

Quantification of Campylobacter jejuni gene expression after successive stresses mimicking poultry slaughtering steps

Benjamin Duqué, Sandrine Rezé, Albert Rossero, Jeanne-Marie Membré, Sandrine Guillou, Nabila Haddad

▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin Duqué, Sandrine Rezé, Albert Rossero, Jeanne-Marie Membré, Sandrine Guillou, et al.. Quantification of Campylobacter jejuni gene expression after successive stresses mimicking poultry slaughtering steps. Food Microbiology, 2021, 98, pp.1-13. 10.1016/j.fm.2021.103795 . hal-03566755

HAL Id: hal-03566755 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03566755

Submitted on 24 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Quantification of *Campylobacter jejuni* gene expression after successive stresses mimicking poultry slaughtering steps

- Benjamin Duqué^a, Sandrine Rezé^a, Albert Rossero^a, Jeanne-Marie Membré^a, Sandrine
 Guillou^a, Nabila Haddad^a*
- 5 ^aSECALIM, INRAE, Oniris, Université Bretagne Loire, 44307, Nantes, France
- 6 * Corresponding author: Nabila Haddad nabila.haddad@oniris-nantes.fr
- 7

8 Highlights

- 9 *C. jejuni* molecular response studied after consecutive thermal stresses by RT-qPCR
- Similar gene expression patterns shared between two of the three strains tested
- Up-regulated genes mainly involved in the heat shock response
- Down-regulated genes belonged to metabolic pathways (lipid, amino-acid metabolisms)
- A strain-specific gene expression profile consistent with atypical stress response
- 14

15 Abstract

16 Broiler meat is considered as the most important source of the foodborne pathogen *Campylobacter* jejuni. Exposure to stress conditions encountered during the slaughtering process may induce 17 bacterial adaptation mechanisms, and enhance or decrease pathogen resistance to subsequent stress. 18 19 This adaptation may result from changes in bacterial gene expression. This study aims to accurately quantify the expression of selected C. jejuni genes after stresses inspired from the poultry 20 slaughtering process. RT-qPCR was used to quantify gene expression of 44 genes in three strains 21 after successive heat and cold stresses. Main results indicated that 26 genes out of 44 were 22 23 differentially expressed following the successive thermal stresses. Three clusters of genes were 24 differentially expressed according to the strain and the stress condition. Up-regulated genes mainly included genes involved in the heat shock response, whereas down-regulated genes belonged to 25 26 metabolic pathways (such as lipid, amino-acid metabolisms). However, four genes were similarly overexpressed in the three strains; they might represent indicators of the thermal stress response at 27 28 the species scale. Advances in the molecular understanding of the stress response of pathogenic 29 bacteria, such as Campylobacter, in real-life processing conditions will make it possible to identify 30 technological levers and better mitigate the microbial risk.

31

32 Keywords: RT-qPCR, strain variability, food safety, foodborne pathogen, cell history

34 1 Introduction

35 *Campylobacter* has been the main cause of bacterial enteritis worldwide in humans for many years 36 (EFSA and ECDC, 2019). The number of reported confirmed cases of campylobacteriosis is often 37 under-estimated: it was 246,571 in 2018 with an EU notification rate of 64.1 per 100,000 population (EFSA and ECDC, 2019). C. jejuni infection is usually benign, but may also lead to chronic sequelae 38 39 such as Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) and Miller-Fisher syndrome (MFS) (Altekruse et al., 1999; Silva et al., 2011; WHO, 2013). Consumption of animal products, mainly poultry, is a major cause of 40 41 infection in humans (Zhong et al., 2016). Although chicken meat is the main source of this pathogen, 42 other foods have been involved in campylobacteriosis outbreaks such as milk (EFSA and ECDC, 43 2019).

44 Campylobacter are apparently fragile organisms that cannot grow under aerobiosis conditions; they cannot multiply outside the animal host and are highly susceptible to a number of environmental 45 46 conditions (Park, 2002). Nevertheless, Campylobacter are paradoxically considered as one of the 47 main foodborne pathogens (Solomon and Hoover, 1999). During poultry processing, bacteria are submitted to numerous stressful steps assimilated to heat stress, cold stress, acid stress or oxidative 48 49 stress. To mitigate stressful effects, the pathogen sets up various defense strategies like production of heat shock proteins (e.g. DnaK, GroEL, ClpB) in response to heat or acid stress (Konkel et al., 1998; 50 51 Reid et al., 2008a; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003; Thies et al., 1998; Thies et al., 1999a; Thies et 52 al., 1999b), but also enzymes (e.g. AhpC, KatA, SodB) involved in cell detoxification (Baillon et al., 1999; Grant and Park, 1995; Pesci et al., 1994; van Vliet et al., 1999). Such mechanisms enable 53 54 *Campylobacter* to adapt to environmental conditions.

55 Unlike other foodborne pathogens, *Campylobacter* does not grow effectively in the food environment 56 or on food products. However, it can survive under stressful conditions (Duqué et al., 2019; Klančnik 57 et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2003a, b; Oh et al., 2015; Reid et al., 2008b). Adaptation to stress has been investigated at the transcriptomic level and has determined which genes could be involved. 58 59 Most studies addressed the acid, oxidative or heat stress responses (Reid et al., 2008a; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003; Varsaki et al., 2015), in which single stresses were applied independently. 60 61 Many genes play a role in the stress response, such as genes implied in the heat shock response or in 62 the amino acid or lipid metabolisms (Cameron et al., 2012; Guccione et al., 2017; Palyada et al., 2009; Stintzi, 2003). In order to decipher how this pathogen can survive along the food chain, it 63 seemed relevant to evaluate the impact of various food production and preservation conditions on the 64 stress adaptation potential of this foodborne pathogen. Consequently, we transposed consecutive heat 65 66 and cold stresses inspired from the poultry slaughtering process to the laboratory. The aim was to 67 assess the impact of successive hot and cold temperature stresses on C. jejuni gene expression and 68 better understand the adaptation capacity of this pathogen.

69 To our knowledge, the molecular mechanism underlying the adaptive response of C. jejuni resulting from exposure to successive stresses, especially stresses similar to those encountered during the 70 71 poultry processing steps, has not been studied yet. Exposure to a stress may indeed induce a general 72 stress response enabling bacterial cross-protection from other stress conditions (Kim et al., 2015). In 73 a previous study, we evaluated the response of C. jejuni to the application of successive stresses 74 inspired from the poultry slaughtering process at the phenotypic level (Duqué et al., 2019): the 75 inactivation of C. jejuni induced by cold storage significantly depended on the previously applied heat stress and differed according to the strain. Our results pointed out the complexity of bacterial 76 77 behavior and strain variability, and highlighted the need to decipher the molecular mechanisms 78 underlying adaptive responses through gene expression profiling.

The investigation of bacterial adaptive responses requires the use of molecular approaches. In this respect, reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) is still considered as a standard method for accurate and sensitive measurement of gene expression (Nolan et al., 2006). In addition to the recommendations about the Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR

83 Experiments (MIQE) (Bustin et al., 2010; Bustin et al., 2009), stringent quality controls at each

critical point throughout the entire RT-qPCR workflow are necessary (Desriac et al., 2017). Besides,

85 to disentangle experimental variation from true biological variation, an internal control to compensate

86 for experimental errors has to be used (Huggett et al., 2005). Housekeeping genes are generally used 87 as internal controls. However, finding appropriate internal control genes whose expression remains

stable whatever the experimental conditions is still quite a challenge (Ritz et al., 2009).

In the current study, three *C. jejuni* strains were submitted to successive stresses inspired from those encountered during the poultry slaughtering process. First, an accurate method of RT-qPCR was

91 developed (Rezé et al., 2019). Second, this method was used to study the influence of successive hot

92 and cold temperatures on the expression of selected genes to investigate how bacteria modulate gene

- 93 expression after two successive stresses.
- 94

95 2 Materials and methods

96 **2.1 Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

97 The culture conditions described in Duqué et al. (2019) were adopted. To sum up, three strains originally isolated from poultry were used: C. jejuni C09MJLT205, C. jejuni RM1221, and C. jejuni 98 99 C97anses 640 (Fouts et al., 2005; Guvard-Nicodeme et al., 2015; Guvard-Nicodeme et al., 2013). The cultures were stored at -80°C in brain heart infusion (BHI, Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) 100 supplemented with 20% (v/v) glycerol. Prior to the experiments, they were cultured on Karmali 101 (Oxoid, Dardilly, France) agar plates at 42°C for 48-72 h under microaerobic conditions. Then, two 102 successive cultures were incubated in Mueller-Hinton broth (MH, Oxoid, Dardilly, France) for 20 h 103 104 and 18 h, respectively, under microaerobic atmosphere and shaking. Finally, 10-fold dilutions were 105 prepared to obtain the final cultures, which were enumerated.

106 **2.2 Induction of stress conditions**

107 The experimental procedure was as described previously, with slight modifications (Duqué et al., 108 2019). Briefly, *C. jejuni* strains were submitted to stresses inspired from conditions encountered 109 during the poultry slaughtering process and considered as stressful. These steps are scalding and 110 chilling, and are associated with heat and cold stresses.

The experimental design used "strain" and "hot bath temperature" as variables. For each strain, ten mL of the culture at an initial concentration of 8 log_{10} CFU.mL⁻¹ were transferred into a glass tube and successively submitted to heat and cold. The glass tubes had the following dimensions: 150 mm in length, 18 cm in diameter and 1 mm in thickness. Heat stress consisted in immersing *C. jejuni* cultures in hot water baths at 46, 51 or 54°C for 4 min. After exposure to heat stress, the tubes were immediately cooled for 5 min in a water bath at 22°C. Cold stress was applied immediately after

117 cooling by immersing the cultures into freezing-cold water containing ethylene glycol (-4°C, for 2 h).

118 In parallel, 10 mL from the same initial culture were kept as a control unstressed culture.

119 After each step, viable counts of *C. jejuni* were enumerated on Columbia sheep blood agar plates 120 (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) and incubated for 48 h at 42°C under microaerobic conditions 121 using a SPIRAL plater (EasySpiral Interscience, Saint Nom, France) to determine the viability loss, 122 expressed in $\Delta \log_{10}$, resulting from each step. Besides, a volume of culture was kept after each step

123 for RNA extraction. Each experiment was performed in three independent replicates.

