

The MIK2/SCOOP Signaling System Contributes to Arabidopsis Resistance Against Herbivory by Modulating Jasmonate and Indole Glucosinolate Biosynthesis

Elia Stahl, Angel Fernandez Martin, Gaétan Glauser, Marie-Charlotte Guillou, Sébastien Aubourg, Jean-Pierre Renou, Philippe Reymond

▶ To cite this version:

Elia Stahl, Angel Fernandez Martin, Gaétan Glauser, Marie-Charlotte Guillou, Sébastien Aubourg, et al.. The MIK2/SCOOP Signaling System Contributes to Arabidopsis Resistance Against Herbivory by Modulating Jasmonate and Indole Glucosinolate Biosynthesis. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2022, 13, pp.852808. 10.3389/fpls.2022.852808 . hal-03701614

HAL Id: hal-03701614 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03701614

Submitted on 22 Jun2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





The MIK2/SCOOP Signaling System Contributes to Arabidopsis Resistance Against Herbivory by Modulating Jasmonate and Indole Glucosinolate Biosynthesis

Elia Stahl^{1*}, Angel Fernandez Martin¹, Gaétan Glauser², Marie-Charlotte Guillou³, Sébastien Aubourg³, Jean-Pierre Renou³ and Philippe Reymond¹

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Corina Vlot, Helmholtz Center München, Helmholtz Association of German Research Centres (HZ), Germany

Reviewed by:

Yasuhiro Kadota, RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science (CSRS), Japan Yusuke Saijo, Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST), Japan

> *Correspondence: Elia Stahl elia.stahl@unil.ch

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Pathogen Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 11 January 2022 Accepted: 22 February 2022 Published: 23 March 2022

Citation:

Stahl E, Fernandez Martin A, Glauser G, Guillou M-C, Aubourg S, Renou J-P and Reymond P (2022) The MIK2/SCOOP Signaling System Contributes to Arabidopsis Resistance Against Herbivory by Modulating Jasmonate and Indole Glucosinolate Biosynthesis. Front. Plant Sci. 13:852808. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.852808 ¹ Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ² Neuchâtel Platform of Analytical Chemistry, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland, ³ Institut de Recherche en Horticulture et Semences, UMR 1345, INRAE, Agrocampus-Ouest, Université d'Angers, Beaucouzé, France

Initiation of plant immune signaling requires recognition of conserved molecular patterns from microbes and herbivores by plasma membrane-localized pattern recognition receptors. Additionally, plants produce and secrete numerous small peptide hormones, termed phytocytokines, which act as secondary danger signals to modulate immunity. In Arabidopsis, the Brassicae-specific SERINE RICH ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDE (SCOOP) family consists of 14 members that are perceived by the leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase MALE DISCOVERER 1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR LIKE KINASE 2 (MIK2). Recognition of SCOOP peptides elicits generic early signaling responses but knowledge on how and if SCOOPs modulate specific downstream immune defenses is limited. We report here that depletion of MIK2 or the single PROSCOOP12 precursor results in decreased Arabidopsis resistance against the generalist herbivore Spodoptera littoralis but not the specialist Pieris brassicae. Increased performance of S. littoralis on mik2-1 and proscoop12 is accompanied by a diminished accumulation of jasmonic acid, jasmonate-isoleucine and indolic glucosinolates. Additionally, we show transcriptional activation of the PROSCOOP gene family in response to insect herbivory. Our data therefore indicate that perception of endogenous SCOOP peptides by MIK2 modulates the jasmonate pathway and thereby contributes to enhanced defense against a generalist herbivore.

Keywords: SCOOPs, phytocytokines, MIK2, plant-insect interactions, herbivory, glucosinolates, JA, JA-Ile

HIGHLIGHTS

- The *PROSCOOP* gene family is activated in response to insect herbivory and SCOOP perception contributes to Arabidopsis resistance against *Spodoptera littoralis* by regulating jamsonate and indole glucosinolate biosynthesis.

1

INTRODUCTION

In nature, plants are challenged by numerous biotic stressors throughout their life cycle and they have thus evolved sophisticated ways to respond to these attacks. Induction of robust plant immunity relies on pathogen and herbivore recognition. Plants activate immune signaling upon perception of non-self herbivore- and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (HAMPs, PAMPs) and self-derived damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Perception of those patterns is ensured by plasma membrane-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Macho and Zipfel, 2014; Erb and Reymond, 2019; Ngou et al., 2021; Reymond, 2021). Additionally, plants are able to detect physical damage by wounding occurring during herbivore feeding. Upon perception of various HAMPs, PAMPs, and DAMPs, overlapping downstream signaling steps include membrane depolarization, a rapid Ca²⁺ influx, phosphorylation of the immune regulatory mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and transcriptional reprogramming (Fürstenberg-Hägg et al., 2013; Bigeard et al., 2015; Bjornson et al., 2021). Although early signaling events are commonly activated by plants in response to various pests, hormonal and metabolic responses are more distinct and specific to the nature of the invading organism. Plant immunity against biotrophic microbial pathogens is mainly controlled by salicylic acid (SA), whereas immunity against necrotrophic pathogens and herbivores is primarily orchestrated by jasmonic acid (JA) in its bioactive form jasmonate-isoleucine (JA-Ile) (Pieterse et al., 2012; Erb and Reymond, 2019; Peng et al., 2021). Efficient plant immunity requires moreover the endogenous production of numerous metabolites with direct antimicrobial and/or insecticidal activity (Stahl et al., 2018; Erb and Kliebenstein, 2020). One of the best-studied examples of insecticidal metabolites are glucosinolates that are found in plants of the order Brassicales. Aliphatic- and indole-glucosinolates (AGLs, IGLs) derive from methionine and tryptophan, respectively, and are constitutively produced as preformed defense compounds. Their biosynthesis is additionally inducible by various pest attacks, including insect infestation (Burow and Halkier, 2017). Upon tissue disruption, AGLs and IGLs are hydrolyzed by β -thioglucoside glucohydrolases to toxic aglycones, which can react spontaneously with biological nucleophiles and modify proteins and nucleic acids in the insect body (Pastorczyk and Bednarek, 2016). Inducible glucosinolate biosynthesis requires a functional JA signaling pathway and Arabidopsis mutants with disrupted JA signaling and glucosinolate biosynthesis or hydrolysis are highly susceptible to various arthropods, emphasizing the relevance of these pathways for robust immunity of cruciferous plants against herbivory (Barth and Jander, 2006; Beekwilder et al., 2008; Schweizer et al., 2013; Erb and Kliebenstein, 2020).

