

Comparing the effects of horse grazing alone or with cattle on horse parasitism and vegetation use in a mesophile pasture

Géraldine Fleurance, Guillaume Sallé, Léa Lansade, Laurence Wimel, Bertrand Dumont

To cite this version:

Géraldine Fleurance, Guillaume Sallé, Léa Lansade, Laurence Wimel, Bertrand Dumont. Comparing the effects of horse grazing alone or with cattle on horse parasitism and vegetation use in a mesophile pasture. Grass and Forage Science, 2022, 77 (3), pp.175-188. $10.1111/gfs.12564$. hal-03663805

HAL Id: hal-03663805 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03663805v1>

Submitted on 5 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparing the effects of horse grazing alone or with cattle on horse parasitism and vegetation use in a mesophile pasture

Géraldine Fleurance^{1,2} \bullet | Guillaume Sallé³ | Léa Lansade^{1,4} | Laurence Wimel⁵ | Bertrand Dumont²

1 IFCE, Pôle Développement Innovation et Recherche, Exmes, France

2 INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, UMR 1213 Herbivores, Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

3 INRAE, Université de Tours, UMR 1282 Infectiologie et Santé Publique, Nouzilly, France

4 INRAE, IFCE, CNRS, Université de Tours, UMR 0085 Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, Nouzilly, France 5 IFCE, Plateau technique de la Station Expérimentale, Chamberet, France

Correspondence

Géraldine Fleurance, INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, UMR 1213 Herbivores, 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France. Email: geraldine.fleurance@inrae.fr

Funding information

INRAE and Région Auvergne–Rhône-Alpes; Integrated Management of Animal Health meta-programme (GISA-Strep); IFCE

Abstract

Mixing different herbivore species is assumed to increase vegetation use because of the complementarity of their feeding choices and to reduce animal parasite burden through the dilution effect. Here, we compare the effects of mixed horse-cattle grazing and monospecific horse grazing (1.4 LU/ha) on animal foraging behaviour, sward characteristics and horse parasitism in a mesophile grassland of central France. In both treatments, animals were stocked alternately on two subplots with rotation lengths between 15 and 21 days according to season. The horses quickly acclimated to the cattle, and very few agonistic interactions were observed between them. All the horses selected short (≤4 cm) and intermediate (5–8 cm) high-quality regrowths and avoided reproductive and dead herbage areas contaminated by their faeces as a consequence of their latrine behaviour. Cattle, which are more constrained by plant height, selected intermediate and tall vegetative swards. However, the alternate stocking of animals also provided them with high-quality regrowth on the shortest patches. Consequently, the cattle used these short patches proportionally to their availability while avoiding reproductive and dead herbage areas. We assume this limited their consumption of infective cyathostome larvae, which are concentrated close to horse dung. Moreover, co-grazing horses and cattle did not reduce sward structural heterogeneity and thus did not enhance overall herbage quality. We conclude that rather than considering mixed grazing as a turn-key solution, its management needs to be adapted to support the complementarity of horse and cattle dietary choices and thus provide the expected benefits of multi-species grazing.

KEYWORDS

agroecology, diet selection, dilution effect, herbage quality, mixed grazing, strongyle

1 | INTRODUCTION

The incorporation of diversity into animal production systems, which has been assumed to increase their multiperformance and strengthen

their resilience, is a key principle of agroecology (Dumont et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2020). Among ruminants, several studies have demonstrated that mixing different species in pastures can increase vegetation use as a result of the complementarity of dietary choices

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Grass and Forage Science published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

(Animut & Goetsch, 2008; Cuchillo-Hilario et al., 2018; Nolan & Connolly, 1989). Under mixed grazing, residual biomass is thus reduced, and the feeding value of the grazed forage is enhanced (Nolan et al., 1999; Sehested et al., 2004). Moreover, because of the high specificity of gastrointestinal nematodes for their host, a decrease in the egg excretion by small ruminants has been reported when they graze with cattle as the result of a dilution effect (sheep: [Marley et al., 2006; Rocha et al., 2008], goats: [Mahieu, 2013]). A meta-analysis quantified the benefits of mixed grazing between sheep and cattle on the individual performances of sheep, which grew 14.5 g/d faster than under monospecific grazing, and on the meat production per hectare (d'Alexis et al., 2014). Consistently, lamb liveweight gain increased by 17% in another mixed versus monospecific grazing comparison (Jerrentrup et al., 2020).

Among large domestic herbivores, horses, with their two sets of incisors, create the most stable short grass patches within a matrix of tall sward areas, and this occurs even at high stocking densities (Fleurance et al., 2001; Fleurance et al., 2016; Ödberg & Francis-Smith, 1977). This selection for short patches has long been interpreted as an anti-parasite strategy (Taylor, 1954), as horses usually perform latrine behaviour, that is, they deposit their faeces in tall sward areas that they then avoid. More recent studies have suggested that horse selection of short grass patches could also have a nutritional basis, as their digestible protein intake rate was the highest in short vegetative patches (Edouard et al., 2010; Fleurance et al., 2005). Cattle are more constrained than horses by sward surface height (SSH) (Mandaluniz et al., 2011; Ménard et al., 2002) and are thus unable to graze on the shortest patches. Conversely, cattle can use dicotyledons to a greater extent because they are better able than horses to detoxify forb secondary metabolites (Ménard et al., 2002; Nolte et al., 2017). This complementarity in the dietary choices of cattle and horses is assumed to enhance the overall use of pastures and as a consequence to improve herbage quality.

Most studies on mixed grazing between horses and cattle were conducted in semi-natural habitats (e.g., wetlands, heathlands, and dune grasslands), especially with regard to the ability of animals to limit their woody encroachment (Cornelissen & Vulink, 2015; Ménard et al., 2002; Rupprecht et al., 2016) and preserve biodiversity (Henning et al., 2017; Loucougaray et al., 2004; Rupprecht et al., 2016). Similar information would be particularly useful on mesophile grasslands, as these more productive grasslands provide most of the feed of saddle horses used for sport and leisure. Securing animal performances while maximizing the use of grazed forages is a challenge for horse breeders (Morhain, 2011). So far, no study has analysed if the complementarity of feeding choices between horses and cattle grazing mesophile pastures may improve the use and quality of herbage compared to situations where horses graze alone. Moreover, grazing horses are infected by gastro-intestinal nematodes of the Strongylidae family that encompasses members of the Cyathostominae and Strongylinae subfamilies (Lichtenfels et al., 2008). This latter subfamily includes Strongylus spp. that have the highest mortality rate but whose prevalence has dropped thanks to the use of modern anthelmintic

drugs (Herd, 1990). Patent infection by cyathostomin leads to milder symptoms including unthriftiness and growth retardation in younger animals, but the massive emergence of infective larvae from the caeco-colic mucosa can cause to a so-called 'larval cyathostominosis' leading to sudden diarrhoea, oedema and weight loss (Giles et al., 1985). This seasonal syndrome is the main cause of parasite-mediated death in young horses (Salle et al., 2020). Strongyle control in horses has long been reliant on the systematic and frequent use of anthelmintic drugs but the rising occurrence of anthelmintic resistance in many countries (Nielsen et al., 2018; Salle et al., 2017) and concerns about the environmental side effects of medications (Verdu et al., 2018) urge the development of alternative options. As gastro-intestinal nematodes exhibit a high specificity for either horses or cattle, mixed grazing is assumed to reduce horse parasite burden. Consistently, saddle horses grazing with cattle in mixed farms had 50% fewer strongyle eggs excreted in their faeces than horses grazing alone in specialized systems (Forteau et al., 2020). This first evidence of the dilution effect in horses grazing with cattle is an encouraging perspective, but there is a need to further analyse how pasture and herd management can modulate horse contamination.

