



HAL
open science

Different components of landscape complexity are necessary to preserve multiple taxonomic groups in intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes

P. Mallet, Arnaud Béchet, Thomas Galewski, Francois Mesleard, Samuel Hilaire, Gaëtan Lefebvre, Brigitte Poulin, Clélia Sirami

► To cite this version:

P. Mallet, Arnaud Béchet, Thomas Galewski, Francois Mesleard, Samuel Hilaire, et al.. Different components of landscape complexity are necessary to preserve multiple taxonomic groups in intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 2022, 328, 10.1016/j.agee.2022.107864 . hal-03582483

HAL Id: hal-03582483

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03582483>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Different components of landscape complexity are necessary to preserve multiple taxonomic
2 groups in intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes

3

4 **Pierre Mallet**^{1,2,3,4}, **Arnaud Béchet**², **Thomas Galewski**², **François Mesléard**^{2,3}, **Samuel**
5 **Hilaire**², **Gaëtan Lefebvre**², **Brigitte Poulin**² & **Clélia Sirami**⁴

6 1. ENGREF AgroParisTech, 75000, Paris, France

7 2. Tour du Valat, Research Institute for the Conservation of Mediterranean Wetlands, 13200,
8 Arles, France

9 3. Avignon University, Aix Marseille University, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, 84000, Avignon,
10 France

11 4. UMR Dynafor, INRAE, Toulouse University, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France

12

13 **Correspondence author :**

14 Name: Pierre Mallet

15 Adresse: Tour du Valat, institut de recherche pour la conservation des zones humides
16 méditerranéennes, Le Sambuc, 13200 Arles, France

17 E-mail: mallet@tourduvalat.org

18 Abstract

19 Increase in pesticide use and landscape homogenization are two key drivers of the decline in
20 farmland biodiversity. The effects of these two drivers are often complex, interactive and vary
21 among taxonomic groups. Our study tested the hypothesis that pesticide use influences the
22 effect of different components of landscape complexity on different taxonomic groups. We
23 sampled 32 rice paddy landscapes of 1*1 km in the Camargue (south of France), a wetland
24 internationally recognized as an exceptional biodiversity hotspot. We assessed the abundance
25 and diversity of 7 taxa (plants, bees, butterflies, hoverflies, carabids, spiders and birds) in 3 or
26 4 crop fields per landscape. For each landscape, we measured the length of different field
27 margins (ditch, grass strip, hedgerow), the proportion of semi-natural areas and crop diversity.
28 For each field, we measured management intensity by the treatment frequency index (TFI) of
29 pesticides. We then tested our hypothesis across 118 fields. Our results show that different
30 components of landscape complexity influence different taxonomic groups: crop diversity and
31 the length of ditches influence bee abundance and species richness, the proportion of semi-
32 natural areas influence spider abundance and species richness, and the length of grass strips
33 influences bird abundance. Moreover, we show that local pesticide use modulates these
34 effects, with more positive effects of landscape complexity in intensively-managed fields.
35 However, we found no interactive effect between landscape complexity and field
36 management for plants, butterflies, hoverflies and carabids. Our study therefore suggests that
37 different components of landscape complexity need to be maintained in order to preserve
38 multiple taxonomic groups. Moreover, it suggests that increasing landscape complexity is
39 particularly relevant to restore biodiversity in intensively-managed fields. Agricultural
40 policies should therefore support the simultaneous increase in semi-natural areas, field
41 margins length and crop diversity to conserve a wide range of taxonomic groups in
42 intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes.

43

44 **Keywords:** Agriculture, Biodiversity, Camargue, Landscape, Pesticides, Rice farming

45 1 Introduction

46 Agricultural intensification has led to a worldwide decrease in farmland biodiversity (Kleijn
47 et al., 2011; Rosenberg et al., 2019). Pesticides have been increasingly used to fight crop bio-
48 aggressors, with widespread deleterious effects on non-target species. A large body of
49 literature has shown a negative impact of pesticides on species through direct (contamination)
50 or indirect actions (e.g. by trophic disruption; e.g. Bengtsson et al., 2005; Hole et al., 2005).
51 Concomitantly, agricultural landscapes have become more homogeneous, with fewer patches
52 of semi-natural cover, larger fields, fewer field margins and a lower crop diversity (Krebs et
53 al., 1999; Tschamntke et al., 2005). This decrease in agricultural landscape complexity has
54 been identified as a key driver of farmland biodiversity loss (Benton et al., 2003).

55 The effects of pesticides and landscape complexity on biodiversity have however been
56 shown to vary greatly between studies, depending on the context or the taxonomic groups
57 considered (e.g. Karp et al., 2018; Put et al., 2018). For instance, an extensive meta-analysis
58 highlighted that organic farming had a positive effect on biodiversity in 68% of studies
59 whereas it had mixed, non-significant or negative effects in 32 % of studies (Tuomisto et al.,
60 2012). Several studies found that landscape complexity had a stronger influence on the
61 species richness of wild bees in intensively rather than in extensively-managed fields (Carrié
62 et al., 2017; Tuck et al., 2014). Another meta-analysis showed that landscape complexity had
63 a significant effect on bees and spiders but no effect on parasitoids and predatory beetles
64 (Shackelford et al., 2013).

65 Several hypotheses have been formulated to explain the variability in the effects of
66 pesticide use and landscape complexity on biodiversity. First, species or taxonomic groups
67 associated with distinct traits (e.g. mobility, diet, or breeding site preferences) may be
68 influenced by different components of landscape complexity (Fahrig et al., 2011; Martin et
69 al., 2019). For instance, a high proportion of semi-natural areas may provide nesting sites,

70 foraging resources or shelter for some species (Woltz et al., 2012) whereas a diversity of crop
71 types may provide continuous and complementary resources throughout the season for other
72 species (Smith et al., 2014). A second hypothesis arises from the fact that pesticides and
73 landscape complexity may have interactive effects on biodiversity (Batáry et al., 2011). For
74 instance, the value of semi-natural areas as a refuge may be particularly high for species
75 facing a high level of pesticide within crops (Klaus et al., 2021; Ricci et al., 2019; Smith et
76 al., 2020). Conversely, extensively-managed fields may provide sufficient resources for a
77 wide range of farmland species, which may therefore be little affected by an increase in the
78 amount of semi-natural areas (Carrié et al., 2017). For some species, extensively-managed
79 crop fields might even provide more abundant food resources than semi-natural areas
80 (Banaszak, 1992; Shaw et al., 2020), which could potentially lead to a negative effect of an
81 increase in semi-natural areas.

82 Interactive effects of pesticide use and landscape complexity on biodiversity have
83 mostly been evaluated by studies focusing on a single indicator of landscape complexity, the
84 percentage of semi-natural areas, and a limited number of taxa (e.g. Park et al., 2015;
85 Nicholson et al., 2017). Moreover, they have mostly been studied in dry agricultural systems
86 (e.g. Diekötter et al., 2010; Djoudi et al., 2018). Yet, rice crops represent 22.8 % of the world
87 cereal surface area (FAO, 2018). It is a flooded crop and is therefore one of the main crops in
88 wetland agricultural areas (Singh et al., 2001). Paddy landscapes are characterized by a high
89 density of irrigation and drainage ditches surrounding rice fields. As a result, ditches are the
90 predominant type of field margin in these landscapes, before grass strips and hedgerows,
91 which are usually dominant in other agricultural landscapes (Marshall and Moonen, 2002).
92 Despite the significant proportion of field margins in paddy landscapes, there is a lack of
93 knowledge on how pesticide use may affect their benefits on biodiversity.