Plant generate and secrete numerous peptide hormones as signaling molecules which regulate growth, development and reproduction (Okuda, 2021). Additionally, several plant peptides act as immunogenic patterns. They serve as danger cell-to-cell signaling molecules to modulate immunity and are called phytocytokines (Luo, 2012; Gust et al., 2017; Yamaguchi and Kawasaki, 2021). HAMPs, PAMPs, DAMPs and phytocytokines are recognized by PRRs and largely overlap in early signaling events upon perception. However, how and if distinct phytocytokines modulate specific downstream immune responses is so far not well understood. The Brassicae-specific PRECURSOR OF SERINE-RICH ENDOGENOUS PEPTIDES (PROSCOOP) gene family consists of 14 homologs which encode for precursors of 14 SCOOP peptides in Arabidopsis (Gully et al., 2019). Various SCOOPs act as phytocytokines and activate immune responses in Arabidopsis, while SCOOP12 is suggested to function in regulating immunity but also in activating phospholipid signaling pathways and ROS production, thus controlling root development (Gully et al., 2019; Rhodes et al., 2021). The leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase (LRR-RK) MALE DISCOVERER 1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 2 (MIK2) was recently shown to be the common PRR for SCOOP peptides. SCOOP12 directly binds to the ectodomain of MIK2 and *mik2* mutant plants are insensitive to treatment with various synthetic SCOOP peptides. Moreover, perception of SCOOPs requires functional BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1-ASSOCIATED KINASE 1 (BAK1) and SCOOP12 causes a complex formation between MIK2 and the BAK1 co-receptor (Gully et al., 2019; Hou et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021).

Phytocytokines are primarily described to function in immunity against microbial phytopathogens but knowledge on if and how phytocytokines modulate plant immunity against herbivorous insects is limited. In this study, we provide evidence that SCOOP peptide perception by MIK2 promotes herbivore-inducible IGL biosynthesis by modulating the JA signaling pathway and thereby contributes to Arabidopsis resistance against insect infestation. We moreover show enhanced transcription of the *PROSCOOP* gene family in response to herbivory and mechanical wounding, illustrating their role as phytocytokines whose perception by MIK2 can modulate plant immunity against herbivorous insects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants, Insects, and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana plants were vernalized for 2 days at 4°C and were cultivated in individual pots containing moist compost (Jiffy Substrates) in a controlled environmental growth chamber with a 10 h day/14 h night cycle. Experiments were conducted with 5-week-old plants. Mutant lines used in this study were described previously: *scoop12* CRISPR-Cas9-generated mutant in Col-0 background (Gully et al., 2019), *scoop12* T-DNA insertion line in Ws background (Gully et al., 2019) and *mik2-1* (Van der Does et al., 2017).

Spodoptera littoralis (Egyptian cotton worm) eggs were obtained from Syngenta (Stein AG; Switzerland). For hatching, S. littoralis eggs were incubated for 48 h at 28°C. Pieris *brassicae* (Large White butterfly) was reared in a greenhouse on *Brassica oleracea* var. *gemmifera* as described previously (Bonnet et al., 2017).

Insect Performance, Herbivory and Mechanical Wounding

For measurements of insect performance, 15–20 freshly hatched *P. brassicae* or 40–65 freshly hatched *S. littoralis* larvae were placed on 11 plants per genotype in transparent plexiglass boxes. *P. brassicae* and *S. littoralis* larvae were allowed to feed on those plants for 10 and 12 days respectively and individual larval weights were determined subsequently on a high precision balance (Mettler-Toledo; XP205DR, Switzerland).

Samples for JA, glucosinolate and gene expression analysis were taken after 2 days of *S. littoralis* feeding. Eight to ten uniformly infested fully developed leaves from 8 to 10 individual plants were harvested per sample and experiment. Eight to ten healthy leaves from 8 to 10 noninfested plants served as controls. The full samples were homogenized and aliquots were used for JA, RNA and glucosinolate extractions.

For mechanical wounding, 15 leaves of three plants (five leaves per plant) were wounded by cutting four holes (1 mm radius) per leave. Wounded leaves were harvested 4 and 24 h post wounding. Fifteen healthy non-wounded leaves from three plants served as controls. The 15 leaves were pooled to one sample per experiment, homogenized and aliquots were used for RNA extractions.

SCOOP12 Treatment

The SCOOP12 peptide (PVRSSQSSQAGGR) was synthesized by Eurogentec SA (Angers, France) and diluted in distilled Milli-Q H₂O to final concentrations used for the experiments. Twelve uniformly developed healthy leaves out of six individual plants were infiltrated with 1 μ M SCOOP12 per experiment, using a 1 ml needleless syringe. Twelve leaves out of six different individual plants, infiltrated with distilled H₂O, served as controls. Samples were taken 24 h after the infiltration. The 12 leaves were pooled to one sample per experiment, homogenized and aliquots were used for glucosinolate and RNA extractions.

Measurement of Jasmonic Acid and Jasmonate-Isoleucine

Analysis of JA and JA-Ile was performed using a protocol adapted from Glauser et al. (2014). Briefly, approximately 100 mg of leaf material was extracted in 990 ul of ethylacetate:formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) and 10 μ l of an internal standard solution containing JA-d₅ and JA-Ile-¹³C₆ at 100 ng/ml. After centrifugation, the pellet was re-extracted with 0.5 ml of ethylacetate:formic acid (99.5:0.5, v/v) and both supernatants were combined and evaporated at 35°C. The dried residue was reconstituted in 0.2 ml of methanol 50% and 2 μ l were injected in a UHPLC-MS/MS system composed of an Acquity UPLC (Waters) and a QTRAP 6500+ (Sciex). The final concentration of internal standards was 5 ng/ml.

Glucosinolate Analysis

Determination of aliphatic and indole glucosinolates was performed as described previously by Glauser et al. (2012) with minor modifications. Briefly, approximately 50 mg of homogenized leaf material was weighted and suspended in 1 ml ice-cold methanol:water:formic acid (70:30:0.1) by vortexing. Five small glass beads where added per sample and samples were shaken for 3 min at 30 Hz in a Qiagen TissueLyser II bead mill. Samples were centrifuged for 3 min at 14,000 × *g* and 200 μ l of the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Subsequently, a small aliquot was dissolved 10-fold with the extraction solvent and injected into an Acquity UPLC I-class coupled to a Synapt XS QTOF (Waters) for absolute quantification of glucosinolates as described previously (Glauser et al., 2012). Glucosinolate levels are given in μ g g⁻¹ fresh weight.

Gene Expression Analysis

Analysis of gene expression was conducted as described previously (Stahl et al., 2020). In short, total RNA extraction was performed by the use of the Relia Prep RNA Tissue Mini Prep System (Promega). For reverse transcription by M-MLV reverse transcriptase 1 µg of total RNA was used. cDNA synthesis was conducted in triplicates and obtained cDNA was diluted eightfold with water for subsequent quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis. qPCR analysis was performed in a total volume of 20 µl containing 10 mL of Brilliant III Ultra Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent), 0.2 μ M of each primer, 0.03 μ M of reference dye (ROX) and 2 µl of cDNA on a QuantStudio three real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Scientific) with the following temperature program: 95°C for 3 min, then 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C and 20 s at 60°C. Primers for qPCR analysis used in this study are given in Supplementary Table S6.