124 **2.3 Transcriptomic analysis by RT-qPCR**

125 The molecular response of C. jejuni was investigated using RT-qPCR instead of a global approach 126 like RNA-seq because the final objective of this work will be to correlate the phenotypic response of C. jejuni (inactivation) with its transcriptomic response, using mathematical modeling to identify 127 biomarkers of stress. The search for biomarkers represents a challenge, and this proof of concept was 128 129 investigated using RT-qPCR as already performed by Desriac et al., 2015; Desriac et 130 al., 2012; Desriac et al., 2013). To this end, quantitative data had to be provided using a quantitative method. This was why we chose RT-qPCR rather than RNA-seq, which only provides the relative 131 132 abundance of reads. Moreover, RT-qPCR is appropriate to study well-known phenotypes and 133 associated genes well described in the literature.

134 **2.3.1 Gene selection**

135 Using RT-qPCR to evaluate the modulation of gene expression requires selecting a limited number of 136 genes to be studied. To compare the transcriptomic response of C. jejuni following one stress versus two consecutive stresses, we chose forty genes already known to be involved in the C. jejuni stress 137 138 response according to the literature. The selection was based on a decision tree as described in Figure 139 1. A literature search was first performed on the Web of Science platform by seeking articles with the 140 following keywords in their title and/or their abstract: adaptive AND response AND Campylobacter, 141 oxidative AND stress AND Campylobacter, transcriptomic AND Campylobacter, RT-PCR AND Campylobacter, heat AND stress AND Campylobacter, cold AND stress AND Campylobacter, acid 142 AND stress AND Campylobacter, osmotic AND stress AND Campylobacter, alkaline AND stress 143 144 AND Campylobacter, gene expression AND stress AND Campylobacter, proteomic AND stress AND Campylobacter, microarray AND stress AND Campylobacter, RNA-seq AND stress AND 145 146 *Campylobacter*. Based on these criteria, 1, 096 publications were retrieved.

147 One hundred and twenty studies were selected out of the initial batch of 1,096 publications because 148 they showed differential gene expression following stresses or the involvement of a given gene in the 149 stress response. Around 700 genes were considered in these studies, *i.e.* approximately 43% of the C. 150 *jejuni* whole genome. To avoid selecting marginally studied genes, only genes studied in at least two 151 different laboratories were kept. This represented 430 genes. All the regulators involved in the C. 152 jejuni stress response (13 genes) were retained because we assumed that their expression would probably change under stress conditions. Then, we stringently selected 27 genes among the 430 153 154 genes studied in at least two different laboratories by trying to capture the variability of the metabolic 155 pathways in which they were involved. To do so, the gene classification according to metabolic 156 pathways was retrieved from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. 157 Finally, at least one gene from each metabolic pathway was picked up, but genes involved in several 158 metabolic pathways and involved in different stress responses were preferentially selected. Out of 159 1,600 initially selected genes, 40 were eventually kept for analysis (Table 1).

160 Only the genes amplified by PCR and therefore present in the three strains were kept. Consequently, 161 two genes -putA and rprB – were excluded from the analysis because they were not amplified in the 162 *C. jejuni* C09MJLT205 strain and in the RM1221 and C94anses640 strains, respectively. The expression levels of the 38 remaining genes were then analyzed.

164 **2.3.2 Selection of reference genes**

Reference genes are needed for normalized expression. They are usually housekeeping genes, and their expression should remain constant whatever the experimental conditions. Reference genes were selected among a pool of 44 genes composed of i) six genes previously described as potential reference genes for RT-qPCR in *C. jejuni* (Ritz et al., 2009), and ii) the 38 genes selected as potentially involved in the stress response.

170 Stability of gene expression was assessed as demonstrated by Vandesompele et al. (2002). The gene 171 expression stability measure (M) was calculated using the geNorm module in qBase+ software 172 (version 3.2, Biogazelle). To determine the optimal number of reference genes, the pairwise variation 173 Vn/n+1 was calculated between the two sequential normalization factors (NFn and NFn+1) for all 174 samples. The software program recommended that V should be less than 0.15.

175 **2.3.3 Primer design**

For each gene, primers were designed using Primer3Plus, a web interface developed by Untergasser et al. (2007) based on the genome of strain RM1221 – the only sequenced strain in this study. Pairs with the smallest penalty score were retained, and sequences were tested for specificity using NCBI BLAST software, and compared to all available genomes of *C. jejuni* species. Oligonucleotide sequences of the targeted genes with BLAST score values greater than 30 were retained, as suggested

181 by Desriac et al. (2017).

182 **2.3.4 Determination of primer efficiency**

183 DNA was isolated from unstressed *C. jejuni* RM1221 cultures. Briefly, 1 mL was centrifuged at 184 10,000 x g for 6 min. Then, the supernatant was removed, and DNA was extracted using a Dneasy® 185 Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). Combined lysozyme (15 mg.mL⁻¹) and 186 proteinase K (20 mg.mL⁻¹) digestion was used for lysis. DNA quantity and purity were measured 187 using an Implen NanoPhotometer and stored at -20°C.

After *in silico* design, the PCR efficiency (E) of each primer pair was assessed (Table 2). Briefly, after thawing, each DNA suspension was serially diluted tenfold before amplification and quantification. Then, for each primer pair, Ct values were plotted on a logarithmic scale along with corresponding DNA concentrations. Efficiency was calculated from a linear regression curve through the data points, using the following equation: $E = -1+10^{(-1/slope)}$. All primer pairs showed satisfactory

193 efficiency values ranging between 97 and 109% with an R² higher than 0.97.

194 **2.3.5 RNA isolation**

195 RNA was isolated from cultures (i) after the heat stress, and (ii) after the cold stress applied 196 following the heat stress. RNA was also extracted from unstressed cultures that remained under 197 optimal conditions (42°C in microaerobiosis) and considered as the control.

Briefly, 30 mL of stressed or unstressed culture were centrifuged at 10,000 x g at 4°C for 4 min. Thirty mL of culture were necessary to have enough RNA to extract (mostly after stress application). Consequently, the centrifugation step was performed before adding RNA protect to resuspend the pellet in a smaller volume of RNA-protect, as performed by Desriac *et al.* (Desriac *et al.*, 2015;

202 Desriac et al., 2012; Desriac et al., 2013). After removal of the supernatant, 1 mL of RNA protect

203 (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) was added to suspend the pellet. The suspension was incubated for 5 204 min at ambient temperature and then centrifuged at 5,000 x g at 4° C for 10 min as recommended by 205 the manufacturer. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen 206 and stored at -80°C for up to 1 month.

After cell pellets were thawed, RNA extraction was performed using an RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Combined lysozyme (15 mg.ml⁻¹) and proteinase K (20 mg.ml⁻¹) digestion was used for cell lysis. Contaminant genomic DNA was removed from each sample using an Invitrogen Turbo DNA-free TM Kit (Ambion, Cambridge, USA). A first incubation of 30 min at 37°C was performed, and the supernatant was extracted. A second incubation of 30 min at 37°C was performed to efficiently remove contaminant genomic DNA.

Quality controls of the extracted RNAs were carried out to validate RNA isolation. First, RNA quantity and purity were measured using an Implen NanoPhotometer. Then, after RNA denaturation at 70°C for 9 min, RNA integrity was assessed by electrophoresis on agarose gel (1.2%). Profiles presenting RNA degradation were automatically discarded. The absence of genomic DNA in the RNA samples was checked by PCR. Amplification was performed using primers 341F and 758R (Rodrigues et al., 2016). The thermo-cycling program consisted of 95°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 59°C and 30 s at 68°C. The absence of DNA was checked using

- agarose gel (1.2%) electrophoresis.
- Each extraction was performed on three independent replicates.

223 2.3.6 Reverse Transcription (cDNA synthesis)

As recommended by Stahlberg et al. (2004), reverse transcription was performed on 200 ng of the total RNA extract using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Marnes la Coquette, France). The cDNA synthesis program consisted in initial priming at 25°C for 5 min, followed by reverse

transcription at 46°C for 20 min, and inactivation at 95°C for 1 min. cDNA was then stored at -20°C.

228 2.3.7 Quantitative PCR

229 Amplification and quantification were performed using a CFX connect device (Bio-Rad, Mitry-230 Mory, France). For each sample, the reaction mixture contained 10 μ L of SoAdvanced SYBR Green 231 Supermix (Bio-Rad, Marnes la Coquette, France), 1 μ L of each forward and reverse primer, 4 μ L of 232 water and 4 μ L of cDNA. The program consisted in initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed 233 by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 30 s at 60°C.

A sample maximization method was used, as advised by Hellemans et al. (2007). All samples were assayed in the same run, but genes were analyzed separately. This method avoided the need for an inter-run calibrator (IRC).

237 **2.3.8 Data analysis**

238 Gene expression quantification was performed after each step of the experimental setup (*i.e.* after 239 heat stress and after successive heat and cold stresses). RT-qPCR results were expressed in relative

quantity scaled to the expression of the same gene in the control sample (*i.e.* unstressed culture) using

the delta Ct transformation with efficiency correction. Then, expression levels were normalized

- 242 relatively to the expression levels of the reference genes. Reference genes were selected among the
- 243 44 genes.

The normalization factor (NF) was calculated as the geometric mean of reference gene expression (Vandesompele et al., 2002). After normalization, relative gene expression was log₂ transformed for further ANOVA analysis (Bengtsson et al., 2005).

For each strain, ANOVA analysis (α =5%) using XLSTAT software (version 2018.5) was performed to assess if the application of successive heat and cold stress had a significant impact on the gene expression compared to the gene expression after the heat stress only. Besides, ANOVA analysis was also performed on genes whose absolute relative expression level (in log₂) was altered more than 2fold, to assess the impact of the different temperatures of heat stress (Reid et al., 2008a). Fisher's least significant difference test (P<0.05) was applied to determine significant differences in mean log₂ fold changes between stresses.

Hierarchical cluster analysis of significantly differentially expressed transcripts was performed using the function heatmap.2 of the gplots package in R. Only genes with an expression level above a 2 log₂ fold change or below a -2 log₂ fold change were considered (Reid et al., 2008a).

257 **3 Results**

258 **3.1** Selection of reference genes

As depicted by Desriac et al. (2017), appropriate quality procedures and quality controls should be set up and assessed carefully to reliably interpret RT-qPCR results. The selection of good reference genes is one of the numerous critical points in the procedure. A good reference gene should display constant expression whatever the condition, and the number of reference genes has to be adapted according to their stability.

To select genes with the most stable expression, all 44 genes were considered as candidate reference genes, including those selected as targets. Two criteria were adopted to select the reference genes: (i) the M value, calculated for each gene and for each strain (Supplementary Figure S1), and (ii) pairwise variation – Vn/n+1 –, calculated for each strain. The V2/3 pairwise variation values were < 0.15, meaning that the optimal number of reference genes in this experimental situation was 2 (Supplementary Figure S2). Finally, the two most stable genes were retained for each strain (Table 3). Unfortunately, the reference genes were not the same for all three strains.

