Study of Oenological Fermentation: Which Strategy and Which Tools?
Jean-Roch Mouret, Evelyne Aguera, Marc Perez, Vincent Farines, Jean-Marie Sablayrolles

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-03602431
https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03602431
Submitted on 9 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
Study of Oenological Fermentation: Which Strategy and Which Tools?
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Abstract: Wine fermentation is a specific and complex research subject and its control is essential to ensure full process completion while improving wine quality. It displays several specificities, in particular, (i) musts with a very high sugar content, low pH, and some limiting nutrients, as well as a great variability in must composition according to the year, grape variety, and so on; (ii) atypical fermentation conditions with non-isothermal temperature profiles, a quasi-anaerobiosis and legal constraints with a limited and predefined list of authorized operations. New challenges have emerged, related to the increasing diversity of commercially available yeast strains; the fluctuating composition of musts, particularly owing to climate change; and sustainability, which has become a key issue. This paper synthesizes approaches implemented to address all these issues. It details the example of our laboratory that, for many years, has been developing an integrated approach to study yeast diversity, understand their metabolism, and develop new fermentation control strategies. This approach requires the development of specific fermentation devices to study yeast metabolism in a controlled environment that mimics practical conditions and to develop original fermentation control strategies. All these tools are described here, together with their role in the overall scientific strategy and complementary approaches in the literature.
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1. Introduction

Alcoholic fermentation is a key step in winemaking. During this process, hexoses and other grape must constituents are converted to ethanol, carbon dioxide, and many other secondary by-products that affect the sensorial properties of wine. Yeast strains have a major effect on the process, but must composition and the way the fermentation is controlled are also essential, so that the course of fermentation always depends on interactions between these three factors. This explains why alcoholic fermentation under oenological conditions is a specific and complex research subject.

The yeast strains used belong mainly to the *S. cerevisiae* species, but their number and diversity are constantly increasing, and increasingly more attention is being paid today to non-*Saccharomyces* species, mixed cultures, and natural microbial ecosystems.

Grape juice is characterized by a very high sugar content, a very low pH, a quasi-anaerobiosis, and low concentrations of certain nutrients, notably assimilable nitrogen. During fermentation, this leads to a succession of deficiencies and stresses that result, in particular, in (i) a long stationary phase during which a large part of the sugars is metabolized in the absence of yeast growth and (ii) significant risks of mortality by the end of fermentation.

Winemaking fermentation control is essential to ensure a successful completion of the process, but also to limit energy costs and to improve the wine organoleptic characteristics. Certain specificities are to be considered, in particular temperature profiles, which are often non-isothermal owing to the strong exothermic potential of fermentation, and
oxygen additions, usually necessary for yeasts, but that must be very low (a few mg/L) to avoid detrimental effects on quality. Legal constraints must also be considered, as only a limited number of operations are authorized, mainly in terms of nutrient additions and temperature control.

Much progress has been made in the last few years, but, in parallel, new challenges have emerged. Indeed, available yeast strains are more and more numerous and diverse; the composition of musts has evolved considerably (in particular because of climate change); and winemaking sustainability has become an essential issue, with, for example, a reduction in input quantity and the prospect of vinifying new grape varieties, in particular those resistant to downy and powdery mildews.

This paper synthesizes approaches implemented to address all these issues. It details the example of the research work of our laboratory that has, for many years, been developing an integrated approach to study yeast diversity, understand their metabolism, and develop new fermentation control strategies. This approach implies the use of specific setups, most of which have been custom-developed, to study yeasts in a controlled environment that mimics practical conditions and to implement innovative fermentation controls. These tools are described as well as their role in the overall scientific strategy, with one of the main questions being, “which setup for which research question?” Complementary approaches from the literature are also discussed.