Reactive Oxygen Species Measurement

Leaf disks (4 mm-diameter) were harvested from 4-week-old plants. Two leaf disks from six individual plants per genotype and treatment were used for the analysis and were floated overnight in 100 μ l distilled H₂O in a white 96-well plate (Thermo Scientific). For ROS assay, the water was removed and replaced with 100 μ l assay solution, containing 10 μ g ml⁻¹ PierceTM horseradish peroxidase (Thermo Scientific) and 100 μ M of L-012 (Merck). Luminescence was measured immediately after the addition of 1 μ M SCOOP12 for 60 min (1 measurement per minute) on a HIDEX Sense microplate reader with an integration time of 0.1 s. Leaf disks treated with distilled H₂O served as controls.



FIGURE 1 | MIK2 contributes to Arabidopsis immunity against a generalist herbivore. (A) Insect performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* on Col-0 and *mik2-1. S. littoralis* larvae were feeding on 5-week-old plants for 12 days. Means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates are shown. Asterisks denote statistical differences between larvae feeding Col-0 and *mik2-1*: ****P* < 0.001 (Mann–Whitney *U* test). Symbols indicate individual values and symbol shapes (circle, square, and triangle) indicate different biological replicates. (**B**,**C**) Jasmonate levels in Col-0 and *mik2-1*. Absolute levels of total JA (**B**) and JA-IIe (**C**) were measured by UHPLC-MS/MS after 2 days of *S. littoralis* feeding. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

Reproducibility of Experiments and Statistical Analyses

All results presented in this study represent the mean \pm SEM of three independent biological experiments, except the ROS measurements for verification of SCOOP12 activity and

non-responsiveness of *mik2-1* (**Supplementary Figure S5A**), which were conducted once with six individual plants per genotype and treatment. Different biological experiments are indicated with different symbol shapes (circle, square and triangle) in the corresponding figures. Normal distribution of the data was determined by Shapiro–Wilk test. Statistical differences for pairwise comparisons for insect bioassays were evaluated by Mann–Whitney *U* test. Statistical differences between *S. littoralis*-inducible transcript levels were determined by a ratio paired *t*-test, pairing different biological replicates. Multiple comparisons between glucosinolate and JA levels were performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's HSD *post-hoc* test. The choice of statistical analysis is given in the corresponding figure/table legend.

RESULTS

MIK2 Is Involved in Arabidopsis Resistance Against Herbivorous Insects

Transcriptional profiling of roots of Arabidopsis seedlings revealed an upregulation of genes involved in plant immunity and indole glucosinolate biosynthesis upon SCOOP12 perception (Guillou et al., 2021). MIK2 is the common receptor for SCOOP peptides in Arabidopsis and mik2 mutants are insensitive to various SCOOP peptides (Hou et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021). We therefore tested if knocking out MIK2 results in amended plant-responses to herbivorous insects. Five-week-old mik2-1 plants were infested with freshly hatched larvae of the generalist Spodoptera littoralis and the specialist Pieris brassicae for 12 and 10 days, respectively, and larval weight was determined subsequently to measure insect performance. Interestingly, larvae of the generalist S. littoralis gained significantly more weight on *mik2-1* compared to the Col-0 wild-type control (Figure 1A). These results were supported by more consumed leaf material of mik2-1 during the bioassay and an increased average size of S. littoralis larvae (Supplementary Figure S1A). By contrast, P. brassicae larvae were significantly smaller when feeding on mik2-1 (Supplementary Figure S1B). Plant defense against herbivorous insects is primarily regulated by JA in its bioactive form JA-Ile (Howe et al., 2018). We therefore measured the accumulation of JA and JA-Ile upon S. littoralis infestation in Col-0 and mik2-1 (Figures 1B,C). In accordance with increased S. littoralis performance on mik2-1, S. littoralis-inducible levels of JA and JA-Ile were significantly diminished in *mik2-1* compared to Col-0, implying a functional role for MIK2 in modulating the JA pathway in response to herbivorous arthropods.

Then, to investigate if increased *S. littoralis* performance on *mik2-1* is due to altered glucosinolate levels, we measured levels of IGLs and AGLs in response to *S. littoralis* infestation. Levels of IGLs increased in response to *S. littoralis* feeding in Col-0 and this accumulation was significantly reduced in *mik2-1* (**Figure 2A** and **Supplementary Table S1**). However, constitutive IGL levels were not affected in *mik2-1*, indicating that SCOOP perception could promote *S. littoralis* inducible IGLs but is not implicated in basal IGL accumulation. The total amount of AGLs



FIGURE 2 MIK2 regulates indole glucosinolate biosynthesis in response to herbivory. **(A,B)** Glucosinolate levels in Col-0 and *mik2-1*. Absolute levels of total IGLs **(A)** and AGLs **(B)** were measured by UPLC-QTOF after 2 days of *Spodoptera littoralis* feeding. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates. Values for individual glucosinolate species are given in **Supplementary Table S1**. **(C)** Expression of genes involved in indole glucosinolate biosynthesis. Expression of *CYP79B2*, *CYP79B3*, *CYP83B1*, and *GSTF9* was measured by qPCR after 2 days of *Spodoptera littoralis* feeding and normalized to the housekeeping gene *SAND*. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological experiments. Asterisks denote statistical differences between *S*. *littoralis*-induced expression levels of Col-0 and *mik2-1*: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, n.s., no significant difference (ratio paired *t*-test). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

did not change upon *S. littoralis* feeding, irrespective of the genotype (**Figure 2B** and **Supplementary Table S1**). Of note, the AGL 7-methylthioheptyl-glucosinolate (7MTH) accumulated significantly in response to *S. littoralis* infestation in Col-0 but not in *mik2-1* (**Supplementary Table S1**).

We next measured if genes involved in IGL biosynthesis were differentially regulated in *mik2-1* upon herbivory. The two cytochrome P450 monooxygenases CYP79B2 and CYP79B3 catalyze an initial step in IGL biosynthesis by converting Trp into indole-3-acetaldoxime (IAOx), which serves as a precursor for several indole-derived metabolites (Zhao et al.,

2002). The cytochrome P450 monooxygenases CYP83B1 and the glutathione-S-transferase 9 (GSTF9) are involved in metabolizing IAOx to glucobrassicin (I3M), which constitutes the main IGL in Arabidopsis (Sønderby et al., 2010; **Supplementary Tables S1–S3**). *CYP79B2, CYP79B3, CYP83B1* and *GSTF9* transcript levels increased in response to *S. littoralis* infestation in Col and *mik2-1* (**Figure 2C**). However, *S. littoralis*-induced *CYP79B3, CYP83B1* and *GSTF9* were significantly reduced in *mik2-1* compared to Col-0, indicating a regulatory role for SCOOP peptide perception in herbivore-inducible IGL biosynthesis. Similar transcriptional patterns were observed for the basic