271 **3.2** *C. jejuni* inactivation following application of successive stresses

C. *jejuni* encounters several stresses during the poultry slaughtering process. For example, the scalding and chilling steps can be assimilated to heat and cold stresses, respectively. The inactivation generated by these stresses varied from -0.28 ± 0.10 to 0.54 ± 0.04 according to the strain and the stress applied (Table 4). Negative values mean no inactivation due to the uncertainty from enumeration method.

Since bacteria may respond differently and use different mechanisms of defense according to the stress encountered, their gene expression may also differ from one stress to another. Thus, the gene expression was expected to be modified following the application of the second cold stress in comparison with the application of the only first heat stress. This was evaluated in the next section.

281 **3.3** Differential gene expression of *C. jejuni* between heat and cold stress

282 Gene expression was evaluated both after heat stress alone and after successive application of heat 283 and cold stresses. Analysis of variance was performed *per* strain to determine if gene expression was

284 altered in a stress-dependent manner. For C97anses640 strain, gene expression was shown to 285 significantly depend on gene, temperature of the heat stress and the application or not of the second stress, i.e. the cold stress (P < 0.001). For the other two strains, the application of the cold stress was 286 shown to affect expression of specific genes only when heat stress had been performed at some 287 temperatures (temperature*coldstress and gene*coldstress significant interactions; P<0.001). It 288 appeared that the application of the second stress altered more expression of some genes when strains 289 had been previously submitted to heat stress at 46°C, rather than at 51°C or at 54°C. Genes whose 290 291 expression was significantly altered at the different temperatures of the heat stress are listed in 292 supplementary materials (Table S1). However, the difference in expression between both stresses 293 remained very low, generally below 2 log₂ fold changes. Among these genes, it is noteworthy that 294 four genes were differentially expressed by all strains after application of 46°C. These are: katA, 295 lysR, pebC and racR. Expression of these genes after the heat stress applied at 46°C with or without 296 subsequent application of the cold stress is described in Figure 2. It appears that heat stress followed by cold stress indeed induced a slight change in expression, but in the same direction (up or 297 298 downregulation) whatever the strain. Three genes, i.e. katA, lysR, pebC, were downregulated 299 following the heat plus cold stresses whereas racR was upregulated. The highest change in 300 expression between the heat stress at 46°C and the heat plus cold cold stresses was observed for 301 *pebC*, downregulated from -2 to $-3.9 \log_2$ fold changes depending on the strain.

302 **3.4** Differential *C. jejuni* gene expression following the consecutive stresses

Gene expression following heat + cold stresses slightly differed from gene expression following heat
 stress.

Only genes differentially expressed by more than 2 log₂ fold change (in absolute value), were considered (Reid et al., 2008a). Overall, among the 44 selected genes, 26 were differentially expressed in at least one of the three heat stress conditions and in one or more strains, with a maximum log₂ fold change of 6.21 and a minimal fold change of -4.17 (Supplementary Table S2). Consequently, these 26 differentially expressed genes were subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis and were grouped into 3 main clusters designated as A, B, and C (Figure 3).

The four genes belonging to cluster A were globally up-regulated in the three strains, and their overexpression varied between 2 and 6 log_2 -fold change according to the gene and the strain. However, the expression of all four genes decreased at 54°C in strain C09MJLT205, compared with 46 and 51°C. This cluster mainly included genes associated with bacterial heat shock responses, namely the transcriptional regulator *hrcA* and several chaperones and co-chaperones considered as heat shock proteins (HSPs) (*grpE*, *dnaK* and *clpB*), in agreement with heat stress (Figure 4A).

- Clusters B and C were composed of genes differentially expressed according to the strains (Figure 3).
 Strain C97anses640 had a rather different pattern of gene expression from that of the other two
 strains.
- 320 Cluster B harbored two categories of genes. A first pool was mainly overexpressed in strain 321 C97anses640 when temperature increased, with a maximum of 3.1 log₂ fold change, while they were 322 little or not differentially expressed in the other two strains. This group included genes encoding 323 three regulators - cbrR, hspR and CJE1780 -, and the chaperone groEL, and nuoL (Figure 4B). The 324 hspR gene encodes a repressor of the previously described operon clpB-grpE-dnaK, which encodes 325 HSPs. The second group of genes was mainly under-expressed in strains C09MJLT205 and RM1221, down to -2.88 log₂ fold change, while it remained relatively stable or slightly increased in strain 326 327 C97anses640. This group was included *pebC*, *rpoB*, *gyrA*, *thiC*, *trpD*, *ilvC* (Figure 4C).

328 Lastly, cluster C contained eleven genes significantly down-regulated in the three strains from 51°C. Down-regulation varied between -2 and -4 log₂ fold change. It seemed to increase as temperature 329 increased beyond 46°C for strain C09MJLT205, and 54°C for the other two strains, suggesting that 330 the regulation threshold could be strain dependent. This cluster included a two-component sensor 331 histidine kinase CJE1361, a *fliP* gene, and genes belonging to the amino acid metabolism (argF, 332 slyD), the lipid metabolism (kdtA, yciA) and the energy metabolism (atpA). The regulators cosR 333 (Figure 4D) and csrA, and the katA gene – which are involved in the bacterial oxidative stress 334 335 response – also belonged to this cluster.

336

337 4 Discussion

The objective of the study was to assess the impact of successive hot and cold temperatures on *C*. *jejuni* gene expression to better understand the adaptation capacity of this pathogen. In addition, we investigated the strain variability response at the molecular level.

341 Studying gene expression requires an accurate and validated RT-qPCR method to quantify transcripts. Following the recommendations of Desriac et al. (2017), each step was validated (Rezé et 342 343 al., 2019). Besides, the use of reference genes is mandatory to normalize the expression of target 344 genes. A suitable reference gene should display stable expression under the experimental conditions of the study. Otherwise, the selection of inappropriate reference genes may bias gene expression 345 346 quantification (Dheda et al., 2005; Hyytiainen et al., 2012; Udvardi et al., 2008). In most cases, reference genes are a priori selected among genes commonly found in the literature, such as 347 348 housekeeping genes. We did not limit our selection to genes previously identified in the literature, 349 but considered a pool of 44 genes to evaluate the stability of their expression following heat and cold stresses. The $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method is largely used to quantify gene expression. However, according to this 350 351 method, only one reference gene is used to normalize gene expression (Livak and Schmittgen (2001)). The use of two reference genes increased quantification accuracy. The pairs of reference 352 353 genes we chose varied according to the strains (the development of universal reference genes is not 354 always feasible (Bustin et al., 2009). Five different reference genes were selected for the three C. jejuni strains: rrs - common to all three strains -, and asd, proS, thiC and dsbI. rrs has been 355 commonly used as a reference gene in several previous works (Bronnec et al., 2016; Hyytiainen et 356 al., 2012; Turonova et al., 2017). asd, proS, and thiC are involved in the amino-acid biosynthesis 357 358 pathway, and dsbI is part of the C. jejuni redox system required for disulfide bond formation in some proteins. Surprisingly, rpoA and slyD were considered as reference genes for C. jejuni (Phongsisay et 359 360 al., 2007; Ritz et al., 2009; Stintzi, 2003), but turned out to be pretty bad reference genes in the current study because their expression changed following stress. This highlights the importance of a 361 362 robust strategy to select reference genes.

The expression of 44 genes was quantified by RT-qPCR in three *C. jejuni* strains (C09MJLT205, RM1221, and C97anses640) after exposure to stresses inspired by the poultry slaughtering process. In order to mimic conditions that may be encountered by *Campylobacter*, three steps were successively applied, namely a hot stress assimilated to the scalding step, followed by a short resting time at 22°C assimilated to the time poultry is left at ambient temperature between scalding and chilling, and finally a cold step, assimilated to chilling. These conditions were determined after visiting several slaughterhouses in France (Duqué et al., 2019).

370 In addition to transcriptional analyses, the three strains were enumerated following these stresses. 371 The combined heat and cold stresses barely inactivated all three strains. Despite this low inactivation, these stresses influenced C. jejuni gene expression, since 24 genes were differentially expressed 372 under at least one of the temperature conditions and in one or more strains. By selecting genes a 373 priori, we found that 59% of them were differentially expressed in at least one of these stressful 374 conditions, showing that such a selection procedure may be suitable when a limited number of genes 375 is targeted. Global transcriptomic approaches highlighted that around 20% of the genes were 376 differentially expressed after a thermal stress (Riedel et al., 2020a; Stintzi, 2003). This represents a 377 378 higher number of coding sequences than in the present study.

379 Different profiles of strain-dependent gene expression emerged after thermal stress. Genes 380 expressed in the same manner after stress whatever the strain were mainly involved in the heat shock 381 response. Whereas genes differentially expressed according to the strain were involved in the 382 oxidative stress response or the central metabolism. Most of these genes (14/24) were underexpressed in C. jejuni C09MJLT205 and RM1221 strains from 51°C or 54°C, respectively. Besides, 383 strain variability was higher than biological variability (reproducibility of biologically independent 384 replicates) and was consistent with the literature (Aryani et al., 2015; den Besten et al., 2017). This 385 result highlights the reproducibility of the experimental method. 386

387 The comparison of the C. jejuni transcriptional response following application of one stress 388 versus two consecutive stresses revealed that only few genes were differentially expressed following heat stress alone versus heat plus cold stresses. Although the transcriptomic response to cold stress 389 390 was not investigated alone (without pre-adaptation to heat-shock), the comparison between gene 391 expression after heat stress alone (H) and successive heat stress + ambient temperature + cold stress 392 (H+C), seemed to show that the heat stress had a limited pre-adaptation impact on the C. jejuni transcriptional response to cold stress (H \sim H+C). The molecular response to the cold stress is poorly 393 394 documented in C. jejuni. No gene encoding cold shock proteins has been identified in genomes 395 available (Parkhill et al., 2000), which suggests that this pathogen may have other tolerance 396 mechanisms to respond to cold stress. Studies so far have shown oxidative stress defense, particularly SodB, played an important role in the cold stress tolerance of Campylobacter (Garenaux et al., 2009; 397 398 Stead and Park, 2000; Stintzi, 2003). In comparison with heat shock, cold stress applied in the current 399 study induced repression of *pebC* gene and overexpression of *racR* in the three *C*. *jejuni* strains. Some studies have already investigated the implication of RacR in C. jejuni thermoregulation under 400 elevated temperatures (Apel et al., 2012; Brás et al., 1999). Our work suggests a potential role of this 401 regulator in a wider range of temperatures. Regarding pebC gene, the transition from heat to cold 402 403 stress led to a dramatically expression decrease by 4 log₂ fold changes, which is different from the results obtained by Shi (2014) (Shi, 2014). The pebC gene encodes a component of the amino acid 404 405 ABC transporter involved in aspartate and glutamate metabolism and required for the microaerobic growth (Stahl et al., 2012). This drop may be linked to a decrease in glutamate utilization during cold 406 stress, because of its rapid metabolization during stationary phase before stress induction (Stahl et al., 407 408 2012).