2. Study of Metabolism
2.1. Online Fermentation Monitoring
2.1.1. Development of Online Fermentation Monitoring Devices

The study of yeast metabolism requires the monitoring of fermentation process dynamics. To improve this monitoring, we focused very early on the online monitoring of the main reaction, i.e., the production of ethanol and CO$_2$ [1]. The method we chose, also proposed in other laboratories [2], was the measurement of CO$_2$ release, with adequate frequency and accuracy in order to calculate in real time the instantaneous rate of fermentation. This laboratory setup (36 × 1 L fermenters) was then extended to both pilot scale (16 × 100 L tanks) and small scale, with a fermentation robot (360 × 20 mL or 90 × 300 mL) (Figure 1).

The next step was to monitor online the synthesis of some molecules of interest, mainly the fermentative aromas (higher alcohols and esters) and main sulfur compounds [3,4]. The chosen technique was the use of online GC, with automatic sampling in the gas phase (sampling frequency = 1 h). Today, two devices are operational: (i) at the 10 L and 100 L scales, a GC-MS-FPD to analyze several dozens of (mainly carbonaceous) compounds; and (ii) at laboratory scale (2 L), a compact GC-FID-PFPD particularly well adapted to the analysis of the main sulfur compounds. In additional studies on gas–liquid equilibrium, we modeled the partition coefficient ($k_i$) for the main compounds [5–7]. For each of these compounds, it then became possible to compute real-time balances between the quantity accumulated in the liquid and that lost in the gas (Figure 2). The sum of both fractions corresponds to the actual quantity produced by yeast, which is the parameter with the highest metabolic interest.

2.1.2. Interest in Online Fermentation Monitoring

Thanks to online monitoring, with dozens or hundreds of measurements during fermentation, new information of direct interest for the study of metabolism is now available:

A. The dynamics of the synthesis of different compounds and their timing, with, sometimes, the highlighting of peaks that would have gone undetected with a manual sampling;

B. The calculation of the production rates of CO$_2$ and various metabolites. These rates, which can be transformed into specific production rates if the cell population is also monitored, are directly proportional to metabolic fluxes. By combining the
monitoring of several compounds, it is also possible to calculate the evolution of production yields, which represent further information of metabolic interest.

**Figure 1.** Online monitoring of CO₂ production rate at laboratory and pilot scale. Fermentation robot (360 × 20 mL or 90 × 300 mL), laboratory setup (16 × 1 L fermenters), and pilot scale (16 × 100 L tanks).

**Figure 2.** Calculation of the overall losses of a volatile compound in the exhaust gas from (i) online monitoring of the fermentation kinetics (dCO₂/dt and EtOH) and concentration of the volatile compound in the gas phase (C_lgas) and (ii) the modelling of the gas–liquid equilibrium coefficient (kᵢ). Q(t) is the CO₂ production rate (per liter of must) and C_laq(t) the concentration of the volatile compound in the liquid phase [6].
It is then possible to couple these data with approaches such as metabolic flux analysis or transcriptomics. For example, this was achieved [8] when studying the effect of sterol additions during fermentation. These authors highlighted an increase in production yield between isoamyl alcohol and isoamyl acetate (Figure 3), in such a way that they could precisely define the sampling times before and after this yield change (slope change) to perform transcriptomic analyses on yeast cells in two distinct, well-defined physiological states.

![Figure 3. Online GC monitoring of fermentation aromas in 100 L tanks. Focus on the bioconversion of isoamyl alcohol to isoamyl acetate. Changes in total isoamyl acetate production as a function of total isoamyl alcohol production. The total productions represent the sum of the concentration in the liquid and the amount lost in the gas phase.](image)

For volatile compounds, real-time balances between the gas and liquid phases enable differentiation between microbiological and physical phenomena. Thus, for example, when comparing fermentations at 20 °C and 30 °C [5], Morakul et al., 2011 calculated that the total production of ethyl hexanoate was 1.61 mg/L and 1.22 mg/L, respectively, whereas the final concentrations in the wines were 0.92 mg/L and 0.36 mg/L, respectively. This example shows that (i) the quantity of ester lost can be very high, in this case, 43% at 20 °C and 70% at 30 °C; and (ii) the temperature effect is very different depending on whether one considers the total production (which reflects yeast metabolic capability) or the concentration in the wine, with 30% and 150% increases between 30 °C and 20 °C, respectively. If considering only the liquid content of ester, the temperature impact on yeast metabolism is highly overestimated.

