribH		3.352	100	95.91	100	81.29	Metabolism	Riboflavin biosynthesis
GL331_15580	ribA		3.946	100	94.57	100	78.11		
GL331_15585	ribE		3.896	100	94.29	100	74.88		
GL331_15590	ribD		4.102	100	94.44	66	76.73		
GL331_15930	loaP	-3.848						Regulation	Antiterminator involved in regulation of polyketide synthesis
GL331_16515	pstBB		-5.384	66	92.7	93	73.36	Metabolism, transport	High-affinity phosphate uptake, phosphate ABC transporter
GL331_16520	pstBA		-4.924	100	92.32	89	77		
GL331_16525	pstA		-4.038	100	94.8	66	79.07		
GL331_17335			-5.61	100	89.17	48	75.86	Unknown	Unknown
GL331_17345	safA		-4.26	66	89.73	42	76.04	Sporulation	Major organizer of the inner spore coat
GL331_17895	pftB	-3.294		100	95.03	100	81.73	Metabolism, transport	Pyruvate transporter
GL331_17900	pftA	-3.958		100	97.28	83	79.89		
GL331_18085	ytzJ	-3.29		100	98.96	100	84.38	Unknown	Unknown
GL331_18115	argH		5.002	100	95.06	66	83.98	Metabolism	Biosynthesis of arginine
GL331_18120	argG		5.144	100	95.3	100	81.77		
<sup>a</sup> QC, query cover; ll	D, identity.								



FIG 5 Qualitative impact of root exudates on surfactin production. (a) Representation of the NRPS machinery leading to the assembly of the surfactin molecule. This megaenzyme is organized in 7 functional units called modules which are each responsible for the incorporation of one amino acid building block into the growing peptide chain. Each module is subdivided into different domains, including an adenylation (A; violet circle) and a peptidyl carrier protein (PCP; red circle) catalyzing the peptide initiation and one condensation domain (C; brown circle) responsible for peptide elongation. The termination of the peptide synthesis is performed by a thioesterase domain (TE; blue circle) in the last module. Modules 3 and 6 also possess an epimerization domain (E; green circle). The surfactin molecule contains a 7-amino acid chain structured as follows: L-Glu-L-Leu-D-Leu-L-Val-L-Asp-D-Leu-L-Leu. In some specific variants, Leu in position 2 and/or 7 can be substituted by a Val and more rarely by an Ile, and inversely, Val in position 4 can be substituted by a Leu and also more rarely by a lle. In addition to the amino acid chain variability, multiple homologues with the same peptidic core but differences in terms of fatty acid chain length (C12 to C17) or isomerization (iso, anteiso, or linear configuration) can also be produced. (b) Comparison of surfactin pattern in REM and LB medium. Based on MS-MS analyses, nine different surfactin forms were identified (a, C12-Glu-Leu-Val-Asp-Leu-Leu; b,  $\mathsf{C}_{13}\text{-}\mathsf{Glu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Val}\text{-}\mathsf{Asp}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Val}\text{-}\mathsf{Asp}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Val}\text{-}\mathsf{Asp}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf{Val}\text{-}\mathsf{Asp}\text{-}\mathsf{Leu}\text{-}\mathsf$ Glu-Leu-Val-Asp-Leu-Val; f, C<sub>14</sub>-Glu-Leu-Val-Asp-Leu-Val; g, C<sub>14</sub>-Glu-Leu-Leu-Val-Asp-Leu-Val; and h, C<sub>14</sub>-Glu-Val-Asp-Leu-Val). (c) Relative proportions of surfactin variants in LB, REM, REM supplemented with valine, and in planta. (d) Qualitative and quantitative role of CodY on surfactin production. In a WT strain, 95% of the surfactin molecules are carrying a Leu in position 7 (gray bars) and only 5% are carrying a Val (red bars), whereas in the  $\Delta codY$  mutant, almost 25% of the surfactin molecules are carrying a Val in position 7 and 75% are carrying a Leu. In addition, the amount of total surfactin production rate of 150% can be observed in the  $\Delta codY$  mutant compared with the WT strain. Proportion of iso-C<sub>14</sub> is also affected by CodY, 36% of total  $C_{1a}$  are iso-fatty acid (gray bars), and 64% are linear (red bars) in WT strain, whereas in the  $\Delta codY$  mutant, 55% of  $C_{14}$  are iso- $C_{14}$  and 45% are linear. Again, the total amount of  $C_{14}$  is higher in the  $\Delta codY$  mutant (increase of 190%).

branched fatty acids with an even number of carbons and as a building block by the NRPS to form the peptide moiety. Supplementation of the medium with deuterated L-Val-d<sup>8</sup> resulted in an additional increase in the proportions of surfactin iso-C14 and Val<sub>7</sub> isoforms labeled at the expected positions in the peptide and in the fatty acid tail (Fig. S5). Based on these data, the higher relative proportions of  $iC_{14}Val^7$  formed in

November/December 2021 Volume 12 Issue 6 e01774-21

REM, but also *in planta* (Fig. 5c), most probably result from some enrichment of the intracellular pool in valine upon growth in the presence of root exudates (see Discussion in the supplemental material; see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). Given the reduced specificity of NRPS domains involved in selection and activation of leucine at positions 2 and 7, the megaenzyme would preferably bind valine as it is more available in the pool.

As already described in *B. subtilis* (36, 37), the pleiotropic regulator CodY acts as repressor of surfactin synthesis in *B. velezensis* GA1 as illustrated by the 1.9-fold increase in production by the  $\Delta codY$  mutant of strain GA1. Interestingly, CodY activity/ codY expression is also itself impacted negatively by high cellular concentrations in branched-chain amino acids (38). Both quantitative and qualitative changes in surfactin production upon growth in exudates could therefore be, at least partly, due to a lower CodY activity (see Text S2 in the supplemental material). In support of the role played by this regulator, a similar impact on surfactin pattern was observed by deleting codY in GA1 or by supplementing the culture medium of the wild-type with valine (Fig. 5d).

Long fatty acid chain surfactins act as key triggers of receptor-independent plant immunity. Based on the potential of surfactin to serve as a host immunity elicitor (9, 39), we next wanted to evaluate the possible relevance of quantitative and qualitative modulation of the surfactin pattern driven by the plant for its own benefit.

Upon application as a root treatment, pure surfactin used as a mixture of isoforms formed in REM induced systemic resistance in hydroponically grown tobacco plants providing approximately 45% to 50% significant disease reduction on leaves infected subsequently with the pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Fig. 6a). The various isoforms were then HPLC purified and tested individually revealing that only long fatty acid homologues ( $C_{14}/C_{15}$ ) provided systemic protection to a similar level, whereas short fatty acid homologues ( $C_{12}/C_{13}$ ) were inactive (Fig. 6b). Moreover, plant immunization by surfactin is dose dependent, and concentrations up to 5  $\mu$ M are sufficient to significantly stimulate ISR (Fig. 6c). Interestingly, such low  $\mu$ M concentrations are actually in the range of those that could accumulate in the root vicinity within a few days upon colonization by GA1 (see Fig. S7 in the supplemental material).

