

# **Duplication and specialization of NUDX1 in Rosaceae led to geraniol production in rose petals**

Corentin Conart, Nathanaelle Saclier, Fabrice Foucher, Clément Goubert, Aurélie Rius-Bony, Saretta Paramita, Sandrine Moja, Tatiana Thouroude, Christophe Douady, Pulu Sun, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Corentin Conart, Nathanaelle Saclier, Fabrice Foucher, Clément Goubert, Aurélie Rius-Bony, et al.. Duplication and specialization of NUDX1 in Rosaceae led to geraniol production in rose petals. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2022, 39 (2), pp.651522.  $10.1093/molbev/msac002$ . hal-03556817

# **HAL Id: hal-03556817 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03556817>**

Submitted on 13 Mar 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

# Duplication and Specialization of NUDX1 in Rosaceae Led to Geraniol Production in Rose Petals

Corentin Conart[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3693-7183) $^1$  Nathanaelle Saclier, $^2$  Fabrice Foucher  $\textcolor{blue}{\bullet}$ , $^3$  Clément Goubert, $^4$  Aurélie Rius-Bony, $^1$ Saretta N. Paramita,<sup>1</sup> Sandrine Moja,<sup>1</sup> Tatiana Thouroude,<sup>3</sup> Christophe Douady,<sup>2,5</sup> Pulu Sun,<sup>6</sup> Baptiste Nairaud,<sup>1</sup> Denis Saint-Marcoux,<sup>1</sup> Muriel Bahut,<sup>7</sup> Julien Jeauffre,<sup>3</sup> Laurence Hibrand Saint-Oyant,<sup>3</sup> Robert C. Schuurink,<sup>6</sup> Jean-Louis Magnard,<sup>1</sup> Benoît Boachon,<sup>1</sup> Natalia Dudareva,<sup>8,9</sup> Sylvie Baudino (D,\* ,<sup>1</sup>) and Jean-Claude Caissard<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Lyon, Université Saint-Etienne, CNRS, UMR 5079, Laboratoire de Biotechnologies Végétales appliquées aux Plantes Aromatiques et Médicinales, Saint-Etienne, France

 $^2$ Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5023, ENTPE, Laboratoire d'Ecologie des Hydrosystèmes Naturels et Anthropisés, Villeurbanne, France

 $^3$ Univ Angers, Institut Agro, INRAE, IRHS, SFR QUASAV, Angers, France

<sup>4</sup>Department of Human Genetics, McGill University Genome Center, Montreal, QC, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France

 $^6$ Green Life Sciences Research Cluster, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Univ Angers, SFR QUASAV, Angers, France

 ${}^{8}$ Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

<sup>9</sup>Purdue Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: Sylvie.Baudino@univ-st-etienne.fr.

Associate editor: Michael Purugganan

### Abstract

Nudix hydrolases are conserved enzymes ubiquitously present in all kingdoms of life. Recent research revealed that several Nudix hydrolases are involved in terpenoid metabolism in plants. In modern roses, RhNUDX1 is responsible for formation of geraniol, a major compound of rose scent. Nevertheless, this compound is produced by monoterpene synthases in many geraniol-producing plants. As a consequence, this raised the question about the origin of RhNUDX1 function and the NUDX1 gene evolution in Rosaceae, in wild roses or/and during the domestication process. Here, we showed that three distinct clades of NUDX1 emerged in the Rosoidae subfamily (Nudx1-1 to Nudx1-3 clades), and two subclades evolved in the Rosa genus (Nudx1-1a and Nudx1-1b subclades). We also showed that the Nudx1-1b subclade was more ancient than the Nudx1-1a subclade, and that the NUDX1-1a gene emerged by a *trans-*duplication of the more ancient NUDX1-1b gene. After the transposition, NUDX1-1a was cis-duplicated, leading to a gene dosage effect on the production of geraniol in different species. Furthermore, the NUDX1-1a appearance was accompanied by the evolution of its promoter, most likely from a Copia retrotransposon origin, leading to its petal-specific expression. Thus, our data strongly suggest that the unique function of NUDX1-1a in geraniol formation was evolved naturally in the genus Rosa before domestication.

Key words: Rosaceae, Rosa, Nudix hydrolase, monoterpenes, NUDX1 synteny.

# Introduction

Rosa is a complex taxon with more than 150 intertwined species (Wissemann 2003). Only few (around 15) rose species have been domesticated by humans since Antiquity (fig. 1). In Knossos (1700 B.C.), roses were painted with only few petals like wild briars (fig. 1a), whereas in Rome and Pompei (79 A.C.) they were presented with dozens of petals (fig. 1b), meaning that the domestication process had already started. Indeed, over the past three centuries, domestication resulted in flowers with hundreds of petals often with a strong fragrance. Some of the very ancient roses, approximately 1,000–2,000 years old, have come down to us as heritage roses (fig. 1c). This includes Rosa chinensis cv. "Old Blush" (Old Blush) from China, which is likely a natural hybrid between wild species (Raymond et al. 2018). This rose has been largely used by breeders, and many modern roses probably have Old Blush as an ancestor. Other heritage roses have also been used for horticultural selection and hybridization with other varieties ([supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). As a result, modern roses are an extended combination

- The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any<br>medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact jour



between alleles of different wild species, and alleles that appeared by spontaneous bud mutations.

One of the most important traits attracting humans to roses is their pleasant fragrance. Geraniol is one of the rose scent constituents, which contributes to the flower rosy note. In contrast to most plants, formation of this monoterpene in modern roses does not rely on a canonical biosynthetic pathway (Magnard et al. 2015) that involves a plastidial monoterpene synthase (Sun et al. 2016). Instead, a cytosolic Nudix hydrolase (RhNUDX1) converts geranyl diphosphate (GPP) to geranyl phosphate (GP), which in turn is dephosphorylated by uncharacterized phosphatase to geraniol.

Nudix hydrolases are conserved enzymes hydrolyzing nucleoside diphosphates linked to some moiety X. They are ubiquitously present in all kingdoms of life and were proposed to function as housecleaning enzymes involved in cell sanitation (McLennan 2013; Yoshimura and Shigeoka 2015; Srouji et al. 2017). However, recent research revealed that Nudix hydrolases can be involved in terpenoid metabolism in plants (Magnard et al. 2015; Henry et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020; Sun et al. 2020). Indeed, Arabidopsis thaliana Nudix hydrolase 1 (AtNUDX1) together with an isopentenyl kinase coordinately regulates the isopentenyl diphosphate amount destined for higher-order terpenoid biosynthesis (Henry et al. 2015, 2018). Although AtNUDX1 is also able to efficiently dephosphorylate GPP and farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) in vitro, no geraniol nor (E,E)-farnesol was detected in this species (Chen et al. 2003). In contrast, RwNUDX1-2 from a cultivated hybrid of R. wichurana hydrolyzes specifically cytosolic FPP into farnesyl phosphate en route to (E,E)-farnesol formation (Sun et al. 2020). The fact that members of NUDX1 family could have diverse functions in different species raises the question about RhNUDX1 evolution, whether it is present only in cultivated modern roses, or was already evolved in wild Rosa and/or Rosaceae species.

Here, we investigated the origin of RhNUDX1 function. We analyzed the evolution of all NUDX1 gene homologs, their genomic localization and synteny by comparing the recently published genomes of Old Blush (Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018; Raymond et al. 2018) and several closely related genomes in the Rosaceae family (fig. 1c). We also examined the transposable elements (TEs) surrounding these genes and proposed an evolutionary scenario of duplication and specialization of NUDX1-1a, the gene encoding the Nudix hydrolase responsible for the GPP hydrolysis in rose petals.

#### Results

RcNUDX1 Is Present in Multiple Copies in Old Blush, but Only RcNUDX1-1a Is Highly Expressed in Its Petals Discovery of terpene synthase-independent pathway for geraniol biosynthesis in modern roses and the involvement of RhNUDX1 in its formation (Magnard et al. 2015) raised the question of how this trait was evolved. Thus, we have isolated the corresponding genomic sequence from R. x hybrida cv. 'Papa Meilland', which revealed that RhNUDX1 contains a single intron (RhNUDX1-rs for reference sequence). This sequence was used for phylogenetic analysis of NUDX1 genes in Rosaceae family. A maximum likelihood tree (ML tree) rooted with the A. thaliana homolog, AtNUDX1, was constructed using genomic sequences of Old Blush, Fragaria vesca, Malus x domestica, and Prunus persica, available in the Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR, [www.rosaceae.org](http://www.rosaceae.org) [Jung et al. 2019]; [supplementary table S2, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) as well as recently published R. x wichurana sequences (Sun et al. 2020) (fig. 2). For the readability of the ML tree, we did not use Old Blush sequences that were 100% identical between them [\(supplementary table S3,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

This ML tree revealed three well-resolved at nearly all node clades, numbered Nudx1-1 to Nudx1-3, and a lessersupported clade named Nudx1-4. Two sequences (Prupe.1G302800 and MD13G1049100) could not be assigned to a clade, and appeared on branches with low bootstraps. Interestingly, these branches and the Nudx1-4 clade include exclusively sequences of M. x domestica and P. persica, whereas the three other clades contain all the sequences of Old Blush, R. x wichurana and F. vesca. As M. x domestica and P. persica belong to Amygdaloidae subfamily and Rosa species and F. vesca belong to Rosoideae subfamily (Xiang et al. 2017) ( $fig. 1c$ ), it suggests that duplications of the first ancestral NUDX1 ortholog led to divergent sequences in the Nudx1-4 clade in Amygdaloidae, but to homologous sequences in wellsupported Nudx1-1 to Nudx1-3 clades in Rosoideae. RhNUDX1-rs, which is involved in geraniol production in horticultural roses, was found in the Nudx1-1 clade. This clade also encompasses closely related RcNUDX1-1 sequences from Old Blush with 97.1–97.6% identity to the reference RhNUDX1-rs [\(supplementary table S2, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), indicating that they could be the result of very recent duplications of the same gene.