Thus, integrated approaches can be implemented, such as the one carried out on the production of fermentation aromas, which combines online monitoring with biochemical engineering and systems biology approaches (Figure 4).

2.1.3. Interest of Industrial Sensors

In addition to these specific devices, we considered whether using industrial sensors was relevant in the context of monitoring oenological fermentation.

The value of measuring medium conductivity has been demonstrated [9]. Indeed, its evolution is very well correlated to the variation of assimilable nitrogen concentration. This measurement is thus very relevant to follow in a very fine way the dynamics of nitrogen assimilation at the beginning of fermentation and after additions of nitrogenous nutrients.

The measurement of dissolved CO$_2$ is very useful for monitoring very precisely the recovery of yeast activity at the very beginning of fermentation [10]. It has also been used to study the rehydration phase of active dry yeasts [11].

Monitoring dissolved oxygen has made it possible to calibrate the oxygenation system [12]. Monitoring during fermentation is of little interest because the oxygen content is almost always equal to zero, even during the oxygenation phases, as the rate of consumption by yeast is generally higher than the supply rate. However, recent sensors allow more practical analyses and make this measurement potentially interesting to follow, for example, the heterogeneity within large volume tanks [13].
Figure 4. Integrated approach combining biochemical engineering and system biology. Example of fermentative aroma synthesis. Online monitoring and fermentation control tools make it possible to (i) carry out cultures under perfectly controlled conditions; (ii) monitor parameters of metabolic interest: fermentation rate, and synthesis dynamics of the main fermentative aromas (with total production, i.e., concentration in the liquid + losses); (iii) cross-reference this information with system biology approaches: choice of key moments for post-genomic analyses and comparison of metabolic flux estimates (production rates vs. flux modelling).

The evolution of redox potential and pH have also been monitored. They highlighted the main phases of fermentation [14]. However, it is difficult to obtain more precise information because these values are influenced by many compounds, and thus vary in relation to must composition.

The above results were obtained using fermentors or tanks. Other authors have also shown the benefit of combining different industrial sensors (temperature, humidity, level) to monitor the evolution of vinifications in barrels [15].

2.2. Design of Dedicated Fermentation Tools

In addition to online monitoring systems, we developed several fermentation tools dedicated to the study of yeast metabolism under oenological conditions.

2.2.1. Controlled Rate Fermentations

The CO₂ production rate, which is proportional to yeast activity, can be regulated by real-time temperature control or the supply of nutrients, mainly assimilable nitrogen. This possibility has been very useful, especially for studying the nitrogen requirements of different yeast strains by comparing the nitrogen inputs necessary to achieve fermentations at identical rates [16,17].

2.2.2. Controlled Micro-Oxygenation

The addition of small amounts of oxygen (a few mg/L) is very useful for the completion of fermentation, but the management and quantification of this oxygenation are often poorly controlled, even at the laboratory scale. To address this issue, we developed a device to control micro-oxygenation during fermentation [12]. Oxygen is transferred by diffusion through a silicone tube and the amount transferred can be controlled, via the supervision software, either as a function of time or as a function of the reaction progress (e.g., 1 mg/L per % of ethanol produced). This device is very convenient to study the impact of oxygen not only on the main reaction, but also on the production of compounds.
such as fermentative aromas [18], sulfur compounds, acetaldehyde, diacetyl, and so on, as well as under rosé or red wine conditions, on color, and polyphenolic compounds (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Schematic representation of the bubble-free micro-oxygenation system. Oxygen is added by diffusion across a silicone tubing membrane with a controlled oxygen transfer rate (OTR). The OTR is modulated by controlling the liquid flow rate inside the silicone tube.