We next wanted to correlate this systemic protection induced by the lipopeptide with its potential to trigger locally early immune-related events, such as the extracellular burst, in reactive oxygen species (ROS) involved in defense and signaling in pathogen-triggered immunity (PTI) (40, 41). In contrast with flagellin (epitope Flg22), one of the best characterized microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) isolated from bacterial pathogens, treatment with surfactin did not induce burst in apoplastic ROS in root tissues (Fig. 6d). However, surfactin-mediated ROS signaling still occurs since a clear cytoplasmic ROS accumulation was observed (Fig. 6e). Little information is available about the spatiotemporal dynamics of such a ROS burst, but it may originate from different organelles and has been occasionally described in response to the perception of biotic and abiotic stresses (42, 43). Using cytoplasmic ROS as markers, the same trend as for ISR tests could be observed regarding the influence of the structure on the activity of surfactin since long fatty acid homologues but not short ones efficiently stimulated early immune reaction (Fig. 6f). This result means that a single additional methylene group in the fatty acid tail of the molecule ( $C_{14}$  versus  $C_{13}$ ) likely determines its immunization potential (Fig. 6b and f). In contrast, substitution of Leu<sup>7</sup> by a Val in the C<sub>14</sub> homologue does not impact activity, suggesting that the peptide moiety is not essential for perception by plant cells. In addition, the  $\mu$ M concentrations required for optimal eliciting activity of surfactin are very high compared with PAMPs active in the nM range (44). Our previous data showed that surfactin elicitation is still active after pretreatment of plant cells with proteases, strongly suggesting that the lipopeptide is not bound in the apoplast by some domain of a protein anchored in the plasma membrane. Moreover, the effect of surfactin is conserved when tested a few minutes after a first application of the molecule. By contrast with MAMPs, there is thus no refractory state due to saturation of high-affinity binding sites on putative receptors (45, 46). Collectively all these results indicate that surfactin is perceived by plant cells via a

Hoff et al.



**FIG 6** Impact of surfactin homologues on *Solanaceae* plant immunity. (a to c) Systemic resistance induced in hydroponically grown tobacco by surfactin and expressed as reduction of *B. cinerea* infection (illustration of the reduction in the diameter of spreading lesions on infected leaves) in plants treated at the root level prior to pathogen inoculation on leaves compared with that of control plants. Data represent results grouped from 2 independent experiments with similar results and each involving 5 plants with 4 lesions on the second leaf (n = 40). The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartiles, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 7 biological replicates of one experiment). (a) Effect of surfactin homologues (SF mix) as naturally coproduced by the bacterium ( $C_{12}/C_{13}/C_{14}/C_{15}$  in relative proportions of 8%/17%/33%/42%); \*\*\*\*\*, P < 0.001. (b) Effect of HPLC-purified surfactin homologues applied at 10  $\mu$ M with fatty acid chains from  $C_{12}$  to  $C_{15}$ . Significant difference between each condition is indicated by different letters; P < 0.05. (c) Effect of the most active  $C_{14}$  homologue tested at various concentrations. The significant difference between each condition is indicated by different letters; P < 0.05. (d and e) Stimulation of oxidative burst in root tissues upon treatment with an SF mix and to the response observed by treating roots with flagellin (flg22; 1  $\mu$ M) used as a positive control. (d) Stimulation of apoplastic ROS accumulation (DCFH-DA fluorescent probe) in root tissues upon treatment with a surfactin mix applied at 15  $\mu$ M. Means and standard deviations are shown for one representative experiment performed on nine samples per (Continued on next page)

mechanism independent of pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) involved in MAMP perception (40, 41, 44, 47, 48). We therefore postulated that surfactin perception relies on some interaction with the lipid phase of the plant plasma membrane. Binding experiments via isothermal titration calorimetry and leakage assays based on the release of fluorescent probe were performed using liposomes prepared with lipids specific to the plant plasma membrane (palmitoyl linoleoyl phosphatidylcholine [PLPC]/sitosterol/glucosylceramide). It revealed that long fatty acid homologues have a higher affinity for these vesicles than the short fatty acid forms and display a higher destabilizing effect on the lipid bilayer when added at concentrations of 5  $\mu$ M or higher (Fig. 6g and h). These biophysical data thus correlated well with the contrasting biological activities of longer C<sub>14</sub>/C<sub>15</sub> and shorter C<sub>12</sub>/C<sub>13</sub> surfactin homologues.

According to the priming concept (49), we previously showed that ISR triggered by the lipopeptide in that plant as well as in tobacco and *Arabidopsis* is not associated with a fast and strong expression of defensive mechanisms before pathogen infection (20, 39). In order to verify that surfactin elicitation does not cause a massive release of antimicrobials from plant tissues, tomato roots were pretreated with the lipopeptide before inoculation with *B. velezensis*. As expected, it did not impact the subsequent colonization in terms of rate and dynamics compared with untreated plants, indicating the absence of potential adverse effects on the bacterial partner (Fig. 6i).

#### DISCUSSION

A large part of the interactions between bacteria and plants is known to be mediated by small-size secreted products (50). However, a better understanding of the chemical cross talk at the plant-bacterium interface and its impact on bacterial ecology, plant fitness, and immune responses remains challenging. In epiphytic soil bacilli, root exudates induce expression of an array of genes involved in various functions, such as chemotaxis and nutrient acquisition (51–53). Our data further illustrate that the use of this cocktail of molecules released by roots and also the perception of some cell wall polymers may drive these bacteria to efficiently produce key components of the secondary metabolome and more specifically the multifunctional surfactin lipopeptide (20). As an amphiphilic molecule and powerful biosurfactant, surfactin is presumably viewed as a membrane-active compound with potent antimicrobial activity. However, this lipopeptide is poorly antibacterial and antifungal (54). In *B. velezensis*, more obvious ecological functions of this CLP are to contribute to motility, biofilm formation, and root colonization. An enhanced production upon host perception thus constitutes a major force driving successful rhizosphere establishment.

Homogalacturonan acts as a cue to enhance surfactin secretion by bacterial cells, but no transcriptional induction of the corresponding biosynthesis operon was observed. Surfactin synthesis is integrated in a complex network involving several pleiotropic regulators acting

#### FIG 6 Legend (Continued)

treatment, with each containing three root segments (approximately 100 mg FW) collected from different plants (n = 9). A similar trend was obtained in an independent assay. (e) Stimulation of cytoplasmic hydrogen peroxide production in root cells. Means and SDs were calculated from measurements performed on three samples per treatment, with each containing three root segments (approximately 100 mg FW) collected from different plants. Data represent values obtained from two independent experiments (n = 6 per treatment). (f) Stimulation of cytoplasmic hydrogen peroxide production in root cells after treatment with  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{14}$  surfactin homologues as a representative of short and long fatty acid chains, respectively. Flg22 was used as a control. The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartiles, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 6 biological replicates of one experiment). The significant difference between each condition is indicated by different letters; P < 0.0001. (g) Binding coefficient (K) of surfactin homologues (C12 to C15) to large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) composed by PLPC-sitosterol-glucosylceramide (60:20:20 molar ratio). Means  $\pm$  SE from three to five biological replicates of one representative experiment are shown. The significant difference between each condition is indicated by different letters; P < 0.05. (h) Release of 8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6 trisulfonic acid (HPTS) from PLPC-sitosterol-glucosylceramide (60:20:20 molar ratio) LUV, upon addition of surfactin C<sub>12</sub> or C<sub>14</sub> at different concentrations. The ordinate shows the amount of HPTS released after 15 min in the presence of the  $C_{12}$  or  $C_{14}$  as a percentage of the total amount released by Triton X-100. (i) Influence of roots pretreatment with 10 µM surfactin (blue boxes) compared with that of nontreated roots (red boxes) on B. velezensis GA1 root colonization. The box plots encompass the 1st and 3rd quartiles, the whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum points, and the midline indicates the median (n = 5biological replicates of one experiment). Ns, nonsignificant.