To gain insights in the evolution of these paralogs, we analyzed their genomic organization in three Old Blush genomes published in the GDR [\(supplementary table S2,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). We also sequenced the Old Blush accession using MinION technology [\(supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). This technology increases the error rate in sequences, but allows to obtain very long reads without informatics assembly (Lu et al. 2016), thus to verify gene clusters on chromosomes 2 and 4, and also to detect alleles and null alleles on homologous chromosomes. Comparison of all these sequences allowed to draw a comprehensive map in Old Blush (fig. 3), and a synteny map in Rosaceae (fig. 4). Two clusters containing NUDX1 paralogs were found in Old Blush genome. The first cluster on chromosome 4 included the more ancient gene, RcNUDX1-3, along with one copy of both RcNUDX1-1b and RcNUDX1- 2a, but a pseudogene <sup>¥</sup>RcNUDX1-2a with two STOP codons on the other homologous chromosome 4. The second cluster was on chromosome 2 and contained four nearly identical copies of RcNUDX1-1a and one pseudogene  $\mathcal{P}_{RC}$ RcNUDX1-1a with a STOP codon. The four copies are nearly identical showing 98.7% of DNA identities and 96.8–99.0% of protein identities ([supplementary tables S3](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S4](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Surprisingly, the RcNUDX1-1a genes were totally absent on a second homologous chromosome 2,



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024 Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024

FIG. 1. Overview of the evolution of the Rosaceae family and of the Rosa genus. (a) Antique murals in Knossos ( $\sim$ 1,700 B.C.). Arrow shows the original drawing of a wild rose (the other drawing was made during an irreversible restoration). (b) Antique murals in Pompei ( $\sim$ 79 A.C.). Roses were painted with dozens of petals (arrow). (c) Synthetic phylogeny and evolution diagram obtained by simplification of data from Fougère-Danezan et al. (2015), Zhu et al. (2015), Xiang et al. (2017), Zhang et al. (2017), and Debray et al. (2019). Only species and varieties used or cited in our article are shown [\(supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Some species are written in gray because their phylogenetic position is discussed (R. moschata, R. rugosa), or because they are allopolyploids (R. canina, R. spinosissima). Rosa foetida and R. stellata mirifica are not shown because of their unresolved position. Heritage roses also include some crosses made by breeders, which are not considered as botanical roses, and which are not shown here.

which thus correspond to a null allele <sup>na</sup>RcNUDX1-1a. Copies of NUDX1-2 (RcNUDX1-2b and RcNUDX1-2c) were also found on chromosomes 6 and 7, respectively.

Comparisons of the two NUDX1 clusters and the surrounding genes of the other Rosaceae (fig. 4; [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) revealed that the possible ancestral gene NUDX1-3 has duplicated on chromosome 4 thus separating Amygdaloidae and Rosoideae subfamilies, and giving, respectively, sequences of the Nudx1-4 clade, and Nudx1-1 and Nudx1-2 clades. Indeed, they were in the same microsyntenic region ( $f$ ig. 4a). Furthermore, the two unresolved sequences Prupe.1G302800 and MD13G1049100 (fig. 2) were close to the homolog of the marker gene F, in similar position to RcNUDX1-3 and its orthologs in F. vesca and Potentilla micrantha, implying that the ancestral gene had highly diverged between Rosoideae and Amygdaloidae. The other cluster, with the RcNUDX1-1a copies, was unique to Old Blush, indicating that it likely had evolved in very ancient roses at the beginning of the domestication process or in wild ancestors of Old Blush (fig. 4b).

Our previous RNAseq, QTL and correlation analyses (Magnard et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2020) performed mainly on modern hybrid roses, showed that RcNUDX1-1a was expressed in petals and responsible for the geraniol



FIG. 2. ML tree of genomic sequences of NUDX1 homologs in the Rosaceae. The tree was made with sequences of Sun et al. (2020), and with sequences obtained by BlastN (from ATG to STOP including the intron) in selected species of the GDR ([Align\\_Rosaceae\\_MLtree.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). AtNUDX1 gene was used to root the tree (large black arrow). RhNUDX1-rs was added for reference (large orange arrow). Clades were named according to Sun et al. (2020). Numbers correspond to bootstraps (%). Scale bar represents substitution per site.

production. On the other hand, the RcNUDX1-1b protein was active in vitro, but the RcNUDX1-1b gene was not expressed. We verified that it was also the case in a wild species by checking the in vitro activities of RmNUDX1-1a and RmNUDX1-1b proteins of the Moschata accession. These activities were quite similar to those of the corresponding Old Blush enzymes [\(supplementary table S6, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), suggesting that only the gene expression could be responsible of geraniol production in wild species. Thus, to determine whether the other RcNUDX1-1a homologs, RcNUDX1-1b, RcNUDX1-2, and RcNUDX1-3, were expressed in petal tissue, qRT-PCR analyses with genespecific primers were performed [\(supplementary table S7,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). These analyses revealed that only RcNUDX1-1a transcripts indeed accumulate at high levels in Old Blush petals (60,000x more than RcNUDX1-1b), thus further suggesting that such mode of expression is rose specific and uniquely clustered RcNUDX1-1a paralogs are involved in the biosynthesis of geraniol [\(supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary fig. S1, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

Taken together, these results support that the NUDX1-3 ancestral orthologs were duplicated many times in the Rosaceae. The ortholog was probably an ortholog of AtNUDX1 that had likely the same function. Although genes within the Nudx1-1 and Nudx1-2 clades evolved in the subfamily Rosoideae, the NUDX1-1a paralogs emerged only in the genus Rosa. In addition, the high sequence similarity of the clustered RcNUDX1-1a paralogs with the characterized RhNUDX1-rs, as well as high level of expression, suggest that these paralogs are involved in the biosynthesis of geraniol in Old Blush. The presence of <sup>na</sup>RcNUDX1-1a opens the possibility that one of the potential wild parents of Old Blush did not have such cluster, and therefore the duplication of RcNUDX1-1a had occurred in wild species of the genus Rosa.

### The NUDX1-1a Paralogs Are Specific to Wild Roses Producing Geraniol

To determine whether RcNUDX1-1a had already arisen in wild species of Rosa or evolved early during the domestication process, we performed GC–MS metabolic profiling of the





FIG. 3. Gene map of RcNUDX1 in Old Blush. Each pair of homologous chromosomes are shown. Similar regions including RcNUDX1 sequences are highlighted in gray between the two homologous chromosomes. Gene lengths, from the ATG codon to the STOP, including introns, and intergenic lengths are indicated. However, the picture does not respect these lengths. Gene numbers were obtained by making a systematic inventory of chromosomes on the three genomes of Old Blush published in the GDR and by comparison with our MinION long reads ([supplementary tables S2,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S5](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), and [Align\\_OldBlush\\_DNAsequences.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), but only sequence accessions useful for mapping are shown. Null alleles were confirmed on chromosomes 2 and 7 because scaffolds available in the GDR including both upstream and downstream regions were found. All null alleles were also confirmed by MinION sequencing ([supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Large orange arrows, genes from Nudx1-1 clade; large blue arrows, genes from Nudx1-2 clade; large green arrows, genes from Nudx1-3 clade. Copies of RcNUDX1-1a are arbitrarily numbered in orange on chromosome 2. Sequences with a dashed outline are pseudogenes including STOP codons. Chr, chromosomes. Marker genes (gray arrows) used for microsynteny are listed in [supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online. On chromosome 2, gene D was not found on scaffold RcHt\_S929 but useful in MinION reads. On chromosome 6, marker genes were not found around the null allele in the GDR, but MinION long reads included marker genes J, K, L, and RcNUDX1-2b, or its null allele (read numbers in [supplementary Table S5,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

volatiles produced by petals along with analysis of the RcNUDX1-1 homologs in a collection of 29 accessions of wild roses and six accessions of heritage roses ([supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [tables S1](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S8, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Their genomic DNAs and mRNAs were used to isolate and characterize full-length NUDX1-1 sequences (table 1; [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [table S9, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Due to the high sequence identity (89.5–91.6%, [supplementary table S3,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) between RcNUDX1-1a and RcNUDX1-1b, the primers were designed based on Old Blush sequences to amplify the region from ATG to STOP codons ([supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Sequencing of the obtained PCR products revealed that the

primers were specific for Nudx1-1 clade and did not amplify sequences of the Nudx1-2 and Nudx1-3 clades.

cDNAs were obtained from all species that emit geraniol except for R. sericea producing a very small amount of this compound [\(supplementary tables S8](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S9, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). We also cloned cDNAs from R. rubus that does not produce geraniol, but these cDNAs were as close to RcNUDX1-1a as to RcNUDX1-1b. For most of the accessions, several genomic sequences (gDNA) of NUDX1-1 were obtained. However, numerous gDNAs were attained for some species due to the ploidy level (table 1; see [supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S1](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) for ploidy levels, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) and two species have only a single gDNA. Interestingly, in



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024 Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024

FIG. 4. Synteny map of the Rosaceae genomes. (a) Microsynteny of chromosome 4 of Old Blush in the cluster region of RcNUDX1-1b, RcNUDX1-2a, and RcNUDX1-3. (b) Microsynteny of chromosome 2 of Old Blush in the cluster region of RcNUDX1-1a. Chromosome numbers are indicated except for P. micrantha for which the genome was nonassembled in the GDR ([supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Large orange arrows, genes from Nudx1-1 clade; large blue arrows, genes from Nudx1-2 clade; large green arrows, genes from Nudx1-3 clade; large violet arrows, sequences of the Nudx1-4 clade; large black arrows, other NUDX1 genes; large white arrows, unique genes; large gray arrows, genes used for microsynteny (marker genes are listed in [supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Accession numbers of NUDX1 genes are in [supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online. There was no sequence of NUDX1 in the microsyntenic regions of chromosomes 6 and 7. Distances between sequences and scales are approximative, and gene lengths are distorted to show the relative organization. Chr, chromosomes.

R. rubus, no NUDX1-1 gDNA corresponding to the isolated cDNAs was detected.

All identified gDNAs contained one intron of variable size ([supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), and clustered in two groups on the ML tree (fig. 5; [supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary fig. S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The first group included the Old Blush RcNUDX1-1a, and thus was named Nudx1-1a subclade (orange names in [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)





a<br>Por the rose accession names, see [supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online.<br>PAmplification with EPS-PPS primers (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material onl

<sup>b</sup>Amplification with FP8-RP8 primers ([supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

<sup>c</sup>Cloning with FP7-RP7 primers [\(supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

<sup>d</sup>The number of gDNA clones correspond to different genomic sequences from ATG to STOP codons ([supplementary table S9](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and Clones gDNAs cDNAs.fasta, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). They all included a single intron [\(Clones\\_IntronExonStructure.fasta,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) Supplementary Material online).

<sup>e</sup>Values correspond to averages, and SD are given in parentheses. Extensive values are given in [supplementary tables S8](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S10](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online. Not done.

[fig. S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), whereas the second group, named Nudx1-1b subclade, included the gDNAs which were closer to RcNUDX1-1b than to RcNUDX1-1a (red names in [supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). A BlastN analysis of all the gDNAs [\(supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary table S9, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) revealed that most of the gDNAs on the ML tree share 88.7–99.8% identity with both the RcNUDX1-1a and RcNUDX1-1b sequences. Thus, gDNAs displaying identity more than 1% higher with RcNUDX1-1a than with RcNUDX1-1b were assigned to the Nudx1-1a subclade and vice versa [\(supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary fig. S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Few gDNAs were as close to RcNUDX1-1a as to RcNUDX1-1b because they exhibit <1% identity in favor to either of two subclades (shown in black in [supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). These sequences were often distant from all other gDNAs (long black branches in [supplementary fig. S2,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)

[Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) and could have thus diverged in these particular species. Some of them were located at the root of the tree suggesting that they could represent NUDX1-1 ancestral sequences.

In contrast to Nudx1-1a subclade, Nudx1-1b subclade included all the gDNAs from the species that do not produce geraniol (blue stars in fig. 5 and table 1; [supplementary table](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S8, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Unlike NUDX1-1a gDNAs, which were clearly absent in 8 accessions, NUDX1- 1b gDNAs were undetectable only in 2 accessions [\(supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary table S9, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The gDNAs of Nudx1-1b subclade were closer to the root of the phylogenetic tree than those of the Nudx1-1a subclade. Thus, despite weak branch support of the ML tree, these data suggest an ancestral origin of the NUDX1-1b genes.

