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Abstract

Emotional eating is commonly defined as the tendency to (over)eat in response to emotion. Insofar as it involves the (over)consumption of high-calorie palatable foods, emotional eating is a maladaptive behavior that can lead to eating disorders, and ultimately to metabolic disorders and obesity. Emotional eating is associated with eating disorder subtypes and with
abnormalities in emotion processing at a behavioral level. However, not enough is known about the neural pathways involved in both emotion processing and food intake. In this review, we provide an overview of recent neuroimaging studies, highlighting the brain correlates between emotions and eating behavior that may be involved in emotional eating. Interaction between neural and neuro-endocrine pathways (HPA axis) may be involved. In addition to behavioral interventions, there is a need for a holistic approach encompassing both neural and physiological levels to prevent emotional eating. Based on recent imaging, this review indicates that more attention should be paid to prefrontal areas, the insular and orbitofrontal cortices, and reward pathways, in addition to regions that play a major role in both the cognitive control of emotions and eating behavior. Identifying these brain regions could allow for neuromodulation interventions, including neurofeedback training, which deserves further investigation.

Keywords: emotional eating, emotion regulation, neuroimaging, therapeutic intervention strategies, stress, gut-brain axis
### List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BED</td>
<td>Binge eating disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLA</td>
<td>Basolateral amygdala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOLD</td>
<td>Blood-oxygen-level-dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRH</td>
<td>Corticotrophin-releasing hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEBQ</td>
<td>Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dIPFC</td>
<td>Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dmPFC</td>
<td>Dorsomedial prefrontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Eating disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Electroencephalography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENS</td>
<td>Enteric nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Functional magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG</td>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPP</td>
<td>Late positive potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAcc</td>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>Norepinephrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>Neurofeedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OFC  Orbitofrontal cortex
PCC  Posterior cingulate cortex
PET  Positron emission tomography
PFC  Prefrontal cortex
rs-FC  Resting-state functional connectivity
SMA  Supplementary motor area
tDCS  transcranial direct-current stimulation
TFEQ  Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire
vlPFC  Ventrolateral prefrontal cortex
vmPFC  Ventromedial prefrontal cortex
VTA  Ventral tegmental area
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1. Introduction

There has been a great deal of research in the field of eating behavior, as dietary decisions can deeply influence our health. Excessive sugar intake (refined carbohydrates) increases the risk of coronary heart disease [1] and is positively associated with increased risk of breast cancer [2]. In addition, excessive sodium intake, and diets lacking in fruit and whole grains are the leading dietary factors for death, with 11 million deaths (22% of all deaths among adults) across 195 countries [3]. The main causes of these diet-related deaths are cardiovascular disease, cancer, and type-2 diabetes. Unhealthy diet is thus a major health issue, justifying the need for improved dietary decisions. Over the past 20 years, a plethora of research studies have focused on how eating behavior is controlled by conscious but also nonconscious mechanisms. Many factors are involved, both intrinsic (e.g., biological and physiological signals) and extrinsic (external food cues, social and educational habits, etc.). Internal state (i.e., biological and psychological factors) plays an important role in the regulation of food intake, and is consequently involved in eating behavior and disorders (EDs). Individuals are not always rational in their daily decisions, and this also applies to eating behavior [4]. Additionally, emotions are significantly involved in decision-making processes [5] and can influence food choices [6]. Emotional eating refers to a behavioral response to the feeling of an emotion or an emotional state. This response affects eating behavior and can lead to increased or suppressed food intake [7]. Emotional eaters are defined as people who increase their food intake in response to negative (but also positive) emotions, in order to deal with a specific emotional state (i.e., to decrease a negative state or potentiate a positive one), rather than to fulfill a genuine physiological need for food [8]. Emotional eating includes emotional overeating and emotional binge eating [9,10]. An overeating episode refers to the consumption of a large amount of food within a short period.
of time, while a *binge-eating* episode is characterized by overeating associated with a sense of loss of control [11]. Eating in response to emotions can thus become a habitual response to exposure to negative emotions and lead to pathological issues such as EDs (e.g. binge eating disorder, BED) [12], weight gain and obesity [13], as well as all the related comorbidities (e.g., cardiovascular disease, some forms of cancer). There is therefore a need to better understand the mechanisms involved in emotional eating, in order to prevent or attenuate this maladaptive behavior using interventional strategies.

Although many neurobiological models of eating behavior have been developed, incorporating homeostatic, cognitive and emotional factors [14–16], little is known about the neural mechanisms behind the emotions that influence eating behavior. Neuroimaging can provide insight into the brain mechanisms linked to emotional eating. In particular, electroencephalography (EEG) can provide high temporal resolution, and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) high spatial resolution and brain coverage, including deep brain regions. These two modalities therefore complement each other. The aim of the present review was to summarize the literature on the brain networks involved in emotional eating behavior, including recent studies that have coupled neuroimaging (MRI and EEG) investigations of emotion regulation with food tasks or assessments of emotional eating. Our goal was to provide a comprehensive understanding of emotional eating, with a broad and integrated overview of current knowledge of the neural pathways and mechanisms involved in the cognitive-emotional brain and a discussion of innovative prevention strategies.

### 2. Emotion processing and the cognitive-emotional brain

*Emotion* has been given various definitions in the many theories developed to explain emotion processing. A consensus has emerged, acknowledging its physiological, cognitive and behavioral dimensions. According to this consensus, an emotion consists of a complex set
of interactions among subjective and objective factors, and encompasses both neural and hormonal systems. These systems integrate affective experiences, generate cognitive processes, activate physiological adjustments, and lead to a behavior that is generally goal-directed and adaptive [17]. The function of emotion is to decouple a stimulus from the behavioral response, thus allowing for flexible adaptation to environmental contingencies [18]. Emotion generation takes the form of a situation-attention-appraisal-response sequence [19], and commonly begins with the perception of a stimulus within a context. The emotional importance of this stimulus is appraised by the individual, triggering an affective, physiological and behavioral response [18]. Emotion regulation refers to the process engaged to modify the experience or expression of this emotion, and can be studied at both neural and behavioral levels [20]. From a philosophical to a clinical perspective, advances in cognitive neurosciences, through the use of neuroimaging, have shed light on the major brain regions involved in emotion processing, be it for emotion generation or regulation. To provide an overview of the brain regions involved in these two aspects, we deal separately with the neural systems involved in generation or regulation in this review, even though they partially overlap (Fig. 1a) [21–23].

Emotion generation entails the activity of core limbic structures such as the amygdala, which is involved in the perception and encoding of stimuli that are relevant to current or chronic affective goals, and the nucleus accumbens (NAcc), a major component of the ventral striatum that is involved in learning which cues predict rewarding or reinforcing outcomes [21,24]. Other brain regions besides the limbic system also play a role in emotion generation: the periaqueductal gray, which is involved in the coordination of behavioral and physiological emotional responses [21,22,25], and cortical regions such as the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), which is involved in the integration of the affective valence of a specific stimulus elaborated within the amygdala and ventral striatum [24]. Moreover, because of its
role in the integration of somatosensory information such as ascending viscerosensory inputs from the body, the insula is also crucial for generating emotions [26,27].

*Emotion regulation*, also called *modulation of affect*, refers to the cognitive processes that allow individuals to cope with daily-life situations, depending on which emotions they feel, and when and how these are experienced [28]. Emotion regulation encompasses both *automatic* (also called *nonconscious* or *implicit*) and *controlled* (explicit) modulation of emotion activation, by affecting one or more aspects of the emotional sequence (situation, attention, appraisal, or response [29]). Regulation strategies have been divided into five categories: situation selection (e.g., behavioral disengagement), situation modification (e.g., problem solving), attentional control (e.g., distraction, concentration), cognitive change (e.g., reappraisal, acceptance), and response modulation (e.g., suppression, substance use, exercising and food preoccupation), leading to behavioral and physiological changes [28,30].