FIGURE 3 | *PROSCOOP12* modulates Arabidopsis defense against *Spodoptera littoralis*. (A) Insect performance of *S. littoralis* on *proscoop12* mutants in Col-0 and Ws backgrounds. *S. littoralis* larvae were feeding on 5-week-old plants for 12 days. Means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates are shown. Asterisks denote statistical differences between mutant plants and wild-type controls: ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 (Mann–Whitney *U* test). Symbols indicate individual values and symbol shapes (circle, square, and triangle) indicate different biological replicates. (B,C) Jasmonate levels in *proscoop12* mutants and the corresponding wild-type controls. Absolute levels of total JA (B) and JA-IIe (C) were measured by UHPLC-MS/MS after 2 days of *S. littoralis* feeding. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

helix-loop-helix transcription factor *MYC2*, the *VEGETATIVE STORAGE PROTEIN 2* (*VSP2*) and the *JASMONATE ZIM DOMAIN PROTEINS 5* and *10* (*JAZ5, JAZ10*), all of which constitute a hallmark of induced immunity against herbivorous insects. Consistent with decreased *S. littoralis*-inducible JA levels in *mik2-1*, this data suggests that MIK2 modulates plant immunity against herbivorous insects via the JA pathway, of which IGL biosynthesis constitutes one of the downstream elements. However, a significant difference for gene expression was only observed for *MYC2* (**Supplementary Figure S2**).

PROSCOOP12 Contributes to Indole Glucosinolate Biosynthesis in Response to Herbivory

The involvement of MIK2 in Arabidopsis immunity upon herbivory led to the question if depletion of a single SCOOP peptide affects activation of plant immune signaling in response to herbivore infestation. Due to upregulation of genes involved in plant immunity and indole glucosinolate biosynthesis in response to SCOOP12 perception (Guillou et al., 2021), we tested if mutations in the precursor gene *PROSCOOP12* result



in attenuated plant immunity against herbivorous insects. The experiments were conducted with two independent knock-out mutants, a CRISPR-Cas9 line in the Col-0 background and a T-DNA insertion line in the Wassilewskija (Ws) background (Gully et al., 2019). We first measured S. littoralis performance on the two proscoop12 mutants and the corresponding wildtype controls. S. littoralis gained significantly more weight on proscoop12 compared to the corresponding wild-type control, consistent with the effect observed for mik2-1 (Figure 3A). Larvae of the specialist P. brassicae gained the same weight irrespective of the genotype they were allowed to feed on (Supplementary Figure S3). JA and JA-Ile accumulated in Col-0, Ws and the two proscoop12 mutants in response to S. *littoralis* attack (Figures 3B,C). In line with data obtained with mik2-1, herbivore-inducible JA and JA-Ile levels were reduced in proscoop12, although significant differences could just be observed in the Col-0 background.

Then, we measured the activation of IGL biosynthesis in *proscoop12* and the corresponding wild-type controls. Strikingly, levels of IGLs increased upon *S. littoralis* infestation in Col-0 and Ws but this accumulation was less pronounced in *proscoop12* mutants (**Figure 4A** and **Supplementary Table S2**), consistent with reduced JA and JA-Ile levels in the same lines

(Figures 3B,C). Again, the total amount of AGLs did not change upon *S. littoralis* feeding, irrespective of the genotype (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table S2).

We next examined the expression of CYP79B2, CYP79B3, CYP83B1 and GSTF9 upon S. littoralis infestation in Col-0, Ws and proscoop12 mutant lines (Figure 5). All four genes were induced in Col-0 and Ws in response to S. littoralis infestation and there was a general trend for lower induction in the proscoop12 mutant (Ws background). However, a significant difference was only observed for CYP79B2, CYP79B3 and CYP83B1. Also, these genes were equally induced in proscoop12 and wild-type in the Col-0 background with the exception of CYP97B2 which showed a minor but significant reduced induction in proscoop12 (Col-0 background). Similar tendencies were observed for MYC2, VSP2, JAZ5, and JAZ10 (Supplementary Figure S4), supporting the hypothesis that by activating MIK2, SCOOP peptides indirectly contribute to plant defense against herbivorous insects by modulating the JA pathway.

The attenuated plant defense against *S. littoralis* in *proscoop12* and *mik2-1* mutants led to the question if activation of a SCOOP/MIK2 complex could regulate defense signaling. We therefore tested if exogenous application of SCOOP12 is

sufficient to activate the above described responses. We first verified SCOOP12 activity and SCOOP-insensitivity of mik2-1 by the ability of the peptide to activate apoplastic ROS production (Supplementary Figure S5A; Rhodes et al., 2021). Next, we infiltrated leaves of Col-0 and mik2-1 with 1 µM of SCOOP12 and measured accumulation of IGLs, AGLs and transcript levels of CYP79B2, CYP79B3 and MYC2 24 h later. Although SCOOP12 treatment is sufficient to activate the rapid and transient production of extracellular ROS in a MIK2dependent manner (Supplementary Figure S5A; Hou et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021; Gully et al., 2019), infiltration of SCOOP12 did not lead to increased levels of glucosinolates (Supplementary Figures S5B,C and Supplementary Table S3) or to increased expression of CYP79B2, CYP79B3 and MYC2 (Supplementary Figure S5D). Therefore, this indicates that perception of SCOOP12 alone is not sufficient to activate plant defense against herbivorous insects and implies a regulatory role for SCOOP12 perception downstream of the initial recognition of herbivore attack to enhance plant immunity.

Various *PROSCOOPs* Are Induced Upon Herbivory and Mechanical Wounding

The SCOOP family consists of 14 different SCOOP peptides in Arabidopsis for which several members are suggested to partially overlap in their functionality as immunogenic patterns (Gully et al., 2019; Rhodes et al., 2021). We thus measured the transcript levels of genes for the precursors *PROSCOOP1* to *PROSCOOP14* upon *S. littoralis* infestation by qPCR (**Figure 6A** and **Supplementary Table S4**). Transcript levels of various *PROSCOOPs*, including *PROSCOOP1*, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12, were induced in Col-0 and/or Ws, suggesting a generic involvement of SCOOP peptides in Arabidopsis immunity against herbivorous insects. Notably, *PROSCOOP6* transcripts were not detectable in Ws, which is consistent with a lack of *PROSCOOP6* reads in RNAseq experiments in Ws and illustrates the natural variation in *PROSCOOP* duplicated genes between Arabidopsis ecotypes (Guillou et al., 2021).

Beside the recognition of HAMPs, wounding is an important component of plant responses to chewing herbivores (Stahl et al., 2018). Therefore, we next determined transcript levels of the 14 *PROSCOOPs* in response to mechanical wounding (**Figure 6B**; **Supplementary Table S5**). Consistent with *PROSCOOP* induction upon *S. littoralis* feeding, transcript levels of *PROSCOOP4*, *5*, *6*, *7* and *8* accumulated in Col-0 4 h post wounding and similar patterns could be observed 24 h post wounding although the response was less pronounced.