directly or indirectly on the expression of the *srfA* operon (55–58). However, we hypothesize that surfactin induction by HGLM may rather rely on posttranscriptional changes as reported for the effect of the DegU and YczE regulators on the production of another CLP, bacillomycin D (59). Despite the relatively close genetic proximity between the tested strains, our data showing a variable level of surfactin induction in response to HGLM suggest that regulation of surfactin may be slightly different in *B. velezensis, B. amyloliquefaciens,* and *B. subtilis.* As it represents a key infochemical devoted to cross talk with the host plant, surfactin regulation may have been fine-tuned in rhizosphere species to better fit with the nutritional or more broadly the ecological context.

Deciphering the mechanism by which *B. velezensis* recognizes pectin and enhances surfactin production would help to identify candidate genes and pathways that are responsible for plant sensing, ensuring persistence on roots which globally remains very poorly known for beneficial rhizobacteria. We are currently investigating whether some cell surface proteins may act as receptors for homogalacturonan perception and binding, as described recently for *Sphingomonas* sp. (60), another beneficial species living in association with plants (61). Some insights could be obtained by scrutinizing the few genes conserved in *B. velezensis* but missing in non-plant-associated *B. amylolique faciens* strains that are not responsive to pectin (62). Interestingly, shorter fragments of HG and galacturonic acid do not stimulate surfactin secretion. It is therefore tempting to hypothesize that sensing an unaltered polymer could indicate a healthy host suitable for bacterial colonization, while the perception of monomers or low DP oligomers may reflect a dead or infected plant that is unable to adequately provide resources.

Our data illustrate for the first time that *B. velezensis* can also modulate gualitatively its surfactin pattern by growing in its natural nutritional context, i.e., on root exudates. Substitution of leucine by valine in the peptide part is not expected to impact the contribution of the lipopeptide to colonization by the producing strain itself, considering the minor effect of these structural changes on motility and biofilm formation potential (18). Small modifications in the peptide sequence may nevertheless avoid surfactin hijacking for use as a signal prompting heterologous biofilm formation by closely related competitor species (18). Based on our observations, the most obvious benefit of an increased proportion of long fatty acid chain homologues is for the host plant since they represent the most active forms for priming immunity with no impact on host fitness (20, 39), in contrast with PTI (63, 64). As the bacterial partner does not have to face strong defensive responses from this reaction, it ensures positive mutualistic cohabitation allowing establishment of populations on roots. The persistence of threshold populations is necessary for the consistent production of other specialized secondary metabolites more directly involved in warding off both microbial competitors and plant soilborne pathogens in the context of biocontrol.

Surfactin stimulation upon sensing host molecular patterns may thus reflect an aspect of plant-*Bacillus* coevolution, as it makes a shared good out of this multifunctional lipopeptide. To some extent, it might represent a facet of the plant-driven selection process resulting in active recruitment of this bacterium as a species that provides beneficial functions. Other bacterial genera, such as *Pseudomonas* also prevailing in the rhizosphere microbiome, actively produce CLPs with similar roles as surfactin. Evaluating whether their synthesis is also modulated by plant cues would conceptually allow broadening the significance of these lipopeptide-mediated interkingdom interactions for bacterial ecology, plant health, and biocontrol.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial media and growth conditions.** Cultures were performed at 26°C in root exudate mimicking medium (EM) (27) or in LB medium. EM was prepared by mixing 3 different solutions (pH 7.5) after autoclaving, as follows: 1/4 of sugar solution (per liter of 4 g glucose, 6.8 g fructose, 0.8 g maltose, and 1.2 g ribose), 1/2 of organic acid solution (per liter of 8 g citrate, 8 g oxalate, 6 g succinate, 2 g malate, and 2 g fumarate), and 1/2 of all media [per liter of 0.685 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 21 g morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS), 0.5 g MgSO<sub>4</sub> 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 g KCl, 1 g yeast extract, 1 g Casamino Acids, 2 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and 100  $\mu$ l of each trace solution of Fe<sub>2</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (12 g/liter<sup>-1</sup>), Mn SO<sub>4</sub> (4 g/liter<sup>-1</sup>), Cu SO<sub>4</sub> (16 g/liter<sup>-1</sup>), and Na<sub>2</sub> MoO<sub>4</sub> (40 g/liter<sup>-1</sup>]). To test the effect of plant cell wall polymers, each specific plant polysaccharide was

#### TABLE 2 Strains used in this study

Strain by species	Characteristic(s)	Source
Bacillus velezensis		
GA1	Wild-type strain	84
GA1 Psrf_gfp	<i>amyE</i> ::Psrf_ <i>gfp</i> + <i>chI</i> ; ChI+	This study
GA1 Δ <i>srfAA</i>	$\Delta$ <i>srfAA::chI</i> ; ChI+	This study
GA1 $\Delta codY$	$\Delta codY::chl; Chl+$	This study
S499	Wild-type strain	15
FZB42	Wild-type strain	13
QST713	Wild-type strain	85
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens		
DSM 7	Wild-type strain	ATCC
Bacillus subtilis		
ATCC 21332	Wild-type strain	ATCC
Bacillus pumilus		
QST 2808	Wild-type strain	86
Escherichia coli		
dh5 $\alpha$	Wild-type strain	CGSC
dh5 $\alpha$ pGEM-T Easy <i>amyE</i>	pGEM-T Easy <i>amyE</i> ; Amp+	This study
dh5α pGEM-T Easy <i>amyEup-cat-gfp-amyEdw</i>	pGEMT-T Easy amyEup-cat-gfp-amyEdw; Amp+ ChI+	This study
dh5 $\alpha$ pGFP_Star	pGFP-Star; ChI+	This study

added at a final concentration of 0.1% in the culture medium. Low (HGLM, <5%) and high (HGHM, >95%) methylated homogalacturonan were provided from Elicityl Oligotech, whereas oligogalacturonides and p-galacturonic acid were provided from Sigma.