*Cognitive reappraisal* consists of the explicit modification of the self-relevant meaning of an emotion-inducing stimulus [25], and is the most commonly studied adaptive strategy [24]. Various brain regions are elicited by the different regulation strategies [31]. According to the model of the cognitive control of emotion [24], emotion regulation elicits the prefrontal cortex (PFC), particularly the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dIPFC) and posterior prefrontal cortex, as well as inferior parietal regions involved in cognitive processes such as selective attention and working memory. Emotion regulation also activates the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vIPFC), which contributes to the selection of goal-appropriate emotional responses and information from semantic memory in order to engage in a new stimulus-appropriate reappraisal [24,32]. The dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) plays a role in attributing and evaluating mental states (e.g., intentions) [20,24,33]. In addition, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) is involved in performance and conflict monitoring [26,34], and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) contributes to the evaluation of sensory stimuli based on individual needs and
goals [35]. Thus, consistent involvement of the PFC (left dIPFC, bilateral vIPFC, and dmPFC), bilateral parietal, left temporal brain regions and motor areas (supplementary motor area; SMA) has been reported in several emotion regulation meta-analyses [22,31,36]. In addition, generating an emotional response brings into play the ACC, NAcc, and insula [32]. The dorsal ACC is reported to mediate feelings of negative emotions such as aversion, fear and anxiety, in relation with the vmPFC [24,25,34], while the ventral ACC is involved in fear extinction [25,34]. Overall, emotion processing is driven by brain regions involved in emotion generation and regulation. We can thus distinguish the brain regions involved in triggering affective responses (e.g., amygdala, ventral striatum, insula) from those involved in modulating affect (e.g., ACC, dIPFC, vIPFC, dmPFC, vmPFC) [26]. Nevertheless, the mechanism by which these brain areas interact, depending on the emotion regulation strategy, remains an active research topic [25]. One study found that activity of the amygdala and anterior insula decreased during reappraisal and increased during suppression, whereas activity of the PFC increased with both strategies [37]. Furthermore, downregulation of the amygdala and striatal activity during emotion regulation are probably brought about by increased activity of prefrontal areas [22,24,32,38]. Conversely, reduced recruitment of the dIPFC and vIPFC, as well as greater amygdala activity, have been observed during reappraisal in mood and other mental disorders [39]. This suggests that unsuccessful emotion regulation can be explained by insufficient recruitment of the prefrontal networks involved in top-down regulation, resulting in decreased reactivity of limbic structures. In line with this hypothesis, in an fMRI-based meta-analysis related to cognitive reappraisal, patients with mood or anxiety disorders exhibited reduced recruitment of the frontoparietal network (posterior cingulate cortex, PCC, dmPFC, angular gyrus and vIPFC), compared with healthy controls [40]. In addition to the task-based fMRI approach, resting-state functional connectivity (rs-
FC) can provide insights into the brain networks that underlie emotion processing, by measuring the temporal correlations between spontaneous blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signals and spatially distributed brain regions. Accordingly, effective regulation of negative emotions, as well as increased self-control, have been shown to be correlated with enhanced functional connectivity between the dIPFC and amygdala [41]. Regarding the dispositional use of regulatory strategies in healthy individuals, rs-FC between the left basolateral amygdala (BLA) and left anterior insula, as well as between the right BLA and SMA, have been found to be inversely correlated with the reappraisal scores of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire [42,43]. In the same study, suppression scores were positively correlated with rs-FC between the right BLA and dACC, and negatively correlated with rs-FC between the left centromedial amygdala and BLA [43]. In another study, successful reappraisal (corresponding to reduced negative affect ratings after emotion regulation) was negatively correlated with rs-FC between the right amygdala and brain clusters in the medial PFC and PCC. This successful reappraisal was also negatively correlated with rs-FC between the bilateral dIPFC and posterior regions of the occipital cortex and ACC [44], suggesting that reduced bottom-up connectivity may also facilitate emotion regulation. In patients diagnosed with major depressive disorder, a meta-analysis of rs-FC studies highlighted hypoconnectivity between the frontoparietal network (especially the dIPFC) and the right PCC, as well as between the affective network (especially the amygdala and NAcc) and the medial PFC, compared with healthy individuals [45]. In obese women, reduced vmPFC activity (BOLD response) was observed during reappraisal, and vmPFC activity was negatively correlated with self-reported emotion regulation difficulties [46]. These patients also displayed decreased rs-FC between the vmPFC and left temporal pole [46]. Although the exact mechanism of emotion regulation between higher level cognitive structures (PFC, temporal poles) and subcortical limbic structures (e.g., amygdala) has not been yet identified, efficient
recruitment of brain regions involved in emotion regulation seems key to adaptive emotion processing and emotional response in daily life. Disruption of those pathways may affect the ability to cope with negative emotions, especially in patients diagnosed with mood disorders. Therefore, maladaptive emotion regulation appears to be a key component of a wide range of affective disorders and disordered eating behaviors.

Neuroimaging research on the mechanisms involved in the cognitive control of emotions has highlighted the interplay between emotion and cognition, thus undermining the traditional view of a clear separation between these two components [47–50]. Emotions can influence perception, attention, working memory and other cognitive aspects (e.g., cognitive performance) [18]. Conversely, cognitive processing is needed to elicit emotional responses, depending on the type of regulation strategy [48]. This relation can be transposed to decision-making, which also involves cognitive processing and emotional response [5]. All the cognitive processes mentioned above are also involved in eating behavior, as attention, working memory, cognitive control and decision-making all affect the nonhomeostatic regulation of food intake. Neuroimaging can help investigate the extent to which the interaction between emotion and cognition is involved in eating behavior and how it may influence food intake and preferences. To address this question, we discuss the role of emotion in the control of food intake in the following section.

3. Eating behavior and main implications of emotion processing

3.1. Similar brain regions involved in eating behavior and emotion processing

The usual schematic vision of eating behavior regulation features two control loops: homeostatic regulation, in which the hypothalamus, especially the arcuate nucleus, plays a major role in integrating metabolic signals and regulating the hormonal system; and nonhomeostatic regulation (also called hedonic regulation), involving corticolimbic
structures and networks that process both environmental and cognitive factors [51,52]. There is strong evidence that these loops are interconnected and capable of influencing each other [53]. However, the nonhomeostatic neural pathways are less well understood, and studies involving paradigms with ingestive behavior have yielded contradictory results in extra-hypothalamic brain regions [52]. The nonhomeostatic loop involves (Fig. 1b) the amygdala for behavioral salience and stress responses, the hippocampus and PCC for their role in memory and learning in the context of eating behavior, and the dlPFC for its function in goal-directed behavior [54]. In addition, the insula plays a role in interoception (perception of sensations from inside the body), homeostasis, and the integration of sensory signals across modalities, while the OFC is regarded as a secondary gustatory cortex [55]. The insula (taste-responsive neurons) sends projections to the OFC and is involved in the perceived pleasantness of taste [52]. The vmPFC also plays a key role in the valuation system, and therefore in food decision-making [56], as well as in conditioned motivation to eat [57]. Both the OFC and vmPFC are involved in assigning incentive motivational values to food stimuli [54]. The striatum is activated during exposure to food cues, with striatal subregions being differently involved: the NAcc mediates reward prediction, while the caudate nucleus is involved in feedback processing, and the putamen in the mediation of habitual behavior [54]. Moreover, the ventral tegmental area (VTA) is the starting point of dopaminergic neurons that project toward the reward system and activate it. This catalogue of brain regions highlights the fact that food perception and eating share brain regions involved in perception, cognitive control, reward, and more especially emotion processing (Figs 1a and 1b).

Given that similar brain structures are involved in the regulation of emotion and eating behavior, it is important to investigate these underpinnings using relevant food-related tasks in different emotional conditions. A combination of physiological, behavioral and psychological measures is required to grasp the multiple dimensions of the participants’
reactions. Examination of the literature on the neurobiology of food intake control shows that emotions are not always considered, and when they are, they are usually attributed to the amygdala. As mentioned above, emotion and cognitive processes closely interact to regulate behavior, and these circuits encompass many extralimbic structures, not just the amygdala. This raises the question of how these cognitive processes are involved in emotion processing in the context of eating behavior, and how this cognitive-emotional brain influences food intake, in both normal and pathological contexts. As indicated above, impaired emotion regulation can lead to maladaptive behavior, and this also applies to eating behavior. For example, compared with healthy controls, individuals with obesity [58], a condition that has high comorbidity with affective disorders such as depression, have been found to have reduced dlPFC activity in response to a meal [59–61].