In this three-year study, we investigated the benefits of simultaneous grazing of saddle horses and beef cattle relative to horse grazing alone at the same stocking density in a hill-range mesophile grassland of central France. We simultaneously analysed the influence of grazing management (i.e., mixed grazing vs. monospecific horse grazing) on animal foraging behaviour, sward characteristics, and horse parasite infection and performance. We also recorded potential agonistic interactions between species and analysed the influence of co-grazing with cattle on the frequency of vigilant postures in the horses. We hypothesized that the strong selection of short high-quality patches by horses would constrain cattle to switch onto areas with taller swards, which horses avoid. Mixed grazing between the two species is therefore expected to homogenize sward structure and enhance sward quality compared to horses grazing alone. We also tested the prediction that cattle would reduce the level of horse infection by strongyle larvae by grazing close to horse latrine areas. Consequently, horse daily liveweight gain was expected to be higher under mixed grazing.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the French Horse and Riding Institute experimental farm (Chamberet, $01^{\circ}43'14'' - 45^{\circ}35'03''$, 440 m a.s.l.) in accordance with the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU. The experimental procedure was reviewed by the local animal care and use committee (Comité des Utilisateurs de la Station Expérimentale de Chamberet). It received approval from the local ethics committee (Comite Régional d'Ethique pour l'Expérimentation Animale du Limousin – C2EA-33) and the French Ministry of Research under protocol number #18070-2018121416354890v3.

2.1 | Experimental design

Our study was carried out in a mesophile grassland over three grazing seasons, from 2015 to 2017. The climate of the experimental site is oceanic, and the soil is an episkeletic podzol [\(http://eusoils.jrc.ec.](http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/) [europa.eu/\)](http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/). The average annual precipitation ranged from 1023 mm in 2015 to 1293 mm in 2016. Large variation between years was observed in June (66–108 mm), July (13–88 mm) and August (18–180 mm). This grassland had been intensively managed under rotational grazing by horses for many years, which explains its relatively low botanical diversity; 47 plant species were recorded over the whole area (16.2 ha) in 2015. Two modalities of grazing were compared at the same stocking density during each grazing season $(1.4 \text{ LU/ha}, \text{with } 1 \text{ LU} = 600 \text{ kg}$ liveweight): monospecific grazing with four saddle horses and mixed grazing with two saddle horses and three dehorned heifers grazing simultaneously. Six 2.7-ha plots were created within the grassland so that each treatment could be replicated three times in a randomized block design. In each plot, all animals (i.e., horses or horses and cattle) were stocked alternately on two subplots of 1.35 ha (A then B). Animals were turned out to the grassland in mid-April and first grazed each subplot for 1 week. Then, they switched between subplots A and B every 21 days from the end of April to mid-July and every 15 days from mid-July to the end of the grazing season. All the animals were removed from the plots in mid-October, except in 2016, when drought led us to take them out 2 weeks earlier (i.e., after grazing subplot A for the last time).

Anglo-Arab and French saddle horses (24 months old; $435.5 \pm$ 5.8 kg at the start of the grazing season) (mean \pm SE) and Limousin heifers (17 months old; 407.1 ± 9.1 at the start of the grazing season) were used each year. The six groups of animals were balanced in April for body size by species (measured with a portable livestock scale) and for breed and sex in horses. The horses were drenched with 6.6 mg per kg bodyweight pyrantel (Strongid®, Zoetis, France) before pasture turn-out to reset strongyle egg excretion in every group. In 2017, two individuals in the horse-only group were diagnosed with a patent cestode infection and were administered an ivermectin/praziquantel combination (Eqvalan Duo®, 1.29 g per 100 kg bodyweight, Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health, France). Reinfection and the associated egg reappearance period therefore took longer for these two individuals than for their pyrantel-treated counterparts. Nevertheless, the observations from these individuals were kept to limit the reduction in statistical power and because this egg excretion reduction in the horse-only group was conservative relative to our hypothesis, that is, parasite dilution in the mixed group.

2.2 | Animal measurements

Observations of horse and cattle foraging behaviours were carried out in each subplot A at three periods during each grazing season: spring (first half of May), before the major plant components flowered, summer (second half of July), when sward heterogeneity is expected to be

at a maximum, and early autumn (end of September) to account for the cumulative effects of the grazing treatments.

In each period, the daily grazing time of the horses was recorded over 24 h using Ethosys collars (Greenway System GmBH, Frankfurt, Germany; [Scheibe et al., 1998]). The horses were acclimated to the collars for 1 week before the experiment began.

Dietary choices were measured by scan sampling the activity of all the animals within each group at 10-min intervals, with one observation day (from dawn to dusk) per subplot A and per season. Each day, two persons simultaneously observed the animals while they were grazing in subplot A in each treatment; therefore, 3 days per season were required to carry out the observations in the three blocks. The horses were identified by a number painted on both sides, and heifers were identified by their ear marks. An animal was considered to be grazing when it was biting, chewing or swallowing grass or when it was walking with its muzzle close to the sward. For each individual recorded as grazing, the observer moved as close to the animal as possible without disturbing it to record one selected bite. The observer decided beforehand which bite to record once he was close enough to the animal (i.e., the fifth one) to avoid bias due to picking bites that were more clearly visible (Fleurance et al., 2016). This required animals to be trained for 1 week before measurements so that they would readily accept observers in close proximity during grazing.

The bite types were recorded according to (i) sward height type, taking into account previous observations of horses and cattle in less fertile swards (Ménard et al., 2002), that is, vegetative short (VS, ≤4 cm), vegetative intermediate (VI, 5–8 cm), vegetative tall (VT, ≥9 cm), reproductive (repro) and dead herbage; (ii) dominant botanical family (grasses, legumes or forbs). We also recorded the presence of horse dung within 1 m around the bite location, as most of the small strongyle infective larvae move less than 1 m horizontally from horse dung (Fleurance et al., 2007). Diet selection, defined as the proportion of a sward type in the diet relative to its proportion in the plot, was quantified by calculating selectivity indexes (S_i) for each sward type using (Jacobs, 1974) modification of Ivlev's selectivity index:

$$
S_i = (c_i - a_i)/(c_i + a_i - 2c_i a_i)
$$

where c_i is the proportion (between 0 and 1) of component *i* in the diet and a_i is the proportion of component *i* in the subplot. The Jacobs' index was chosen for its low sensitivity to variations in the relative abundance of plant components; moreover, it was calculated for bite types whose proportion in the subplot was higher than 0.02 to limit these variations. For each bite type (c_i) , data for individual animals within each species were first aggregated per day and per subplot A as their foraging behaviour was not independent. They were then linked to the relative abundance of this bite type in subplot A. S_i varies from -1 (never used) to $+1$ (exclusively used), with negative and positive values indicating avoidance and selection, respectively, and 0 indicating that a sward component is used in proportion to its availability.