DISCUSSION

Plants activate immune signaling upon recognition of various self and non-self molecules (Gust et al., 2017; Yamaguchi and Kawasaki, 2021). PAMPs and HAMPs are involved in initial pathogen and herbivore recognition, respectively, whereas phytocytokines are secreted by plants to modulate immunity and thereby act as secondary danger signals. Primary and



Expression of *CYP79B2*, *CYP79B3*, *CYP83B1*, and *GSTF9* was measured by qPCR after 2 days of *Spodoptera littoralis* feeding on *proscoop12* mutants in CoI-0 (**A**) and Ws (**B**) backgrounds. Expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene *SAND*. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical differences between *S. littoralis*-induced expression levels in *proscoop12* and the corresponding wild-type control: **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, n.s., no significant difference (ratio paired *t*-test). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

secondary signals are perceived by PRRs and share common early signaling events upon perception (Gust et al., 2017). Previous studies have reported crucial roles for several phytocytokines, such as plant elicitor peptides (PEPs), PAMP-induced peptides (PIPs) and systemin, as they reinforce plant immunity against various pests (Huffaker et al., 2006, 2011, 2013; Hou et al., 2014; Klauser et al., 2015; Shinya et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018; Poretsky et al., 2020). We show here enhanced expression of the *PROSCOOP* gene family in response to herbivory in two Arabidopsis accessions, indicating a role for



these phytocytokines in Arabidopsis resistance against chewing herbivores. Additionally, genes coding for several PROSCOOPs were also induced in wounded plants, highlighting wounding as an important component of herbivory recognition and confirming partial overlapping transcriptional changes upon insect infestation and mechanical wounding in Arabidopsis (Reymond et al., 2000). Depletion of the generic SCOOP receptor MIK2 or the single PROSCOOP12 precursor led to increased performance of the generalist S. littoralis, emphasizing a potential role for SCOOP peptide synthesis and perception in Arabidopsis resistance against herbivorous insects. Given that differences in larval performance were more pronounced on mik2-1, the SCOOP peptide family might have additive effects on plant resistance against chewing insects. These observations are in line with recent reports, which suggest that the SCOOP peptide family shares MIK2 as a common receptor in Arabidopsis and overlap in their functionality as immunogenic patterns (Hou et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021). Notably, PROSCOOP12 transcript levels just moderately increased in response to S. littoralis infestation. Therefore, an interesting question for further investigations is if the depletion of highly induced PROSCOOP precursors, such as PROSCOOP2, 3 and 7, leads to attenuated immunity against herbivorous insects in Arabidopsis. Active plant peptides are derived from precursor proteins by proteolytic cleavage (Hander et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). However, proteases processing PROSCOOP precursors to generate SCOOP peptides have not been identified yet. Hence, characterization of these proteases and processing of

PROSCOOPs in response to herbivory are important aspects which deserve further investigations.

Interestingly, increased performance of S. littoralis on mik2-1 and proscoop12 was accompanied by a diminished accumulation of JA and JA-Ile, which constitute the primary mediators of plant immunity against insect herbivores (Howe et al., 2018). A similar trend was found for the JA-signaling genes MYC2, JAZ5 and JAZ10, and the JA-responsive marker *VSP2.* Collectively, this suggests that SCOOP peptide perception by MIK2 modulates Arabidopsis immunity against chewing herbivores by targeting the JA pathway. However, the precise molecular mechanism that connects SCOOP perception to JA signaling is currently unknown and will require further investigation. One of the best characterized JA-dependent defense response against herbivorous insects in Arabidopsis is the biosynthesis of glucosinolates. Arabidopsis resistance against chewing herbivores highly relies on glucosinolates, which are produced and stored in Arabidopsis constitutively and act as phytoanticipins in basal immunity. Their synthesis is also induced in response to various pathogen attacks and insect infestation and their breakdown products exert direct insecticidal activity (Barth and Jander, 2006; Beekwilder et al., 2008; Schweizer et al., 2013; Pastorczyk and Bednarek, 2016; Erb and Kliebenstein, 2020). Interestingly, S. littoralisinducible but not basal IGL levels were lower in mik2-1 and *proscoop12* compared to the corresponding controls, indicating a regulatory role for SCOOP peptide perception in herbivore-inducible IGL biosynthesis. This hypothesis is strengthened by recent findings, which show an upregulation of genes involved in IGL biosynthesis in response to SCOOP12 treatment in roots of Arabidopsis seedlings (Guillou et al., 2021). Therefore, the lower S. littoralis-inducible IGL levels in mik2-1 and proscoop12 likely explain the increased S. littoralis performance on these lines. As for larval performance and jasmonate levels, the differences in herbivore-inducible IGL levels were more pronounced in *mik2-1* compared to *proscoop12*, suggesting again additive effects of diverse SCOOP peptides on Arabidopsis resistance against this generalist herbivore. By contrast, performance of the specialist P. brassicae was either reduced (in mik2-1) or not affected (in proscoop12) by altered IGL levels and this is presumably due to its ability to detoxify glucosinolates (Schlaeppi et al., 2008; Schweizer et al., 2013). Indeed, P. brassicae recognizes appropriate host plants by detecting the presence of glucosinolates (Schweizer et al., 2013). Therefore, the lower performance of P. brassicae on mik2-1 might be explained by less feeding stimulants due to lower IGL levels, a phenomenon described previously (Barth and Jander, 2006). Further studies with adapted and non-adapted herbivores will be needed to confirm the specific role of MIK2 and SCOOP peptides in IGL-dependent resistance.

Activation of indole metabolism in Arabidopsis is not limited to herbivore-infested plants. For instance, accumulation of IGLs and other indolics was reported in response to various abiotic and biotic stressors such as ROS, PAMPs, microbial pathogens and insect egg recognition (Sewelam et al., 2014; Frerigmann, 2016; Frerigmann et al., 2016; Stahl et al., 2016; Alfonso et al., 2021). Genes coding for several PROSCOOPs are induced in response to Botrytis cinerea and Pseudomonas syringae in Arabidopsis and it will be therefore an interesting aspect for further investigations to study if and how SCOOP peptides contribute to the synthesis of IGLs and other indolics in these conditions (Gully et al., 2019). We measured here the expression of CYP79B2, CYP79B3, CYP83B1 and GSTF9, which all catalyze crucial steps in IGL biosynthesis. All four genes were induced in the wild-type controls, *mik2-1* and *proscoop12* after S. littoralis feeding. Nonetheless, this induction was often lower in mik2-1 and in some cases moderately decreased in *prosccop12* and we assume that this explains the strongly decreased S. littoralis-inducible IGL levels observed in mik2-1 and the slightly decreased ones in proscoop12. Thereby, SCOOP peptides might modulate IGL biosynthesis moderately and subtle decreased expression at each biosynthetic step may result in overall decreased IGL levels. However, expression analysis of selected genes at one specific timepoint just reveals a snapshot of the complex transcriptional reprogramming following herbivore attack. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that SCOOP perception mediates another crucial step in IGL biosynthesis than the induction of the above-mentioned genes. An alternative explanation for reduced herbivore-inducible IGL levels in mik2-1 and procoop12 is a potential involvement of SCOOPs in modulating post-transcriptional regulation of IGL biosynthesis. For instance, protein phosphatase 2A-dependent dephosphorylation of enzymes involved in IGL biosynthesis has

been reported previously to be a crucial component of IGL biosynthesis in Arabidopsis (Rahikainen et al., 2017).