3.2. Nonhomeostatic factors, food reward and emotional eating

The regulation of eating behavior results from a balance between hunger and satiety, and is governed by both metabolic and nonmetabolic factors, such as exposure to food cues, cognitive and emotional state, and personal and cultural beliefs. These factors can also indirectly influence the homeostatic regulation of food intake, especially through reward and cognitive processes. These processes mainly take place in corticolimbic structures such as the PFC, amygdala and ventral striatum, and can trigger food intake through an executive cortical decision, independently of physiological needs [15]. Here, we focus on the nonmetabolic factors influencing eating behavior that are involved in top-down processes. Prefrontal areas are involved not only in emotion regulation, but also in executive functioning (including inhibitory and attentional control, as well as cognitive flexibility) and the cognitive control of eating behavior [62], which it does by modulating appetitive regions (i.e., OFC, ventral striatum, insula, and amygdala/hippocampus complex) [51]. Furthermore, there is an interplay between emotion and food intake: emotions can influence food choices and, conversely, food
intake can influence emotional state, owing to the impact of nutrients on food choices and mood [63–65].

In this review, we chose to focus on the role of emotions in eating behavior, rather than the role of specific foods in emotions per se. Given the increased accessibility of highly palatable and energy-dense foods, nonhomeostatic regulation may overwhelm the homeostatic regulation of food intake. Palatability and pleasantness are powerful determinants of food intake [66]. The latter is mediated by the mesocorticolimbic pathway, including the VTA, which sends projections to limbic areas (including the NAcc) and the PFC. Reciprocally, the PFC sends projections back to the NAcc and VTA [67]. The reward system is a central component of the nonhomeostatic regulation of eating behavior, and is mediated through dopamine (DA) release. A concentration-dependent increase in DA has been reported in the NAcc during oral sucrose stimulation in rats [68] and in correlation with meal pleasantness in the dorsal striatum of healthy individuals, determined with $^{11}$C raclopride positron emission tomography (PET) imaging [69]. Enhanced striatal DA release has also demonstrated in BED, using a food stimulation paradigm in [70]. Taken together, these data support the reinforcing effect of food through DA release, and suggest a role in disordered eating behaviors.

The reward system may be particularly involved in emotional eating as defined above, where eating may reduce anxiety [71], and eating comfort foods (see following section) may blunt the response to acute stress [16]. This is supported by Macht (2008)’s theory, based on hedonistic mechanisms and distraction conveyed by eating palatable foods, in which the immediate positive affective reactions can diminish the impact of stress. This phenomenon may be involved in all individuals’ regulation of daily emotions [7]. However, the association between affect and eating comes from research on obesity [72,73], where emotional eating to reduce anxiety is believed to drive compulsive overeating and thus promote obesity [71]. Healthy normal-weight individuals may actually be more prone to either increase or suppress
food intake in response to emotion than obese individuals [7,71], possibly depending on emotional features [7]. A recent study showed that normal-weight individuals can increase food intake in response to negative emotions and that emotional overeating is negatively correlated with alcohol consumption, suggesting that different strategies can be adopted to cope with negative emotions [74]. Eating in response to negative emotions is problematic in terms of public health, as the chosen foods are often characterized as unhealthy. Commonly acknowledged to affect food intake and to be involved in eating behavior [14,15,63], emotion processing has been studied in the context of EDs [51,62,75] such as obesity and/or BED [12,76–78], but has been poorly investigated in the context of normal eating behavior.

Research has shown that patients with EDs are more predisposed to experience alexithymia (i.e., difficulty identifying and describing feelings) [79], maladaptive emotion regulation [62,75,78], and deficits in emotion differentiation, which is defined as the tendency to experience vague affective states rather than well-defined emotions [80]. They are also more predisposed to dysfunctional processing of emotions related to food information [81]. In this study, reduced control over emotions and reduced attraction to food pictures were mediated by negative affect, supporting the predictive role of negative affect in ED symptomatology [81]. The use of inappropriate emotion regulation strategies in response to the situational demand is a feature of various psychiatric disorders [82], suggesting that emotional eating may reflect a dysregulation of emotion processing in patients with EDs. As emotion dysregulation can be involved in disordered eating behavior, there is growing interest in the assessment of emotion regulation in the context of eating control. Recent studies have focused on food craving, which refers to the urge to eat. According to Giuliani and colleagues (2015), food craving can be regarded as an affective state and can be modulated through regulatory strategies such as cognitive reappraisal [83]. Over the past decade, the number of fMRI neuroimaging studies related to reappraisal strategies in the context of food craving has
increased, providing information about the neural substrates involved [84,85]. In patients with EDs, emotion regulation strategies have also been investigated with fMRI [86]. Despite this growing body of literature, it is still difficult to predict whether emotions will trigger or suppress food intake, and a proper experimental setup needs to be implemented to answer this question.

3.3. Toward a more integrated view of emotion, HPA axis, gut microbiota, and neuroimmune interactions

Physiological and behavioral interactions between stress and food intake have been extensively studied in animals. Stress can be defined as a cascade of physiological events that translate an initial stressor into a behavioral response. It entails the activation of the neuroendocrine hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which in turn leads to the increased glucocorticoid synthesis and glucose availability needed to fuel the metabolic demands of stress responses [16]. The neuroendocrine neurons in the hypothalamus are involved in this process: the corticotrophin-releasing hormone neurons of the paraventricular nucleus stimulate the secretion of the adrenocorticotropic hormone by the anterior pituitary gland, which then stimulates cortisol secretion by the adrenal gland [87]. Stress can affect food intake through different interactions with the central nervous system and energy homeostasis. While acute stress can have either anorexigenic or orexigenic effects, depending on the individual, chronic stress is liable to induce weight gain through metabolic changes, independently of diet [88]. However, the question of whether stress increases or reduces food intake depends on various parameters [89], including sex/gender, duration of the stressor, food accessibility, and macronutrient quality [16]. Eating under stress has behavioral and psychological effects that are usually expected by the individual, such as stress relief through a reward signaling effect. The selected food is often characterized as comfort food, referring to highly palatable food items. Stress eating has been investigated in several animal studies,
including one in which sucrose-fed rats were found to secrete less corticosterone after acute stress [90–93]. The BLA is clearly essential for dampening stress, as sucrose-fed rats with bilateral lesions in the BLA had normal corticosterone levels in response to stress [90], whereas nonlesioned sucrose-fed rats exhibited increased structural plasticity (as demonstrated by the increased expression of genes related to structural plasticity) in this limbic region [90]. These results suggest that stress relief can be partly mediated through the reward system, indirectly promoting synaptic remodeling [16,90]. However, it is unclear whether eating comfort foods reduces stress by blunting HPA axis activation, stimulating the dopaminergic reward system, or both [16]. In any event, this phenomenon has important implications for weight issues. Overweight and obesity have been shown to be associated with emotion and stress-related eating [94], suggesting that overeating to cope with stress is a facet of emotional eating, just like overeating to cope with emotions. As emotional eaters are more likely to experience emotion recognition and management difficulties, eating may serve as a fallback. In addition, emotional eating has been shown to mediate the association between depression and obesity in young adults [95]. A similar observation was reported in a prospective cohort study, where emotional eating predicted a greater increase in body mass index (BMI) associated with shorter sleep duration, which itself is considered to be a stressor [96]. Regarding cortisol reactivity, female students with high emotional eating scores and blunted cortisol reactivity were found to consume more kilocalories after a stress induction task [97].