Following the successive heat and cold stresses, the gene expression profiles of each strain were compared by hierarchical clustering analysis. Two strains – C09MJLT205 and RM1221 – had a rather similar profile compared with the third one (C97anses640). The 24 genes differentially expressed following the two stresses were mainly categorized into three different groups: i) genes involved in the general stress response, ii) regulators, and iii) genes involved in the central metabolism.

415 Among the 24 differentially expressed genes, four genes – the transcriptional regulator hrcA and the chaperone-encoding genes clpB, dnaK, grpE - were up-regulated by thermal stress whatever the 416 strain. This suggests that these genes can be considered as biomarkers of sublethal thermal stress in 417 418 C. jejuni. The up-regulation of these genes was consistent with several results demonstrating the 419 involvement of these HSPs in response to heat, acid or oxidative stresses in C. jejuni but also in C. 420 coli and C. lari (Andersen et al., 2005; Cameron et al., 2012; Flint et al., 2014; Holmes et al., 2010; Konkel et al., 1998; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008a; Reid et al., 2008b; Riedel et al., 2020a; 421 Riedel et al., 2020b; Stintzi, 2003; Sulaeman et al., 2012). They act by repairing and preventing 422 423 damage caused by the accumulation of unfolded proteins (Stintzi, 2003). Several heat shock proteins (e.g., DnaK) also play a crucial role under optimal physiological conditions by assisting in the proper 424 folding of newly synthesized proteins (Stintzi, 2003). However, other genes were expected to be 425 426 differentially expressed following thermal stress. For example, hspR – encoding a transcriptional regulator – and the chaperone-encoding gene groEL, both involved in the C. jejuni heat stress 427 428 response, were not significantly over-expressed in any of the three strains.

429 The heatmap analysis also highlighted that three transcripts were significantly less abundant in the three strains at the highest temperature. These genes included the two regulators cosR and csrA, 430 431 which are involved in the C. jejuni oxidative stress response (Fields and Thompson, 2008; Garénaux 432 et al., 2008; Hwang et al., 2011b). The differential expression of genes involved in the oxidative 433 stress response was no surprise because C. jejuni were exposed to atmospheric oxygen in addition to 434 heat stress. Furthermore, oxidative stress is related to the C. jejuni response to temperature stress. 435 This pathogen is indeed more susceptible to oxidative stress at high temperatures (42°C) than at low temperatures (4°C), suggesting that temperature affects oxidative stress resistance in C. jejuni 436 437 (Garenaux et al., 2008). In Escherichia coli, increasing heat increases the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which leads to an oxidative stress response (Marcén et al., 2017). 438 439 Interestingly, the expression levels of genes involved in the oxidative stress response, namely *cosR*, 440 csrA and katA, were lower with increased temperature. This result can be linked to oxygen solubility 441 in water, which decreases as temperature increases. This may have resulted in a lower oxygen 442 concentration in contact with C. jejuni cells, and in turn lower expression of these genes at 54°C than 443 at 46°C.

444 CosR (Campylobacter oxidative stress regulator) is an OmpR-type response regulator involved in the control of oxidative stress resistance in C. jejuni (Hwang et al., 2011a; Hwang et al., 2011b; Hwang 445 446 et al., 2012). Autoregulation of CosR could also be influenced by the post-transcriptional regulator 447 CsrA (Fields et al., 2016). CosR may respond selectively to superoxide stress (compared to peroxide stress), as superoxide is the first toxic by-product of the oxygen reduction cycle (Hwang et al., 448 449 2011b). Resistance of C. jejuni to superoxide stress (and to aerobiosis conditions) may ensue from 450 reduced CosR protein levels, which would derepress sodB. SodB is the only enzyme able to detoxify superoxide ions in C. jejuni. In addition, decreased cosR expression may lead to reduced katA and 451 452 ahpC gene expression, which cannot contribute to superoxide detoxification (Hwang et al., 2012). In 453 the present study, cosR and katA gene expression decreased at the highest temperature in the three 454 strains, in line with the literature (Hwang et al., 2011a; Hwang et al., 2011b; Hwang et al., 2012). 455 However, sodB and ahpC expression was not significantly affected. It should be recalled that CosR is 456 not the only regulator of ROS detoxification genes. Regulators PerR and Fur also play a role (Butcher et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2011; Palyada et al., 2009; van Vliet et al., 1999). Therefore, these 457 458 findings underline the complexity of the regulation of gene expression and an interaction between co-459 regulators and the environment.

460 Eleven genes implied in the lipid, amino acid and energy metabolisms were down-regulated in the two C09MJLT205 and RM1221 strains from 51°C and at 54°C, respectively. Among them, four 461 genes were involved in amino-acid transport and metabolism (slyD, argF, trpD, ilvC), in line with 462 463 previous studies dealing with the effect of cold or heat stresses on Campylobacter (Guccione et al., 2017; Shi, 2014). Furthermore, the down-regulation of csrA in the three strains at the highest 464 temperature could explain the low level of transcripts involved in the amino acid metabolism (*ilvC*, 465 argF), the energy metabolism (atpA) and the stress response (katA), as shown by Fields et al. (2016) 466 467 in csrA mutants. CsrA appears to regulate a number of cellular processes, including transcription and translation, the nucleotide / amino acid metabolism, DNA repair, energy homeostasis, etc. (Fields et 468 469 al., 2016).

470 Genes encoding cell surface components were also down-regulated, such as yciA involved in the lipid 471 metabolism, *fliP* in flagellum biosynthesis, and *kdtA* encoding for lipid A of the lipooligosaccharide 472 (LOS). C. jejuni LOS consists of a lipid A moiety, an inner core composed of a conserved 473 trisaccharide, and a strain-variable outer core consisting of various sugars (Karlyshev et al., 2005). This structure is important for many pathogens to bypass the host immune defenses. The effect of 474 temperature on LOS length is strain-dependent (Semchenko et al., 2010), suggesting a role in the 475 476 pathogen's adaptive mechanisms or stress response. kdtA can also be down-regulated under acid or 477 oxidative stress conditions (Guccione et al., 2017; Kaakoush et al., 2009; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008a), but not under heat stress conditions (Stintzi, 2003). In addition, cell envelope proteins can 478 479 be down-regulated following cold shock (Shi, 2014; Stintzi and Whitworth, 2003). These findings could suggest a remodeling of the membrane and cell wall structures in response to stress. The cell 480 481 membrane composition is generally modified by bacteria under unfavorable environments such as 482 heat or cold stress in order to maintain membrane integrity against stressful conditions (Hughes et al., 483 2009; Siliakus et al., 2017). The rapid chilling of C. jejuni cultures, analogous to poultry carcass 484 chilling, would result in a rapid and large-scale shutdown of cellular processes (Hughes et al., 2009).

Interestingly, two strains, C09MJLT205 and RM1221, had rather similar transcriptomic profiles compared with the third one, C97anses640, in agreement with the results of a previous study. Indeed, *C. jejuni* C97anses640 previously submitted to successive heat and cold stresses was less resistant than strains C09MJLT205 and RM1221 to a subsequent stressing step of refrigerated storage under a modified atmosphere (Duqué et al., 2019). These results suggest a possible correlation between stress-induced gene expression and future bacterial behavior.

491 Conclusion

492 Consecutive thermal stresses inspired from the broiler slaughtering process induced a limited 493 inactivation of C. jejuni populations, but altered the molecular response of the pathogen at the transcriptomic level. Among the 44 genes under study, 24 were differentially expressed following the 494 two stresses and belonged to three different groups: i) genes involved in the general stress response, 495 ii) regulators, and iii) genes involved in the central metabolism. Our results pointed out 496 497 transcriptomic variability of C. *jejuni* strains exposed to stresses based on the poultry slaughtering 498 process. Indeed, thermal stress application induced strain-dependent gene expression patterns, with 499 one strain exhibiting a profile significantly different from the two other strains. Genes involved in the heat shock response were mainly overexpressed after stress application in the same manner no matter 500 the strain. In contrast, genes implicated in the oxidative stress response or the central metabolism 501 502 were differentially expressed according to the strain. For example, it appeared that among the 503 differentially expressed genes, some genes involved in oxidative response like CbrR, CJE1780 and 504 nuoL, were mainly more expressed in the C97anses640 than in the two other strains whereas genes

505 involved in amino acid metabolism, lipid metabolism and energy metabolism were less down 506 regulated in the atypical strain. These results suggest that the adaptative stress response in bacteria is 507 strain-dependent. Since the atypical C97anses640 strain was also shown to behave differently 508 according to stress, these results pave the way for further investigations on bacterial adaptation.

509 Further work is indeed needed to consider the possible correlation between the altered gene 510 expression patterns highlighted in the current work and enhanced *C. jejuni* resistance to a subsequent 511 stress. If this is confirmed, these genes could be used as biomarkers to improve the prediction of 512 bacterial fitness and adaptation because biomarkers are a promising avenue for next-generation 513 predictive models.

514

515 **5 Conflict of Interest**

516 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial 517 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

518 6 Author Contributions

519 J-M.M., S.G. and N.H. conceived the original idea. B.D., J-M.M., S.G. and N.H. conceived and 520 planned the experiments. B.D., S.R., and A.R. carried out the experiments. B.D., S.R., S.G. and N.H. 521 contributed to the interpretation of the results. B.J., S.G. and N.H. took the lead in writing the 522 manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and 523 manuscript.

524 **7** Acknowledgments

525 We especially thank the Regions Pays de La Loire and Bretagne for their financial support in the 526 framework of the Biomics project. BD obtained a PhD-fellowship grant from the Région Pays de la 527 Loire.