94 In this paper, we evaluate the interactive effects of pesticide use and landscape
95 complexity on different taxonomic groups in the rice paddy landscapes of the Camargue. The
96 Camargue (Rhône delta) is internationally recognized as a biodiversity hotspot, listed in the
97 Ramsar Convention and classified as a Biosphere Reserve by UNESCO (Blondel et al., 2019).
98 While several studies have highlighted the negative effect of pesticides use on paddy
99 biodiversity in this area (Mesléard et al., 2005; Tourenq et al., 2003), it remains unclear how
100 landscape complexity resulting from the paddy structure intertwined with semi-natural areas
101 influences biodiversity, and whether pesticide use modulates these effects. We studied a wide
102 range of taxa (plants, bees, butterflies, hoverflies, carabids, spiders and birds). Following
103 studies conducted in a whole range of agricultural landscapes and synthesized above, we
104 predicted that pesticide use would have a negative effect on biodiversity, and that landscape
105 complexity would have a neutral effect on biodiversity in extensively-managed fields and a
106 positive effect in intensively-managed fields. Moreover, we hypothesized that distinct taxa
107 may respond to different components of landscape complexity, namely, the proportion of
108 semi-natural areas, the length of semi-natural linear elements (ditches, grass strips and
109 hedgerows) and crop diversity, depending on their traits. More specifically, we predicted that
110 mobile taxa (birds, pollinators) would be impacted by resources availability at large spatial-
111 level (semi-natural areas and crop diversity; Tschardt et al., 2012) while low-mobility taxa
112 (plants, carabids, spiders) would be impacted by local management (pesticides and field
113 margins; Smith et al., 2014).

114

115 2 Material and methods

116 2.1 Study area

117 Our study was conducted in the Regional Natural Park of the Camargue, located in the Rhone
118 delta (Southern France), where 56 000 ha of semi-natural habitats (mostly wetlands) are in
119 direct contact with 23 000 ha of farmland (PNRC, 2016). The Camargue is subject to a
120 Mediterranean climate with warm summers (average monthly temperature between May and
121 October above 15°C), as well as strong intra- and inter-annual variation in rainfall (from 240
122 to more than 1 200 during the last 40 years, average: 600 mm/year; Blondel et al., 2019). The
123 average altitude is 4 m, with the southern part of the delta being below sea level. These
124 physical characteristics result in a salinity gradient from north to south, cultivation being
125 possible in the less salty parts thanks to the supply of fresh water from the Rhône used to
126 flood rice fields. Rice is therefore the dominant crop, cultivated either in monoculture or in
127 rotation with wheat or alfalfa (Mouret, 2018). Ditches used to flood rice fields result in a
128 strong connectivity among rice fields, as well as between agricultural and semi-natural areas,
129 since semi-natural areas partly depend on the water drained from rice fields in the context of
130 river containment and rainfall reduction (Drobinski et al., 2020).

131

132 2.2 Landscape and site selection

133 We revisited data collected during the FarmLand project ([http://www.farmland-](http://www.farmland-biodiversity.org/)
134 [biodiversity.org/](http://www.farmland-biodiversity.org/); Sirami et al., 2019). We used land cover maps derived from the 2011 land
135 cover map of the Regional Natural Park of the Camargue (scale 1:5000). First, we selected all
136 1x1 km agricultural landscapes, i.e. those covered by at least 60 % of agricultural land
137 (including all crops and grasslands), but less than 90 % (in order to avoid extremely
138 simplified landscapes). Second, following the protocol developed by Pasher et al. (2013), we
139 selected a sample of 32 landscapes along uncorrelated gradients of crop diversity, assessed by

140 the Shannon diversity index of the crop covers types, and total length of field margins
141 (Alignier et al., 2020; Fig. 1).

142 Four sampling sites were selected in distinct crop fields within each landscape. A total of
143 eleven different crops types were sampled (rice, wheat, alfalfa, chickpeas, corn, grassland,
144 millet, mustard, rape, sorghum and tomatoes). Sampling sites were at least 200 m from each
145 other, at least 50 m from the border of the landscape, and at least 50 m from patches of non-
146 agricultural cover types such as forests and built infrastructures. As a result, we selected a
147 total of 128 crop fields.

148

149 2.3 Multi-taxa sampling

150 We sampled seven taxa distributed over a large spectrum of the farmland food web: plants,
151 bees, butterflies, hoverflies, carabids, spiders and birds (Appendix A). Sampling took place in
152 2013, in April and May in dry crop types, i.e. all crop types but rice, and between the end of
153 June and early August in rice fields, so that biodiversity surveys were conducted at a similar
154 stage of crop development. All taxa were recorded in three sampling sites within each
155 landscape, except birds, which were recorded in four sampling sites. Plants were recorded
156 along two 50-m long and 1-m large transects, one located along the field border and the other
157 in the field center, once in April for wheat and once in August for rice. Bees and hoverflies
158 were captured using pan traps, six along borders and six at the center, installed during four
159 days. The sampling was carried out twice during the growing season in all crop types (April –
160 July). During the same periods, pitfall traps were used to capture carabids and spiders only in
161 dry crops types. Butterflies were sampled along two 50-m long and 5-m large transects,
162 respectively located along the border and in the center of the field. Two repetitions were
163 realized in dry crop fields (April – May) and one in rice fields (July; including in the center of
164 flooded rice fields). Birds were sampled during 10-minutes point counts. Two point counts

165 were realized in April and May in dry crop fields and one in June for rice fields. Bird
166 individuals contacted beyond 100 meters and those observed in flight were removed from the
167 analyses to avoid including birds only in transit between two areas located outside the
168 sampling sites. For the specific sampling protocol of each taxa, please refer to the supporting
169 information SI 3 of Sirami et al. (2019). For all taxa, we considered species richness and
170 abundance per field as proxies of biodiversity (Gotelli and Colwell, 2001). We selected the
171 maximum abundance per species between the two visits for each field. We calculated the
172 coverage of our sampling, which is defined as the proportion of the total number of
173 individuals in an assemblage that belong to the species present in the sample (Chao & Jost
174 2012). All taxa but one (carabids) were associated with a sampling coverage above 78%
175 (Appendix B). However, for all taxa, coverage index was not correlated with any explanatory
176 variable (Pearson coefficient < 0.4). Although this analysis suggests that results for carabids
177 should be treated with caution, it confirms that this under-sampling does not represent a
178 potential bias in our study.

179

180 2.4 Landscape and field variables

181 We conducted extensive ground-truthing surveys during the 2013 field season to map all
182 fields, linear elements between adjacent fields, and semi-natural covers. We then measured
183 the length of the three types of field margins: (1) hedgerows, which correspond to tree lines
184 composed by tamarisk *Tamarix gallica* and other deciduous shrubs; (2) grass strips, which are
185 herbaceous plants in the field margin including grassy vehicle tracks used for agricultural
186 purposes; (3) ditches, which are colonized by common reed *Phragmites australis* and often
187 covered by the invasive primrose-willow *Ludwigia peploides*. Complex field margins, i.e.
188 those including more than one the above listed field margin type, were affected to the
189 dominant type of semi-natural linear element. We measured the proportion of semi-natural

190 areas (*Nat_Cover*), composed of wetlands, extensive grasslands, woodland and field margins.
191 We measured the Shannon diversity index of crops ($Crop_SHDI = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln p_i$, where p_i
192 corresponds to the proportion of crop cover type i in the landscape).