At the cellular and behavioral level, the stress response comes in two phases (acute and delayed), allowing the individual to react and adapt fully to the stressor. This requires increased attention, as well as enhanced activity of brain regions involved in both emotion processing and simple behavioral strategies [87]. However, repeated stress exposure may disrupt the balance between these phases and lead to maladaptive behavior in response to
stress events [98]. Adaptive habituation to repeated stress exposure may depend on the emotion regulation strategy used. In the context of repeated stress, a greater decrease in the cortisol level (reflecting HPA axis habituation) has been associated with the habitual use of cognitive reappraisal, but not emotion suppression, suggesting that habitual reappraisal plays an important role in the adaptation of the HPA axis to stress [99]. Increased cortisol may also promote effective emotion regulation in healthy individuals, either by facilitating attentional shifting [100] or by enhancing vIPFC activity and decreasing right amygdala activity [101]. By contrast, in depression or bipolar disorder, cortisol reactivity has been found to predict reduced recruitment of frontoparietal and striatal brain regions during emotion processing, these regions being involved in emotional salience and cognitive control [102]. In addition, higher low-grade inflammation measured from a blood sample has been associated with lower rs-FC within the emotion regulation network [103]. This network encompasses the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), middle temporal gyrus, and precentral gyrus, as well as the central executive network, which connects the dlPFC and posterior parietal cortex areas [103]. Taken together, these results highlight the relationships between stress, systemic inflammation, metabolic and affective disorders, and obesity. The inability to adapt to repeated stress is associated with systemic inflammation and metabolic disorders such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [87,104]. Additionally, HPA axis overstimulation is known to be involved in visceral adipose tissue deposition and weight-related concerns. One example is Cushing’s syndrome [105], which interestingly is closely associated with major depressive disorder [106]. Obesity and mood disorders share similar pathophysiological mechanisms, such as altered HPA axis activity and modulation of chronic low-grade inflammatory response [107,108]. The HPA axis regulates various processes, including modulation of immune functions and emotion processing, through glucocorticoid synthesis [109]. Moreover, there is a bidirectional relationship between the gut and the brain, involving endogenous
communication through microbiota factors, cytokines and hormones, and neural
communication through the sympathetic, parasympathetic and enteric nervous systems, vagus
nerve, and dorsal root ganglia [110], illustrating the complex gut-brain interaction. These
results therefore highlight the possible interaction between neural and neuro-endocrine
pathways (HPA axis) that may affect emotion processing, and should therefore be considered
in the context of emotional eating.

In addition, the microbiota has attracted a great deal of interest over the past decade,
with many studies highlighting its role in the gut-brain axis and the association between
microbiota changes and behavioral and physiological modifications [111]. With the use of
antibiotics and probiotics in animal models and the use of germ-free animal models, many
studies have shown that behavioral traits and mental illnesses (e.g., depression) may partly
depend on microbiota diversity and metabolic activity [112]. The microbiota appears to
interact closely with the immune system, through immune modulation [112,113]. In addition,
in neuropsychiatric disorders, alterations in immune homeostasis due to host–microbiota
interactions may involve changes in HPA axis activity that could be activated by pro-
inflammatory cytokines [114]. Moreover, the microbiota can affect neurotransmitter content
[114] through direct synthesis, in particular serotonin from tryptophan (5-HT) [110], or
catecholamine (norepinephrine and DA [115]) bioavailability. These monoaminergic
neurotransmitters (5-HT and DA) play a major role in brain circuits involved in the regulation
of mood, reward and food intake [110,116] and their dysregulation is involved in
neuropsychiatric pathologies (e.g., depressive disorders). It is therefore not surprising that gut
microbiota dysbiosis may be involved in mood disturbance and behavioral changes, through
changes in both immune response and neurotransmission.

Many factors influence gut microbiota diversity, such as medication and poor-quality
diet. Mental illnesses such as depression are associated with poor-quality diet and obesity
Therefore, emotional eating, which may trigger intake of comfort foods, may potentially affect gut microbiota, owing to harmful eating habits involving the consumption of palatable food with a high fat and/or sugar content and low fiber content. The Western diet, characterized by a low fiber content, results in reduced short-chain fatty acid synthesis from microbiota fermentation, which is known to modulate inflammation [118]. This type of diet is also associated with alteration of the gut microbiota ecosystem [119]. Stress can also affect the gut microbiome [120]. As illustrated in Panduro et al. (2017)’s review, negative emotions arising from alterations in the energy balance can induce chronic activation of the HPA axis, resulting in higher cortisol levels [121]. The latter may induce dysbiosis, leading to altered intestinal membrane permeability and activation of pro-inflammatory processes [121]. Additionally, chronic inflammation can lead to depressive-like behavior in mice [122]. These data suggest that an unhealthy diet can induce dysbiosis and inflammation, which in turn reinforce the onset of negative emotions, as altered gut microbiota can influence mood, thereby reinforcing the emotional eating behavior. Although an increasing number of studies have been investigating the relationship between EDs and microbiota homeostasis [123], specific gut microbiota profiles have not yet been described in emotional eaters. Moreover, it is important to bear in mind that there is a direct bidirectional interaction between the enteric nervous system and the brain. Appositely referred to as a gut feeling, this relationship engages various effector pathways that play an important role in feeling states and executive functioning [124]. These results suggest that the way stress interacts with emotion control and emotion regulation processes depends on the type of emotion regulation strategy used, the nature of the stressor (e.g., acute, delayed, or chronic), HPA activation, and individual health status (e.g., immune status, microbiota diversity, affective disorders), not forgetting hormonal effects (sex/gender) and age. The complex interaction between gut and brain in this context
justifies merging physiological (e.g., neuroendocrine) and psychological approaches into a holistic approach to investigating and preventing emotional eating.

4. Brain imaging studies in the context of eating behavior and emotions

Structural MRI, fMRI, resting-state MRI and EEG have all been used to investigate eating behavior in brain imaging studies. These are described in the following sections according to their experimental design. In the first section, brain imaging studies assessing emotional eating through questionnaires are reviewed, and the resulting brain correlates are discussed. The second section deals with studies coupling an emotion-induction task with food information processing. The third section focuses on brain imaging studies of stress and the link between emotional eating and coping with stress. The fourth and last section focuses on emotion regulation tasks in the context of food craving. Specific details of each study (sample, paradigm/task used, major findings, etc.) are reported in Tables 1 and 2.

4.1. Neural correlates of emotional eating

Emotional eating is commonly assessed with questionnaires, such as the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ) [125], which evaluates cognitive restriction, externality and emotion in the context of eating behavior, and the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) [126]. The Weight-Related Eating Questionnaire combines items from the DEBQ and TFEQ to measure routine and compensatory restraint, external eating, and emotional eating related to weight loss [127]. The emotional eating items in these questionnaires assess the tendency to eat in response to negative emotions. Other items assess the level of emotions, the frequency and amount of food intake [128], or the intensity of the desire to eat [8]. Neuroimaging studies investigating eating behavior, including emotional eating behavior, are scarce, but have nonetheless brought new insights into the neural correlates of emotional eating. Some have not reported any link between brain structures [129] or brain activation
and emotional eating scores, but others have. In one study featuring a Go/NoGo paradigm with high- and low-calorie food pictures, the emotional eating score was positively correlated with left dlPFC and left insula activity in the High Go / Low NoGo condition, and with left dlPFC activity in the Low Go / High NoGo condition [131]. As the dlPFC is involved in self-control, as well as in goal-directed behavior, it is hardly surprising that its activity was correlated with emotional eating in a paradigm requiring inhibitory control. This suggests that eating and emotional processing share a common cognitive process. In a second study, the emotional eating score was correlated with the activity of (i) the left insula in lean participants, (ii) the amygdala and OFC in patients with type-2 diabetes, and (iii) the right insula in obese individuals [132]. In line with this observation, the presentation of high- versus low-calorie food pictures highlighted a positive correlation between emotional eating and left insula activity in healthy individuals [132]. These results suggest that in both healthy and obese individuals with or without metabolic disorders, higher emotional eating scores are associated with increased responses in appetite and reward brain regions that are also involved in emotion processing, specifically the OFC and insula. In a third study, participants’ emotional eating score when consuming a milkshake was negatively correlated with the activity of the bilateral putamen and caudate, as well as the left insula and OFC [133]. Taken together, these results show that the same brain regions are differentially correlated with emotional eating, depending on the sensory demands (watching or tasting). Although these studies should be interpreted with caution, owing to many differences in terms of experimental paradigms, the OFC and insula appear to be relevant structures. The OFC is closely involved in the representation of pleasantness of reward and the attribution of affective value to food, and also plays a role in emotion, as food smell and taste can elicit emotional states [55]. In the specific context of emotions, the role of the OFC is to represent the reward value of goal-directed behavior through the learning of the stimulus-reinforcer
association [35]. According to Rolls (2019), OFC activation is correlated with the subjective emotional experience of affective stimuli, and OFC lesions have been shown to alter emotion-related learning, emotional behavior, and subjective affective state in macaques [35]. In addition, according to the model of cognitive control of emotion [20], the OFC and vmPFC are central to the evaluation of emotional value according to the context. This evaluation guides the selection of the most appropriate action in response to the stimuli. The functions of the insula (i.e., interoception, taste processing as primary gustatory cortex, and integration of multisensory signals) are central to food perception [54]. Owing to its bidirectional connections with many other regions (frontal lobe, subcortical regions, parietal and temporal cortices), the insula is also involved in emotion generation and modulation [26]. Impaired visceral interoceptive activity in the dorsal mid-insula has been observed in patients with anorexia nervosa [134], with heightened insula activity during anxious rumination. It has been suggested that impaired insular activity plays a key role in ED physiopathology, on account of its role in taste interoception, taste processing, the cognitive control network, emotion regulation, and body-image distortion [135]. Consequently, both the OFC and insula are liable to play an important role in emotional eating, and deserve particular consideration in eating behavior studies.