528 References

- Altekruse, S.F., Stern, N.J., Fields, P.I., L, S.D., 1999. *Campylobacter jejuni* An emerging foodborne pathogen. Emerging Infectious Diseases 5, 28-35. DOI: 10.3201/eid0501.990104.
- 531 Andersen, M.T., Brondsted, L., Pearson, B.M., Mulholland, F., Parker, M., Pin, C., Wells, J.M.,
- 532 Ingmer, H., 2005. Diverse roles for HspR in Campylobacter jejuni revealed by the proteome,
- transcriptome and phenotypic characterization of an *hspR* mutant. Microbiology 151, 905-915. DOI:
 10.1099/mic.0.27513-0.
- Apel, D., Ellermeier, J., Pryjma, M., DiRita, V.J., Gaynor, E.C., 2012. Characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* RacRS reveals roles in the heat shock response, motility, and maintenance of cell length homogeneity. Journal of Bacteriology 194, 2342-2354. DOI: 10.1128/jb.06041-11.
- Aryani, D.C., den Besten, H., Hazeleger, W.C., Zwietering, M., 2015. Quantifying variability on thermal resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes*. International Journal of Food Microbiology 193, 130-138. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.10.021.
- 541 Askoura, M., Sarvan, S., Couture, J.F., Stintzi, A., 2016. The *Campylobacter jejuni* ferric uptake 542 regulator promotes acid survival and cross-protection against oxidative stress. Infection and 543 Immunity 84, 1287-1300. DOI: 10.1128/IAI.01377-15.
- Askoura, M., Youns, M., Halim Hegazy, W.A., 2020. Investigating the influence of iron on *Campylobacter jejuni* transcriptome in response to acid stress. Microbial Pathogenesis 138, 103777.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103777.
- 547 Baek, K.T., Vegge, C.S., Skorko-Glonek, J., Brondsted, L., 2011. Different contributions of HtrA 548 protease and chaperone activities to *Campylobacter jejuni* stress tolerance and physiology. Applied 549 and Environmental Microbiology 77, 57-66. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01603-10.
- Baillon, M.L.A., van Vliet, A.H.M., Ketley, J.M., Constantinidou, C., Penn, C.W., 1999. An ironregulated alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (AhpC) confers aerotolerance and oxidative stress resistance
 to the microaerophilic pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni*. Journal of Bacteriology 181, 4798-4804.
 DOI:
- 554 Bengtsson, M., Ståhlberg, A., Rorsman, P., Kubista, M., 2005. Gene expression profiling in single 555 cells from the pancreatic islets of Langerhans reveals lognormal distribution of mRNA levels. 556 Genome Research 15, 1388-1392. DOI: 10.1101/gr.3820805.
- 557 Birk, T., 2012. Acid stress response and protein induction in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates with different acid tolerance. BMC Microbiology 12, 174. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-174.
- Boehm, M., Lind, J., Backert, S., Tegtmeyer, N., 2015. *Campylobacter jejuni* serine protease HtrA
 plays an important role in heat tolerance, oxygen resistance, host cell adhesion, invasion, and
 transmigration. European Journal of Microbiology and Immunology 5, 68-80. DOI: 10.1556/EuJMID-15-00003.
- Brás, A.M., Chatterjee, S., Wren, B.W., Newell, D.G., Ketley, J.M., 1999. A novel *Campylobacter jejuni* two-component regulatory system important for temperature-dependent growth and
 colonization. Journal of Bacteriology 181, 3298-3302. DOI: 10.1128/JB.181.10.3298-3302.1999.
- Brondsted, L., Andersen, M.T., Parker, M., Jorgensen, K., Ingmer, H., 2005. The HtrA protease of *Campylobacter jejuni* is required for heat and oxygen tolerance and for optimal interaction with
 human epithelial cells. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71, 3205-3212. DOI:
 10.1128/AEM.71.6.3205-3212.2005.

- 570 Bronnec, V., Turonova, H., Bouju, A., Cruveiller, S., Rodrigues, R., Demnerova, K., Tresse, O., 571 Haddad, N., Zagorec, M., 2016. Adhesion, biofilm formation, and genomic features of 572 *Campylobacter jejuni* Bf, an atypical strain able to grow under aerobic conditions. Frontiers in 573 Microbiology 7, 1-14. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01002.
- Burgess, C.M., Gianotti, A., Gruzdev, N., Holah, J., Knochel, S., Lehner, A., Margas, E., Esser, S.S.,
 Sela Saldinger, S., Tresse, O., 2016. The response of foodborne pathogens to osmotic and desiccation
 stresses in the food chain. International Journal of Food Microbiology 221, 37-53. DOI:
 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.12.014.
- Bustin, S.A., Beaulieu, J.-F., Huggett, J., Jaggi, R., Kibenge, F.S., Olsvik, P.A., Penning, L., C.,
 Toegel, S., 2010. MIQE précis: Practical implementation of minimum standard guidelines for
 fluorescence-based quantitative real-time PCR experiments. BMC Molecular Biology 11, 74. DOI:
 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2199/11/74.
- Bustin, S.A., Benes, V., Garson, J.A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R., Nolan, T.,
 Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., Vandesompele, J., Wittwer, C.T., 2009. The MIQE guidelines:
 minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clinical Chemistry
 55, 611-622. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797.
- Butcher, J., Handley, R.A., van Vliet, A.H., Stintzi, A., 2015. Refined analysis of the *Campylobacter jejuni* iron-dependent/independent Fur- and PerR-transcriptomes. BMC Genomics 16, 498. DOI:
 10.1186/s12864-015-1661-7.
- Cameron, A., Frirdich, E., Huynh, S., Parker, C.T., Gaynor, E.C., 2012. Hyperosmotic stress
 response of *Campylobacter jejuni*. Journal of Bacteriology 194, 6116-6130. DOI: 10.1128/JB.0140912.
- Cohn, M.T., Ingmer, H., Mulholland, F., Jorgensen, K., Wells, J.M., Brondsted, L., 2007.
 Contribution of conserved ATP-dependent proteases of *Campylobacter jejuni* to stress tolerance and
 virulence. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73, 7803-7813. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00698-07.
- 595 den Besten, H., Aryani, D.C., Metselaar, K.I., Zwietering, M., 2017. Microbial variability in growth 596 and heat resistance of a pathogen and a spoiler: All variabilities are equal but some are more equal 597 International Journal Microbiology than others. of Food 240. 24-31. DOI: 598 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.04.025.
- 599 Desriac, N., Coroller, L., Jannic, F., Postollec, F., Sohier, D., 2015. mRNA biomarkers selection 600 based on Partial Least Square algorithm in order to further predict *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* acid 601 resistance. Food Microbiology 45, 111-118. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2014.01.004.
- Desriac, N., Coroller, L., Sohier, D., Postollec, F., 2012. An integrative approach to identify *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* resistance biomarkers using gene expression quantification throughout acid
 inactivation. Food Microbiology 32, 172-178. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.05.008.
- Desriac, N., Postollec, F., Coroller, L., Pavan, S., Combrisson, J., Hallier-Soulier, S., Sohier, D.,
 2017. Trustworthy Identification of Resistance Biomarkers of *Bacillus weihenstephanensis*:
 Workflow of the Quality Assurance Procedure. Food Analytical Methods 11, 921-932. DOI: 10.1007/s12161-017-1058-0.
- 609 Desriac, N., Postollec, F., Coroller, L., Sohier, D., Abee, T., den Besten, H.M., 2013. Prediction of Bacillus weihenstephanensis acid resistance: the use of gene expression patterns to select potential 610 611 International Journal Food Microbiology 167. 80-86. biomarkers. of DOI: 612 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.03.014.

- 613 Dheda, K., Huggett, J., Chang, J., Kim, L., Bustin, S.A., Johnson, M., Rook, G., Zumla, A., 2005. 614 The implications of using an inappropriate reference gene for real-time reverse transcription PCR
- data normalization. Analytical Biochemistry 344, 141-143. DOI: 10.1016/j.ab.2005.05.022. 615
- 616 Dufour, V., Li, J., Flint, A., Rosenfeld, E., Rivoal, K., Georgeault, S., Alazzam, B., Ermel, G.,
- Stintzi, A., Bonnaure-Mallet, M., Baysse, C., 2013. Inactivation of the LysR regulator Cj1000 of 617
- Campylobacter jejuni affects host colonization and respiration. Microbiology 159, 1165-1178. DOI: 618 619 10.1099/mic.0.062992-0.
- 620 Duqué, B., Haddad, N., Rossero, A., Membré, J.-M., Guillou, S., 2019. Influence of cell history on
- 621 the subsequent inactivation of Campylobacter jejuni during cold storage under modified atmosphere.
- 622 Food Microbiology 84. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2019.103263.
- 623 EFSA, ECDC, 2019. The European Union One Health 2018 zoonoses report. EFSA Journal 17, 624 e05926. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5926.
- 625 Fields, J.A., Li, J., Gulbronson, C.J., Hendrixson, D.R., Thompson, S.A., 2016. Campylobacter jejuni
- CsrA regulates metabolic and virulence associated proteins and is necessary for mouse colonization. 626
- PLoS One 11, e0156932. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156932. 627
- Fields, J.A., Thompson, S.A., 2008. Campylobacter jejuni CsrA mediates oxidative stress responses, 628 629 biofilm formation, and host cell invasion. Journal of Bacteriology 190, 3411-3416. DOI: 630 10.1128/JB.01928-07.
- 631 Flint, A., Sun, Y.-Q., Butcher, J., Stahl, M., Huang, H., Stintzi, A., 2014. Phenotypic screening of a 632 targeted mutant library reveals Campylobacter jejuni defenses against oxidative stress. Infection and 633 Immunity 82, 2266-2275. DOI: 10.1128/IAI.01528-13.
- 634 Fouts, D.E., Mongodin, E.F., Mandrell, R.E., Miller, W.G., Rasko, D.A., Ravel, J., Brinkac, L.M.,
- DeBoy, R.T., Parker, C.T., Daugherty, S.C., Dodson, R.J., Durkin, A.S., Madupu, R., Sullivan, S.A., 635
- Shetty, J.U., Ayodeji, M.A., Shvartsbeyn, A., Schatz, M.C., Badger, J.H., Fraser, C.M., Nelson, K.E., 636
- 637 2005. Major structural differences and novel potential virulence mechanisms from the genomes of multiple Campylobacter species. PLoS Biology 3, e15. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030015.
- 638
- Garénaux, A., Guillou, S., Ermel, G., Wren, B., Federighi, M., Ritz, M., 2008. Role of the Cj1371 639 640 periplasmic protein and the Cj0355c two-component regulator in the Campylobacter jejuni NCTC
- 11168 response to oxidative stress caused by paraquat. Research in Microbiology 159, 718-726. DOI: 641 642 10.1016/j.resmic.2008.08.001.
- 643 Garenaux, A., Jugiau, F., Rama, F., de Jonge, R., Denis, M., Federighi, M., Ritz, M., 2008. Survival 644 of Campylobacter jejuni strains from different origins under oxidative stress conditions: effect of temperature. Current Microbiology 56, 293-297. DOI: 10.1007/s00284-007-9082-8. 645
- 646 Garenaux, A., Ritz, M., Jugiau, F., Rama, F., Federighi, M., de Jonge, R., 2009. Role of oxidative 647 stress in C. jejuni inactivation during freeze-thaw treatment. Current Microbiology 58, 134-138. DOI: 648 10.1007/s00284-008-9289-3.
- 649 Grant, K.A., Park, S.F., 1995. Molecular characterization of katA from Campylobacter jejuni and 650 generation of a catalase-deficient mutant of *Campylobacter coli* by interspecific allelic exchange.
- 651 Microbiology 141 (Pt 6), 1369-1376. DOI: 10.1099/13500872-141-6-1369.
- 652 Guccione, E.J., Kendall, J.J., Hitchcock, A., Garg, N., White, M.A., Mulholland, F., Poole, R.K.,
- 653 Kelly, D.J., 2017. Transcriptome and proteome dynamics in chemostat culture reveal how
- Campylobacter jejuni modulates metabolism, stress responses and virulence factors upon changes in 654
- oxygen availability. Environmental Microbiology 19, 4326-4348. DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.13930. 655