193 For each of the 128 fields, we collected information on the use of fertilizers and
194 chemical pesticides by interviewing farmers. This information could not be obtained for 10 of
195 the 128 fields. We thus calculated a treatment frequency index (TFI) of pesticide use for 118
196 fields (74 rice, 33 wheat and 11 other dry crops) as follows: $TFI = (\sum_{i=1}^n (Applied\ dose\ i *
197 Treated\ area)) / (Advised\ dose * Total\ field\ surface)$ where *Applied dose i* is the used
198 dose of pesticide i relative to the *advised dose* reported on the E-phy portal
199 (<https://ephy.anses.fr>) of the French agency for food, environmental and occupational health
200 and safety (Anses). We added one additional point of TFI when seeds were coated. TFI is a
201 standard index to synthesize the pesticide treatment intensity of a field, and to compare land-
202 use intensity among fields (Brunet et al., 2008). Organic fields have a TFI equal to zero, as in
203 the Camargue they do not receive any pesticides, either chemical or non-chemical. We
204 controlled the correlation between TFI and fertilizers to avoid confounding effects (Pearson
205 coefficient < 0.64; Appendix C).

206

207 2.5 Data analysis

208 We used linear mixed-effects models to assess how landscape complexity modulates the
209 effect of pesticide on our two response variables: abundance and species richness of each taxa
210 measured at the field level. Fixed effects were the length of hedgerows, grass strips and
211 ditches (respectively *Hedgerow*, *Grass_strip* and *Ditch*), the percentage of semi-natural areas
212 (*Nat_Cover*), crop diversity (*Crop_SHDI*) and pesticide use (*TFI*) as well as interaction terms
213 between TFI and landscape variables. To further explore the nature of these interactions, we
214 used the Johnson-Neyman technique which identifies the region of significance (Bauer and

215 Curran, 2005). All explanatory variables were centered and scaled. We took into account the
216 potential impact of crop type, spatial autocorrelation, and the partially nested structure (due to
217 several fields being sampled in the same landscape) by including crop type and landscape id
218 as random effects in the model.

219 We ran models with all combinations of effects which could be derived from this general
220 model using the dredge function in R (Barton, 2020), and we ranked models using the Akaike
221 information criterion (AIC_c; Burnham & Anderson, 2002). Then, we averaged parameters of
222 models with a delta AIC_c smaller than two. We discussed the effect of variables when the
223 confidence intervals of their estimate did not include 0. The same modeling procedure was
224 used for abundance and species richness as response variables. Birds, hoverflies, bee and
225 spider species richness variables were square root transformed to fit a normal distribution.
226 Species richness was modelled with a Gaussian or a Poisson distribution for butterflies. Initial
227 models for abundance assumed a Poisson error distribution with a log link function. When
228 residuals data showed overdispersion, models were refitted with a negative binomial error
229 distribution and a logit link (Appendix D). Statistical analyses were run using lme4 (Bates et
230 al., 2015), MuMin (Barton, 2020), entropart (Marcon and Hérault, 2015) and interaction
231 packages (Long, 2019) in R 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2017).

232

233 3 Results

234 The dominant type of field margins was ditches (median = 5 659 m per km²;
235 range: [2 404; 10 851]), followed by grass strips (median = 2 806 m; range: [1 160; 7 513])
236 and hedgerows (median = 435 m; range: [0; 5 473]). Semi-natural areas covered on average
237 21.0 ± 0.69 % of landscapes (median = 20 %; range: [11; 39]). Crop diversity was on average
238 0.74 ± 0.04 (median = 0.69; range: [0; 1.5]). Organic farming (TFI = 0) represented 25.6 % of
239 sampled fields. Average TFI in conventional crop fields was 3.4 ± 0.15. Herbicides were the

240 main treatment type used in conventional crop fields and represented 64.7 % of the total TFI,
241 whereas fungicides and insecticides represented respectively 13.5 % and 3.4 %. Coated seeds
242 were used in nearly half of sampled conventional fields and represented 18.4 % of the total
243 TFI. There was no strong correlation among explanatory variables since all Pearson
244 correlation coefficients were under 0.5 (Appendix C). Due to protocol constraints, the number
245 of sampled sites varied among taxa, ranging from 28 sites for carabid and spiders, to 118 sites
246 for birds (Appendix E). We recorded a total of 506 species for a total number of 18 374
247 individuals belonging to the seven considered taxa (Appendix E).

248 TFI was selected in 12 of the 15 models, with either a negative or a non-significant
249 effect on response variables (Table 1). Landscape variables were selected in all models but
250 one. Different taxa were influenced by different components of landscape complexity, with
251 either positive, negative or non-significant effects.

252 Interactive terms between TFI and landscape variables were selected in 7 of the 15
253 models, with either positive or non-significant interactive effects. Crop diversity had a
254 negative effect on bee abundance when TFI was low and no effect when TFI was high (Fig. 2
255 A; Appendix F; Fig. F.1). The length of ditches had a negative effect on bee species richness
256 when TFI was low and a positive effect when TFI was high (Fig. 2 B; Appendix F; Fig. F.2).
257 The proportion of semi-natural areas had no effect on spider abundance and richness when
258 TFI was low and a positive effect when TFI was high (spider abundance Fig. 2 C, and spider
259 richness Fig. 2 D; Appendix F; Fig. F.3 and F.4). The length of grass strips had no effect on
260 bird abundance when TFI was low and a positive effect when TFI was high (Fig. 2 E; Table 1;
261 Appendix F; Fig. F.5).

262 We did not detect any interaction effect between TFI and landscape complexity for
263 carabids, hoverflies and plants. However, we detected a significant effect of several landscape
264 variables or TFI on all these taxa, except plants. The length of hedgerows had a negative

265 effect on hoverfly species richness ($\beta = -0.10, \pm 0.04$; Table 1). The proportion of semi-
266 natural areas had a negative effect on carabid abundance and species richness ($\beta = -0.36, \pm$
267 0.15 and $\beta = -1.27, \pm 0.54$; Table 1). Crop diversity had a negative effect on carabid
268 abundance ($\beta = -0.34, \pm 0.16$; Table 1).

269

270 4 Discussion

271 Our study shows that the effect of landscape complexity varies depending on local
272 management and different taxa respond to different components of landscape complexity.
273 Moreover, we show that, for bees, birds and spiders, pesticide use modulates the effects of
274 landscape complexity, with positive or neutral effects in intensively-managed fields, and
275 neutral or negative effects in extensively-managed fields. However, differences in the level of
276 mobility of the different taxa did not explain differences in the way they responded to
277 landscape complexity and local management.

278 Our results are in agreement with previous studies that highlighted an interactive effect
279 between pesticide use and landscape complexity, measured as the proportion of semi-natural
280 areas, for birds (Batáry et al., 2010; Dänhardt et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2010), bees
281 (Nicholson et al., 2017; Park et al., 2015; Rundlöf et al., 2008), spiders (Djoudi et al., 2018;
282 Schmidt et al., 2005) and other farmland taxa (e.g. plants: Rader et al., 2014; butterfly:
283 Rundlöf and Smith, 2006; small mammals: Fischer et al., 2011a). However, we show that the
284 proportion of semi-natural areas is not necessarily the component of landscape complexity
285 that contributes to interactive effects between pesticide use and landscape complexity.