It should be noted that this system dedicated to the control of oxygenation during fermentation (addition of a few mg/L per hour or per day) is not adapted as it is to micro-oxygenation during wine aging, which requires additions of a few mg/L per month [19].

2.2.3. Multi-Stage Continuous Bioreactor

In winemaking conditions, yeasts go through a growth phase and then a stationary phase, during which more than half of the sugars is fermented. A comprehensive study of wine yeast physiology must thus include yeasts in a non-growing phase. To obtain non-growing yeasts in a steady state, we developed a four-stage continuous reactor that mimics, in a series of steady states, the conditions of batch fermentation (Figure 6) [20]. Despite its complexity, this fermentation device has shown its great interest for metabolic studies. For example, when studying valine uptake by yeast, it was shown that the corresponding transcriptomic data are of better quality than in a batch fermentation [21]. It can also be noted that, in addition to its interest in the study of metabolism, this multistage fermenter has been used, in collaboration with automaticians, as a model to develop control strategies for non-linear and constrained systems [22].
The system is made up of four tanks (R1 to R4) linked in series. The five pumps are monitored independently by the control software, which also integrates the data coming from the mass flowmeters. Each bioreactor, except the first one, is fed with cells and partially fermented medium from the previous tank. The first stages are run with growing cells, while the last ones operate as resting cells. This device reproduces batch fermentation characteristics.

3. Simulation of Industrial Scale

An important issue is the simulation of industrial conditions in order to be as relevant as possible when studying yeast metabolism and fermentation control, and thus to use industrial-scale trials, which are generally very poorly controlled, only for the validation step.

3.1. Non-Isothermal Temperature Profiles

The usual laboratory or pilot scale facilities do not allow for fermentation under non-isothermal conditions comparable to those observed on a large scale. Indeed, in large tanks, outside the regulation phases, there are often temperature increases of up to 10 °C or more, and these temperature profiles greatly modify fermentation kinetics. Our idea was thus to incorporate a thermal model into the control software that calculates the temperature evolution that would occur in an industrial tank [1,23]. This model can also be used to estimate the evolution of the energy demand for temperature control throughout the fermentation, regardless of the temperature profile [24].

3.2. Hydrodynamic Conditions

Hydrodynamic conditions in small fermenters differ from those in large tanks (several hundred hectoliters), particularly owing to solid particles and CO₂ release, and this must be taken into account when extrapolating to different scales.

3.2.1. White and Rose Winemaking

Plouy, 2000 and Casalta et al., 2010 studied the effect of stirring and turbidity on white wine fermentation kinetics in 1 L and 100 L fermenters [25,26]. In particular, they concluded that, in small (laboratory-scale) fermenters, agitation has several advantages: (i) it reduces differences with the pilot scale and increases kinetics reproducibility, (ii) it avoids any decrease in the cell population in suspension at the end of fermentation, and (iii) it decreases the negative effect of excessive must clarification.

Malherbe, 2003 compared fermentation kinetics in 100 L and 11,000 L tanks and found them highly reproducible, indicating that kinetics of industrial tanks can be satisfactorily reproduced at the pilot scale [27]. However, additional experiments revealed slight dif-
ferences, especially during sluggish fermentations, with very low fermentation rates at the end.

3.2.2. Red Winemaking

In red winemaking, maceration represents the major issue and industrial conditions cannot be perfectly reproduced on a small scale.

At the laboratory scale (1.5 L), we currently use ‘Bodum’ type fermenters with a good reproducibility of experiments and the possibility of studying a large number of conditions. The interest of these fermenters has been described by Dambergs and Sparrow [28]. However, the pilot scale (100 L) is much more appropriate to simulate industrial conditions and to understand the mechanisms involved, especially when using online kinetics monitoring. For example, Aguera and Sablayrolles, 2005a observed cap formation (Figure 7A) and the effect of cap punching on fermentation kinetics (Figure 7B) [29]. They pointed out a highly significant increase in CO$_2$ production rates after cap punching. This increase was (i) nearly instantaneous, persisting over several hours, and (ii) higher when pumping over was carried out during the second part of the fermentation (stationary phase). Cell population measurements indicated that this kinetics acceleration was mostly the result of a transfer of yeasts from the cap to the liquid phase, increasing the size of the cell population in the liquid (by more than 50%).