- 656 Gundogdu, O., da Silva, D.T., Mohammad, B., Elmi, A., Mills, D.C., Wren, B.W., Dorrell, N., 2015.
- 657 The Campylobacter jejuni MarR-like transcriptional regulators RrpA and RrpB both influence
- bacterial responses to oxidative and aerobic stresses. Frontiers in Microbiology 6. DOI:
- 659 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00724.
- 660 Guyard-Nicodeme, M., Rivoal, K., Houard, E., Rose, V., Quesne, S., Mourand, G., Rouxel, S.,
- 661 Kempf, I., Guillier, L., Gauchard, F., Chemaly, M., 2015. Prevalence and characterization of
- 662 Campylobacter jejuni from chicken meat sold in French retail outlets. International Journal of Food
- 663 Microbiology 203, 8-14. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.02.013.
- 664 Guyard-Nicodeme, M., Tresse, O., Houard, E., Jugiau, F., Courtillon, C., El Manaa, K., Laisney, 665 M.J., Chemaly, M., 2013. Characterization of *Campylobacter* spp. transferred from naturally 666 contaminated chicken legs to cooked chicken slices via a cutting board. International Journal of Food
- 667 Microbiology 164, 7-14. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.03.009.
- Hellemans, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., Vandesompele, J., 2007. qBase relative
 quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time
 quantitative PCR data. Genome Biology 8, R19. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19.
- Holmes, C.W., Penn, C.W., Lund, P.A., 2010. The hrcA and hspR regulons of *Campylobacter jejuni*.
 Microbiology 156, 158-166. DOI: 10.1099/mic.0.031708-0.
- Huggett, J., dheda, K., Bustin, S.A., Zumla, A., 2005. Real-time RT-PCR normalisation; strategies
 and considerations. Genes & Immunity 6, 279-284. DOI: 10.1038/sj.gene.6364190
- Hughes, R., Hallett, K., Cogan, T., Enser, M., Humphrey, T., 2009. The response of *Campylobacter jejuni* to low temperature differs from that of *Escherichia coli*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75, 6292. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00993-09.
- Hwang, S., Jeon, B., Yun, J., Ryu, S., 2011a. Roles of RpoN in the resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* under various stress conditions. BMC Microbiology 11, 207. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-207.
- Hwang, S., Kim, M., Ryu, S., Jeon, B., 2011b. Regulation of oxidative stress response by CosR, an
 essential response regulator in *Campylobacter jejuni*. PLoS One 6, e22300. DOI:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0022300.
- Hwang, S., Zhang, Q., Ryu, S., Jeon, B., 2012. Transcriptional regulation of the CmeABC multidrug
 efflux pump and the KatA catalase by CosR in *Campylobacter jejuni*. Journal of Bacteriology 194,
 683-6891. DOI: 10.1128/JB.01636-12.
- Hyytiainen, H., Juntunen, P., Akrenius, N., Hanninen, M.L., 2012. Importance of RNA stabilization:
 evaluation of *ansB*, *ggt*, and *rpoA* transcripts in microaerophilic *Campylobacter jejuni* 81-176.
 Archives of Microbiology 194, 803-808. DOI: 10.1007/s00203-012-0820-3.
- Archives of Microbiology 194, 803-808. DOI: 10.1007/s00203-012-0820-3.
 Kaakoush, N.O., Baar, C., Mackichan, J., Schmidt, P., Fox, E.M., Schuster, S.C., Mendz, G.L., 2009.
- Kaakoush, N.O., Baar, C., Mackichan, J., Schnidt, P., Fox, E.M., Schuster, S.C., Mendz, G.L., 2009.
 Insights into the molecular basis of the microaerophily of three Campylobacterales: a comparative study. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 96, 545-557. DOI: 10.1007/s10482-009-9370-3.
- Karlyshev, A.V., Ketley, J.M., Wren, B.W., 2005. The *Campylobacter jejuni* glycome. FEMS
 Microbiology Reviews 29, 377-390. DOI: 10.1016/j.femsre.2005.01.003.
- Kim, J.-C., Oh, E., Kim, J., Jeon, B., 2015. Regulation of oxidative stress resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni*, a microaerophilic foodborne pathogen. Frontiers in Microbiology 6, 751-751.
 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00751.
- Kim, M., Hwang, S., Ryu, S., Jeon, B., 2011. Regulation of perR Expression by Iron and PerR in
 Campylobacter jejuni. Journal of Bacteriology 193, 6171-6178. DOI: 10.1128/jb.05493-11.

- Klančnik, A., Guzej, B., Jamnik, P., Vuckovic, D., Abram, M., Mozina, S.S., 2009. Stress response
 and pathogenic potential of *Campylobacter jejuni* cells exposed to starvation. Research in
 Microbiology 160, 345-352. DOI: 10.1016/j.resmic.2009.05.002.
- Konkel, M.E., Kim, B.J., Klena, J.D., Young, C.R., Ziprin, R., 1998. Characterization of the thermal
 stress response of *Campylobacter jejuni*. Infection and Immunity 66, 36666-33672. DOI:
- Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
 quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25, 402-408. DOI:
 10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
- Marcén, M., Ruiz, V., Serrano, M.J., Condón, S., Mañas, P., 2017. Oxidative stress in *E. coli* cells
 upon exposure to heat treatments. International Journal of Food Microbiology 241, 198-205. DOI:
 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.10.023.
- Murphy, C., Carroll, C., Jordan, K.N., 2003a. Identification of a novel stress resistance mechanism in
 Campylobacter jejuni. Journal of Applied Microbiology 95, 704-708. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365 2672.2003.02029.x
- 713 Murphy, C., Carroll, C., Jordan, K.N., 2003b. Induction of an adaptive tolerance response in the
- 714 foodborne pathogen, Campylobacter jejuni. FEMS Microbiology Letters 223, 89-93. DOI:
- 715 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00348-3.
- Nolan, T., Hands, R.E., Bustin, S.A., 2006. Quantification of mRNA using real-time RT-PCR.
 Nature Protocols 1, 1559-1582. DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2006.236
- Oh, E., McMullen, L., Jeon, B., 2015. Impact of oxidative stress defense on bacterial survival and
 morphological change in *Campylobacter jejuni* under aerobic conditions. Frontiers in Microbiology
 6, 295. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00295.
- Palyada, K., Sun, Y.-Q., Flint, A., Butcher, J., Naikare, H., Stintzi, A., 2009. Characterization of the
 oxidative stress stimulon and PerR regulon of *Campylobacter jejuni*. BMC Genomics 10, 481. DOI:
 10.1186/1471-2164-10-481.
- Park, S.F., 2002. The physiology of *Campylobacter* species and its relevance to their role as
 foodborne pathogens. International Journal of Food Microbiology 74, 177-188. DOI: 10.1016/s01681605(01)00678-x
- Parkhill, J., Wren, B.W., Mungall, K., Ketley, J.M., Churcher, C., Basham, D., Chillingworth, T.,
 Davies, R.M., Feltwell, T., Holroyd, S., Jagels, K., Karlyshev, A.V., Moule, S., Pallen, M.J., Penn,
- 729 C.W., Quail, M.A., Rajandream, M.A., Rutherford, K.M., van Vliet, A.H., Whitehead, S., Barrell,
- B.G., 2000. The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals
 hypervariable sequences. Nature 403, 665-668. DOI:
- Pesci, E.C., Cottle, D.L., Pickett, C.L., 1994. Genetic, enzymatic, and pathogenic studies of the iron
 superoxide dismutase of *Campylobacter jejuni*. Infection and Immunity 62, 2687-2694. DOI:
 10.1128/IAI.62.7.2687-2694.1994.
- Phongsisay, V., Perera, V.N., Fry, B.N., 2007. Expression of the htrB gene is essential for
 responsiveness of Salmonella typhimurium and *Campylobacter jejuni* to harsh environments.
 Microbiology 153, 254-262. DOI: 10.1099/mic.0.29230-0.
- 738 Reid, A.N., Pandey, R., Palyada, K., Naikare, H., Stintzi, A., 2008a. Identification of Campylobacter
- 739 *jejuni* genes involved in the response to acidic pH and stomach transit. Applied and Environmental
- 740 Microbiology 74, 1583-1597. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01507-07.