We additionally tested if exogenous application of SCOOP12 elicits an activation of IGL biosynthesis. However, infiltration of SCOOP12 did not lead to an increased accumulation of IGLs, expression of genes involved in IGL biosynthesis or expression of MYC2, suggesting that SCOOP12 is not an elicitor of these responses. Natural wounding by chewing insects is a complex situation involving the recognition of numerous of HAMPs and DAMPs, hydrostatic pressure changes, secretion and perception of phytocytokines and is altered by herbivore-derived effectors (Consales et al., 2012; Farmer et al., 2014; Gust et al., 2017; Stahl et al., 2018; Erb and Reymond, 2019; Snoeck et al., 2022). Based on this complexity, we believe that it is unlikely that one pattern alone is sufficient to trigger the signaling cascade leading to the activation of IGL biosynthesis and suggest a scenario in which SCOOP peptide perception by MIK2 could boost the JA pathway downstream of initial herbivore recognition for robust plant immunity. However, we cannot formally exclude the possibility that exogenous application of highly inducible SCOOPs, such as SCOOP2, 3 and 7, could induce IGL levels, which is an interesting aspect that deserves further analysis.

Previous studies have demonstrated a dampened immune response upon on *S. littoralis* attack in the PEP-insensitive Arabidopsis mutant *pepr1pepr2*. Indeed, *S. littoralis* performed better on *pepr1pepr2* and this effect was accompanied by reduced accumulation of JA and JA-Ile in the mutant (Klauser et al., 2015). Moreover, it was shown recently that transcript levels of several members of the *PROSCOOP* gene family are induced in Arabidopsis in response to PEP treatment (Gully et al., 2019). An interesting aspect for further investigations will be thus to study if SCOOPs and PEPs convergently modulate the same signaling pathways to strengthen Arabidopsis immunity against herbivorous insects.

MIK2 was previously reported to be a crucial component of Arabidopsis resistance against the fungal pathogen Fusarium oxysporum and is required for elicitation of immune signaling in response to proteinaceous Fusarium extracts (Van der Does et al., 2017; Coleman et al., 2021). Intriguingly, Fusarium proteomes encode several SCOOP-like sequences and the corresponding synthetic peptides induce immune signaling in Arabidopsis in a MIK2-dependent manner (Hou et al., 2021; Rhodes et al., 2021). Therefore, MIK2 exhibits a unique dual recognition ability by perceiving conserved peptide motifs from endogenous phytocytokines and microbial pathogens. Robust immunity of cruciferous plants against several phytopathogenic fungi, including F. oxysporum, relies on functional glucosinolate biosynthesis (Humphry et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2021). Hence, the involvement of SCOOP peptides as potential modulators of glucosinolate biosynthesis to strengthen immunity against fungal pathogens is an additional intriguing aspect for future investigations.

In summary, our data indicate that SCOOP peptide perception by the LLR-RK MIK2 contributes to Arabidopsis resistance against herbivorous insects by promoting JA and IGL biosynthesis. These results illustrate how phytocytokine-mediated signaling modulates a core defense pathway that is initiated by the primary recognition of HAMPs and wounding.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ES, AFM, and GG conducted the experiments and evaluated the data under the supervision of PR. M-CG, SA, and J-PR performed initial experiments in a preliminary phase of the project. ES and PR conceptualized the research and wrote the manuscript with feedback from all authors.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grants No 310030_200372 to PR).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Blaise Tissot (University of Lausanne) for his help to grow plants for the *P. brassicae* colony and Oliver Kindler (Syngenta, Stein, Switzerland) for providing *S. littoralis* eggs. We also thank Kay Gully (University of Lausanne) for providing the SCOOP12 peptide, critically reading the manuscript and helpful discussions on the project.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022. 852808/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | Insect performance of *Spodoptera littoralis* and *Pieris brassicae* on Col-0 and *mik2-1*. **(A)** Representative photographs of Col-0 and *mik2-1* plants (above) and larvae (below) after 12 days of *S. littoralis* feeding.

REFERENCES

- Alfonso, E., Stahl, E., Glauser, G., Bellani, E., Raaymakers, T. M., Van den Ackerveken, G., et al. (2021). Insect eggs trigger systemic acquired resistance against a fungal and an oomycete pathogen. *New Phytol.* 232, 2491–2505. doi: 10.1111/nph.17732
- Barth, C., and Jander, G. (2006). Arabidopsis myrosinases TGG1 and TGG2 have redundant function in glucosinolate breakdown and insect defense. Plant J. 46, 549–562. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02716.x
- Beekwilder, J., Van Leeuwen, W., Van Dam, N. M., Bertossi, M., Grandi, V., Mizzi, L., et al. (2008). The impact of the absence of aliphatic glucosinolates on insect herbivory in *Arabidopsis. PLoS One* 3:e2068. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.000 2068

(B) Insect performance of *P. brassicae* on Col-0 and *mik2-1. P. brassicae* larvae were feeding on 5-week-old plants for 10 days. Means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates are shown. Asterisks denote statistical differences between weights of *P. brassicae* larvae feeding on Col-0 or *mik2-1*: **P* < 0.05 (Mann–Whitney *U* test). Symbols indicate individual values and symbol shapes (circle, square and triangle) indicate different biological replicates.

Supplementary Figure S2 | Expression of JA-related genes in Col-0 and *mik2-1*. Expression levels of *MYC2*, *VSP2*, *JAZ5*, and *JAZ10* was measured by qPCR after 2 days of *Spodoptera littoralis* feeding and normalized to the housekeeping gene *SAND*. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical differences between *S. littoralis*-induced expression levels of Col-0 and *mik2-1*: **P* < 0.05, n.s., no significant difference (ratio paired *t*-test). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

Supplementary Figure S3 | Depletion of *PROSCOOP12* does not affect Arabidopsis immunity against the specialist *Pieris brassicae*. Insect performance of *P. brassicae* on *proscoop12* mutants in Col-0 and Ws backgrounds. *P. brassicae* larvae were feeding on 5-week-old plants for 10 days. Means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates are shown. n.s., no significant difference in larval weights between *proscoop12* mutant and the corresponding wild-type control (Mann–Whitney *U* test). Symbols indicate individual values and symbol shapes (circle, square, and triangle) indicate different biological replicates.