Digestibility of the horses' diet (% dry matter, DM) in monospecific or mixed plots was estimated from faecal crude protein (CP) content according to the equation of (Mesochina et al., 1998):

diet digestibility = $73.4 - (178.72/\text{faecal CP} \text{ content})$

where faecal CP content is expressed in %DM by the Kjeldahl method ($N \times 6.25$). This equation is appropriate when herbage CP content is higher than 7 g/kg DM, which limits nitrogen recycling by horses (Mesochina et al., 1998); this was always the case in the present experiment. Three samples of fresh faeces from each horse were collected on the ground immediately after their emission in the morning, at midday and in the evening of the observation day in each subplot A. The samples were then mixed by individual and dried at 60° C to a constant weight. The faecal nitrogen content was determined by the Dumas method and then estimated with the Kjeldahl method using the following equation: N Kjeldahl (% DM) = $0.9509 \times N$ Dumas (%DM) -0.0156 ($r^2 =$ 0.9983, N $=$ 29, range N Dumas: 1.14–3.55).

The parasite infection level in horses was estimated from individual faecal egg counts (FECs) measured 1 day a month from pasture turn-out to housing. The FECs were carried out on faeces taken from the horse rectums at the same time of the day for all animals. The FECs were determined from 5 g of faecal material diluted in 70 ml of a dense NaCl solution ($d = 1.2$). Eggs were counted across two grids of a McMaster slide (detection limit of 50 eggs/g) under light microscopy at $150\times$ magnification.

Observations of social behaviour between the horses and cattle were conducted daily between 8:30 AM and 4:30 PM for 3 weeks in each month and each year. The vigilant postures of horses towards heifers were observed using 20 scan samplings distributed throughout the day. Agonistic behaviours between the two species (biting, kicking, head threatening, kick threatening, rearing and pursuits) were recorded using a focal sampling method, with 20 timeslots of 1 minute divided equally throughout the day. These behavioural observation methods have previously been used in horses (Lansade et al., 2018). Moreover, the horses and heifers were observed throughout the experiment to monitor for the presence of potential injuries.

The animals were weighed (on two successive days) at the beginning and at the end of the grazing season to calculate daily liveweight gains.

2.3 | Sward measurements

SSH was measured each time the animals entered and were turned out from a subplot. The measurements were taken on parallel transects covering the entire subplot (approximately 200 sample points per subplot) at the first place where a stick contacted the sward surface. The SSH coefficient of variation (CV) was used as an indicator of sward heterogeneity (Eschen et al., 2012; Fleurance et al., 2016). As subplots B were not grazed the same number of times each year due to climatic conditions (see section 2.1), the influence of grazing management and date on sward structure was studied from

measurements performed in subplots A only. We also estimated the sward regrowth below 4 cm in VS patches (in mm/day) between two consecutive stocking periods of horses and cattle in subplots A of the mixed treatment as:

Sward regrowth below $4cm =$

VS mean SSH at the new entrance in $A - VS$ mean SSH at the previous removal of A number of days between the removal of animals and their new entrance

Sward regrowth ≤4 cm = (VS mean SSH at the new entrance in A – VS mean SSH at the previous removal of A)/number of days between the removal of animals and their new entrance with VS mean SSH calculated from the sward heights ≤4 cm in the subplot A.

The day before or the day after dietary choice measurements, we recorded at 400 sample points made in each subplot A: sward height type, dominant botanical family and the presence of horse dung within a radius of 1 m. We also determined herbage biomass separately for VS, VI, VT and reproductive swards by randomly cutting six 0.5 m² (10 cm \times 5 m-long) strips to ground level in each of these four sward height types. The quality of the herbage offered was assessed for each sward height type from three biomass samples that were selected randomly. The samples were dried at 60° C to a constant weight and analysed for CP (Dumas method $N \times 6.25$) and neutral detergent fibre content (NDF, according to the method of Van Soest et al. [1991]). The mean biomass and herbage quality were then estimated from the proportion of each sward height type (i.e., the number of times that sward height type i was encountered in subplot A/the total number of sample points in subplot A), which was multiplied by the herbage biomass and quality of each sward height type.

The botanical diversity was measured in June 2015 and 2017 on three 25-m-long fixed transects per subplot A and B (i.e., six transects per plot), with one sample location every metre. At each sample location, six points (from zero, absence; to six, exclusive presence) were distributed among the different plant species. The specific contribution of each plant species i at the transect scale was therefore (C ϵ_i , in %):

 $Cs_i =$ (total number of points awarded to species $i/150 \times 100$.

The occurrence of each plant species i at the transect scale (Os_i, in %) was estimated based on its presence at each sample point.

 Os_i = (total number of presences of species i/total number of presences of all species) \times 100.

Plant identification followed (Tutin et al., 1964) and, for Festuca species, (Kerguélen & Plonka, 1989). The Shannon index was used to calculate the evenness of the plant species distribution on each transect. The plant species were then split into grasses, legumes and forbs.

Pasture contamination by strongyle infective larvae was determined on three occasions (April, June and September) annually by randomly sampling four handfuls of grass in 100 locations in every subplot B (Gruner & Sauve, 1982). The grass material (600 g) was subsequently put in water with soap for 18 h overnight. The grass fibres were then filtered with 1-cm mesh before sequential filtering was performed with 125 and 20 μm mesh sieves. Infective larvae were collected in four 15-ml glass tubes and pelleted by centrifugation at

Daily grazing time (GT), dry matter digestibility (DMD), daily liveweight gain (LWG) and diet selection by horses (mean ± SE) according to grazing management and season TABLE 1 Daily grazing time (GT), dry matter digestibility (DMD), daily liveweight gain (LWG) and diet selection by horses (mean ± SE) according to grazing management and season TABLE₁

Abbreviations: Aut, autumn; Repro, reproductive; Sp, Spring; Sum, summer; VI, vegetative intermediate (5–8 cm); VS, vegetative short (≤4 cm); VT, vegetative tall (≥9 cm).

 \dagger Indicate a trend for selection (positive value) or avoidance (negative value) (p < .1).

Abbreviations: Aut, autumn; Repro, reproductive; Sp, spring; Sum, summer; VI, vegetative intermediate (5–8 cm); VS, vegetative short (≤4 cm); VT, vegetative tall (≥9 cm).

Abbreviations: Aut, autumn; Repro, reproductive; Sp, spring; Sum, summer; VI, vegetative intermediate (5–8 cm); VS, vegetative short (≤4 cm); VT, vegetative tall (≥9

Ë.

ίä.

 \dagger Indicate a trend for selection (positive value) or avoidance (negative value) (p < .1).

Indicate a trend for selection (positive value) or avoidance (negative value) (p

2.4 | Statistical analyses As the foraging behaviour (i.e., daily grazing time, Jacobs' index) of individuals in each species grazing the same subplot was not independent, the values for individuals in the same group were averaged to avoid pseudoreplication. For other animal measurements (i.e., diet digestibility, FEC and daily liveweight gain), individual values were analysed. Proportions were arcsine transformed prior to statistical analysis (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). We compared the daily grazing time of horses, Jacobs' indexes and sward characteristics (i.e., proportions of bite types available to animals, herbage biomass and quality, mean SSH and CV SSH) between the two modalities of grazing using the analysis of variance procedure of SAS Enterprise Guide (version 7.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) for repeated measurements (Littell et al., 1998). We tested for the effects of grazing management (mixed vs. monospecific grazing), season (or date for SSH), grazing management \times season (or date) while taking into account the effects of block, year and block \times year interaction. We considered the effect of block \times year interaction rather than the one of grazing management \times vear interaction since the block variable included the two grazing treatments and because this allowed us to test for the interaction related to the block itself. Subplot was used as the statistical unit. The significance of selection for (S_i >0) or against (S_i <0) each vegetation item was determined by comparing Jacobs' indexes to zero using Student's t-test. The influence of co-grazing with cattle on the digestibility

of the horses' diet was analysed in a model including the same fixed effects (i.e., grazing management, season, grazing management \times season, block, year, block \times year) and individual as a random effect. In both analyses, the differences between the treatments were investigated using a

Effects of the grazing management on parasite infection was tested both at the horse and pasture levels. In the first case, individual trajectories were either modelled as a continuous trait using a linear mixed model or as a binary indicator for the need of anthelmintic treatment (depending on whether individuals had FECs above or below 200 eggs/ g) using a logistic regression framework. In both cases, the variable response was modelled as the sum of fixed effects (grazing management, season, grazing management \times season, year, block and year x block) and an individual random effect accounting for inter-individual variation. To anticipate any epidemiological benefits, we tested for differences in pasture larval counts between grazing managements using a generalized linear model accounting for the same fixed effects and

Tukey correction for multiple comparisons.

assuming a negative binomial error distribution.