- 741 Reid, A.N., Pandey, R., Palyada, K., Whitworth, L., Doukhanine, E., Stintzi, A., 2008b.
- 742 Identification of Campylobacter jejuni genes contributing to acid adaptation by transcriptional
- profiling and genome-wide mutagenesis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74, 1598-1612.
- 744 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01508-07.
- 745 Rezé, S., Duqué, B., Rossero, A., Membré, J.-M., Guillou, S., Haddad, N., 2019. Validation de la
- RT-qPCR en vue d'évaluer l'effet de stress sur l'expression génique de *Campylobacter jejuni*, SFM,
 Paris.
- Riedel, C., Förstner, K.U., Püning, C., Alter, T., Sharma, C.M., Golz, G., 2020a. Differences in the
 transcriptomic response of *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter lari* to heat stress. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 11, 523. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00523.
- 750 Microbiology 11, 525. DOI: 10.5589/1111c0.2020.00525.
- Riedel, C., Förstner, K.U., Püning, C., Alter, T., Sharma, C.M., Gölz, G., 2020b. Differences in the
 transcriptomic response of *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter lari* to heat stress. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 11. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00523.
- Ritz, M., Garenaux, A., Berge, M., Federighi, M., 2009. Determination of *rpoA* as the most suitable
 internal control to study stress response in *C. jejuni* by RT-qPCR and application to oxidative stress.
 Journal of Microbiological Methods 76, 196-200. DOI: 10.1016/j.mimet.2008.10.014.
- Rodrigues, R.C., Haddad, N., Chevret, D., Cappelier, J.M., Tresse, O., 2016. Comparison of
 proteomics profiles of *Campylobacter jejuni* strain Bf under microaerobic and aerobic conditions.
 Frontiers in Microbiology 7, 1596. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01596.
- Semchenko, E.A., Day, C.J., Wilson, J.C., Grice, I.D., Moran, A.P., Korolik, V., 2010. Temperaturedependent phenotypic variation of *Campylobacter jejuni* lipooligosaccharides. BMC Microbiology
 10, 305. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-305.
- Shi, Z., 2014. Proteomic analysis of the cold stress response in *Campylobacter jejuni*. Lincoln
 University, Canterbury, New Zealand, p. 134.
- Siliakus, M.F., van der Oost, J., Kengen, S.W.M., 2017. Adaptations of archaeal and bacterial
 membranes to variations in temperature, pH and pressure. Extremophiles 21, 651-670. DOI:
 10.1007/s00792-017-0939-x.
- Silva, J., Leite, D., Fernandes, M., Mena, C., Gibbs, P.A., Teixeira, P., 2011. *Campylobacter* spp. as
 a foodborne pathogen: A review. Frontiers in Microbiology 2, 200. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00200.
- Solomon, E.B., Hoover, D.G., 1999. *Campylobacter jejuni*: a bacterial paradox. Journal of Food
 Safety 19, 121-136. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4565.1999.tb00239.x.
- Stahl, M., Butcher, J., Stintzi, A., 2012. Nutrient acquisition and metabolism by *Campylobacter jejuni*. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2, 5-5. DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2012.00005.
- Stahlberg, A., Hakansson, J., Xian, X., Semb, H., Kubista, M., 2004. Properties of the reverse
 transcription reaction in mRNA quantification. Clinical Chemistry 50, 509-515. DOI:
 10.1373/clinchem.2003.026161.
- Stead, D., Park, S.F., 2000. Roles of Fe superoxide dismutase and catalase in resistance of
 Campylobacter coli to freeze-thaw stress. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66, 3110. DOI:
 10.1128/AEM.66.7.3110-3112.2000.
- Stintzi, A., 2003. Gene expression profile of *Campylobacter jejuni* in response to growth temperature
 variation. Journal of Bacteriology 185, 2009-2016. DOI: 10.1128/JB.185.6.2009-2016.2003.

- Stintzi, A., Whitworth, L., 2003. Investigation of the *Campylobacter jejuni* cold-shock response by
 global transcript profiling. Genome Letters 2, 18-27. DOI: 10.1166/gl.2003.000.
- Sulaeman, S., Hernould, M., Schaumann, A., Coquet, L., Bolla, J.-M., Dé, E., Tresse, O., 2012.
- Enhanced adhesion of *Campylobacter jejuni* to abiotic surfaces is mediated by membrane proteins in
 oxygen-enriched conditions. PLoS One 7, 1-14. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046402.t001.
- Thies, F.L., Hartung, H.P., Giegerich, G., 1998. Cloning and expression of the *Campylobacter jejuni*lon gene detected by RNA arbitrarily primed PCR. FEMS Microbiology Letters 165, 329-334. DOI:
 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13165.x.
- Thies, F.L., Karch, H., Hartung, H.P., Giegerich, G., 1999a. Cloning and expression of the dnaK
 gene of *Campylobacter jejuni* and antigenicity of heat shock protein 70. Infection and Immunity 67,
 1194-1200. DOI: 10.1128/IAI.67.3.1194-1200.1999
- Thies, F.L., Weishaupt, A., Karch, H., Hartung, H.P., Giegerich, G., 1999b. Cloning, sequencing and
 molecular analysis of the *Campylobacter jejuni* groESL bicistronic operon. Microbiology 145 (Pt 1),
 89-98. DOI: 10.1099/13500872-145-1-89.
- Trigui, H., Lee, K., Thibodeau, A., Lévesque, S., Mendis, N., Fravalo, P., Letellier, A., Faucher, S.P.,
 2017. Phenotypic and transcriptomic responses of *Campylobacter jejuni* suspended in an artificial
 freshwater medium. Frontiers in Microbiology 8. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01781.
- Turonova, H., Haddad, N., Hernould, M., Chevret, D., Pazlarova, J., Tresse, O., 2017. Profiling of
 Campylobacter jejuni proteome in exponential and stationary phase of growth. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 8. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00913.
- Udvardi, M.K., Czechowski, T., Scheible, W.-R., 2008. Eleven golden rules of quantitative RT-PCR.
 The Plant Cell 20, 1736-1737. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.108.061143.
- Untergasser, A., Nijveen, H., Rao, X., Bisseling, T., Geurts, R., Leunissen, J.A.M., 2007.
 Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3. Nucleic Acids Research 35, W71-W74. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkm306.
- van Vliet, A.H., Baillon, M.L., Penn, C.W., Ketley, J.M., 1999. *Campylobacter jejuni* contains two
 fur homologs: characterization of iron-responsive regulation of peroxide stress defense genes by the
 PerR repressor. Journal of Bacteriology 181, 6371-6376. DOI:
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F.,
 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of
- 812 multiple internal control genes. Genome Biology 3, 1-12. DOI: research0034.1–0034.11.
- Varsaki, A., Murphy, C., Barczynska, A., Jordan, K., Carroll, C., 2015. The acid adaptive tolerance
 response in *Campylobacter jejuni* induces a global response, as suggested by proteomics and
 microarrays. Microbial Biotechnology 8, 974-988. DOI: 10.1111/1751-7915.12302.
- 816 WHO, 2013. The global view of Campylobacteriosis. World Health Organization.
- 817 Zhong, X., Wu, Q.P., Zhang, J.M., Shen, S.X., 2016. Prevalence, genetic diversity and antimicrobial
- susceptibility of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from retail food in China. Food Control 62, 10-15.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.09.032.
- 820

Table 1. Function of the forty target genes of <i>C. jejuni</i> used in this s	tudy.
--	-------

Categories according to	Gene	Accession number	Annotations	Ι	nvolve	ment in	stress	response		References
the tree decision		of C. jejuni RM1221		oxidative	heat	cold	acid	basic	osmotic	
Regulation/regulator	hrcA	CJE0848	heat-inducible transcriptional repressor	Х	Х		Х		Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Flint et al., 2014; Holmes et al., 2010; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003)
	perR	CJE0367	peroxide stress response regulator	Х			Х			(Burgess et al., 2016; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b)
	cbrR	CJE0746	two-component response regulator	Х					Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Kaakoush et al., 2009)
	Fur	<i>CJE0449</i>	fur ferric uptake regulator	Х						(Askoura et al., 2016; Burgess et al., 2016; Butcher et al., 2015; Palyada et al., 2009; van Vliet et al., 1999)
	hspR	CJE1365	heat shock transcriptional regulator		Х		Х		Х	(Askoura et al., 2020; Cameron et al., 2012; Holmes et al., 2010; Stintzi, 2003)
	lysR	CJE1080	transcriptional regulator	Х						(Dufour et al., 2013)
	<i>CJE1780</i>	CJE1780	two-component regulator	Х			Х			(Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	cmeR (tetR)	CJE0417	transcriptional regulator	Х			Х			(Butcher et al., 2015; Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	cosR	CJE0404	two-component regulator	Х			Х			(Garenaux et al., 2009; Hwang et al., 2011b; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	racR	CJE1397	two-component regulator	Х	Х				Х	(Apel et al., 2012; Brás et al., 1999)
	rprA	Cj1546	transcriptional regulator	Х						(Gundogdu et al., 2015)
	rprB	Cj1556	transcriptional regulator	Х						(Gundogdu et al., 2015)
	csrA	CJE1246	carbon storage regulator	Х						(Fields and Thompson, 2008)
Involvement in one	clpX	CJE0324	ATP-dependent protease	Х						(Cohn et al., 2007)
stress response		CIE0100	ATP-binding subunit	37					37	(Comment of 2012) Keybouch of al
Involvement in two stress responses	atpA	CJE0100	Synthase subunit alpha	Х					Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Kaakoush et al., 2009)
seress responses	fliP	<i>CJE0907</i>	flagellar biosynthesis protein					Х	Х	(Butcher et al., 2015; Palyada et al., 2009)
	CJE1361	CJE1361	two-component sensor histidine kinase				Х		Х	(Butcher et al., 2015; Kaakoush et al., 2009; Palyada et al., 2009; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	putA	CJE1676	proline dehydrogenase /delta-1-pyrroline-5- carboxylate dehydrogenase	Х			X			(Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	dsbl	CJE0017	disulfite bond formation protein	Х			Х			(Butcher et al., 2015; Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	pebC	CJE1000	amino acid ABC transporter ATP-binding	Х			Х			(Butcher et al., 2015; Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)

Categories according to	Gene	Accession number	Annotations	Involvement in stress response						References
the tree decision		of C ieiuni RM1221		oxidative	heat	cold	acid	basic	osmotic	
		0. jojum 10.11221	protein							
	ahpC	CJE0379	alkyl hydroperoxide reductase	Х			Х			(Baillon et al., 1999; Birk, 2012; Kaakoush et al., 2009; Oh et al., 2015; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	trpD	CJE0395	anthranilate synthase subunit II	Х			Х			(Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	yidC	CJE1038	membrane protein insertase	Х			Х			(Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	hupB	CJE0991	NA-binding protein	Х		Х				(Guccione et al., 2017; Shi, 2014)
	proS	CJE0647	prolinetRNA ligase			Х	Х			(Shi, 2014; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	asd	CJE1167	aspartate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase	Х		Х				(Butcher et al., 2015; Guccione et al., 2017; Shi, 2014)
Involvement in ≥ 3 stress responses	grpE	CJE0849	heat shock protein	Х	Х		Х		Х	(Askoura et al., 2020; Cameron et al., 2012; Flint et al., 2014; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b)
	groEL	CJE1356	Chaperone protein	Х	Х		Х			(Askoura et al., 2020; Cameron et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003; Sulaeman et al., 2012)
	dnaK	CJE0850	Chaperone protein	Х	Х		Х		Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Flint et al., 2014; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003; Sulaeman et al., 2012)
	katA	CJE1576	catalase A	Х			Х			(Cameron et al., 2012; Oh et al., 2015; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	argF	CJE1074	delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	Х		Х	Х			(Guccione et al., 2017; Shi, 2014; Varsaki et al., 2015)
	rpoB	CJE0528	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta	Х		Х	Х			(Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b; Shi, 2014)
	yciA	<i>CJE0993</i>	acyl-CoA thioesterase	Х			Х	Х		(Butcher et al., 2015; Guccione et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2008b)
	kdtA	<i>CJE0807</i>	3-deoxy-D-manno- octulosonic acid transferase	Х	Х		Х			(Kaakoush et al., 2009; Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003)
	clpB	CJE0616	Chaperone protein		Х		Х		Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003)
	sodB	CJE0164	superoxide dismutase							(Cameron et al., 2012; Kaakoush et al., 2009; Oh et al., 2015; Stintzi, 2003)
	htrA	CJE1363	serine protease	X	X		X		X	(Baek et al., 2011; Boehm et al., 2015; Brondsted et al., 2005; Cameron et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2008b; Sulaeman et al., 2012)
	sdhA	CJE0488	succinate dehydrogenase	Х	Х		Х			(Palyada et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2008b; Stintzi, 2003)