286 In intensively-managed fields, we found that spider abundance and species richness
287 are favored by the proportion of semi-natural areas and that bird abundance and bee species
288 richness increase with the length of semi-natural linear elements, grass strips and ditches
289 respectively. However, in extensively-managed fields, these same elements have no effect on

290 these taxa or even have a negative effect (for bee species richness). The positive interactive
291 effect between pesticide use and semi-natural patches or linear elements is consistent with the
292 fact that they provide easily accessible resources and undisturbed environment favorable to a
293 large panel of species occurring in intensively-managed fields (Alignier et al., 2020; Duru et
294 al., 2015). Semi-natural patches and linear elements play thus a stronger role as a source or
295 refuge for species facing a high level of pesticide use within crops (Klaus et al., 2021; Ricci et
296 al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020). Similarly, our observations in extensively-managed fields are in
297 line with the hypothesis that these fields provide sufficient resources for a wide range of
298 farmland species, and an increase in the amount of semi-natural areas may have little effect, if
299 any, in this context (Carrié et al., 2017). The negative effect of ditch length on bee species
300 richness could be due to the lower water consumption of organic farming compared to
301 conventional farming, with therefore less available wet material for solitary bee nest building
302 (Krunić et al., 2005; Westrich, 1996). We also found a significant interaction between crop
303 diversity and pesticide use, with a negative effect of crop diversity on bee abundance in
304 extensively-managed fields and no effect in intensively-managed fields. This result is
305 consistent with the ‘minimum total habitat area requirement’ hypothesis, which states that
306 biodiversity decreases with crop diversity if most species require large amounts of a single
307 crop type (Sirami et al., 2019). Moreover, it suggests that this mechanism only applies when
308 pesticide use is low enough.

309 Our prediction that land-use intensity would have a negative effect on biodiversity was
310 only partially validated. Indeed, we observed a higher spider abundance and species richness
311 in intensively-managed fields than in extensively-managed ones. Possible explanations for
312 this result include the fact that most spider species are relatively tolerant to pesticides (Pekár
313 & Beneš, 2008; Pekár, 2012; Wang et al., 2006) and that feeding resources for spiders may be
314 higher in conventional fields than in organic fields, as was show for the Chironomidae

315 biomass, which is higher in conventional fields than in organic rice fields (Suhling et al.,
316 2000). Other studies have observed a positive effect of land use intensity on species richness,
317 including the recent meta-analysis on 6 taxa conducted by Millard et al. (2021). As this
318 positive effect is particularly strong for Dipteran, the authors hypothesize that it may be due to
319 the positive effect of fertilizer on this taxa (Millard et al., 2021). Variations in species
320 richness and abundance of different taxonomic groups in response to landscape complexity
321 and field management intensity are likely to result in variations in ecosystem services
322 (support, regulation, cultural, Dainese et al., 2019; Tschardt et al., 2021).

323 Our results also provide a new possible explanation for the lack of interactive effect
324 between local management and landscape complexity observed in some studies (e.g. Brittain
325 et al., 2010; Winqvist et al., 2011). A reason often proposed for the lack of interactive effect is
326 that studies did not consider landscape gradients large enough to include the threshold at
327 which interactions between local management and landscape complexity may appear.
328 However, most studies on the interactive effects between local management and landscape
329 complexity consider only a limited set of taxa and use the proportion of semi-natural areas in
330 the landscape as the sole indicator of landscape complexity (e.g. Clough et al., 2005; Fischer
331 et al., 2011b; Winqvist et al., 2011). By showing that different components of landscape
332 complexity influence different taxa and that interactive effects between pesticide use and
333 landscape complexity differ depending on these distinct components, our study suggests that
334 the inability of some studies (including the present one) to observe interactive effects between
335 local management and landscape complexity may derive from the fact that they focused on
336 inadequate landscape variables.

337 In the present study, we did not detect any interactive effect between pesticide use and
338 landscape complexity on plants, hoverflies, butterflies, and carabids. As suggested above, this
339 could be due to the absence of relevant explanatory variables such as the amount of flowering

340 resources for butterflies or hoverflies (Goulson et al., 2015; Uhl and Brühl, 2019) or the
341 amount of fertilization for plants (Wang et al., 2019). Our results contrast with studies that
342 found interactions between pesticide use and the proportion of semi-natural cover for some of
343 the taxa we studied (e.g. plants Rader et al., 2014; butterfly: Rundlöf and Smith, 2006). In
344 these studies, semi-natural areas are composed of forests and grasslands, whereas Camargue
345 is characterized by the presence of atypical semi-natural cover types such as wetlands or
346 *sansouïres* (i.e. salted grasslands of *Salicornia sp.*), which host a very specific biodiversity.
347 The effect of these atypical semi-natural cover types on biodiversity observed in crop fields
348 may therefore be very different from the effect of forests and grasslands. This could explain
349 why we did not observe interactive effects found in other regions. Our inability to detect
350 interactive effects could also be due to the fact that some landscape variables were not
351 associated with a gradient large enough to detect significant effects. This could for instance be
352 the case for the three field margins because we selected landscapes along a gradient based on
353 the total length of all semi-natural linear elements and later split these semi-natural elements
354 into three. To explore whether this impeded our ability to detect significant interactions
355 between pesticide use and landscape complexity, we performed an additional analysis, using
356 the total length of all field margins as an explanatory variable instead of the three variables
357 corresponding to the three types of field margins. However, this complementary analysis did
358 not show more robust interaction effects between landscape complexity and pesticide use
359 (Appendix H).

360

361 5 Conclusion, and conservation implications and future directions for 362 cultivation in wetland areas

363 Our results show that increasing the length of grass strips and ditches, the proportion of semi-
364 natural areas, as well as crop diversity, is necessary to maintain multiple taxonomic groups in

365 intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes. Here, we focused on the role of three main types
366 of field margins (hedgerows, grass strips and ditches). It is however important to note that
367 field margins in paddy landscapes are often wide and complex. They are wide because of the
368 presence of irrigation ditches, which must be separated from the cultivated zone to avoid
369 damaging them. Several types of vegetation can therefore co-occur on the same field margin.
370 For the sake of simplicity, we categorized field margins as hedgerows or grass trips depending
371 on the dominant type of vegetation found along the margins or as ditches when vegetation
372 was mostly absent. Since different types of vegetation are likely to play a key role for
373 different taxa, further studies should investigate in more details the role of such complex field
374 margins to improve recommendations for their management. In particular, this could help to
375 propose specific agri-environmental schemes for rice producers. Indeed, current field margin
376 management often aims at simplifying them by burning and crushing the vegetation along the
377 ditches.

378 In rice paddy landscapes, drainage ditches connect rice fields to adjacent wetlands. As
379 a result, high concentrations of pesticides used for rice cultivation are likely to be found in
380 adjacent natural wetlands, as this was the case few years ago in the study area (S.N.P.N.,
381 2013). The negative effect of pesticides on biodiversity are therefore coherent with the call
382 from managers of the Camargue Regional Natural Park to encourage ecological alternatives to
383 the use of pesticides. One possible avenue is to explore landscape management that promotes
384 ecosystem services that could limit the need for pesticide use (e.g. weed control by birds;
385 Pernollet et al., 2015, pest control by insects; Mesléard et al., 2005).

386 **Acknowledgements**

387 We are grateful to Nathalie Patry, Nicole Yavercovski, Loïc Willm, Julien Vasseur, Jérôme
388 Hosselet, Melissa Aguilera, Amélie Lacroix and Mélanie Giguère for field assistance. We are
389 indebted to all farmers and landowners who graciously permitted us to work in their fields.