All cloned cDNAs were found to correspond to the ORF sequence found only in gDNAs belonging to the Nudx1-1a



FIG. 5. ML tree of genomic sequences of the Nudx1-1 clade. Orange asterisks indicate species in which a cDNA clone is the exact ORF of the gDNA [\(supplementary table S9](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [Clones\\_IntronExonStructure.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Blue stars indicate species not producing geraniol (table 1; [supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Large orange and red arrows indicate, respectively, the RcNUDX1- 1a and RcNUDX1-1b genes of Old Blush. White dots correspond to bootstraps <70%, gray dots, between 70% and 95%, and black dots, more than 95%. The tree is rooted with a sequence of F. vesca (large black arrow). For the extended tree see [supplementary figure S2](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [Align\\_OldBlush\\_MLtree.fasta, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online.

subclade (orange asterisks in fig. 5; [supplementary table S9,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), suggesting that only members of this clade are expressed. Next, we evaluated expression of NUDX1-1 homologs in the petals of all 34 accessions (table 1; [supplementary tables S1](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S10](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) by qRT-PCR with consensus primers, which were capable of amplifying both NUDX1-1a and NUDX1-1b ([supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). As no cDNAs belonging to the NUDX1-1b group were obtained, transcripts detected in this analysis correspond to NUDX1-1a homologs (table 1). NUDX1-1 transcripts were barely detected in botanical species not producing geraniol. In contrast, NUDX1-1 was expressed in all species producing geraniol

and for which genomic sequences corresponding to NUDX1- 1a were obtained. The exceptions include two geraniolproducing species (accessions Hugonis B and Ecae) with very low NUDX1-1 expression, and two low-geraniol producers (accessions Foetida and Persian Yellow) with substantial NUDX1-1 expression (table 1). In the latter two species, low geraniol levels could be the result of substrate limitation, whereas in two former species another NUDX1 homolog could be involved in geraniol production. We have recently shown the existence of specialization of different homologs as RwNUDX1-2c was active in R. x wichurana, but not in Old Blush (Sun et al. 2020). In botanical and heritage roses, NUDX1-1a expression was highly correlated (P-values  $<$ 

0.001) with geraniol levels, as well as with the levels of acyclic monoterpenes [\(supplementary fig. S3](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S11,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). It was also positively correlated with the production of the acyclic sesquiterpenes (E,E) farnesol,  $(E, E)$ - $\alpha$ -farnesene, and  $(Z, E)$ - $\alpha$ -farnesene as well as 2phenylethanol. A negative correlation was found for 2 pentadecanone.

Thus, the presence of NUDX1-1a paralogs and its expression in some but not all botanical species as well as a positive correlation between NUDX1-1a expression and geraniol levels could indicate that the unique function of NUDX1-1a in geraniol production was evolved naturally in the genus Rosa before domestication.

#### Trans-Duplication of NUDX1-1b and Additional cis-Duplications Led to a NUDX1-1a Cluster in the Genus Rosa

Our data show that the ancestral RcNUDX1-1b gene homologs exist in many wild roses and in some other Rosaceae species, whereas RcNUDX1-1a homologs are only present in some wild roses mostly producing geraniol. This strongly suggested that NUDX1-1a homologs arose from trans-duplication of NUDX1-1b in wild roses, followed by cis-duplications on chromosome 2.

To understand the origin of the clustered RcNUDX1-1a paralogs on chromosome 2, we first performed a dot-plot analysis of nucleotide sequence similarity ([supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [fig. S4, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The identified re-peated sequences [\(supplementary fig. S4](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)a, Supplementary [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) were then compared with the TEs annotated in the GDR ([supplementary fig. S4](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)b and [table S12,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) to draw a comprehensive map (fig. 6). This analysis revealed that all five copies of RcNUDX1-1a with their intergenic regions were nearly identical and contained the same TEs in the same order (fig. 6a). Each NUDX1-1a copy was surrounded by a fragment of the Copia R24588 retrotransposon (class I, RNA intermediate) at the 5'-end, and by two embedded Miniature Interspersed TEs (MITEs; Wicker et al. 2007) at the 3'-end (except for copy number 5). MITE G13554 itself was inserted into MITE P580.2030 (respectively, named in the GDR as ms382250\_RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr2\_DXX-MITE\_denovoRcHm\_v2.0- B-G13554-Map6 and ms580616\_RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr2\_noCat\_de novoRcHm\_v2.0-B-P580.2030-Map20). The embedded MITEs in the second copy were interrupted by a long sequence containing genes, noncoding RNAs, and TEs ([supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [table S12, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Analysis of the four copies of these embedded MITEs revealed that they all have more than 80% of identity compared with their consensus sequences published in the GDR ([supplementary table](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S12, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), suggesting that the initial RcNUDX1-1a block may have then been duplicated in tandem after its initial insertion on chromosome 2.

To further analyze the origin of these block duplications, we searched for MITE G13554, MITE P580.2030, and Copia R24588 localizations around the RcNUDX1 homologs on other chromosomes, and found two copies on chromosome

4 ([supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Analysis of available genomic sequences of the two rose haplotypes of the GDR revealed that Copia R24588 was absent on chromosome 4 of one annotated haplotype (Raymond et al. 2018), whereas it was found manually in the other (Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018). To compare the organization of the clusters on chromosomes 2 and 4 in different species, we also performed MinION sequencing of Moschata accession, which produces geraniol, and of Laevigata accession, an unscented rose species [\(supplementary table S13, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). In Moschata, we found two copies of RmNUDX1-1a harboring the same organization of TEs as in Old Blush, but none in the accession Laevigata (fig. 6a). As R. laevigata is more ancient than R. moschata, which in turn is more ancient than R. chinensis cv. "Old Blush" (Fougère-Danezan et al. 2015; Debray et al. 2019), these results suggest that a series of duplications occurred during the evolution of the genus Rosa. Analysis of microsyntenic region of chromosome 4, that includes the cluster RcNUDX1-3/RcNUDX1-1b/ RcNUDX1-2a, revealed a sequence NUDX1-1b directly upstream of the same MITE and Copia R24588 elements found in the chromosome 2 of Old Blush and Moschata (fig. 6b). Contrary to chromosome 2, the MITE P580.2030 was repeated in tandem and did not embed MITE G13554. The absence of the embedded MITE suggests that the NUDX1 cluster on the chromosome 4 of Old Blush is a likely candidate for being the ancestral sequence from which RcNUDX1-1a blocks on chromosome 2 originate.

To determine whether in general Rosa species have multiple copies of NUDX1-1a, we estimated the copy number of NUDX1-1 homologs in some wild roses using qPCR experiments on genomic DNA (Axelsson et al. 2013) [\(supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Quantification was done for 12 wild species, and revealed that the number of NUDX1-1a copies ranged from three to ten in geraniol producing species and from two to five in species producing no geraniol [\(supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). These results clearly show that the number of NUDX1-1 copies is indeed variable in rose species and overall higher in species producing geraniol.

Taken together, these results are consistent with a transduplication occurring in the genus Rosa between chromosome 4 and chromosome 2, and show that NUDX1-1a was a result of specialized duplication of NUDX1-1b. After this duplication, MITE G13554 was inserted into MITE P580.2030. The sequence block Copia R24588 NUDX1-1a with MITE P580.2030 [MITE G13554] at the beginning or at the end, was further duplicated in tandem in some wild roses producing geraniol.

#### Promoter Specificity and Gene Dosage Determine the High NUDX1-1a Expression Level in Petals

Our results indicate that the clustered NUDX1-1a paralogs arose from the duplication of the NUDX1-1b gene, which is not expressed in petals, raising the question of how tissue specificity and high levels of NUDX1-1a expression were achieved.

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 6. Organization of the shared TEs around the NUDX1-1a and NUDX1-1b sequences in three accessions: Old Blush, Moschata, and Laevigata. (a) Chromosome 2 of Old Blush and corresponding microsyntenic regions of Moschata and Laevigata accessions. The cluster could be interpreted with two types of putative blocks (show on a top), which could then duplicate into five blocks. In the first hypothesis, MITEs are missing in block #5. In the second hypothesis, MITEs are missing in block #1. (b) Chromosome 4 of Old Blush and corresponding microsyntenic regions of Moschata and Laevigata accessions (MinION sequencing in [supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Only shared TEs are shown ([sup](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[plementary table S12, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Large orange arrows, genes from Nudx1-1 clade; large blue arrows, genes from Nudx1-2 clade; large green arrows, genes of Nudx1-3 clade; pink triangles, MITE P580.2030; dark blue triangles, MITE G13554; yellow arrow, Copia R24588; large gray arrows, marker genes used to find reads in the MinION database ([supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Distances between sequences are approximate and gene lengths and TE sizes are distorted to show the relative organization. Chr, chromosomes.

To answer this question, we first tested our hypothesis that a gene dosage affects NUDX1-1a expression in wild roses producing geraniol. Thus, we analyzed whether the number of NUDX1-1 copies in the 13 already analyzed wild species [\(sup](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[plementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) correlates with the expression levels of NUDX1-1 homologs (table 1). Indeed, the NUDX1-1a copy number positively correlated, although not linearly, with the expression of NUDX1-1a in rose petals (fig. 7). These results suggest that the number of duplication events leading to multiple copies of NUDX1-1a paralogs directly impacts its expression in petals. We did not try to find the exact expression level of each of the four copies of

RcNUDX1-1a, because of the very high DNA sequence identities in the exons ([Align\\_OldBlush\\_DNAsequences.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [Clones\\_IntronExonStructure.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), which would make almost impossible qRT-PCR experiment, even with a High Melting Resolution technique (Roccia et al. 2019). It was also because of the same length and structure of their promoters (see below and [supplementary fig. S6,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) which could indicate a similar expression.