5000 g. The supernatant was discarded and replaced with 10 ml of dense NaCl solution ($d = 1.2$). A coverslip was added to the top of each tube before centrifugation at 1200 rpm to separate the remaining particles from the lighter larvae, which collected on the coverslip. The coverslip was then mounted on a glass slide and examined under light microscopy at $150\times$ magnification. The number of larvae recovered from 600 g of material was subsequently given in kilograms of DM.

lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$.

lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 05$

Mean sward surface height (SSH, cm) and its coefficient of variation (CV, %) (mean ± SE) in subplots A according to grazing management and date at each entrance of the animals

Mean sward surface height (SSH, cm) and its coefficient of variation (CV, %) (mean ± SE) in subplots A according to grazing management and date at each entrance of the animals

TABLE 3

TABLE

ന

Grass and Zero **12 459**
Forage Science **12 50 AVIL FY**

The horse daily liveweight gain during the grazing season was analysed in a model including the main effects of grazing management, block, year and the block \times year interaction. Individual was considered a random effect. The influence of grazing management and block on the abundance and number of plant species recorded in 2017 was tested with data from 2015 as a covariate. Transect was used as the statistical unit.

Finally, for the mixed plots, the Jacobs' indexes of horses and cattle were analysed using a model testing for the effects of species, season, species \times season and year. Jacobs' indexes were compared to zero using Student's *t*-test. Moreover, the short sward regrowth (i.e., below 4 cm in VS patches expressed in mm/day) between two stocking periods was analysed in a model including the effects of stocking period and year. This short sward regrowth was compared to zero using Student's t-test. For these analyses, subplot was used as the statistical unit and the differences between the treatments were investigated using a Tukey correction for multiple comparisons. The frequency of vigilance behaviour of the horses towards the cattle was calculated at the plot scale from the number of observations of states of vigilance over the total number of observations. Due to the small number of plots and the distribution of the data, non-parametric statistics were used (Wilcoxon test, Xlstats software, Addinsoft, Paris, France). The data are presented as the median (first quartile and third quartile) for each month, and two-tailed tests were performed. Due to the low frequency of agonistic behaviours, no statistical analyses were conducted on these data.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Influence of co-grazing with cattle on horse behaviour

Over the 3 years of observations, only seven agonistic interactions were reported in horses towards heifers (one head threatening and six pursuits), and none were reported in heifers towards horses. No injury was observed. Horses expressed vigilant postures towards cattle during the first month of mixed grazing (proportion of scans during which a vigilant posture was observed: 0.036 [0.014, 0.077]), but this vigilance significantly decreased to almost zero from the second month (0.002 $[0.002, 0.011], V = 45, p = .004$).

Co-grazing with cattle did not affect horse daily grazing time or their feeding choices (Table 1). The horses selected VS and VI patches and preferred bites dominated by grasses (Table 1). They used VT swards and legumes in proportion to their availability and rejected reproductive swards and forbs (Table 1). Horses in both treatments strongly rejected dead herbage and avoided feeding within 1 m of their faeces (Table 1).

Significant seasonal variations were observed for the daily grazing time that increased in summer and autumn (Table 1). In summer, horses avoided reproductive swards more strongly while they used forbs proportionally to their availability (Table 1). Horses selected grasses whatever the season, but less strongly in summer (Table 1).

3.2 | Comparison of feeding choices between horses and cattle in mixed plots

Cattle, unlike horses, used VS patches proportionally to their abundance and selected VT swards, especially in summer (Table 2). No significant differences in selection between the two species were found for the other bite types defined according to sward height type and dominant botanical family. Both the horses and cattle selected VI patches and avoided dead herbage areas and reproductive swards, even more so in summer for the latter (Table 2). Horses and cattle selected the different botanical families similarly (Table 2). The cattle avoided feeding near horse dung even though this avoidance was slightly less pronounced than in the horses (Table 2). The avoidance of horse dung by the two species was higher in spring compared to summer (Table 2).

3.3 | Herbage structure, biomass and quality

The mean SSH and its CV when the animals both entered and were turned out from each subplot A were not affected by grazing management (Tables 3 and 4). Mean SSH was the lowest from mid-August (Tables 3 and 4). The CV of SSH was greatest from July and at the end of August, when the animals entered and were turned out from each subplot A, respectively (Tables 3 and 4).

Grazing management did not affect the abundance of the different bite types available to animals, except for the reproductive swards, which were slightly more abundant in the cattle-horse pastures, and for the bites close to horse faeces, which were more abundant in plots grazed by horses only (Table 5). Total herbage biomass and quality (CP and NDF contents) were similar between the two treatments (Table 5). Significant seasonal variations were observed for the availability of VS and VI bites, which increased from spring to autumn while the abundance of VT sward decreased (Table 5). Reproductive swards no longer existed in autumn, and the availability of dead herbage and bites close to horse faeces were maximal in summer and autumn. Abundance of bite types dominated by grasses decreased significantly during the grazing season and forb abundance was minimal in summer. Finally, total herbage biomass decreased in autumn while herbage quality was minimal in summer (Table 5).

In the mixed plots, the short patch regrowth (below 4 cm) between two stocking periods was 0.6 ± 0.2 mm/day (±SE) (Student's t-test, $p = .001$) on average, with regrowth tending to be slower in August (F_{4,8} = 2.94, p = .091), independently of year (F_{2,4} = 0.52, $p~=~$ 0.628). The mean SSH in the VS patches was therefore 3.9 $\,$ \pm 0.2 cm (\pm SE) when the animals entered a new subplot. The mean CP and NDF contents of these short patches were 125.5 ± 4.8 g/kg DM and 574.6 ± 9.1 g/kg DM (\pm SE), respectively.

3.4 | Botanical composition

The abundance of the main plant species in the third year of contrasted pasture management (June 2017) is given in Appendice SB.

Mean sward surface height (SSH, cm) and its coefficient of variation (CV, %) (mean ± SE) in subplots A according to grazing management and date at each removal of the animals Mean sward surface height (SSH, cm) and its coefficient of variation (CV, %) (mean ± SE) in subplots A according to grazing management and date at each removal of the animals \overline{a} TABLE 4 TABLE

lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < .05$.

lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Proportions of bite types available to animals (defined according to sward height type or dominant botanical family), herbage biomass and quality in subplots A according to grazing TABLE 5 Proportions of bite types available to animals (defined according to sward height type or dominant botanical family), herbage biomass and quality in subplots A according to grazing management and season (mean ± SE) management and season (mean ± SE) TABLE 5

same lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p \prec .05$.

same lines with different superscripts are significantly different at $p < .05$.
Abbreviations: Aut, autumn; CP, crude protein; DM, dry matter; NDF, neutral detergent fibre; Repro, reproductive; Sp, spring; Sum, summer; VI, Abbreviations: Aut, autumn; CP, crude protein; DM, dry matter; NDF, neutral detergent fibre; Repro, reproductive; Sp, spring; Sum, summer; VI, vegetative intermediate (5–8 cm); VS, vegetative short (≤4 cm); VT, vegetative tall (≥9 cm).