390

391 **Funding**

392 This research was funded by the ERA-Net BiodivERsA, with the national funders French
393 National Research Agency (ANR-11-EBID-0004), German Ministry of Research and
394 Education, German Research Foundation and Spanish Ministry of Economy and
395 Competitiveness, part of the 2011 BiodivERsA call for research proposals. It also received the
396 support of the French Ministry of Agriculture [2019-2021] and the company “Alpina-Savoie”
397 [2019-2021].

398 **References**

- 399 Alignier, A., Solé-Senan, X.O., Robleño, I., Baraibar, B., Fahrig, L., Giralt, D., Gross, N.,
400 Martin, J.L., Recasens, J., Sirami, C., Siriwardena, G., Bøsem Baillod, A., Bertrand, C.,
401 Carrié, R., Hass, A., Henckel, L., Miguet, P., Badenhausser, I., Baudry, J., Bota, G.,
402 Bretagnolle, V., Brotons, L., Burel, F., Calatayud, F., Clough, Y., Georges, R., Gibon,
403 A., Girard, J., Lindsay, K., Minano, J., Mitchell, S., Patry, N., Poulin, B., Tschardtke, T.,
404 Vialatte, A., Violle, C., Yaverscovski, N., Batáry, P., 2020. Configurational crop
405 heterogeneity increases within-field plant diversity. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 57, 654–663.
406 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13585>
- 407 Banaszak, J., 1992. Strategy for conservation of wild bees in an agricultural landscape. *Agric.*
408 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 40, 179–192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809\(92\)90091-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(92)90091-O)
- 409 Barton, K., 2020. MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.43.6.
- 410 Batáry, P., Fischer, J., Báldi, A., Crist, T., Tschardtke, T., 2011. Does habitat heterogeneity
411 increase farmland biodiversity? *Front Ecol Env.* 9, 152–153.
- 412 Batáry, P., Matthiesen, T., Tschardtke, T., 2010. Landscape-moderated importance of hedges
413 in conserving farmland bird diversity of organic vs. conventional croplands and
414 grasslands. *Biol. Conserv.* 143, 2020–2027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.05.005>
- 415 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B.M., Walker, S.C., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
416 using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* 67. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>
- 417 Bauer, D.J., Curran, P.J., 2005. Probing interactions in fixed and multilevel regression:
418 Inferential and graphical techniques. *Multivariate Behav. Res.* 40, 373–400.
419 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr4003_5
- 420 Bengtsson, J., Ahnström, J., Weibull, A.C., 2005. The effects of organic agriculture on
421 biodiversity and abundance: A meta-analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42, 261–269.
422 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01005.x>

423 Benton, T.G., Vickery, J.A., Wilson, J.D., 2003. Farmland biodiversity: Is habitat
424 heterogeneity the key? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169->
425 5347(03)00011-9

426 Blondel, J., Barruol, G., Vianet, R., 2019. *L'Encyclopédie de la Camargue*, 2nd edn. ed.
427 Buchet-Chastel, Paris.

428 Brittain, C., Bommarco, R., Vighi, M., Settele, J., Potts, S.G., 2010. Organic farming in
429 isolated landscapes does not benefit flower-visiting insects and pollination. *Biol.*
430 *Conserv.* 143, 1860–1867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.04.029>

431 Brunet, N., Guichard, L., Omon, B., Pingault, N., Pley-Ber, E., Seiler, A., 2008. L'indicateur
432 de fréquence de traitement (ITF): un indicateur pour une utilisation durable des
433 pesticides. *Le Courr. l'environnement l'Inra* 56.

434 Burnham, D.R., Anderson, K.P., 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical
435 information-theoretic approach.

436 Carrié, R., Andrieu, E., Ouin, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2017. Interactive effects of landscape-
437 wide intensity of farming practices and landscape complexity on wild bee diversity.
438 *Landsc. Ecol.* 32, 1631–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-017-0530-y>

439 Chao, A., Jost, L., 2012. Coverage-based rarefaction and extrapolation: Standardizing samples
440 by completeness rather than size. *Ecology* 93, 2533–2547. <https://doi.org/10.1890/11->
441 1952.1

442 Clough, Y., Kruess, A., Kleijn, D., Tscharrntke, T., 2005. Spider diversity in cereal fields:
443 Comparing factors at local, landscape and regional scales. *J. Biogeogr.* 32, 2007–2014.
444 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01367.x>

445 Dainese, M., Martin, E.A., Aizen, M.A., Albrecht, M., Bartomeus, I., Bommarco, R.,
446 Carvalheiro, L.G., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Gagic, V., Garibaldi, L.A., Ghazoul, J., Grab, H.,
447 Jonsson, M., Karp, D.S., Kennedy, C.M., Kleijn, D., Kremen, C., Landis, D.A.,

448 Letourneau, D.K., Marini, L., Poveda, K., Rader, R., Smith, H.G., Tschardt, T.,
449 Andersson, G.K.S., Badenhausser, I., Baensch, S., Bezerra, A.D.M., Bianchi, F.J.J.A.,
450 Boreux, V., Bretagnolle, V., Caballero-Lopez, B., Cavigliasso, P., Četković, A., Chacoff,
451 N.P., Classen, A., Cusser, S., Da Silva E Silva, F.D., Arjen De Groot, G., Dudenhöffer,
452 J.H., Ekroos, J., Fijen, T., Franck, P., Freitas, B.M., Garratt, M.P.D., Gratton, C.,
453 Hipólito, J., Holzschuh, A., Hunt, L., Iverson, A.L., Jha, S., Keasar, T., Kim, T.N.,
454 Kishinevsky, M., Klatt, B.K., Klein, A.M., Krewenka, K.M., Krishnan, S., Larsen, A.E.,
455 Lavigne, C., Liere, H., Maas, B., Mallinger, R.E., Pachon, E.M., Martínez-Salinas, A.,
456 Meehan, T.D., Mitchell, M.G.E., Molina, G.A.R., Nesper, M., Nilsson, L., O'Rourke,
457 M.E., Peters, M.K., Plečaš, M., Potts, S.G., Ramos, D. de L., Rosenheim, J.A., Rundlöf,
458 M., Rusch, A., Sáez, A., Scheper, J., Schleuning, M., Schmack, J.M., Sciligo, A.R.,
459 Seymour, C., Stanley, D.A., Stewart, R., Stout, J.C., Sutter, L., Takada, M.B., Taki, H.,
460 Tamburini, G., Tschumi, M., Viana, B.F., Westphal, C., Willcox, B.K., Wratten, S.D.,
461 Yoshioka, A., Zaragoza-Trello, C., Zhang, W., Zou, Y., Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2019. A
462 global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production. *Sci. Adv.* 5,
463 eaax0121. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax0121>

464 Dänhardt, Juliana, Green, Martin, Lindström, Åke, Rundlöf, Maj, Smith, Henrik G, Dänhardt,
465 J, Green, M, Lindström, Å, Rundlöf, M, Smith, H G, 2010. Farmland as stopover habitat
466 for migrating birds-effects of organic farming and landscape structure. *Oikos* 119, 1114–
467 1125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.18106.x>

468 Diekötter, T., Wamser, S., Wolters, V., Birkhofer, K., 2010. Landscape and management
469 effects on structure and function of soil arthropod communities in winter wheat. *Agric.*
470 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 137, 108–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.02.006>

471 Djoudi, E.A., Marie, A., Mangenot, A., Puech, C., Aviron, S., Plantegenest, M., Pétilion, J.,
472 2018. Farming system and landscape characteristics differentially affect two dominant