Strain construction. All the bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 2. All the primers used in this study are available upon request. To follow the expression level of the srf operon in GA1, we constructed a gfp transcriptional fusion under the control of the srf promoter and integrated it into the amyE locus. First, a GA1 amyE amplicon containing a native Kasl restriction site was integrated in the PGEMT easy system. In parallel, a cat-gfp cassette containing (i) a chloramphenicol resistance gene (cat) and (ii) a promoterless gfpmut3.1 gene was amplified with primers containing Kasl sites at their 5' extremities using the pGFP star as a matrix (65). The pGEMT amyE plasmid and the cat-gfp amplicon were both digested by Kasl (New England BioLabs [NEB]), and the two linear fragments with compatible 5' overhangs were ligated together to obtain the PGEMT amyEup-cat-qfp-amyEdw plasmid. To construct the final mutation cassette, an overlap extension PCR was assessed by following the method developed by Bryksin and Matsumura (66). One first fragment containing the upper *amyE* homologous region and the cat gene and a second fragment englobing the gfpmut3.1 gene and the lower amyE homologous region were both amplified using the PGEMT amyEup-cat-gfp-amyEdw plasmid as a matrix. A third fragment was amplified using GA1 genome as a matrix with chimeric primers designed to obtain a srf promoter amplicon flanked by 20-bp connectors in 5' and 3' containing homologies to the upper and lower amyE fragments, respectively. All three fragments were joined with a second PCR race to obtain the final cassette. B. velezensis GA1 transformation was performed after modification from the protocol developed by Jarmer et al. (67). Briefly, one colony was inoculated into LB liquid medium at 37°C (160 rpm) during 6 h, and cells were washed two times with peptone water. A total of 1  $\mu$ g of the recombinant cassette was added to the GA1 cell suspension adjusted to an  $\mathsf{OD}_{600}$  of 0.01 into MMG liquid medium (19 g liter<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> anhydrous, 6 g liter<sup>-1</sup> KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g liter<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>3</sub> citrate anhydrous, 0.2 g liter<sup>-1</sup> MgSO<sub>4</sub> 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 g liter<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO4, 50  $\mu$ M FeCl<sub>3</sub> [sterilized by filtration at 0.22  $\mu$ m], 2  $\mu$ M MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 8 g liter<sup>-1</sup> glucose, and 2 g liter<sup>-1</sup> L-glutamic acid; pH 7.0). Cells were incubated at 37°C with shaking, and colonies that integrated the cassette by a double crossing over event were selected on an LB plate supplemented with chloramphenicol. Proper integration of the cat-gfp locus was verified by PCR. Knockout mutant strains were constructed by gene replacement by homologous recombination. A cassette containing a chloramphenicol resistance gene flanked by 1 kb of the upstream region and 1 kb of the downstream region of the targeted gene was constructed by a three partner overlap PCR. This recombination cassette was also introduced in B. velezensis GA1 by inducing natural competence as described above (67). A double homologous recombination event was selected by chloramphenicol resistance. Deletion was confirmed by PCR analysis with the corresponding upstream and downstream primers.

**Fluorescence measurement.** Fluorescence accumulation was evaluated with the channel FL1 of a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Biosciences) with the following parameters: 20,000 events, medium flow rate (35  $\mu$ l min<sup>-1</sup>), and a forward scatter (FSC) threshold of 20,000.

**Genome sequencing.** The GA1 genome sequence was reconstructed using a combined approach of two sequencing technologies which generated short paired-end reads and long reads. The resulted sequences were then used for hybrid assembly. More precisely, genomic DNA was extracted and purified from *B. velezensis* GA1 using the GeneJET genomic DNA purification kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). The first half of extracted DNA was sent to the GIGA sequencing facility (Liège, Belgium) and used as the

DNA template for Illumina MiSeq sequencing after being prepared using the Nextera library kit (Illumina). The sequencing run generated 150-bp paired-end reads, which were trimmed and corrected using an in-house python script and SPAdes 3.14 (68) before assembly. The second half of the extracted DNA was used to generate long reads with a MinION Oxford Nanopore platform. A DNA library was constructed using the rapid sequencing kit (SQK-RAD0004; Oxford Nanopore). Adapters were trimmed from generated reads with Porechop software (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop). Trimmed reads were then filtered by size (>500) and Q-score (>10) using NanoFilt implemented in NanoPack (69). Finally, the hybrid assembly was performed using the hybridSPAdes algorithm implemented in SPAdes 3.14 (70).

**Transcriptome library preparation and sequencing.** RNA extraction was performed for each sample using the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel). Total RNAs were quantified using a Nanodrop instrument (ThermoFisher). For sequencing, all samples were sent to the GIGA genomics platform in Liège, Belgium. Genome quality was assessed using the RNA 6000 Nano Chip kit on a 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent). cDNA libraries were prepared by employing the universal prokaryotic transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq), prokaryotic AnyDeplete kit (Nugen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA libraries were quantified and normalized by using the Kapa SYBR fast mastermix (Sigma-Aldrich) with P5-P7 Illumina primers according to the manufacturer's instructions. Prepared libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq 550 device (Illumina) by using the following parameters: paired end, 80 cycles read 1, 8 cycles index, and 80 cycles read 2.

**RNA-seq data analysis.** The raw RNA-seq reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.39 (71). We performed a quality-control step on the trimmed reads using FastQC v0.11.8 (Babraham Bioinformatics). Trimmed reads were mapped to the GA1 reference genome (see section "Genome sequencing" for accession numbers) using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (72) with the following settings: mem -k 50 -B 40 -v 1. At least 95.4% of reads uniquely mapped to the annotated reference genome. SAMtools v1.9 (73) was used to generate the BAM files and their indices. To calculate the read counts, the python-based tool HTSeq v0.9 (74) was employed with the following parameters: htseq-count -q -s no -f. The Cufflinks function cuffnorm (75) was used to generate the fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) tables using the following settings: –compatible-hits-norm –library-norm-method classic-fpkm. Genes with low reads counts (<25) were removed before further analysis. A differential expression analysis was conducted according to the DESeq2 pipeline (76) with cutoff parameters as follows: *P* value of <0.05 and log, fold change of >1.5.

**Motility and biofilm assays.** Swarming motility assays were performed according to Molinatto et al. (77). The diameter of the bacterial swarming pattern was measured 48 h after inoculation on REM soft agar plates (0.8% agar) supplemented or not with 0.1% HGLM. Quantification of the total biofilm was performed by crystal violet staining. A strain of interest was inoculated at a final  $OD_{600}$  of 0.1 in a 96-well microplate containing 200  $\mu$ l of REM supplemented or not with 0.1% HGLM. The plate was inucubated at 30°C during 24 h without shaking. Medium and planctonic cells were discarded and wells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The biofilm pellicle was stained with 0.1% crystal violet during 10 min and washed with PBS. The stained biofilm was dissolved with 30% acetic acid. Absorbance was measured at 595 nm.

**Plant growth conditions and root colonization assays.** For sterilization, tomato seeds were first immersed in a 70% ethanol solution for 2 minutes, transferred in a 20% bleach solution under shaking conditions for 20 minutes, and rinsed three times with sterile water. Sterilized tomato seeds were pregerminated on solid Hoagland medium at 22°C under a 16 h/8 h night/day cycle. After 4 days, 5  $\mu$ l of cultures containing the strain of interest and calibrated at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1 was deposited on the root top. After 1 and 3 days of colonization, roots were harvested, deposited separately in a peptone water solution supplemented with 0.1% of Tween, and vortexed vigorously to tear off the bacterial cells from the roots. Several dilutions were plated on LB media to evaluate the level of colonization. Measurements of surfactin production by GA1 cells colonizing roots were performed on 1- by 0.7-cm pieces of gelified medium containing roots based on the assumption that the produced lipopeptide diffused to a maximal distance of 5 mm from each part of the root and is uniformly distributed over the surface as we previously observed via imaging MS (78). A 10-fold concentration factor was applied to estimate concentrations around the root surface in order to take into account diffusion constraints in a solid matrix. Surfactin was quantified by UPLC-MS as described below.