Categories according to	Gene	Accession number	Annotations	Involvement in stress response					References	
the tree decision		of C. jejuni RM1221		oxidative	heat	cold	acid	basic	osmotic	
			flavoprotein subunit							
	htr B	CJE1276	lipid A biosynthesis lauroyl acyltransferase	Х	Х		Х		Х	(Phongsisay et al., 2007; Trigui et al., 2017)
	nuoL	CJE1739	NADH-quinone oxidoreductase subunit L	Х			Х		Х	(Cameron et al., 2012; Kaakoush et al., 2009; Varsaki et al., 2015)

Table 2. Primer pairs sequences for *C. jejuni* genes used in this study

Genes	Forward	Reverse	Amplicon size (pb)	Efficiency (%)	R ²
Control of RNA samples					
341F/758R	CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG	CTACCAGGGTATCTAATCC	439	/	/
Potential reference genes					
rpoA	CGAGCTTGCTTTGATGAGTG	AGTTCCCACAGGAAAACCTA	80	100	1.00
rrs	AAGGGCCATGATGACTTGAC	AGCGCAACCCACGTATTTAG	107	100	1.00
ilvC	GCATGCAGAACGCAAAAATA	TGATCCAAGGCATCATAGCA	109	92	1.00
gyrA	GTTATTATAGGTCGTGCTTT	CTATGAGGTGGGATGTTTGT	92	89	1.00
slyD	TACGATGAAAATGCCGTTCA	TTCGCCAAAAAGCTCCATAC	84	95	1.00
thiC	TTATCTTTGGGCGATGCTTT	CATCCCAAGCCCTTTGAGTA	109	93	1.00
Target genes					
hrcA	GGGCTTAAGGTAGATGCGCA	TCATGCCGCCTCCTTTATGT	111	93	0.97
groEL	AGTAATCGGTGGTGGTGCAG	CTTTCAACGATAGCTGCGCC	96	109	1.00
atpA	CGTGAAGCTTATCCAGGCGA	GCAATGCCGTCAAAGAACCA	103	98	1.00
clpX	AGCTGCAGATGGAGATGTGC	AAGCTTGTTGCACACCCTCT	131	94	1.00
dnaK	CACGCGGTATGCCACAAATC	CCTCGCTAAGTCCGCTTGAA	132	97	1.00
sodB	CAAAACTTCAAATGGGGGGCGT	ACAGCCACAGCCTGTACTTG	97	94	1.00
katA	CAGGCGCAAAAGGACCTTTG	TTCCCTTGGCATGAACGGTT	105	93	1.00
perR	CCTTCAATCTCTTTAGCGACGG	CCACATTTGGTGCAAACAACA	146	90	1.00
cbrR	CTTGCCAGATGCCCCAAATG	GCCTTATCACCACTAGCCGT	90	98	1.00
<i>CJE1780</i>	TCCAACAAGCAAAGCAGTGC	AGAGATTGTTTTTCTGTGGGAAGT	136	94	1.00
cmeR (tetR)	TCCAATTGGCAAGATGTCTATCT	TCAATCAACCAGAAGCTGTAGC	81	93	1.00
cosR	GGGAAGCAGAAACGGTATCCT	TGCCATCTGGGAAGAACCTG	141	99	1.00
fur	CCATTTCTTTTGGTTCAGCAGGT	GCAATCAAGGCTTGCTGTCT	136	92	1.00
hspR	AGAGCCAAGTAGAACCGATGG	ACTCCAGCAAGATTGATTCCCA	111	93	1.00
lysR	CGCTCTCAAACGCAGAATTCA	AGCTGGATCAAGTTCTGCTTCA	93	93	1.00
putA	GCTAGTGGTGCAGCTATGGT	TTCGCACCTAAGGGCATAGG	83	106	1.00
racR	TTGTGGGGGCTTCAAATCGGT	TCAACTCTTTTTGTGCGACGA	106	93	1.00
rprA	CGCTCAAATTCCACCCAAGG	TGCCCCATTTGCTCATAGCT	89	99	098
rprB	CGAGATTTGTTGCAAGGGACTAA	CTTTGCGTTTGATCAGTTTTGC	139	93	1.00
fliP	TTTGCGTCCCCATAGCTTGT	TGCGCTCGCTCCAAGTATAG	92	92	1.00
dsbl	AAGCCCTACTGCTGTCATGA	GCTGGGGACAAAGAGAAGCA	116	90	1.00
pebC	GGTTTCTGGATCAAGGGCTGA	AGCAACCCTTTCAGGTGGAC	112	91	1.00
htrA	TGCCGTTATTTCCACCACCA	CAGGTGGAGCTTTGGTGGAT	84	103	1.00
ahpC	GAACAGTTCGCCATGCTGTG	TCGCCCTTATTCCATCCTGC	133	97	0.99
grpE	TGAAAAACATGGGGTGGCTCT	AGCACTTGAACCACTTCACCA	117	98	1.00
hupB	TCTTTTTGCCGCTTGCTTTTG	GCTAGAGTACCAAGCACAGGA	112	97	1.00
proS	GGCTATTGGTGGAAGTGGCT	GCAGCTTCAACATTAGCCGC	108	94	1.00
asd	GCATTCAGGAACCACCAAAGG	GCAGGTGGAAGTGTGAGTGA	120	91	1.00
sdhA	GGTAGATCAAGACGCGGCTT	AAACGCTGAGCTACTCCACC	117	92	1.00

Genes	Forward	Reverse	Amplicon size (pb)	Efficiency (%)	R ²
Control of RNA samples					
htrB	TGCGGCTAAATATGGTGCGA	AAATTGGGTGCGACTTTGGC	91	95	1.00
yciA	TCCTGAACGCGTTGTAACCA	CGCTGATTGAAGTGTTGCCC	119	93	1.00
argF	ATCCTTTACAGGCTCGCCAC	CCATAACAGAGCTTGGCGGA	80	100	1.00
rpoB	GGCTAATGGCGTTGATGCAG	TCACCTGGGCGCATAACTTT	141	90	1.00
CJE1361	TCGCATTGCAATTTCTTTGGC	GCAAATTACTTCAGGGGCTGC	88	94	1.00
YidC	TCACAACGATAGCCCAACCC	ATCCACGCCTTGAAGCAGTT	120	92	1.00
trpD	AAGCGGTAGAATGCAAGGGG	GCCACTAAAGGCGAACTTGC	96	95	0.99
kdtA	TGCCAAACCTAAGGAAAAGCT	TAAAACGCTCAGGGTGTCGT	131	97	0.99
csrA	GAAAGTATAATTATCGGAGAAGG	CAACAGAATGCAAATTTTCATC	151	87	1.00
nuoL	ACCAAATAAACCCCCGCTGT	CACACTTGGCTTGCTGATGC	92	87	1.00
clpB	GGCGCACCTAAGAGTCTTGA	GGCCAACAGGGGTAGGAAAA	136	87	1.00

Table 3. Reference genes selected and associated M value for each *C. jejuni* strain. In bold, the two
828 genes selected as internal reference for each strain, according to their M-value (< 0.5).

C. jejuni strain	Gene	M value
	asd	0.16
C00MII T205	dsbI	0.475
C09WIJL120J	proS	0.16
	thiC	0.255
	rrs	0,485
	_	
	asd	0.41
RM1221	dsbI	0.735
	proS	0.790
	thiC	0.865
	rrs	0,410
	,	0.525
	asd	0,525
C97anses640	dsbI	0.30
	proS	0.375
	thiC	0.30
	rrs	0,565

Table 4. Mean inactivation (expressed in $\Delta \log \text{CFU.mL}^{-1}$) induced by heat stress only, cold stress only and cumulated stresses, with respective standard deviation, of the three strains of *C. jejuni* (C97anses40, C09MJLT205 and RM121) as a function of the heat stress temperature (54, 51 and 46°C).

835

Heat stress			$\Delta \log (CFU.mL^{-1})$	
temperature (°C)	Strain [–]	Heat stress	Cold stress	Cumulated stress
	C97anses640	0.37 ± 0.11	-0.03 ± 0.13	0.34 ± 0.01
54	C09MJLT205	0.35 ± 0.22	0.15 ± 0.19	0.51 ± 0.04
	RM1221	0.16 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.10	0.44 ± 0.07
	C97anses640	0.03 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.19	0.23 ± 0.11
51	C09MJLT205	-0.06 ± 0.14	0.01 ± 0.22	-0.05 ± 0.25
	RM1221	-0.02 ± 0.10	0.33 ± 0.04	0.31 ± 0.08
	C97anses640	-0.06 ± 0.07	-0.04 ± 0.06	-0.10 ± 0.06
46	C09MJLT205	-0.13 ± 0.11	-0.15 ± 0.20	-0.28 ± 0.10
	RM1221	0.01 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.03

- 836 Negative values mean no inactivation due to the uncertainty from enumeration method.
- 837

838

840 List of figures

841 **Figure 1.** Decision tree for the selection of target genes according to the literature analysis.

Figure 2. Expression of *katA*, *lysR*, *pebC* and *racR* following heat stress at 46°C with or without subsequent application of the cold stress. Symbols correspond to mean \log_2 fold change (\bullet : RM1221 ; \blacktriangle : C09MJLT205 ; \blacksquare : C97anses640) and bars to 95% confidence intervals.

845 Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering analysis of gene expression for three C. jejuni strains (C09MJLT205, C97anses640, RM121) after application of successive stresses: heat (46°C, 51°C and 846 54°C during 3 min) and cold temperature (-4°C during 2 h). A threshold log₂ value of 2 was used in 847 848 this figure. The intensity of the color is proportional to expression change. Data were normalized 849 with the control condition, which was the unstressed culture. The color variation indicates level of 850 gene expression as compared to the normalizing condition, *i.e.* green, downregulation; red, 851 upregulation. Each capital letter corresponds to a cluster after the hierarchical clustering was 852 performed.

Figure 4. Representative genes from each cluster which were differentially expressed after application of the consecutive heat and cold stress (open bars, grey bars and black bars correspond to 46, 51 and 54°C, respectively) for the three strains of *C. jejuni* C09MJLT205, RM121 and C97anses640). For each strain and each gene, different letters (a-c) show significant differences between the different temperatures ($p \le 0.05$).







46°C 51°C 54°C 46°C 51°C 54°C 46°C 51°C 54°C













C09MJLT205

RM1221