473 taxa of predatory arthropods. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 259, 98–110.
474 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.02.031>

475 Drobinski, P., Da Silva, N., Bastin, S., Mailler, S., Muller, C., Ahrens, B., Christensen, O.B.,
476 Lionello, P., 2020. How warmer and drier will the Mediterranean region be at the end of
477 the twenty-first century? *Reg. Environ. Chang.* 20. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-020-01659-w)
478 01659-w

479 Duru, M., Therond, O., Martin, G., Martin-Clouaire, R., Magne, M.-A., Justes, E., Journet, E.-
480 P., Aubertot, J.-N., Savary, S., Bergez, J.-E., Sarthou, J.P., 2015. How to implement
481 biodiversity-based agriculture to enhance ecosystem services: a review. *Agron. Sustain.*
482 *Dev.* 35, 1259–1281. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0306-1>

483 Fahrig, L., Baudry, J., Brotons, L., Burel, F.G., Crist, T.O., Fuller, R.J., Sirami, C.,
484 Siriwardena, G.M., Martin, J.L., 2011. Functional landscape heterogeneity and animal
485 biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 101–112.
486 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01559.x>

487 FAO, 2018. FAO Statistical databases [WWW Document]. Food Agric. Organ. United
488 Nations. URL <http://www.fao.org> (accessed 4.3.19).

489 Fischer, C., Thies, C., Tschardtke, T., 2011a. Mixed effects of landscape complexity and
490 farming practice on weed seed removal. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 13, 297–303.
491 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.08.001>

492 Fischer, C., Thies, C., Tschardtke, T., 2011b. Small mammals in agricultural landscapes:
493 opposing responses to farming practices and landscape complexity. *Biol. Conserv.* 144,
494 1130–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.12.032>

495 Gotelli, N.J., Colwell, R.K., 2001. Quantifying biodiversity: Procedures and pitfalls in the
496 measurement and comparison of species richness. *Ecol. Lett.*
497 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00230.x>

498 Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C., Rotheray, E.L., 2015. Bee declines driven by combined
499 Stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science* (80-.).
500 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255957>

501 Hole, D.G., Perkins, A.J., Wilson, J.D., Alexander, I.H., Grice, P.V., Evans, A.D., 2005. Does
502 organic farming benefit biodiversity? *Biol. Conserv.* 122, 113–130.
503 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.07.018>

504 Karp, D.S., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Meehan, T.D., Martin, E.A., DeClerck, F., Grab, H., Gratton,
505 C., Hunt, L., Larsen, A.E., Martínez-Salinas, A., O'Rourke, M.E., Rusch, A., Poveda, K.,
506 Jonsson, M., Rosenheim, J.A., Schellhorn, N.A., Tscharrntke, T., Wratten, S.D., Zhang,
507 W., Iverson, A.L., Adler, L.S., Albrecht, M., Alignier, A., Angelella, G.M., Anjum,
508 M.Z., Avelino, J., Batáry, P., Baveco, J.M., Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Birkhofer, K.,
509 Bohnenblust, E.W., Bommarco, R., Brewer, M.J., Caballero-López, B., Carrière, Y.,
510 Carvalheiro, L.G., Cayuela, L., Centrella, M., Četković, A., Henri, D.C., Chabert, A.,
511 Costamagna, A.C., De la Mora, A., de Kraker, J., Desneux, N., Diehl, E., Diekötter, T.,
512 Dormann, C.F., Eckberg, J.O., Entling, M.H., Fiedler, D., Franck, P., van Veen, F.J.F.,
513 Frank, T., Gagic, V., Garratt, M.P.D., Getachew, A., Gonthier, D.J., Goodell, P.B.,
514 Graziosi, I., Groves, R.L., Gurr, G.M., Hajian-Forooshani, Z., Heimpel, G.E., Herrmann,
515 J.D., Huseeth, A.S., Inclán, D.J., Ingraio, A.J., Iv, P., Jacot, K., Johnson, G.A., Jones, L.,
516 Kaiser, M., Kaser, J.M., Keasar, T., Kim, T.N., Kishinevsky, M., Landis, D.A.,
517 Lavandero, B., Lavigne, C., Le Ralec, A., Lemessa, D., Letourneau, D.K., Liere, H., Lu,
518 Y., Lubin, Y., Luttermoser, T., Maas, B., Mace, K., Madeira, F., Mader, V., Cortesero,
519 A.M., Marini, L., Martinez, E., Martinson, H.M., Menozzi, P., Mitchell, M.G.E.,
520 Miyashita, T., Molina, G.A.R., Molina-Montenegro, M.A., O'Neal, M.E., Opatovsky, I.,
521 Ortiz-Martinez, S., Nash, M., Östman, Ö., Ouin, A., Pak, D., Paredes, D., Parsa, S.,
522 Parry, H., Perez-Alvarez, R., Perović, D.J., Peterson, J.A., Petit, S., Philpott, S.M.,

523 Plantegenest, M., Plećas, M., Pluess, T., Pons, X., Potts, S.G., Pywell, R.F., Ragsdale,
524 D.W., Rand, T.A., Raymond, L., Ricci, B., Sargent, C., Sarthou, J.P., Saulais, J.,
525 Schäckermann, J., Schmidt, N.P., Schneider, G., Schüepp, C., Sivakoff, F.S., Smith,
526 H.G., Whitney, K.S., Stutz, S., Szendrei, Z., Takada, M.B., Taki, H., Tamburini, G.,
527 Thomson, L.J., Tricault, Y., Tsafack, N., Tschumi, M., Valantin-Morison, M., van Trinh,
528 M., van der Werf, W., Vierling, K.T., Werling, B.P., Wickens, J.B., Wickens, V.J.,
529 Woodcock, B.A., Wyckhuys, K., Xiao, H., Yasuda, M., Yoshioka, A., Zou, Y., 2018.
530 Crop pests and predators exhibit inconsistent responses to surrounding landscape
531 composition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E7863–E7870.
532 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800042115>

533 Klaus, F., Tschamntke, T., Bischoff, G., Grass, I., 2021. Floral resource diversification
534 promotes solitary bee reproduction and may offset insecticide effects – evidence from a
535 semi-field experiment. *Ecol. Lett.* 24, 668–675. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13683>

536 Kleijn, D., Rundlöf, M., Scheper, J., Smith, H., Tschamntke, T., 2011. Does conservation on
537 farmland contribute to halting the biodiversity decline? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
538 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.05.009>

539 Krebs, J.R., Wilson, J.D., Bradbury, R.B., Siriwardena, G.M., 1999. The second silent spring?
540 *Nature.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/23127>

541 Krunić, M., Stanisavljević, L., Pinzauti, M., Felicioli, A., 2005. The accompanying fauna of
542 *Osmia cornuta* and *Osmia rufa* and effective measures of protection. *Bull. Insectology*
543 58, 141–152.

544 Long, J.A., 2019. Interactions: Comprehensive, User-Friendly Toolkit for Probing
545 Interactions. R package version 1.1.5.