The possibility of miniaturizing red wine vinification to a pilot or even laboratory scale has been discussed by several authors. Schmid et al., 2009 measured the same temperature gradients between the cap and liquid phase in 50 L and 35 hL tanks [30]. Sparrow et al., 2015
3.3. Wine Quality

It is essential to consider the organoleptic quality of the wine at least during the last steps of the research programs. This quality can be dependent on the scale of production. Moreover, it is very difficult to estimate at a very small scale even if the online or offline analysis of some marker molecules allows a first level of characterization.

The pilot scale is, once again, particularly relevant because the volume (100 L) is a good compromise for an expert jury to carry out a sensory analysis while testing numerous conditions, with the possibility of replicates. Moreover, the wine characteristics are close to those obtained on an industrial scale. This pilot scale can thus be used, for example, to validate the organoleptic value of new strains before their commercialization [35].

4. Development of New Strategies for Fermentation Control

Wineries are increasingly better equipped to control winemaking, especially alcoholic fermentation, with, for example, more and more precise temperature controls. On the other hand, control strategies still remain relatively empirical and are generally decided a priori, without taking into account composition variability.

The rate of CO₂ release is proportional to yeast activity (cf. part 1.1.2). It is also directly correlated to the rate of heat production and, therefore, to the amount of energy required to regulate the temperature [23]. Thus, this parameter is of major interest for the development of new fermentation control strategies optimized for each tank. The availability of online data on quality markers, mainly fruity aromas, also makes it possible to take into account quality-related parameters, even if sensory analysis cannot be replaced in the final validation steps.

Fermentation operations, mainly nutrient additions and temperature regulation, can be adapted to actual fermentation behavior, with the possibility of taking into account composition variability, depending on the tank (cf. part 3.1). The huge amount of data on fermentation dynamics is also very useful to build and validate predictive models that can initially be used for simulation (cf. part 3.2) and later on for optimized control (cf. part 3.3).

4.1. Individual Tank Control

4.1.1. Nutrient Addition

The addition of nutrients is one of the main ways to control fermentation, but the challenge is to perform these additions only if they are necessary and in an optimized way.

With assimilable nitrogen generally being the limiting nutrient, Bely et al., 1990 proposed a method to (i) estimate its concentration in the must, based on the value of the maximum fermentation rate, and (ii) thus detect deficient musts to which nitrogen addition is recommended [36]. Their work also highlighted the effectiveness of additions made during the stationary phase. To complement this work, we subsequently tested controlled continuous additions and compared different sources of nitrogen to evaluate their impact on fermentation kinetics and the aromatic characteristics of wines [17,37]. The results could be compared to those obtained by other research groups, synthesized by Gobert et al., 2019 [38].

The positive effect of oxygenation has also been widely described, notably to limit the risks of stuck fermentations [39]. Online monitoring has allowed both (i) to determine the best timing of oxygen addition and (ii) to show that oxygenation has mainly an impact on the final fermentation kinetics. It thus dramatically differs from the effect of nitrogen additions.
More recently, special attention has been given to the management of lipid compounds, especially in highly clarified musts [40–42]. In this specific case, turbidity has to be managed in the interaction with nitrogen and oxygen additions. Online kinetics monitoring is a very useful tool for differentiating the type of deficiency and to optimize corrections [43].

4.1.2. Temperature Control

Temperature profiles based on the control of fermentation rate and optimizing both the duration of fermentation and the energy required to regulate temperature were first proposed [44]. Later, the online monitoring of fermentative aromas provided a better understanding of the effect of temperature on both the synthesis of these molecules and their loss [4,45]. The importance of temperature control to fine-tune wine quality was also pointed out by Molina et al., 2007 [46].