Supplementary Figure S4 | Expression of JA-related genes in *proscoop12* and the corresponding wild-type controls. Expression of *MYC2*, *VSP2*, *JAZ5*, and *JAZ10* was measured by qPCR after 2 days of *S. littoralis* feeding on *proscoop12* mutants in CoI-0 (**A**) and Ws (**B**) backgrounds. Expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene *SAND*. Non-infested plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Asterisks denote statistical differences between *S. littoralis*-induced expression levels of *proscoop12* and the corresponding wild-type control: **P* < 0.05, n.s., no significant difference (ratio paired *t*-test). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

Supplementary Figure S5 | Exogenous application of SCOOP12. (A) Left: Production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), in relative light units (RLU), in leaf disks collected from 4-week-old Col-0 and mik2-1 plants elicited by 1 µM SCOOP12. Data points represent means from 12 individual leaf disks \pm SEM. Right: Integrated ROS production in the same assay over 60 min. Values represent means \pm SEM. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Symbols indicate individual leaf disks. (B,C) Glucosinolate levels in Col-0 and mik2-1 upon SCOOP12 treatment. Absolute levels of total IGLs (B) and AGLs (C) were measured by UPLC-QTOF 24 h after infiltration with 1 µM SCOOP12. H_2O -infiltrated plants served as controls. Values represent means \pm SEM of three independent biological replicates. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates. Values for individual glucosinolate species are given in Supplementary Table S3. (D) Expression of CYP79B2, CYP79B3 and MYC2 was measured by qPCR 24 h after SCOOP12 infiltration (1 µM) and normalized to the housekeeping gene SAND. H₂O-infiltrated plants served as controls. Letters denote statistical differences (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD). Different symbols indicate different biological replicates.

- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling mechanisms in patterntriggered immunity (PTI). *Mol. Plant* 8, 521–539. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2014.12. 022
- Bjornson, M., Pimprikar, P., Nürnberger, T., and Zipfel, C. (2021). The transcriptional landscape of *Arabidopsis thaliana* pattern-triggered immunity. *Nat. Plants* 7, 579–586. doi: 10.1038/s41477-021-00874-5
- Bonnet, C., Lassueur, S., Ponzio, C., Gols, R., Dicke, M., and Reymond, P. (2017). Combined biotic stresses trigger similar transcriptomic responses but contrasting resistance against a chewing herbivore in *Brassica nigra*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 17:127. doi: 10.1186/s12870-017-1074-7
- Burow, M., and Halkier, B. A. (2017). How does a plant orchestrate defense in time and space? Using glucosinolates in *Arabidopsis* as case study. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 38, 142–147. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2017.04.009

- Chen, Y. L., Fan, K. T., Hung, S. C., and Chen, Y. R. (2020). The role of peptides cleaved from protein precursors in eliciting plant stress reactions. *New Phytol.* 225, 2267–2282. doi: 10.1111/nph.16241
- Coleman, A., Maroschek, J., Raasch, L., Takken, F. L., Ranf, S., and Hückelhoven, R. (2021). The *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase MIK2 is a crucial component of early immune responses to a fungal-derived elicitor. *New Phytol.* 229, 3453–3466. doi: 10.1111/nph.17122
- Consales, F., Schweizer, F., Erb, M., Gouhier-Darimont, C., Bodenhausen, N., Bruessow, F., et al. (2012). Insect oral secretions suppress wound-induced responses in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 727–737. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err308
- Erb, M., and Kliebenstein, D. J. (2020). Plant secondary metabolites as defenses, regulators, and primary metabolites: the blurred functional trichotomy. *Plant Physiol.* 184, 39–52. doi: 10.1104/pp.20.00433
- Erb, M., and Reymond, P. (2019). Molecular interactions between plants and insect herbivores. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 70, 527–557. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-095910
- Farmer, E. E., Gasperini, D., and Acosta, I. F. (2014). The squeeze cell hypothesis for the activation of jasmonate synthesis in response to wounding. *New Phytol.* 204, 282–288. doi: 10.1111/nph.12897
- Frerigmann, H. (2016). Glucosinolate regulation in a complex relationship MYC and MYB no one can act without each other. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 80, 57–97.
- Frerigmann, H., Pislewska-Bednarek, M., Sanchez-Vallet, A., Molina, A., Glawischnig, E., Gigolashvili, T., et al. (2016). Regulation of pathogen triggered tryptophan metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by MYB transcription factors and indole glucosinolate conversion products. *Mol. Plant.* 9, 682–695. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2016.01.006
- Fürstenberg-Hägg, J., Zagrobelny, M., and Bak, S. (2013). Plant defense against insect herbivores. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16, 10242–10297. doi: 10.3390/ijms140510242
- Glauser, G., Schweizer, F., Turlings, T. C. J., and Reymond, P. (2012). Rapid profiling of intact glucosinolates in *Arabidopsis* leaves by UHPLC-QTOFMS using a charged surface hybrid column. *Phytochem. Anal.* 23, 520–528. doi: 10.1002/pca.2350
- Glauser, G., Vallat, A., and Balmer, D. (2014). "Hormone profiling," in Arabidopsis Protocols, eds J. J. Sanchez-Serrano and J. Salinas (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press), 597–608. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-580-4_31
- Guillou, M. C., Vergne, E., Aubourg, S., Renou, J. P., and Pelletier, S. (2021). Gene expression in roots of the proscoop12 mutant and the wild-type Ws, treated or not with SCOOP12 peptide. *Gene Expr. Omnibus* [Epub ahead of print].
- Gully, K., Pelletier, S., Guillou, M. C., Ferrand, M., Aligon, S., Pokotylo, I., et al. (2019). The SCOOP12 peptide regulates defense response and root elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 1349–1365. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery454
- Gust, A. A., Pruitt, R., and Nürnberger, T. (2017). Sensing danger: key to activating plant immunity. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 779–791. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.07. 005
- Hander, T., Fernandez-Fernandez, A. D., Kumpf, R. P., Willems, P., Schatowitz, H., Rombaut, D., et al. (2019). Damage on plants activates Ca2+dependent metacaspases for release of immuno- modulatory peptides. *Science* 363:eaar7486. doi: 10.1126/science.aar7486
- Hou, S., Liu, D., Huang, S., Luo, D., Liu, Z., Wang, P., et al. (2021). The *Arabidopsis* MIK2 receptor elicits immunity by sensing a conserved signature from phytocytokines and microbes. *Nat. Commun.* 12:5494.
- Hou, S., Wang, X., Chen, D., Yang, X., Wang, M., Turrà, D., et al. (2014). The secreted peptide PIP1 amplifies immunity through receptor-like kinase 7. *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1004331. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004331
- Howe, G. A., Major, I. T., and Koo, A. J. (2018). Modularity in jasmonate signaling for multi stress resilience. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 69, 387–415. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042817-040047
- Huffaker, A., Dafoe, N. J., and Schmelz, E. A. (2011). ZmPep1, an ortholog of Arabidopsis elicitor peptide 1, regulates maize innate immunity and enhances disease resistance. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 1325–1338. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.166710
- Huffaker, A., Pearce, G., and Ryan, C. A. (2006). An endogenous peptide signal in *Arabidopsis* activates components of the innate immune response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 10098–10103. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603727103
- Huffaker, A., Pearce, G., Veyrat, N., Erb, M., Turlings, T. C. J., Sartor, R., et al. (2013). Plant elicitor peptides are conserved signals regulating direct and indirect antiherbivore defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 5707–5712. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214668110