546 Marcon, E., Hérault, B., 2015. Entropart: An R package to measure and partition diversity. *J.*
547 *Stat. Softw.* 67. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i08>

548 Marshall, E.J.P., Moonen, A.C., 2002. Field margins in northern Europe: Their functions and
549 interactions with agriculture. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 89, 5–21.
550 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(01\)00315-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00315-2)

551 Martin, E.A., Dainese, M., Clough, Y., Báldi, A., Bommarco, R., Gagic, V., Garratt, M.P.,
552 Holzschuh, A., Kleijn, D., Kovács-Hostyánszki, A., Marini, L., Potts, S.G., Smith, H.G.,
553 Al Hassan, D., Albrecht, M., Andersson, G.K., Asís, J.D., Aviron, S., Balzan, M. V.,
554 Baños-Picón, L., Bartomeus, I., Batáry, P., Burel, F., Caballero-López, B., Concepción,
555 E.D., Coudrain, V., Dänhardt, J., Diaz, M., Diekötter, T., Dormann, C.F., Duflot, R.,
556 Entling, M.H., Farwig, N., Fischer, C., Frank, T., Garibaldi, L.A., Hermann, J., Herzog,
557 F., Inclán, D., Jacot, K., Jauker, F., Jeanneret, P., Kaiser, M., Krauss, J., Le Féon, V.,
558 Marshall, J., Moonen, A.C., Moreno, G., Riedinger, V., Rundlöf, M., Rusch, A.,
559 Scheper, J., Schneider, G., Schüepp, C., Stutz, S., Sutter, L., Tamburini, G., Thies, C.,
560 Tormos, J., Tschardt, T., Tschumi, M., Uzman, D., Wagner, C., Zubair-Anjum, M.,
561 Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2019. The interplay of landscape composition and configuration:
562 new pathways to manage functional biodiversity and agroecosystem services across
563 Europe. *Ecol. Lett.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13265>

564 Mesléard, F., Garnero, S., Beck, N., Rosecchi, É., 2005. Uselessness and indirect negative
565 effects of an insecticide on rice field invertebrates. *Comptes Rendus - Biol.* 328, 955–
566 962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2005.09.003>

567 Millard, J., Outhwaite, C.L., Kinnersley, R., Freeman, R., Gregory, R.D., Adedjoja, O.,
568 Gavini, S., Kioko, E., Kuhlmann, M., Ollerton, J., Ren, Z.X., Newbold, T., 2021. Global
569 effects of land-use intensity on local pollinator biodiversity. *Nat. Commun.* 12.
570 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23228-3>

571 Mouret, J.-C., 2018. Les exigences de la conduite d'une rizière en Camargue, in: Cardère
572 (Ed.), *Le Riz et La Camargue. Vers Des Agrosystèmes Durables.* pp. 109–124.

573 Nicholson, C., Koh, I., Richardson, L., Beauchemin, A., Ricketts, T., 2017. Farm and
574 landscape factors interact to affect the supply of pollination services. Elsevier.
575 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.08.030>

576 Park, M.G., Blitzer, E.J., Gibbs, J., Losey, J.E., Danforth, B.N., 2015. Negative effects of
577 pesticides on wild bee communities can be buffered by landscape context. Proc. R. Soc.
578 B Biol. Sci. 282. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0299>

579 Pasher, J., Mitchell, S.W., King, D.J., Fahrig, L., Smith, A.C., Lindsay, K.E., 2013.
580 Optimizing landscape selection for estimating relative effects of landscape variables on
581 ecological responses. Landsc. Ecol. 28, 371–383. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-013-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-013-9852-6)
582 [9852-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-013-9852-6)

583 Pekár, S., 2012. Spiders (Araneae) in the pesticide world: An ecotoxicological review. Pest
584 Manag. Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3397>

585 Pekár, S., Beneš, J., 2008. Aged pesticide residues are detrimental to agrobiont spiders
586 (Araneae). J. Appl. Entomol. 132, 614–622. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2008.01294.x)
587 [0418.2008.01294.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2008.01294.x)

588 Pernollet, C.A., Simpson, D., Gauthier-Clerc, M., Guillemain, M., 2015. Rice and duck, a
589 good combination? Identifying the incentives and triggers for joint rice farming and wild
590 duck conservation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.08.018>

591 PNRC, 2016. L'occupation du sol en Camargue, état 2016 et son évolution depuis 2001
592 [WWW Document]. URL [http://geo.pnrpaca.org/geoservices/decouvrir-les-parcs/des-](http://geo.pnrpaca.org/geoservices/decouvrir-les-parcs/des-parcs-et-des-projets/occupation-du-sol-en-camargue-etat-2016-et-son-evolution-depuis-2001/)
593 [parcs-et-des-projets/occupation-du-sol-en-camargue-etat-2016-et-son-evolution-depuis-](http://geo.pnrpaca.org/geoservices/decouvrir-les-parcs/des-parcs-et-des-projets/occupation-du-sol-en-camargue-etat-2016-et-son-evolution-depuis-2001/)
594 [2001/](http://geo.pnrpaca.org/geoservices/decouvrir-les-parcs/des-parcs-et-des-projets/occupation-du-sol-en-camargue-etat-2016-et-son-evolution-depuis-2001/) (accessed 4.9.20).

595 Put, J.E., Mitchell, G.W., Fahrig, L., 2018. Higher bat and prey abundance at organic than
596 conventional soybean fields. Biol. Conserv. 226, 177–185.
597 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.06.021>

598 R Core Team, 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.

599 Rader, R., Birkhofer, K., Schmucki, R., Smith, H.G., Stjernman, M., Lindborg, R., 2014.
600 Organic farming and heterogeneous landscapes positively affect different measures of
601 plant diversity. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 51, 1544–1553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12344>

602 Ricci, B., Lavigne, C., Alignier, A., Aviron, S., Biju-Duval, L., Bouvier, J.C., Choisis, J.P.,
603 Franck, P., Joannon, A., Ladet, S., Mezerette, F., Plantegenest, M., Savary, G., Thomas,
604 C., Vialatte, A., Petit, S., 2019. Local pesticide use intensity conditions landscape effects
605 on biological pest control. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 286.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2898>

607 Rosenberg, K., Dokter, A., Blancher, P., Sauer, J., Smith, A., Smith, P.A., Stanton, J.,
608 Panjabi, A., Helft, L., Parr, M., Marra, P., 2019. Decline of the North American
609 avifauna. *Science* (80-.). 366, 120–124. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1313>

610 Rundlöf, M., Nilsson, H., Smith, H.G., 2008. Interacting effects of farming practice and
611 landscape context on bumble bees. *Biol. Conserv.* 141, 417–426.
612 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.10.011>

613 Rundlöf, M., Smith, H.G., 2006a. The effect of organic farming on butterfly diversity depends
614 on landscape context. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 43, 1121–1127. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
615 2664.2006.01233.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01233.x)

616 Rundlöf, M., Smith, H.G., 2006b. The effect of organic farming on butterfly diversity depends
617 on landscape context. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 43, 1121–1127. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
618 2664.2006.01233.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01233.x)

619 S.N.P.N., 2013. *Compte rendu scientifique 2013*.

620 Schmidt, M.H., Roschewitz, I., Thies, C., Tschardtke, T., 2005. Differential effects of
621 landscape and management on diversity and density of ground-dwelling farmland
622 spiders. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 42, 281–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01014.x>

623 Shackelford, G., Steward, P.R., Benton, T.G., Kunin, W.E., Potts, S.G., Biesmeijer, J.C., Sait,
624 S.M., 2013. Comparison of pollinators and natural enemies: A meta-analysis of
625 landscape and local effects on abundance and richness in crops. *Biol. Rev.* 88, 1002–
626 1021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12040>

627 Shaw, R.F., Phillips, B.B., Doyle, T., Pell, J.K., Redhead, J.W., Savage, J., Woodcock, B.A.,
628 Bullock, J.M., Osborne, J.L., 2020. Mass-flowering crops have a greater impact than
629 semi-natural habitat on crop pollinators and pollen deposition. *Landsc. Ecol.* 35, 513–
630 527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-019-00962-0>

631 Singh, S., Sharma, S.N., Prasad, R., 2001. The effect of seeding and tillage methods on
632 productivity of rice-wheat cropping system. *Soil Tillage Res.* 61, 125–131.
633 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987\(00\)00188-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(00)00188-4)

634 Sirami, C., Gross, N., Baillod, A.B., Bertrand, C., Carrié, R., Hass, A., Henckel, L., Al., E.,
635 2019. Increasing crop heterogeneity enhances multitrophic diversity across agricultural
636 regions. *Submitt. to Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am.* 1.