Block \blacksquare 3 \rightleftharpoons 5 \rightleftharpoons 18

FIGURE 1 Faecal egg count distribution across grazing management and time. The distribution of the faecal egg count (eggs/g) is represented by boxplots across the considered timepoints. The colours correspond to the experimental block, and the values of each panel correspond to a given grazing management approach

We did not find any effect of grazing management on plant species richness at the transect scale $(17.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ SE}, F_{1,31} = 0.42,$ $p = .521$) or on the evenness of plant species distribution (0.818 ± 0.008 SE, $F_{1,31} = 0.31$, $p = .580$) in 2017. The evenness of plant species distribution was however affected by block ($F_{2,31}$ = 7.62, $p = .002$, details in Appendice SA5). The abundance of the different botanical families (in percentage) was similar among grazing management treatments when considering the specific contributions of species (Cs) (grasses: 63.5 ± 1.8 SE, $F_{1,31} = 0.00$, $p = .981$; legumes: 5.5 ± 1.8 0.7 SE, $F_{1,31} = 0.01$, $p = .938$; forbs: 30.9 ± 1.5 SE, $F_{1,31} = 2.38$, $p = .133$). However, we found a slightly higher occurrence of forbs in the plots grazed by horses alone (forbs were recorded at $35.9\% \pm 1.1$ SE of sampling points in horse-grazed plots vs. $33.0\% \pm 1.3$ SE in mixed grazing treatment, $F_{1,31} = 8.06$, $p = .008$). The abundance of grasses and forbs were also affected by block ($F_{2,31} = 4.75$, $p = .016$ for grasses and $F_{2,31} = 4.79$, $p = .015$ for forbs; details in Appendice SA5).

3.5 | Parasitology

Faecal egg excretion did not significantly differ between the two grazing management treatments, with a difference of 11 eggs/g only throughout the study period ($F_{1,265} = 0.005$, $p = .945$). Similarly, we did not find any significant effect of grazing treatment on the number of individuals in need of deworming (odds ratio $= 1.25 \pm 3.24$; $p = 0.850$). No significant difference in larval density was found between the two grazing treatments when total larvae counts were divided by the number of horses grazing in each plot $\chi^2_{1} = 0.25, p = .617$).

FEC showed an increasing excretion pattern throughout the grazing season ($F_{2,265} = 45.4$, $p < 10^{-4}$, Figure 1), reaching its highest levels in autumn (877 \pm 97 more eggs/g than in spring on average). This pattern matched the levels of pasture larval contamination that was also the highest in autumn (3516 \pm 3865 in autumn compared with 581 ± 1274 L3/kg of DM in spring-summer, $\chi_1^2 = 6.3$, $p = .024$). Significant variation in the prevalence of horses in need of deworming and in pasture contamination occurred between years ($p = .003$ and $p = 0.043$, respectively; details in Appendice SA6). However, the grazing management \times season interaction, the block \times year interaction and the block effect were never significant.

3.6 | Animal performance

Co-grazing with cattle did not modify the digestibility of the horses' diet, which was maximal in spring (Table 1). The average horse daily liveweight gain (in g per day) was similar between the horses grazed alone or with cattle (Table 1). The daily liveweight gain of the heifers averaged 779 $g/d \pm 34$ SE.

4 | DISCUSSION

Horses exhibited long daily grazing duration and typical patterns of their diet selection (Duncan, 1980; Edouard et al., 2010; Lamoot et al., 2005b) whether they grazed alone or with cattle. Our results suggest that young saddle horses quickly acclimated to dehorned heifers and that their co-grazing presents little risk of agonistic interactions or injury. These findings extend what was observed in other interspecific combinations with only few interspecific tensions observed as long as space was not limiting, for example, between red deer and sheep (Blanc et al., 1999), or heifers and sows (Sehested et al., 2004).

In line with the observations made in previous comparative studies, horses and cattle strongly selected grasses (Fleurance et al., 2016; Karmiris et al., 2011; Ménard et al., 2002), and grazed legumes (i.e., Trifolium repens) in proportion to their availability. Although ruminants are better able to detoxify plant secondary metabolites than horses (Duncan, 1992), cattle did not consume more forbs than did horses. This result is in line with most previous observations made in semi-natural habitats (Cromsigt et al., 2018; Lamoot et al., 2005a; Vulink et al., 2000), although (Ménard et al., 2002) reported a stronger use of forbs by cattle compared to horses. Discrepancies between studies probably result from variations in forb availability and palatability. Overall, both species avoided bites dominated by forbs in our study (as in Karmiris et al. [2011]), although the main forb species, P. lanceolata and Taraxacum gr. vulgare (accounting for 10% and 6% of sward cover, respectively) are highly palatable to cattle (e.g., [Dumont et al., 2011; Stewart, 1996]). In summer, the horses increased forb consumption and used them proportionally to their availability in the sward. This may result from a low palatability of grasses that remained green; for example, of Dactylis glomerata, a drought-tolerant species that accounted for 18% of sward cover (Allen et al., 2013).

The horses also exhibited a typical patch grazing pattern (Adler et al., 2001), selecting the highly nutritive short (≤ 4 cm) and intermediate (5–8 cm) patches where they could maximize the intake rate of digestible proteins (Edouard et al., 2010). They used tall vegetative swards (≥9 cm) in proportion to their availability and avoided reproductive and dead herbage despite their ability to consume roughage (Duncan et al., 1990; Edouard et al., 2008). Horses increased their grazing time from 12 to 17 h over the grazing season, probably to compensate for lower herbage availability and digestibility (Arnold, 1984; Fleurance et al., 2016). The cattle used high-quality VS patches in proportion to their availability. This result differs from a number of previous studies in which cattle were excluded from the lawns (1–4 cm) selected by horses, where they could not meet their daily requirements (Cornelissen & Vulink, 2015; Edwards & Hollis, 1982; Lopez Lopez et al., 2019; Ménard et al., 2002). Here, the strong use of the VS patches by cattle probably resulted from the alternate stocking of animals between two subplots, which let short swards regrow to an average of 3.9 cm before animals entered the plots again. Further research comparing this alternate stocking management to continuous stocking at the same stocking density is needed to confirm that alternate stocking could have limited the use of tall mature and dead grass material by cattle. An additional explanation can be found in the high selectivity of Limousin cattle (D'Hour et al., 1995).

A consequence of the unexpected similarity between horses and cattle choices is that the sward height variability did not differ between the monospecific and mixed grazing treatments. We thus did

not validate our hypothesis that mixed grazing between horses and cattle would homogenize sward structure compared to horses grazing alone. Cattle, by avoiding the reproductive and dead herbage areas as did horses, also did not improve herbage quality nor the digestibility of the horses' diet. We could also not evidence any reduction of parasite egg excretion in horses grazing with cattle although recorded excretion levels were in a higher range of values - thereby ensuring a fair comparison between the two management systems - and matched the expected seasonal variation under temperate area (Salle et al., 2021; Wood et al., 2012). In this study, 40% of the horse faeces were recorded in the reproductive and dead herbage areas which were avoided by the cattle. By selecting vegetative regrowths, the cattle avoided grazing close to horse dungs and thus ingested few parasitic larvae, which limited their ability to remove parasites from the environment. While (Forteau et al., 2020) have recently reported that mixed grazing with cattle could partly control strongyle infection in horses thanks to parasite dilution, our findings suggest that mixed grazing would require an appropriate management of herds and plots to decrease horse parasite burden rather than being applied as a turn-key solution. It is a core principle of any agroecological management to account for local and seasonal conditions (Bland & Bell, 2007; Ravetto Enri et al., 2017) so that ecological processes, such as the interactions between system components, can provide the expected benefits.