**Plant cell wall extraction.** Tobacco seeds were sterilized as described above for tomato seeds and deposited on Hoagland plates at 22°C for 1 week for a successful germination process. Each plantlet was then transferred in a seedholder filled with soft agar and put in Araponics boxes containing the nutritive solution described above. Cell wall extraction was performed on 6-week-old plants grown at 22°C with a 16 h/8 h day/night cycle. Roots were harvested, lyophilized, and reduced to powder using a Retsch MM400 grinder. A total of 500 mg of powder was resuspended in 40 ml of ethanol 80% at 90°C for 20 min. The insoluble cell wall fraction was recovered by centrifugation, and the pellet obtained was washed once with water to obtain the alcoholic insoluble residue (AIR) used for fractionation. The AIR was freeze-dried before use in a fractionation protocol. The sequential extraction of root cell walls was performed using a protocol derived from Carpita (79) and Silva et al. (80). Dry AIR was resuspended in 40 ml of water and incubated at 100°C for 20 min. The supernatant was recovered after centrifugation as a soluble pectic fraction (cPEC).

**Monosaccharide composition analysis using HPAEC-PAD.** Before the monosaccharide composition analysis, the cPec fraction was dialyzed for 24 h against a large volume of water and freeze-dried. A total of 2 mg of dried fraction material was hydrolyzed in 1 ml of 2 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 121°C for 90 min. TFA was evaporated under nitrogen gas flux, and the hydrolyzed dried residue was resuspended in 1 ml water, filtered on a 0.2-µm cartridge, and stored in vials at 20° before high-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). HPAEC-PAD was used for neutral and acidic monosaccharide composition analysis using a DX-500 system (Dionex

Corporation) equipped with a Carbopac PA-1 analytical column (4 mm by 250 mm). The elution was performed with a flow rate of 1 ml min<sup>-1</sup> in a gradient mode. The gradient for neutral sugars (eluent A, deionized water; eluent B, 160 mM NaOH; and eluent C, 200 mM NaOH) was 10% B for 25 min, 100% B for 10 min, and finally an equilibration step with 10% B (15 min). The gradient for uronic acid (eluent A, 160 mM NaOH; and eluent B, 160 mM NaOH + 600 mM AcONa) was 0% B for 5 minutes, 30 minutes of linear gradient from 0% to 100% B, 100% B for 5 minutes, and finally an equilibration step with 0% B (10 minutes). Detection was performed with a pulsed amperometric ED50 detector (Dionex Corporation). A total of 20 ml of the sample was injected with an autosampler. Each carbohydrate concentration was determined after integration of the respective areas (Chromeleon management system; Dionex) and comparison with standard curves.

**LC-MS analyses.** The detection of metabolites and quantification was performed by LC-MS. A total of 10  $\mu$ l of samples was used for UPLC-MS with UPLC (Acquity H-class; Waters) coupled to a single quadrupole mass spectrometer (SQD mass analyzer; Waters) using a C<sub>18</sub> column (Acquity UPLC BEH C<sub>18</sub>; 2.1 mm by 50 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m). Elution was performed at 40°C with a constant flow rate of 0.6 ml/min using a gradient of acetonitrile (solvent B) and water (solvent A) that were both acidified with 0.1% formic acid as follows: starting at 15% B during 2 min, solvent B was then raised from 15% to 95% in 5 min and maintained at 95% up to 9.5 min before going back to initial conditions at 9.8 min during 3 minutes before the next injection if needed. Compounds were detected in electrospray positive ion mode by setting SQD parameters as follows: source temperature, 130°C; desolvation temperature, 400°C; and nitrogen flow, 1,000 liter h<sup>-1</sup> with mass range from *m*/z of 800 to 1,550. Surfactins were quantified based on their retention times and masses compared with commercial standards (98% purity; Lipofabrik).

Induction of systemic resistance and ROS measurements. ISR assays were performed as described previously (39) on 4-week-old tobacco plants cultivated under hydroponic conditions using the Hoagland solution as a nutrient base. Plants were treated with pure surfactin at the root level and infected on leaves by applying a spore suspension of the phytopathogen Botrytis cinerea prepared as detailed previously (39). Spreading lesions occurred starting from 48 h postinfection, and the diameter size was measured 2 days later. Five plants were used per treatment, and experiments were repeated independently at least twice. For the determination of cytoplasmic ROS stimulation, a fluorescent probe (dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate [DCFH-DA]) was used. Plants used in this experiment were grown on Hoagland medium for 2 weeks as described above. Experiments were performed on nine samples per treatment, with each containing three root segments (approximately 100 mg fresh weight [FW]) collected from different plants (n = 9). Roots were treated with 50  $\mu$ M DCFH-DA for 10 minutes, rinsed with PBS upon removing the probe, and finally treated. All operations were conducted in a 96-well black microplate. Fluorescence measurements were performed on a Spark (Tecan) microplate reader (excitation, 485 nm; emission, 535 nm) with readings every 10 minutes. Stimulation of apoplastic hydrogen peroxide production in root cells was measured via chemiluminescence (ferricyanide-catalyzed oxidation of luminol). Means and standard deviations were calculated from measurements performed on three samples per treatment, with each containing three root segments (approximatively 100 mg FW) collected from different plants. Extracellular ROS in tomato roots was conducted according to Bisceglia et al. (81) with minor changes. Namely, instead of leaf discs, tomato roots, with three segments (approximatively 100 mg FW from the same plant) per sample, were used. Plants were grown for 2 weeks on Hoagland medium, and chemiluminescence was measured in a Tecan Spark plate reader.

**ITC analysis.** ITC analyses were performed with a VP-ITC microcalorimeter (Microcal). The calorimeter cell (volume of 1.4565 ml) was filled with a 10  $\mu$ M (below the CMC concentration) surfactin solution in buffer (10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA at pH 8.5). The syringe was filled with a suspension of large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) at a lipid concentration of 5 mM. A series of 10- $\mu$ l injections was performed at constant time intervals (6 min) at 25°C. The solution in the titration cell was stirred at 305 rpm. Prior to each analysis, all solutions were degassed using a sonicator bath. The heats of dilution of vesicles were determined by injecting vesicles in buffer and subtracted from the heats determined in the experiments. Data were processed by software Origin 7 (Originlab) using the cumulative model described by Heerklotz and Seelig (82). All measurements were repeated at least three times with two different vesicle preparations.