637 Smith, H.G., Birkhofer, K., Clough, Y., Ekroos, J., Olsson, O., Rundlöf, M., 2014. Beyond
638 dispersal: the role of animal movement in modern agricultural landscapes, in: *Animal*
639 *Movement Across Scales.* pp. 51–70.
640 <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199677184.003.0004>

641 Smith, H.G., Dänhardt, J., Lindström, Å., Rundlöf, M., 2010. Consequences of organic
642 farming and landscape heterogeneity for species richness and abundance of farmland
643 birds. *Oecologia* 162, 1071–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1588-2>

644 Smith, O.M., Cohen, A.L., Reganold, J.P., Jones, M.S., Orpet, R.J., Taylor, J.M., Thurman,
645 J.H., Cornell, K.A., Olsson, R.L., Ge, Y., Kennedy, C.M., Crowder, D.W., 2020.
646 Landscape context affects the sustainability of organic farming systems. *Proc. Natl.*
647 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 2870–2878. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906909117>

648 Suhling, F., Befeld, S., Häusler, M., Katzur, K., Lepkojus, S., Mesléard, F., 2000. Effects of
649 insecticide applications on macroinvertebrate density and biomass in rice-fields in the
650 Rhone-delta, France. *Hydrobiologia* 431, 69–79.
651 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004006422334>

652 Tourenq, C., Sadoul, N., Beck, N., Mesléard, F., Martin, J.L., 2003. Effects of cropping
653 practices on the use of rice fields by waterbirds in the Camargue, France. *Agric. Ecosyst.*
654 *Environ.* 95, 543–549. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4090069>

655 Tschardtke, T., Grass, I., Wanger, T., Westphal, C., Batáry, P., 2021. Beyond organic
656 farming–harnessing biodiversity-friendly landscapes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 36, 919–930.
657 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.010>

658 Tschardtke, T., Klein, A.M., Kruess, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thies, C., 2005. Landscape
659 perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity - Ecosystem service
660 management. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 857–874. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00782.x>

661 Tschardtke, T., Tylianakis, J.M., Rand, T.A., Didham, R.K., Fahrig, L., Batáry, P., Bengtsson,
662 J., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Dormann, C.F., Ewers, R.M., Fründ, J., Holt, R.D.,
663 Holzschuh, A., Klein, A.M., Kleijn, D., Kremen, C., Landis, D.A., Laurance, W.,
664 Lindenmayer, D., Scherber, C., Sodhi, N., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thies, C., van der
665 Putten, W.H., Westphal, C., 2012. Landscape moderation of biodiversity patterns and
666 processes - eight hypotheses. *Biol. Rev.* 87, 661–685. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00216.x)
667 [185X.2011.00216.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00216.x)

668 Tuck, S.L., Winqvist, C., Mota, F., Ahnström, J., Turnbull, L.A., Bengtsson, J., 2014. Land-
669 use intensity and the effects of organic farming on biodiversity: A hierarchical meta-
670 analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12219>

671 Tuomisto, H.L., Hodge, I.D., Riordan, P., Macdonald, D.W., 2012. Does organic farming
672 reduce environmental impacts? - A meta-analysis of European research. *J. Environ.*

673 Manage. 112, 309–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.08.018>

674 Uhl, P., Brühl, C.A., 2019. The Impact of Pesticides on Flower-Visiting Insects: A Review
675 with Regard to European Risk Assessment. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*
676 <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4572>

677 Wang, L., Liu, Q., Dong, X., Liu, Y., Lu, J., 2019. Herbicide and nitrogen rate effects on
678 weed suppression, N uptake, use efficiency and yield in winter oilseed rape (*Brassica*
679 *napus* L.). *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00529>

680 Wang, Z., Fu, X., Song, D., Yan, H., 2006. Effect of low-dose chemical pesticides on
681 distribution and activity of carboxylesterase and acetylcholinesterase in wolf spider,
682 *Pardosa pseudoannulata* (Araneae: Lycosidae). *Acta Entomol Sin.* 49, 260–264.

683 Weldemariam, T., Getachew, M., 2016. Impact of pesticides on birds from DDT to current
684 fatality : A Literature Review. *J. Zool. Stud.* 3, 44–55.

685 Westrich, P., 1996. Habitat Requirements of Central European Bees and the Problems of
686 Partial Habitats. *Conserv. bees.*

687 Winqvist, C., Bengtsson, J., Aavik, T., Berendse, F., Clement, L.W., Eggers, S., Fischer, C.,
688 Flohre, A., Geiger, F., Liira, J., Pärt, T., Thies, C., Tschardtke, T., Weisser, W.W.,
689 Bommarco, R., 2011. Mixed effects of organic farming and landscape complexity on
690 farmland biodiversity and biological control potential across Europe. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48,
691 570–579. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01950.x>

692 Woltz, J.M., Isaacs, R., Landis, D.A., 2012. Landscape structure and habitat management
693 differentially influence insect natural enemies in an agricultural landscape. *Agric.*
694 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 152, 40–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.02.008>

695

Figure 1. Location of the thirty-two 1x1 km rice paddy landscapes selected to sample biodiversity in the Camargue croplands, Rhône delta in 2013.

Figure 2: Biodiversity as a function of landscape complexity depending on high and low values of TFI for which a significant interaction was retained. Dashed line corresponds to the model prediction for the minimum value of TFI for **A** bee abundance, **B** bee species richness, **C** spider abundance, **D** spider species richness and **E** bird abundance. Solid line corresponds to the model prediction for the third quartile value of TFI. Grey zones delimit the confidence intervals at 95%. Crosses are observed data below the landscape component median and points are data over the median.










Table 1: Effect of variables retained after model selection and averaging, for species richness (SR) and abundance (Ab) of each taxon. The symbol \circ indicates that the 95 % confidence interval of the estimate contains zero, + indicates a significant positive effect, - indicates a significant negative effect and no symbol if the variable is not retained in the model. Estimates \pm SE of the effects are given in Appendix G.

	Bee SR	Bee Ab	Bird SR	Bird Ab	Butterfly SR	Butterfly Ab	Carabid SR	Carabid Ab	Plant SR	Spider SR	Spider Ab	Hoverfly SR	Hoverfly Ab
Hedgerow			○	○						○	○	-	
Grass strip	○	○		+					○	+	+	○	○
Ditch	○											○	○
Crop diversity		○	○			○	○	-		○		○	○
Semi-natural		○					-	-		○	+		○
TFI	○	○	○				○	○	○	○	○	○	○
Hedgerow * TFI				○								○	
Grass strip * TFI	○			+									
Ditch * TFI	+												
Crop diversity * TFI		+											
Semi-natural * TFI										+	+		

Increasing landscape complexity had a more positive effect on biodiversity in intensively-managed rice paddy landscapes.