Next, to investigate the contribution of promoters to different expression levels of the RcNUDX1-1a and b paralogs, we searched for the presence of specific sequences or

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 7. Correlation between the expression of NUDX1-1 homologs and the number of gene sequences in rose species. Expression of NUDX1-1 was determined by qRT-PCR with FP8-RP8 primers, and FP5-RP5 and FP6-RP6 primers for reference genes ([supplementary tables S7](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), and [S10,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Number of gene sequences was estimated by qPCR with FP8-RP8 primers [\(supplementary fig. S5,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Error bars correspond to SD. a.u., arbitrary units.

structures upstream the coding sequences. In Old Blush, we manually identified four repeats of a conserved 38 bp sequence, designated as box38 A to D. These repeats were identical in all five blocks of RcNUDX1-1a, #1 to #5, and always located 138 bp upstream the RcNUDX1-1a transcription starting site. Moreover, we found a 33-bp overlap between box38 A and a fragment of the Copia R24588 localized at the at the 5'-end of each NUDX1-1a copy. In order to test the relationship between Copia R24588 and box38, the fragments of Copia R24588 and the box38 repeats upstream of each copy of RcNUDX1-1a gene on the chromosome 2 were analyzed. The Copia R24588 fragments contained the consensus sequence published in the GDR and identified from the interspersed copies of Copia R24588 in the Old Blush genome. A search for short homologous sequences of box38 in the Old Blush genome using BlastN and multiple sequence alignment ([supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) confirmed that box38 was the result of the 3'-end duplication of the Copia R24588 fragment [\(supplementary fig. S6](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)a, [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). There were no other box38 elements in the Old Blush genome, but only very short fragments were found in other TE, intron, and intergenic hits (supplementary fig. S6b[, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The available online PlantCARE tool (Lescot et al. 2002), was unable to detect any known binding sites for transcription factors in the box38 repeats, which does not exclude the existence of unknown ones. To go further, we performed another multiple sequence alignment using the Copia R24588 consensus sequence of the GDR. On this sequence, we aligned the following sequences: The Copia R24588 fragment upstream RcNUDX1-1a blocks on chromosome 2, and the Copia R24588 fragment upstream  $\rm{^{\mathit{W}}}$ RcNUDX1-2a on chromosome 4 (fig. 8). The alignment clearly showed the origin of the promoter fragment (fig.  $8a$ ) in the complete consensus

map of Copia R24588, with box38 A being the best aligned within the  $3'$  long-terminal repeat (LTR) of Copia R24588 (fig. 8b). It also showed that box38 B to D only exist upstream RcNUDX1-1a blocks (fig. 8c).

To find whether this pattern is conserved in botanical roses and important for the expression of NUDX1-1a in petals, we compared the upstream sequences of NUDX1-1a and b in a set of botanical roses producing and not producing geraniol [\(supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Although the number of box38 repeats varied in the wild roses, the 138 pb distance between the last box38 sequence and the ATG codon of the NUDX1-1a was conserved ([sup](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)plementary fig. S7a[, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). In contrast, none of the upstream region of NUDX1-1b contained any Copia R24588 sequence or box38 repeats [\(supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) fig. S7b[, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). One copy of the box38 was also present in the Copia R24588 elements upstream  $\frac{\Psi_{Rc}}{12a}$ ,  $\frac{\Psi_{Rm}}{12a}$ , and  $\frac{\Psi_{R}}{12a}$ pseudogenes on chromosome 4 suggesting that it could be more ancestral than those of chromosome 2.

All these results suggested a chronology of duplications: the Copia R24588 fragment of chromosome 4 was trans-duplicated on chromosome 2, the box38 A was then cis-duplicated into four copies, and one of the putative blocks in figure 6a was cis-duplicated on chromosome 2. Furthermore, these results indicated that the promoter of RcNUDX1-1a seemed to be unique, and originated from a specialization of a fragment of the LTR of Copia R24588.

Finally, we analyzed the impact of the box38 repeats and different TEs in the promoter region of RcNUDX1-1a on the specific expression of this paralog in rose petals (fig. 9). Reporter gene encoding the green fluorescence protein (GFP) was fused to the promoter region of RcNUDX1-1a of different lengths (fig. 9a). The longest RcNUDX1-1a promoter construct (a1085:GFP) included the entire 5'-region between MITEs and RcNUDX1-1a copy #4. The other constructs were made by removing the TEs one by one by PCR [\(supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The 35S:GFP used as a positive control displayed GFP fluorescence in parenchymous and epidermal cells ( $fig. 9b$  and  $c$ ). No detectable GFP expression was found in rose petals transferred with the empty vector (fig.  $9d$  and  $e$ ) and the RcNUDX1-1b construct (1,529 pb upstream of the ATG codon, named b1529:GFP construct) used as a negative control (fig. 9f and g). GFP fluorescence was observed in rose petals expressing the three RcNUDX1-1a constructs, a1085:GFP, a521:GFP, and a316:GFP ( $fig. 9h-j$ ). However, the removal of the box38 repeats in the a138:GFP construct eliminated GFP expression (fig. 9k and l) suggesting that the box38 repeats are essential for petal expression.

Overall, these data suggest that the appearance of the NUDX1-1a paralogs by the transposition of NUDX1-1b was accompanied by the evolution of its promoter, likely by duplication of sequence in the LTR region of Copia R24588, leading to the specific expression of this paralogs in petals. This could come from the promoter of an ancestral copy of NUDX1-2 which already had the box38 fragment.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/39/2/msac002/6505224 by INRA Avignon user on 18 March 2024

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 8. Alignment interpretation of box38 of chromosomes 2 and 4 of Old Blush genome. (a) An interpretative map of a block on chromosome 2 showing the localization of Copia R24588 and box38 A fragment in the promoter of RcNUDX1-1a. (b) Manual annotation of Copia R24588 consensus with the different regions of the retrotransposon. (c) Alignment (MAAFT) of Copia R24588 consensus and upstream regions of RcNUDX1-1a on chromosome 2, and  ${}^{\Psi}$ RcNUDX1-2a on chromosome 4 (alignment is given in [Align\\_CopiaLTR\\_Chr2and4.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). This Copia R24588 fragment aligns 4 bp further with the box38 consensus (37/38 bp) than the fragments seen in the repeat blocks of chromosome 2, strengthening the LTR origin hypothesis for box38. Red circle, Copia R24588 consensus of the GDR (Raymond et al. 2018; Jung et al. 2019); Brown circle, upstream region of  $\rm{^4}$ RcNUDX1-2a on chromosome 4 (Jung et al. 2019; Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018); Yellow circle with thick black line, Copia R24588 fragments (226 bp) located within NUDX1-1a block #1 on chromosome 2; yellow circle, corresponding box38 repeat A; GAG, conserved capsid domain of the retrotransposon polyprotein; LTR, long-terminal repeat; ORF, open reading frame. Coordinates are in bp.

### **Discussion**

Our analysis of the NUDX1 genes in the Rosaceae family revealed that three clades (Nudx1-1 to Nudx1-3) evolved in the Rosoidae subfamily (including P. micrantha, F. vesca, and Rosa species), and that two subclades (Nudx1-1a and Nudx1- 1b) evolved in the Rosa genus (figs. 1, 2, and 5; [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S2](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Considering AtNUDX1 as an outgroup and RhNUDX1-rs from a modern garden rose, the Nudx1-3 clade appeared to be more ancient than the others, and the Nudx1-1a subclade more recent. Comparative analysis of genetic maps of Old Blush, as a heritage rose producing geraniol, Moschata, as an accession of a wild rose producing geraniol, and Laevigata, as an accession of an unscented wild rose, allowed to access a global history of duplications in the Rosoidae subfamily (figs. 3, 4, and 6). The cluster NUDX1-3/NUDX1-1b/NUDX1-2a on chromosome 4 was found in Rosoidae accessions, suggesting a very old duplication of the putative ancestral NUDX1-3 gene. In the Amygdaloidae subfamily (including P. persica and M. x domestica), their multiple copies in the same microsyntenic region (between marker genes  $F$  and  $Q$  in fig. 4) have significantly diverged, thus forming a different clade, Nudx1-4 (fig. 2). In contrast, the cluster of NUDX1-1a copies on chromosome 2 is more recent, specific to some species of the Rosa genus and absent in ancestral species like R. banksiae, R. roxburghii, and R. laevigata (fig. 1c; [supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) (Fougère-Danezan et al. 2015; Debray et al. 2019). Moreover, the number of NUDX1-1a copies varies

depending on species, with two copies in the Moschata accession, and five copies in Old Blush (e.g., fig. 6; [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [fig. S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). In Old Blush we identified two alleles on chromosome 2, one with five copies of RcNUDX1-1a, and the other with a null allele (fig. 3; [supple](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[mentary table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), which could confirm the previously predicted hybrid origin of this heritage rose (Raymond et al. 2018).

Our analysis of the TE landscape of NUDX1-1 genes suggested a trans-duplication of a first paralog from chromosome 4 to 2, and then several cis-duplications of NUDX1-1a blocks including TEs in tandem (figs. 6 and 10; [supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [fig. S4](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S12, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The presence of TEs in both the putative source of NUDX1-1a on chromosome 4 and duplication blocks on chromosome 2 raise the possibility of TE-mediated mechanisms. Indeed, sequence similarity between TE copies across the genome can be responsible for nonhomologous recombination and the relocation and rearrangement of genomic features between TE dense regions (Cerbin and Jiang 2018), as observed for other biosynthetic gene clusters in plants (Boutanaev and Osbourn 2018). Further extensive analysis of the repeat content in Rosa species and other Rosaceae will be required to test this hypothesis and other putative TE-derived mechanisms, such as Pack-MULE or retrotransposition (e.g., Jiang et al. 2004; Cerbin and Jiang 2018; Krasileva 2019).

RcNUDX1-1a copies 2, 3, and 4 were found on chromosome 2 as repeats of a sequence block Copia R24588/ RcNUDX1-1a with MITE P580.2030 [MITE G13554] at the

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 9. Confocal laser scanning microscopy of transient expression of GFP constructs in agroinfiltrated petals of Old Blush. (a) Schematic maps of constructs including, respectively, 1085, 521, 316, and 138 bp upstream RcNUDX1-1a, 1529 bp upstream RcNUDX1-1b, and GFP alone (empty vector). (b)–(i) Confocal images except for (d), (f), and (k) taken by reflection of light on the preparation. Petals were infiltrated with the following constructs: 35S:GFP (b,c), empty vector (d,e), b1529:GFP (f, g), a1085:GFP (h), a521:GFP (i), a316:GFP (j), and a138:GFP (k, l). Cloning was made with FP11-RP11 to FP15-RP11 primers ([supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Scale bars, 20 µm.

beginning or at the end (fig. 6; [supplementary fig. S4,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). In addition, MITE P580.2030, Copia R24588, and NUDX1 homologs were found on one homologous chromosome 4 in a different configuration (RcNUDX1-1b/MITE P580.2030/MITE P580.2030/.../ Copia  $R24588/^{\psi}Rc$ NUDX1-2a) where MITE P580.2030 does not include MITE G13554, but is cis-duplicated in tandem. This suggests that the copies of NUDX1 on chromosome 4, including uninterrupted MITE P580.2030, are ancestral to those on the chromosome 2 and have been rearranged upon duplication ( $f_{1g.}$  6). The parental status of the sequences on chromosome 4 is also supported by the fact that the microsynteny was not shared between Rosa species on chromosome 2 (five interspersed copies of RcNUDX1-1a in Old Blush, two in Moschata, and none in Laevigata), but was conserved on chromosome 4. Finally, high expression of NUDX1-1a, but not NUDX1-1b, in petals of fully opened flowers (table 1; [supplementary fig. S1](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S10,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), further indicates that the cluster on chromosome 2 acquired petal-specific expression following duplication from chromosome 4 and subsequent

(**g**) (**h**) (**i**) (**j**)

duplication in tandem of the rearranged block. Such cis-duplications can occur by nonallelic homologous recombination between two identical sequences that may create an unequal crossing-over, or by microhomology-mediated break-induced replication mechanisms (Żmieńko et al. 2014; Lye and Purugganan 2019), even in synergy with TE mechanisms of translocation (Krasileva 2019). In M. x domestica, clusters of O-METHYLTRANSFERASE genes are associated with hairpins structures from palindromic TEs provoked by DNA slippage during replication (Han et al. 2007). In our work, MITEs P580.2030 and G13554 are also forming  $\sim$ 300–400 bp palindromes associated with each replicated RcNUDX1-1a block on chromosome 2.