**Leakage assays.** Membrane permeabilization was followed as described by Van Bambeke et al. (83). Release of 8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6 trisulfonic acid (HTPS) coentrapped with and quenched by p-xylene-bis-pyridinium bromide (DPX) from liposomes can be monitored by the fluorescence increase upon dilution following their leakage from the vesicles. Surfactin  $C_{12}$  or surfactin  $C_{14}$  was added from a stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and fluorescence intensities were recorded immediately. The percentage of HPTS released was defined as [(Ft - Fcontr)/(Ftot - Fcontr)]/100, where Ft is the fluorescence signal measured after 15 min in the presence of surfactin  $C_{12}$  or surfactin  $C_{14}$ , *Fcontr* is the fluorescence signal measured at the same time for control liposomes, and *Ftot* is the total fluorescence signal obtained after complete disruption of the liposomes by 0.05% Triton X-100. All fluorescence determinations were performed at room temperature on a LS-50B fluorescence spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer Ltd.) using  $\lambda$  exc of 450 nm and a  $\lambda$ em of 512 nm.

**Statistical analyses.** All statistical analyses were performed on GraphPad Prism. Before each statistical analysis, variance homoscedasticity was verified by using a Brown-Forsythe test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for multiple comparisons, and significant differences were indicated by different letters. Statistical differences between means were evaluated by two-tailed Student's *t* test. The number of biological replicates used for each experiment are indicated in the corresponding figure legend. *P* values are indicated in the figure legends.

Data availability. The RNA-seq data sets produced for this study are deposited at https://www.ebi .ac.uk/ena/ under the project reference PRJEB39762. All other data sets analyzed for this study are mBio

included in the supplemental files. The Genome Resulting assembly of the GA1 strain was deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers CP046386 and CP046387.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. TEXT S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. TEXT S2, DOCX file, 0.03 MB. FIG S1, TIF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S2, TIF file, 0.02 MB. FIG S3, TIF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S4, TIF file, 0.6 MB. FIG S5, TIF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S6, TIF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S7, TIF file, 0.03 MB. TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the EU Interreg V France-Wallonie-Vlaanderen portfolio SmartBiocontrol (Bioprotect and Bioscreen projects, Avec le Soutien du Fonds Européen de Développement Régional - Met Steun van het Europees Fonds voor Regionale Ontwikkeling), by the PDR research project 26084552 from the F.R.S.-FNRS (National Fund for Scientific Research in Belgium), and by the EOS project 30650620 from the FWO/F.R.S.-FNRS. F.B. is a recipient of a Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture (F.R.I.A.) fellowship and M.O. is a senior research associate at the F.R.S.-FNRS.

We are grateful to the KU Leuven HPC infrastructure and the Flemish Supercomputer Center (VSC) for providing the computational resources and services to perform the RNAseq analysis. We gratefully acknowledge Claire Bertrand and Loïc Ongena for critically reading the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Andrews JH, Harris RF. 2000. The ecology and biogeography of micoroorganisms on plant surfaces. Annu Rev Phytopathol 38:145–180. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.38.1.145.
- Zhalnina K, Louie KB, Hao Z, Mansoori N, Da Rocha UN, Shi S, Cho H, Karaoz U, Loqué D, Bowen BP, Firestone MK, Northen TR, Brodie EL. 2018. Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. Nat Microbiol 3:470–480. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0129-3.
- Vieira S, Sikorski J, Dietz S, Herz K, Schrumpf M, Bruelheide H, Scheel D, Friedrich MW, Overmann J. 2020. Drivers of the composition of active rhizosphere bacterial communities in temperate grasslands. ISME J 14: 463–475. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0543-4.
- Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Bouffaud M-L, Touraine B, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Muller D, Legendre L, Wisniewski-Dyé F, Prigent-Combaret C. 2013. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. Front Plant Sci 4:356. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00356.
- Backer R, Rokem JS, Ilangumaran G, Lamont J, Praslickova D, Ricci E, Subramanian S, Smith DL. 2018. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: context, mechanisms of action, and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. Front Plant Sci 9:1473. https://doi .org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01473.
- Pieterse CMJ, Zamioudis C, Berendsen RL, Weller DM, Van Wees SCM, Bakker PAHM. 2014. Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. Annu Rev Phytopathol 52:347–375. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto -082712-102340.
- Köhl J, Kolnaar R, Ravensberg WJ. 2019. Mode of action of microbial biological control agents against plant diseases: relevance beyond efficacy. Front Plant Sci 10:845. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00845.
- van Loon LC, Bakker PAHM, Pieterse CMJ. 1998. Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 36:453–483. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev.phyto.36.1.453.

- 9. Ongena M, Jourdan E, Adam A, Paquot M, Brans A, Joris B, Arpigny JL, Thonart P. 2007. Surfactin and fengycin lipopeptides of Bacillus subtilis as elicitors of induced systemic resistance in plants. Environ Microbiol 9: 1084–1090. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01202.x.
- Ongena M, Jacques P. 2008. Bacillus lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. Trends Microbiol 16:115–125. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.tim.2007.12.009.
- 11. Wu K, Fang Z, Guo R, Pan B, Shi W, Yuan S, Guan H, Gong M, Shen B, Shen Q. 2015. Pectin enhances bio-control efficacy by inducing colonization and secretion of secondary metabolites by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQY 162 in the rhizosphere of tobacco. PLoS One 10:e0127418. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127418.
- Saxena AK, Kumar M, Chakdar H, Anuroopa N, Bagyaraj DJ. 2020. Bacillus species in soil as a natural resource for plant health and nutrition. J Appl Microbiol 128:1583–1594. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14506.
- Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R, Eisenreich A, Schneider K, Heinemeyer I, Morgenstern B, Voss B, Hess WR, Reva O, Junge H, Voigt B, Jungblut PR, Vater J, Süssmuth R, Liesegang H, Strittmatter A, Gottschalk G, Borriss R. 2007. Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. Nat Biotechnol 25:1007–1014. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1325.
- Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R, Schneider K, Vater J, Süssmuth R, Piel J, Borriss R. 2009. Genome analysis of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 reveals its potential for biocontrol of plant pathogens. J Biotechnol 140: 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2008.10.011.
- Molinatto G, Puopolo G, Sonego P, Moretto M, Engelen K, Viti C, Ongena M, Pertot I. 2016. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum S499, a rhizobacterium that triggers plant defences and inhibits fungal phytopathogens. J Biotechnol 238:56–59. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.09.013.

