

# Circular RNAs detection and cross-species conservation

Chloé CERUTTI<sup>1</sup>, Annie ROBIC<sup>1</sup> and Thomas FARAUT<sup>1</sup>  
GenPhySE, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, 31326, Castanet Tolosan, France

Corresponding author: `Thomas.Faraut@inrae.fr`

For many years, circular RNAs (circRNAs) were considered as splicing byproducts because they were associated with low levels of expression. However, the development of high-throughput RNA sequencing and circRNAs-specific computational tools highlighted the abundance of these circRNAs in eukaryotic cells. These single-stranded RNAs are made of closed continuous loops lacking free ends and are generated during the splicing of pre-mRNA [1]. According to recent studies, circRNAs are mainly produced by exonic sequences of coding genes (exonic circRNAs) through an alternative splicing mechanism called “backsplicing”. More precisely, the end of a “donor” exon is linked to the beginning of an upstream “acceptor” exon. More rarely, circRNAs can also be produced from intronic sequences (intronic circRNAs) from intronic lariat [1]. The specific conformation of circRNAs makes their detection, quantification and functional characterization difficult [2]. Several circRNA mechanisms of action were already identified. According to existing studies, circRNAs would mainly act as microRNAs sponges or by protein interactions [2]. Recent studies have also shown that some circRNAs are evolutionarily conserved [3].

To screen the exhaustive circRNAs genomic content, we analyzed Total-RNAseq data obtained from pig (*sus scrofa*) and bovine (*bos taurus*) testicular and liver tissues. In an attempt to obtain a comprehensive overview of circRNAs in those tissues we developed an approach with a detection step agnostic to genome annotation [4]. This approach enables us to quantify the relative proportion of exonic and intronic circRNAs from coding and non-coding genes, the variability between individuals, tissues and species. Differential recruitment of splice junctions for circular transcripts versus linear transcript is also addressed.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the genotoul bioinformatics facility for providing computing resources and the institute of genome biology of FBN (Dummersdorf, Germany) for providing bovine datasets.

## References

- [1] A. Robic, T. Faraut, S. Djebali, R. Weikard, K. Feve, S. Maman, and C. Kuehn. Analysis of pig transcriptomes suggests a global regulation mechanism enabling temporary bursts of circular RNAs. *RNA Biol*, 16(9):1190–1204, 09 2019.
- [2] L. S. Kristensen, M. S. Andersen, L. V. W. Stagsted, K. K. Ebbesen, T. B. Hansen, and J. Kjems. The biogenesis, biology and characterization of circular RNAs. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 20(11):675–691, 11 2019.
- [3] S. Xia, J. Feng, L. Lei, J. Hu, L. Xia, J. Wang, Y. Xiang, L. Liu, S. Zhong, L. Han, and C. He. Comprehensive characterization of tissue-specific circular RNAs in the human and mouse genomes. *Brief. Bioinformatics*, 18(6):984–992, Nov 2017.
- [4] Y. Gao and F. Zhao. Computational Strategies for Exploring Circular RNAs. *Trends Genet.*, 34(5):389–400, 05 2018.