- Humphry, M., Bednarek, P., Kemmerling, B., Koh, S., Stein, M., Göbel, U., et al. (2010). A regulon conserved in monocot and dicot plants defines a functional module in antifungal plant immunity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 21896– 21901. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1003619107
- Klauser, D., Desurmont, G. A., Glauser, G., Vallat, A., Flury, P., Boller, T., et al. (2015). The *Arabidopsis* Pep-PEPR system is induced by herbivore feeding and contributes to JA-mediated plant defence against herbivory. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 5327–5336. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv250
- Liu, Z., Wang, H., Xie, J., Lv, J., Zhang, G., Hu, L., et al. (2021). The roles of cruciferae glucosinolates in disease and pest resistance. *Plants* 10:1097. doi: 10.3390/plants10061097
- Luo, L. (2012). Plant cytokine or phytocytokine. Plant Signal Behav. 7, 1513–1514.
- Macho, A. P., and Zipfel, C. (2014). Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. *Mol. Cell.* 42, 263–272. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.028
- Ngou, B. P. M., Jones, J. D. G., and Ding, P. (2021). Plant immune networks. *Trends Plant Sci.* [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2021.08.012
- Okuda, S. (2021). Molecular mechanisms of plant peptide binding to receptors. *Peptides* 144:170614. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2021.170614
- Pastorczyk, M., and Bednarek, P. (2016). Chapter seven the function of glucosinolates and related metabolites in plant innate immunity. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 80, 171–198.
- Peng, Y., Yang, J., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2021). Salicylic acid: biosynthesis and signaling. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 72, 761–791. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-081320-092855
- Pieterse, C. M. J., Van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., and Van Wees, S. C. M. (2012). Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 28, 489–521. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154055
- Poretsky, E., Dressano, K., Weckwerth, P., Ruiz, M., Char, S. N., Da, S., et al. (2020). Differential activities of maize plant elicitor peptides as mediators of immune signaling and herbivore resistance. *Plant J.* 104, 1582–1602. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 15022
- Rahikainen, M., Trotta, A., Alegre, S., Pascual, J., Vuorinen, K., Overmyer, K., et al. (2017). PP2A-B'Y modulates foliar trans-methylation capacity and the formation of 4-methoxy- indol-3-yl-methyl glucosinolate in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant J.* 89, 112–127. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13326
- Reymond, P. (2021). Receptor kinases in plant responses to herbivory. Curr. Opin. Biotech. 70, 143–150. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2021.04.004
- Reymond, P., Weber, H., Damond, M., and Farmer, E. E. (2000). Differential gene expression in response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 12, 707–720. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.5.707
- Rhodes, J., Yang, H., Moussu, S., Boutrot, F., Santiago, J., and Zipfel, C. (2021). Perception of a divergent family of phytocytokines by the *Arabidopsis* receptor kinase MIK2. *Nat. Commun.* 12:705. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-20932-y
- Schlaeppi, K., Bodenhausen, N., Buchala, A., Mauch, F., and Reymond, P. (2008). The glutathione-deficient mutant pad2-1 accumulates lower amounts of glucosinolates and is more susceptible to the insect herbivore *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Plant J.* 55, 774–786. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03545.x
- Schweizer, F., Fernández-Calvo, P., Zander, M., Diez-Diaz, M., Fonseca, S., Glauser, G., et al. (2013). Arabidopsis bHLH transcription factors MYC2, MYC3 and MYC4 regulate glucosinolate biosynthesis, insect performance and feeding behavior. Plant Cell 25, 3117–3132. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.115139
- Sewelam, N., Jaspert, N., Van Der Kelen, K., Tognetti, V. B., Schmitz, J., Frerigmann, H., et al. (2014). Spatial H2O2 signalling specificity: H2O2 from chloroplasts and peroxisomes modulates the plant transcriptome differentially. *Mol. Plant* 7, 1191–1210. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssu070
- Shinya, T., Yasuda, S., Hyodo, K., Tani, R., Hojo, Y., Fujiwara, Y., et al. (2018). Integration of danger peptide signals with herbivore-associated molecular pattern signaling amplifies anti-herbivore defense responses in rice. *Plant J.* 94, 626–637. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13883
- Snoeck, S., Guayazán-Palacios, N., and Steinbrenner, A. D. (2022). Molecular tugof-war: plant immune recognition of herbivory. *Plant Cell* [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1093/plcell/koac009
- Sønderby, I. E., Geu-Flores, F., and Halkier, B. A. (2010). Biosynthesis of glucosinolates—Gene discovery and beyond. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 283–290. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.02.005
- Stahl, E., Bellwon, P., Huber, S., Schläppi, K., Bernsdorff, F., Vallat-Michel, A., et al. (2016). Regulatory and functional aspects of indolic metabolism in plant

systemic acquired resistance. *Mol. Plant* 9, 662–681. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2016. 01.005

- Stahl, E., Brillatz, T., Ferreira Queiroz, E., Marcourt, L., Schmiesing, A., Hilfiker, O., et al. (2020). Phosphatidylcholines from Pieris brassicae eggs activate an immune response in *Arabidopsis. eLife* 9:e60293. doi: 10.7554/eLife.60293
- Stahl, E., Hilfiker, O., and Reymond, P. (2018). Plant-arthropod interactions: who is the winner? *Plant J.* 93, 703–728. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13773
- Van der Does, D., Boutrot, F., Engelsdorf, T., Rhodes, J., McKenna, J. F., Vernhettes, S., et al. (2017). The *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase MIK2/LRR-KISS connects cell wall integrity sensing, root growth and response to abiotic and biotic stresses. *PLoS Genet.* 13:e1006832. doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1006832
- Wang, L., Einig, E., Almeida-Trapp, M., Albert, M., Fliegmann, J., Mithöfer, A., et al. (2018). The systemin receptor SYR1 enhances resistance of tomato against herbivorous insects. *Nat. Plants* 4, 152–156. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0106-0
- Xu, S., Liao, C. J., Jaiswal, N., Lee, S., Yun, D. J., Lee, S. Y., et al. (2018). Tomato PEPR1 ORTHOLOG RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE1 regulates responses to systemin, necrotrophic fungi, and insect herbivory. *Plant Cell* 30, 2214–2229. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.00908
- Yamaguchi, K., and Kawasaki, T. (2021). Pathogen- and plant-derived peptides trigger plant immunity. *Peptides* 144:170611. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2021. 170611

Zhao, Y., Hull, A. K., Gupta, N. R., Goss, K. A., Alonso, J., Ecker, J. R., et al. (2002). Trp-dependent auxin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*: involvement of cytochrome P450s CYP79B2 and CYP79B3. *Genes Dev.* 16, 3100–3112. doi: 10.1101/gad. 1035402

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Stahl, Fernandez Martin, Glauser, Guillou, Aubourg, Renou and Reymond. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.