(**k**) (**l**)

We also discovered that repeats of a 38-bp fragment derived from the LTR region of Copia R24588, and named box38, was necessary and sufficient to drive previously discovered petal-specific NUDX1-1a expression in petals of fully opened flowers (Magnard et al. 2015) (figs. 7 and 9; [supplementary fig.](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S1, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). The Copia R24588/box38 location in the 5'-upstream regions of the pseudogenes  $\Psi'$ NUDX1-2a suggests that this gene may have been expressed

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 10. Scenario of evolution of NUDX1 in botanical roses. (a) Global scenario of duplications and specializations. Step 1, specialization of an unknown ancestral NUDX1 into NUDX1-3; Step 2, cis-duplication of NUDX1-3; Step 3, specialization of NUDX1-3 into NUDX1-1b and NUDX1-2a (during this step some TEs were probably inserted near NUDX1-2a); Step 4, trans-duplications of NUDX1-1b and NUDX1-2a (after this step, NUDX1-2a could have pseudogenized); Step 5, functionalization of expression in petals (during this step box28 could have duplicate); Step 6, cis– duplications of NUDX1-1a and increase of the level of geraniol emission. (b) Example of possible RcNUDX1-1b to RcNUDX1-1a transposition. Large white arrow, putative ancestral NUDX1 gene; large orange arrows, genes from Nudx1-1 clade; large blue arrows, genes from Nudx1-2 clade; large green arrows, genes from Nudx1-3 clade; pink drawings, MITE P580.2030; dark blue drawings, MITE G13554; yellow arrow, Copia R24588; dashed gray arrows, specialization steps; black arrows, duplication steps; orange curvy arrows, volatile emission; Chr, chromosome.

originally. Thus, even if it really looks like a neofunctionalization process, one cannot exclude subneofunctionalization as well (see review in Baudino et al. 2020). However, during trans-duplication from chromosome 4 to chromosome 2, the box38 repeats were shuffled and ended up in front of NUDX1-1a making its expression petal-specific (fig. 10). To date, there is increasing evidence that TEs are a source of diversification of species and can modify gene expression, particularly in the Rosaceae (Gu et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2016; Daccord et al. 2017; Jiang et al. 2019). Examples include recurrent blooming of roses and strawberries due to an insertion of another Copia element in the intron 2 of the antiflorigen homolog KSN (Iwata et al. 2012), and formation of more than five petals in roses due to insertion of an uncharacterized TE in the intron 8 of APETALA2/TOE, which deregulated its expression (Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018). Several TE insertions in promoters have also been described in Rosaceae, which modified transcription levels as a result of new binding sites for

transcription factors or disruption of existing ones, new methylation/acetylation patterns, or hairpin structure formation (Han and Korban 2007; Wang et al. 2009; Gu et al. 2016; Morata et al. 2018; Ono et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019).

Our results show that box38 is part of the LTR region of Copia R24588. LTRs flank the internal coding region of LTR retrotransposons and act as promoter for the selfish transcription of the canonical elements of the retrotransposon. LTR regions contain regulatory sequences that can modify gene expression occurring in cis and can contribute to neofunctionalization in plants and eukaryotes (Kobayashi et al. 2004; Grandbastien 2015; Galindo-González et al. 2017). As Old Blush is rich in TEs, which constitute 63.2% of the genome including 35.2% of class I LTR retrotransposons (Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018), further investigations are necessary to understand the underlying mechanisms of petal-specific expression.

We also found that the number of NUDX1-1a copies impacts the level of geraniol emission in wild roses, in a nonlinear gene dosage effect (fig. 7; [supplementary fig. S3,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). A similar situation was described in mammals, where the copy number of genes encoding amylase was higher in populations with high-starch diets, but not strictly linearly correlated to the amylase concentration in saliva (Perry et al. 2007; Axelsson et al. 2013). In an evolution perspective, if the number of copies increases fitness, these copies can be fixed by adaptive natural selection rather than diverge by genetic drift (Hahn 2009). As RcNUDX1-1a copies are very similar to each other (96.8– 99.0% of DNA identity resulting in 98.7% of protein identity), it is possible that this gene, and thus geraniol concentration, were important in the adaptation and evolution of Rosa species. Interestingly, the blocks on chromosome 2 in Rosa look similar to the repetitions of MATE1 in Zea mays, which include copies of Copia, Gypsy, and Mutator in their intergenic regions and for which the total number of gene copies is associated with aluminum tolerance (Maron et al. 2013). This polymorphism is referred as copy number variations (CNVs), that is, variation of number of gene copies between individuals (Lye and Purugganan 2019), or between inbred lines (Maron et al. 2013). It has been demonstrated that such CNVs could be a very strong driving force leading to adaptations (DeBolt 2010) even via secondary metabolism (Prunier et al. 2017; Shirai and Hanada 2019). The differences of copy number between Old Blush, Moschata, and Laevigata (figs. 6 and 7; [supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) could well correspond to ancestral CNVs, because of adaptations of different populations in an ancestral species. It could even have participated in the speciation of these species similar to the situation in Picea spp. (Prunier et al. 2017).

Our results also showed the existence of correlation of NUDX1-1a activity not only with geraniol levels but also with some other volatiles [\(supplementary fig. S3,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). This could be due 1) to an indirect effect (selection pressure on a transcription factor that regulates several biosynthesis genes, or pleiotropic effects), for example, as it was observed for terpenes and phenylpropanoids in an overexpression experiment of PAP1 in R. x hybrida "Pariser Charme" (Ben Zvi et al. 2012); 2) to diffuse selection pressure of pollinators, florivores, or parasites on several volatile compounds (e.g., acyclic terpenoids and 2 phenylethanol are known to be very attractive for insects; Raguso 2004; Trhlin and Rajchard 2011); 3) to common biosynthetic pathway for acyclic terpenoids, as it is the case in other species for geraniol, nerol,  $\beta$ -citronellol and their aldehydes and acetates (e.g., see review in Sun et al. 2016), or 4) other unknown effects, like, for example, modifications or redirections of different fluxes through pathways of precursors or related to precursors.

In conclusion, NUDX1 genes duplicated several times in Rosaceae species and probably acquired different functions. In the Rosoidae subfamily, three distinct clades were formed (fig. 10). The Nudx1-1 clade has evolved forming two subclades by duplication. In the genus Rosa, the more ancient NUDX1-1b gene was transposed from chromosome 4 and the surrounding TEs rearranged, such as the Copia R24588 element, providing the building blocks for box38. This raises the question of how its promoter is specifically activated in the petals and by which transcription factors. The resulting NUDX1-1a on chromosome 2 was then able to produce geraniol in rose petals, which could be a high driving force of selection. This driving force was amplified in some rose species by several cis-duplications of NUDX1-1a. It is thus relevant to ask how the nonlinear effect of the gene copy number works in detail. Finally, use of the box38 sequences for marker-assisted selection of scented roses could be a relevant application.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Plant Materials and Sampling

Samples (fig. 1c; [supplementary table S1, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) were collected in France in several botanical gardens (Roseraie de Saint-Clair, Caluire, France; Roseraie de Loubert, Les Brettes, France; Parc de la Tête d'Or, Lyon, France), in the wild (Mornant, France), or in the BVpam laboratory garden (Saint-Etienne, France). The same species or variety in two different collections or different geographic area received two different names of accession. Descriptive data (ploidy, geography, phylogeny, and families) were reported according to the literature (Cairns 2003; Wissemann 2003; Schorr and Young 2007; Masure 2013; Fougère-Danezan et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017; Debray et al. 2019). Each sampling was repeated at least three times between 2014 and 2019, depending on the location, the flowering period, and the weather forecast. This last point was important because wild roses often bloom during a fortnight. Buds for DNA extraction, and petals for mRNA extraction, were frozen in liquid nitrogen for transport and conserved at  $-80$  °C before further experiments. Petals for volatile analysis were directly immersed in hexane containing  $(+/-)$ -camphor (#148075, Merck) at 5, 10, or 20 mg/l as an internal standard. Each vial contained 1 g of petals of individual flowers and 2 ml of hexane and  $(+/-)$ -camphor mix. Vials were transported to the laboratory in ice.

#### GC–MS Analyses

The hexane extracts were recovered from the vial after 24 h at  $+4$  °C and processed according to Sun et al. (2020): Agilent 6850 gas chromatograph, DB5 apolar capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm), 7683B series injector, and 5973 Network mass selective detector (Agilent Technologies). Helium at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min was used as a carrier gas with the following program: 40 °C for 3 min, gradient of 3 °C min from 40 °C to 245 °C, and 10 min at 245 °C. Injection volume was 2  $\mu$ l with a split mode (split ratio 1:2) and the injector and detector temperatures were 250 $^{\circ}$ C. The parameters for mass spectrometer detector were set as follows: mass scan range 35– 450m/z, and ionization voltage 70 eV. Kovatz indexes (AI) were calculated according to Adams (2007) and to the Nist Web Book. Names and families of compounds ([supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S8, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) were given by screening Wiley 275 and Nist 08 databases, and by names given by (Knudsen et al. 2006). Spearman's correlation coefficients [\(supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) and heatmap [\(supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) were calculated with the R language and environment (R Core Team 2015) using Hmisc (Harrell and Dupont 2020) and corrr (Kuhn et al. 2020) packages.

#### DNA and RNA Extractions

For HMW-gDNA extraction, 100 mg of fresh buds were grinded with pestle and mortar in 2 ml of CTAB buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 3 M NaCl, 3% CTAB, 20 mM EDTA, and 2% w/v PVP-40). 90 µg of Ribonuclease A (Sigma-Aldrich) was added before heating for 45 min in water bath at 65 °C. Cellular debris were pelleted (13,000  $\times$  g, 5 min,  $4^{\circ}$ C) and the supernatant was mixed with equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1, v/v) and shaken slowly for 1 min. Aqueous phase was separated by centrifugation (12,000  $\times$  g, 5 min, 4 °C). The upper phase was carefully recovered and washed three times more. Nucleic acids were precipitated by addition of 0.1 vol of 3 M sodium acetate pH 5.2 and 0.66 volume of cold ethanol 100% ( $-20$  °C). Tubes were mixed by inversion and kept at  $-20$  °C for 1 h. DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 5,000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C. DNA was washed three times with ethanol 70% and the pellet was dried for 10 min at room temperature and resuspended in 40 µl of TE (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA). All centrifugations were performed with slow acceleration and deceleration. Alternatively, the NucleoSpin Plant II Kit was used (Macherey Nagel) for other experiment needing gDNA (cloning and qPCR).