As demonstrated by Herwig et al. (2016), food pictures can induce appetitive emotions [136]. Thus, correlations between the passive viewing of food pictures and emotional eating may be related to the emotional response to food information processing. This highlights the need to devise paradigms that induce an emotional state in order to mimic ecological situations. Moreover, the questionnaires used may not accurately measure emotional eating behavior per se [137,138]. It has been suggested that emotional eating is a behavioral trait, consisting of a combination of behavioral characteristics that are individually predictable and
stable across time and situations, possibly forming part of a more general concept of low self-control and high motivation to eat [137].

4.2. Emotion induction during food information processing

In a study featuring negative versus neutral mood induction conditions, emotional (vs. nonemotional) eaters were found to have heightened activity in the (i) left parahippocampal gyrus in response to the anticipated receipt of a milkshake, and (ii) right pallidum in response to the actual receipt of a milkshake while in a negative mood. Across mood conditions, they were found to have greater activity in the left caudate nucleus and pallidum during milkshake consumption [139]. Overall, emotional eaters had greater activity in brain regions involved in food cue processing and reward, supporting the idea that food plays a rewarding role and can therefore alleviate or attenuate negative mood states. In a study assessing the neural processing of food stimuli in different emotional contexts, researchers observed greater activity in the lateral OFC and occipital lobe after negative emotional priming [140]. In a positive priming condition, there was also increased activity in the lateral OFC and occipital pole, as well as in the insula and amygdala. Amygdala activity was greater in the neutral and positive conditions than in the negative condition, possibly reflecting decreased food salience when individuals are in a negative state [140]. Two other studies used EEG recordings to assess emotional eating while viewing food pictures in an emotional paradigm [141,142]. The first of these demonstrated higher amplitude of the late positive potential (LPP) in parietal-occipital brain regions in the high emotional eating group, independently of mood condition, reflecting the high motivational relevance of food [141]. The second study investigated event-related potential responses in a food choice paradigm in the context of emotional eating [142]. While there was no effect of emotional eating on the parietal-occipital P300 amplitude reflecting motivated attention to foods, unrestrained participants with high reactivity had increased P300 amplitudes in response to food images in the negative
condition. By contrast, highly restrained participants with low reactivity had decreased food-specific P300 amplitudes in the negative condition. These results are consistent with current theories of emotional eating, according to which weak emotional states are likely to trigger compensatory appetitive attentional mechanisms, whereas highly intense emotional states reverse this pattern [142]. Emotional reactivity may therefore be an independent moderator of emotional overeating.

4.3. Stress and emotional eating

Stress can affect food intake by reducing or increasing eating desire and/or hunger. Few studies have examined the relationships between biological markers of stress and emotional eating, and the neural correlates are still unknown. To date, only one study (in adolescents with different BMIs) has combined the investigation of emotional eating and stress with fMRI [143]. In this study, positive associations were reported between salivary cortisol levels and the functional connectivity of mesolimbic brain regions, as well as between the lateral hypothalamus and the NAcc, and between the lateral hypothalamus and midbrain, in the excess-weight group. There was also a positive association between the emotional eating score and connectivity in the lateral hypothalamic-midbrain network, but again only in the excess-weight group [143]. The hypothalamus is a core area for the homeostatic control of eating behavior and is closely connected to neural circuits involved in emotional behavior. In particular, regarding the neural mechanisms behind the emotional regulation of homeostatic eating, the lateral hypothalamus has bidirectional connections with several brain regions involved in emotion processing, including the amygdala, VTA and NAcc [144]. This highlights the role of the lateral hypothalamus in the modulation of VTA activity. Disruption of this circuitry is associated with changes in mood and emotions, although the functional interactions between hypothalamic circuitry and the mesolimbic reward pathways have yet to be determined [144]. Taken together, these results suggest that stress may affect specific brain areas that are part of the homeostatic and emotional control systems.
networks in individuals with weight issues. Whether this is a cause or a consequence of their excess weight has yet to be determined. In a 3-year longitudinal study in adolescents, higher negative affect and stressful events were not correlated with the activity of the brain regions related to food reward at the beginning of the study. However, individuals who gained weight during the study had elevated brain responses in the hippocampus, precuneus, middle occipital gyrus, and vermis [145]. These elevated brain responses were correlated with higher negative affect at baseline or the experience of more stressful events among individuals who reported more severe EDs and restrained eating behavior [145]. These results demonstrate the effect of negative emotional situations on brain responses to food, which may influence eating behavior and food intake, thus predisposing individuals to weight gain. Higher responsiveness in reward-related brain regions may mediate the relationship between stressful events and weight gain over time. These results shed additional light on the link between stress and food choices, especially for comfort foods. When researchers investigated the effect of acute stress on self-control and decision-making, functional connectivity between the vmPFC, amygdala, and striatum was positively correlated with salivary cortisol levels when participants chose tastier foods [146]. By contrast, when individuals had to choose between healthy or tasty foods, higher perceived stress was correlated with a greater decrease in connectivity between the dIPFC and vmPFC [146]. These results suggest either that stress reduces dIPFC-vmPFC connectivity or that individuals with reduced dIPFC-vmPFC connectivity are more vulnerable to stress, and have less self-control. Although the authors’ main goal was not to investigate the cognitive control of emotions, we can hypothesize that impaired emotion regulation may lead individuals to choose more palatable foods (i.e., comfort foods). In addition, heightened dIPFC activity has been observed in individuals with low chronic stress during the passive viewing of high-calorie food pictures, whereas reduced activity has been observed in individuals with a diagnosis of chronic stress [147]. Given the dIPFC’s role in emotion
processing and inhibitory control, these results suggest that individuals with low chronic stress are more liable to suppress emotional reactions to highly palatable foods. Even though these studies did not include emotional assessments, the dlPFC appears to lie at the intersection of executive functioning, goal-directed behavior, and inhibitory control in the context of both eating behavior and emotion processing. Upregulation of the dlPFC may therefore be a promising strategy for preventing emotional eating in EDs [148]. Nevertheless, the extent to which stress is involved in emotional eating remains unclear [149]. Studies investigating the impact of stress on eating behavior should also investigate emotional eating in order to elucidate this relation.