- van Gestel J, Vlamakis H, Kolter R. 2015. From cell differentiation to cell collectives: Bacillus subtilis uses division of labor to migrate. PLoS Biol 13: e1002141. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002141.
- López D, Vlamakis H, Losick R, Kolter R. 2009. Paracrine signaling in a bacterium. Genes Dev 23:1631–1638. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1813709.
- Aleti G, Lehner S, Bacher M, Compant S, Nikolic B, Plesko M, Schuhmacher R, Sessitsch A, Brader G. 2016. Surfactin variants mediate species-specific biofilm formation and root colonization in Bacillus. Environ Microbiol 18: 2634–2645. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13405.
- Pršić J, Ongena M. 2020. Elicitors of plant immunity triggered by beneficial bacteria. Front Plant Sci 11:594530. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.594530.
- Debois D, Fernandez O, Franzil L, Jourdan E, de Brogniez A, Willems L, Clément C, Dorey S, De Pauw E, Ongena M. 2015. Plant polysaccharides initiate underground crosstalk with bacilli by inducing synthesis of the immunogenic lipopeptide surfactin. Environ Microbiol Rep 7:570–582. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12286.
- Gage DJ. 2004. Infection and invasion of roots by symbiotic, nitrogen-fixing rhizobia during nodulation of temperate legumes. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 68:280–300. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.2.280-300.2004.
- Beauregard PB, Chai Y, Vlamakis H, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. Bacillus subtilis biofilm induction by plant polysaccharides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E1621–E1630. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218984110.
- Fan B, Wang C, Song X, Ding X, Wu L, Wu H, Gao X, Borriss R. 2018. Bacillus velezensis FZB42 in 2018: the gram-positive model strain for plant growth promotion and biocontrol. Front Microbiol 9:2491. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fmicb.2018.02491.
- 24. Mohnen D. 2008. Pectin structure and biosynthesis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11:266–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.03.006.
- Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. 2014. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 42:490–495. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178.
- Fan B, Blom J, Klenk HP, Borriss R. 2017. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus velezensis, and Bacillus siamensis Form an "Operational Group B. amyloliquefaciens" within the B. subtilis species complex. Front Microbiol 8: 22. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00022.
- Nihorimbere V, Cawoy H, Seyer A, Brunelle A, Thonart P, Ongena M. 2012. Impact of rhizosphere factors on cyclic lipopeptide signature from the plant beneficial strain Bacillus amyloliquefaciens S499. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 79:176–191. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01208.x.
- Chen Y, Yan F, Chai Y, Liu H, Kolter R, Losick R, Guo JH. 2013. Biocontrol of tomato wilt disease by Bacillus subtilis isolates from natural environments depends on conserved genes mediating biofilm formation. Environ Microbiol 15:848–864. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02860.x.
- Grau RR, De Oña P, Kunert M, Leñini C, Gallegos-Monterrosa R, Mhatre E, Vileta D, Donato V, Hölscher T, Boland W, Kuipers OP, Kovács ÁT. 2015. A duo of potassium-responsive histidine kinases govern the multicellular destiny of Bacillus subtilis. mBio 6:e00581-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.00581-15.
- Thérien M, Kiesewalter HT, Auria E, Charron-Lamoureux V, Wibowo M, Maróti G, Kovács ÁT, Beauregard PB. 2020. Surfactin production is not essential for pellicle and root-associated biofilm development of Bacillus subtilis. Biofilm 2:100021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2020.100021.
- Vlamakis H, Chai Y, Beauregard P, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. Sticking together: building a biofilm the Bacillus subtilis way. Nat Rev Microbiol 11: 157–168. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2960.
- Caramori T, Barillà D, Nessi C, Sacchi L, Galizzi A. 1996. Role of FlgM in σDdependent gene expression in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 178:3113–3118. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.11.3113-3118.1996.
- Strieker M, Tanović A, Marahiel MA. 2010. Nonribosomal peptide synthetases: structures and dynamics. Curr Opin Struct Biol 20:234–240. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2010.01.009.
- Süssmuth RD, Mainz A. 2017. Nonribosomal peptide synthesis—principles and prospects. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 56:3770–3821. https://doi .org/10.1002/anie.201609079.
- Diomandé SE, Nguyen-The C, Guinebretière MH, Broussolle V, Brillard J. 2015. Role of fatty acids in Bacillus environmental adaptation. Front Microbiol 6:813. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00813.
- Serror P, Sonenshein AL. 1996. CodY is required for nutritional repression of Bacillus subtilis genetic competence. J Bacteriol 178:5910–5915. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.20.5910-5915.1996.
- 37. Dhali D, Coutte F, Arias AA, Auger S, Bidnenko V, Chataigné G, Lalk M, Niehren J, de Sousa J, Versari C, Jacques P. 2017. Genetic engineering of the branched fatty acid metabolic pathway of Bacillus subtilis for the

overproduction of surfactin C14 isoform. Biotechnol J 12:1–10. https://doi .org/10.1002/biot.201600574.

- Brinsmade SR, Kleijn RJ, Sauer U, Sonenshein AL. 2010. Regulation of CodY activity through modulation of intracellular branched-chain amino acid pools. J Bacteriol 192:6357–6368. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00937-10.
- Cawoy H, Mariutto M, Henry G, Fisher C, Vasilyeva N, Thonart P, Dommes J, Ongena M. 2014. Plant defense stimulation by natural isolates of Bacillus depends on efficient surfactin production. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 27:87–100. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-09-13-0262-R.
- Saijo Y, Po-iian Loo EP, Yasuda S. 2018. Pattern recognition receptors and signaling in plant-microbe interactions. Plant J 93:592–613. https://doi .org/10.1111/tpj.13808.
- Waszczak C, Carmody M, Kangasjärvi J. 2018. Reactive oxygen species in plant signaling. Annu Rev Plant Biol 69:209–236. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042817-040322.
- Mignolet-Spruyt L, Xu E, Idänheimo N, Hoeberichts FA, Mühlenbock P, Brosche M, Van Breusegem F, Kangasjärvi J. 2016. Spreading the news: subcellular and organellar reactive oxygen species production and signalling. J Exp Bot 67:3831–3844. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw080.
- Ashtamker C, Kiss V, Sagi M, Davydov O, Fluhr R. 2007. Diverse subcellular locations of cryptogein-induced reactive oxygen species production in tobacco bright yellow-2 cells. Plant Physiol 143:1817–1826. https://doi .org/10.1104/pp.106.090902.
- Bigeard J, Colcombet J, Hirt H. 2015. Signaling mechanisms in patterntriggered immunity (PTI). Mol Plant 8:521–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .molp.2014.12.022.
- Jourdan E, Henry G, Duby F, Dommes J, Barthélemy JP, Thonart P, Ongena M. 2009. Insights into the defense-related events occurring in plant cells following perception of surfactin-type lipopeptide from Bacillus subtilis. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 22:456–468. https://doi.org/10 .1094/MPMI-22-4-0456.
- 46. Henry G, Deleu M, Jourdan E, Thonart P, Ongena M. 2011. The bacterial lipopeptide surfactin targets the lipid fraction of the plant plasma membrane to trigger immune-related defence responses. Cell Microbiol 13: 1824–1837. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2011.01664.x.
- Zipfel C, Oldroyd GED. 2017. Plant signalling in symbiosis and immunity. Nature 543:328–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22009.
- Schellenberger R, Touchard M, Clément C, Baillieul F, Cordelier S, Crouzet J, Dorey S. 2019. Apoplastic invasion patterns triggering plant immunity: plasma membrane sensing at the frontline. Mol Plant Pathol 20: 1602–1616. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12857.
- Martinez-Medina A, Flors V, Heil M, Mauch-Mani B, Pieterse CMJ, Pozo MJ, Ton J, van Dam NM, Conrath U. 2016. Recognizing plant defense priming. Trends Plant Sci 21:818–822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2016.07.009.
- Levy A, Salas Gonzalez I, Mittelviefhaus M, Clingenpeel S, Herrera Paredes S, Miao J, Wang K, Devescovi G, Stillman K, Monteiro F, Rangel Alvarez B, Lundberg DS, Lu TY, Lebeis S, Jin Z, McDonald M, Klein AP, Feltcher ME, Rio TG, Grant SR, Doty SL, Ley RE, Zhao B, Venturi V, Pelletier DA, Vorholt JA, Tringe SG, Woyke T, Dangl JL. 2017. Genomic features of bacterial adaptation to plants. Nat Genet 50:138–150. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588 -017-0012-9.
- Fan B, Carvalhais LC, Becker A, Fedoseyenko D, Von Wirén N, Borriss R. 2012. Transcriptomic profiling of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 in response to maize root exudates. BMC Microbiol 12:116. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2180-12-116.
- 52. Feng H, Zhang N, Du W, Zhang H, Liu Y, Fu R, Shao J, Zhang G, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2018. Identification of chemotaxis compounds in root exudates and their sensing chemoreceptors in plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 31: 995–1005. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-01-18-0003-R.
- 53. Zhang N, Yang D, Wang D, Miao Y, Shao J, Zhou X, Xu Z, Li Q, Feng H, Li S, Shen Q, Zhang R. 2015. Whole transcriptomic analysis of the plant-beneficial rhizobacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9 during enhanced biofilm formation regulated by maize root exudates. BMC Genomics 16: 685. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1825-5.
- 54. Raaijmakers JM, de Bruijn I, Nybroe O, Ongena M. 2010. Natural functions of lipopeptides from Bacillus and Pseudomonas: more than surfactants and antibiotics. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:1037–1062. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00221.x.
- 55. Hayashi K, Ohsawa T, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N, Ogura M. 2005. The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stress-responsive regulator PerR positively regulates srfA Expression in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 187:6659–6667. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB .187.19.6659-6667.2005.