For RNA extraction, petals of opened flowers (anthesis stage) were crushed in liquid nitrogen and extracted with the NucleoSpin RNA Plant kit (Macherey-Nagel) with oncolumn DNAse for gDNA removal with the NucleoSpin rDNAse Set (Macherey-Nagel). Absence of gDNA was checked by PCR. cDNA was obtained with the iScript Ready-to-use cDNA Supermix kit (Biorad) at 42  $\degree$ C for 1 h with  $1 \mu$ g of RNA. All kits were used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### qPCR, qRT-PCR, and DNA Cloning for Sequencing

Primers used for cloning are given in [supplementary table S7,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online. Cloning of gDNAs and cDNAs [\(Clones\\_gDNAs\\_cDNAs.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) were done after PCR amplification with Phusion High Fidelity polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR parameters with RP7-FP7 primers were as followed: 98 °C for 1 min, 28 cycles of (98 °C for 10 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 20 s), and 72 °C for 5 min. After purification of PCR product with the NuceoSpin Gel and PCR clean up kit (Macherey-Nagel), ligation was done into pCRBlunt (Invitrogen), and transformed into Escherichia coli TOP10 (Invitrogen). Plasmid were purified with the NucleoSpin Plasmid Kit (Macherey-Nagel). NUDX1-1 gDNA and cDNA inserted into plasmids were sent to MWG Eurofins for sequencing using universal M13uni-21 primer.

Copy number determination of NUDX1-1 genes by qPCR were performed with FP8-RP8 primers. The qPCR reaction consisted of 10 µl of SsoAdvancedTM SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 500 nM R and F primers, 20 ng of diluted gDNA in  $20 \mu l$  volume reaction. The parameters were as followed: 98 °C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s and 58 °C for 30 s. At the end of each run, the melting curve was set to 0.5 °C every 2 s from 65 °C to 95 °C. The number of copies was calculated by comparison with copies of RcNUDX1-1 assuming that there were seven copies in Old Blush (five RcNUDX1-1a copies and two RcNUDX1-1b alleles; fig. 3; [sup](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[plementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Three biological replicates were performed with gDNA from three different plants.

Amplifications for qRT-PCR were done according to Sun et al. (2020) with housekeeping gene primers FP5-RP5 and FP6-RP6 designed on RcEF1 and RcTUB sequences, respectively (GenBank accession numbers BI978089 and AF394915) (Dubois et al. 2012). To determine the expression of the different RcNUDX1-1 homologs of Old Blush, FP1-RP1 to FP4-RP4 primers were used. For NUDX1-1 expression measurement in the different Rosa species, FP8-RP8 primers were used (fig. 7; [supplementary fig. S5](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S10,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Diluted (1/25) cDNAs were used in  $20 \mu l$  reaction with SsoAdvancedTM SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). The PCR parameters were as followed: 95 °C for 30 s, and 30 cycles of (95 °C for 5 s, and 64 °C for RcEF1 amplification [GenBank accession number BI978089], or 58 °C for RcTUB [GenBank accession number AF394915] and NUDX1-1 amplification for 30 s). At the end of each run, the melting curve was set to 0.5  $\degree$ C every 2 s from 65  $\degree$ C to 95 °C. Cq values were automatically determined by the CFX96 Real-Time system with default settings.  $\Delta$ Ct method (Pfaffl 2001) was used for quantification by comparison with reference genes. For each species, several independent qRT-PCR on different biological samples were performed.

### Long-Read Sequencing

Sequencing library was prepared from  $1\mu$ g fresh HMWgDNA for each species using the genomic DNA ligation sequencing kit (SQK-LSK109, version 14aug2019, Oxford Nanopore Technologies) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Library was then sequenced on a FLO-MIN106 flow cell using a MinION device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Obtained reads were subsequently basecalled using guppy software in high accuracy mode with parameters adapted to the sequencing kit and the flowcell (dna\_r9.4.1\_450bps\_hac.cfg) using guppy in GPU mode. Basecalled fastq files were converted in fasta using the fastq\_ to\_fasta program from the FASTX Toolkit v0.0.14. Blast databases were obtained for each species from the fasta files then the BlastN program (Camacho et al. 2009) was used to search for reads containing NUDX genes using either RcNUDX1-1a, 1- 1b, 1-2a, 1-2b, 1-2c, and 1-3 sequences as query [\(supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary tables S5](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S13, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Hits on identified reads were then manually analyzed to determine the organization of NUDX clusters.

### Sequence Annotations, Phylogenies, and Synteny Maps

Genes and transposons were named according to the GDR (Jung et al. 2019). The sequence of R. x hybrida cv. 'Papa Meilland' (RhNUDX1, GenBank accession number

JQ820249) was used to clone the corresponding gene including the intron. It was named RhNUDX1-rs for reference sequence and was used to search sequences in "Rosa chinensis Genome v1.0 chromosomes" (Hibrand Saint-Oyant et al. 2018), "Rosa chinensis Old Blush Illumina Genome v1.0 chromosomes," "Rosa chinensis Old Blush homozygous Genome v2.0 chromosomes" (Raymond et al. 2018), "Rosa multiflora draft Genome v1.0" (Nakamura et al. 2018), "Fragaria vesca Genome v4.0" (Edger et al. 2018), "Malus x domestica Genome (GDDH13 v1-1)" (Daccord et al. 2017), and "Prunus persica Genome v2.0.a1" (Verde et al. 2013, 2017), all published in the GDR. They were searched directly using the blast tool online in the GDR, and/or by downloading the fasta files in Geneious Prime software (Biomatters Limited) for alignments, BlastN, and calculation of identity. The nonassembled genome of P. micrantha "Potentilla micrantha v1.0" (Buti et al. 2018) of the GDR was also used because of the phylogeny proximity with the genus Rosa. Sequences were directly searched in its scaffolds by BlastN in the Genious Prime software. The ML tree in figure 2 was calculated and drawn in the Geneious Prime software with the plugin PhyML (Guindon et al. 2010) using complete DNA sequences, and non full-identical sequences. The following sequences published in Sun et al. (2020) were used as references to name clades: RcNUDX1-1a (RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr2g0142 071, 0142081, 0142111, and 0142121), RcNUDX1-1b (RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr4g0436181), RcNUDX1-2a (RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr4 g0436151), RcNUDX1-2b (RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr6g0244161), RcNU DX1-2c (RcHt\_S2031.3), RcNUDX1-3 (RcHm\_v2.0\_Chr4g04361 91), and RwNUDX1-1, RwNUDX1-2a, RwNUDX1-2b, RwNUDX1-2c, RwNUDX1-2c', RwNUDX1-3 (Genbank accession numbers, respectively, MT362556 to MT362561). The gene sequences included the intron for increasing bootstraps ([Align\\_Rosaceae\\_MLtree.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [supplementary table S2,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). AtNUDX1 gene of A. thaliana was used as an outgroup (GenBank accession number AT1G68760). The dot-plot of similarity ([supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)tary fig. S4a[, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) was made with the plugin LASTZ (Harris 2007). For microsynteny (figs. 3, 4, and 6; [supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), marker genes around the NUDX1 genes were used to verify correspondences between homologous regions in the GDR and in MinION reads. They were arbitrarily named A to S (full list in [supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online).

The NUDX1 gene phylogeny (fig. 5; [supplementary fig. S2](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and Clones IntronExonStructure.fasta, [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) was reconstructed using the entire 660 bp, thus including the intron, with F. vesca NUDX1 gene as outgroup (GenBank accession number XM\_004297107.2). Indeed, as the coding parts of the NUDX1 gene are strongly conserved between species, too little phylogenetic information is contained in the exonic sequences, whereas the intronic sequence is more variable and makes the phylogenetic reconstruction possible. NUDX1 genes were aligned using Clustalw (Thompson et al. 2003), and sites ambiguously aligned were removed with Gblocks (Castresana 2000), resulting in a 608 bp alignment. ML phylogenetic reconstruction was conducted using PhyML (Guindon et al. 2010) under a  $GTR + G + I$ model (Align OldBlush MLtree.fasta, [Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Tree was rooted with the FvNUDX1-1 gene (GenBank accession number XM\_004297107.2). In order to understand the history of duplication, we need to know which sequences belongs to the chromosome 2 (NUDX1-1a paralog) and which ones belong to the chromosome 4 (NUDX1-1b paralog). To achieve that, all sequences were aligned by BlastN against Old Blush RcNUDX1-1a (GenBank accession number, CM009583.1, from position 59,567,055 to 59,567,676 bp) and RcNUDX1-1b (GenBank accession number CM009585.1, from position 59,520,245 to 59,520,862 bp). Identities of the DNA sequences and the putative proteins were also calculated [\(supplementary tables S3](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [S4,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Align\\_OldBlush\\_DNAsequences.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), and [Align\\_OldBlush\\_](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Proteins.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data), [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) to draw the comprehensive map (fig. 3). gDNAs displaying identity more than 1% higher with RcNUDX1-1a than with RcNUDX1-1b were assigned to the Nudx1-1a subclade and vice versa [\(sup](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[plementary fig. S2](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [table S9, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). As these two paralogs are very similar, some sequences aligned similarly with BlastN ( $<$ 1% with both references), and thus were not assigned to one of the subclades.

Promoter Analysis, Cloning, and Transient Expression For promoter analyses of Copia R24588 and box38 hits and homology, we used BlastN (Camacho et al. 2009) with the minimum seed size (word\_size  $=$  7) allowing to recover hits from short query sequences. Multiple alignments were performed with MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2019) using the following parameters (parameters –thread 2 –reorder –adjustdirectionaccurately –anysymbol –maxiterate 2 –retree 1 –genafpair). Alignments are given in [Align\\_CopiaBox38\\_Chr2.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) and [Align\\_CopiaLTR\\_Chr2and4.fasta](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [\(Supplementary Materials](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online). Quality control of the alignment and minor extensions of the BlastN hits (up to 2 bp) within the box38 consensus were performed manually. A consensus sequence logo for box38 was created using WebLogo v2.8.2 (Crooks et al. 2004). We also mapped the consensus sequence of Copia R24588 of the GDR by using RepeatClassifier, a tool included with RepeatModeler2 (Flynn et al. 2020) and TE-Aid ([https://](https://github.com/clemgoub/TE-Aid) [github.com/clemgoub/TE-Aid](https://github.com/clemgoub/TE-Aid)).

Primers used for cloning are given in [supplementary table](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online. For promoter cloning, FP9-RP9 (upstream region of NUDX1-1b) and FP10-RP10 (upstream region of NUDX1-1a) were used and cloned into pCRBlunt (Invitrogen) as mentioned above and sequenced with the same procedure using the M13uni-21 primer for sequencing. Amplification of the different promoter regions was done with Phusion U Hot Start DNA Polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific) with combinations of USER extended primer FP11 to FP15 and RP11 with RcOB gDNA as template (fig. 9). The PCR parameters' primers were as followed: 98 °C for 1 min, 25 cycles of (98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s), and 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were cloned into a pCAMBIA2300 binary base vector with linearized PacI-USER cassette upstream the GFP and NOSterminator using USER enzyme (New England Biolabs). The control construct based on double CaMV 35S promoter was cloned into the same vector with the same method using the binary vector pMDC32 containing this promoter as matrix with FP16-RP16. All USER reaction was transformed into E. coli TOP10 (Invitrogen). Plasmids were purified with the NucleoSpin plasmid kit (Macherey Nagel). Sequence of constructs was verified before use.