4.2. Modeling

Several models have been developed. They are both (i) mechanistic, to consider the main biological or physico-chemical mechanisms involved, and thus widen the validity domain; and (ii) dynamic, to describe the evolution of the process monitored online.

The fermentation kinetics model calculates the evolution of the fermentation rate based on the initial concentration of available nitrogen and the temperature profile [47]. After being validated in a wide range of oenological situations, it was combined with the thermal model (cf. part 2.1) and introduced into a simulation and optimization software for alcoholic fermentation [24].

A dynamic model has been developed to predict the synthesis kinetics for the main aroma compounds produced by yeast during fermentation [48]. This model is a proof of concept and its coefficients' values may change when using different natural musts and yeast strains. However, the general structure of the model should remain valid regardless of the medium.

In parallel, the gas–liquid equilibrium of the main aromas was modeled for real-time calculation of concentrations in the gas and liquid phases as well as cumulative losses during the process [4,5].

The four models can be combined (Figure 8) into an overall model to search for new predictive control and fermentation optimization strategies (cf. part 3.3) [49].

![Figure 8. Structure of the global wine fermentation model. The global model integrates four models calculating the fermentation rate, the energy required for temperature regulation, the synthesis of the main fermentation aromas, and the distribution of these aromas between the gas and liquid phases.](Image)

4.3. Predictive Control and Optimization

A major challenge is to use modeling to anticipate and optimize the main fermentation parameters. The overall model takes into account both technological parameters and
qualitative criteria and the optimization necessarily requires trade-offs. For example, low temperatures favor the synthesis of esters and limit their losses, but increase the fermentation duration. It is thus necessary to find a compromise in temperature management. The same holds true for the management of nutrient additions.

Obtaining wines with predefined aromatic profiles while optimizing tank use and energy expenses becomes a complex, but feasible issue in the framework of multidisciplinary collaborative projects including specialists in modeling, control, and optimization of bioprocesses.

In a first approach, Mouret et al., 2019 proposed a multi-criteria optimization in two phases: (i) generation of a Pareto front (set of optimal solutions) from the model, and then (ii) an interactive exploration (man–machine interface) of the Pareto front using a visualization software in order to progressively limit the number of possible solutions and ultimately define the optimal solution satisfying all the criteria [50].

A current project consists of coupling optimization and online monitoring of kinetics and aromatic compounds in order to develop a real-time control strategy. This is still a proof of concept with synthetic media and a limited number of marker molecules, but its application in real conditions, for the production of wines with well-defined aromatic profiles, can already be considered.

5. Knowledge Management System

Online data were also used to build a winemaking fermentation knowledge management system (ALFIS) [51]. In addition to remote monitoring, the objective was to manage and organize a large amount of data to extract key knowledge. The information system combines the acquisition of (i) online kinetics data from 52 fermenters (36 at lab scale and 16 at pilot scale) and the fermentation robot (360 × 20 mL or 90 × 300 mL); (ii) online GC data from six fermenters at pilot scale; (iii) offline data from biological analyses such as yeast cell number, cell size distribution (from the Coulter counter), and concentration of extracellular metabolites; and (iv) metadata (operation descriptions, faults, expert opinions, and so on). These data and metadata are formalized in XML and RDF, which provides flexibility and a generic method for managing heterogeneous, multisource data. ALFIS is available through a dedicated and secure web interface. Currently, it contains data resulting from more than 22,000 fermentations.

This big data management is particularly well adapted to the screening of a large number of strains or culture conditions. It is thus a valuable tool for current issues such as the study of biodiversity. ALFIS also permits the development of data analysis tools such as ontologies. For example, a specific ontology of events (faults or enological operations) was developed to automatically identify erroneous online measurements and avoid misinterpretation of fermentation data [51].