4.4. Emotional regulation of food craving

As emotions are among the factors that modulate eating behavior, a growing number of studies have sought to achieve cognitive regulation of food craving, regarded as an affective state [83], through reappraisal, acceptance, suppression, distraction or imaginative strategies (for a more comprehensive overview of these different strategies, see [28,30]). The number of brain imaging studies in the context of these paradigms in individuals with [86] and without [6,150–157] EDs has increased over the past decade. Meta-analyses have been carried out of studies with [84,85] or without [158] fMRI. Wolz’s meta-analysis showed that the cognitive regulation strategy offering the most effective top-down control of food craving was reappraisal, followed by suppression, with distraction having a more modest effect [158]. Brandl et al. (2019) focused on the cognitive control of craving for hedonic (rewarding) stimuli, showing consistent activation of the pre-SMA, SMA, vIPFC and dlPFC across different types of stimulus (e.g., food craving, cigarette craving, monetary reward). This consistent pattern of brain region activation supported the model of common neurocognitive control of both reward and negative emotions [84]. It also included the anterior insula and angular gyrus, which were activated more during cognitive reward control than during reward
cue exposure without control [84]. These results are in line with a previous meta-analysis that focused on the use of food stimuli to assess the neural correlates of dietary self-control: similar brain regions were involved, including the anterior insula, IFG, vlPFC, dlPFC, bilateral SMA, bilateral mid-cingulate cortex, and temporoparietal junction [85]. In addition, EEG recordings during the regulation of craving while being exposed to pictures of high-calorie food revealed higher positive LPP amplitude when individuals had to think about the long-term consequences of eating the food, compared with the immediate consequences [159]. Additionally, LPP amplitudes were positively correlated with self-reported emotional eating. These results indicate that emotional eaters pay increased attention to food because of its relevance for emotion regulation and behavioral control. However, this higher LPP may also be driven by arousal, regardless of valence, owing to possible negative thoughts related to the long-term effects of eating high-calorie foods. As a higher LPP amplitude was observed in the reappraisal of high-calorie food pictures, but became nonsignificant in the late LPP window (slow wave), the authors concluded that it may have reflected successful regulation of craving [159].

Even though such interventions are intended to reduce food cravings, the ultimate goal is to improve food choices in favor of healthier foods. Decision-making and emotion regulation brain networks share common prefrontal areas, including the vlPFC, medial PFC (i.e., medial OFC, frontal pole, rostral ACC, and subgenual PFC), dmPFC and dlPFC [5]. The connections between the two vary according to emotional state. Behavior is modulated by reinforcers (reward or punishment) that are assessed by emotion, and top-down control of emotion should have an effect on decision-making [5]. Regarding the impact of incidental negative emotions on food decisions after an emotion regulation task, associations have been found between reduced emotions and increased activity in the PFC, SMA and supramarginal gyrus [6], in line with meta-analyses described above. Reduced activity in the vmPFC was
observed in the *decrease* condition when individuals chose to consume the food item. Following emotion regulation, there was greater activity in the striatum when individuals chose to consume the food item than when they rejected the food. This was more pronounced in the decrease condition. Therefore, reducing emotions significantly modulated activity in the vmPFC and striatum when participants chose to consume foods rather than reject them [6]. Emotion regulation may therefore modulate dietary decisions through both reward and decision-making networks. In addition, cognitive regulation of food craving during choices is associated with differential activity in prefrontal brain regions involved in valuation and decision-making processes, as investigated through a cognitive regulation of craving task [160]. In healthy individuals, valuation of food pictures elicited reduced dlPFC activity after food craving reduction, and higher vmPFC activity after food craving increase [160]. In addition, the posterior parietal cortex and vlPFC exhibited stronger connectivity with the vmPFC during regulation, suggesting that these brain regions are involved in implementing changes in the decision-making circuitry during cognitive regulation [160]. Cognitive regulation therefore appears to affect decision-making through valuation regulation and behavioral control, where chronic stress may affect hedonic valuation but not cognitive regulation [161]. However, individuals with reward and cognitive deficits may be more prone to altered cognitive regulation, requiring increased frontoparietal functional connectivity [162]. Taken together, these studies highlighted overlapping pathways involving emotional processing and decision-making in the context of eating behavior that cannot be regarded as distinct mechanisms. Thus, emotional eating may have two dimensions: 1) a *hedonic dimension*, in which food intake is driven by the reward properties of food, and 2) a *cognitive dimension*, in which emotion regulation strategies may be impaired, at least when volitional regulation is required. The overlapping brain patterns reported by Brandl et al. (2019) suggest that a combined model of emotional eating is needed. Improving emotion regulation to
counteract food craving may have positive results regarding the control of hedonic food intake (e.g., comfort foods), therefore acting on the two assumed dimensions of emotional eating.

5. **Health and societal issues: New neural targets and innovative strategies for prevention and therapy**

5.1. **Neuromodulation**

Neuromodulation consists in modifying neural activity or excitability, using either invasive or noninvasive techniques. Noninvasive neuromodulation techniques, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct-current stimulation (tDCS), are being increasingly used in interventional studies of disordered eating behavior [148,163]. To demonstrate the causal implication of the brain regions described above, there is a need for interventional studies aimed at modulating these brain regions. Interestingly, in ED subtypes, tDCS-based modulation of the dIPFC has had positive effects on food craving, improved mood, and reduced daily calorie intake [164]. Current knowledge of neuromodulation is based on case-report studies or heterogeneous volunteer samples [163,164], and its long-term efficiency remains unexplored. It nevertheless seems well suited for the treatment of disordered eating behaviors [163]. Given that overeating may be driven by emotional states, it could be useful to apply noninvasive neuromodulation techniques to prevent emotional eating, by targeting brain areas that are involved in both the cognitive control of emotion and eating behavior. To date, no intervention study featuring a neuromodulation approach has been conducted in the context of emotional eating.

5.2. **Use of neurofeedback in the prevention and treatment of emotional eating**

Neurofeedback (NF) appears to be a promising indirect brain stimulation strategy. It is
a form of biofeedback in which individuals are trained to voluntarily up- or downregulate their own brain activity while receiving realtime feedback [165,166]. Several neuroimaging techniques can be used to provide NF, such as EEG, fMRI and, more recently, functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). Most studies have been conducted with EEG, given the high temporal resolution this modality can offer. An increasing number of studies are being performed with fMRI, given the higher spatial resolution and whole-brain coverage. A combination of these modalities, allowing both fine spatial and accurate temporal resolution to be achieved [167], has already been applied in the context of emotion [168,169]. NF can target one or more brain areas, as well as the functional connectivity between brain regions. As mentioned above, this functional connectivity can be altered in neuropsychiatric diseases, and associated therefore with disordered mood or behavior [166]. NF can be used either as an intervention per se, or as a way of assessing or enhancing conventional treatments, or even of improving knowledge in fundamental neuroscience [165]. NF has not yet been applied in the context of emotional eating, but has shown promising results for regulating emotions in healthy individuals [170–173] or patients with mood disorders [169,174], as well as the eating behavior of healthy individuals with normal weight or overweight [175], overweight/obese individuals with [176] or without food addiction [177,178], restrained eaters with overeating/binge eating episodes [179,180], and patients with EDs such as anorexia [181].