Another logical consequence of the similarity of horse daily grazing time, diet digestibility and faecal excretion between grazing treatments is that horse liveweight gains were similar whether horses grazed alone or in presence of cattle. Liveweight gains of horses and heifers along the grazing season met growth recommendations (INRA, 2015, 2018), suggesting that neither species was limited in its intake by this herd management. While mesophile grasslands are commonly used in horse production systems in Europe, very few references report how grazing horses impact their biodiversity (Fleurance et al., 2016; Mangels et al., 2017; Schmitz & Isselstein, 2020). This is unfortunate as mesophile grasslands play a significant role for the preservation of biodiversity in temperate ecosystems and because a number of ecological functions and ecosystem services (e.g., recycling of nutrients, pollination) are closely linked with sward functional diversity (Dumont et al., 2013). Here, biodiversity effects were very limited due to the short time-course of the survey that is unlikely to induce drastic shifts in vegetation communities, and to the lack of impacts of grazing management on sward structure. In wet grasslands of high nature value of western France (Loucougaray et al., 2004), 6 years of continuous grazing by horses and cattle produced swards with higher species richness than monospecific horse grazing, and in this case changes in biodiversity reflected changes in sward structure in the mixed-grazing treatment.

5 | CONCLUSION

This is the first study to simultaneously assess the effects of mixing horses with cattle on vegetation use, herbage structure and quality, and horse parasitism. Alternate stocking of co-grazing horses and

cattle between two subplots in this mesophile grassland provided high-quality sward regrowth on the shortest patches, which remained accessible to cattle. Consequently, cattle used these short patches in proportion to their availability and avoided reproductive and dead herbage where horse faeces were concentrated. This could have limited their potential for removing parasites from the environment. Moreover, co-grazing horses and cattle did not reduce sward structural heterogeneity and therefore did not improve herbage quality. We conclude that for improving horses' performances through mixed grazing with cattle, one must constrain cattle to graze on horse refusals. This could be achieved with cattle breeds having low requirements and a high ability to feed on roughages. Continuous stocking could also limit the regrowth of short patches, leading cattle to switch to horse refusals. Further research should therefore search for the combinations of pasture management and ratio between the two species that could provide the best equilibrium between horses performances and ecosystem integrity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was performed with financial support from IFCE, the Integrated Management of Animal Health meta-programme (GISA-Strep), and INRAE and Région Auvergne–Rhône-Alpes under the programme Pour et Sur le Développement Régional Auvergne (PSDR4 2015-2020). The authors thank the staff of the IFCE experimental farm–Cédric Dubois, Patrice Dupuy, Guillaume Faivre and Tristan Jousset–for their technical assistance. We also thank Laurent Lanore, Frédéric Anglard, Anne Farruggia, Cécile Ginane, Sandrine Faure, Fabienne Picard, Aline le Morvan, Lorène Salis, and Angélique Torrent from INRAE UMR 1213 and Jacques Cortet from INRAE UMR 1282. We are grateful to Michel Frain for monitoring sward diversity and to the students Louise Mion, Charlotte Richard, Floriane Bekri, Maëlle Lefeuvre, Soline Boussaroque, Flavie Rousset, Alix Romec, Julia Martin and Flavie Brun.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Géraldine Fleurance <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3221-9026>

REFERENCES

- Adler, P., Raff, D., & Lauenroth, W. (2001). The effect of grazing on the spatial heterogeneity of vegetation. Oecologia, 128(4), 465–479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100737>
- Allen, E., Sheaffer, C., & Martinson, K. (2013). Forage nutritive value and preference of cool-season grasses under horse grazing. Agronomy Journal, 105(3), 679–684. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0300>
- Animut, G., & Goetsch, A. L. (2008). Co-grazing of sheep and goats: Benefits and constraints. Small Ruminant Research, 77(2–3), 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2008.03.012>
- Arnold, G. W. (1984). Comparison of the time budgets and circadian patterns of maintenance activities in sheep, cattle and horses grouped together. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 13, 19–30.
- Blanc, F., Theriez, M., & Brelurut, A. (1999). Effects of mixed-species stocking and space allowance on the behaviour and growth of

red deer hinds and ewes at pasture. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 63(1), 41–53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591\(98\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(98)00242-1) [00242-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(98)00242-1)