- Wolf D, Rippa V, Mobarec JC, Sauer P, Adlung L, Kolb P, Bischofs IB. 2016. The quorum-sensing regulator ComA from Bacillus subtilis activates transcription using topologically distinct DNA motifs. Nucleic Acids Res 44: 2160–2172. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1242.
- Mariappan A, Makarewicz O, Chen XH, Borriss R. 2012. Two-component response regulator DegU controls the expression of bacilysin in plantgrowth-promoting bacterium bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 22:114–125. https://doi.org/10.1159/000338804.
- Zhi Y, Wu Q, Xu Y. 2017. Genome and transcriptome analysis of surfactin biosynthesis in Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MT45. Sci Rep 7:40976. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep40976.
- 59. Koumoutsi A, Chen XH, Vater J, Borriss R. 2007. DegU and YczE positively regulate the synthesis of bacillomycin D by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain FZB42. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6953–6964. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.00565-07.
- Konishi H, Hio M, Kobayashi M, Takase R, Hashimoto W. 2020. Bacterial chemotaxis towards polysaccharide pectin by pectin-binding protein. Sci Rep 10:3977. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60274-1.
- Muller DB, Schubert OT, Rost H, Aebersold R, Vorholt JA. 2016. Systemslevel proteomics of two ubiquitous leaf commensals reveals complementary adaptive traits for phyllosphere colonization. Mol Cell Proteomics 15: 3256–3269. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.058164.
- 62. Hossain MJ, Ran C, Liu K, Ryu C-MM, Rasmussen-Ivey CR, Williams MA, Hassan MK, Choi S-KK, Jeong H, Newman M, Kloepper JW, Liles MR. 2015. Deciphering the conserved genetic loci implicated in plant disease control through comparative genomics of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. Plantarum. Front Plant Sci 6:631. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00631.
- 63. Birkenbihl RP, Liu S, Somssich IE. 2017. Transcriptional events defining plant immune responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol 38:1–9. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.pbi.2017.04.004.
- Huot B, Yao J, Montgomery BL, He SY. 2014. Growth-defense tradeoffs in plants: a balancing act to optimize fitness. Mol Plant 7:1267–1287. https:// doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssu049.
- Trauth S, Bischofs IB. 2014. Ectopic integration vectors for generating fluorescent promoter fusions in Bacillus subtilis with minimal dark noise. PLoS One 9:e98360. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098360.
- Bryksin AV, Matsumura I. 2010. Overlap extension PCR cloning: a simple and reliable way to create recombinant plasmids. Biotechniques 48: 463–465. https://doi.org/10.2144/000113418.
- Jarmer H, Berka R, Knudsen S, Saxild HH. 2002. Transcriptome analysis documents induced competence of Bacillus subtilis during nitrogen limiting conditions. FEMS Microbiol Lett 206:197–200. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11009.x.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- De Coster W, D'Hert S, Schultz DT, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C. 2018. NanoPack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics 34:2666–2669. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty149.
- Antipov D, Korobeynikov A, McLean JS, Pevzner PA. 2016. HybridSPAdes: an algorithm for hybrid assembly of short and long reads. Bioinformatics 32:1009–1015. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv688.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

- 72. Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754–1760. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
- 73. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- 74. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31:166–169. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
- 75. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L. 2010. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol 28:511–515. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nbt.1621.
- 76. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.
- Molinatto G, Franzil L, Steels S, Puopolo G, Pertot I, Ongena M. 2017. Key impact of an uncommon plasmid on bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum S499 developmental traits and lipopeptide production. Front Microbiol 8:17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00017.
- Debois D, Ongena M, Cawoy H, De Pauw E. 2013. MALDI-FTICR MS imaging as a powerful tool to identify paenibacillus antibiotics involved in the inhibition of plant pathogens. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 24:1202–1213. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-013-0620-2.
- Carpita NC. 1984. Cell wall development in maize coleoptiles. Plant Physiol 76:205–212. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.76.1.205.
- Silva GB, Ionashiro M, Carrara TB, Crivellari AC, Tiné MAS, Prado J, Carpita NC, Buckeridge MS. 2011. Cell wall polysaccharides from fern leaves: evidence for a mannan-rich Type III cell wall in Adiantum raddianum. Phytochemistry 72:2352–2360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.08.020.
- Bisceglia N, Gravino M, Savatin D. 2015. Luminol-based assay for detection of immunity elicitor-induced hydrogen peroxide production in Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. Bio-Protocol 5:e1685. https://doi.org/10.21769/ BioProtoc.1685.
- Heerklotz H, Seelig J. 2000. Titration calorimetry of surfactant-membrane partitioning and membrane solubilization. Biochim Biophys Acta 1508: 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4157(00)00009-5.
- Van Bambeke F, Kerkhofs A, Schanck A, Remacle C, Sonveaux E, Tulkens PM, Mingeot-Leclercq M-P. 2000. Biophysical studies and intracellular destabilization of pH-sensitive liposomes. Lipids 35:213–223. https://doi .org/10.1007/BF02664772.
- 84. Arguelles-Arias A, Ongena M, Halimi B, Lara Y, Brans A, Joris B, Fickers P. 2009. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens GA1 as a source of potent antibiotics and other secondary metabolites for biocontrol of plant pathogens. Microb Cell Fact 8:63. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-63.
- Pandin C, Le Coq D, Deschamps J, Védie R, Rousseau T, Aymerich S, Briandet R. 2018. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus velezensis QST713: a biocontrol agent that protects Agaricus bisporus crops against the green mould disease. J Biotechnol 278:10–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .jbiotec.2018.04.014.
- Serrano L, Manker D, Brandi F, Cali T. 2013. The use of Bacillus subtilis QST 713 and Bacillus pumilus QST 2808 as protectant fungicides in conventional application programs for black leaf streak control. Acta Hortic 986: 149–156. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.986.15.