Most of the studies investigating the effect of fMRI-based NF in emotion regulation in healthy individuals have targeted the amygdala [170,171,173], because of its main role in emotion processing [182]. Downregulation of the amygdala in healthy individuals during exposure to aversive pictures is related to increased functional connectivity between the amygdala and the dmPFC, dlPFC, vlPFC, ACC [170] and vmPFC [171]. Upregulation of the right dlPFC with fNIRS-based NF has been associated with improved individual emotion regulation abilities [172]. Moreover, functional connectivity within the emotion regulation
network, including structures such as the dlPFC, vlPFC and SMA, and between this network
and the amygdala, has been found to increase after repeated NF sessions [172]. This shows
that NF can enhance the cognitive processes involved in emotion regulation, which could be
very valuable in the context of emotional eating [183]. Most NF studies of eating behavior
have used EEG [175,176,179–181]. EEG-based NF training in healthy individuals intended to
increase theta/alpha ratio, has been associated with fewer food craving events directly after
the intervention, as well as 4 months later [175]. In addition, upregulation of the infraslow
frequency of the PCC has been associated with reduced food craving in obese individuals
with food addiction [176]. Regarding overeating episodes, after downregulation of high beta
activity (EEG) during a food cue exposure, authors observed a decrease in the frequency of
overeating episodes [179], binge eating episodes and food craving events, as well as
improvements in perceived stress among female restrained eaters [180]. However,
improvements in perceived stress and food craving were also noticed in a group who
performed mental imagery (imagining pleasant and vivid images), compared with a waitlist
group [180]. Consequently, the authors investigated the presence and role of physiological
(changes in brain activity) and/or psychological (increased self-efficacy and subjective
control) learning mechanisms in NF. They found that the group who received NF used both
physiological and psychological learning mechanisms, as measured by EEG activity or
changes in somatic self-efficacy, with a greater effect of the physiological learning
mechanisms, whereas the group who performed mental imagery only used psychological
learning mechanisms [184]. These results indicate that only the NF training was associated
with physiological changes, and that it promoted different learning mechanisms compared
with the mental imagery strategy. The upregulation of the anterior insula cortex with fMRI-
based NF in the context of eating behavior shows that both obese and lean individuals are able
to upregulate the activity of this brain region, despite the absence of behavioral or mood
outcomes [177]. More recently, Kohl et al. (2019) found that the upregulation of the dlPFC with fMRI-based NF during palatable food picture exposure improved self-control during food choices and reduced the preference for high-calorie items [178]. They also found a decrease in anxiety and depression ratings, suggesting that dlPFC upregulation can improve emotional state and food choices, even if no change in calorie intake was observed [178]. All these studies highlight the potential effectiveness of NF for improving eating behavior (for a descriptive overview of these eating-related NF studies, see [185]). It should be noted that behavioral outcomes are still inconsistent in NF studies [177,186,187], and further research is needed to confirm the potential of NF training as an emerging interventional technique. Moreover, most of these studies lacked a control group with sham NF, and included only a small number of participants, thus preventing the generalization of the results. These limitations increase the risk of experimental bias and insufficient statistical power [185]. Experimental paradigms need to be improved, in order to overcome these issues. For this purpose, we recommend standardizing components of the NF protocol, such as the number and duration of the NF sessions. Nevertheless, the above-mentioned research has brought promising outcomes, such as a reduction in food craving [175,176,180], enhanced self-control during food choice [178], and reduced frequency of overeating and binge-eating episodes [179,180]. In addition, some studies have shown that NF can enhance the functional connectivity of the emotion regulation network [170–173], thereby improving emotion regulation. These results highlight the potential effectiveness of NF for emotional eaters. The target brain region should be involved in both emotion regulation and eating behavior. Given its role in both emotional processing [24,26] and the inhibitory control of eating behavior [148], the dlPFC therefore seems to be a suitable candidate for improving emotion regulation and the control of food intake in emotional eaters [10,183].

6. Perspectives and conclusion
Although neuroimaging studies of eating behavior in pathological or healthy conditions have been somewhat heterogeneous in terms of number of participants, sex, and experimental paradigm, they have shown which brain regions and networks are involved.

These regions and networks could be used as targets for neuromodulation strategies (e.g., NF) designed to counteract maladaptive eating behaviors related to emotion. Emotional eating involves not just the amygdala, but overlapping mechanisms at the intersection between reward circuitry, cognitive control (executive functioning, decision-making) and emotion regulation, all three of which are intrinsically connected. In line with previous resting-state fMRI studies [188], more attention should be paid to the vmPFC and OFC on account of their involvement in valuation and decision-making, the insula for interoception and affect modulation, the dLPFC for cognitive control, and the VTA and striatum (NAcc, caudate and putamen) for reward processing. As it has been suggested that emotional eating is a predictor of chronic BED, elucidating the brain mechanisms involved could help identify early vulnerability factors in emotional eaters and thus yield crucial information for preventive care.
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Figure 1. a. Major brain areas involved in emotional processing, including emotion generation and emotion regulation. Purple boxes represent areas more related to emotion generation (Periaqueductal Grey, PAG; amygdala; Nucleus Accumbens, NAcc; striatum ventral). Pink boxes represent brain areas more specific to affect modulation and emotion regulation (ventrolateral, dorsolateral, dorsomedial prefrontal cortices, vlPFC; dlPFC; dmPFC; Inferior Parietal region IFP; supplementary motor area, SMA). Red boxes represent brain areas that can be involved in both processes (orbitofrontal cortex, OFC/vmPFC; insula; anterior cingulate cortex, ACC). b. Simplified scheme of common brain regions related to both eating behavior and emotional processing sharing similar functions. Red boxes concern affect modulation whereas blue boxes are related to emotion initiation. Scheme designed with Inkscape (Inkscape Project. (2020). Inkscape. Retrieved from https://inkscape.org).
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Table 1. Details of MRI studies in which emotional eating has been assessed. Details include population criteria (e.g. age, BMI, health condition), experimental paradigm, type of the questionnaire and major results of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Results of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bohon, 2014</td>
<td>n=162 adolescents (82 females), healthy</td>
<td>15.3 (±1.1)</td>
<td>20.8 (±1.9), range [18-25]</td>
<td>Chocolate milkshake fMRI paradigm</td>
<td>DEBQ</td>
<td><strong>Anticipation of Taste</strong> No significant relation between emotional eating scores and brain response to anticipation of milkshake receipt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Taste Receipt</strong> Negative correlation between emotional eating scores and activation in the right thalamus, putamen and caudate, and the left caudate, putamen, insula and OFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. 2018</td>
<td>n=43 healthy females</td>
<td>20.47 (±1.75), range [18-25]</td>
<td>23.05 (±4.44), range [15.56-29.32]</td>
<td>Food rating and decision-making task (high and low calorie food pictures)</td>
<td>DEBQ</td>
<td><strong>Success in self-control</strong> Significant activated BOLD responses in dlPFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Failure in self-control</strong> Significant activated BOLD responses in MCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Correlation With DEBQ</strong> No significant correlation with emotional eating and brain analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al. 2019</td>
<td>n=158 normal-weight healthy females</td>
<td>19.40 (±1.52), range [17-25]</td>
<td>20.59 (±1.45), range [18.02-23.98]</td>
<td>Structural MRI scan (voxel-based morphometry)</td>
<td>TFEQ-R18</td>
<td><strong>Uncontrolled eating scores</strong> Positively associated with the GMV of both sides of the cerebellum, and negatively correlated with the GMV on the left side of the ACC, MCC and SMAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Restrainted eating scores</strong> Positive correlation scores with the GMV of the right side of precuneus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Emotional eating scores</strong> No correlation with any brain structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Bloemendaal et al. 2015</td>
<td>n=48 individuals normoglycemic</td>
<td>normoglycemic</td>
<td>normoglycemic</td>
<td>Passive viewing task of high-calorie, low-calorie food and neutral pictures</td>
<td>DEBQ</td>
<td><strong>Association between emotional eating and brain responses to food pictures (no administration, placebo condition)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=16 healthy lean individuals</td>
<td>57.8 (±1.9)</td>
<td>23.2 (±0.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Food versus nonfood pictures</strong> Positive correlation between emotional eating and right insula activity for obese individuals, bilateral amygdala, bilateral OFC and right insula for T2DM and no correlation for normoglycemic individuals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accepted manuscript / Final version
n=16 type 2 diabetes obese patients (T2DM)

T2DM

n=16 normoglycemic obese individuals

61.4 (±1.5)

Obese

58.0 (±2.1)

Range [40-70]

64.0 (±0.9)

Obese

32.6 (±0.7)

High versus low calorie food pictures Positive correlation of emotional eating and brain activity in left insula for healthy individuals, in right insula for obese individuals and in right inferior OFC for T2DM patients.