- Bland, W. L., & Bell, M. M. (2007). A Holon approach to agroecology. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 5(4), 280-294. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2007.9684828) doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2007.9684828
- Cornelissen, P., & Vulink, J. T. (2015). Density-dependent diet selection and body condition of cattle and horses in heterogeneous landscapes. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 163, 28–38. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.12.008) [1016/j.applanim.2014.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.12.008)
- Cromsigt, J. P. G. M., Kemp, Y. J. M., Rodriguez, E., & Kivit, H. (2018). Rewilding Europe's large grazer community: How functionally diverse are the diets of European bison, cattle, and horses? Restoration Ecology, 26(5), 891–899. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12661>
- Cuchillo-Hilario, M., Wrage-Monnig, N., & Isselstein, J. (2018). Forage selectivity by cattle and sheep co-grazing swards differing in plant species diversity. Grass and Forage Science, 73(2), 320–329. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12339) [org/10.1111/gfs.12339](https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12339)
- d'Alexis, S., Sauvant, D., & Boval, M. (2014). Mixed grazing systems of sheep and cattle to improve liveweight gain: A quantitative review. Journal of Agricultural Science, 152(4), 655–666. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859613000622) [1017/S0021859613000622](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859613000622)
- D'Hour, P., Petit, M., & Garel, J. P. (1995). Components of grazing behaviour of 3 breeds of heifers. Annales de Zootechnie, 44, 270.
- Dumont, B., Carrere, P., Ginane, C., Farruggia, A., Lanore, L., Tardif, A., Decqu, F., Darsonville, O., & Louault, F. (2011). Plant-herbivore interactions affect the initial direction of community changes in an ecosystem manipulation experiment. Basic and Applied Ecology, 12(3), 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2011.02.011>
- Dumont, B., Fortun-Lamothe, L., Jouven, M., Thomas, M., & Tichit, M. (2013). Prospects from agroecology and industrial ecology for animal production in the 21st century. Animal, 7(6), 1028–1043. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731112002418) [org/10.1017/S1751731112002418](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731112002418)
- Duncan, P. (1980). Time-budgets of Camargue horses II. Time-budgets of adult horses and weaned sub-adults. Behaviour, 72(1–2), 26–49. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853980X00023>
- Duncan, P. (1992). Horses and grasses: The nutritional ecology of equids and their impact on the Camargue (pp. 109–111). Springer-Verlag.
- Duncan, P., Foose, T. J., Gordon, I. J., Gakahu, C. G., & Lloyd, M. (1990). Comparative nutrient extraction from forages by grazing bovids and equids: A test of the nutritional model of equid/bovid competition and coexistence. Oecologia, 84(3), 411–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00329768>
- Edouard, N., Duncan, P., Dumont, B., Baumont, R., & Fleurance, G. (2010). Foraging in a heterogeneous environment-an experimental study of the trade-off between intake rate and diet quality. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 126(1–2), 27–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2010.05.008) [applanim.2010.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2010.05.008)
- Edouard, N., Fleurance, G., Martin-Rosset, W., Duncan, P., Dulphy, J. P., Grange, S., Baumont, R., Dubroeucq, H., Pérez-Barbería, F. J., & Gordon, I. J. (2008). Voluntary intake and digestibility in horses: Effect of forage quality with emphasis on individual variability. Animal, 2(10), 1526–1533. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108002760>
- Edwards, P. J., & Hollis, S. (1982). The distribution of excreta on new forest grassland used by cattle, ponies and deer. Journal of Applied Ecology, 19(3), 953–964. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2403296>
- Eschen, R., Brook, A. J., Maczey, N., Bradbury, A., Mayo, A., Watts, P., Buckingham, D., KPA, W., & Peach, W. J. (2012). Effects of reduced grazing intensity on pasture vegetation and invertebrates. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment, 151, 53–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.01.017) [agee.2012.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.01.017)
- Fleurance, G., Duncan, P., Fritz, H., Cabaret, J., Cortet, J., & Gordon, I. J. (2007). Selection of feeding sites by horses at pasture: Testing the anti-parasite theory. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 108(3–4), 288– 301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2006.11.019>
- Fleurance, G., Duncan, P., Fritz, H., Cabaret, J., & Gordon, I. J. (2005). Importance of nutritional and anti-parasite strategies in the foraging decisions of horses: An experimental test. Oikos, 110(3), 602–612. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.13428.x>
- Fleurance, G., Duncan, P., & Mallevaud, B. (2001). Daily intake and the selection of feeding sites by horses in heterogeneous wet grasslands. Animal Research, 50(2), 149–156. [https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:](https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:2001123) [2001123](https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:2001123)
- Fleurance, G., Farruggia, A., Lanore, L., & Dumont, B. (2016). How does stocking rate influence horse behaviour, performances and pasture biodiversity in mesophile grasslands? Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 231, 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.06.044>
- Forteau, L., Dumont, B., Sallé, G., Bigot, G., & Fleurance, G. (2020). Horses grazing with cattle have reduced strongyle egg count due to the dilution effect and increased reliance on macrocyclic lactones in mixed farms. Animal, 14(5), 1076–1082. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119002738) [S1751731119002738](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119002738)
- Giles, C. J., Urquhart, K. A., & Longstaffe, J. A. (1985). Larval cyathostomiasis (immature trichonema-induced enteropathy): A report of 15 clinical cases. Equine Veterinary Journal, 17(3), 196–201. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.1985.tb02469.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.1985.tb02469.x
- Gruner, L., & Sauve, C. (1982). The distribution of trichostrongyle infective larvae on pasture and grazing behaviour in calves. Veterinary Parasitology, 11(2–3), 203–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017\(82\)90043-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4017(82)90043-7)
- Henning, K., Lorenz, A., von Oheimb, G., Hardtle, W., & Tischew, S. (2017). Year-round cattle and horse grazing supports the restoration of abandoned, dry sandy grassland and heathland communities by supressing Calamagrostis epigejos and enhancing species richness. Journal for Nature Conservation, 40, 120–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2017.10.009>
- Herd, R. P. (1990). The changing world of worms—the rise of the cyathostomes and the decline of Strongylus vulgaris. Compendium on Continuing Education for the Practicing Veterinarian, 12(5), 732–736.
- INRA. (2015). Equine nutrition: INRA nutrient requirements, recommended allowances and feed tables. Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- INRA. (2018). INRA feeding systems for ruminants. Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- Jacobs, J. (1974). Quantitative measurement of food selection: A modification of the forage ratio and Ivlev's electivity index. Oecologia, 14(4), 413–417. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00384581>
- Jerrentrup, J. S., Komainda, M., Seither, M., Cuchillo-Hilario, M., Wrage-Monnig, N., & Isselstein, J. (2020). Diverse swards and mixed-grazing of cattle and sheep for improved productivity. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 3(125), 1-14. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00125) [00125](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00125)
- Karmiris, I., Platis, P. D., Kazantzidis, S., & Papachristou, T. G. (2011). Diet selection by domestic and wild herbivore species in a coastal Mediterranean wetland. Annales Zoologici Fennici, 48(4), 233–242. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5735/086.048.0404) [org/10.5735/086.048.0404](https://doi.org/10.5735/086.048.0404)
- Kerguélen, M., & Plonka, F. (1989). Les Festuca de la flore de France (Corse comprise) (Fescues of the flora of France, including Corsica). Bulletin de la Société Botanique du Centre-Ouest, numéro spécial, 10, 1-368.
- Lamoot, I., Meert, C., & Hoffmann, M. (2005a). Habitat use of ponies and cattle foraging together in a coastal dune area. Biological Conservation, 122(4), 523–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.09.009>
- Lamoot, I., Vandenberghe, C., Bauwens, D., & Hoffmann, M. (2005b). Grazing behaviour of free-ranging donkeys and Shetland ponies in different reproductive states. Journal of Ethology, 23(1), 19–27. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10164-004-0123-5) [10.1007/s10164-004-0123-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10164-004-0123-5)
- Lansade, L., Foury, A., Reigner, F., Vidament, M., Guettier, E., Bouvet, G., Soulet, D., Parias, C., Ruet, A., Mach, N., Lévy, F., & Moisan, M. P. (2018). Progressive habituation to separation alleviates the negative effects of weaning in the mother and foal. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 97, 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.07.005>
- Lichtenfels, J. R., Kharchenko, V. A., & Dvojnos, G. M. (2008). Illustrated identification keys to strongylid parasites (strongylidae: Nematoda) of horses, zebras and asses (Equidae). Veterinary Parasitology, 156(1), 4–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.04.026>
- Littell, R. C., Henry, P. R., & Ammerman, C. B. (1998). Statistical analysis of repeated measures data using SAS procedures. Journal of Animal Science, 76(4), 1216–1231. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1998.7641216x>
- Lopez, C., Celaya, R., Ferreira, L., Garcia, U., Machado Rodrigues, M. A., & Osoro, K. (2019). Comparative foraging behaviour and performance between cattle and horses grazing in heathlands with different proportions of improved pasture area. Journal of Applied Animal Research, 47, 377–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2019.1649679>
- Loucougaray, G., Bonis, A., & Bouzillé, J.-B. (2004). Effects of grazing by horses and/or cattle on the diversity of coastal grasslands in western France. Biological Conservation, 116, 59–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00177-0) [S0006-3207\(03\)00177-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00177-0)
- Mahieu, M. (2013). Effects of stocking rates on gastrointestinal nematode infection levels in a goat/cattle rotational stocking system. Veterinary Parasitology, 198(1–2), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.08.029>
- Mandaluniz, N., Aldezabal, A., & Oregui, L. M. (2011). Diet selection of beef cattle on Atlantic grassland-heathland mosaic: Are heathers more preferred than expected? Livestock Science, 138, 49-55. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2010.12.002) [org/10.1016/j.livsci.2010.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2010.12.002)
- Mangels, J., Fiedler, K., Schneider, F. D., & Bluthgen, N. (2017). Diversity and trait composition of moths respond to land-use intensification in grasslands: Generalists replace specialists. Biodiversity and Conservation, 26(14), 3385–3405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1411-z>
- Marley, C. L., Fraser, M. D., Davies, D. A., Rees, M. E., Vale, J. E., & Forbes, A. B. (2006). The effect of mixed or sequential grazing of cattle and sheep on the faecal egg counts and growth rates of weaned lambs when treated with anthelmintics. Veterinary Parasitology, 142(1-2), 134–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.06.030>
- Martin, G., Barth, K., Benoit, M., Brock, C., Destruel, M., Dumont, B., Grillot, M., Hübner, S., Magne, A., Moerman, M., Mosnier, C., Parsons, D., Ronchi, B., Schanz, L., & Steinmetz, L. (2020). Potential of multi-species livestock farming to improve the sustainability of livestock farms: A review. Agricultural Systems, 181, 102821. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102821) [org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102821](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102821)
- Ménard, C., Duncan, P., Fleurance, G., Georges, J. Y., & Lila, M. (2002). Comparative foraging and nutrition of horses and cattle in European wetlands. Journal of Applied Ecology, 39(1), 120–133. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00693.x) [10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00693.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00693.x)
- Mesochina, P., Martin-Rosset, W., Peyraud, J. L., Duncan, P., Micol, D., & Boulot, S. (1998). Prediction of the digestibility of the diet of horses: Evaluation of faecal indices. Grass and Forage Science, 53(2), 189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2494.1998.5320189.x>
- Morhain, B. (2011). Systèmes fourragers et d'alimentation du cheval dans différentes régions françaises. Fourrages, 207, 155–163.
- Nielsen, M. K., Branan, M. A., Wiedenheft, A. M., Digianantonio, R., Scare, J. A., Bellaw, J. L., Garber, L. P., Kopral, C. A., Phillippi-Taylor, A. M., & Traub-Dargatz, J. L. (2018). Anthelmintic efficacy against equine strongyles in the United States. Veterinary Parasitology, 259, 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2018.07.003>
- Nolan, T., & Connolly, J. (1989). Mixed vs. mono-grazing by steers and sheep. Animal Production, 48(3), 519–533. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003356100004049) [s0003356100004049](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003356100004049)
- Nolan, T., Pulina, G., Sikosana, J. L. N., & Connolly, J. (1999). Mixed animal type grazing research under temperate and semi-arid conditions. Outlook on Agriculture, 28(2), 117–128. [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/003072709902800209) [003072709902800209](https://doi.org/10.1177/003072709902800209)
- Nolte, S., van der Weyde, C., Esselink, P., Smit, C., van Wieren, S. E., & Bakker, J. P. (2017). Behaviour of horses and cattle at two stocking densities in a coastal salt marsh. Journal of Coastal Conservation, 21(3), 369–379. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-017-0515-7>