6. Which Experimental Set-Up for Which Question?

The choice of the experimental setup is essential to best answer research questions. For our equipment, the main issues are the number of fermentations, the scale, and the instrumentation needed. Another essential parameter is the medium, in particular the choice between a synthetic and a natural must.

6.1. Equipment

Figure 9 summarizes the main wine fermentation-related research questions and the most appropriate equipment in each case.
Figure 9 summarizes the main wine fermentation-related research questions and the choice of the scale (from 20 mL to 100 L) and the equipment level (possibility of different online monitoring and control systems) according to (i) the research topic (screening, study of metabolism, or fermentation control) and (ii) specific needs (sensory analysis, red winemaking conditions, sensor test). MSCF: multistage continuous fermenter.

The fermentation robot is clearly dedicated to the screening of strains, media, and so on. When the number of conditions to be tested is very high (several hundreds, even thousands), the most appropriate scale is 20 mL, with the possibility of testing up to 360 fermentations simultaneously. On the other hand, whenever multiple sampling during fermentation is required for offline analysis, only the 300 mL fermenters can be used, with no more than 90 fermentations running simultaneously.

Yeast metabolism can be studied at all scales from 1 L to 100 L. The latter scale is mainly recommended to reproduce red wine making conditions or to perform a sensory analysis. The use of the multistage continuous fermenter (MSCF), whose implementation is more complex, is adequate when cells in a stable physiological state and/or in stationary phase are needed. The use of online GC is mainly dedicated to the analysis of carbonaceous (higher alcohols, esters, aldehydes, and so on) or sulfur (H₂S, mercaptans, and so on) fermentative aromas. The fermentation volume depends on the number of molecules to be studied, as there are more molecules available at the 10 L or 100 L scale with GC-MS.

Studying fermentation control is also feasible with fermenters from 1 L to 100 L. Here, again, the 100 L scale is especially appropriate for red wine fermentation or for the implementation of sensory analysis. The controlled addition of micro quantities of oxygen (a few mg/L) is possible at all scales, but can only be controlled automatically at the 10 L and 100 L scales. As for the use of sensors, whether commercial, such as conductivity or redox sensors, or experimental, it is feasible at all scales, except in the case of specific constraints such as sensor size.

6.2. Medium

The choice of the culture medium is also essential according to the research issue.

Synthetic media are generally the most appropriate for metabolic studies because they have a perfectly controlled composition. In our laboratory, the reference medium is the one described by Bely et al., 1990, but it is often modified according to our needs [36]. Indeed, this medium simulates a must whose only limiting nutrient is nitrogen. This corresponds to most oenological situations. Nevertheless, the most common exceptions are lipid-deficient media, which corresponds, for example, to highly clarified musts [42]. In this last case, it is
essential to modify the composition of the lipid fraction by varying its concentration and composition in sterols and fatty acids. This fraction is also of utmost importance in the study of the efficiency of oxygen additions, which is extremely different depending on the level of lipid deficiency in the medium [17,40].

Sometimes, in particular projects, natural musts just cannot be substituted. This was the case, for example, in a study on stuck fermentations that required the collection of a large number of musts from diverse origins [39]. This is also the case for the validation of models and strains or for sensory analysis. The experimental cellar and the sterile storage of liquid phase musts are very useful to make available a variety of raw materials throughout the year. Small volumes (10–20 L) are stored in frozen form, while larger ones (10–20 hL) are flash pasteurized before cold storage (4 °C) under inert gas [29]. This conservation has no impact on fermentation kinetics, provided that the addition of sludge is well managed [52]. Indeed, these solid particles sediment at a low temperature, resulting in very clear supernatants after several months of storage.

7. Other Approaches: Prospects

The tools will evolve according to the issues of the wine industry. Some of these evolutions are well identified, with specific approaches already being developed in different laboratories.

7.1. High-Throughput Approaches

Several questions, particularly those related to climate change, will require high-throughput approaches. Some of them will be at the viticulture–oenology interface, particularly in the context of varietal selection programs, where oenological parameters will have to be increasingly taken into account already from the first stages of selection.