These constructs were transformed into the Agrobacterium strain LBA4404. Agrobacteria were grown on LB agar with rifampicin (50  $\mu$ g/ml), gentamicin (20  $\mu$ g/ml), and kanamycin  $(50 \mu g/ml)$ , and then screened by PCR for the presence of the construct. Agrobacteria were grown in 25 ml of liquid LB with antibiotics and collected by centrifugation at room temperature for 8 min at 4,000  $\times$  g and washed in 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 10 mM MES pH 5.7 buffer three times. They were diluted to  $OD_{600nm} = 1.0$  with wash buffer and infiltrated on the abaxial side of Old Blush petals with a syringe. After 3 days, infiltrated petals were observed with a TCS-SP2 inverted confocal scanning laser microscope (Leica) with a  $\times$  40/0.80W lens. The argon laser was set at 488 nm for GFP excitation and the fluorescent signal was captured at 500–550 nm.

#### Enzyme Assay

RcNUDX1-1a and RcNUDX1-1b cDNA sequences corresponding to Old Blush gDNA1 and 2, respectively ([Clones\\_gDNAS\\_cDNAs.fasta,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), were amplified by PCR (primers FP17-RP17; [supplemen](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data)[tary table S7, Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online) and cloned in  $pET-30a(+)$  between the KpnI and SalI restriction sites. RmNUDX1-1a and RmNUDX1-1b cDNAs corresponding to Moschata gDNA10 and gDNA2, respectively ([Clones\\_gDNAS\\_cDNAs.fasta,](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online), were synthetized (GenScript) and cloned in pET- $30a(+)$  between the KpnI and SalI restriction sites. Sequences and vectors were verified by sequencing and transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS.

Transformants were grown at  $37^{\circ}$ C in LB medium until  $OD_{600nm} = 0.4$ . Proteins were produced by overnight induction at 16 $\degree$ C with 1 mM IPTG. After centrifugation, bacteria pellet was resuspended in buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 8% glycerol v/v, 10 mM imidazole, 0.25 mg/ml lysozyme) and lysed by sonication. Supernatant was mixed with Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen) for 1 h. Resin was rinsed five times with 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 8% v/v glycerol, and 50 mM imidazole, and finally eluted in the same buffer but containing 250 mM imidazole. Proteins were desalted by passing through a PD10 desalting column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with the assay buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5% v/v glycerol) and quantified with the Bradford method. All steps of purification were conducted on ice.

Enzymatic reactions were performed in assay buffer containing different concentrations of GPP (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 30, or 50  $\mu$ M) in 100  $\mu$ l reaction volume at 30 °C for 4 min, and using 20 ng of proteins. Reactions were stopped by adding 100 µl MeOH:H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mM NH<sub>4</sub>OH) 7:3 and mixed for 30 s.

Product analyses were performed on an Agilent 1260 infinity II LC system coupled to an Agilent Ultivo triple

quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara) using a Poroshell 120 HPH-C18 column (50 mm  $\times$ 2.1 mm, particle size 1.9  $\mu$ m, Agilent) heated at 35 °C. The mobile phases consisted of 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 10.2 with 0.15% v/v ammonia, as solvent A, and acetonitrile with 0.15% v/v ammonia, as solvent B, with a 0.6 ml min flow rate. Two microliters of reaction mixture were injected for each sample. Separation was achieved with a gradient starting with 2% B reaching 98% B in 2, 1 min isocratic at 98% B and return at 2% B at 3.10 min with equilibration until 6.5 min. Mass spectrometer tunings were as follow: capillary voltage 5000 V, gas temperature 350  $\degree$ C, gas flow 12 l/min, and nebulizer 55 psi. Products detection was achieved in negative and MRM modes with the following MS/MS transitions and tunings: 312.2-78.9 m/z for GPP with Fragmentor at 70 V and Collision Energy at 92 V and 233.1–78.9  $m/z$  for GP with Fragmentor at 75 V, and collision energy at 60 V. Data analysis was performed with MassHunter quantitative software (Agilent Technologies). Enzyme Kinetic parameters were determined using the Lineweaver-Burk plot model.

# Supplementary Material

[Supplementary data](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant number ANR-16-CE20-0024-01 to S.B.), by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (grant reference MITI-ExoMod to J.C.C.), by Fondation de l'Université Jean Monnet (grant to J.C.C.), by National Science Foundation IOS (grant number 1655438 to N.D.), and by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch Project (grant number 177845 to N.D.). The authors wish to thank Thérèse Loubert and the town halls of Caluire and of Lyon, for sampling authorization in "Roseraie de Loubert," "Roseraie de Saint-Clair," and "Parc de la Tête d'Or." They also thank "Groupe de Recherche MédiatEC" and "Réseau MétaSP" for the discussions on specialized metabolism, and Laurent Duret and Tristan Lefébure (laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, Lyon, France) for the discussions on gene evolution and functionalization.

# Author Contributions

J.C.C. and S.B. conceived and managed the project. A.B., B.N., J.C.C., L.H.S.O., S.B., S.M., and T.T. sampled the botanical roses in the different collections. A.B., C.C., and P.S. cloned the cDNAs and gDNAs. P.S. and R.S. defined the Nudix clades in Rosa, and cloned the different homologs. C.D. and N.S. performed the Bayesian phylogeny. J.C.C., S.B., S.M., and S.N.P. performed the GC-MS analyses. S.M. and S.N.P. performed the statistical analyses. C.C. and C.G. performed the bioinformatic work on TEs. C.C., J.C.C., and F.F. studied the synteny. C.C., D.S.M., J.J. and M.B. set up, used the MinION technology, and performed the bioinformatic work with the reads. C.C., C.D., J.L.M., and N.S. cloned the promoter and made the constructs. C.C. and J.C.C. analyzed transient expression. J.C.C., B.B., C.C., S.B., and N.D. analyzed data, designed figures, and wrote the manuscript, with input from all authors.

# Data Availability

Raw data are given in [Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/molbev/msac002#supplementary-data) online, including fasta sequences of cDNAs and gDNAs cloned in this paper. NUDX1-rs sequence is deposited in the GenBank with the accession number MW762674. Reads from MinION sequencing are available in the SRA database in FASTQ format under the bioproject accession number PRJNA706580.