Wood, 2017

n=20 healthy individuals (12 females)

19.8 (±1.0), range [18-22]

22.6 (±3.0), range [18.5-31.3]

High-calorie food go and low-calorie food nogo task (HGo/LNogo), Low-calorie food go and high-calorie food nogo task (LGo/HNogo) WREQ

HGo vs. LGo contrast Positive correlation between bilateral dlPFC and left insula activity with emotional eating

HGo vs. HNogo contrast Positive correlations between emotional eating and routine restraint with left dlPFC activity

“x ± x” means “average ± standard deviation”; Body Mass Index (BMI; kg/m²); functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI); Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ); Three Eating Factor Questionnaire – Revised 18 items (TFEQ-R18); Weight-Related Eating Questionnaire (WREQ); type 2 diabetes (T2DM); glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1); Blood Oxygen-level dependant (BOLD); grey matter volume (GMV); orbitofrontal cortex (OFC); dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC); midcingulate cortex (MCC); anterior cingulate cortex (ACC); supplementary motor area (SMA); high-go and low-nogo (HGo/LNogo); low-go and high-nogo (LGo/HNogo).
Table 2. Details of neuroimaging studies related to section 4.2 (Emotion induction during food processing), 4.3 (Stress and emotional eating) and 4.4 (Emotion regulation of food craving). Details include population criteria (e.g. age, BMI, health condition), neuroimaging modality, experimental paradigm of the studies and major results of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Paradigm</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Results of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blechert et al. 2014</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Mood induction</td>
<td>n=45 healthy women</td>
<td>LEE 24.5 (±6.01), range [17.8-27.3]</td>
<td>Higher parieto-occipital LPP amplitude for HEE than LEE, no effect of mood condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEE 21.4 (±2.37), range [17.8-27.3]</td>
<td>Passive viewing and rating food pictures task with negative or neutral emotion induction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=25 HEE</td>
<td>22.8 (±2.78)</td>
<td>Contrast negative &gt; neutral mood higher relative positivity (reduced negativity) LPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=20 LEE</td>
<td>22.5 (±4.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range [17.1-33.8]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohon, 2009</td>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Mood induction</td>
<td>n=21 female college students</td>
<td>20.1 (±2.0)</td>
<td>24.4 (±4.5)</td>
<td>Consummatory and anticipatory food reward task in a negative or neutral mood state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction between emotional eating and mood (anticipatory condition) Emotional eaters showed greater activation in the left parahippocampal gyrus and left ventral ACC in negative mood compared to neutral mood state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction between emotional eating and mood (consummatory condition) Emotional eaters showed greater activation in the right pallidum for milkshake receipt in a negative mood state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=10 high emotional eating score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=11 low emotional eating score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>fMRI/Diffusion</td>
<td>Task Type</td>
<td>Sample Characteristics</td>
<td>Effect Size/Range/Measure</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Garcia et al. 2020</td>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Mood induction</td>
<td>n=58 healthy women</td>
<td>25.63 (±5.84) range [17.67-46.83]</td>
<td>Emotional priming task with a fMRI event-related food processing task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a. range [20-35]</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Contrast “foods &gt; objects” depending on the emotional priming condition</strong> Negative priming: higher activity in the occipital pole and lateral OFC; Neutral priming: higher activity in the occipital pole, lateral OFC, insula and amygdala; Positive priming: bilateral activity in the lateral OFC, occipital pole, insula and left amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Interaction with the amygdala</strong> Higher activity in left amygdala in the contrast after neutral priming relative to negative priming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maier et al. 2015</td>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>n=51 healthy males</td>
<td>22.55 (±2.06)</td>
<td>Stress induction task (SECP) and food choice task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 (±2)</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Functional connectivity</strong> Greater positive functional connectivity between vmPFC and portions of the amygdala and striatum (stressed &gt; control participants) when choosing the tastier item</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater vmPFC connectivity with the Amygdala, ventral striatum and bilateral insula during tastier choices for the stressed group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stronger correlation of vmPFC connectivity during tastier choices with individual cortisol levels compared to self-reported perceived stress in the striatum and extended amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Perez et al. 2019</td>
<td>fMRI/Diffusion</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>n=32 NW</td>
<td>BMIs percentile between 5th and 85th for NW and at or above 85th for EW</td>
<td><strong>Associations between hypothalamic functional connectivity and stress response</strong> Significant positive association with the connectivity in the lateral hypothalamus (LH)-NAcc network and the LH-midbrain network in OW individuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resting-state</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a. Range [10-19]</td>
<td>Virtual reality version of the TSST, cortisol salivary measure and DEBQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Cognitive regulation of food craving task</td>
<td>Associations of emotional eating behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meule et al. 2013</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Emotion regulation</td>
<td>n=26 healthy female students</td>
<td>23 (±2.23) range [18-27] 23.12 (±2.80)</td>
<td>Positive correlation with emotional eating score and parieto-occipital LPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morawetz et al. 2020</td>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Emotion regulation</td>
<td>n=35 healthy participants (29 females)</td>
<td>23.17 (±3.44) 21.26 (±2.38)</td>
<td>Increased activity in several regions in the PFC (middle frontal gyrus), SMA, and supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneppe et al. 2020</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Mood induction</td>
<td>n=69 healthy women</td>
<td>21.9 (±3.77), range [16-50] 22.3 (±3.09), normal weight range</td>
<td>No correlation with parieto-occipital P300 activity and emotional eating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **n=22 EW females adolescents**
- **n=26 healthy female students**
- **n=35 healthy participants (29 females)**
- **n=69 healthy women**
Tryon et al. 2013  

**fMRI** Stress  
n=30 women  

**Tot=39.7 (±2.3)**  

**Tot=25.6 (±0.9), range [18-39]**  

Stress induction task (TSST) before passive viewing food pictures task  

**HiCal vs. control condition**  

HCS group: increased ACC activity, amygdala, right medial OFC, left putamen and caudate regions, reduced activity in the contralateral side of the caudate and putamen, left anterior PFC cortex, right ACC, bilateral dlPFC and the left lateral OFC; LCS group: increased bilateral dlPFC activity  

**HiCal vs LoCal**  

HCS group: higher activity in the amygdala, caudate, right ACC, left putamen and right medial OFC and reduced activity in the left anterior PFC and left dlPFC; LCS group: greater activity in the left anterior PFC, caudate regions and the left dlPFC  

**Functional connectivity** (HiCal vs LoCal contrast)  

HCS group: enhanced functional connectivity with the amygdala in the bilateral thalamus, left inferior parietal lobe and left putamen; LCS group: enhanced functional connectivity with the amygdala in the right ACC, left anterior PFC, left caudate, left insula and left dlPFC  

---  

Yang et al. 2019  

**fMRI** Stress  
n=135 healthy adolescents (73 females)  

**21.16 (±2.25), range [18-25]**  

Food picture and food receipt paradigm  

3 years follow-up study of BMI  

**Baseline negative affect as a moderator**  

**Appetizing > unappetizing food images**  

Higher negative affect was associated with left hippocampus activity and with higher future weight gain
**Milkshake > tasteless solution** Positive correlation between high negative affect with vermis activation and future weight gain with LFHS milkshake and positive correlation with high negative affect and high precuneus activation to the LFLS milkshake and greater weight gain

**Stressful events as a moderator**

**Milkshake > tasteless** Individuals with high stressful events showed a positive relation between right middle occipital gyrus activation and BMI gain, individuals with fewer stressful events showed lower right middle occipital gyrus activity associated with higher weight gain

“x ± x” means “average ± standard deviation”; Electroencephalography (EEG); Low Emotional Eaters (LEE); High Emotional Eaters (HEE); Late Positive Potential (LPP); Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC); Orbitofrontal Cortex (OFC); Socially Evaluated Cold Pressor Test (SECPPT); ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC); Trier Social Stress task (TSST); normal-weight (NW), excess weight (EW); lateral hypothalamus (LH); Nucleus accumbens (NAcc); Normal Weight (NW); Excess Weight (EW); Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ); high-calorie reappraisal (HC-LATER); Supplementary Motor Area (SMA); body mass index (BMI, kg/m²); high-calorie and low-calorie (HiCal, LoCal); high chronic stressed (HCS); low chronic stressed (LCS); Prefrontal Cortex (PFC); dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (dLPFC); Low Fat High Sugar (LFHS); Low Fat Low Sugar (LFLS).