14 WII FY Forage Science **14** FLEURANCE ET AL.

- Ödberg, F. O., & Francis-Smith, K. (1977). Studies on the formation of ungrazed eliminative areas in fields used by horses. Applied Animal Ethology, 3, 27–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3762\(77\)90068-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3762(77)90068-2)
- Ravetto Enri, S., Probo, M., Farruggia, A., Lanore, L., Blanchetete, A., & Dumont, B. (2017). A biodiversity-friendly rotational grazing system enhancing flower-visiting insect assemblages while maintaining animal and grassland productivity. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 241, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.030>
- Rocha, R. A., Bresciani, K. D. S., Barros, T. F. M., Fernandes, L. H., Silva, M. B., & Amarante, A. F. T. (2008). Sheep and cattle grazing alternately: Nematode parasitism and pasture decontamination. Small Ruminant Research, 75(2–3), 135–143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2007.09.001) [smallrumres.2007.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2007.09.001)
- Rupprecht, D., Gilhaus, K., & Holzel, N. (2016). Effects of year-round grazing on the vegetation of nutrient-poor grass- and heathlands-evidence from a large-scale survey. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment, 234, 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.02.015>
- Salle, G., Canlet, C., Cortet, J., Koch, C., Malsa, J., Reigner, F., Riou, M., Perrot, N., Blanchard, A., & Mach, N. (2021). Integrative biology defines novel biomarkers of resistance to strongylid infection in horses. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 14278. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93468-2) [s41598-021-93468-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93468-2)
- Salle, G., Cortet, J., Bois, I., Dubes, C., Guyot-Sionest, Q., Larrieu, C., Landrin, V., Majorel, G., Wittreck, S., Woringer, E., Couroucé, A., Guillot, J., Jacquiet, P., Guégnard, F., Blanchard, A., & Leblond, A. (2017). Risk factor analysis of equine strongyle resistance to anthelmintics. International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance, 7(3), 407–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2017.10.007>
- Salle, G., Guillot, J., Tapprest, J., Foucher, N., Sevin, C., & Laugier, C. (2020). Compilation of 29 years of postmortem examinations identifies major shifts in equine parasite prevalence from 2000 onwards. International Journal for Parasitology, 50(2), 125–132. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.11.004) [10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.11.004)
- Scheibe, K. M., Schleusner, T., Berger, A., Eichhorn, K., Langbein, J., Dal Zotto, L., & Streich, W. J. (1998). ETHOSYS (R)—New system for recording and analysis of behaviour of free-ranging domestic animals and wildlife. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 55(3–4), 195–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(97\)00072-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(97)00072-5)
- Schmitz, A., & Isselstein, J. (2020). Effect of grazing system on grassland plant species richness and vegetation characteristics: Comparing horse and cattle grazing. Sustainability, 12(8), 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12083300>
- Sehested, J., Soegaard, K., Danielsen, V., Roepstorff, A., & Monrad, J. (2004). Grazing with heifers and sows alone or mixed: Herbage quality, sward structure and animal weight gain. Livestock Production Science, 88(3), 223–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livprodsci.2003.11.008>
- Sokal, R. R., & Rohlf, F. J. (1995). Biometry (3rd ed.). W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Stewart, A. V. (1996). Plantain (Plantago lanceolata)—a potential pasture species. Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association, 58, 77– 86. <https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzg.1996.58.2221>
- Taylor, E. L. (1954). Grazing behaviour and helminthic disease. British Journal of Animal Behaviour, 2, 61–62.
- Tutin, T. G., Heywood, V. H., Burges, N. A., Valentine, D. H., Walters, S. M., & Webb, D. A. (1964). Flora Europaea (Vol. 1-5). Cambridge University Press.
- Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B., & Lewis, B. A. (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science, 74(10), 3583–3597. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(91\)](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2) [78551-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2)
- Verdu, J. R., Lobo, J. M., Sanchez-Pinero, F., Gallego, B., Numa, C., Lumaret, J. P., Cortez, V., Ortiz, A. J., Tonelli, M., García-Teba, J. P., Rey, A., Rodriguez, A., & Duran, J. (2018). Ivermectin residues disrupt dung beetle diversity, soil properties and ecosystem functioning: An interdisciplinary field study. Science of the Total Environment, 618, 219–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.331>
- Vulink, J. T., Drost, H. J., & Jans, L. (2000). The influence of different grazing regimes on Phragmites- and shrub vegetation in the well-drained zone of a eutrophic wetland. Applied Vegetation Science, 3(1), 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1478920>
- Wood, E. L. D., Matthews, J. B., Stephenson, S., Slote, M., & Nussey, D. H. (2012). Variation in fecal egg counts in horses managed for conservation purposes: Individual egg shedding consistency, age effects and seasonal variation. Parasitology, 140(1), 115-128. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118201200128X) [1017/S003118201200128X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118201200128X)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Fleurance, G., Sallé, G., Lansade, L., Wimel, L., & Dumont, B. (2022). Comparing the effects of horse grazing alone or with cattle on horse parasitism and vegetation use in a mesophile pasture. Grass and Forage Science, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12564>