# References

- Adams RP. 2007. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. 4th ed. Carol Stream (IL): Allure.
- Axelsson E, Ratnakumar A, Arendt ML, Maqbool K, Webster MT, Perloski M, Liberg O, Arnemo JM, Hedhammar A, Lindblad-Toh K. 2013. The genomic signature of dog domestication reveals adaptation to a starch-rich diet. Nature 495(7441):360–364.
- Baudino S, Hugueney P, Caissard JC. 2020. Evolution of scent genes. In: Pichersky E, Dudareva N, editors. Biology of plant volatiles. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press. p. 217–234.
- Ben Zvi MM, Shklarman E, Masci T, Kalev H, Debener T, Shafir S, Ovadis M, Vainstein A. 2012. PAP1 transcription factor enhances production of phenylpropanoid and terpenoid scent compounds in rose flowers. New Phytol. 195(2):335–345.
- Boutanaev AM, Osbourn AE. 2018. Multigenome analysis implicates miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) in metabolic diversification in eudicots. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 115(28):E6650–E6658.
- Buti M, Moretto M, Barghini E, Mascagni F, Natali L, Brilli M, Lomsadze A, Sonego P, Giongo L, Alonge M, et al. 2018. The genome sequence and transcriptome of Potentilla micrantha and their comparison to Fragaria vesca (the woodland strawberry). Gigascience 7(4):1–14.
- Cairns T. 2003. Classification. In: Roberts A, Debener T, Gudin S, editors. Encyclopedia of Rose Science. Amsterdam (The Netherlands): Elsevier. p. 117–123.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST $+$ : architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.
- Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Evol. 17(4):540–552.
- Cerbin S, Jiang N. 2018. Duplication of host genes by transposable elements. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 49:63–69.
- Chen F, Tholl D, D'Auria JC, Farooq A, Pichersky E, Gershenzon J. 2003. Biosynthesis and emission of terpenoid volatiles from Arabidopsis flowers. Plant Cell 15(2):481–494.
- Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia J-M, Brenner SE. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Res. 14(6):1188–1190.
- Daccord N, Celton J-M, Linsmith G, Becker C, Choisne N, Schijlen E, van de Geest H, Bianco L, Micheletti D, Velasco R, et al. 2017. High-quality de novo assembly of the apple genome and methylome dynamics of early fruit development. Nat Genet. 49(7):1099–1106.
- DeBolt S. 2010. Copy Number Variation shapes genome diversity in Arabidopsis over immediate family generational scales. Genome Biol Evol. 2:441–453.
- Debray K, Marie-Magdelaine J, Ruttink T, Clotault J, Foucher F, Malécot V. 2019. Identification and assessment of variable single-copy orthologous (SCO) nuclear loci for low-level phylogenomics: a case study in the genus Rosa (Rosaceae). BMC Evol Biol. 19(1):152.
- Dubois A, Carrere S, Raymond O, Pouvreau B, Cottret L, Roccia A, Onesto JP, Sakr S, Atanassova R, Baudino S, et al. 2012. Transcriptome database resource and gene expression atlas for the rose. BMC Genomics 13:638–648.
- Edger PP, VanBuren R, Colle M, Poorten TJ, Wai CM, Niederhuth CE, Alger EI, Ou S, Acharya CB, Wang J, et al. 2018. Single-molecule sequencing and optical mapping yields an improved genome of woodland strawberry (Fragaria vesca) with chromosome-scale contiguity. Gigascience 7(2):1–7.
- Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C, Rosen J, Clark AG, Feschotte C, Smit AF. 2020. RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable elements families. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 117(17):9451–9457.
- Fougère-Danezan M, Joly S, Bruneau A, Gao XF, Zhang LB. 2015. Phylogeny and biogeography of wild roses with specific attention to polyploids. Ann Bot. 115(2):275–291.
- Galindo-González L, Mhiri C, Deyholos MK, Grandbastien MA. 2017. LTR-retrotransposons in plants: engines of evolution. Gene 626:14–25.
- Grandbastien M-A. 2015. LTR retrotransposons, handy hitchhikers of plant regulation and stress response. Biochim Biophys Acta 1849(4):403–416.
- Gu T, Han Y, Huang R, McAvoy RJ, Li Y. 2016. Identification and characterization of histone lysine methylation modifiers in Fragaria vesca. Sci Rep. 6:23581.
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximumlikelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol. 59(3):307–321.
- Hahn MW. 2009. Distinguishing among evolutionary models for the maintenance of gene duplicates. J Hered. 100(5):605–617.
- Han Y, Gasic K, Korban SS. 2007. Multiple-copy cluster-type organization and evolution of genes encoding O-methyltransferases in the apple. Genetics 176(4):2625–2635.
- Han Y, Korban SS. 2007. Spring: a novel family of miniature invertedrepeat transposable elements is associated with genes in apple. Genomics 90(2):195–200.
- Harrell F, Dupont C. 2020. Hmisc: Harrell miscellanous R package version 4.4.2. Available from: https://hbiostat.org/R/Hmisc.
- Harris RS. 2007. Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA [PhD thesis]. [State College (PA)]: Pennsylvania State University.
- Henry LK, Gutensohn M, Thomas ST, Noel JP, Dudareva N. 2015. Orthologs of the archaeal isopentenyl phosphate kinase regulate terpenoid production in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 112(32):10050–10055.
- Henry LK, Thomas ST, Widhalm JR, Lynch JH, Davis TC, Kessler SA, Bohlmann J, Noel JP, Dudareva N. 2018. Contribution of isopentenyl phosphate to plant terpenoid metabolism. Nat Plants 4(9):721–729.
- Hibrand Saint-Oyant L, Ruttink T, Hamama L, Kirov I, Lakhwani D, Zhou NN, Bourke PM, Daccord N, Leus L, Schulz D, et al. 2018. A highquality genome sequence of Rosa chinensis to elucidate ornamental traits. Nat Plants 4(7):473–484.
- Iwata H, Gaston A, Remay A, Thouroude T, Jeauffre J, Kawamura K, Oyant LH-S, Araki T, Denoyes B, Foucher F. 2012. The TFL1 homologue KSN is a regulator of continuous flowering in rose and strawberry. Plant J. 69(1):116–125.
- Jiang N, Bao Z, Zhang X, Eddy SR, Wessler SR. 2004. Pack-MULE transposable elements mediate gene evolution in plants. Nature 431(7008):569–573.
- Jiang S, Wang X, Shi C, Luo J. 2019. Genome-wide identification and analysis of high-copy-number LTR retrotransposons in Asian pears. Genes 10(2):156.
- Jung S, Lee T, Cheng C-H, Buble K, Zheng P, Yu J, Humann J, Ficklin SP, Gasic K, Scott K, et al. 2019. 15 years of GDR: new data and functionality in the genome database for Rosaceae. Nucleic Acids Res. 47(D1):D1137–D1145.
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2019. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief Bioinformatics 20(4):1160–1166.
- Knudsen JT, Eriksson R, Gershenzon J, Ståhl B. 2006. Diversity and distribution of floral scent. Bot Rev. 72(1):1–120.
- Kobayashi S, Goto-Yamamoto N, Hirochika H. 2004. Retrotransposoninduced mutations in grape skin color. Science 304(5673):982.
- Krasileva KV. 2019. The role of transposable elements and DNA damage repair mechanisms in gene duplications and gene fusions in plant genomes. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 48:18–25.
- Kuhn M, Jackson S, Cimentada J. 2020. Corrr: correlations in R. R package version 0.4.3. Available from: https://github.com/tidymodels/corrr, https://corrr.tidymodels.org.
- Lescot M, Déhais P, Thijs G, Marchal K, Moreau Y, Van de Peer Y, Rouzé P, Rombauts S. 2002. PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 30(1):325–327.
- Li W, Lybrand DB, Xu H, Zhou F, Last RL, Pichersky E. 2020. A trichomespecific, plastid-localized Tanacetum cinerariifolium Nudix protein hydrolyzes the natural pyrethrin pesticide biosynthetic intermediate trans-Chrysanthemyl diphosphate. Front Plant Sci. 11:482.
- Lu H, Giordano F, Ning Z. 2016. Oxford nanopore MinION sequencing and genome assembly. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 14(5):265–279.
- Lye ZN, Purugganan MD. 2019. Copy number variation in domestication. Trends Plant Sci. 24(4):352–365.
- Magnard J-L, Roccia A, Caissard J-C, Vergne P, Sun P, Hecquet R, Dubois A, Hibrand-Saint Oyant L, Jullien F, Nicolè F, et al. 2015. Biosynthesis of monoterpene scent compounds in roses. Science 349(6243):81–83.
- Maron LG, Guimarães CT, Kirst M, Albert PS, Birchler JA, Bradbury PJ, Buckler ES, Coluccio AE, Danilova TV, Kudrna D, et al. 2013. Aluminum tolerance in maize is associated with higher MATE1 gene copy number. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110(13):5241–5246.
- Masure P. 2013. Guide des rosiers sauvages. Paris (France): Delachaux et Niestlé.
- McLennan A. 2013. Substrate ambiguity among the Nudix hydrolases: biologically significant, evolutionary remnant, or both? Cell Mol Life Sci. 70(3):373–385.
- Morata J, Marín F, Payet J, Casacuberta JM. 2018. Plant lineage-specific amplification of transcription factor binding motifs by miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs). Genome Biol Evol. 10(5):1210–1220.
- Nakamura N, Hirakawa H, Sato S, Otagaki S, Matsumoto S, Tabata S, Tanaka Y. 2018. Genome structure of Rosa multiflora, a wild ancestor of cultivated roses. DNA Res. 25(2):113–121.
- Ono K, Akagi T, Morimoto T, Wünsch A, Tao R. 2018. Genome resequencing of diverse sweet cherry (Prunus avium) individuals reveals a modifier gene mutation conferring pollen-part self-compatibility. Plant Cell Physiol. 59(6):1265–1275.
- Perry GH, Dominy NJ, Claw KG, Lee AS, Fiegler H, Redon R, Werner J, Villanea FA, Mountain JL, Misra R, et al. 2007. Diet and the evolution of human amylase gene copy number variation. Nat Genet. 39(10):1256–1260.
- Pfaffl MW. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 29:e45.
- Prunier J, Caron S, MacKay J. 2017. CNVs into the wild: screening the genomes of conifer trees (Picea spp.) reveals fewer gene copy number variations in hybrids and links to adaptation. BMC Genomics 18(1):97.
- R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Core Team.
- Raguso RA. 2004. Why do flowers smell? The chemical ecology of fragrance-driven pollination. In: Millar JG, Cardé RT, editors. Advances in insect chemical ecology. Cambridge (United Kingdom): Cambridge University Press. p. 151–178.
- Raymond O, Gouzy J, Just J, Badouin H, Verdenaud M, Lemainque A, Vergne P, Moja S, Choisne N, Pont C, et al. 2018. The Rosa genome provides new insights into the domestication of modern roses. Nat Genet. 50(6):772–777.
- Roccia A, Hibrand-Saint Oyant L, Cavel E, Caissard J-C, Machenaud J, Thouroude T, Jeauffre J, Bony A, Dubois A, Vergne P, et al. 2019. Biosynthesis of 2-phenylethanol in rose petals is linked to the expression of one allele of RhPAAS. Plant Physiol. 179(3):1064–1079.
- Schorr P, Young MA. 2007. Modern roses 12: the comprehensive list of roses in cultivation or of historical or botanical importance. Shreveport (LA): American Rose Society.
- Shirai K, Hanada K. 2019. Contribution of functional divergence through copy number variations to the inter-species and intra-species diversity in specialized metabolites. Front Plant Sci. 10:1567.
- Srouji JR, Xu A, Park A, Kirsch JF, Brenner SE. 2017. The evolution of function within the Nudix homology clan. Proteins 85(5):775–811.
- Sun P, Dégut C, Réty S, Caissard J-C, Hibrand-Saint Oyant L, Bony A, Paramita SN, Conart C, Magnard J-L, Jeauffre J, et al. 2020. Functional diversification in the Nudix hydrolase gene family drives sesquiterpene biosynthesis in Rosa x wichurana. Plant J. 104(1):185–199.
- Sun P, Schuurink RC, Caissard JC, Hugueney P, Baudino S. 2016. My way: noncanonical biosynthesis pathways for plant volatiles. Trends Plant Sci. 21(10):884–894.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG. 2003. Multiple sequence alignment using ClustalW and ClustalX. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics  $2(2.3):1-22$ .
- Trhlin M, Rajchard J. 2011. Chemical communication in the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.). Vet Med. 56(6):265–273.
- Verde I, Abbott AG, Scalabrin S, Jung S, Shu S, Marroni F, Zhebentyayeva T, Dettori MT, Grimwood J, Cattonaro F, et al.; International Peach Genome Initiative. 2013. The high-quality draft genome of peach (Prunus persica) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. Nat Genet. 45(5):487–494.
- Verde I, Jenkins J, Dondini L, Micali S, Pagliarani G, Vendramin E, Paris R, Aramini V, Gazza L, Rossini L, et al. 2017. The Peach v2.0 release: highresolution linkage mapping and deep resequencing improve chromosome-scale assembly and contiguity. BMC Genomics 18(1):225.
- Wang A, Yamakake J, Kudo H, Wakasa Y, Hatsuyama Y, Igarashi M, Kasai A, Li T, Harada T. 2009. Null mutation of the MdACS3 gene, coding for a ripening-specific 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase, leads to long shelf life in apple fruit. Plant Physiol. 151(1):391–399.
- Wang L, Peng Q, Zhao J, Ren F, Zhou H, Wang W, Liao L, Owiti A, Jiang Q, Han Y. 2016. Evolutionary origin of Rosaceae-specific active nonautonomous hAT elements and their contribution to gene regulation and genomic structural variation. Plant Mol Biol. 91(1– 2):179–191.
- Wicker T, Sabot F, Hua-Van A, Bennetzen JL, Capy P, Chalhoub B, Flavell A, Leroy P, Morgante M, Panaud O, et al. 2007. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. Nat Rev Genet. 8(12):973–982.
- Wissemann V. 2003. Conventional taxonomy of wild roses. In: Roberts A, Debener T, Gudin S, editors. Encyclopedia of rose science. London (United Kingdom): Elsevier. p. 111–117.
- Xiang Y, Huang CH, Hu Y, Wen J, Li S, Yi T, Chen H, Xiang J, Ma H. 2017. Evolution of Rosaceae fruit types based on nuclear phylogeny in the context of geological times and genome duplication. Mol Biol Evol. 34(2):262–281.
- Yoshimura K, Shigeoka S. 2015. Versatile physiological functions of the Nudix hydrolase family in Arabidopsis. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 79(3):354–366.
- Zhang L, Hu J, Han X, Li J, Gao Y, Richards CM, Zhang C, Tian Y, Liu G, Gul H, et al. 2019. A high-quality apple genome assembly reveals the association of a retrotransposon and red fruit colour. Nat Commun. 10(1):1494.
- Zhang S-D, Jin J-J, Chen S-Y, Chase MW, Soltis DE, Li H-T, Yang J-B, Li D-Z, Yi T-S. 2017. Diversification of Rosaceae since the Late Cretaceous based on plastid phylogenomics. New Phytol. 214(3):1355–1367.
- Zhao D, Ferguson AA, Jiang N. 2016. What makes up plant genomes: the vanishing line between transposable elements and genes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1859(2):366–380.
- Zhu ZM, Gao XF, Fougere-Danezan M. 2015. Phylogeny of Rosa sections Chinenses and Synstylae (Rosaceae) based on chloroplast and nuclear markers. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 87:50–64.
- Żmieńko A, Samelak A, Kozłowski P, Figlerowicz M. 2014. Copy number polymorphism in plant genomes. Theor Appl Genet. 127(1):1–18.