High-throughput phenotyping tools are still often limited, especially for red wine-making fermentations. For this reason, we are currently involved in the development of an automated device for small volume (<1 kg) red wine fermentations. Compared with the current devices used in liquid-phase fermentation, the additional objective is to (i) control the maceration in a way that mimics industrial conditions as much as possible and (ii) monitor online the parameters related to polyphenolics’ extraction.

7.2. Mixed Cultures and Ecosystems

Non-conventional yeasts are increasingly used to broaden the organoleptic characteristics of wines [53,54]. Non-Saccharomyces (NS) strains are usually unable to complete wine alcoholic fermentation. This limitation can be overcome through the use of mixed inoculations with S. cerevisiae, but controlling such cultures remains a difficult challenge [55]. Sequential inoculation, with delayed S. cerevisiae inoculation to allow for NS strain implantation, represents the best strategy; its fully successful implementation is complex and highly dependent on the strains used. In general, optimizing the management of NS/S mixed fermentations requires a better understanding of the interactions between strains, especially cell-to-cell mechanisms and the role of acetaldehyde [56].

Our laboratory has not developed any device dedicated to mixed cultures, but several have been described in the literature:

A. Double-compartment reactors with a physical separation of two yeast populations while culture medium homogeneity is maintained in both compartments [57,58]. These reactors, dedicated to research, are of great interest for studying interaction mechanisms between strains;

B. Immobilized yeasts bioreactors. There are several methods for yeast immobilization: the use of natural supports (e.g., fruit pieces), organic supports (e.g., alginate), inorganic supports (e.g., porous ceramics), membrane systems, and multifunctional agents [59,60]. However, these technologies, which have potential interest at both the research and application levels, are yet to be optimized [61,62].
7.3. Sensors

In addition to the possible evolution of the reactors, their instrumentation with new or more efficient sensors is also a potential area of evolution. The major challenge is no longer to monitor the main reaction, for which many sensors have already been proposed, even recently [63], but to obtain complementary information, mainly on yeasts and their physiological state and on the main molecules of interest (polyphenols, aromas, and so on).

Flow cytometry is undoubtedly the most promising method to simultaneously obtain the quantification of yeast cells; the determination of their viability; and the assessment of their physiology, e.g., by morphological analysis of the budding division process [64,65]. The latter authors carried out online monitoring on a laboratory scale, thanks to automated sampling and sample processing (dilution and addition of a fluorochrome), but they considered that this monitoring is currently difficult to implement for process control.

Several authors have developed sensors to monitor color evolution during maceration in red winemaking [66,67]. Voltammetric methods for the determination of wine polyphenolic compounds and total antioxidant capacity are under development, and electrochemical sensors may be expected to replace conventional methods for color and total polyphenols’ measurements and the assessment of wine oxidation state [68]. All these measurements are of great interest under standardized and well-known conditions, but owing to the complexity of polyphenols’ chemistry, it is still very difficult to evidence general relationships between this information and wine quality.

Different devices for online measurement of fermentation aromas have also been investigated. Electronic noses have been tested by several authors [69–72]. These devices are particularly interesting for the analysis of complex mixtures, but are still under development and cannot yet be used for online monitoring of wine aromas during fermentation.

Some techniques such as ATR-FTIR or FTICR-MS spectroscopy are sensitive to a very large number of compounds in the fermentation medium and can already be used to define different ‘signatures’ depending, for example, on the nitrogen source or the strain used in bioprotection [73,74]. The challenge is to progress in the analysis of this very rich, but also very complex information.

8. Conclusions

The study of oenological fermentation remains more than ever a major challenge because this key step in the winemaking process must now face important changes in the composition of grapes as well as a strong evolution of consumer expectations in terms of product quality and process sustainability.

The new research questions that arise concern both yeasts and the control of fermentation. This is why integrated approaches involving different disciplines (genetics, physiology, biochemical engineering) must be developed in order to propose effective and realistic solutions to the industry.
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